# Near-horizon geometry with torsion

B. Cvetković<sup>[\\*](#page-0-0)</sup> and D. Simić<sup>[†](#page-0-1)</sup>

Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia

(Received 14 September 2018; published 24 January 2019)

<span id="page-0-2"></span>We investigate near-horizon geometry of the rotating Bañados Teiteilboim Zanelli (BTZ) black hole with torsion. Our main motivation is to gain insight into the role of torsion in the near-horizon geometry, which is well understood in the Riemannian case. We obtain that near-horizon geometry represents a generalization of AdS self-dual orbifold with nontrivial torsion. We analyze its asymptotic structure and derive the corresponding algebra of asymptotic symmetries, which consists of chiral Virasoro and centrally extended  $u(1)$  Kac-Moody algebra.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.99.024032](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.024032)

### I. INTRODUCTION

Black holes represent some of the most fascinating objects in our Universe which, since their discovery by Schwarzschild, do not stop to puzzle and inspire us. After Hawking's discovery of black hole radiation we stumbled into quite a few problems. First of them is an information paradox. Namely, if black hole radiates thermally, after its evaporation the information about the matter it was constituted of is inevitably lost. The second one is the problem of black hole microstates which are responsible for the black hole entropy and crucial for the information paradox resolving.

There are numerous approaches to the previously mentioned problems, in this article we are particularly interested in Kerr/CFT [\[1\]](#page-6-0). The basic idea of Kerr/CFT is that near horizon geometry encodes many important information about the full geometry itself if not them all. The only drawback is that near-horizon geometry is well defined only for the extremal black holes. Nevertheless, keeping in mind the importance of the subject, gaining diverse insights is valuable.

It is worth noting that charges that generate asymptotic symmetry of near-horizon geometry are by construction soft. This is interesting because there are propositions that soft hairs are black hole microstates [\[2,3\]](#page-6-1). So, one might hope to gain a small insight into importance of torsion for this approach as well.

Although Kerr/CFT is, already, known for a decade there is no analogous analysis for the gravity with torsion. Our intention is to fill this gap and initiate investigation of nearhorizon geometries with torsion. Before proceeding to the subject of our work it is instructive to give a short note on the role of torsion in gravity.

Einstein's general relativity (GR), prototype of all modern gravitational theories, postulates that connection is Christoffel or, equivalently, that there is no torsion. Although successful in explaining many of the existing macroscopic phenomena it still lacks the status of the fundamental theory on the microscopic level, due its nonrenormalizability. One also needs to include dark matter and dark energy into GR to accomplish the agreement with observations.

Instead of including mysterious new form of matter one may turn to alternative theories of gravity. If we allow the presence of torsion in gravitational theories we are exposed to a vast number of new possibilities. One of them is that dark matter represents one of the manifestations of nontrivial torsion, see [\[4](#page-6-2)–6]. In cosmology there are also considerations in which both dark matter and energy are replaced by torsion [\[7\].](#page-7-0) Additionally, there are proposals that torsion plays a crucial role in inflation. Namely in [\[8\]](#page-7-1) a simple model of inflation is proposed which, among other issues, also solves the problem of cosmological singularity.

However, there is an approach to gravity based on localization of Poincaré group in which basic dynamical variables are vielbein and spin connection. For the comprehensive overview of the subject see [\[9\]](#page-7-2). This formulation of gravity naturally incorporates presence of torsion and metric postulate is a consequence of an antisymmetry of spin connection. Also coupling of fermions to gravity is natural, which makes this approach more appealing formulation than standard metric one.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we collect main results of Mielke-Baekler (MB) model: action, equations of motion, BTZ black hole with torsion, canonical structure and generator of gauge symmetries. Third section is devoted to the main results of this paper. We construct near-horizon geometry of BTZ black hole with torsion which represents a new solution of MB model, selfdual orbifold with torsion and give its basic properties.

<span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>[<sup>\\*</sup>](#page-0-2) cbranislav@ipb.ac.rs [†](#page-0-2) dsimic@ipb.ac.rs

After introducing suitable asymptotic conditions for vielbein and spin connection we derive the kinematical algebra of asymptotic symmetries. Using the results of the second section we construct the corresponding charges that generate this symmetry and derive commutation relations between generators.

Our conventions are as follows. The Latin indices  $(i, j, k, \ldots)$  refer to the local Lorentz frame with the signature of the metric (+,−,−), Levi-Civita symbol<br> $c^{ijk}$  is normalized to  $c^{012} - 1$ . We use Greek indices  $\varepsilon^{ijk}$  is normalized to  $\varepsilon^{012} = 1$ . We use Greek indices  $(\mu, \nu, \rho, \ldots)$  for the coordinate frame. The orthonormal triad (coframe 1-form) is denoted with  $e^i$ , while  $\omega^{ij}$  is the spin connection (1-form). The field strengths are torsion  $T^i$  =  $de^{i} + \omega^{i}{}_{m} \wedge e^{m}$  and the curvature  $R^{ij} = d\omega^{ij} + \omega^{i}{}_{k} \wedge \omega^{kj}$ <br>(2-forms). The Lie dual of an antisymmetric form  $X^{ij}$  is (2-forms). The Lie dual of an antisymmetric form  $X^{ij}$  is  $X_i := -\varepsilon_{ijk}X^{jk}/2$  and the exterior product of forms is implicit.

## II. MIELKE-BAEKLER MODEL

#### A. Mielke-Baekler model in a nutshell

Basic dynamical variables in the first order formulation of gravity are vielbein  $e^{i}_{\mu}$  and spin connection  $\omega^{ij}_{\mu}$ . Very common is to use differential forms which, often, simplify notation and calculation, so we introduce vielbein and spin connection 1-forms

$$
e^{i} = e^{i}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}, \qquad \omega^{ij} = \omega^{ij}_{\mu} dx^{\mu} \qquad (2.1)
$$

In three dimensions (3D) it is useful to pass on dual spin connection  $\omega_i = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \omega^{jk}$ , and in the completely same<br>manner for every other two-index I organizates organization manner for every other two-index Lorentz tensors. In this notation torsion and curvature 2-forms are given by

$$
T^i = de^i + \varepsilon^i{}_{jk}\omega^j e^k, \qquad R^i = d\omega^i + \varepsilon^i{}_{jk}\omega^j\omega^k.
$$

MB *topological* model [\[10\]](#page-7-3) of 3D gravity is described by the action

$$
I = aI_1 + \Lambda I_2 + \alpha_3 I_3 + \alpha_4 I_4 + I_M,
$$
  
\n
$$
I_1 = 2 \int e^i R_i, \qquad I_2 = -\frac{1}{3} \int \varepsilon_{ijk} e^i e^j e^k,
$$
  
\n
$$
I_3 = \int \omega_i d\omega^i + \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon_{ijk} \omega^i \omega^j \omega^k, \qquad I_4 = \int e^i T_i, \qquad (2.2)
$$

where  $I_M$  is the matter field contribution. The first term with  $a = 1/16\pi G$  is the Einstein Cartan action, the second term is the cosmogical one, the third terms is Chern-Simons action for Lorentz connection, while the fourth term explicitly depends on torsion. In the previous expressions and in what follows wedge product between forms is implicit.

We are particularly interested in the nondegenerate sector of the theory in which the following relation <span id="page-1-0"></span>holds  $a^2 - \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \neq 0$ . If the previous relation is true then equations of motion can be cast in the simple form [\[11\]](#page-7-4)

$$
2T_i = p\varepsilon_{ijk}e^j e^k, \qquad p = \frac{\alpha_3 \Lambda + \alpha_4 a}{\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - a^2}, \tag{2.3a}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
2R_i = q\varepsilon_{ijk}e^j e^k, \qquad q = -\frac{\alpha_4^2 + a\Lambda}{\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - a^2}.
$$
 (2.3b)

The vacuum configuration is characterized by constant torsion and constant curvature. For  $p = 0$ , or  $q = 0$  the vacuum geometry is Riemannian ( $T^i = 0$ ) or teleparallel  $(R^{i} = 0).$ 

From the torsion equation [\(2.3a\)](#page-1-0) it follows that contorsion one form is particulary simple,  $K^i = \frac{p}{2} e^i$ , so that connection reads

$$
\omega^i = \tilde{\omega}^i + \frac{p}{2} e^i,\tag{2.4}
$$

where  $\tilde{\omega}^i$  is Levi-Chivita (Riemannian) connection. The curvature equation of motion [\(2.3b\)](#page-1-1) now implies that Riemannian piece of curvature is

$$
2\tilde{R}_i = \Lambda_{\text{eff}} \varepsilon_{ijk} e^i e^j, \qquad \Lambda_{\text{eff}} = q - \frac{p^2}{4}, \qquad (2.5a)
$$

where  $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}$  is an effective cosmological constant. In what follows we shall restrict ourselves to the AdS sector of the theory with negative effective cosmological constant

$$
\Lambda_{\rm eff} := -\frac{1}{\ell^2},\tag{2.5b}
$$

where  $\ell$  is an AdS radius.

# B. BTZ black hole with torsion

MB model has an important and interesting, solution which is a generalization of BTZ black hole and possesses nontrivial torsion [\[12\]](#page-7-5).

The metric of this solution, parametrized by  $m$  and  $j$ , is given by

$$
ds^{2} = N^{2}dt^{2} - \frac{dr^{2}}{N^{2}} - r^{2}(N_{\varphi}dt + d\varphi)^{2}, \quad (2.6a)
$$

where

$$
N^{2} = -8Gm + \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}} + \frac{16G^{2}j^{2}}{r^{2}}, \qquad N_{\varphi} = \frac{4Gj}{r^{2}}.
$$
 (2.6b)

Triad fields can be chosen in a simple diagonal form

$$
e^0 = Ndt
$$
,  $e^1 = \frac{dr}{N}$ ,  $e^2 = r(N_\varphi dt + d\varphi)$ , (2.7)

The spin connection of the solution is

$$
\omega^i = \tilde{\omega}^i + \frac{p}{2} e^i \tag{2.8}
$$

where  $\tilde{\omega}^i$  is Levi-Chivita connection.

Conserved charges of the black hole are energy

$$
E = m\left(a + \frac{\alpha_3 p}{2}\right) - \frac{\alpha_3}{\ell^2}j,\tag{2.9}
$$

and angular momentum

$$
J = \left(a + \frac{\alpha_3 p}{2}\right) j - \alpha_3 m. \tag{2.10}
$$

The entropy of the solution contains a contribution stemming from torsion. For more details see [\[13\].](#page-7-6)

#### C. Canonical generator of gauge symmetries

We shall first review some of the results concerning the canonical structure of the MB model, for details [\[11\].](#page-7-4)

Primary and secondary constraints. There are six first class primary constraints in the theory

$$
\pi_i^0 \approx 0, \qquad \Pi_i^0 \approx 0, \tag{2.11a}
$$

where  $\pi_i^{\mu}$  and  $\Pi_i^{\mu}$  are momenta conjugate to basic dynamical variables  $e^{i}_{\mu}$  and  $\omega^{i}_{\mu}$ . Secondary first class constraints in the reduced phase space, obtained from the original phase space after elimination of second class constraints, are given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i} = -\varepsilon^{0\alpha\beta} (aR_{i\alpha\beta} + \alpha_{4}T_{i\alpha\beta} + \Lambda\varepsilon_{ijk}e^{j}{}_{\alpha}e^{k}{}_{\beta}),
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{K}_{i} = -\varepsilon^{0\alpha\beta} (aT_{i\alpha\beta} + \alpha_{3}R_{i\alpha\beta} + \alpha_{4}\varepsilon_{ijk}e^{k}{}_{\alpha}e^{k}{}_{\beta}),
$$
 (2.11b)

Canonical generator. We shall now state the form of the generator of gauge symmetry, which will be used in the next section for determination of the asymptotic symmetries. The general procedure for the generator construction is given in [\[14\]](#page-7-7). Generator of gauge symmetries G consists of two parts

$$
G=-G_1-G_2.
$$

The first part generates diffeomorphisms and has the following form

$$
G_1 = \dot{\xi}^{\rho} (e^i_{\rho} \pi_i^0 + \omega^i_{\rho} \Pi_i^0) + \xi^{\rho} (e^i_{\rho} \mathcal{H}_i + \omega^i_{\rho} \mathcal{K}_i + (\partial_{\rho} e^i_0) \pi_i^0 + (\partial_{\rho} \omega^i_0) \Pi_i^0),
$$
(2.12a)

while the second generates local Lorentz transformations and is given by

$$
G_2 = \dot{\theta}^i \Pi_i^0 + \theta^i (K_i - \varepsilon_{ijk} (e^j{}_0 \pi^{k0} + \omega^j{}_0 \Pi^{k0})).
$$
 (2.12b)

# III. AdS SELF-DUAL ORBIFOLD WITH TORSION

## A. Near-horizon of the BTZ with torsion

We study the near-horizon limit of the extremal BTZ black hole with torsion. Extremal BTZ black hole with torsion is characterized by the following relation between the parameters

$$
j = \pm m\ell, \tag{3.1}
$$

from which we deduce the value of the radius of the event horizon

$$
r_0 = 2\ell\sqrt{Gm},\tag{3.2a}
$$

and angular velocity

$$
\Omega = N_{\varphi}(r_0) = \frac{4Gj}{r_0^2} = \frac{1}{\ell}.
$$
 (3.2b)

In order to arrive at the near-horizon region, we need to change the variables in the following manner

$$
\varphi \to \varphi - \Omega t/\epsilon
$$
,  $r \to r_0 + r\epsilon$ ,  $t \to t/\epsilon$ . (3.3)

and after taking the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we derive the nearhorizon geometry of the BTZ with torsion, which is characterized by

$$
N^2 dt^2 \rightarrow \frac{4r^2}{\ell^2} dt^2, \qquad \frac{dr^2}{N^2} \rightarrow \frac{\ell^2}{4r^2} dr^2,
$$

$$
d\varphi + N_{\varphi} dt \rightarrow d\varphi - \frac{2r}{r_0 \ell} dt,
$$

so we arrive at the metric of the near-horizon

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{4r_{0}r}{\ell} dt d\varphi - \frac{\ell^{2}}{4r^{2}} dr^{2} - r_{0}^{2} d\varphi^{2}.
$$
 (3.4)

The triad fields can be easily derived from the metric

$$
e^{0} = \frac{2r}{\ell}dt, \qquad e^{1} = \frac{\ell}{2r}dr, \qquad e^{2} = -r_{0}d\varphi + \frac{2r}{\ell}dt. \tag{3.5}
$$

The Levi-Chivita connection is given by

$$
\tilde{\omega}^0 = -\frac{e^0}{\ell}, \qquad \tilde{\omega}^1 = -\frac{e^1}{\ell}, \qquad \tilde{\omega}^2 = -\frac{2e^0}{\ell} + \frac{e^2}{\ell}, \qquad (3.6a)
$$

and the Cartan spin connection reads

$$
\omega^i = \tilde{\omega}^i + \frac{p}{2} e^i.
$$
 (3.6b)

In this way we constructed the new solution of the MB model which represents the generalization of  $AdS<sub>3</sub>$  selfdual orbifold [\[15\],](#page-7-8) with nontrivial torsion.

# B. Asymptotic conditions

To further explore properties of the AdS orbifold with torsion we analyze asymptotic structure of this solution. First, we introduce asymptotic conditions of the metric, which are

$$
g_{\mu\nu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} O_0 & O_3 & O_{-1} \\ O_3 & -\frac{\ell^2}{4r^2} + O_4 & O_1 \\ O_{-1} & O_1 & O_0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.7a)
$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_n$  stands for therm that at infinity behaves as  $r^{-n}$ . The asymptotic behavior of the triad is given by

$$
e^{i}_{\mu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2r}{\ell} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{4} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ \mathcal{O}_{2} & \frac{\ell}{2r} + \mathcal{O}_{3} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ \frac{2r}{\ell} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{4} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (3.7b)

Asymptotic form of the Levi-Chivita connection reads

$$
\tilde{\omega}^{i}_{\mu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2r}{\ell^{2}} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ \mathcal{O}_{1} & -\frac{1}{2r} + \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ -\frac{2r}{\ell^{2}} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (3.8a)

By using  $\omega^i = \tilde{\omega}^i + \frac{p}{2} e^i$  we conclude that asymptotic  $\tilde{\omega}$  of Cartan connection is form of Cartan connection is

$$
\omega_{\mu}^{i} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2r}{\ell^{2}} + \frac{pr}{\ell} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ \mathcal{O}_{1} & -\frac{1}{2r} + \frac{p\ell}{4r} + \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ -\frac{2r}{\ell^{2}} + \frac{pr}{\ell} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \end{pmatrix}
$$
(3.8b)

From the adopted asymptotic behavior of the fields we can derive kinematical algebra of asymptotic symmetries. The most simple way of deriving subalgebra of the algebra of diffeomorphisms under which the adopted asymptotic forms of fields are invariant is by looking at the invariance of metric. Under diffeomorphisms metric transforms in the following way

$$
\delta_0 g_{\mu\nu} = -\xi^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \xi^\rho g_{\rho\nu} - \partial_\nu \xi^\rho g_{\mu\rho}.
$$

Let us note that metric does not transform under local Lorentz rotations.

Invariance of the vielbein gives a preliminary result for the subalgebra of local Lorentz transformations that respect the asymptotic conditions, while invariance of spin connection may and will give further restrictions.

Transformation law of the triad fields under diffeomorphisms and local Lorentz rotations is of the form

$$
\delta_0 e^i_{\ \mu} = -\varepsilon^{ijk} e_{j\mu} \theta_k - (\partial_\mu \xi^\rho) e^i_{\ \rho} - \xi^\rho \partial_\rho e^i_{\ \mu}.
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The local symmetries that preserve the asymptotic form of vielbein are parametrized by

$$
\xi^{t} = T(t) + \mathcal{O}_{2}, \qquad \xi^{r} = rU(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}_{1},
$$
  

$$
\xi^{\varphi} = S(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}_{3}, \qquad (3.9a)
$$

$$
\theta^0 = -\frac{4r^2}{\ell^2} \partial_r \xi^t + \mathcal{O}_3, \qquad \theta^1 = U(\varphi) + \partial_t T(t) + \mathcal{O}_2,
$$
  

$$
\theta^2 = \theta^0 + \mathcal{O}_3.
$$
 (3.9b)

By inspecting the invariance conditions for the asymptotic form of the spin connection

$$
\delta_0 \omega^i{}_{\mu} = -\nabla_{\mu} \theta^i - (\partial_{\mu} \xi^{\rho}) \omega^i{}_{\rho} - \xi^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \omega^i{}_{\mu},
$$

we conclude that only the invariance of  $\omega_1$  gives further restriction  $\partial_t^2 T = 0$  with the simple solution

$$
T = At + B,\t(3.10)
$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are constants.

## C. Asymptotic symmetry

In order to find the interpretation of the asymptotic parameters, we calculate the commutator algebra of the corresponding gauge transformations. First, we observe that commutator algebra of the local Poincaré transformations is closed:  $[\delta_0(1), \delta_0(2)] = \delta_0(3)$ , where  $\delta_0(1)$  =  $\delta_0(\xi_1^{\mu}, \theta_1^i)$  etc., while the composition rule is given by:

$$
\xi_3^{\mu} = \xi_1 \cdot \partial \xi_2^{\mu} - \xi_2 \cdot \xi_1^{\mu},
$$
  
\n
$$
\theta_3^i = \varepsilon^i{}_{mn} \theta_1^m \theta_2^m + \xi_1 \cdot \partial \theta_1^i - \xi_2 \cdot \partial \theta_2^i.
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>After substituting the asymptotic parameters [\(3.9\)](#page-3-0) and comparing the lowest order terms we get:

$$
U_3 = S_1 \partial_{\varphi} U_2 - S_2 \partial_{\varphi} U_1,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_3 = S_1 \partial_{\varphi} S_2 - S_1 \partial_{\varphi} S_1,
$$
\n(3.11)

while  $A_3 = 0$  and  $B_3 = A_1B_2 - A_2B_1$ . Motivated by this result in what follows we shall assume that  $A = 0$ .

The pure gauge transformations are defined as the transformations generated by the higher order terms in [\(3.9\)](#page-3-0) and they are irrelevant in the canonical analysis of the asymptotic structure of spacetime [\[16\]](#page-7-9). The asymptotic symmetry group is defined as the factor group of gauge transformations generated by [\(3.9\)](#page-3-0), with respect to the residual gauge transformations.

Now, by introducing the Fourier expansion of the parameters and the notation:

$$
j_n = \delta_0(U = e^{in\varphi}, S = 0),
$$
  

$$
\ell_n = \delta_0(U = 0, S = e^{in\varphi}),
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>we get that the asymptotic algebra takes the form of the semidirect sum of  $u(1)$  Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebra:

$$
i[j_n, j_m] = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
i[j_m, \ell_n] = mj_{m+n},
$$
  
\n
$$
i[\ell_m, \ell_n] = (m-n)\ell_{m+n}.
$$
\n(3.12)

Central charges are absent, but their appearance can be investigated within canonical formalism, as we shall see in the next subsection.

#### D. Charges

The previous derivation is purely kinematical and is valid in any theory of gravity that has AdS orbifold with torsion as a solution. To conclude whether these symmetries are true ones or pure gauge we need to chose specific theory and calculate the charges that generate them. We decided to use canonical approach to asymptotic symmetries [\[17\]](#page-7-10).

Improved canonical generator. Canonical charges are obtained by requiring that generator of gauge symmetry has well-defined functional derivatives for the given asymptotic behavior of fields. Generally, this leads to adding of a surface term  $\Gamma$  to the generator of symmetry  $G$ 

$$
\tilde{G} = G + \Gamma. \tag{3.13}
$$

In this way we obtain the improved generator  $\tilde{G}$  [\[17\]](#page-7-10).

After a shorter calculation we obtain that surface term needed that has to be added to a time translations generator is

$$
\Gamma[\xi^t] = 2 \int d\varphi \xi^t [e^2{}_t (a\omega^2{}_{\varphi} + \alpha_4 e^2{}_{\varphi}) + \omega^2{}_t (a e^2{}_{\varphi} + \alpha_3 \omega^2{}_{\varphi})
$$
  

$$
- e^0_t (a\omega^0{}_{\varphi} + \alpha_4 e^0{}_{\varphi}) - \omega^0{}_t (a e^0{}_{\varphi} + \alpha_3 \omega^0{}_{\varphi})]. \tag{3.14}
$$

In the same manner we obtain that surface term for symmetry generated by  $\xi^{\varphi}$  is

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\Gamma[\xi^{\varphi}] = -\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi S(\varphi) (2a e^i_{\varphi} \omega_{i\varphi} + \alpha_4 e^i_{\varphi} e_{i\varphi} + \alpha_3 \omega^i_{\varphi} \omega_{i\varphi}).
$$
\n(3.15)

For  $\xi^r$  we obtain the following surface term

$$
\Gamma[\xi^r] = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi U(\varphi) \left[ e^1 \varphi \left( \ell \alpha_4 + a \left( \frac{p\ell}{2} - 3 \right) \right) + \omega^1 \varphi \left( a\ell + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{p\ell}{2} - 3 \right) \right) \right].
$$
\n(3.16)

The generator of local Lorentz rotations is regular.

Canonical algebra. Let us now find the Poisson bracket (PB) algebra of the improved canonical generators. First, we introduce the notation  $\tilde{G}(1) := \tilde{G}[U_1, S_1], \tilde{G}(2) :=$  $\tilde{G}[U_2, S_2]$ , and we use the main theorem of [\[18\]](#page-7-11) to conclude that the PB  $\{\tilde{G}(2), \tilde{G}(1)\}$  of two differentiable generators is also a differentiable generator. This implies:

$$
\{\tilde{G}(2), \tilde{G}(1)\} = \tilde{G}(3) + C_{(3)}, \tag{3.17}
$$

where the parameters of  $G(3)$  are defined by the composition rule [\(3.11\),](#page-3-1) while  $C_{(3)}$  is an unknown field-independent functional,  $C_{(3)} \coloneqq C_{(3)}[U_1, S_1; U_2, S_2]$ , the central term of the canonical algebra. The form of  $C_{(3)}$  can be found using the relation

$$
\delta_0(1)\Gamma(2) \approx \Gamma(3) + C_{(3)}.\tag{3.18}
$$

We get

$$
C_{(3)} = \left[ a\ell + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{p\ell}{2} - 1 \right) \right] \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi U_2 \partial_\varphi U_1 \quad (3.19)
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>After expressing the canonical generator in terms of Fourier modes:

$$
J_n := \tilde{G}[U = e^{in\varphi}, S = 0],
$$
  
\n
$$
L_n := \tilde{G}[U = 0, S = e^{in\varphi}],
$$
\n(3.20)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>the canonical algebra takes the form:

$$
i\{J_m, J_n\} = \frac{\kappa}{12} m \delta_{m+n,0},
$$
  
\n
$$
i\{J_m, L_n\} = mJ_{m+n},
$$
  
\n
$$
i\{L_m, L_n\} = (m-n)L_{m+n},
$$
\n(3.21)

where

$$
\kappa = 24\pi \left[ a\ell + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{p\ell}{2} - 1 \right) \right]. \tag{3.22}
$$

Thus, the canonical realization of the asymptotic symmetry is given as the semidirect sum of  $u(1)$  Kac-Moody algebra with central charge and the Virasoro algebra without central extension.

The values of the lowest order Kac-Moody and Virasoro generators take the form

$$
L_0^{\text{on-shell}} = \frac{4\pi r_0^2}{\ell^2} \left[ a\ell + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{p\ell}{2} + 1 \right) \right],
$$
  

$$
J_0^{\text{on-shell}} = 0.
$$
 (3.23)

## E. Alternative coordinates

We can, alternatively, introduce the coordinates  $r = \frac{\rho^2}{\ell}$  in vich the metric of the orbifold reads which the metric of the orbifold reads

$$
ds^2 = \frac{2r_0\rho^2}{\ell^2} dt d\varphi - \frac{\ell^2}{\rho^2} d\rho^2 - r_0^2 d\varphi^2.
$$
 (3.24)

where we further rescaled the time coordinate  $t \to \frac{t}{2}$ . Vielbeins are chosen in the following form

$$
e^{0} = \frac{\rho^{2}}{\ell^{2}} dt, \qquad e^{1} = \frac{\ell}{\rho} d\rho, \qquad e^{2} = \frac{\rho^{2}}{\ell^{2}} dt - r_{0} d\varphi.
$$
 (3.25)

In these coordinates metric takes the same form as that of near-horizon of rotating Oliva-Tempo-Troncoso black hole [\[19\]](#page-7-12), so we can introduce the same asymptotic conditions on the metric

$$
g_{\mu\nu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}_{-1} & \mathcal{O}_{3} & \mathcal{O}_{-2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{3} & -\frac{\ell^{2}}{\rho^{2}} + \mathcal{O}_{4} & \mathcal{O}_{1} \\ \mathcal{O}_{-2} & \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (3.26)

The asymptotic behavior of the triad fields is also the same as for the rotating Oliva-Tempo-Troncoso

$$
e^{i}_{\mu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^{2}}{\ell^{2}} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{5} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ \mathcal{O}_{1} & \frac{\ell}{\rho} + \mathcal{O}_{3} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ \frac{\rho^{2}}{\ell^{2}} + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{5} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (3.27)

Asymptotic form of the spin connection is given by

$$
\omega_{\mu}^{i} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\rho^{2}}{\ell^{3}} \left(1 - \frac{p\ell}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ \mathcal{O}_{1} & -\frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{p\ell}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \\ -\frac{\rho^{2}}{\ell^{3}} \left(1 - \frac{p\ell}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{0} \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(3.28)

and differs from the rotating Oliva-Tempo-Troncoso in the falloff of the  $\omega_1$  component and in the presence of the torsional part parametrized by  $p$ . The asymptotic parameters for these falloff conditions are given by

$$
\xi^{t} = At + B + \mathcal{O}_{3}, \quad \xi^{\rho} = \rho V(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}_{1}, \quad \xi^{\varphi} = S(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}_{4},
$$
\n(3.29a)

$$
\theta^0 = \mathcal{O}_2, \qquad \theta^1 = 2V(\varphi) + \partial_t \xi^t + \mathcal{O}_4,
$$
  

$$
\theta^2 = \theta^0 - \frac{\ell^2}{\rho^2} \partial_t \xi^\rho + \mathcal{O}_5,
$$
 (3.29b)

where  $A$  and  $B$  are constants. By using the same arguments as in the previous subsection we take  $A = 0$ .

The asymptotic algebra, obtained after introducing the Fourier expansion of the parameters and the notation

$$
k_n = \delta_0 (V = e^{in\varphi}, S = 0),
$$
  

$$
\ell_n = \delta_0 (V = 0, S = e^{in\varphi}),
$$

takes the form of the semidirect sum of  $u(1)$  Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebra

$$
i[k_n, k_m] = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
i[k_m, \ell_n] = mk_{m+n},
$$
  
\n
$$
i[\ell_m, \ell_n] = (m-n)\ell_{m+n}.
$$
\n(3.30)

It is isomorphic with algebra [\(3.12\)](#page-4-0).

<span id="page-5-1"></span>The central charges can be obtained in the similar manner by employing canonical formalism. The surface terms for generators corresponding to  $\xi^t$  and  $\xi^{\varphi}$  are the same as for the previous asymptotic conditions, while the surface term for the generator  $G[\xi^r]$  takes form

$$
\Gamma[\xi^{\rho}] = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi V(\varphi) [e^{\mu}{}_{\varphi} (2\ell\alpha_4 + a(p\ell - 6))
$$
  
+  $\omega^{\mu}{}_{\varphi} (2a\ell + \alpha_3(p\ell - 6))].$  (3.31)

After introducing the Fourier modes of the improved canonical generators

$$
K_n := \tilde{G}[V = e^{in\varphi}, S = 0],
$$
  
\n
$$
L_n := \tilde{G}[V = 0, S = e^{in\varphi}],
$$
\n(3.32)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>we get that the canonical algebra takes the form:

$$
i\{K_m, K_n\} = \frac{\kappa'}{12} m\delta_{m+n,0},
$$
  
\n
$$
i\{K_m, L_n\} = mK_{m+n},
$$
  
\n
$$
i\{L_m, L_n\} = (m-n)L_{m+n},
$$
\n(3.33)

where

$$
\kappa' = 96\pi \left[ a\ell + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{p\ell}{2} - 1 \right) \right] \equiv 4\kappa. \qquad (3.34)
$$

The values of the zero mode generators on the orbifold background are given by

$$
L_0^{\text{on-shell}} = \frac{4\pi r_0^2}{\ell^2} \left[ \alpha_3 \left( a\ell + \frac{p\ell}{2} + 1 \right) \right],
$$
  

$$
J_0^{\text{on-shell}} = 0.
$$
 (3.35)

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Therefore, the canonical algebra [\(3.33\)](#page-5-0) is isomorphic to the canonical algebra [\(3.21\).](#page-4-1) The simple mapping that relates the generators of the two algebras is given by

$$
L_n \leftrightarrow L_n, \qquad K_n \leftrightarrow 2J_n. \tag{3.36}
$$

The mapping [\(3.36\)](#page-6-3) can be easily derived from the definitions of the Fourier modes of the improved generators  $K_n$  and  $J_n$ , given by Eqs. [\(3.33\)](#page-5-0) and [\(3.20\)](#page-4-2), and form of the corresponding surface terms  $\Gamma(\xi^{\rho})$  and  $\Gamma(\xi^r)$ , Eqs. [\(3.31\)](#page-5-1) and [\(3.16\).](#page-4-3)

### IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We analyzed near-horizon geometry with nontrivial torsion with the aim to understand its influence on applicability of Kerr/CFT. For simplicity, we undertook the investigation of simplest case of extremal BTZ black hole with torsion. After deriving the corresponding nearhorizon geometry which is the generalization of AdS selfdual orbifold—the near-horizon of BTZ black hole. After introducing the suitable asymptotic conditions we derived the algebra of asymptotic symmetries, which consists of the Killing vectors of the orbifold and direct sum of chiral Virasoro and  $u(1)$  Kac-Moody algebra, known also as warped CFT symmetry. Virasoro algebra is not centrally extended, while Kac-Moody algebra possesses nonzero central extension  $\kappa$ .

It is worth noting that investigation of asymptotic structure of BTZ black hole with torsion was done in [\[11\]](#page-7-4) with the result that asymptotic symmetry is Virasoro algebra with central charges  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$ . Central extension of Kac-Moody algebra, that we obtained, is proportional to  $c$ , and on-shell value of Virasoro zero mode generator is proportional to  $\bar{c}$ .

There is the procedure, known as Sugawara-Sommerfeld construction [\[20\],](#page-7-13) for constructing Virasoro algebra from bilinear combinations of Kac-Moody generators. In this way it is possible to obtain Virasoro algebra which allows the application of the Cardy formula. The drawback of this approach is that one of the central charges is proportional to an arbitrary constant which is fixed by demand that Cardy formula correctly reproduces black hole entropy.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the Serbian Science foundation, Serbia, Grant No. 171031.

# APPENDIX: PROOF THAT SURFACE TERM  $Γ[ξ<sup>t</sup>]$  IS FINITE

Since, the surface term takes the same form for both choices of asymptotic conditions it is enough to show that it is finite for one of them.

<span id="page-6-6"></span>In the case of radial coordinate  $\rho$  the following relations between Riemannian part of connection and vielbein hold

$$
\frac{e^0}{\ell} - \frac{e^2}{\ell} + \tilde{\omega}^2 \varphi - \tilde{\omega}^0 \varphi = \mathcal{O}_2, \tag{A1a}
$$

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\tilde{\omega}_t^2 = -\frac{e^2}{\ell} + \mathcal{O}_1 = -\frac{\rho^2}{\ell^3} + \mathcal{O}_1,\tag{A1b}
$$

$$
\tilde{\omega}_t^0 = -\frac{e^0_t}{\ell} + \mathcal{O}_1 = -\frac{\rho^2}{\ell^3} + \mathcal{O}_1.
$$
 (A1c)

<span id="page-6-5"></span>The first identity represents a consequence of  $T^i = 0$ , while the other two are obvious from the asymptotic behavior of fields.

If we expand the Lorentz spin connection as  $\omega^i$  =  $\tilde{\omega}^i + \frac{p}{2}e^i$ , and use the relation between Lagrangian parameters  $\alpha_4 = \frac{\alpha_3}{\epsilon^2} - ap - \frac{\alpha_3 p^2}{4}$  as well as equalities [\(A1b\)](#page-6-4) and [\(A1c\)](#page-6-5), after a shorter calculation, we derive

$$
\Gamma[\xi^t] = \int d\varphi \frac{\rho^2}{\ell^2} \left( 2a + \alpha_3 p - \frac{2\alpha_3}{\ell} \right) \left( \frac{e^0_{\varphi}}{\ell} - \frac{e^2_{\varphi}}{\ell} + \tilde{\omega}^2_{\varphi} - \tilde{\omega}^0_{\varphi} \right) + \mathcal{O}_1. \tag{A2}
$$

Now, from the first relation [\(A1a\)](#page-6-6) it follows that  $\Gamma[\xi^t] = \mathcal{O}_0$ , i.e., it is finite.

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[1] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song, and A. Strominger, The Kerr/CFT correspondence, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.124008) 80, 124008 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.124008)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[2] H. Afshar, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, W. Merbis, A. Perez, D. Tempo, and R. Troncoso, Soft Heisenberg hair on black holes in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. D **93**[, 101503 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.101503)
- [3] H. Afshar, D. Grumiller, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Near horizon soft hair as microstates of three dimensional black holes, Phys. Rev. D **96**[, 084032 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.084032).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[4] K.-F. Shie, J. M. Nester, and H.-J. Yo, Torsion cosmology 1255 and the accelerating universe, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.023522) 78, [023522 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.023522)
- [5] A. Tilquin and T. Schucker, Torsion as a dark matter candidate from the Higgs portal, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-011-1222-6) 43, 2965 (2011).
- [6] A. S. Belyaev, I. L. Shapiro, and M. C. Thomas, Torsion as a dark matter candidate from the Higgs portal, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.095033) 95[, 095033 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.095033)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[7] A. V. Minkevich, Accelerating Universe with spacetime torsion but without dark matter and dark energy, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.050) Lett. B 678[, 423 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.050)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[8] N. J. Poplawski, Cosmology with torsion: An alternative to cosmic inflation, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.09.056) 694, 181 (2010); Erratum, Phys. Lett. B 701[, 672\(E\) \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.05.047)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[9] M. Blagojević, Gravitation and Gauge Symmetries (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 2002).
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[10] E. W. Mielke and P. Baekler, Topological gauge model of gravity with torsion, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(91)90715-K) 156, 399 (1991); P. Baekler, P. E. W. Mielke, and F. W. Hehl, Dynamical symmetries in topological 3D gravity with torsion, [Nuovo](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02726888) [Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02726888) 107B, 91 (1992).
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[11] M. Blagojević and B. Cvetković, Canonical structure of 3D gravity with torsion, Progress in General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology, edited by F. Columbus (Nova Science, New York, 2006), Vol. 2, p. 85.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[12] A. Garcia, F. W. Hehl, C. Heinecke, and A. Macias, Exact vacuum solutions of  $(1 + 2)$ - dimensional Poincare gauge theory: BTZ solution with torsion, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.124016) 67, 124016 [\(2003\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.124016) Y. N. Obukhov, New solutions in 3D gravity, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.124015) Rev. D 68[, 124015 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.124015).
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[13] M. Blagojević and B. Cvetković, Covariant description of the black hole entropy in 3D gravity, [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/1/007) Gravity 24[, 129 \(2007\);](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/1/007) Black hole entropy from the boundary conformal structure in 3D gravity with torsion, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2006\) 005;](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/10/005) Black hole entropy in 3D gravity with torsion, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/14/013) 23, [4781 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/14/013).
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[14] L. Castellani, Symmetries of constrained Hamiltonian systems, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(82)90031-8) 143, 357 (1982).
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[15] O. Coussaert and M. Henneaux, Self-dual solutions of  $2 + 1$ Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant, [arXiv:hep-th/9407181](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/9407181).
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[16] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Asymptotically antide Sitter spaces, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01205790) 98, 391 (1985).
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[17] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, Role of surface integrals in the Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity, [Ann. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(74)90404-7) (N.Y.) 88[, 286 \(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(74)90404-7).
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[18] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, On the Poisson bracket of differentiable generators in classical field theory, [J. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.527249) Phys. (N.Y.) 27[, 489 \(1986\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.527249)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[19] B. Cvetković and D. Simić, Near horizon OTT black hole asymptotic symmetries and soft hair, [Chin. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/1/013109) 43, [013109 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/1/013109)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[20] H. Sugawara, A field theory of currents, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.170.1659) 170, [1659 \(1968\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.170.1659) C. Sommerfeld, Currents as dynamical variables, Phys. Rev. 176[, 2019 \(1968\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.176.2019).