Probing the dark matter-electron interactions via hydrogen-atmosphere pulsating white dwarfs

Jia-Shu Niu,^{1,2,3[,*](#page-0-0)} Tianjun Li,^{2,3,[†](#page-0-1)} Weikai Zong,⁴ Hui-Fang Xue,⁴ and Yang Wang⁵

¹Institute of Theoretical Physics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China ^{2}CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics

 2 CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China ³

 3 School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

No. 19A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, China ⁴

Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

5 School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China

(Received 26 September 2017; revised manuscript received 10 January 2018; published 28 November 2018)

In this work, we propose a novel scenario to probe the interactions between dark matter (DM) particles and electrons via hydrogen-atmosphere pulsating white dwarfs (DAVs) in globular clusters. In this special configuration, the DM particles, which are predominantly captured by multiple scattering with the electrons in a DAV, would annihilate by pairs and provide extra energy source to the DAV. This mechanism slows down the natural cooling evolution which can be presented by the period variation rates of pulsation modes. The differences between the secular rates predicted by the precise asteroseismology and the secular rates obtained from observation can reveal the DM-electron interactions. An important observable has been proposed and corresponding estimations have been made. According to the estimations, if this scenario could be implemented in the near future, the potential sensitivity on m_y (DM particle's mass) and $\sigma_{y,e}$ (elastic scattering cross section between DM and electron) could be hopefully extended to a region 5 GeV $\lesssim m_\chi \lesssim 10^4$ GeV and $\sigma_{\chi,e} \gtrsim 10^{-40}$ cm². Combining with indirect DM detection results, this could give us a cross check on the existence of such leptonphilic DM particles to some extent.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.103023](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.103023)

I. INTRODUCTION

Although dark matter (DM) contributes to 26.8% of the total energy density of the Universe [\[1\]](#page-5-0), the particle nature of DM remains largely unknown. In recent years, DM particle candidates have been searched for via three main strategies, i.e., direct detection, indirect detection, and collider searches (see, e.g., [\[2\]](#page-5-1) for reviews). For direct detection and collider searches, null results have been obtained yet, and the most stringent constraint from the current experiments on the elastic scattering cross section between DM and nucleon is $\sigma_{\chi,n} \lesssim 4.1 \times 10^{-47}$ cm² for a DM particle's mass $m_{\chi} = 30$ GeV, and that between DM and electron is $\sigma_{\chi,e} < 3 \times 10^{-38}$ cm² for $m_{\chi} = 100$ MeV and $\sigma_{\gamma,e}$ < 10⁻³⁷ cm² for 20 MeV $\leq m_{\gamma} \leq 1$ GeV [\[3,4\]](#page-5-2).

An alternative DM search can be carried out on the celestial objects, such as stars, which have huge volumes and large masses compared to the manual facilities. There are some branches which have been worked on: the Sun and main-sequence stars, considered for the precise properties of their interior structures from helioseismology and asteroseismology (see, e.g., [\[5](#page-5-3)–7]); and compact stars [i.e., white dwarfs (WD) and neutron stars (NS)], considered for their deficiency of nuclear energy source (see, e.g., [8–[11\]](#page-5-4)). Nevertheless, most of these attempts concentrate on the interactions between DM and nucleons whose cross section $(\sigma_{\gamma}$ _n) has been constrained below 4.1 × 10⁻⁴⁷ cm² by direct detection [\[3\].](#page-5-2) In order to enhance the interacting effects between DM and nucleons over the entire stars, a circumstance with high DM local density is preferred, such as the stars in galaxy centers, globular clusters and dwarf galaxies.

The different schemes in this field are listed in Table [I](#page-1-0). In main sequence stars, the extra energy source from captured and then annihilated DM particles can be ignorable compared to the nuclear fusion, so one has to use the other DM particle models in which they cannot annihilate by pairs. As a result, in Scheme I we focus on the DM particles that cannot annihilate [i.e., asymmetric DM or (ADM)], and consider the energy transferring effects of DM particles which lead to a change in the core structure (which can be detected by asteroseismology) of the star. In Scheme II, the absolute luminosities of the stars cannot be directly detected in real cases, which is caused by the unknown distance to the star and the effects on dispersion. More importantly, we cannot determine the structure and

[^{*}](#page-0-2) jsniu@sxu.edu.cn [†](#page-0-2) tli@itp.ac.cn

Schemes	DM models	Techniques	Stars	Environments	DM Effects	Observables References	
	ADM		Asteroseismology Main sequence stars Usual		Transferring energy	$P_{\rm obs}$	$[5-7]$
\mathbf{H}	WIMPs	Direct observation Compact stars		DM-dense	Extra source	$L_{\rm obs}$	$[8-11]$
III	Axions	Asteroseismology Pulsating WDs		Usual	Bring energy	$P_{\rm obs}, P_{\rm obs}$	[12, 13]
This work	WIMPs	Asteroseismology DAVs		Globular clusters Extra source		$P_{\rm obs}, P_{\rm obs}$	\cdots

TABLE I. The comparison between different Schemes in this field.

constituents of the star only from the luminosity, which is the key point for estimating the DM captured effects. Consequently, in this Scheme, it is always suitable to do statistical research rather than individual ones. In Scheme III, the motivation is to explain the too fast cooling process of some pulsating WDs. The generation of axion in such stars increase the cooling rates of them, which in reverse can give constraints on axion mass from observations.

White dwarfs (WDs) are thought to be the final evolutionary state of stars whose masses are not high enough to become neutron stars or black holes, which would include over 97% of the stars in the Milky Way [\[14\]](#page-6-0) and each of them is composed by an electron-degenerate core and an atmosphere envelope. They are considered to be the most electron-dense objects and can be the most promising laboratories to measure the DM-electron interactions.

The hydrogen-atmosphere pulsating white dwarfs (DAVs) are a type of pulsating WD with hydrogen-dominated atmospheres and the spectral type DA. Precise asteroseismology on DAVs can reveal their interior structures and determine the rates of the period variations which are related with their pulsation modes spanning over long time scales (see, e.g., [\[15\]](#page-6-1) for reviews). These secular rates reveal the evolutionary cooling rates of DAVs which can be described by the standard cooling model (SCM). However, the DM particles, which are captured by multiple scattering with the DAVs' constituents, would annihilate by pairs and provide extra energy source to the stars. The natural cooling process would be slowed down and could be detected by measuring the secular rates of the period variations. In reverse, we can use these secular rates to constrain the interactions between DM and DAVs' constituents (nucleons and electrons).

In this work, we consider the DAVs in the central region of globular clusters and focus on the DM-electron interactions. The paper is organized as follows. We first introduce the capture rate of DM particles in DAVs and the rate of period variations of DAVs in Secs. [II](#page-1-1) and [III](#page-2-0), respectively. Then we present the estimations and some discussions in Secs. [IV](#page-2-1) and [V.](#page-3-0) Finally, future utility of this scheme is given in Sec. [VI.](#page-4-0)

II. THE CAPTURE RATE OF DM PARTICLES IN DAVS

Galactic DM particles are inevitably streaming through any celestial object. Those particles will loose energy when they scatter with nucleons (which we mostly did not consider in this paper) or electrons inside the celestial object, leading to their speed decreasing. If the velocity of the DM particles reaches below the escape velocity, they will be "captured," i.e., they become bound to the star. Regardless of the effect of evaporation, which is not important in this paper where we consider the DM particle mass $m_{\gamma} > 5$ GeV [\[16,17\]](#page-6-2), the evolution of the total number of DM particles, N_{γ} , inside the star (or any celestial object) can be written as

$$
\dot{N}_{\chi} = \Gamma_c - 2\Gamma_a,\tag{1}
$$

where Γ_c is the particle capture rate, $\Gamma_a = \frac{1}{2} C_a N_\chi^2$ is the annihilation rate in the total star, and C_a is the annihilation rate per pair of DM particles. Therefore, we have $N_{\chi} = \Gamma_c \tau \tanh(\frac{t}{\tau})$ with the equilibrium time scale $\tau = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_a \Gamma_c}}$. When the dynamic equilibrium state is reached, the DM capture rate is balanced by the annihilation one [\[18\]](#page-6-3), i.e., $\Gamma_c = 2\Gamma_a$.

In our case, (i) the mean free path of the DM particles in the star is small compared to the size of the star; (ii) DM particle mass is very large compared to the interaction constituent in the star (electrons). Consequently, we use the results recently developed by Bramante et al. [\[19\]](#page-6-4) to calculate the DM capture rate of the star, in which case the DM particles are predominantly captured by scattering multiple times rather than only once. The DM capture rate obtained by N times scatterings (Γ_c^N) can be described by Eq. (22) in Ref. [\[19\],](#page-6-4) and the DM capture rate is the sum over all N of the individual Γ_c^N , $\Gamma_c = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_c^N$.

Further, we make some additional simplifications as well: (i) a uniform distribution of matter in a DAV: $\rho_*(r) =$ $\rho_* = M_*/V_*$ ($\rho_*, M_*,$ and V_* are the density, mass, and volume of a DAV, respectively); (ii) The same chemical composition over the entire scattering volume V_* ; (iii) A uniform temperature profile [calculated from Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-2)] in DAV because of the extremely high thermal conductivity of an electron degenerate core; (iv) As DAVs are always electrically neutral, we use the values $\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_*}{m_p}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho_*}{m_p}$ as the total number of electrons (N_e) and local number density of electrons (n_e) in a DAV, respectively $(m_p$ and m_e are the mass of protons and electrons).

The Knudsen number K , which indicates the "localization" of the DM transport, is

$$
K = \frac{l_{\chi}(0)}{r_{\chi}},\tag{2}
$$

where $l_{\chi}(0) = [\sigma_{\chi,e} \cdot n_e(0)]^{-1} = [\sigma_{\chi,e} \cdot n_e]^{-1}$ is the mean free length in the center of the star and $r_{\chi} = \sqrt{\frac{3kT_c}{2\pi G \rho_c m_{\chi}}}$ is the typical scale of the DM core in the star. Here T_c and ρ_c are respectively the temperature and density of the star's core, G is the gravitational constant, and k is the Boltzmann constant.

Following Refs. [\[18,20,21\]](#page-6-3), in the case of large K (for DAVs), the DM particles' distribution in the star can be described by

$$
n_{\chi}(r) = n_{\chi}(0) \cdot \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r}{r_{\chi}}\right)^{2}\right].
$$
 (3)

The annihilation term can be computed by a separate way as follows:

$$
\Gamma_a = \int_0^{R_*} dr 4\pi r^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma_{\chi,\chi} v \rangle n_\chi^2(r). \tag{4}
$$

The factor $1/2$ ($1/4$) in the equation above is appropriate for self (nonself) conjugate particles and $\langle \sigma_{\chi} v \rangle$ is the velocity-averaged DM annihilation cross section ($\sigma_{\chi,\chi}$) multiplied by DM relative velocity (v) .

If an equilibrium between capture and annihilation is reached, the annihilation rate reduces to $\Gamma_a = 1/2\Gamma_c$ and it is independent on the annihilation cross section. With the value of $\langle \sigma_{\chi\chi} v \rangle \simeq 3 \times 10^{-26}$ cm³ s⁻¹, we can impose $\Gamma_a = 1/2\Gamma_c$ to do the normalization and get $n_{\chi}(0)$. Thus, the distribution $n_{\gamma}(r)$ is specified and all the related values in this equilibrium state are known.

III. THE RATE OF PERIOD VARIATIONS OF DAVS

The period variation of a DAV is related to two physical processes in the star: the cooling of the star and the contraction of its atmosphere, and is given by

$$
\frac{\dot{P}}{P} \simeq -a\frac{\dot{T}_c}{T_c} + b\frac{\dot{R}}{R},\qquad(5)
$$

where P is the pulsation period for the $m = 0$ multiplet component, T_c is the maximum (normally, core) temperature, R is the stellar radius, and \dot{P} , \dot{T} , and \dot{R} are the respective temporal variation rates $[15]$. The constants a and b are positive numbers of order unity. For DAVs, cooling dominates over gravitational contraction, in such a way that the second term in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-3) is usually negligible, and only positive values of the observed period variation rate are expected [\[15,22](#page-6-1)–24].

From the structure of a WD's envelope, we have [\[25\]](#page-6-5)

$$
T_0^{\frac{7}{2}} = B \frac{L_*/L_{\odot}}{M_*/M_{\odot}},\tag{6}
$$

where $B \simeq 1.67 \times 10^{27}$ is a constant and T_0 is the interface temperature between the core and envelope, and L_* and L_{\odot} are the luminosities of the star and sun, respectively.

If we use the approximation $T_0 \simeq T_c$ (for DAVs) in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-2), substitute the result into Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-3) and ignore the mass variation term during the cooling [\[15,22,23\]](#page-6-1), we obtain

$$
\frac{\dot{P}}{P} \simeq -\frac{2a \,\dot{L}_*}{7 \,\dot{L}_*}.\tag{7}
$$

According to the annihilation of DM in a DAV, if the equilibrium state has been reached, the luminosity of the DAV should be $L_{obs} = L_{SCM} + L_{\gamma}$, where L_{obs} is the total observed luminosity of the DAV, L_{SCM} is the normal luminosity in the SCM, and L_{χ} is the luminosity purely due to the annihilation of DM.

From the above section, we get $L_{\chi} = 2 \cdot \Gamma_a m_{\chi} c^2 =$ $\Gamma_c m_{\gamma} c^2$. One should note that once the equilibrium state is reached, L_{γ} should not change with time. As a result, we have $L_{obs} = L_{SCM}$. Thus, the relationship between the rate of period variation and the luminosity should be (replace L_* with L_{obs})

$$
\frac{\dot{P}_{\text{obs}}}{\dot{P}_{\text{SCM}}} = \frac{L_{\text{SCM}}}{L_{\text{SCM}} + L_{\chi}},\tag{8}
$$

in which we impose that the period from model calculation P_{SCM} equals the value from observation P_{obs} . Here, we ignore the effects of DM on the period of pulsation, because (i) the total mass of the DM particles in such DAV can be ignored compared to the star mass (see below for the estimation), and we can ignore their gravitational effects on the star's pulsation; (ii) the period of a star is a dynamical quantity which is determined by its interior structure, and is not directly related to the energy injection in the star.

In SCM, the period variation of a DAV depends on the stellar mass and core composition, and can be expressed as a function of the mean atomic weight A [\[26,27\],](#page-6-6)

$$
\dot{P}_{SCM} = dP/dt = (3-4) \times 10^{-15} \frac{A}{14} \text{ s s}^{-1}. \tag{9}
$$

In this paper, we use a mean atomic weight of 14, which is consistent with the cooling rate of DAVs with a carbonoxygen core [\[28\]](#page-6-7).

IV. ESTIMATION

In this work, we consider the DAVs in the central region of globular clusters and focus on the DM-electron interactions. Globular clusters are always considered as local DM-dense environments and the velocities between their member stars and the DM subhalo surrounding them can be measured precisely [\[29,30\].](#page-6-8) Both of them can increase the DM capture rate of DAVs.

Although we have no identified DAVs in globular clusters yet due to the lack of scientific aims to do long time-series photometry observations by large aperture telescopes, we can put a well-studied DAV in a wellstudied globular cluster to do the estimation.

G117-B15A, whose \dot{P}_{obs} matches \dot{P}_{SCM} well within uncertainties [\[31\]](#page-6-9) and its structure details have been determined by asteroseismology in Refs. [\[12,31,32\]](#page-5-5). Here we use the structure details from Table 5, Ref. [\[31\]](#page-6-9).

In order to get large DM density, we choose ω Cen as the globular cluster to do the estimation. Amaro-Seoane et al. [\[11\]](#page-5-6) has estimated $\rho_{\gamma} \simeq 4 \times 10^3$ GeV cm⁻³ near the center of ω Cen without an intermediate-mass black hole (IMBH) and 4×10^3 GeV cm⁻³ $\leq \rho_{\gamma} \leq 4 \times 10^9$ GeV cm⁻³ with an IMBH. We here choose $\rho_{\chi} = 4 \times 10^3$ GeV cm⁻³ to do the estimation. From Refs. [\[29,30\],](#page-6-8) the members of this cluster are orbiting the center of mass with a peak velocity dispersion $v_* \simeq 7.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Near a IMBH, where orbital motion around a single mass dominates, the test particle (DM or star) velocities are Keplerian, $v_* = \bar{v}$ (\bar{v} is the mean velocity of the test particles).

With the above configurations, if we fix the $m_{\chi} =$ 100 GeV and $\sigma_{\chi,e} = 10^{-38}$ cm², we obtain the luminosity with DM annihilation of the DAV as $L_x \approx 1.04 \times 10^{31}$ erg s⁻¹, which is about one order larger than the $L_{SCM} \simeq 1.23 \times$ 10³⁰ erg s[−]¹. As a result, the period variation of DAV should be $\dot{P}_{\text{obs}} \approx 0.1 \times \dot{P}_{\text{SCM}} \approx (0.3-0.4) \times 10^{-15} \frac{A}{14} \text{ s s}^{-1} \approx$ $(0.3-0.4) \times 10^{-15}$ ss⁻¹, which is obviously smaller than the value from SCM $[15]$ (see Eq. (9)).

Moreover, we get the equilibrium time scale $\tau \simeq 4.89$ yr, which is really a short period compared with the time scale of DAV formation processes. Thus, we can consider DAVs to be always in the state of DM capture and annihilation equilibria which has been assumed in this paper. The total DM mass in the DAV is about 1.8×10^{18} g $\ll M_*$, and then its gravitational effects on the DAV's interior structure can be neglected, which is consistent with our assumption $P_{SCM} = P_{obs}$ to determine the interior structure of a DAV.

Furthermore, we make a similar estimation with the same configurations as above considering the DM-nucleon interactions. In this case, the formula in Ref. [\[33\]](#page-6-10) was used, which is constructed with the single scattering capture for the case that the mean free path of the DM particles in DAV is much larger compared to the size of the star. At last, we obtain $L_{\chi} \simeq 1.49 \times 10^{24} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (here we choose $\sigma_{\chi,n} = 10^{-46}$ cm² and $m_{\chi} = 100$ GeV), which can be ignored compared with the L_x from electrons. Consequently, it is a reasonable assumption to consider DM captured by interactions with electrons alone in a DAV.

V. DISCUSSIONS

In this work, benefiting from (i) the large DM local density in the central region of globular clusters; (ii) The relatively small velocity dispersion of the members in globular clusters; (iii) The large escape velocity of DAVs; (iv) The large electron density in DAVs; (v) The DM particle multiscattering captured by DAVs, we found that the luminosity due to the DM annihilation would be large ($L_{\gamma}/L_{\text{SCM}} \sim 10$) in our estimation. But in real cases, the absolute luminosity of a star cannot be determined directly due to the unknown distance to the star and the effects on dispersion. More importantly, we cannot determine the structure and constituents of the star only from the absolute luminosity, which is the key point for estimating the DM captured effects. Consequently, some of the previous works [8–[11\]](#page-5-4) which detect DM annihilation in compact stars according to directly observing their luminosities are difficult to achieve, and they are only suitable to do statistical researches rather than individual ones under the precondition of calibrating the stars' relative luminosity.

Fortunately, a DAV's internal structure and long time evolution in SCM (represented by \dot{P}_{SCM}) can be well modeled by precise asteroseismology, which just need the data from high-precision time-series photometry. At the same time, these photometry data can also provide us \dot{P}_{obs} , which represents the real long time evolution of a DAV. Compared with these two long time scale quantities, the influence from the DM-electron interaction would be probed.

The flow diagram is presented in Fig. [1](#page-3-1). From Fig. [1,](#page-3-1) we can find the uncertainties of the final results from L_{SCM} ,

FIG. 1. The flow diagram of this work.

 \dot{P}_{SCM} , L_{χ} , and \dot{P}_{obs} . In a classical asteroseismology on a DAV (see, e.g., [\[12\]](#page-5-5)), the uncertainties for both L_{SCM} and \dot{P}_{SCM} are 7%, and \dot{P}_{obs} can be determined from observation within 17% uncertainty. In a multiscattering captured process, the scattering times N is always chosen to be the cutoff at a large number (in this paper, we choose $N = 100$, which leads to an underestimate of L_{γ} by a factor \lesssim 0.001%), but this uncertainty can be suppressed to be less than any given small value theoretically. Another type of uncertainty comes from the physical properties of the globular cluster where the DAVs are located. Taking ω Cen as an example, if the distribution model of DM particles is given, we find that the DM local density ρ_{γ} can be gotten with an uncertainty of ∼20% [\[34\].](#page-6-11) The velocity dispersion v_* (also \bar{v}) has an uncertainty of 30%. Because $v_{\text{esc}} \gg v_*$ in our case, its uncertainty has a negligible influence on L_{χ} .

Here, we want to emphasize that, because we have never done long time-series photometric observations on the DAVs in globular clusters, the relevant uncertainties of the DAVs in the above estimations are obtained from the local ones which have been studied successfully by asteroseismology based on long time-series observations. These observations are always implemented by groundbased optical telescopes of about 1–3 meters. We hope that the new generation ground-based or space optical telescopes would give us a comparable or even better result on the DAVs in globular clusters.

A. Relations to DM indirect detection

The observations from high-energy cosmic ray (CR) spectra provide us some more important hints. Although the antiproton spectrum [\[35\]](#page-6-12) has shown some excess which cannot explained by conventional propagation models (see, e.g., [\[36\]](#page-6-13)), and some works (see, e.g., [\[37,38\]](#page-6-14)) propose DM interpretations. But if we consider the uncertainties from the antiproton production cross section [\[39\]](#page-6-15) and the hardening of the primary source injection spectra of proton and helium [40–[42\],](#page-6-16) the excess in the antiproton spectrum cannot give us a concrete conclusion. At the same time, the high-energy electron/ positron spectra show a obvious excess confirmed by many experiments (such as ATIC [\[43\],](#page-6-17) PAMELA [\[44\]](#page-6-18), AMS-02 [45–[48\],](#page-6-19) and DAMPE [\[49\]\)](#page-6-20), which is still a big unsolved problem in CR physics. This anomaly can be interpreted by both astrophysical scenarios (such as pulsar and supernova remnants, see, e.g. [50–[52\]\)](#page-7-0) and DM scenario (annihilation and decay, see, e.g., [53–[55\]\)](#page-7-1). Although recent work from Abeysekara et al. [\[56\]](#page-7-2) claimed that local pulsars could not contribute enough positrons to reproduce the observed CR positron spectrum, the origin of the positron excess is still unclear.

If we want to ascribe the electron/positron excess to DM annihilation, these DM particles should annihilate mainly (or totally) via lepton channels.¹ Such kinds of DM particles (always called leptonphilic DM particles, see, e.g., [57–[61\]\)](#page-7-3) should interact mainly (or totally) with leptons other than nucleons. This means that, for such kinds of DM particles, the effective elastic scattering cross section between DM and leptons ($\sigma_{\chi,e}$) should be much larger than that between DM and nucleons ($\sigma_{\chi,n}$). Because the relation between $\sigma_{\chi,e}$ and $\sigma_{\chi,\chi}^2$ is based on specific DM particle models, the measurements on $\sigma_{\chi,e}$ could not only give a cross-check on the existence of such leptonphilic DM particles, but also give us hints to construct relevant DM particle models as well.

Unfortunately, the DM particle's mass derived from lepton spectra is always in the range of about 100 GeV − 10 TeV [53–[55,62](#page-7-1)–64], which is out of the current direct detectors' sensitivity on DM-electron interactions $(\leq 1 \text{ GeV})$. Consequently, new detecting scenarios should be implemented, and the scheme in this work could give a cross-check on the existence of such DM particles to some extent.

VI. FUTURE UTILITY

A. Observing DAVs in Globular Clusters

Considering all the uncertainties listed above, based on current observations, if we could find a DAV like G117- B15A in such a DM-dense environment (in the central region of ω Cen), and do successful asteroseismology according to the observation, the prospective sensitivity region on $m_{\chi} - \sigma_{\chi,e}$ diagram can be gotten in Fig. [2.](#page-5-7) In this figure, each value of $\dot{P}_{obs}/\dot{P}_{SCM}$ corresponds to a line on $m_\chi - \sigma_{\chi,e}$ diagram. Considering a value of $\dot{P}_{\rm obs}/\dot{P}_{\rm SCM}$ with uncertainties from observations, we could obtain a band in which the DM particles live.

In the near future, based on the precise measurements of the distance, the proper motion and radial velocity by Gaia (which has already launched in 2013) and the accumulation of high-precision time-series photometry data from Gaia, the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS, which has already launched in 2018) or the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), the uncertainty of $P_{\rm obs}/P_{\rm SCM}$ could hopefully achieve a level of \lesssim 10%. In such cases, the detectable DM-electron interaction parameter space could be extended to 5 GeV $\lesssim m_{\nu} \lesssim 10^4$ GeV and $\sigma_{\chi,e} \gtrsim 10^{-40}$ cm².

If the observed result is positive $(\dot{P}_{obs}/\dot{P}_{SCM})$ has a high confidential less than 0.9), the existence of leptonphilic DM particles could be confirmed to some extent, and the allowed parameter space on $m_{\chi} - \sigma_{\chi,e}$ could be determined.

¹Because if it is not so, there should be obvious excess in the CR antiproton spectrum.

The DM particle annihilation cross section, which can be derived from CR lepton spectra.

FIG. 2. The prospective constraints on $\sigma_{\chi,e} - m_{\chi}$ from our estimations. The lower limit of the exclusive line is determined by the uncertainty from $\dot{P}_{obs}/\dot{P}_{SCM}$. The black line represents the exclusive line based on current uncertainty (Here, "current uncertainty" means the uncertainty from the observation and model construction of G117-B15A, which represent a classical level on current asteroseismology of DAVs) on P_{obs}/P_{SCM} , and the colored dashed lines correspond to specific $\dot{P}_{obs}/\dot{P}_{SCM}$ values. In the near future, the exclusive line would be extended to the line $\dot{P}_{\rm obs}/\dot{P}_{\rm SCM} = 0.9.$

Furthermore, combining with the allowed parameter space from CR lepton spectra, we would determine the value of m_{χ} , $\sigma_{\chi,e}$, $\sigma_{\chi,\chi}$ and construct relevant DM particle models.

On the other hand, if the observed result is negative $(P_{obs}/P_{SCM}$ is approximately equal to or larger than 0.9), some of the leptonphilic DM-particle models could be excluded, just like current situations in DM direct detection.

B. Observing nearby DAVs

Recently released DAMPE lepton spectrum [\[49\]](#page-6-20) shows a tentative peak at ∼1.4 TeV which attracts many works (see, e.g., [\[65](#page-7-4)–68]) to interpret. As the statements listed above, both astrophysical and DM scenarios can give an explanation for the peak to some extent. Although the confidential level of this peak signal is about $2-3\sigma$ [\[67,68\],](#page-7-5) as the accumulation of the counts, it will give us clearer results. If the peak signal is proved to be true, local DM substructures are needed to perform explanations, whose DM local density of their central region can reach up to 2×10^3 GeV cm⁻³ [\[69\].](#page-7-6) If the widely spread DAVs are located in them, we could discover these nearby DM substructures according to the observation of these nearby DAVs. This would provide another independent method to discover and confirm nearby DM substructures. Additionally, this task can be implemented by many ground-based optical telescopes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China Projects No. 11475238 and No. 11875062, Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences No. 11747601. We would like to thank Marina Cermeño for her helpful suggestions. The numerical results described in this paper have been obtained via the HPC Cluster of ITP-CAS.

- [1] Planck Collaboration, Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters, [Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321591) 571, A16 (2014).
- [2] G. Bertone, D. Hooper, and J. Silk, Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.08.031) 405, 279 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.08.031)
- [3] E. Aprile et al., Dark Matter Search Results from a One Tonne \times Year Exposure of XENON1T, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.111302) 121[, 111302 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.111302)
- [4] R. Essig, A. Manalaysay, J. Mardon, P. Sorensen, and T. Volansky, First Direct Detection Limits on Sub-GeV Dark Matter from XENON10, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109[, 021301 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.021301)
- [5] M. T. Frandsen and S. Sarkar, Asymmetric Dark Matter and the Sun, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105[, 011301 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.011301).
- [6] F. Iocco, M. Taoso, F. Leclercq, and G. Meynet, Main Sequence Stars with Asymmetric Dark Matter, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.061301) Lett. 108[, 061301 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.061301).
- [7] A. C. Vincent, P. Scott, and A. Serenelli, Possible Indication of Momentum-Dependent Asymmetric Dark Matter in the Sun, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114[, 081302 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.081302).
- [8] I.V. Moskalenko and L.L. Wai, Dark matter burners, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1086/516708) 659, L29 (2007).
- [9] G. Bertone and M. Fairbairn, Compact stars as dark matter probes, Phys. Rev. D 77[, 043515 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.043515).
- [10] T. J. Hurst, A. R. Zentner, A. Natarajan, and C. Badenes, Indirect probes of dark matter and globular cluster properties from dark matter annihilation within the coolest white dwarfs, Phys. Rev. D 91[, 103514 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.103514)
- [11] P. Amaro-Seoane, J. Casanellas, R. Schödel, E. Davidson, and J. Cuadra, Probing dark matter crests with white dwarfs and IMBHs, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw433) 459, 695 (2016).
- [12] A. H. Córsico, L. G. Althaus, M. M. Miller Bertolami, A. D. Romero, E. García-Berro, J. Isern, and S. O. Kepler, The rate of cooling of the pulsating white dwarf star G117- B15A: A new asteroseismological inference of the axion mass, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21401.x) 424, 2792 (2012).
- [13] A. H. Córsico, L. G. Althaus, A. D. Romero, A. S. Mukadam, E. García-Berro, J. Isern, S. O. Kepler, and M. A. Corti, An independent limit on the axion mass from the variable

white dwarf star R548, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2012/12/010) [\(2012\) 010.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2012/12/010)

- [14] G. Fontaine, P. Brassard, and P. Bergeron, The potential of white dwarf cosmochronology, [Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.](https://doi.org/10.1086/319535) 113, [409 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1086/319535).
- [15] D. E. Winget and S. O. Kepler, Pulsating white dwarf stars and precision asteroseismology, [Annu. Rev. Astron. As](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145250)trophys. 46[, 157 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145250)
- [16] A. Gould, Weakly interacting massive particle distribution in and evaporation from the sun, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/165652) 321, 560 [\(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/165652)
- [17] A. Gould, Evaporation of WIMPs with arbitrary cross sections, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/168840) 356, 302 (1990).
- [18] K. Griest and D. Seckel, Cosmic asymmetry, neutrinos and the sun, Nucl. Phys. B283[, 681 \(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90293-8)
- [19] J. Bramante, A. Delgado, and A. Martin, Multiscatter stellar capture of dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 96[, 063002 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.063002)
- [20] P. Scott, M. Fairbairn, and J. Edsjö, Dark stars at the Galactic Centre—the main sequence, [Mon. Not. R. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.14282.x) Soc. 394[, 82 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.14282.x)
- [21] M. Taoso, F. Iocco, G. Meynet, G. Bertone, and P. Eggenberger, Effect of low mass dark matter particles on the Sun, Phys. Rev. D 82[, 083509 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.083509)
- [22] G. Fontaine and P. Brassard, The pulsating white dwarf stars, [Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.](https://doi.org/10.1086/592788) 120, 1043 (2008).
- [23] L. G. Althaus, A. H. Córsico, J. Isern, and E. García-Berro, Evolutionary and pulsational properties of white dwarf stars, [Astron. Astrophys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-010-0033-1) 18, 471 (2010).
- [24] L. M. Calcaferro, A. H. Córsico, and L. G. Althaus, Pulsating low-mass white dwarfs in the frame of new evolutionary sequences. IV. The secular rate of period change, [Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630376) Astrophys. 600[, A73 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630376).
- [25] R. Kippenhahn, A. Weigert, and A. Weiss, Stellar Structure and Evolution, Astronomy and Astrophysics Library, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012), p. 488.
- [26] S. D. Kawaler, D. E. Winget, I. Iben, Jr., and C. J. Hansen, Evolutionary period changes in variable helium-rich white dwarfs, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/164013) 302, 530 (1986).
- [27] S.O. Kepler, O. Giovannini, M.A. Wood et al., Whole Earth Telescope Observations of the DAV white dwarf G226-29, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/175924) 447, 874 (1995).
- [28] A. S. Mukadam et al., Measuring the evolutionary rate of cooling of ZZ Ceti, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/771/1/17) 771, 17 (2013).
- [29] D. Merritt, G. Meylan, and M. Mayor, The stellar dynamics of omega centauri., Astron. J. 114[, 1074 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/118538)
- [30] G. van de Ven, R. C. E. van den Bosch, E. K. Verolme, and P. T. de Zeeuw, The dynamical distance and intrinsic structure of the globular cluster ω Centauri, [Astron. As](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20053061)trophys. 445[, 513 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20053061).
- [31] Y.-H. Chen, C.-Y. Ding, W.-W. Na, and H. Shu, The rate of period change in DAV stars, [Res. Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/17/7/65) 17, [065 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/17/7/65).
- [32] A. D. Romero, A. H. Córsico, L. G. Althaus, S. O. Kepler, B. G. Castanheira, and M. M. Miller Bertolami, Toward ensemble asteroseismology of ZZ Ceti stars with fully evolutionary models, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20134.x) 420, [1462 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20134.x).
- [33] A. Gould, Resonant enhancements in weakly interacting massive particle capture by the earth, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/165653) 321, 571 [\(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/165653)
- [34] R. D'Souza and H.-W. Rix, Mass estimates from stellar proper motions: The mass of ω Centauri, [Mon. Not. R.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts426) Astron. Soc. 429[, 1887 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts426).
- [35] M. Aguilar et al. (AMS Collaboration), Antiproton Flux, Antiproton-to-Proton Flux Ratio, and Properties of Elementary Particle Fluxes in Primary Cosmic Rays Measured with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.091103) 117, 091103 [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.091103).
- [36] J.-S. Niu and T. Li, Galactic cosmic-ray model in the light of AMS-02 nuclei data, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 023015 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.023015)
- [37] A. Cuoco, M. Krämer, and M. Korsmeier, Novel Dark Matter Constraints from Antiprotons in Light of AMS-02, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118[, 191102 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.191102)
- [38] M.-Y. Cui, O. Yuan, Y.-L. Sming Tsai, and Y.-Z. Fan, Possible Dark Matter Annihilation Signal in the AMS-02 Antiproton data, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118[, 191101 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.191101)
- [39] S.-J. Lin, X.-J. Bi, J. Feng, P.-F.Yin, and Z.-H. Yu, Systematic study on the cosmic ray antiproton flux, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.123010) Rev. D 96[, 123010 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.123010).
- [40] N. Tomassetti, Origin of the cosmic-ray spectral hardening, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/752/1/L13) 752, L13 (2012).
- [41] N. Tomassetti, Cosmic-ray protons, nuclei, electrons, and antiparticles under a two-halo scenario of diffusive propagation, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 081301 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.081301)
- [42] J.-S. Niu, T. Li, and H.-F. Xue, Bayesian analysis of the hardening in AMS-02 nuclei spectra, [arXiv:1810.09301.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1810.09301)
- [43] J. Chang, J. H. Adams, H. S. Ahn, G. L. Bashindzhagyan, M. Christl, O. Ganel, T. G. Guzik, J. Isbert, K. C. Kim, E. N. Kuznetsov, M. I. Panasyuk, A. D. Panov, W. K. H. Schmidt, E. S. Seo, N. V. Sokolskaya, J. W. Watts, J. P. Wefel, J. Wu, and V. I. Zatsepin, An excess of cosmic ray electrons at energies of 300–800 GeV, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07477) 456, 362 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07477)
- [44] O. Adriani et al. (PAMELA Collaboration), A statistical procedure for the identification of positrons in the PAMELA experiment, [Astropart. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2010.04.007) 34, 1 (2010).
- [45] M. Aguilar et al. (AMS Collaboration), Electron and Positron Fluxes in Primary Cosmic Rays Measured with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113[, 121102 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.121102).
- [46] M. Aguilar et al. (AMS Collaboration), Precision Measurement of the $(e^+ + e^-)$ Flux in Primary Cosmic Rays from 0.5 GeV to 1 TeV with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.221102) 113, [221102 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.221102)
- [47] M. Aguilar et al. (AMS Collaboration), First Result from the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station: Precision Measurement of the Positron Fraction in Primary Cosmic Rays of 0.5–350 GeV, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.141102) 110, [141102 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.141102)
- [48] L. Accardo et al. (AMS Collaboration), High Statistics Measurement of the Positron Fraction in Primary Cosmic Rays of 0.5–500 GeV with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.121101) 113, [121101 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.121101)
- [49] G. Ambrosi et al. (DAMPE Collaboration), Direct detection of a break in the teraelectronvolt cosmic-ray spectrum of electrons and positrons, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24475) 552, 63 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24475)
- [50] C. S. Shen, Pulsars and very high-energy cosmic-ray electrons, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1086/180650) 162, L181 (1970).
- [51] H. Yüksel, M. D. Kistler, and T. Stanev, TeV Gamma Rays from Geminga and the Origin of the GeV Positron Excess, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103[, 051101 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.051101)
- [52] P. Blasi, Origin of the Positron Excess in Cosmic Rays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103[, 051104 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.051104)
- [53] L. Bergström, T. Bringmann, and J. Edsjö, New positron spectral features from supersymmetric dark matter: A way to explain the PAMELA data?, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 103520 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.103520)
- [54] J. Zhang, X.-J. Bi, J. Liu, S.-M. Liu, P.-F. Yin, Q. Yuan, and S.-H. Zhu, Discriminating different scenarios to account for the cosmic e^{\pm} excess by synchrotron and inverse Compton radiation, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 023007 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.023007)
- [55] L. Bergström, J. Edsjö, and G. Zaharijas, Dark Matter Interpretation of Recent Electron and Positron Data, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.031103) Rev. Lett. 103[, 031103 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.031103)
- [56] A. U. Abeysekara et al. (HAWC Collaboration), Extended gamma-ray sources around pulsars constrain the origin of the positron flux at Earth, Science 358[, 911 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4880)
- [57] C.-R. Chen and F. Takahashi, Cosmic rays from leptonic dark matter, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 02 \(2009\) 004.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/02/004)
- [58] P.-f. Yin, Q. Yuan, J. Liu, J. Zhang, X.-j. Bi, and S.-h. Zhu, PAMELA data and leptonically decaying dark matter, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.023512) Rev. D 79[, 023512 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.023512).
- [59] X.-J. Bi, P.-H. Gu, T. Li, and X. Zhang, ATIC and PAMELA results on cosmic e+- excesses and neutrino nasses, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/103) [Energy Phys. 04 \(2009\) 103.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/103)
- [60] P. J. Fox and E. Poppitz, Leptophilic dark matter, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.083528) D 79[, 083528 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.083528).
- [61] Q.-H. Cao, E. Ma, and G. Shaughnessy, Dark matter: The leptonic connection, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.02.015) 673, 152 (2009).
- [62] S.-J. Lin, Q. Yuan, and X.-J. Bi, Quantitative study of the AMS-02 electron/positron spectra: Implications for the pulsar and dark matter properties, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.063508) 91, 063508 [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.063508).
- [63] J.-S. Niu, T. Li, R. Ding, B. Zhu, H.-F. Xue, and Yang Wang, Bayesian analysis of the break in dampe lepton spectra, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 083012 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.083012)
- [64] J.-S. Niu, T. Li, and F.-Z. Xu, The simple and natural interpretions of the DAMPE cosmic ray electron/postitron spectrum within two sigma deviations, $arXiv:1712.09586$.
- [65] Q. Yuan et al., Interpretations of the DAMPE electron data, [arXiv:1711.10989.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1711.10989)
- [66] S.-F. Ge, H.-J. He, and Y.-C. Wang, Flavor structure of the cosmic-ray electron/positron excesses at DAMPE, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.065) Lett. B 781[, 88 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.065).
- [67] X.-J. Huang, Y.-L. Wu, W.-H. Zh ang, and Y.-F. Zhou, Origins of sharp cosmic-ray electron structures and the DAMPE excess, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 091701 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.091701)
- [68] A. Fowlie, DAMPE squib? Significance of the 1.4 TeV DAMPE excess, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.006) 780, 181 (2018).
- [69] J. Diemand, M. Kuhlen, P. Madau, M. Zemp, B. Moore, D. Potter, and J. Stadel, Clumps and streams in the local dark matter distribution, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07153) 454, 735 [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07153).