## Three-dimensional gauge theories with supersymmetry enhancement

Dongmin Gang<sup>1</sup> and Masahito Yamazaki<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea <sup>2</sup> Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

> (Received 25 July 2018; published 5 December 2018) n.

We conjecture infrared emergent  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry for a class of three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ U}(1)$ gauge theories coupled with a single chiral multiplet. One example is the case where the  $U(1)$  gauge group has the Chern-Simons level  $-\frac{3}{2}$  and the chiral multiplet has gauge charge  $+1$ . Other examples are related to this example of the linear property the chiral multiplet has a help and the single state independent this example either by known dualities or rescaling the Abelian gauge field. We give three independent pieces of evidence for the conjecture: (i) exact match between the central charges of the U(1) R-symmetry current and the U(1) topological symmetry current, (ii) semiclassical construction of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  stresstensor multiplet, and (iii) an IR duality between a direct product of the two copies of the 3D theory, on the one hand, and an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory obtained by gauging the diagonal SU(2) flavor symmetry of the  $T[SU(2)]$ <br>theory on the other. The duality in (iii) follows from geometrical spects of the 3D-3D correspondence theory, on the other. The duality in (iii) follows from geometrical aspects of the 3D–3D correspondence.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.121701](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.121701)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Symmetry has long been a fundamental guiding principle in theoretical physics. One of the most successful examples for this is the celebrated supersymmetry [1–[3\],](#page-5-0) a spacetime symmetry exchanging bosons and fermions.

Supersymmetry is traditionally regarded as a highenergy symmetry in the ultra-violet (UV). There is a different attractive possibility where supersymmetry is emergent in the infra-red (IR)—one starts with a theory with no supersymmetry in the UV, which flows to an IR fixed point with emergent supersymmetry. Such a possibility has actively been studied recently in condensed matter literature [\[4](#page-5-1)–8], and could even be realized experimentally.

One of the virtues of supersymmetry is that it places stringent constraints on the possible physics. Supersymmetry, however, in itself is not enough for analyzing and better understanding renormalization group (RG) flow with emergent supersymmetry. This is because supersymmetry emerges only in the IR, and is not present in the UV theory which is the starting point of the analysis. The situation is better if we start with a supersymmetric theory in the UV, and if the theory has emergent supersymmetry enhancement in the IR: we then can use the powerful tools from supersymmetry to study the emergence of supersymmetry in itself.

In this Letter we propose examples of such supersymmetry enhancement along the RG flow, where a class of theories in three spacetime dimensions with manifest  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  extended supersymmetry has enhanced  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry in the IR.

The theory we discuss is rather simple: an Abelian Chern-Simons (CS) matter theory coupled to a single chiral multiplet. In fact, as we will discuss below there are some indications that our examples could be "minimal" such examples. That such a simple theory admits supersymmetry enhancement is a surprise, and we hope that a through understanding of these examples will provide valuable insights into the emergence/enhancement of supersymmetry in general. We will below provide three independent pieces of evidence for this proposal, by taking advantage of several cutting-edge techniques and results for three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry, including supersymmetric localization and the 3D–3D correspondence.

# II. PROPOSAL FOR  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SUPERSYMMETRY ENHANCEMENT

Let us consider a 3D  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Abelian CS matter theory coupled with a single  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  chiral multiplet

$$
\mathcal{T}_{k,Q} := (a U(1) \text{ vector multiplet with the CS level } k \text{ coupled}
$$
  
with a chiral multiplet  $\Phi$  of charge Q). (1)

For consistency of the theory  $[9-11]$  $[9-11]$  we assume the quantization condition of the bare  $CS$  level  $k$ :

$$
k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{Q^2}{2}.\tag{2}
$$

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The main result of this Letter is to propose the following supersymmetry enhancement in the IR:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{k=-\frac{3}{2}, Q=1}
$$
 has emergent  $\mathcal{N}=4$  supersymmetry in the IR. (3)

In the following, we shall substantiate this claim by providing three pieces of evidence.

### III. PROPERTIES OF THE IR SCFT

Let us begin by summarizing some properties of the theory  $T_{k=-3/2,Q=1}$  at the IR fixed point, which requires only  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry manifest in the UV Lagrangian.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>We assume that there is no additional emergent Abelian flavor symmetry in the IR. Then, the superconformal  $U(1)$ R-charge at the IR fixed point can be determined by F-maximization [\[12\]](#page-5-3) and the result is

$$
(U(1)R \text{ of } \Phi) = \frac{1}{3}.
$$
 (4)

The IR SCFT does not seem to have any gauge invariant  $1/2$  BPS chiral primary operator (CPO). As we will see later in Table [I,](#page-2-0) we can list local operator spectrum of the theory in the semiclassical limit and do not find any CPO. The same will likely be the case at the IR fixed point, unless we assume the unlikely possibility of an emergent CPO in the deep IR. The absence of CPO implies the empty vacuum moduli space for the IR SCFT.

Using the UV Lagrangian description with manifest  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry, the stress-energy tensor central charge  $C_T$  [\[13\]](#page-5-4) can be evaluated exactly [\[15\]](#page-5-5)

$$
\frac{C_T(T_{k=\frac{3}{2},Q=1})}{C_T(\text{a free chiral }\Phi)} = \frac{8}{25} \left(8 - \frac{5\sqrt{5 + 2\sqrt{5}}}{\pi}\right)
$$
  
  $\approx 0.992549.$  (5)

Note that the central charge is even smaller than that of a free chiral theory.

Suppose the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SUSY enhancement really happens, as our conjecture claims. Since 3D  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories with Lagrangian description have nontrivial vacuum moduli space, it then follows that the IR  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SCFT does not allow any UV Lagrangian description with manifest  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry. The IR SCFT is therefore a strong candidate for the minimal 3D  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SCFT which does not allow for UV Lagragian with manifest  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry, where minimal here refers to the smallest nonzero values for the central charge  $C_T$ . The situation is analogous to the 4d case [\[16,17\]](#page-5-6). In 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ , the Argyres-Douglas theory [\[18\]](#page-5-7) turns out to be the minimal SCFT [\[19\]](#page-5-8) which allow UV Lagrangian description only with  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry. See also recently found examples of SUSY enhancements in 4d [20–[23\]](#page-5-9) and 3D [\[24,25\]](#page-5-10).

# A. Evidence 1:  $C_{J_R} = C_{J_{\text{top}}}$

Let us next come to the first evidence for our conjecture. The global symmetries of the theory manifest in the UV Lagrangian are the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  R-symmetry  $U(1)<sub>R</sub>$  as well as the topological symmetry  $U(1)_{top}$ , where the conserved current for the latter is given by

$$
J_{\text{top}}^{\mu} \propto \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\nu\rho} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} (\partial_{\nu} A_{\rho} - \partial_{\rho} A_{\nu}), \tag{6}
$$

with  $A_{\mu}$  being the dynamical gauge field. These global symmetries are expected to be enhanced to an emergent  $SO(4)<sub>R</sub>$  R-symmetry in the IR so that

$$
4vec of SO(4)R has charges {(±1,0), (0,±1)}under U(1)R × U(1)top.
$$
 (7)

The Weyl-group symmetry of the emergent SO(4) R-symmetry contains an emergent  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry exchanging the two global symmetries  $U(1)_{\text{top}}$  and  $U(1)<sub>R</sub>$ . The conjecture [\(3\)](#page-1-0) therefore predicts equalities between the correlation functions for the conserved current  $J_{\text{top}}^{\mu}$  and those for  $J_R^{\mu}$ . We can in particular consider the case of the two-point functions of the currents, which are determined up to overall constants  $C_{J_{\text{top}}}$  and  $C_{J_R}$ , known as the central charges [\[14\]](#page-5-11):

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\langle J_{\text{top}}^{\mu}(x)J_{\text{top}}^{\nu}(0)\rangle = C_{J_{\text{top}}}\frac{I_{\mu\nu}(x)}{|x|^{4}},
$$

$$
\langle J_{R}^{\mu}(x)J_{R}^{\nu}(0)\rangle = C_{J_{R}}\frac{I_{\mu\nu}(x)}{|x|^{4}},
$$

$$
I_{\mu\nu}(x) := \delta_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{x_{\mu}x_{\nu}}{x^{2}}.
$$
(8)

The central charges  $C_{J_{\text{top}}}$  and  $C_{J_R}$  can be computed using supersymmetric localization [\[15,26\]](#page-5-5), and we indeed find their match:

$$
C_{J_R} = C_{J_{\text{top}}} = \frac{2}{25} \left( 8 - \frac{5\sqrt{5 + 2\sqrt{5}}}{\pi} \right) \approx 0.248137. \tag{9}
$$

This is highly nontrivial evidence for conjecture (iii).

### B. Evidence 2:  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  stress-energy tensor multiplet

As other evidence, we construct the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  stress-energy tensor multiplet semiclassically.

Decomposition of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  stress-energy tensor multiplet into  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  multiplets: The  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supercharges are decomposed as

$$
\{Q\} = \{Q_{N=2}\} \cup \{Q_{IR}\},\tag{10}
$$

where  $Q_{N=2}$  are the  $N = 2$  supercharges manifest in the UV Lagrangian, while  $Q_{IR}$  denote the supercharges emergent in the IR.  $Q_{N=2}$  s are charged under  $U(1)_R$  symmetry while  $Q_{\text{IR}}$  s are charged under  $U(1)_{\text{top}}$  symmetry. In terms

<span id="page-2-2"></span>of multiplets of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal subalgebra (containing  $Q_{N=2}$  as supercharges), the  $\mathcal{N}=4$  stressenergy tensor multiplet consists of

Conserved current multiplet, 
$$
A_2 \overline{A}_2[j=0]_{\Delta=1}^{r=0}
$$
, for U(1)<sub>top</sub>  
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{Q_{\text{IR}}} \boxed{\text{Two } A_1 \overline{A}_1 \left[j=\frac{1}{2}\right]_{\Delta=3/2}^{r=0} \text{ with U(1)}_{\text{top}} \text{ charge } \pm 1}
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{Q_{\text{IR}}} \boxed{\text{Stress-energy tensor multiplet}, A_1 \overline{A}_1[j=1]_{\Delta=2}^{r=0}}.
$$
\n(11)

Here  $A_1\overline{A}_1[j] \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Delta=j+1}^{j=0}$  is a short-multiplet whose bottom component is a conformal primary with spin  $i$ ,  $U(1)$ R-charge r and conformal dimension  $\Delta = j + 1$ . We here follow the notation in [\[27\]](#page-5-12) with rescaling  $j_{\text{our}} = \frac{1}{2} j_{\text{their}}$ ,  $r_{\text{our}} = \frac{1}{2} r_{\text{their}}$ . Both of the conserved current multiplet and the stress energy tensor multiplet are expected to exist at the stress-energy tensor multiplet are expected to exist at the IR fix point assuming no dynamical breaking of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry and U(1)<sub>top</sub> symmetry. We only need to show the existence of an  $A_1 \overline{A}_1 [j] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} r=0 \\ \Delta=3/2 \end{bmatrix}$  multiplet at the IR fixed point in order to show the emergent  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Semiclassical analysis on local operator spectrum: The local operator spectrum at semiclassical limit is summarized in Table [I](#page-2-0). In the table,  $|q\rangle$  denotes a 1/2 BPS holomorphic bare monopole operator with flux  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Through a radial quantization, local operators of the 3D theory are mapped to states on  $S^2$ . The bare monopole operator corresponds to a  $1/2$  BPS semiclassical configuration with

$$
\int_{S^2} dA_{U(1)_{\text{gauge}}} = 2\pi q. \tag{12}
$$

Upon the semiclassical configuration, we can excite bosonic and fermionic oscillators,  $(a^{\dagger}, b^{\dagger})$  and  $(\hat{a}^{\dagger}, \hat{b}^{\dagger}, \hat{c}^{\dagger})$ , which<br>come from following harmonic expansion (see e.g. [28]) come from following harmonic expansion (see, e.g., [\[28\]\)](#page-5-13)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>TABLE I. Semiclassical local operators of the theory  $T_{k=-3/2,0=1}$ . For nonzero q, we only list operators which correspond to excited states on BPS monopole configuration and skip their conjugates. We use the F-maximization result in [\(4\)](#page-1-1) for the IR  $U(1)_R$  charge.

	$U(1)_{\text{gauge}}$	$U(1)_R$	$U(1)_{top}$	$(j, j_3)$
$a_{im}^{\dagger}$ $(j \in \frac{ q }{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	$+1$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\theta$	(j,m)
$b_{jm}^{\dagger} (j \in \frac{ q }{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	$^{-1}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\theta$	(j,m)
$\hat{a}_{im}^{\dagger} (j \in \frac{ q +1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	$+1$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$\theta$	(j,m)
$\hat{b}_{jm}^{\dagger} (j \in \frac{ q +1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	$-1$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\theta$	(j,m)
$\hat{c}^\dagger_{\frac{ q -1}{2},m}$	$+1$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$\theta$	$\left(\frac{ q -1}{2},m\right)$
$ q\rangle$	$-\frac{ q }{2}-\frac{3q}{2}$	$\frac{ q }{2}$	q	(0,0)

$$
\phi = \sum_{j \ge |q|} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} (a_{jm}^{\dagger} Y_{qjm}^{*} + b_{jm} Y_{qjm}),
$$
  

$$
\psi = \sum_{j \ge |q|+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} (\hat{a}_{jm}^{\dagger} A_{qjm} (\Omega_2) + \hat{b}_{jm} B_{qjm})
$$
  

$$
+ \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}-|q|}^{|q|-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{c}_{|q|-\frac{1}{2},m} C_{q,|q|-\frac{1}{2},m}, \qquad (13)
$$

where the functions  $Y$  are scalar monopole harmonics while A, B and C are spinor monopole harmonics under a proper normalization. In particular, the function C corresponds to zero-modes of the Dirac operator on  $S^2$  coupled to the monopole background [\(12\).](#page-2-1) The bare monopole state is annihilated by all the annihilation operators

$$
(a_{jm}, b_{jm}, \hat{a}_{jm}, \hat{b}_{jm}, c_{|q|-\frac{1}{2},m}) \cdot |q\rangle = 0.
$$
 (14)

The bare monopole has R-charge  $\frac{|q|}{3}$  which is due to the zero-point shift from  $|q|$  fermionic zero-modes C. The U(1) gauge charge for the bare monopole come from two contributions,  $-\frac{|q|}{2}$  from zero-modes and  $-\frac{3}{2}q$  from the classical Chern-Simons term with level  $-\frac{3}{2}$ .

First, note that all gauge invariant operators have integervalued  $U(1)$  R-charges. The  $U(1)$  R-symmetry is a subgroup of the non-Abelian SO(4) R-symmetry and its charge should be properly quantized (half-integers).

Second, there is no gauge-invariant  $1/2$  BPS CPO in the semiclassical analysis. Bare monopole operator  $|q \neq 0\rangle$  is not gauge-invariant and it needs to be dressed by matter fields by acting creation operators. Creation operators, except  $\hat{c}^{\dagger}$  when  $|q|=1$ , have nonzero spin in the presence of monopole background and the excited gauge-invariant operators are not CPOs. For  $|q|=1$ , the gauge charge of bare monopole can not be cancelled solely by  $\hat{c}^{\dagger}$  and the excited gauge-invariant operators are not CPOs.

Superconformal index analysis: The 3D superconformal index [\[29,30\]](#page-5-14) is defined as

$$
\mathcal{I}(u; x) := \text{Tr}(-1)^r x^{\frac{r}{2} + j_3} u^F. \tag{15}
$$

Here *u* is the fugacity for the topological  $U(1)_{\text{top}}$  symmetry. The trace is taken over local operators, or states on  $S^2$ , of the theory. Contributions of most local operators are cancelled by its Q-transformed fermionic operator and only  $1/4$  BPS operators saturating the bound

$$
\Delta \ge r + j_3. \tag{16}
$$

could contribute to the index. The index for 3D  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories can be computed using supersymmetric localiza-tion techniques [\[30,31\]](#page-6-0). For the theory  $\tau_{k=-3/2,0=1}$ , the index contains a power  $x^{3/2}$ :

$$
\mathcal{I}^{T_{-3/2,1}}(u;x) = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{\Delta}(e,e;x)u^e,
$$
  
= 1 - x - \left(u + \frac{1}{u}\right)x^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2x^2 + \cdots, (17)

where the  $\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}(m, e; x)$  is so-called tetrahedron index [\[30\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\sum_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{\Delta}(m, e; x) u^e = \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - x^{r - \frac{m}{2} + 1} u^{-1}}{1 - x^{r - \frac{m}{2}} u}.
$$
 (18)

Among all 3D  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal multiplets classified in [\[27\],](#page-5-12) only the following two types of multiplets contributes a term  $-x^{3/2}$  to the index

$$
A_1 \bar{A}_1 \left[ j = \frac{1}{2} \right]_{\Delta = \frac{3}{2}}^{r=0} \quad \text{and} \quad L \bar{B}_1 [j = 0]_{\Delta = 3}^{r=3}.
$$
 (19)

The bottom component of  $L\bar{B}_1$  corresponds to a CPO with R-charge r. The CPO contributes  $(-1)^r x^{r/2}$  to the index. In the descendant  $Q_{\mathcal{N}=2} \cdot (A_1 \overline{A}_1 [j = \frac{1}{2}]_{\Delta=3/2}^{r=0}),$  there is an operator with  $\Delta = 2$ ,  $r = 1$  and  $j = 1$  which contributes  $(-x^{3/2})$  to the index. As seen in the above semiclassical analysis, there seems to be no CPO in the IR fixed point. This means the term  $-(u + \frac{1}{u})x^{3/2}$  in the index should come<br>from two 4.  $\bar{A}$  is  $-11r=0$  multiplate with  $H(1)$  oberes from two  $A_1 \overline{A}_1 [j = \frac{1}{2}]_{\Delta=3/2}^{r=0}$  multiplets with U(1)<sub>top</sub> charge  $\pm 1$ . This is compatible with the existence of the  $N = 4$ stress-energy tensor multiplet [\(11\)](#page-2-2) in the IR SCFT.

#### C. Evidence 3: A duality between  $(\mathcal{T}_{-3/2,1})^{\otimes 2}$ and  $T[SU(2)]/SU(2)_3^{\text{diag}}$ 3

The third evidence for our conjecture comes from a duality derived from the 3D–3D correspondence [\[32](#page-6-1)–35], where a twisted compactification of  $6D A_1 (2,0)$  theory on a 3-manifold *M* generates an associated 3D  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFT. When the 3-manifold  $M$  has a torus boundary, the resulting SCFT depends not only on  $M$  but also on the choice of primitive boundary cycle  $A \in H_1(\partial M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote the 3D SCFT by

$$
T[M;A]. \t(20)
$$

The theory have  $U(1)_{A}$  symmetry associated the chosen boundary 1-cycle A. For a given 3-manifold, there could be several topological representations and they give different UV descriptions which flow to the same IR fixed point. As a concrete example relevant to our purpose, let us consider a 3-manifold called "figure-eight knot complement"

$$
M = (figure\text{-eight knot complement in } S^3). \quad (21)
$$

The manifold has a torus boundary and there is a canonical basis choice,  $\mu$  (merdian) and  $\lambda$  (longitude), for  $H_1(\partial M, \mathbb{Z})$ 

$$
H_1(\partial M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle.
$$
 (22)

There are two well-known representations of this 3-manifold. One is using an ideal triangulation with two tetrahedra and the corresponding UV description  $T^{DG}[M]$ <br>is given as follows [33] is given as follows [\[33\]](#page-6-2)

$$
T^{\rm DGG}[M,A=\mu]
$$

 $=$  (a U(1) vector multiplet with vanishing CS level coupled with two chiral multiplets  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  of charge  $+1$ ).

 $(23)$ 

The Lagrangian of the theory is given as follows in terms of superfields

$$
\mathcal{L}_{T^{\text{DG}}[M,A=\mu]} = \int d^4\theta (\Phi_1^\dagger e^{V-V_\mu} \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^\dagger e^V \Phi_2) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\theta \left( 3\Sigma_{V_\mu} V - \frac{3}{2} \Sigma_{V_\mu} V_\mu \right) + (\text{c.c}).
$$
\n(24)

Here V is the dynamical vector multiplet superfield for the  $U(1)$  gauge symmetry and  $\Sigma_V$  is its dual linear multiplet  $\Sigma_V = D^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} V$ .  $V_{\mu}$  is the background vector multiplet coupled to the  $U(1)_\mu$  flavor symmetry. Then, the theory  $T^{DG}[M, A]$  with  $A = \lambda$  is simply obtained by gauging the  $U(1)$  symmetry of the above theory [33]  $U(1)<sub>u</sub>$  symmetry of the above theory [\[33\].](#page-6-2)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{T^{DG}[M,A=\lambda]} = \int d^4\theta (\Phi_1^{\dagger} e^{V-W} \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} e^V \Phi_2)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\theta \left( 3\Sigma_W V - \frac{3}{2} \Sigma_W W + 2\Sigma_W V_\lambda \right)
$$
  
+ (c.c). (25)

Here we renamed  $V_{\mu}$  to W and the vector multiplet W is now dynamical. The  $U(1)$ <sub>λ</sub> symmetry in the theory corresponds to the  $U(1)_{top}$  symmetry for the  $U(1)$  gauge symmetry. Finally, by redefining the dynamical superfield W to  $V - W$ ,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{T^{DG}[M,A=\lambda]}
$$
\n
$$
= \int d^4\theta (\Phi_1^\dagger e^W \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^\dagger e^V \Phi_2)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\theta \left(\frac{3}{2}\Sigma_V V - \frac{3}{2}\Sigma_W W + 2(\Sigma_V - \Sigma_W) V_\lambda\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ (c.c), \qquad (26)
$$

we see that

$$
T^{\text{DGG}}[M, A = \lambda] = T_{k=\frac{3}{2}, Q=1} \otimes T_{k=-\frac{3}{2}, Q=1}
$$
  
=  $(T_{k=-\frac{3}{2}, Q=1})^{\otimes 2}.$  (27)

Here,  $\mathcal{T}_1 \otimes \mathcal{T}_2$  means a decoupled product of two theories  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . In the second line, we used the triality, which we will explain later in [\(36\).](#page-4-0) The other representation of the 3-manifold is

$$
M = \left(\text{once-punctured torus bundle over } S^1\right)
$$
  
with monodromy 
$$
ST^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\right).
$$
(28)

The 1-cycle around puncture corresponds to the longitude cycle,  $\lambda$ . Base on the representation, an alternative UV description  $T^{TY}[M]$  is proposed in [\[32\]:](#page-6-1)

$$
T^{TY}[M; A = \lambda]
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{T[SU(2)]}{SU(2)_3^{\text{diag}}}
$$
  
 := (gauging SU(2)<sup>diag</sup> of T[SU(2)] with CS level 3).

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Since the two theories arise from the same 3-manifold, we expect following IR duality between two UV descriptions

$$
(T^{DGG}[M;A = \lambda] \text{in} (27)) = (T^{TY}[M;A = \lambda] \text{in} (29)).
$$
 (30)

The duality was checked by superconformal index in Ref. [\[36\]](#page-6-3). The  $T[SU(2)]$  theory [\[37\]](#page-6-4) is a theory living<br>on a S-duality wall in Ad  $\mathcal{N} = 4.8\pi(2)$  maximally superon a S-duality wall in 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 4 \sin(2)$  maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, and is a 3D  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  U(1)<sub>0</sub> gauge theory coupled to two hyper multiplets of charge  $+1$ . The theory has manifest  $U(1)_{top} \times SU(2)_H$  flavor symmetry which are argued to be enhanced to  $SU(2)_C \times$  $SU(2)_H)/\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry at the IR fixed point from the selfmirror-dual property of theory.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>In the description of  $T^{TY}[M]$ , we further deform the IR<br>red point by gauging its diagonal subgroup  $SI(2)$  diagonal fixed point by gauging its diagonal subgroup  $SU(2)^{diag}$ with CS level  $+3$  [\[38\]](#page-6-5). In the gauging, we introduce an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$   $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  vector multiplet and add the following superpotential interaction [\[40\],](#page-6-6)

$$
\delta W = -\frac{3}{4\pi} \text{Tr}[\Phi^2] + \text{Tr}[(\mu_C + \mu_H)\Phi],
$$
  

$$
\rightarrow \frac{\pi}{3} \text{Tr}[(\mu_C + \mu_H)^2].
$$
 (31)

Here,  $\Phi$  is the adjoint chiral field in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  vector multiplet, and  $\mu_H$  and  $\mu_C$  are holomorphic moment maps associated to the SU(2)<sub>H</sub> and SU(2)<sub>C</sub> flavor symmetries in the  $T[SU(2)]$  theory respectively. In the second line, we integrated out the massive adjoint chiral multiplet integrated out the massive adjoint chiral multiplet.

Such a gauging generically breaks the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry to  $\mathcal{N} = 3$ . This is reflected in the breaking of the Cartan part of the R-symmetry, from  $U(1)_V \times U(1)_A$  to

 $U(1)<sub>V</sub>$ . Here,  $U(1)<sub>V</sub>$  is the diagonal U(1)-subgroup of  $U(1) \times U(1) \subset SU(2) \times SU(2) = SO(4)<sub>R</sub>$  while  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$  is the antidiagonal subgroup. The  $U(1)_V$  is actually the  $U(1)<sub>R</sub>$ -symmetry of the  $\mathcal{N}=2$  subalgebra.  $(\mu_H, \mu_C)$  have charges  $(+1, +1)$  under  $U(1)_V = U(1)_R$  while  $(+1, -1)$ under  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$ . Thus, the superpotential [\(31\)](#page-4-1) indeed does seem to break the  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$  symmetry.

However, in our case we can appeal to the following properties of the moment map of  $\hat{T}[\text{SU}(2)]$  theory [\[37\]](#page-6-4)

$$
Tr[\mu_H^2] = Tr[\mu_C^2] = 0,
$$
\n(32)

so that the superpotential simplifies as

$$
\delta W = \frac{2\pi}{3} \text{Tr}[\mu_C \mu_H],\tag{33}
$$

and hence enjoys the  $U(1)_V \times U(1)_A$  symmetry. Actually the superpotential deformation preserves full  $SO(4)<sub>R</sub>$ symmetry and  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry [\[41\].](#page-6-7)

We have established that the two theories in [\(30\)](#page-4-2) make manifest different amounts of supersymmetry:

 $T^{DG}$ [*M*] has manifest  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry,

$$
TTY[M] has manifest \mathcal{N} = 4 supersymmetry.
$$
 (34)

Under a mild assumption that the manifest supersymmetries are not broken along the RG flow, the duality [\(30\)](#page-4-2) implies that

both theories have  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry in the IR, (35)

namely, that the theory  $(T_{k=\frac{3}{2},Q=1})^{\otimes 2}$ , and hence  $T_{k=1}$  is in itself [42] has emergent  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SUSY in  $\mathcal{T}_{k=\frac{3}{2},Q=1}$  in itself [\[42\],](#page-6-8) has emergent  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SUSY in the IR. This is very strong evidence for the conjecture in (iii).

## D. MORE EXAMPLES WITH  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SUSY ENHANCEMENT

<span id="page-4-0"></span>The 3D theory considered here allows infinitely many dual gauge theory descriptions (modulo topological sectors) which are also expected to have enhanced SUSY in the IR. For example, following gauge theories are all equivalent as a 3D field theory on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , where the topological sector is invisible.

$$
\mathcal{T}_{k=\frac{3}{2}p^2, Q=p} \quad \text{with } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{T}_{k=\frac{3}{2}p^2, Q=p} \quad \text{with } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{T}_{k=0, Q=p} \quad \text{with } p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}.
$$
\n(36)

The dualities among the three classes of gauge theories for  $p = 1$  above are studied in [\[24\]](#page-5-10). The theories for general p can be obtained by rescaling the U(1) gauge field  $A_\mu$  by  $pA<sub>u</sub>$ . The rescaling does not affect the spectrum of local operators modulo rescaling of (electric charge m, magnetic charge *e*) to  $(\frac{1}{p}m, pe)$  due to the change of Dirac

 $(29)$ 

quantization. The rescaling does not break any (0-form) symmetry including supersymmetry but breaks some 1-form symmetries [\[44\]](#page-6-9).

Further, the theory has a realization in the context of 3D–3D correspondence, where the 3-manifold in question is a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold whose hyperbolic volume is  $\text{Im}(\text{Li}_2(e^{i\pi/2})) = 1.01494$  [\[45\]](#page-6-10). From infinitely many<br>Debn surgery representations of the 3-manifold, we have Dehn surgery representations of the 3-manifold, we have infinitely many dual descriptions of the Abelian gauge theory [\[46\]](#page-6-11).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Victor Mikhaylov for collaboration in a related project [\[15\],](#page-5-5) from which this project emerged as an enhancement. We also thank Kimyeong Lee, Piljin Yi, Sangmin Lee, Sungjay Lee, Jaemo Park, Seok Kim, Jaewon Song, Prarit Agarwal, Kazuya Yonekura, and Zohar Komargodski for useful comments. The work of D. G. was supported by the Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project No. SSTBA1402-08. The work of M. Y. was supported by JSPS Grant No. 17KK0087.

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, Field theory interpretation of supergauges in dual models, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(71)90351-8) B34, 632 [\(1971\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(71)90351-8)
- [2] Yu. A. Golfand and E. P. Likhtman, Extension of the algebra of Poincare group generators and violation of  $p$  invariance, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 13, 452 (1971) [JETP Lett. 13, 323 (1971)].
- [3] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Supergauge transformations in four-dimensions, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90355-1) B70, 39 (1974).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[4] S.-S. Lee, Emergence of supersymmetry at a critical point of a lattice model, Phys. Rev. B 76[, 075103 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.075103)
- [5] Y. Yu and K. Yang, Simulating Wess-Zumino Supersymmetry Model in Optical Lattices, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.150605) 105, [150605 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.150605)
- [6] P. Ponte and S.-S. Lee, Emergence of supersymmetry on the surface of three dimensional topological insulators, New J. Phys. 16[, 013044 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/1/013044).
- [7] T. Grover, D. N. Sheng, and A. Vishwanath, Emergent space-time supersymmetry at the boundary of a topological phase, Science 344[, 280 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248253).
- [8] N. Zerf, C.-H. Lin, and J. Maciejko, Superconducting quantum criticality of topological surface states at three loops, Phys. Rev. B 94[, 205106 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.205106).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[9] A. J. Niemi and G. W. Semenoff, Axial Anomaly Induced Fermion Fractionization and Effective Gauge Theory Actions in Odd Dimensional Space-Times, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.2077) 51, [2077 \(1983\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.2077).
- [10] A. N. Redlich, Gauge Noninvariance and Parity Violation of Three-Dimensional Fermions, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.18) 52, 18 [\(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.18)
- [11] A. N. Redlich, Parity violation and gauge noninvariance of the effective gauge field action in three-dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 29[, 2366 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.29.2366)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[12] D.L. Jafferis, The exact superconformal R-symmetry extremizes Z, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2012\) 159.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2012)159)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[13] This is defined from the two-point function of the stress-energy tensor [\[14\],](#page-5-11) see [\(8\)](#page-1-2) for similar quantities for conserved currents.
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[14] H. Osborn and A.C. Petkou, Implications of conformal invariance in field theories for general dimensions, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1994.1045) **231**, 311 (1994).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[15] D. Gang, V. Mikhaylov, and M. Yamazaki (to be published).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[16] K. Maruyoshi and J. Song, Enhancement of Supersymmetry via Renormalization Group Flow and the Superconformal Index, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118[, 151602 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.151602)
- [17] K. Maruyoshi and J. Song,  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  deformations and RG flows of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFTs, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)075) [075.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)075)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[18] P. C. Argyres and M. R. Douglas, New phenomena in SU(3) supersymmetric gauge theory, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00281-V) B448, 93 (1995).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[19] P. Liendo, I. Ramirez, and J. Seo, Stress-tensor OPE in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal theories, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)019) [\(2016\) 019.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)019)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[20] P. Agarwal, K. Maruyoshi, and J. Song,  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  deformations and RG flows of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFTs, part II: Non-principal deformations, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2016\) 103;](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)103) [04](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)113) [\(2017\) 113\(A\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)113)
- [21] P. Agarwal, A. Sciarappa, and J. Song,  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  Lagrangians for generalized Argyres-Douglas theories, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)211) [Phys. 10 \(2017\) 211.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)211)
- [22] S. Benvenuti and S. Giacomelli, Lagrangians for generalized Argyres-Douglas theories, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)106) [\(2017\) 106.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)106)
- [23] P. Agarwal, K. Maruyoshi, and J. Song, A "Lagrangian" for the  $E_7$  superconformal theory, [J. High Energy Phys. 05](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)193) [\(2018\) 193.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)193)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[24] D. Gaiotto, Z. Komargodski, and J. Wu, Curious aspects of three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  SCFTs, [J. High Energy Phys. 08](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)004) [\(2018\) 004.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)004)
- [25] F. Benini and S. Benvenuti,  $N = 1$  QED in 2 + 1 dimensions: Dualities and enhanced symmetries, [arXiv:1804.05707.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1804.05707)
- [26] C. Closset, T. T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia, Z. Komargodski, and N. Seiberg, Contact terms, unitarity, and F-maximization in three-dimensional superconformal theories, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)053) [Phys. 10 \(2012\) 053.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)053)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[27] C. Cordova, T. T. Dumitrescu, and K. Intriligator, Multiplets of superconformal symmetry in diverse dimensions, [arXiv:](http://arXiv.org/abs/1612.00809) [1612.00809.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1612.00809)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[28] S. M. Chester, L. V. Iliesiu, M. Mezei, and S. S. Pufu, Monopole operators in  $U(1)$  Chern-Simons-Matter theories, [arXiv:1710.00654.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1710.00654)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[29] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla, and S. Raju, Indices for superconformal field theories in 3, 5 and 6 dimensions, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2008\) 064.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/064)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[30] T. Dimofte, D. Gaiotto, and S. Gukov, 3-Manifolds and 3d Indices, [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2013.v17.n5.a3) 17, 975 (2013).
- [31] S. Kim, The complete superconformal index for  $N = 6$ Chern-Simons theory, Nucl. Phys. B821[, 241 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.06.025); Erratum, Nucl. Phys. 864[, 884\(E\) \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.07.015)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[32] Y. Terashima and M. Yamazaki, SL(2,R) Chern-Simons, Liouville, and Gauge theory on duality walls, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)135) [Energy Phys. 08 \(2011\) 135.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)135)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[33] T. Dimofte, D. Gaiotto, and S. Gukov, Gauge theories labelled by three-manifolds, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-013-1863-2) 325, [367 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-013-1863-2).
- [34] S. Lee and M. Yamazaki, 3d Chern-Simons theory from M5-branes, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2013\) 035.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2013)035)
- [35] C. Cordova and D. L. Jafferis, Complex Chern-Simons from M5-branes on the squashed three-sphere, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2017)119) [Phys. 11 \(2017\) 119.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2017)119)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[36] D. Gang, E. Koh, S. Lee, and J. Park, Superconformal index and 3d-3d correspondence for mapping cylinder/torus, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2014\) 063.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2014)063)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[37] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, S-Duality of boundary conditions in  $N = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory, [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2009.v13.n3.a5) 13[, 721 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2009.v13.n3.a5)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[38] Such a diagonal gauging can also be applied to generalized  $T[SU(N)]$  theories and the gravity duals of the resulting non-Lagrangian 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories are studied in resulting non-Lagrangian 3d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theories are studied in Ref. [\[39\].](#page-6-12)
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[39] B. Assel and A. Tomasiello, Holographic duals of 3d S-fold CFTs, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2018\) 019.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2018)019)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[40] D. Gaiotto and X. Yin, Notes on superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter theories, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/08/056) [056.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/08/056)
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[41] Note that the superpotential deformation share the same group theoretical structure under the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superconformal algebra with the usual  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry preserving superpotential term  $Tr[\phi_1 \Phi \phi_2]$ . Here  $\Phi$  is an adjoint chiral<br>multiplet in  $\mathcal{N} - 4$  vector multiplet and two chiral multiplemultiplet in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  vector multiplet and two chiral multiplets  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  in (fundamental, antifundamental) form a fundamental hypermultiplet. In the comparision, Φ corresponds to  $\mu_c$  and  $\phi_1\phi_2$  corresponds to  $\mu_H$ .
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[42] Suppose that the product SCFT  $T \otimes T$ , where  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SCFT, has  $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$  SUSY.  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  stress-energy tensor multiplet contains an  $\mathcal{N} = 2 A_1 \overline{A}_1 [j = \frac{1}{2}]$  $\sum_{\Delta=3/2}^{r=0}$  multiplet. From the unitary bound,  $\Delta \ge j + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{j,0} + \delta_{j,1/2})$ for non-identity operator, we see that the bottom component can not be constructed from a product of two non-identity operators in  $T$  unless  $T$  is a free-chiral theory. This implies that T itself should have the multiplet and thus has  $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$ SUSY. We can then use the superconformal index computation in 17 and appeal to sufficient condition for the supersymmetry enhancement criterion in Ref. [\[43\]](#page-6-13) and check that the  $T_{k=-3/2,Q=1}$  has  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SUSY.
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>[43] M. Evtikhiev, Studying superconformal symmetry enhancement through indices, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2018\) 120.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2018)120)
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[44] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, Generalized global symmetries, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)172) [\(2015\) 172.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)172)
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[45] D. Gang, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura, Smallest 3d hyperbolic manifolds via simple 3d theories, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.061701) 96[, 061701 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.061701)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[46] D. Gang and K. Yonekura, Symmetry enhancement and closing of knots in 3d/3d correspondence, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)145) [Phys. 07 \(2018\) 145.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)145)