Weak field limit of infinite derivative gravity

Ercan Kilicarslan^{*}

Department of Physics, Usak University, 64200 Usak, Turkey

(Received 8 August 2018; published 24 September 2018)

A form of infinite derivative gravity is free from ghostlike instabilities with improved small scale behavior. In this theory, we calculate the tree-level scattering amplitude and the corresponding weak field potential energy between two localized covariantly conserved spinning pointlike sources that also have velocities and orbital motion. We show that the spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions take the same form as in Einstein's gravity at large separations, whereas at small separations, the results are different. We find that not only the usual Newtonian potential energy but also the spin-spin and spin-orbit interaction terms in the potential energy are nonsingular as one approaches $r \rightarrow 0$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.98.064048

I. INTRODUCTION

Although General Relativity (GR) provides very successful solutions, observations and predictions at the intermediate regimes, it fails to be a complete theory at both large (IR) and small (UV) scales. In the IR regime, GR does not give explanations to the accelerating expansion of the universe and rotational speed of galaxies without assuming a tremendous amount of dark energy and dark matter compared to the ordinary matter. As for small distances at the quantum level, it is a nonrenormalizable theory according to perturbative quantum field theory perspective because of the infinities appearing in a renormalization procedure. These infinities coming from the self-interactions of gravitons (in the pure gravity case) cannot be regulated by a redefinition of finite numbers of parameters. GR has also black hole or cosmological type singularities at the classical level. The GR is expected to be modified at both regimes in order to have a complete theory. Here, the main question is what kind of modification in the UV will provide a complete model which may also solve cosmological or black hole singularity problems. In this respect, a possible way out of this problem was to add scalar higher order curvature terms to Einstein's theory such as the quadratic theory,

$$I = \int d^4x (\sigma R + \alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu}^2), \qquad (1)$$

which describes massive and massless spin-2 gravitons together with a massless spin-0 particle [1]. By adding higher curvature terms, renormalizability is gained, but the unitarity (ghost and tachyon-free) of the theory is lost due to a conflict between the massless and massive spin-2 excitations. In other words, the theory has Ostragradsky type instabilities

at the classical level which become ghosts at the quantum theory. Theory has an unbounded Hamiltonian density from below. That is to say, the addition of higher powers of curvature causes a conflict between the unitarity and the renormalizability.

On the other hand, it has been recently demonstrated that infinite derivative gravity (IDG) [2,3] has the potential to have a complete theory in the UV scale.¹ IDG is described by an action constructed from nonlocal functions $F_i(\Box)$ [given in Eq. (4)], where \Box is the d'Alembartian operator $(\Box = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu})$. The propagator of the IDG in a flat background in 3 + 1 dimensions,

$$\Pi_{\rm IDG} = \frac{P^2}{a(k^2)} - \frac{P_s^0}{2a(k^2)} = \frac{\Pi_{\rm GR}}{a(k^2)},\tag{2}$$

is given in terms of Barnes-Rivers spin projection operators (P^2, P_s^0) [2]. Here, a is given in terms of $F_i(\Box)$ [see Eq. (6)], and Π_{GR} is the pure GR graviton propagator. One of the important points is to avoid introducing ghostlike instabilities and having additional scalar degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) other than the massless spin-2 graviton. To do this, $a(k^2)$ can be chosen to be an exponential of an entire function as $a(k^2) = e^{\gamma(\frac{k^2}{M^2})}$, where $\gamma(\frac{k^2}{M^2})$ is an entire function. This choice guarantees that the propagator has no additional poles other than massless graviton, in other words, $a(k^2)$ has no roots. In the $a(k^2) \rightarrow 0$ or $k \ll M$ limit, the propagator takes the usual Einsteinian form. Furthermore, as the propagator does not have any extra d.o.f., the modified propagator is free from ghostlike instabilities. The Hamiltonian density is bounded from below. Moreover, in [18], it has been recently shown that loop divergences beyond one loop may be handled by

ercan.kilicarslan@usak.edu.tr

¹For recent developments on IDG, see [4–17].

introducing some form factors. Furthermore, infinite derivative extension of GR may resolve the problem of singularities in black holes and cosmology [2–9].

In this work, we would like to explore the weak field limit of the IDG and compare it with the result of GR. In [2], the Newtonian potential for the point source was calculated for the IDG; here we extend this discussion to include the spin, velocities and orbital motion of the sources. By spin, we mean the rotation of the sources about their own axes. Therefore we calculate the spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions between two massive sources in IDG and show that the mass-mass interaction, the spin-spin interaction and the spin-orbit interaction part become nonsingular as $r \rightarrow 0$. These nonsingular results in IDG show that the theory has improved behavior in the small scale compared to GR.

The layout of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we investigate the spin-spin interactions of localized pointlike spinning massive objects in IDG and consider the large and small distance limits of potential energy. Section III is devoted to extend the calculations in the previous section to the case that the massive spinning sources are also moving. In that section, in addition to mass-mass and spin-spin interactions, we studied the spin-orbit interactions in IDG. In conclusions and further discussions, we give the final result for a gravitational memory effect in IDG and discuss the effects of mass scale of nonlocality on memory. In the Appendix, we give some of the details of calculations for Sec. III.

II. SCATTERING AMPLITUDE IN IDG

The matter coupled Lagrangian density of IDG is [2]:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R + \frac{1}{2} R F_1(\Box) R + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} F_2(\Box) R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_3(\Box) C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} \right],$$
(3)

where M_P is the Planck mass, R is the scalar curvature, $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor and $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the Weyl tensor. The infinite derivative functions $F_i(\Box)$ are given as

$$F_i(\Box) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{i_n} \frac{\Box^n}{M^{2n}},$$
(4)

which are functions of the d'Alembartian operator. Here, f_{i_n} are dimensionless coefficients, and *M* is the mass scale of nonlocality. The linearized field equations around a Minkowski background of $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ reads² [2],

$$a(\Box)R^{L}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}c(\Box)R^{L} - \frac{1}{2}f(\Box)\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}R^{L} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (5)$$

where L refers to linearization and nonlinear functions are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} a(\Box) &= 1 + M_P^{-2}(F_2(\Box) + 2F_3(\Box))\Box, \\ c(\Box) &= 1 - M_P^{-2} \bigg(4F_1(\Box) + F_2(\Box) - \frac{2}{3}F_3(\Box) \bigg) \Box, \\ f(\Box) &= M_P^{-2} \bigg(4F_1(\Box) + 2F_2(\Box) + \frac{4}{3}F_3(\Box) \bigg), \end{aligned}$$
(6)

which give the constraint $a(\Box) - c(\Box) = f(\Box)\Box$. After plugging the relevant linearized curvature tensors [19] into (5), one arrives at the linearized field equations:

$$\frac{1}{2} [a(\Box)(\Box h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu}^{\sigma} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu}^{\sigma})) + c(\Box) \\ \times (\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}h + \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}h^{\sigma\rho} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\Box h) \\ + f(\Box)\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}h^{\sigma\rho}] = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}.$$
(7)

If we set $a(\Box) = c(\Box)$, we recover the pure GR propagator in the large distance limit without introducing additional d.o.f. Then, in the de Donder gauge $\partial_{\mu}h^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^{\nu}h$, the linearized field equations (7) take the following compact form:

$$a(\Box)\mathcal{G}^{L}_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu},\tag{8}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^{L}$ is the linearized Einstein tensor defined as $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\Box h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box h)$. Manipulation of (8) yields

$$a(\Box)\Box h_{\mu\nu} = -2\kappa \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}T\right),\tag{9}$$

which is the equation that we shall work with.

From now on, we consider the tree-level scattering amplitude between two spinning conserved pointlike sources and find the corresponding weak field potential energy. To do that, one needs to first eliminate the nonphysical d.o.f. from the theory. For this purpose, let us consider the following decomposition of the spin-2 field:

$$h_{\mu\nu} \equiv h_{\mu\nu}^{TT} + \bar{\nabla}_{(\mu}V_{\nu)} + \bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\phi + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\psi, \qquad (10)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}^{TT}$ is the transverse-traceless part of the field, V_{μ} is the transverse helicity-1 mode and ϕ and ψ are scalar helicity-0 components of the field. To obtain ψ in terms of field *h*, one needs to take the trace and double divergence of (10) to arrive at

$$h = \partial^2 \phi + 4\psi, \qquad \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 h = \partial^4 \phi + \partial^2 \psi, \qquad (11)$$

²We will work with the mostly plus signature $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$.

where we used $\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^{2}h$. Then, by using (11) and (8), one obtains

$$\psi = \frac{\kappa}{3} (a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}T.$$
(12)

On the other hand, inserting (10) into (8) yields the wave-type equation,

$$h_{\rho\nu}^{TT} = -2\kappa \mathcal{O}^{-1} T_{\rho\nu}^{TT}, \qquad (13)$$

where the corresponding scalar Green's function is

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t, t') = \mathcal{O}^{-1} \equiv (a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}.$$
 (14)

Accordingly, the tensor decomposition of energy-momentum tensor $T_{o\nu}$ can be given as [20]

$$T_{\rho\nu}^{TT} = T_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{g}_{\rho\nu}T + \frac{1}{3}(\bar{\nabla}_{\rho}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}) \times (\bar{\Box})^{-1}T.$$
(15)

Recall that the tree-level scattering amplitude between two sources via one graviton exchange is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} T'_{\rho\nu}(x) h^{\rho\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} (T'_{\rho\nu} h^{TT\rho\nu} + T'\psi).$$
(16)

Consequently, by plugging (12), (13) and (15) into (16), the scattering amplitude in a flat background can be obtained as follows:

$$4\mathcal{A} = -2\kappa T'_{\rho\nu}\mathcal{O}^{-1}T^{\rho\nu} + \kappa T'\mathcal{O}^{-1}T, \qquad (17)$$

where the integral signs are suppressed for notational simplicity. Now, we are ready to compute the tree-level scattering amplitude for IDG between two covariantly conserved pointlike spinning sources. For this purpose, let us consider the following localized spinning energy-momentum tensors:

$$T_{00} = m_a \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a), \qquad T^i{}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} J^k_a \epsilon^{ikj} \partial_j \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a),$$
(18)

where m_a are the mass and J_a are the spin of the sources which have no dimension in our limits; here a = 1, 2. In this respect, we want to solve the linearized IDG equations for the sources given in (18). The scattering amplitude (17) can be explicitly recast as

$$4A = -2\kappa T'_{00} \left\{ \frac{1}{a(\Box)\partial^2} \right\} T^{00} + \kappa T' \left\{ \frac{1}{a(\Box)\partial^2} \right\} T + 4\kappa T'_{0i} \left\{ \frac{1}{a(\Box)\partial^2} \right\} T^i_{0}.$$
(19)

On the other hand one must keep in mind that, to avoid ghosts, $a(\Box)$ must be an entire function. For simplicity, let us choose $a(\Box) = e^{-\frac{\Box}{M^2}}$ with which the main propagator can be computed as

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t, t') = \frac{1}{4\pi r} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' - (t - t')), \quad (20)$$

where $r = |\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|$ and $\operatorname{erf}(r)$ is the error function defined by the integral

$$\operatorname{erf}(r) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^r e^{-k^2} dk.$$
 (21)

Thus, by substituting (20) into (19) and carrying out the time integrals, one gets

$$4\mathcal{U} = -2\kappa m_1 m_2 \int d^3x \int d^3x' \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}_2) \hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) + \kappa m_1 m_2 \int d^3x \int d^3x' \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}_2) \hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) + \kappa \int d^3x \int d^3x' J_1^k \epsilon^{ikj} \partial_j' \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}_2) \hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') J_2^l \epsilon^{ilm} \partial_m \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1).$$
(22)

Here, the potential energy is $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}/t$ [21,22], and $\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ denotes the time-integrated scalar Green's function defined as

$$\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \int dt' G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t, t') = \frac{1}{4\pi r} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right).$$
(23)

Finally, the Newtonian potential energy can be obtained as

$$\mathcal{U} = -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) + \frac{M^3}{2\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{M^2r^2}{4}}G[J_1.J_2 - (J_1.\hat{r})(J_2.\hat{r})] - G[J_1.J_2 - 3(J_1.\hat{r})(J_2.\hat{r})] \times \left[\frac{1}{r^3}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r^2}e^{-\frac{M^2r^2}{4}}\right].$$
(24)

Observe that the first term is the ordinary potential energy in IDG which was found in [2], and the last two terms are the spin-spin part which could be attractive or repulsive depending on the choice of spin alignments. Let us now turn our attention to the small and large distance behaviors of potential energy. For the large separations as $r \to \infty$, $\operatorname{erf}(r) \to 1$, $e^{-r^2} \to 0$, then potential energy takes the form

$$\mathcal{U} = -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r} - \frac{G}{r^3}(J_1.J_2 - 3(J_1.\hat{r})(J_2.\hat{r})), \quad (25)$$

which reproduces the pure GR result [21] as expected. That is, the first term is the usual Newtonian potential energy, and the second one is the spin-spin interactions in GR. On the other side, for the small distances, as expanding the error and the exponential functions into series around r = 0give

$$\operatorname{erf}(r) = \frac{2r}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{2r^3}{3\sqrt{\pi}} + \mathcal{O}(r^5), \qquad e^{-r^2} = 1 - r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^4),$$
(26)

the potential energy reads

$$\mathcal{U} = -\frac{Gm_1m_2M}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{GM^3}{3\sqrt{\pi}}J_1.J_2 + \mathcal{O}(r^2).$$
 (27)

Here, the ordinary Newtonian potential term and the spinspin interaction term in (27) are constant, and hence the potential is not singular at the origin. In GR, the spin-spin part diverges according to $\sim -\frac{1}{r^3}$ [21], whereas in the IDG, this part is nonsingular. Though the potential energy is generated by matter sources which have dirac delta function singularities, it is regular due to the nonlocality. Thus, in the IDG, not only the usual Newtonian potential but also the spin-spin part become regular as one approaches $r \to 0$. Therefore, the theory has improved behavior in the small scale behavior.

III. FURTHER GRAVITOMAGNETISM EFFECTS IN IDG

In the previous part, we have shown that both usual Newtonian potential and spin-spin terms are finite at the origin. This is a remarkable result, but one can ask whether further gravitomagnetic effects such as spin-orbit interactions also have nonsingular behavior or not. To answer this question, let us turn our attention to the tree-level scattering amplitude between two spinning sources that also have velocities and orbital motion. For this purpose, let us consider the following energy-momentum tensors [23]:

$$T_{00} = T_{00}^{(0)} + T_{00}^{(2)}, \qquad T_{i0} = T_{i0}^{(1)}, \qquad T_{ij} = T_{ij}^{(2)}, \quad (28)$$

where the relevant tensors are

$$\begin{split} T_{00}^{(0)} &= m_a \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a), \\ T_{00}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} m_a \vec{v}_a^2 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a) - \frac{1}{2} J_a^k v_a^i \epsilon^{ikj} \partial_j \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a), \\ T_{i0}^{(1)} &= -m_a v_a^i \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a) + \frac{1}{2} J_a^k \epsilon^{ikj} \partial_j \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a), \\ T_{ij}^{(2)} &= m_a v_a^i v_a^j \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a) + J_a^l v_a^{(i} \epsilon^{j)kl} \partial_k \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a). \end{split}$$

$$(29)$$

Here, \vec{v}_i are the velocities of the particles as defined in a rest frame, and $v^{(i}\epsilon^{j)kl}$ denotes symmetrization. We shall work in the small velocity and spin limits, in other words up to $O(v^2)$ and O(vJ). In this respect, the scattering amplitude (17) turns into

$$4A = -2\kappa T'_{00}(a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}T^{00} - 4\kappa T'_{0i}(a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}T^{0i} - 2\kappa T'_{ij}(a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}T^{ij} + \kappa T'(a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}T,$$
(30)

where integral signs are suppressed and $(a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}$ is the scalar Green's function as was given in (20). To find the weak field potential energy for the sources given in (28), let us calculate the amplitude by working each term in (30), separately. After evaluating the relevant integrals, the energy density interaction term takes the form

$$-2\kappa T_{00}(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}T'^{00}$$

$$=-2\kappa \left[\frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{4\pi r}\left(1+\frac{\vec{v}_{1}^{2}+\vec{v}_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right)\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right)-\frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right)\right.$$

$$\times \left(\frac{m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2})\cdot\vec{J}_{2}}{2}-\frac{m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1})\cdot\vec{J}_{1}}{2}\right)\right]t. \quad (31)$$

Here, we have dropped the term which includes higher order contributions $O(J^2v^2)$. On the other hand, the tracetrace interaction term yields

$$\kappa T'(a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}T = \kappa \left[\frac{m_1 m_2}{4\pi r} \left(1 + \frac{-\vec{v}_1^2 - \vec{v}_2^2}{2} \right) \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r} e^{-\frac{M^2r^2}{4}} \right) \\ \times \left(-\frac{m_1(\hat{r} \times \vec{v}_2) \cdot \vec{J}_2}{2} + \frac{m_2(\hat{r} \times \vec{v}_1) \cdot \vec{J}_1}{2} \right) \right] t.$$
(32)

Similarly the $T'_{0i}(\partial^2)^{-1}T^{0i}$ term becomes

$$-4\kappa T_{0i}'(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}T^{0i} = -4\kappa \left[-\frac{m_{1}m_{2}\vec{v}_{1}\cdot\vec{v}_{2}}{4\pi r} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right) \times (-m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1})\cdot\vec{J}_{2} + m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2})\cdot\vec{J}_{1}) - \frac{1}{16\pi} \left(\frac{M^{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}[J_{1}.J_{2} - (J_{1}.\hat{r})(J_{2}.\hat{r})] - [J_{1}.J_{2} - 3(J_{1}.\hat{r})(J_{2}.\hat{r})] \times \left[\frac{1}{r^{3}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r^{2}}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right]\right) \right]t.$$
(33)

Note that as the $T'_{ij}(\partial^2)^{-1}T^{ij}$ term in (30) contributes only at the higher order, it has been dropped. Consequently, by using all these results, the potential energy in IDG takes the form

$$U_{IDG} = -\frac{G}{r}m_{1}m_{2}\left[1 + \frac{3}{2}\vec{v}_{1}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\vec{v}_{2}^{2} - 4\vec{v}_{1}\cdot\vec{v}_{2}\right]\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) + \frac{M^{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}G[J_{1}.J_{2} - (J_{1}.\hat{r})(J_{2}.\hat{r})] - G[J_{1}.J_{2} - 3(J_{1}.\hat{r})(J_{2}.\hat{r})] \times \left[\frac{1}{r^{3}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r^{2}}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right] - G\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right) \left[\frac{3m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2})\cdot\vec{J}_{2}}{2} - \frac{3m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1})\cdot\vec{J}_{1}}{2} - 2m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1})\cdot\vec{J}_{2} + 2m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2})\cdot\vec{J}_{1}\right].$$
(34)

Observe that potential energy has the ordinary Newtonian potential energy, spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions. For large separations as $r \rightarrow \infty$, the potential energy becomes

$$U = -\frac{G}{r}m_{1}m_{2}\left[1 + \frac{3}{2}\vec{v}_{1}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\vec{v}_{2}^{2} - 4\vec{v}_{1}\cdot\vec{v}_{2}\right]$$
$$-\frac{G}{r^{3}}[\vec{J}_{1}\cdot\vec{J}_{2} - 3\vec{J}_{1}\cdot\hat{r}\vec{J}_{2}\cdot\hat{r}]$$
$$-\frac{G}{r^{2}}\left[\frac{3m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2})\cdot\vec{J}_{2}}{2} - \frac{3m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1})\cdot\vec{J}_{1}}{2} - 2m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1})\cdot\vec{J}_{2} + 2m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2})\cdot\vec{J}_{1}\right],$$
(35)

which matches with the pure GR result [24] as expected. That is, the potential energy contains the usual Newtonian potential energy and relativistic corrections. On the other hand, for small distances, the potential energy reduces to

$$\mathcal{U} = -\frac{Gm_1m_2M}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[1 + \frac{3}{2}\vec{v}_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}\vec{v}_2^2 - 4\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \right] + \frac{GM^3}{3\sqrt{\pi}} J_1 J_2 + \mathcal{O}(r).$$
(36)

Here, the ordinary Newtonian potential term and the spinspin interaction term in (36) are constant, and the spin-orbit interaction terms contribute at the order $\mathcal{O}(r)$. Therefore the potential is regular at the origin. Thus, in the IDG, not only the usual Newtonian potential but also the spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions become regular as one approaches $r \rightarrow 0$. These nonsingular results in IDG show that the theory is very well behaved in the UV region compared to GR.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

We have considered the IDG in 3 + 1 dimensional flat backgrounds. We computed the tree-level scattering amplitude in IDG and accordingly found weak field potential energy between two pointlike spinning sources interacting via one-graviton exchange. We have demonstrated that at large distances potential energy is the same as the GR result, whereas at small distances, it is discreetly different from GR. We have also shown that both the ordinary Newtonian potential energy and the spin-spin term remain finite at the small distance limit $(r \rightarrow 0)$. Furthermore, in addition to spin-spin interactions, we studied the spin-orbit interactions in IDG by considering that the sources are also moving. We found that not only mass-mass but also spinspin and spin-orbit interactions are nonsingular and finite at the origin. That is, gravitational potential energy of spinning sources that also have velocities becomes nonsingular for IDG. Consequently, the theory is a very well behaved feature in the UV regime as compared to GR.

Now, we would like to discuss the effects of mass scale of nonlocality (M) on gravitational memory effect.

Gravitational waves, induced by merger of neutron stars or black holes etc., create a permanent effect on a system composed of inertial test particles. In other words, a pulse of gravitational wave changes the relative displacements of test particles. This effect is called gravitational memory effect and comes in two forms: ordinary (or linear) [25] and null (or nonlinear) [26]. The studies on gravitational memory effect have recently received more attention in various aspects [27–33] because there is a hope that it could be measured by advanced LIGO. To calculate gravitational memory effect in IDG in a flat spacetime, we can follow the method of [27,28]: we first solved the geodesic deviation equation and then integrated it two times to find relative separation of the test particles. Without giving the details, we shall give the final result:

$$\Delta \xi^{i} = \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) \Delta_{j}^{i} \Theta(U) \xi^{j}, \qquad (37)$$

where Θ is the step function, ξ is a spatial separation vector and Δ_j^i are spatial components of the memory tensor {see Eq. (45) in [27] for memory tensor}. This result shows that the test particles have nontrivial change in their separations which is described by the memory tensor. Observe that the memory is dependent of the mass scale of nonlocality and different from GR. In the large distance limits, memory is the same as the usual Einsteinian form as expected. Furthermore, for a lower bound on mass scale of nonlocality (M > 4 keV) [34], the memory reduces to GR prediction above at very small distances.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank B. Tekin for useful discussions, suggestions and comments. We would also like to thank S. Dengiz and J. Edholm for suggestions and critical readings of the manuscript.

APPENDIX: DETAILS OF THE CALCULATIONS

In this part, we would like to give the details of scattering amplitude calculations for Sec. III. Before going into further details, let us give the following identities:

$$\partial_{k}r = \frac{(x^{k} - x'^{k})}{r} = \hat{r}^{k}, \quad \partial_{k}\frac{1}{r} = \frac{-(x^{k} - x'^{k})}{r^{3}} = \frac{-\hat{r}^{k}}{r^{2}},$$

$$\partial_{k'}r = \frac{-(x^{k} - x'^{k})}{r} = -\hat{r}^{k}, \quad \partial_{k'}\frac{1}{r} = \frac{(x^{k} - x'^{k})}{r^{3}} = \frac{\hat{r}^{k}}{r^{2}},$$

$$\partial_{k}\partial_{n'}r = \frac{1}{r}(-\delta^{kn} + \hat{r}^{k}\hat{r}^{n}), \quad \partial_{k}\partial_{n'}\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r^{3}}(\delta^{kn} - 3\hat{r}^{k}\hat{r}^{n}),$$

$$\partial_{k}\text{erf}(r) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-r^{2}}\hat{r}^{k}, \quad \partial_{k'}\text{erf}(r) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-r^{2}}\hat{r}^{k}, \quad (A1)$$

which are needed for computations. Let us now calculate the amplitude by working each term in (30), separately. The energy density interaction term becomes

$$\begin{split} T_{00}(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}T'^{00} &= \left[m_{1}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}m_{1}\vec{v}_{1}^{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}J_{1}^{l}v_{1}^{i}\epsilon^{ilk}\partial_{k}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1})\right](a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1} \\ &\left[m_{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x'}-\vec{x}_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}m_{2}\vec{v}_{2}^{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x'}-\vec{x}_{2}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}J_{2}^{m}v_{2}^{j}\epsilon^{jmn}\partial_{n}'\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x'}-\vec{x}_{2})\right], \quad (A2) \end{split}$$

whose each distinct term reads

$$m_1 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_1) (a(\Box) \partial^2)^{-1} m_2 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}_2) = \frac{m_1 m_2}{4\pi r} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) t,$$
(A3)

$$m_1 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_1) (a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1} \frac{1}{2} m_2 \vec{v}_2^2 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}_2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_1 m_2 \vec{v}_2^2}{4\pi r} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) t,$$
(A4)

$$-\frac{1}{2}m_{1}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1})(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}J_{2}^{m}v_{2}^{j}\epsilon^{jmn}\partial_{n}^{\prime}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}^{\prime}-\vec{x}_{2})$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2}).\vec{J}_{2}}{4\pi r^{2}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right)t$$

$$-\frac{M}{2\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\frac{m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2}).\vec{J}_{2}}{4\pi r}t,$$
(A5)

$$\frac{1}{2}m_{1}\vec{v}_{1}^{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1})(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}m_{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}'-\vec{x}_{2})$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_{1}m_{2}\vec{v}_{1}^{2}}{4\pi r}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right)t,$$
(A6)

$$-\frac{1}{2}J_{1}^{l}v_{1}^{i}\epsilon^{ilk}\partial_{k}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1})(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}m_{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x'}-\vec{x}_{2})$$

$$=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1}).\vec{J}_{1}}{4\pi r^{2}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right)t$$

$$+\frac{M}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1}).\vec{J}_{1}}{4\pi r}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}t,$$
(A7)

with these terms, one ultimately gets

$$-2\kappa T_{00}(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}T'^{00} = -2\kappa \left[\frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{4\pi r}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\vec{v}_{1}^{2} + \vec{v}_{2}^{2}}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right) \\ \times \left(\frac{m_{1}(\hat{r} \times \vec{v}_{2}) \cdot \vec{J}_{2}}{2} - \frac{m_{2}(\hat{r} \times \vec{v}_{1}) \cdot \vec{J}_{1}}{2}\right)\right]t.$$
(A8)

On the other side, the trace-trace interaction term yields

$$T'(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}T = \left[-m_{1}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}m_{1}\vec{v}_{1}^{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1}) - \frac{1}{2}J_{1}^{l}v_{1}^{i}\epsilon^{ilk}\partial_{k}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1})\right](\partial^{2})^{-1} \\ \times \left[-m_{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x'}-\vec{x}_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}m_{2}\vec{v}_{2}^{2}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x'}-\vec{x}_{2}) - \frac{1}{2}J_{2}^{m}v_{2}^{j}\epsilon^{jmn}\partial_{n}'\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x'}-\vec{x}_{2})\right].$$
(A9)

Then, by evaluating the relevant integrals, one eventually obtains

$$\kappa T'(a(\Box)\partial^2)^{-1}T = \kappa \left[\frac{m_1 m_2}{4\pi r} \left(1 + \frac{-\vec{v}_1^2 - \vec{v}_2^2}{2} \right) \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{4\pi^2 r} e^{-\frac{M^2 r^2}{4}} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \left(-\frac{m_1(\hat{r} \times \vec{v}_2) \cdot \vec{J}_2}{2} + \frac{m_2(\hat{r} \times \vec{v}_1) \cdot \vec{J}_1}{2} \right) \right] t.$$
(A10)

Similarly, the $T'_{0i}(\partial^2)^{-1}T^{0i}$ term can be written as

$$T'_{0i}(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}T^{0i} = \left[-m_{1}v_{1}^{i}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}J_{1}^{k}\epsilon^{ikj}\partial_{j}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{1})\right](a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1} \\ \times \left[m_{2}v_{2}^{i}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}'-\vec{x}_{2}) - \frac{1}{2}J_{2}^{l}\epsilon^{ilm}\partial_{m}'\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}'-\vec{x}_{2})\right],$$
(A11)

which after lengthy and tedious calculations becomes

$$-4\kappa T_{0i}'(a(\Box)\partial^{2})^{-1}T^{0i} = -4\kappa \left[-\frac{m_{1}m_{2}\vec{v}_{1}\cdot\vec{v}_{2}}{4\pi r} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right) \times \left(-m_{1}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{1})\cdot\vec{J}_{2} + m_{2}(\hat{r}\times\vec{v}_{2})\cdot\vec{J}_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{16\pi} \left(\frac{M^{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}[J_{1}.J_{2} - (J_{1}.\hat{r})(J_{2}.\hat{r})] - [J_{1}.J_{2} - 3(J_{1}.\hat{r})(J_{2}.\hat{r})] \times \left(\frac{1}{r^{3}}\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Mr}{2}\right) - \frac{M}{\sqrt{\pi}r^{2}}e^{-\frac{M^{2}r^{2}}{4}}\right)\right]t.$$
(A12)

Recall that the $T'_{ij}(\partial^2)^{-1}T^{ij}$ term contributes in higher order corrections. Consequently, by using the results above obtained, the potential energy in IDG is obtained in the form as given in (34).

- K. S. Stelle, Renormalization of higher derivative quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D 16, 953 (1977); Classical gravity with higher derivatives, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 9, 353 (1978).
- [2] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto, and A. Mazumdar, Towards Singularity and Ghost Free Theories of Gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 031101 (2012).
- [3] T. Biswas, A. Mazumdar, and W. Siegel, Bouncing universes in string-inspired gravity, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 (2006) 009.
- [4] E. T. Tomboulis, Superrenormalizable gauge and gravitational theories, arXiv:hep-th/9702146.

- [5] T. Biswas, A. Conroy, A. S. Koshelev, and A. Mazumdar, Generalized ghost-free quadratic curvature gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity 31, 015022 (2014).
- [6] L. Modesto, J. W. Moffat, and P. Nicolini, Black holes in an ultraviolet complete quantum gravity, Phys. Lett. B 695, 397 (2011).
- [7] T. Biswas, T. Koivisto, and A. Mazumdar, Towards a resolution of the cosmological singularity in non-local higher derivative theories of gravity, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 11 (2010) 008.
- [8] T. Biswas, A. S. Koshelev, A. Mazumdar, and S. Y. Vernov, Stable bounce and inflation in non-local higher

derivative cosmology, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 (2012) 024.

- [9] L. Buoninfante, A. S. Koshelev, G. Lambiase, and A. Mazumdar, Classical properties of non-local, ghost- and singularity-free gravity, arXiv:1802.00399.
- [10] L. Modesto, Super-renormalizable quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D 86, 044005 (2012).
- [11] L. Modesto and L. Rachwal, Super-renormalizable and finite gravitational theories, Nucl. Phys. B889, 228 (2014).
- [12] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto, and P. Nicolini, Super-accelerating bouncing cosmology in asymptotically-free non-local gravity, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 2999 (2014).
- [13] G. Calcagni and L. Modesto, Nonlocal quantum gravity and M-theory, Phys. Rev. D 91, 124059 (2015).
- [14] S. Talaganis and A. Mazumdar, High-energy scatterings in infinite-derivative field theory and ghost-free gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity 33, 145005 (2016).
- [15] J. Edholm, A. S. Koshelev, and A. Mazumdar, Behavior of the Newtonian potential for ghost-free gravity and singularity-free gravity, Phys. Rev. D 94, 104033 (2016).
- [16] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto, and G. Nardelli, Initial conditions and degrees of freedom of non-local gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2018) 087.
- [17] J. Boos, V. P. Frolov, and A. Zelnikov, Gravitational field of static p -branes in linearized ghost-free gravity, Phys. Rev. D 97, 084021 (2018).
- [18] S. Talaganis, T. Biswas, and A. Mazumdar, Towards understanding the ultraviolet behavior of quantum loops in infinite-derivative theories of gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity 32, 215017 (2015).
- [19] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Energy in generic higher curvature gravity theories, Phys. Rev. D 67, 084009 (2003).
- [20] I. Gullu and B. Tekin, Massive higher derivative gravity in D-dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 80, 064033 (2009).
- [21] I. Gullu and B. Tekin, Spin-spin interactions in massive gravity and higher derivative gravity theories, Phys. Lett. B 728, 268 (2014).

- [22] S. Dengiz, E. Kilicarslan, and B. Tekin, Scattering in topologically massive gravity, chiral gravity and the corresponding Anyon-Anyon potential energy, Phys. Rev. D 89, 024033 (2014).
- [23] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and cosmology: Principles and applications of the general theory of relativity (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1972).
- [24] K. Tasseten and B. Tekin, Gravitomagnetism in massive gravity, Phys. Rev. D 93, 044068 (2016).
- [25] Ya. B. Zel'dovich and A. G. Polnarev, Radiation of gravitational waves by a cluster of superdense stars, Sov. Astron. 18, 17 (1974).
- [26] D. Christodoulou, Nonlinear Nature of Gravitation and Gravitational Wave Experiments, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1486 (1991).
- [27] D. Garfinkle, S. Hollands, A. Ishibashi, A. Tolish, and R. M. Wald, The memory effect for particle scattering in even spacetime dimensions, Classical Quantum Gravity 34, 145015 (2017).
- [28] G. Satishchandran and R. M. Wald, Memory effect for particle scattering in odd spacetime dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 97, 024036 (2018).
- [29] A. Tolish, L. Bieri, D. Garfinkle, and R. M. Wald, Examination of a simple example of gravitational wave memory, Phys. Rev. D 90, 044060 (2014).
- [30] A. Tolish and R. M. Wald, Retarded fields of null particles and the memory effect, Phys. Rev. D 89, 064008 (2014).
- [31] L. Bieri and D. Garfinkle, Perturbative and gauge invariant treatment of gravitational wave memory, Phys. Rev. D 89, 084039 (2014).
- [32] P.-M. Zhang, C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons, and P. A. Horvathy, Soft gravitons and the memory effect for plane gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 96, 064013 (2017).
- [33] E. Kilicarslan and B. Tekin, Graviton mass and memory, arXiv:1805.02240.
- [34] J. Edholm, Gravitational radiation in infinite derivative gravity and connections to effective quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D 98, 044049 (2018).