Valence quark transversity at small x

Yuri V. Kovchegov^{*}

Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

Matthew D. Sievert[†]

Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

(Received 3 December 2018; published 29 March 2019)

In our previous work we established a formalism which allows one to determine the small-*x* asymptotics of any transverse momentum-dependent parton distribution function (TMD PDF) of the proton at small values of strong coupling. In this paper we apply this formalism to the valence quark transversity TMD. We relate the valence quark transversity to the transversely polarized dipole scattering amplitude, written in terms of the fundamental transversely polarized "Wilson line" operator, an expression for which we derive explicitly as well. We then write down the evolution equation for the transversely polarized dipole amplitude. Solving that equation we arrive at the following small-*x* asymptotics of the valence quark transversity in the large- N_c limit: $h_{1T}^v(x, k_T^2) \sim h_{1T}^{\perp v}(x, k_T^2) \sim (\frac{1}{x})^{-1+2\sqrt{\frac{w_sN_c}{2\pi}}}$. This result is in agreement with one of the two possible small-*x* asymptotics for the transverse structure function found previously by Kirschner, Mankiewicz, Schäfer, and Szymanowski [Z. Phys. C **74**, 501 (1997)].

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.054033

I. INTRODUCTION

Quark transversity transverse momentum-dependent parton distribution function (TMD PDF) is an interesting and important object to study [1–6]. It provides a key insight into the distribution of spin among the quarks in a transversely polarized proton. Moreover, the k_T integral of the quark transversity TMD is equal to the chiral-odd transversity parton distribution function (PDF) $h_1(x, Q^2)$. In turn, the transversity PDF h_1 is related to the tensor charge of the proton [3],

$$\delta q(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx [h_1^q(x, Q^2) - h_1^{\bar{q}}(x, Q^2)], \qquad (1)$$

a fundamental quantity in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) which is also employed in the searches of physics beyond the Standard Model. Recent phenomenological efforts to extract the proton tensor charge from a global fit to the available world data [7,8] led to a significant tension between the obtained tensor charge for up (δu) and down (δd) quarks and the results of lattice simulations. A possible resolution of this "transverse spin puzzle" is the

*kovchegov.1@osu.edu †sievertmd@lanl.gov possibility for small-x quarks to carry a significant amount of transverse spin. If the quarks at values of x smaller than those measured in experiment carry a large amount of transverse spin, then the discrepancy between the tensor charge values extracted from experimental data and the lattice results may be accounted for. In this paper we will try to understand the plausibility of this scenario by theoretically determining the small-x asymptotics of the quark transversity distribution.

In our previous paper [9] we developed a general method for determining the small-*x* asymptotics of quark TMDs. The developed formalism was applied to quark helicity TMD, reproducing the results of the earlier works on the subject [10–13]. (Gluon helicity was considered separately in [14].) The technique developed in [9] consists of the following steps:

- (i) Starting with the operator definition of the TMD, simplify it for the case of small *x*, rewriting the TMD in terms of the "polarized dipole operator," involving the so-called polarized Wilson lines operators made of semi-infinite and finite lightcone Wilson lines with one or more subeikonal operator insertions.
- (ii) Simultaneously with the first step, or after it, one has to construct the explicit expressions for the relevant polarized Wilson line operators.
- (iii) Construct small-*x* evolution equation (or equations) for the polarized dipole amplitude. These equations usually close in the large- N_c and/or the large- N_c and N_f limits.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.



FIG. 1. Transversely polarized fundamental Wilson line in the quasiclassical approximation in $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0$ Feynman gauge or in $A^{-} = 0$ gauge. The black circles denote the spin-dependent sub-sub-eikonal scattering.

(iv) Solving the evolution equations one obtains the small-x asymptotics for the polarized dipole amplitude, and, through the relation found in step (i), for the quark TMD in question.

In the case of helicity evolution, this method has been applied to the leading small-*x* contribution [10–13], which results from resumming powers of $\alpha_s \ln^2(1/x)$. This resummation parameter was originally suggested in [15] for certain types of small-*x* evolution (see also [15–21]); its resummation is usually referred to as the double logarithmic approximation (DLA). Here we will apply this method to determination of small-*x* asymptotics for the valence quark transversity TMD in the same DLA limit.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II we will derive an explicit expression for the quark transversely polarized "Wilson line." In Sec. III we will derive a relation between the quark transversity TMD at small-x and the transversely polarized dipole operator. The evolution equation for the transversely-polarized flavor nonsinglet dipole operator is derived in Sec. IVA and solved in Sec. IVB, leading to the small-x asymptotics of valence quark transversity given in Eq. (73) in the large- N_c limit and in the DLA. The result (73) is consistent with one of the two possibilities for small-x asymptotics of the chiral-odd PDF $h_1(x, Q^2)$ found in [22]. The second possibility found in [22] is $h_1(x, Q^2) \sim (1/x)^0$, which resulted from resumming the leading logarithms in x (LLA), that is, powers of $\alpha_{\rm s} \ln(1/x)$: such terms are outside of the DLA precision employed here. At the moment it appears that the LLA kernel should enter the evolution equation(s) as an additive correction to the DLA kernel. The solution of the evolution equations with the leading and subleading kernels may result in the LLA term giving a multiplicative correction to our DLA small-x asymptotics (see [23] for an example and further subtleties in the unpolarized evolution case), rather than generating an additive term suggested in [22], though a more detailed investigation is needed to clarify this issue. This investigation is left for future work.

II. TRANSVERSELY POLARIZED WILSON LINES

In order to get a better understanding of the transversity observable in question we begin by constructing the transversely polarized Wilson line operator for quarks. This operator is defined as a transverse spin-dependent part of the scattering amplitude for a high-energy quark on a target. The target generates quasiclassical background quark and gluon fields. By analogy to the helicity case, the leading contributions to the transversely polarized Wilson line are given by the two diagrams shown in Fig. 1. There, the black circles denote the subeikonal transverse spin-dependent part of the quark-gluon vertex. The high-energy quark at the top of each diagram is moving in the light-cone "–" direction, while the target proton is moving in the "+" direction. [For a 4-vector v^{μ} we define $v^{\pm} = (v^0 \pm v^3)/\sqrt{2}$.]

To work with the minus-direction-moving quark we introduce the $+ \leftrightarrow -$ interchanged Brodsky-Lepage (BL) spinors [24], which we will also refer to as the anti-BL spinors:

$$u_{\sigma}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}p^{-}}} [\sqrt{2}p^{-} + m\gamma^{0} + \gamma^{0}\underline{\gamma} \cdot \underline{p}]\rho(\sigma),$$

$$v_{\sigma}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}p^{-}}} [\sqrt{2}p^{-} - m\gamma^{0} + \gamma^{0}\underline{\gamma} \cdot \underline{p}]\rho(-\sigma), \qquad (2)$$

where $p^{\mu} = (\frac{p^2 + m^2}{2p^-}, p^-, \underline{p})$, the transverse vectors are denotes by $\underline{p} = (p^x, p^y)$ and

$$\rho(+1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(-1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3)

These spinors are eigenstates of W^- such that $W^-u_{\pm} = \pm (p^-/2)u_{\pm}$, $W^-v_{\pm} = \pm (p^-/2)v_{\pm}$, with the Pauli-Lubanski vector $W_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}S^{\nu\rho}p^{\sigma}$ and $S^{\nu\rho} = (i/4)[\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\rho}]$.

To work with transverse spin it is convenient to define the transverse spinors which are eigenstates of W_1 [25],

$$u_{\chi} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [u_{+} + \chi u_{-}], \qquad v_{\chi} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [v_{+} + \chi v_{-}], \quad (4)$$

such that $W_1 u_{\chi} = (m/2)\chi u_{\chi}$, $W_1 v_{\chi} = -(m/2)\chi v_{\chi}$, but only for <u>p</u> = 0. Hence these spinors are transverse to the quark momentum only for p = 0.

Before we start our calculation, let us also note that in the helicity basis the transverse spin is given by the helicity-flipping $(\underline{\tau})_{\sigma\sigma'}$ transverse Pauli matrices, while in the transverse spinor basis (4) it is given by $(\tau_3)_{\chi\chi'}$, that is, by the $\chi \delta_{\chi\chi'}$ terms. Hence we are interested in the part of the quark scattering amplitude proportional to $\chi \delta_{\chi\chi'}$. To help us in the calculation, we obtain the following leading transverse polarization-dependent high-energy contributions to Dirac matrix elements of anti-BL spinors (by keeping the $\chi \delta_{\chi\chi'}$ terms only):

$$\bar{u}_{\chi}(p+k)\gamma^{+}u_{\chi'}(p) \supset -im\chi \frac{1}{p^{-}}\delta_{\chi\chi'}\underline{k} \times \underline{S}, \qquad (5a)$$

$$\bar{u}_{\chi}(p+k)\gamma^{i}u_{\chi'}(p) \supset -im\chi \frac{k^{-}}{p^{-}}\delta_{\chi\chi'}\epsilon^{ij}S^{j}.$$
 (5b)

The transverse polarization-dependent gluon field of a plus-direction-moving quark in $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0$ Feynman gauge is then¹

$$A^{a-}(x) = -\frac{g}{2\pi} t^a \frac{m\chi}{(p^+)^2} \delta(x^-) \frac{1}{x_\perp^2} \delta_{\chi\chi'} \underline{x} \times \underline{S}, \tag{6a}$$

$$A^{ai}(x) = -\frac{g}{4\pi} t^a \frac{m\chi}{(p^+)^2} \partial^+ \delta(x^-) \ln \frac{1}{x_\perp \Lambda} \delta_{\chi\chi'} \epsilon^{ij} S^j.$$
(6b)

Note the suppression by two powers of p^+ as compared to the eikonal field: thus the transverse polarization $\chi \delta_{\chi\chi'}$ dependence is sub-sub-eikonal. It also always comes in with the quark mass *m*, in agreement with the conventional wisdom. Here <u>S</u> is a unit vector in the direction of the proton spin: in Eq. (4) we had <u>S</u> = \hat{x} .

As we mentioned already, the two possible contributions to the transversely polarized quark Wilson line are shown in Fig. 1 (see Appendix for a discussion of other possible subsub-eikonal diagrams which do not contribute). We begin by calculating the contribution of the left panel in the $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0$ gauge, neglecting multiple eikonal gluon exchanges first. The noneikonal gluon exchange gives

$$\frac{1}{2p_{2}^{-}} ig\bar{u}_{\chi}(p_{2}+k) \underline{A}(k) u_{\chi'}(p_{2})
= \frac{igm\chi}{2(p_{2}^{-})^{2}} \delta_{\chi\chi'}[-i\underline{k} \times \underline{S}A^{-}(k) + ik^{-}\underline{A} \times \underline{S}]
\approx \frac{gm\chi}{2(p_{2}^{-})^{2}} \delta_{\chi\chi'} \underline{k} \times \underline{S}A^{-}(k),$$
(7)

where we only keep the $\chi \delta_{\chi\chi'}$ terms. In the last step we have used the fact that $k^- \sim 1/p_1^+$ is negligibly small, constituting a sub-sub-sub-eikonal correction to the quantity of

interest. Now m is the mass of the projectile quark at the top of Fig. 1.

Fourier transforming into coordinate space we get

$$\chi \delta_{\chi,\chi'} \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{G}_{\text{pol},T}(x^{-},\underline{x}) \equiv \int \frac{dk^{+}d^{2}k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{-ik^{+}x^{-}+i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{x}} \frac{igm\chi}{2(p_{2}^{-})^{2}} \times [-i\delta_{\chi\chi'}\underline{k} \times \underline{S}]A^{-}(k) = \frac{gm\chi}{2(p_{2}^{-})^{2}} i\delta_{\chi\chi'}\underline{S} \times \underline{\nabla}A^{-}(x).$$
(8)

Inserting Wilson lines to account for the multiple eikonal gluon exchanges, we conclude that the gluon contribution to the transversely polarized quark Wilson line is

$$(V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{G} = \frac{2gm(p_{1}^{+})^{2}}{s^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^{-} V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x^{-}] \times [i\underline{S} \times \underline{\nabla}A^{-}(x^{+}=0, x^{-}, \underline{x})] V_{\underline{x}}[x^{-}, -\infty].$$
(9)

The fundamental Wilson lines are denoted by

$$V_{\underline{x}}[b^{-}, a^{-}] = \mathcal{P} \exp\left[ig \int_{a^{-}}^{b^{-}} dx^{-} A^{+}(x^{+} = 0, x^{-}, \underline{x})\right].$$
(10)

Noticing that $\partial^- A^i = 0$ for A^i from Eq. (6b), and that $[A^i, A^-] \sim 1/(p_1^+)^4$ is very strongly suppressed at high energy, we can complete $\nabla_i A^- = -\partial^i A^- \to F^{-i}$ and rewrite Eq. (9) in a gauge-covariant form,

$$(V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{G} = \frac{2gm(p_{1}^{+})^{2}}{s^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^{-} \\ \times V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x^{-}]S^{i}[i\epsilon^{ij}F^{-j}(x^{-}, \underline{x})] V_{\underline{x}}[x^{-}, -\infty].$$

$$(11)$$

One can show explicitly that a calculation in $A^- = 0$ gauge leads to the same result. The difference with the helicity case [14] is that now the "transversely polarized Wilson line" (11) is doubly suppressed at high energy and is proportional to the quark mass *m*.

We next move on to the right panel in Fig. 1, switching to the $A^- = 0$ gauge. The contribution of the first (left) *t*-channel quark exchange is

$$\frac{1}{2p_{2}^{2}}\epsilon_{\lambda}^{\mu*}(p_{2}+k)(ig)\bar{\psi}(k)t^{a}\gamma_{\mu}u_{\chi'}(p_{2}) \\
= -\frac{ig\chi'}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\sqrt{2}p_{2}^{2}}}\bar{\psi}(k)t^{a}\left[\delta_{\lambda,-1}\rho(+1) + \chi'\delta_{\lambda,1}\rho(-1) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}p_{2}^{-}}(\chi'\delta_{\lambda,1}\gamma^{0}\rho(-1) + \delta_{\lambda,-1}\gamma^{0}\rho(+1)) - \frac{\epsilon_{\lambda}^{*}\cdot\underline{k}}{p_{2}^{-}+k^{-}}(\chi'\gamma^{0}\rho(+1) + \gamma^{0}\rho(-1))\right],$$
(12)

¹The gluon fields are indeed gauge dependent. At this subeikonal level and beyond, the Feynman gauge is not equivalent to the $A^- = 0$ gauge. However, the operator we obtain this way is gauge invariant (or, gauge covariant) and is the same for all gauges in which the gluon fields do not stretch out to x^- infinities and the gauge links at infinities never contribute.

again using the anti-BL spinors and neglecting terms further suppressed by $1/p_2^-$. Gluon polarization 4-vector in the $A^- = 0$ gauge is $\epsilon_{\lambda}^{\mu}(p_2 + k) = (\frac{\epsilon_{\lambda}\cdot(p_2 + k)}{p_2^- + k^-}, 0, \underline{\epsilon}_{\lambda})$ in terms of the $(+, -, \bot)$ and with $\underline{\epsilon}_{\lambda} = (-1/\sqrt{2})(\lambda, i)$ [24]. Fourier-transforming Eq. (12) yields

$$-\frac{ig\chi'}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\sqrt{2}p_2^-}}\bar{\psi}(x_1^-,\underline{x})t^a \bigg[\delta_{\lambda,-1}\rho(+1) + \chi'\delta_{\lambda,1}\rho(-1) \\ -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}p_2^-}(\chi'\delta_{\lambda,1}\gamma^0\rho(-1) + \delta_{\lambda,+1}\gamma^0\rho(+1)) \\ + i\frac{\underline{e}_{\lambda}^*\cdot\bar{\nabla}}{p_2^-}(\chi'\gamma^0\rho(+1) + \gamma^0\rho(-1))\bigg] \\ \equiv -\frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\sqrt{2}p_2^-}}\bar{\psi}(x_1^-,\underline{x})t^a\bar{M}(\lambda,\chi')$$
(13)

with the transverse derivative acting on the antiquark field to its left. Note that $k^- \rightarrow i\partial^- = i\partial_+$, which we put to zero since $\bar{\psi}$ is independent of x^+ in our standard eikonal approximation: again, powers of k^- correspond to terms further suppressed at high energy. The second (right) *t*-channel quark exchange in Fig. 1 gives

$$-\frac{ig\chi}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\sqrt{2}p_{2}^{-}}}t^{b}\left[\delta_{\lambda,-1}\rho^{T}(+1)\gamma^{0}+\chi\delta_{\lambda,1}\rho^{T}(-1)\gamma^{0}\right]$$
$$-\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}p_{2}^{-}}(\chi\delta_{\lambda,1}\rho^{T}(-1)+\delta_{\lambda,-1}\rho^{T}(+1))$$
$$-i\frac{\epsilon_{\lambda}\cdot\nabla}{p_{2}^{-}}(\chi\rho^{T}(+1)+\rho^{T}(-1))\right]\psi(x_{2}^{-},\underline{x})$$
$$=-\frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\sqrt{2}p_{2}^{-}}}t^{b}\vec{M}^{\dagger}(\lambda,\chi)\gamma^{0}\psi(x_{2}^{-},\underline{x}).$$
(14)

Combining Eqs. (13) and (14) we write an expression for the operator, the χ -dependent part of which would give us the quark contribution to the transversely polarized Wilson line:

$$\begin{split} \chi \delta_{\chi,\chi'}(V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{q} &\subset -\frac{g^{2}p_{1}^{+}}{\sqrt{2}s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1}^{-} \int_{x_{1}^{-}}^{\infty} dx_{2}^{-} \sum_{\lambda} V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x_{2}^{-}] \\ &\times t^{b} [\vec{M}^{\dagger}(\lambda, \chi) \gamma^{0} \psi(x_{2}^{-}, \underline{x})] U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[x_{2}^{-}, x_{1}^{-}] \\ &\times [\bar{\psi}(x_{1}^{-}, \underline{x}) \bar{M}(\lambda, \chi')] t^{a} V_{\underline{x}}[x_{1}^{-}, -\infty]. \end{split}$$
(15)

Here U_x^{ba} is the adjoint Wilson line, defined by analogy to Eq. (10). Summing over λ and expanding over $1/p_2^-$ to the lowest nontrivial order we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \chi \delta_{\chi\chi'} (V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{q} &\subset -\frac{g^{2} p_{1}^{+}}{2s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1}^{-} \int_{x_{1}^{-}}^{\infty} dx_{2}^{-} V_{\underline{x}} [+\infty, x_{2}^{-}] t^{b} \psi_{\beta}(x_{2}^{-}, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[x_{2}^{-}, x_{1}^{-}] \bigg\{ \gamma^{5} \gamma^{+} \delta_{\chi, -\chi'} + \delta_{\chi\chi'} \gamma^{+} \\ &+ \frac{p_{1}^{+}}{s} \bigg\{ -2m(\delta_{\chi\chi'} - i\delta_{\chi, -\chi'} \gamma^{1} \gamma^{2}) - \chi' \bigg[(i\bar{\partial_{x}} + \bar{\partial_{y}}) \frac{1 - \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 + i\gamma^{1} \gamma^{2}) + (i\partial_{x} + \partial_{y}) \frac{1 - \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 - i\gamma^{1} \gamma^{2}) \bigg] \\ &+ \chi \bigg[(i\bar{\partial_{x}} - \bar{\partial_{y}}) \frac{1 + \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 - i\gamma^{1} \gamma^{2}) + (i\partial_{x} - \partial_{y}) \frac{1 + \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 + i\gamma^{1} \gamma^{2}) \bigg] \\ &+ (i\bar{\partial_{x}} - \bar{\partial_{y}}) \frac{1 + \gamma^{5}}{2} (i\gamma^{2} - \gamma^{1}) + (i\partial_{x} + \partial_{y}) \frac{1 + \gamma^{5}}{2} (\gamma^{1} + i\gamma^{2}) \bigg\} + \mathcal{O}\bigg(\frac{1}{s^{2}}\bigg) \bigg\}_{a\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(x_{1}^{-}, \underline{x}) t^{a} V_{\underline{x}}[x_{1}^{-}, -\infty]. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

1

Since

$$\chi\chi' = \delta_{\chi,\chi'} - \delta_{\chi,-\chi'}, \qquad \chi - \chi' = 2\chi\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}, \qquad \chi + \chi' = 2\chi\delta_{\chi,\chi'}$$
(17)

only the χ and χ' terms in Eq. (16) have explicit dependence on polarization. Hence we write

$$\begin{split} \chi \delta_{\chi,\chi'} (V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{q} &\subset -\frac{g^{2}(p_{1}^{+})^{2}}{2s^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1}^{-} \int_{x_{1}^{-}}^{\infty} dx_{2}^{-} V_{\underline{x}} [+\infty, x_{2}^{-}] t^{b} \psi_{\beta}(x_{2}^{-}, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba} [x_{2}^{-}, x_{1}^{-}] \\ &\times \left\{ -\chi' \left[(i\bar{\partial_{x}} + \bar{\partial_{y}}) \frac{1 - \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 + i\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}) + (i\partial_{x} + \partial_{y}) \frac{1 - \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 - i\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}) \right] \right. \\ &+ \chi \left[(i\bar{\partial_{x}} - \bar{\partial_{y}}) \frac{1 + \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 - i\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}) + (i\partial_{x} - \partial_{y}) \frac{1 + \gamma^{5}}{2} (1 + i\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}) \right] \right\}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(x_{1}^{-}, \underline{x}) t^{a} V_{\underline{x}} [x_{1}^{-}, -\infty]. \end{split}$$
(18)

Equivalently, one can rewrite this as

$$\begin{split} \chi \delta_{\chi,\chi'}(V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{q} &\subset -\frac{g^{2}(p_{1}^{+})^{2}}{2s^{2}} \chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1}^{-} \int_{x_{1}^{-}}^{\infty} dx_{2}^{-} V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x_{2}^{-}] t^{b} \psi_{\beta}(x_{2}^{-}, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[x_{2}^{-}, x_{1}^{-}] \{ (\delta_{\chi,\chi'}\gamma^{5} + \delta_{\chi,-\chi'}) \\ &\times [(i\partial_{\overline{x}} - \gamma^{5}\partial_{\overline{y}})(1 - i\gamma^{5}\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}) + (i\partial_{x} - \gamma^{5}\partial_{y})(1 + i\gamma^{5}\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2})] \}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(x_{1}^{-}, \underline{x}) t^{a} V_{\underline{x}}[x_{1}^{-}, -\infty], \end{split}$$
(19)

or, keeping only the $\chi \delta_{\chi,\chi'}$ terms,

$$(V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{q} = -\frac{g^{2}(p_{1}^{+})^{2}}{2s^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1}^{-} \int_{x_{1}^{-}}^{\infty} dx_{2}^{-} V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x_{2}^{-}] t^{b} \psi_{\beta}(x_{2}^{-}, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[x_{2}^{-}, x_{1}^{-}] \\ \times [(i\gamma^{5}\bar{\partial_{x}} - \bar{\partial_{y}})(1 - i\gamma^{5}\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}) + (i\gamma^{5}\partial_{x} - \partial_{y})(1 + i\gamma^{5}\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2})]_{a\beta} \bar{\psi}_{a}(x_{1}^{-}, \underline{x}) t^{a} V_{\underline{x}}[x_{1}^{-}, -\infty].$$
(20)

This result can be rewritten as

$$(V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T})^{q} = -\frac{g^{2}(p_{1}^{+})^{2}}{2s^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1}^{-} \int_{x_{1}^{-}}^{\infty} dx_{2}^{-} V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x_{2}^{-}] t^{b} \psi_{\beta}(x_{2}^{-}, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[x_{2}^{-}, x_{1}^{-}] \\ \times [(i\gamma^{5}\partial_{x}^{-} - \partial_{y})\gamma^{+}\gamma^{-} + (i\gamma^{5}\partial_{x} - \partial_{y})\gamma^{-}\gamma^{+}]_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(x_{1}^{-}, \underline{x}) t^{a} V_{\underline{x}}[x_{1}^{-}, -\infty].$$
(21)

To make Eq. (21) gauge invariant (or, more precisely, gauge covariant) we can replace $\partial_i \rightarrow D_i$, with D_i being the covariant derivative, since the transverse gluon field A^i of the plus-moving target in $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0$ Feynman gauge or in $A^- = 0$ gauge is further suppressed by a power of energy, giving a sub-sub-sub-eikonal contribution to Eq. (21),

which is outside the precision of our approximation. The covariant derivatives still act only on the corresponding spinors, with the argument of A^i in each derivative being the same as that of the spinor the derivative is acting on.

Combining Eqs. (11) and (21) we arrive at the full expression for the transversely polarized Wilson line

$$V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T} = \frac{2gm(p_1^+)^2}{s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^- V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x^-] S^i[ie^{ij}F^{-j}(x^-, \underline{x})]V_{\underline{x}}[x^-, -\infty] - \frac{g^2(p_1^+)^2}{2s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1^- \int_{x_1^-}^{\infty} dx_2^- V_{\underline{x}}[+\infty, x_2^-] t^b \psi_{\beta}(x_2^-, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[x_2^-, x_1^-][(i\gamma^5 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{D} - \underline{S} \times \underline{D})\gamma^+\gamma^- + (i\gamma^5 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{D} - \underline{S} \times \underline{D})\gamma^-\gamma^+]_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(x_1^-, \underline{x}) t^a V_{\underline{x}}[x_1^-, -\infty].$$
(22)

The $1/s^2$ suppression in Eq. (22) indicates that dependence on the transverse spin comes in as the sub-sub-eikonal effect at high energies. This result will persist through our analysis, leading to transversity quark TMDs being suppressed by x^2 compared to unpolarized TMDs at small x.

Other sub-sub-eikonal diagrams, in addition to those considered in Fig. 1, are analyzed in Appendix, with the conclusion that they do not generate transverse spin dependence and can be discarded.

Since the mass term cannot give the DLA evolution, only the mass-independent term in Eq. (22) will lead to the DLA evolution below. Hence the DLA evolution of the quark polarized Wilson line does not generate polarized gluon emissions. Therefore, in the DLA approximation we will not need the transversely polarized gluon Wilson line, and the quark one in Eq. (22) will be sufficient to construct the evolution equations.

III. QUARK TRANSVERSITY OPERATOR

Our goal here is to simplify the quark transversity operator at small x. We begin with the definition of quark transversity TMDs (see e.g. [26]):

$$h_{1T}^{q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) + \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}}h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_{x}=\pm1}S_{T}^{j}\int d^{2}rdr^{-}e^{ik\cdot r}\langle p,S_{T}|\bar{\psi}(0)\mathcal{U}[0,r]\frac{i\sigma^{j+}\gamma^{5}}{2}\psi(r)|p,S_{T}\rangle_{r^{+}=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_{x}=\pm1}S_{T}^{j}\int d^{2}rdr^{-}e^{ik\cdot r}\langle p,S_{T}|\bar{\psi}(0)\mathcal{U}[0,r]\frac{\gamma^{5}\gamma^{+}\gamma^{j}}{2}\psi(r)|p,S_{T}\rangle_{r^{+}=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}}\int d^{2}rdr^{-}e^{ik\cdot r}\langle p,S_{x}=+1|\bar{\psi}(0)\mathcal{U}[0,r]\frac{\gamma^{5}\gamma^{+}\gamma^{1}}{2}\psi(r)|p,S_{x}=+1\rangle_{r^{+}=0}.$$
 (23)

(Here M is the proton mass.) Note that the quark transversity TMD is usually defined as

$$h_{1T}^{q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) + \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{2M^{2}}h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{T}^{2}), \qquad (24)$$

whereas in Eq. (23) we have only taken the *x* projection of the quark fields correlator. However, in the end we will use Eq. (23) to determine both h_{1T}^q and $h_{1T}^{\perp q}$ at small *x*, which would allow one to reconstruct the transversity TMD in Eq. (24).

We choose the forward-pointing gauge link $\mathcal{U}[0, r]$, as appropriate for semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) process. In $A^- = 0$ gauge that we adopt from now on, we have

$$\mathcal{U}[0,r] = V_0[0,\infty] V_r[\infty,r^-].$$
⁽²⁵⁾

To simplify Eq. (23) we can either repeat the analysis from [9] or redo the SIDIS cross section calculation from [27]. In the end we end up with the diagram B from [9] giving the leading small-*x* contribution. The diagram is depicted in Fig. 2, where shaded rectangles denote the target shock wave in the amplitude and in the complex conjugate amplitude, the square denotes the spin-dependent interaction with the polarized target, and the thick horizontal solid lines are the Wilson lines while the thin solid lines are antiquark propagators. Switching to the targetaveraging notation employed in the saturation/color glass



FIG. 2. The diagram which, along with its complex conjugate, dominates the SIDIS cross section or, equivalently, quark TMDs at small x.

condensate (CGC) [28–34] approaches we write for the contribution of the diagram B from Fig. 2 (cf. Eq. (10) in [9])

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) &+ \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \\ &= \frac{2p^{+}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{\bar{q}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\zeta^{-} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\xi^{-} \\ &\times \int d^{2}\zeta d^{2}\xi e^{ik\cdot(\zeta-\xi)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{5}\gamma^{+}\gamma^{1}\right)_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\times \langle \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(\xi) | \bar{q} \rangle \langle \bar{q} | V_{\underline{\zeta}}[\infty,-\infty] \psi_{\beta}(\zeta) \rangle_{S_{x}=+1} + \text{c.c.} \quad (26) \end{split}$$

Repeating the calculation steps for the helicity TMD performed in [9] we arrive at

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) + & \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \\ = & -\frac{2p^{+}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{2}\zeta d^{2}w \frac{d^{2}k_{1}dk_{1}^{-}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\cdot(\underline{w}-\underline{\zeta})} \theta(k_{1}^{-}) \\ & \times \sum_{\chi_{1},\chi_{2}} \bar{v}_{\chi_{2}}(k_{2}) \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{5} \gamma^{+} \gamma^{1} v_{\chi_{1}}(k_{1}) \\ & \times \langle \mathrm{T}V_{\underline{\zeta}}^{ij}[\infty,-\infty] \bar{v}_{\chi_{1}}(k_{1}) (\hat{V}_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger})^{ji} v_{\chi_{2}}(k_{2}) \rangle_{S_{x}=+1} \\ & \times \frac{1}{(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}_{1}^{2})(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}^{2})} \Big|_{k_{2}^{-}=k_{1}^{-},k_{1}^{2}=0,k_{2}^{2}=0,\underline{k}_{2}=-\underline{k}}} + \mathrm{c.c.}, \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

where now we chose the transverse basis for the spinors. By analogy to helicity operators we write

$$\bar{v}_{\chi}(p)(\hat{V}_{\underline{x}}^{\dagger})v_{\chi'}(p') = 2\sqrt{p^{-}p'^{-}}(\delta_{\chi\chi'}V_{\underline{x}}^{\dagger} + \chi\delta_{\chi\chi'}V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T\dagger} + \cdots).$$
(28)

The ellipsis denote the subeikonal and sub-sub-eikonal corrections (and beyond) independent of the proton transverse spin (but, in general, possibly dependent on χ).

Employing anti-BL spinors we find

$$\begin{split} \bar{v}_{\chi_2}(k_2) &\frac{1}{2} \gamma^5 \gamma^+ \gamma^1 v_{\chi_1}(k_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k_1^- k_2^-}} [-\chi_1 \delta_{\chi_1 \chi_2} (2\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_2 - \underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k}_2 - m^2) \\ &+ im \delta_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \underline{S} \times (\underline{k}_1 - \underline{k}_2) - i\chi_1 \delta_{\chi_1, -\chi_2} \\ &\times (\underline{S} \times \underline{k}_1 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_2 + \underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1 \underline{S} \times \underline{k}_2) - m \delta_{\chi_1, -\chi_2} \underline{S} \cdot (\underline{k}_1 + \underline{k}_2)], \end{split}$$

$$(29)$$

where, again, $\underline{S} = \hat{x}$ is the unit vector in the direction of the proton spin.

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) &+ \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \\ &= \frac{4p^{+}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{2}\zeta d^{2}w \frac{d^{2}k_{1}dk_{1}^{-}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\cdot(\underline{w}-\underline{\zeta})} \theta(k_{1}^{-}) \\ &\times \frac{1}{(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}_{1}^{2})(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}^{2})} \\ &\times \{(-2\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}_{1}\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}+\underline{k}_{1}\cdot\underline{k}-m^{2})\langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\operatorname{pol},T^{\dagger}}] \rangle_{S_{x}=+1} \\ &+ i\underline{m}\underline{S}\times(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger}] \rangle_{S_{x}=+1} \} + \mathrm{c.c.} \end{split}$$
(30)

Adding in the complex conjugate (where we interchange $\zeta \leftrightarrow w$ after conjugation) yields

$$h_{1T}^{q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) + \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) = \frac{4p^{+}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{2}\zeta d^{2}w \frac{d^{2}k_{1}dk_{1}^{-}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\cdot(\underline{w}-\underline{\zeta})} \theta(k_{1}^{-}) \frac{1}{(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}_{1}^{2})(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}^{2})} \\ \times \{(-2\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}_{1}\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}+\underline{k}_{1}\cdot\underline{k}-m^{2})\langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\mathrm{pol},T^{\dagger}}] + \overline{\operatorname{Ttr}}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}^{-}V_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger}]\rangle_{S_{x}=+1} \\ + im\underline{S}\times(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger}] - \overline{\operatorname{Ttr}}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger}]\rangle_{S_{x}=+1}\}.$$
(31)

The last term in the brackets is zero [9], since

$$\langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger}] - \overline{\operatorname{Ttr}}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger}] \rangle_{S_x = +1} = 0$$
 (32)

for true Wilson lines, if we flip the Wilson lines in the second trace from the complex conjugate amplitude into the amplitude using the reflection symmetry employed in [9], which was verified in [35] up to next-to-leading logarithms (NLL) in x for the unpolarized evolution. We are left with

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) &+ \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \\ &= \frac{4p^{+}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int d^{2}\zeta d^{2}w \frac{d^{2}k_{1}dk_{1}^{-}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\cdot(\underline{w}-\underline{\zeta})} \theta(k_{1}^{-}) \\ &\times \frac{1}{(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}_{1}^{2})(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}^{2})} \\ &\times (-2\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}_{1}\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}+\underline{k}_{1}\cdot\underline{k}-m^{2}) \\ &\times \langle \mathrm{Ttr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\mathrm{pol},T^{\dagger}}] + \mathrm{\bar{T}tr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}^{\mathrm{pol},T}V_{\underline{w}}^{\dagger}] \rangle_{S_{x}=+1}. \end{split}$$
(33)

The flavor-singlet and nonsinglet (valence quark) distributions are defined by

$$h_{1T}^{S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) = \sum_{f} [h_{1T}^{q_{f}}(x,k_{T}^{2}) + h_{1T}^{\bar{q}_{f}}(x,k_{T}^{2})],$$

$$h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) = \sum_{f} [h_{1T}^{\perp q_{f}}(x,k_{T}^{2}) + h_{1T}^{\perp \bar{q}_{f}}(x,k_{T}^{2})], \qquad (34a)$$

$$h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) = h_{1T}^q(x,k_T^2) - h_{1T}^{\bar{q}}(x,k_T^2),$$

$$h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) = h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_T^2) - h_{1T}^{\perp \bar{q}}(x,k_T^2).$$
(34b)

The singlet version of Eq. (33) is

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) &+ \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \\ &= \frac{4p^{+}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{f} \int d^{2}\zeta d^{2}w \frac{d^{2}k_{1}dk_{1}^{-}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\cdot(\underline{w}-\underline{\zeta})} \theta(k_{1}^{-}) \\ &\times \frac{1}{(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}_{1}^{2})(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}^{2})} \\ &\times (-2\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}_{1}\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}+\underline{k}_{1}\cdot\underline{k}-m_{f}^{2}) \\ &\times \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}V_{\underline{w}}^{\operatorname{pol},T^{\dagger}}] + \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{w}}^{\operatorname{pol},T}V_{\underline{\zeta}}^{\dagger}] + \overline{\operatorname{Ttr}}[V_{\underline{\zeta}}^{\operatorname{pol},T}V_{\underline{\zeta}}^{\dagger}] \\ &+ \overline{\operatorname{Ttr}}[V_{\underline{w}}V_{\underline{\zeta}}^{\operatorname{pol},T^{\dagger}}] \rangle_{S_{x}=+1}. \end{split}$$
(35)

Define the doubly energy-rescaled transversely polarized flavor-singlet dipole amplitude

$$T_{10}^{S}(zs) \equiv \frac{(zs)^{2}}{2N_{c}} \operatorname{Re} \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}}V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T\dagger}] + \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T}V_{\underline{0}}^{\dagger}] \rangle_{S_{x}=+1}$$
$$= \frac{2(p^{+}k_{\underline{1}}^{-})^{2}}{N_{c}} \operatorname{Re} \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}}V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T\dagger}] + \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T}V_{\underline{0}}^{\dagger}] \rangle_{S_{x}=+1}$$
(36)

with $zs = 2p^+k_1^-$. Further note that the integrals like

$$\int d^2 \left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2} \right) \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol}, T^{\dagger}}] \rangle_{S_x = +1}$$
(37)

[for any of the correlators in Eq. (35)] are even functions of $\underline{x}_{10} = \underline{x}_1 - \underline{x}_0$. The reason for this is slightly different from the helicity case: in the case of helicity we could argue that the integrals like (37) are simply functions of $|\underline{x}_1 - \underline{x}_0|$ due to the absence of a preferred transverse direction in the problem. Note that the target proton and the polarized projectile quark in the dipole both have transverse spins, \underline{S}_T and \underline{S}_P . Since PT symmetry requires that spin dependence enters as a term bilinear in the two spins, we write

$$\int d^2 \left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2} \right) \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T^{\dagger}}] \rangle_{S_T}$$

= $A(x_{10}) + B(x_{10}) \underline{S}_T \cdot \underline{x}_{10} \underline{S}_P \cdot \underline{x}_{10} + \cdots, \quad (38)$

where *A* and *B* are some scalar functions of $x_{10} = |\underline{x}_1 - \underline{x}_0|$ while the ellipsis denote terms like *B* but with either or both scalar products replaced by a vector product of the same vectors. We thus see that the object on the left of Eq. (38) [along with impact-parameter integrals of other such correlators in Eq. (35)] is an even function under the $\underline{x}_1 \leftrightarrow \underline{x}_0$ interchange.

Employing this observation along with the definition (36) in Eq. (35) yields for the flavor-singlet case

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1T}^{S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) &+ \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \\ &= \frac{8N_{c}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{f} \int d^{2}x_{0} d^{2}x_{1} \frac{d^{2}k_{1} dk_{1}^{-}}{2p^{+}(k_{1}^{-})^{2}(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\cdot\underline{x}_{10}} \theta(k_{1}^{-}) \\ &\times \frac{1}{(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}_{1}^{2})(2xp^{+}k_{1}^{-}+\underline{k}^{2})} \\ &\times (-2\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}_{1}\underline{S}\cdot\underline{k}+\underline{k}_{1}\cdot\underline{k}-m_{f}^{2})T_{10}^{S}(zs). \end{aligned}$$
(39)

For the flavor nonsinglet case, we define the transversely polarized flavor nonsinglet dipole amplitude

$$T_{10}^{NS}(zs) \equiv \frac{(zs)^2}{2N_c} \operatorname{Re} \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}}V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T\dagger}] - \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T}V_{\underline{0}}^{\dagger}] \rangle_{S_x = +1}$$

= $\frac{2(p^+k_1^-)^2}{N_c} \operatorname{Re} \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}}V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T\dagger}] - \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{1}}^{\operatorname{pol},T}V_{\underline{0}}^{\dagger}] \rangle_{S_x = +1}$
(40)

which gives, for the valence-quark transversity TMDs,

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) &+ \frac{k_x^2}{M^2} h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) \\ &= \frac{8N_c}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^2 x_0 d^2 x_1 \frac{d^2 k_1 dk_1^-}{2p^+ (k_1^-)^2 (2\pi)^3} e^{i(\underline{k}_1 + \underline{k}) \cdot \underline{x}_{10}} \theta(k_1^-) \\ &\times \frac{1}{(2xp^+ k_1^- + \underline{k}_1^2) (2xp^+ k_1^- + \underline{k}^2)} \\ &\times (-2\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{k} + \underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k} - m^2) T_{10}^{NS}(zs). \end{split}$$
(41)

Continuing with the nonsinglet distribution, if we simply put $x \to 0$ in Eq. (41), we get a nonlogarithmic (in z) but nonzero contribution: integrating over \underline{k}_1 we arrive at

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) &+ \frac{k_x^2}{M^2} h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) \\ &= \frac{8N_c}{(2\pi)^5 k_{\perp}^2} \int d^2 x_0 d^2 x_1 e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{x}_{10}} \frac{1}{s} \int_{\Lambda^2/s}^1 \frac{dz}{z^2} \\ &\times \left[-2i\frac{\underline{S}\cdot\underline{x}_{10}}{x_{10}^2} \underline{S}\cdot\underline{k} + i\frac{\underline{x}_{10}\cdot\underline{k}}{x_{10}^2} - m^2 \ln\frac{1}{x_{10}\sqrt{xzs}} \right] T_{10}^{NS}(zs). \end{split}$$

$$(42)$$

If $T_{10}^{NS}(zs, \underline{S})$ obeys a regular DLA-type evolution, that is if

$$T_{10}^{NS}(zs) \sim (zs)^{C\sqrt{\alpha_s}} \tag{43}$$

with *C* a constant, then the *z* integral in Eq. (42) would be dominated by its lower limit (for $\alpha_s \ll 1$), leading to

$$h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^0.$$
 (44)

The same conclusion would be valid for any perturbative positive power in Eq. (43). It appears that the asymptotics (44) agrees with that found in [22].

However, the dz/z^2 integral in Eq. (42) is dominated by the lower limit of the *z* integral, $z \sim \Lambda^2/s$. In this limit the antiquarks k_1 and k_2 in Fig. 2 do not live a long enough time to get outside the shock wave, as the figure suggests. [The antiquark lifetime is $2zp_2^-/\bot^2 = \Lambda^2/(p_1^+\bot^2) \sim 1/p_1^+$, which is exactly the shock wave width.] We conclude that the asymptotics (44) originates from the contribution where the diagram B is marginally applicable. To understand it better, we need to review the diagram where both vertices ζ , ξ are inside the shock wave.

The contribution with ζ , ξ inside the shock wave was captured by the diagram A in the notation of [9]. It is depicted in Fig. 3 here, with a sample interaction with the



FIG. 3. The diagram where both Wilson lines originate inside the shock wave on the different sides of the cut.

shock waves shown explicitly too. In [9] we have shown that all the radiative corrections to this diagram are canceled (in DLA) by other diagrams. The contribution of the diagram Fig. 3 without radiative corrections is constant in x. In the case of helicity TMDs, a constant was subleading compared to the leading small-x helicity asymptotics, and was discarded. Now we see that a constant in x behavior is of the same order as Eq. (44). Therefore, diagram A has to be included in our analysis.

The constant in the x part of diagram A in Fig. 3 comes from the instantaneous terms [24,36] in the k_1 and k_2 propagators. To include this contribution of diagram A, we simply have to include (or, more precisely, insert back) the instantaneous terms into those propagators in diagram B. One can show that the instantaneous contributions, when added to diagram B, replace

(and also for k_2) after one of the steps omitted in arriving at Eq. (27) if we now understand the ζ^- and ξ^- integrals in Eq. (26) as *including* the points $\zeta^- = 0$ and $\xi^- = 0$, such that we could pick up the delta functions there. (These are the contributions coming from diagram A.) Here $k_1 = \gamma^- \frac{k_1^2}{2k_1^-} + \gamma^+ k_1^- - \underline{\gamma} \cdot \underline{k}_1$. At small k_1^- we have $k \approx \gamma^- \frac{k_1^2}{2k_1^-}$ such that (for $k_1^- > 0$)

Therefore, the instantaneous terms regulate the k_1^- integral by a lower cutoff at $k_1^- \approx \frac{k_1^2}{2k^+}$. This means that Eq. (42) now contains a new lower bound on the *z* integral and is proportional to

$$\frac{1}{s} \int_{\underline{k}_1^2/(xs)}^1 \frac{dz}{z^2} = \frac{x}{\underline{k}_1^2} - \frac{1}{s} = \frac{x}{\underline{k}_1^2} - \frac{x}{Q^2} = \mathcal{O}(x).$$
(47)

Indeed Eq. (45) also contains a contribution of the instantaneous term for $k_1^- < 0$. This part would regulate the k_1^- integral in the "c.c." part of Eq. (27). Simply including $\zeta^- = 0$ and $\xi^- = 0$ contributions into both integrals in both terms of Eq. (26) would introduce double-counting: we have to include those points only once, and then split the contribution of the resulting instantaneous term between the two terms (time orderings).

We conclude that the contribution of Eq. (42) is smaller than it seems naively, being $\sim x$ rather than a constant in x. However, at this order other terms in the full Eq. (41) may contribute as well. If we expand Eq. (41) to order x, we obtain

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) &+ \frac{k_x^2}{M^2} h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) \\ &= -x \frac{8N_c}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^2 x_0 d^2 x_1 \int_{\Lambda^2/s}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int \frac{d^2 k_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\ &\times e^{i(\underline{k}_1 + \underline{k}) \cdot \underline{x}_{10}} \frac{1}{\underline{k}_1^2 \underline{k}^2} \left[\frac{1}{\underline{k}_1^2} + \frac{1}{\underline{k}^2} \right] \\ &\times (-2\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{k} + \underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k} - m^2) T_{10}^{NS}(zs). \end{split}$$
(48)

This contribution comes in with a logarithmic integral in z. For the asymptotics of $T_{10}^{NS}(zs)$ from Eq. (43) it leads to

$$h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-1+C\sqrt{\alpha_s}},$$
 (49)

which, for C > 0, would dominate over $\sim x$ contribution of Eq. (42). We tentatively conclude that Eq. (48) may give us the true small-*x* asymptotics of the flavor nonsinglet quark transversity TMD.

Repeating the above steps for the flavor-singlet case we arrive at

$$\begin{split} h_{1T}^{S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) &+ \frac{k_{x}^{2}}{M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \\ &= -x \frac{8N_{c}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \sum_{f} \int d^{2}x_{0} d^{2}x_{1} \int_{\Lambda^{2}/s}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \int \frac{d^{2}k_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \\ &\times e^{i(\underline{k}_{1}+\underline{k})\cdot\underline{x}_{10}} \frac{1}{\underline{k}_{1}^{2}\underline{k}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\underline{k}_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\underline{k}^{2}} \right] \\ &\times (-2\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_{1}\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k} + \underline{k}_{1} \cdot \underline{k} - m_{f}^{2}) T_{10}^{S}(zs). \end{split}$$
(50)

IV. EVOLUTION OF THE FLAVOR NONSINGLET TRANSVERSELY POLARIZED DIPOLE

A. Operator evolution

The discussion here is for the flavor nonsinglet (valencequark) distribution. We now want to construct the evolution for the transversely polarized dipole amplitude

$$T_{10}^{NS}(zs) = \frac{(zs)^2}{2N_c} \operatorname{Re}\langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}}V_{\underline{1}}^{\mathrm{pol},T\dagger}] - \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{1}}^{\mathrm{pol},T}V_{\underline{0}}^{\dagger}]\rangle_{S_x=+1}.$$
(51)

Quark mass cannot contribute to the DLA evolution: therefore, polarized gluon emissions do not contribute. In addition, by analogy to the flavor nonsinglet helicity case [12], eikonal gluon exchanges do not contribute to $T_{10}^{NS}(zs)$ either. We are left only with polarized soft quark emissions contribution to the small-*x* evolution. Therefore we discard the gluon-exchange term in Eq. (22) and write

$$T_{10}^{NS}(zs) = -\frac{g^2(p^+)^2}{4N_c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1^- \int_{x_1^-}^{\infty} dx_2^- \operatorname{Re} \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_{\underline{0}}^{\dagger}V_{\underline{1}}[+\infty, x_2^-]t^b \psi_{\beta}(x_2^-, \underline{x}_1)U_{\underline{1}}^{ba}[x_2^-, x_1^-] \times [(i\gamma^5 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{D}_1 - \underline{S} \times \underline{D}_1)\gamma^+\gamma^- + (i\gamma^5 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{D}_1 - \underline{S} \times \underline{D}_1)\gamma^-\gamma^+]_{a\beta} \bar{\psi}_a(x_1^-, \underline{x}_1)t^a V_{\underline{1}}[x_1^-, -\infty]] - \operatorname{c.c.}\rangle_{S_x=+1}.$$
 (52)

(Note that the operator in the angle brackets is real, but not Hermitean.) As usual, the derivatives act only on the spinors and the subscript 1 refers to them acting on \underline{x}_1 . Simplifying Eq. (52) in the large- N_c linearized approximation, where all fundamental traces made out of only true Wilson lines are replaced by N_c , along with replacing covariant derivatives by the partial derivatives, we arrive at (note the sign change when moving ψ past $\overline{\psi}$)

$$T_{10}^{NS}(zs) = \frac{g^2(p^+)^2}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1^- \int_{x_1^-}^{\infty} dx_2^- \times \operatorname{Re}\langle \operatorname{Ttr}[\bar{\psi}(x_1^-, \underline{x}_1)](i\gamma^5\partial_x^1 - \partial_y^1)\gamma^+\gamma^- + (i\gamma^5\overline{\partial}_x^1 - \overline{\partial}_y^1)\gamma^-\gamma^+]V_{\underline{1}}[x_1^-, x_2^-]\psi(x_2^-, \underline{x}_1)] - \operatorname{c.c.}\rangle_{S_x = +1}.$$
(53)

One step of the evolution, as pictured in Fig. 4, leads to

$$\delta T_{10}^{NS}(zs) = -\frac{g^2(p^+)^2}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx_1^- \int_{0}^{\infty} dx_2^- \int d^2x_2 \frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik_1^+ x_1^- + i\underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{x}_{21}} e^{-ik_2^+ x_2^- + i\underline{k}_2 \cdot \underline{x}_{12}} \\ \times \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \operatorname{Ti}(V_{\underline{1}}^{\dagger})^{ji} \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{i\underline{k}1}{k_1^2 + i\epsilon} [-(i\gamma^5 k_2^x - k_2^y)\gamma^+ \gamma^- + (i\gamma^5 k_1^x - k_1^y)\gamma^- \gamma^+] \frac{i\underline{k}2}{k_2^2 + i\epsilon} (\hat{V}_{\underline{2}})^{ij} \right] (2\pi)\delta(k_1^- - k_2^-) - \operatorname{c.c.} \right\rangle_{S_x = +1}.$$
(54)

Integrating over k_2^- , k_1^+ and k_2^+ we get

Integrating over x_1^- , x_2^- yields

Next we evaluate the Dirac trace with the help of massless anti-BL spinors (since the mass does not contribute to the DLA evolution at hand),

FIG. 4. Small-x evolution of the transversely polarized dipole amplitude.

where we have used

$$\bar{u}_{\chi_1}(k_2)(\hat{V}_{\underline{x}})u_{\chi_2}(k_1) = 2\sqrt{k_1^- k_2^-} (\delta_{\chi_1,\chi_2} V_{\underline{x}} + \chi_1 \delta_{\chi_1,\chi_2} V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{pol},T} + \cdots)$$
(58)

and

$$\bar{u}_{\chi_1}(k_1)[-(i\gamma^5 k_2^x - k_2^y)\gamma^+\gamma^- + (i\gamma^5 k_1^x - k_1^y)\gamma^-\gamma^+]u_{\chi_2}(k_2)
= 4i\chi_1\delta_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k}_2 + \cdots.$$
(59)

In the last formula the ellipsis denote the energy-suppressed and off-diagonal in polarizations corrections, along with mass-dependent terms which do not contribute to the DLA evolution.

Inserting Eq. (57) into Eq. (56) we get

$$\delta T_{10}^{NS}(zs) = -2g^2(p^+)^2 \int d^2 x_2 \frac{dk_1^- k_1^- d^2 k_1}{(2\pi)^3 \underline{k}_1^2 \underline{k}_2^2} \frac{d^2 k_2}{(2\pi)^2} \\ \times e^{i\underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{x}_{21}} e^{i\underline{k}_2 \cdot \underline{x}_{12}} \underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k}_2 \\ \times \operatorname{Re} \langle \operatorname{Ttr}[V_2^{\operatorname{pol},T} V_1^{\dagger}] - \operatorname{c.c.} \rangle_{S_x = +1}, \tag{60}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\delta T_{10}^{NS}(zs) = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d^2 x_2}{x_{21}^2} \frac{dz'}{z'} T_{21}^{NS}(z's).$$
(61)

Inserting the proper DLA limits [10,12,13,20] we arrive at the following evolution equation for the flavor nonsinglet transversely polarized dipole amplitude:

$$T_{10}^{NS}(zs) = T_{10}^{NS,(0)}(zs) + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\Lambda^2/s}^{z} \frac{dz'}{z'} \\ \times \int_{1/z's}^{x_{10}^2/z'} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} T_{21}^{NS}(z's),$$
(62)

with the inhomogeneous term $T_{10}^{NS,(0)}(zs)$ to be found by performing a Born-level evaluation of the amplitude. Since our goal here is in establishing the small-*x* asymptotics for the valence quark transversity, we will not need an explicit form of $T_{10}^{NS,(0)}(zs)$ since it does not affect the asymptotics.

B. Solution of the evolution equations for the transversely polarized dipole

Equation (62) is mathematically identical to the evolution equation for the Reggeon amplitude derived in [20] [see Eq. (44) there]. Defining new variables

$$y = \ln(zsx_{10}^2), \qquad \eta = \ln\frac{zs}{\Lambda^2},$$
$$y' = \ln(z'sx_{21}^2), \qquad \eta' = \ln\frac{z's}{\Lambda^2},$$
(63)

we rewrite Eq. (62) as

$$T^{NS}(\eta, y) = T^{NS,(0)}(\eta, y) + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_0^{\eta} d\eta' \int_0^{y} dy' T^{NS}(\eta', y').$$
(64)

The solution is obtained by performing a double Laplace-Mellin transform

$$T^{NS}(\eta, y) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} e^{\omega \eta + \lambda y} T^{NS}_{\omega,\lambda}$$
(65)

where the ω and λ integrals run parallel to the imaginary axis to the right of all the singularities of the integrand. The Laplace-Mellin transform casts Eq. (64) in the following form:

$$T_{\omega,\lambda}^{NS} = T_{\omega,\lambda}^{NS,(0)} + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\omega\lambda} T_{\omega,\lambda}^{NS}.$$
 (66)

Solving for $T_{\omega,\lambda}^{NS}$ we arrive at

$$T_{\omega,\lambda}^{NS} = T_{\omega,\lambda}^{NS,(0)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\omega\lambda}}$$
(67)

such that

$$T^{NS}(\eta, y) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} e^{\omega \eta + \lambda y} T^{NS,(0)}_{\omega,\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\omega \lambda}}.$$
 (68)

Next we integrate over ω assuming that the double Laplace-Mellin transform of the inhomogeneous term does not generate any poles to the right of the pole in the denominator of Eq. (68). This gives the leading asymptotics

$$T^{NS}(\eta, y) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\lambda} T^{NS,(0)}_{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\lambda}, \lambda} \exp\left\{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\lambda} \eta + \lambda y\right\}.$$
(69)

Again assuming that the singularities of the inhomogeneous term are not important, we distort the λ contour into its steepest descent form, going through the saddle point at

$$\lambda_{s.p.} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c \,\eta}{2\pi \, y}}.\tag{70}$$

The integral is then dominated by $\lambda = \lambda_{s.p.}$ such that

$$T^{NS}(\eta, y) \sim \exp\left\{2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}\sqrt{\eta y}\right\}.$$
 (71)

At high energies $\eta \approx y \sim \ln(zs)$, such that the asymptotics of the flavor nonsinglet transversely polarized dipole amplitude is



FIG. 5. Diagrammatic representation of transversity TMD at the lowest order. The dashed line separates the light-cone wave function from its complex conjugate.

$$T_{10}^{NS}(zs) \sim (zs)^{2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}}.$$
 (72)

We realize that we are indeed in the situation described by Eq. (43) with $C = 2\sqrt{N_c/(2\pi)} > 0$. This means that Eq. (48) does give us the leading small-*x* asymptotics for valence transversity. Employing Eq. (72) in Eq. (48) we conclude that

$$h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-1+2\sqrt{\frac{a_sN_c}{2\pi}}}.$$
 (73)

This is our main result, giving us the asymptotics of valence quark transversity. As mentioned in the Introduction, it agrees with one of the small-*x* asymptotics for transversity found in [22].

The power of 1/x in Eq. (73) is much smaller than the intercept of the leading-order Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov [37,38] evolution equation, whole solution determines the small-*x* asymptotics for unpolarized TMD. This power is also much smaller than the quark helicity intercept found in [11,13]. Hence the Soffer bound [39] appears to be easily satisfied by Eq. (73) at small *x*.

For $\alpha_s = 0.3$ we get from Eq. (73) with $N_c = 3$

$$h_{1T}^{NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim h_{1T}^{\perp NS}(x,k_T^2) \sim x^{0.243}.$$
 (74)

C. An alternative derivation

One may argue that the above operator method of obtaining the small-x asymptotics of the valence quark transversity, while powerful, lacks physics insight. To generate the latter, we will now rederive the result (73) using the more conventional ladder diagram technique.

Returning to the conventional BL spinors [24,36] and using the fact that

$$\bar{u}_{\chi}(p)\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{5}\gamma^{+}\gamma^{1}u_{\chi'}(k) = \sqrt{p^{+}k^{+}}\chi\delta_{\chi,\chi'}$$
(75)

for these spinors, we can calculate the leading-order transversity TMD or, equivalently, one step of transversity

evolution using the diagram squared pictured in Fig. 5. Here we assume that the incoming quark in Fig. 5 originates somewhere in the transversely polarized proton, and is, in turn, transversely polarized. The soft outgoing quark is the parton whose distribution we are interested in.

In momentum space we need [with the factor of k^+ coming from the use of Eq. (75)]

$$k^{+} \sum_{\lambda,\chi'} \chi' |\psi_{\lambda,\chi,\chi'}|^{2}, \qquad (76)$$

where $\psi_{\lambda,\chi,\chi'}$ is the light-cone wave function, the square of which is pictured in Fig. 5. Working in a frame with <u>*p*</u> = 0 we have (see Eq. (9) in [25])

$$\psi^{a}_{\lambda\chi\chi'}(\underline{k},z) = \frac{gt^{a}}{\underline{k}^{2} + \tilde{m}^{2}} \left[\underline{\epsilon}^{*}_{\lambda} \cdot \underline{k}((1+z)\delta_{\chi,\chi'} + \lambda(1-z)\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}) - \frac{\tilde{m}}{\sqrt{2}}(1-z)\chi(\delta_{\chi,\chi'} - \lambda\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}) \right],$$
(77)

where

$$\tilde{m} \equiv (1-z)m$$

and $z = k^+/p^+$. After some algebra one readily obtains

$$k^{+} \sum_{\lambda,\chi'} \chi' |\psi_{\lambda,\chi,\chi'}(\underline{k},z)|^{2} = \frac{4g^{2}C_{F}zk_{\perp}^{2}}{[k_{\perp}^{2} + (1-z)^{2}m^{2}]^{2}}k^{+}\chi.$$
(78)

It has to be multiplied by the phase-space factor [34]

$$\frac{dk^+ d^2 k_\perp}{2k^+ (2\pi)^3} \frac{p^+}{p^+ - k^+} \tag{79}$$

which gives

$$\frac{2g^2 C_F z k_\perp^2}{[k_\perp^2 + (1-z)^2 m^2]^2} \chi \frac{dz d^2 k_\perp}{(1-z)(2\pi)^3}.$$
 (80)

Comparing this result with the Eq. (50) in [26] we read off

$$h_{1T}^{q} = \frac{2g^{2}C_{F}zk_{\perp}^{2}}{[k_{\perp}^{2} + (1-z)^{2}m^{2}]^{2}} \frac{1}{(1-z)(2\pi)^{3}}, \qquad h_{1T}^{\perp q} = 0,$$
(81)

in agreement with Eqs. (B9) and (B10) in the same reference.

At small z and for $k_{\perp} \gg m$ Eq. (80) becomes (dropping the factor of χ)

$$\int_{\Lambda^2/s}^{1} dz \, z \int_{m}^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2} \frac{2g^2 C_F}{(1-z)(2\pi)^3}.$$
 (82)

Note that the z integral will become logarithmic once we multiply this by the factor $\sim 1/(z^2s^2)$: if the splitting of Fig. 5 happened in the projectile dipole, then the factor of $\sim 1/(z^2s^2)$ would have been responsible for the transverse spin-dependent interaction of the soft quark from Fig. 5 with the transversely polarized proton target, which is doubly suppressed at high energy.

The same calculation can be repeated in the transverse coordinate space. Starting with the coordinate-space wave function

$$\begin{split} \psi^{a}_{\lambda\chi\chi'}(\underline{x}_{21},z) &= \frac{gt^{a}}{2\pi} \tilde{m} \bigg\{ i\underline{e}^{*}_{\lambda} \cdot \frac{\underline{x}_{21}}{x_{21}} K_{1}(\tilde{m}x_{21}) [(1+z)\delta_{\chi,\chi'} \\ &+ \lambda(1-z)\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}] - \frac{\chi(1-z)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &\times K_{0}(\tilde{m}x_{21}) [\delta_{\chi,\chi'} - \lambda\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}] \bigg\} \end{split}$$
(83)

and assuming the small-z limit from the start we arrive at

$$k^{+} \sum_{\lambda,\chi'} \chi' |\psi_{\lambda,\chi,\chi'}|^{2} \frac{dz d^{2} x_{21}}{4\pi} = \chi \frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{\pi} m^{2} K_{1} (m x_{21})^{2} z dz dx_{21}^{2}.$$
(84)

Somewhat surprisingly, in arriving at Eq. (84) we had to drop out the terms linear in \underline{x}_{21} as integrating out to zero after the angular part of the d^2x_{21} integration. One could also argue that those dropped terms were χ independent.

In the DLA region of $z \ll 1$ and $x_{21} \ll 1/m$ we obtain the following evolution kernel:

$$K^{q\bar{q}\to q\bar{q}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \int_{\Lambda^2/s}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2},$$
 (85)

if we multiply Eq. (84) by $1/z^2$ coming from the transverse spin-dependent interaction with the projectile. At large- N_c this is exactly the kernel of Eq. (62). In fact, Eq. (62) can be constructed by successive iterations of the soft-quark emission of Fig. 5. This results in the ladder with quarks in the *t* channel pictured in Fig. 6. In general, the DLA evolution for transversity is not limited to ladder diagrams [22]: however, at large N_c only ladder diagrams remain in the evolution. We, therefore, conclude that our Eq. (62)



FIG. 6. Double-logarithmic evolution of the transversity TMD at large N_c , the lowest order for which is given by Fig. 5.

corresponds to quark ladder of Fig. 6 (cf. the Reggeon evolution of [20]).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have applied the operator formalism developed in [9] to establishing the small-x asymptotics of the valence quark transversity at small x. The result for the asymptotics is given in Eq. (73) above. Our calculation demonstrates that indeed the formalism of [9], perhaps with minor modifications for the sub-sub-eikonal case, can be applied to other quark TMDs to determine their small-x asymptotics.

One a more physical side, the power of 1/x we obtain in Eq. (73) is rather low. From using Eq. (73) in Eq. (1) it appears likely that one would find only a rather modest amount of the proton tensor charge residing at small-*x*. Hence it is conceivable that the small-*x* region would not help resolve the "transverse spin puzzle" outlined in [7]. However, a detailed phenomenological analysis is needed for a definitive conclusion.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge useful communications with Daniel Boer, Aurore Courtoy, Gary Goldstein, Daniel Pitonyak, Alexei Prokudin, Marco Radici, and Jian Zhou. This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Award No. DE-SC0004286 (Y. K.) and DOE Contract No. DE-AC52-06NA25396 (M. S.). M. S. received additional support from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science under the DOE Early Career Program.

APPENDIX: OTHER POSSIBLE CONTRIBUTIONS TO TRANSVERSELY POLARIZED QUARK WILSON LINE

Since the transverse spin dependence is sub-subeikonal, one has to consider other possible sub-sub-eikonal contributions, in addition to those considered in Sec. II. In addition to the diagrams in Fig. 1 one has to include the diagrams shown in Fig. 7, where the *t*-channel gluon interactions are taken at the subeikonal level each, such that, e.g., two *t*-channel gluon exchanges in the diagram C combine to give a sub-sub-eikonal interaction.

Luckily all of the diagrams in Fig. 7 are independent of the transverse spin. Using the transverse matrix elements for BL spinors [24,36],

$$\bar{u}_{\chi}(p-k)\gamma^{+}u_{\chi'}(p) = 2\delta_{\chi,\chi'}\sqrt{p^{+}(p^{+}-k^{+})},$$
 (A1a)

$$\bar{u}_{\chi}(p-k)\gamma^{-}u_{\chi'}(p) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{p^{+}(p^{+}-k^{+})}} [\delta_{\chi,\chi'}(p_{x}(p-k)_{x} + (m+ip_{y}\chi)(m-i(p-k)_{y}\chi))) + \delta_{\chi,-\chi'}(mp_{x}\chi + ip_{y}(p-k)_{x} - m(p-k)_{x}\chi - ip_{x}(p-k)_{y})],$$
(A1b)

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}_{\chi}(p-k)\gamma^{i}u_{\chi'}(p) &= \sqrt{p^{+}(p^{+}-k^{+})} \bigg[\delta_{\chi\chi'} \bigg(\frac{p^{i}}{p^{+}} + \frac{(p-k)^{i}}{p^{+}-k^{+}} - im\bigg(\frac{1}{p^{+}} - \frac{1}{p^{+}-k^{+}} \bigg) \chi \delta^{i2} \bigg) \\ &+ \delta_{\chi,-\chi'} \bigg(i\epsilon^{ij} \bigg(\frac{p^{j}}{p^{+}} - \frac{(p-k)^{j}}{p^{+}-k^{+}} \bigg) - m\bigg(\frac{1}{p^{+}} - \frac{1}{p^{+}-k^{+}} \bigg) \chi \delta^{i1} \bigg) \bigg], \end{split}$$
(A1c)

one can show that the subeikonal gluon field only contains $\delta_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ and $\delta_{\gamma,-\gamma'}$, in contrast to the sub-sub-eikonal gluon field in Eqs. (6). Here χ and χ' are transverse polarizations of the quark in the plus-moving target proton before and after the emission of the gluon field. (The eikonal gluon field is proportional to $\delta_{\chi,\chi'}$, as usual.) There are no multiplicative factors of χ and χ' in the eikonal and subeikonal gluon fields, only $\delta_{\chi,\chi'}$ and $\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}$. This means that one cannot get $\chi \delta_{\chi,\chi'}$ and the transverse spin dependence out of diagram C from Fig. 7. The same is true for diagram E: the two-quark exchange at subeikonal level only contains $\delta_{\chi,\chi'}$ and $\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}$ [see the first line of Eq. (16) above]. Combining this with the subeikonal contribution to the *t*-channel gluon exchange, also containing $\delta_{\chi,\chi'}$ and $\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}$ only, without any factors of χ or χ' , one again cannot generate $\chi \delta_{\chi,\chi'}$ and the transverse spin dependence.

The subeikonal ($A^- = 0$ gauge) gluon field, multiplied by the triple-gluon vertex, contributes the following to diagram D:

$$\sim \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}[i\lambda\underline{q} \times \underline{A}(q) + (2\underline{k} + \underline{q}) \cdot \underline{A}(q) + (2k^- + q^-)A^+(q)].$$
(A2)

As far as the λ dependence is concerned, the only difference between the eikonal vertex ($\sim \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}$) and the expression in Eq. (A2) is in the factor of λ in the square brackets of the latter. Even with this correction, the contribution of the diagram D in Fig. 7 is proportional to a linear combination of $\delta_{\chi,\chi'}$ and $\delta_{\chi,-\chi'}$, and, hence, does not depend on the transverse spin.

We conclude that the diagrams in Fig. 7 do not contribute transverse spin dependence at the sub-sub-eikonal level in question, and are not needed in the analysis of Sec. II.



FIG. 7. Additional diagrams potentially contributing to the transversely polarized fundamental Wilson line in the quasiclassical approximation in $A^- = 0$ gauge. The gray circles denote the spin-dependent subeikonal scattering.

- J. P. Ralston and D. E. Soper, Production of dimuons from high-energy polarized proton-proton collisions, Nucl. Phys. B152, 109 (1979).
- [2] J. Cortes, B. Pire, and J. Ralston, Measuring the transverse polarization of quarks in the proton, Z. Phys. C 55, 409 (1992).
- [3] R. L. Jaffe and X.-D. Ji, Chiral odd parton distributions and Drell-Yan processes, Nucl. Phys. B375, 527 (1992).
- [4] V. Barone, A. Drago, and P.G. Ratcliffe, Transverse polarisation of quarks in hadrons, Phys. Rep. 359, 1 (2002).
- [5] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, A. Kotzinian, F. Murgia, A. Prokudin, and C. Türk, Transversity and Collins functions from SIDIS and e^+e^- data, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 054032 (2007).
- [6] H.-W. Lin, W. Melnitchouk, A. Prokudin, N. Sato, and H. Shows (JAM Collaboration), First Monte Carlo Global Analysis of Nucleon Transversity with Lattice QCD constraints, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 152502 (2018).
- [7] M. Radici and A. Bacchetta, First Extraction of Transversity from a Global Analysis of Electron-Proton and Proton-Proton Data, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 192001 (2018).
- [8] A. Bacchetta, A. Courtoy, and M. Radici, First extraction of valence transversities in a collinear framework, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2013) 119.
- [9] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, preceding Paper, Smallx helicity evolution: An operator treatment, Phys. Rev. D 99, 054032 (2019).
- [10] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Helicity Evolution at Small-*x*, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2016) 072.
- [11] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Small-x Asymptotics of the Quark Helicity Distribution, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 052001 (2017).
- [12] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Helicity evolution at small *x*: Flavor singlet and nonsinglet observables, Phys. Rev. D 95, 014033 (2017).
- [13] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Small-x asymptotics of the quark helicity distribution: Analytic results, Phys. Lett. B 772, 136 (2017).
- [14] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Small-x asymptotics of the gluon helicity distribution, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2017) 198.
- [15] R. Kirschner and L. Lipatov, Double logarithmic asymptotics and Regge singularities of quark amplitudes with flavor exchange, Nucl. Phys. **B213**, 122 (1983).
- [16] R. Kirschner, Regge asymptotics of scattering amplitudes in the logarithmic approximation of QCD, Z. Phys. C 31, 135 (1986).
- [17] R. Kirschner, Regge asymptotics of scattering with flavor exchange in QCD, Z. Phys. C 67, 459 (1995).
- [18] R. Kirschner, Reggeon interactions in perturbative QCD, Z. Phys. C 65, 505 (1995).
- [19] S. Griffiths and D. Ross, Studying the perturbative Reggeon, Eur. Phys. J. C 12, 277 (2000).

- [20] K. Itakura, Y. V. Kovchegov, L. McLerran, and D. Teaney, Baryon stopping and valence quark distribution at small *x*, Nucl. Phys. A730, 160 (2004).
- [21] J. Bartels and M. Lublinsky, Quark anti-quark exchange in $\gamma^* \gamma^*$ scattering, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2003) 076.
- [22] R. Kirschner, L. Mankiewicz, A. Schafer, and L. Szymanowski, Small *x* behavior of the chirally odd parton distribution $h_1(x, Q^2)$, Z. Phys. C **74**, 501 (1997).
- [23] G. A. Chirilli and Y. V. Kovchegov, Solution of the NLO BFKL equation and a strategy for solving the all-order BFKL equation, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2013) 055.
- [24] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Exclusive processes in perturbative quantum chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 22, 2157 (1980).
- [25] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, A new mechanism for generating a single transverse spin asymmetry, Phys. Rev. D 86, 034028 (2012).
- [26] S. Meissner, A. Metz, and K. Goeke, Relations between generalized and transverse momentum dependent parton distributions, Phys. Rev. D 76, 034002 (2007).
- [27] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, Calculating TMDs of a large nucleus: Quasi-classical approximation and quantum evolution, Nucl. Phys. B903, 164 (2016).
- [28] L. V. Gribov, E. M. Levin, and M. G. Ryskin, Semihard processes in QCD, Phys. Rep. 100, 1 (1983).
- [29] E. Iancu and R. Venugopalan, The color glass condensate and high energy scattering in QCD, arXiv:hep-ph/0303204.
- [30] H. Weigert, Evolution at small x_{bj} : The color glass condensate, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **55**, 461 (2005).
- [31] J. Jalilian-Marian and Y. V. Kovchegov, Saturation physics and deuteron gold collisions at RHIC, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 56, 104 (2006).
- [32] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, and R. Venugopalan, The color glass condensate, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60, 463 (2010).
- [33] J. L. Albacete and C. Marquet, Gluon saturation and initial conditions for relativistic heavy ion collisions, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 76, 1 (2014).
- [34] Y. V. Kovchegov and E. Levin, *Quantum Chromodynamics at High Energy* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2012), Vol. 33.
- [35] A. Mueller and S. Munier, p_{\perp} -broadening and production processes versus dipole/quadrupole amplitudes at next-to-leading order, Nucl. Phys. A893, 43 (2012).
- [36] S. J. Brodsky, H.-C. Pauli, and S. S. Pinsky, Quantum chromodynamics and other field theories on the light cone, Phys. Rep. **301**, 299 (1998).
- [37] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov, and V. S. Fadin, The Pomeranchuk singlularity in non-Abelian gauge theories, Sov. Phys. JETP 45, 199 (1977).
- [38] I. Balitsky and L. Lipatov, The Pomeranchuk singularity in quantum chromodynamics, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28, 822 (1978).
- [39] J. Soffer, Positivity Constraints for Spin Dependent Parton Distributions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1292 (1995).