# Deconfinement transition effects on cosmological parameters and primordial gravitational waves spectrum

P. Castorina,  $1,2$  D. Lanteri,  $1,2$  and S. Mancani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Catania, Via Santa Sofia 64, I-95123 Catania, Italy 2 INFN, Sezione di Catania, I-95123 Catania, Italy

(Received 18 April 2018; published 9 July 2018)

The cosmological evolution can be described in terms of directly measurable cosmological scalar parameters (deceleration  $q$ , jerk  $j$ , snap  $s$ , etc...) constructed out of high order derivatives of the scale factor. Their behavior at the critical temperature of the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) phase transition in early universe could be a specific tool to study the transition, analogously to the fluctuations of conserved charges in QCD. We analyze the effect of the crossover transition from quarks and gluons to hadrons in early universe on the cosmological scalars and on the gravitational wave spectrum, by using the recent lattice QCD equation of state and including the electroweak degrees of freedom. Near the transition the cosmological parameters follow the behavior of QCD trace anomaly and of the speed of sound of the entire system. The effects of deconfinement turn out to be more relevant for the modification of the primordial spectrum of gravitational waves rather than for the evolution of the cosmological parameters. Our complete analysis, based on lattice QCD simulations and on the hadron resonance gas below the critical temperature, refines previous results.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.023007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.023007)

### I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) deconfinement phase transition has an interesting role at cosmological level, modifying, for example, the primordial spectrum of the gravitational waves [1–[3\].](#page-9-0)

Other consequences of the QCD transition show up in the cosmological parameters (deceleration  $q$ , jerk  $j$ , etc.) which involve the derivatives of the scale factor  $a(t)$  [\[4\]](#page-9-1). Indeed, the fluctuations of the cosmological parameters with higher order derivatives are strongly enhanced by the phase transition.

This effect is similar to the fluctuations of conserved charges (net baryon-number, net electric charge, net strangeness) evaluated in lattice QCD at finite temperature, which require the calculation of the higher order cumulants, i.e., high order derivatives of the logarithm of the QCD partition function. These fluctuations provide a wealth of information on the properties of strong-interaction matter in the transition region from the low temperature hadronic phase to the quark-gluon plasma phase and, in particular, they can be used to quantify deviations from the hadron resonance gas (HRG) model [5–[7\]](#page-9-2).

Previous analyses [\[4,8](#page-9-1)–10] considered the evolution of the first cosmological parameters  $(q, j)$  and of the energy density fluctuations during the deconfinement transition by a specific parametrization of the QCD equation of state (EoS) or less recent lattice data.

In this paper we discuss the behavior of a larger set of cosmological scalars, with higher order derivatives of the scale factor, and take into account the electroweak sector and the strongly interacting sector. Moreover the transition (cross-over) between the quark-gluon phase and the hadronic phase is described by recent lattice QCD EoS [\[11\]](#page-9-3) and by the HRG [\[12\]](#page-9-4) below the critical temperature  $T_c \simeq 150$  MeV.

Finally, the detailed treatment of the EoS above and below  $T_c$  permits a refined analysis of the modification of the primordial spectrum of the gravitational waves.

The paper is organized as follows: the definition of the cosmological parameters is given in Sec. [II](#page-1-0); the relevant degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) and the role of the different contributions to the total energy density and to the EoS of the whole system are discussed in Sec. [III;](#page-1-1) Sections [IV](#page-2-0) and [V](#page-3-0) contain respectively the results on the speed of sound and on the fluctuations of the cosmological parameters during the deconfinement transition; Section [VI](#page-4-0) is devoted to the modification of the primordial gravitational wave spectrum due to the transition; comments and conclusions are in Sec. [VII.](#page-7-0)

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

### II. COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The standard model of the cosmological evolution is based on the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) equations and a set of equations of state for the different contributions to the total energy density. By defining the total energy density  $\varepsilon_T$  and the total pressure  $p_T$  as

$$
\varepsilon_T = \varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_{ew} + \varepsilon_d + \varepsilon_\Lambda,\tag{1}
$$

$$
p_T = p_s + p_{ew} + p_d + p_\Lambda, \tag{2}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{\Lambda} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G},\tag{3}
$$

$$
p_{\Lambda} \equiv -\varepsilon_{\Lambda},\tag{4}
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>are the dark energy contributions and the other terms correspond to strong (s), electroweak (ew) and dark matter (d) sectors, the FLRW equations for a flat Universe are given by

$$
\left(\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\varepsilon_T,
$$

$$
\frac{1}{a}\frac{d^2a}{dt^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\varepsilon_T + 3p_T).
$$
(5)

with *a* the scale factor.

The cosmological parameters are defined as [\[13,14\]](#page-9-5)

$$
H \equiv \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}, \qquad q \equiv -\frac{1}{aH^2} \frac{d^2a}{dt^2},
$$
  

$$
A_n \equiv \frac{1}{aH^n} \frac{d^n a}{dt^n} \qquad (n > 2)
$$
 (6)

and their evolution is directly related to the EoS. Indeed,  $A_n$ can be written as the sum of terms containing the first  $n - 1$  derivatives of the Hubble parameter H, which can be expressed in terms of the  $w \equiv p_T/\varepsilon_T$ , of the speed of sound,  $c_s^2 \equiv \partial p_T/\partial \varepsilon_T$ , and its derivatives. For example, the jerk, j, is given by

$$
j = A_3 = 1 + 3\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + \frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3}
$$
 (7)

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

and, by FLRW equations, one has

$$
\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = -\frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{p_T}{\varepsilon_T} \right),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3} = \frac{9}{2} (1 + c_s^2) \left( 1 + \frac{p_T}{\varepsilon_T} \right).
$$
\n(8)

The complete set of relations for various cosmological parameters is given in Appendix [A](#page-7-1).

The cosmological evolution can be described by the Hubble parameter  $H$ , the deceleration  $q$ , the jerk  $j$ , the snap  $(s = A<sub>4</sub>)$  and the others cosmological parameters since they specify the various terms of the Taylor expansion of the scale factor:

$$
a(t) = a(t^*) \left[ 1 + H(t^*)(t - t^*) - \frac{(qH^2)(t^*)}{2!} (t - t^*)^2 + \frac{(jH^3)(t^*)}{3!} (t - t^*)^3 + \cdots \right].
$$
 (9)

In Sec. [V](#page-3-0) the effect of the QCD deconfinement transition on the cosmological parameters will be analyzed and, as discussed in the introduction, higher order derivatives of  $a(t)$  show larger fluctuations.

### <span id="page-1-1"></span>III. THE EQUATION OF STATE IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE

Early Universe was a hot and dense plasma and during the cosmological evolution the number of d.o.f. changed due to various phase transitions (see Fig. 1.1 of Ref. [\[1\]](#page-9-0)). Since we are interested in the effect of the deconfinement phase transition on the cosmological parameters and on the spectrum of gravitational waves, we consider the temperature T in the range 70 MeV  $< T < 400$  MeV and the number of d.o.f. and the equations of state for strong and electroweak sectors, neglecting the dark energy and the dark matter contributions in Eqs.  $(1,2)$ .

#### A. Strong and electroweak sectors

The QCD deconfinement transition rapidly reduces the number of the strongly interacting d.o.f.,  $g_s$ . However, lattice QCD simulations indicates that the transition is not so sharp and it is indeed a cross-over between a system of quarks and gluons and a hadron gas [\[11,15,16\]](#page-9-3). The (pseudo) critical temperature turns out to be  $T_c \sim 150-160$  MeV by the analysis of chiral susceptibility.

Starting from the lattice QCD partition function, one defines the trace anomaly  $\Theta^{\mu\mu}(T)$  as the derivative with respect to the lattice spacing  $a_1$  [\[11\]](#page-9-3)

$$
\Theta^{\mu\mu}(T) = -\frac{T}{V} \frac{d \ln \mathcal{Z}}{d \ln a_l} \tag{10}
$$

and one evaluates all other thermodynamical quantities, i.e., the pressure

$$
\frac{p(t)}{T^4} = \frac{p_0}{T_0^4} + \int_{T_0}^{T} dT' \frac{\Theta^{\mu\nu}(T')}{T'^5},\tag{11}
$$

where  $p_0$  is the pressure at a fixed temperature  $T_0$ , the energy density

$$
\varepsilon = 3p + \Theta^{\mu\nu},\tag{12}
$$

the entropy density s

$$
s = \frac{\varepsilon + p}{T},\tag{13}
$$

and the speed of sound

$$
c_s^2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon} = \frac{s}{C_V} = \frac{\partial p/\partial T}{\partial \varepsilon/\partial T},\tag{14}
$$

where  $C_V$  is the specific heat.

<span id="page-2-3"></span>The pressure obtained by lattice simulations,  $p_{\text{lattice}}$ , by the HotQCD collaboration can be parametrized as follows [\[11\]:](#page-9-3)

$$
p_{\text{lattice}}(T) = \frac{T^4}{2} [1 + \tanh [c_t(t - t_0)]] f(T), \quad (15)
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span>where

$$
f(T) = \frac{p_{id} + \frac{a_n}{t} + \frac{b_n}{t^2} + \frac{c_n}{t^3} + \frac{b_n}{t^4}}{1 + \frac{a_d}{t} + \frac{b_d}{t^2} + \frac{c_d}{t^3} + \frac{b_d}{t^4}}
$$
(16)

and  $t = T/T_c$ ,  $T_c = 154 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $p_{id} = 95/180\pi^2$  is the ideal gas value of  $p/T<sup>4</sup>$  for massless 3-flavor QCD and the value of the other parameters are summarized in Table [I](#page-2-1).

In the temperature region  $T < T_c$  all thermodynamic quantities are well described by the hadron resonance gas (HRG) model where the grand canonical partition function can be expressed as a sum of one-particle partition functions,  $Z_i^1$ , over all hadrons and resonances [\[17\]](#page-9-6). If  $m_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum mass one includes, the trace anomaly can be written as a sum over all particles species with mass  $m_i \leq m_{\text{max}}$  [\[7,11\],](#page-9-7)

$$
\left(\frac{\Theta^{\mu\mu}}{T^4}\right)_{HRG} = \sum_{m_i \le m_{\text{max}}} \frac{d_i}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\eta_i)^{k+1}}{k} \left(\frac{m_i}{T}\right)^3 K_1\left(\frac{km_i}{T}\right),\tag{17}
$$

where  $\eta_i = -1(1)$  for bosons (fermions),  $K_1$  is the modified Bessel function,  $d_i$  are the degeneracy factors.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>TABLE I. Parameters used in Eqs. [\(15\)](#page-2-3) and [\(16\)](#page-2-4) for the pressure of  $(2 + 1)$ -flavor QCD in the temperature interval  $T \in$  $[100 \text{ MeV}, 400 \text{ MeV}]$  [\[11\]](#page-9-3).

$c_{t}$	$a_n$	$v_n$	$c_n$	$d_n$
3.8706	$-8.7704$	3.9200		0.3419
$t_0$	$a_d$	$\mathcal{D}_d$	$c_d$	$d_{d}$
0.9761	$-1.2600$	0.8425	U	$-0.0475$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>TABLE II. Parameters used in Eqs. [\(18\)](#page-2-5) and [\(20\)](#page-2-6) [\[12\].](#page-9-4)  $a_1$  a<sub>2</sub>  $4.654 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$  −879 GeV  $a_3$  a<sub>4</sub> 8081 GeV<sup>-4</sup> −7039000 GeV<sup>-10</sup>

<span id="page-2-5"></span>The trace anomaly for the HRG has been parametrized as [\[12\]](#page-9-4)

$$
\left(\frac{\varepsilon - 3p}{T^4}\right)_{HRG} = a_1 T + a_2 T^3 + a_3 T^4 + a_4 T^{10}, \quad (18)
$$

with  $a_i$  given in Table [II](#page-2-2) and, by interpolation with lattice data, the pressure is given by

$$
p_{HRG}(T) = p_{\text{lattice}}(T_l) \left(\frac{T}{T_l}\right)^4 + g(T),\tag{19}
$$

<span id="page-2-6"></span>where  $p_{\text{lattice}}(T_l)$  is the pressure at  $T_l = 130 \text{ MeV}$  and

$$
g(t) = T4 \bigg[ a_1 (T - T_l) + \frac{a_2}{3} (T^3 - T_l^3) + \frac{a_3}{4} (T^4 - T_l^4) + \frac{a_4}{10} (T^{10} - T_l^{10}) \bigg].
$$
 (20)

The electroweak sector is included as a relativistic gas of massless particles, i.e.,

$$
\varepsilon_{ew} = 3 p_{ew} = g_{ew} \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4,\tag{21}
$$

where  $g_{ew} = 14.45$  is the effective number of electroweak d.o.f. [\[4\]](#page-9-1).

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>IV. THE COSMOLOGICAL DECONFINEMENT **TRANSITION**

By the previous parametrization of the EoS of strongly interacting and electroweak sectors, we now analyze the Eos of the entire system in the temperature range 70 MeV  $\leq T \leq 400$  MeV, by interpolating the lattice data and the HRG results at  $T_l \approx 130$  MeV.

The results for the  $w = p_T/\varepsilon_T$  and for the speed of sound  $c_s^2$  are summarized in Fig. [1,](#page-3-1) where the continuous curves indicate the speed of sound and the dashed lines the value of w. The blue lines give the results for the strong sector and the red ones contain the electroweak sector.

The arrows indicate the temperature of the transition, defined as the temperature at the minimum of the speed of sound, which goes from the  $T_t^s = 147$  MeV including the strong interaction only, to  $T_t^{ew} = 158 \text{ MeV}$  adding the electroweak sector.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

FIG. 1. The speed of sound  $c_s^2$  (continuous curves) and w (dashed lines) for the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

FIG. 2. Temperature as a function of the cosmological time in the different sectors (blue for QCD and red for QCD plus EW), compared with the behavior of the pure radiation era (red dotted line).

<span id="page-3-3"></span>

FIG. 3. The sound speed  $c_s^2$  (continuous line) and the EoS w (dashed lines) for the different sector as a function of time: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).

The relation between the temperature and the cosmological time is

$$
t = t_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{24\pi G}} \int_T^{T_0} \frac{d\overline{T}}{\overline{T}c_s^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}},\tag{22}
$$

which is numerically solved (with  $T_0 = 500$  MeV and  $t_0 = 1 \mu s$  [\[4\]](#page-9-1)). In Fig. [2](#page-3-2) we have shown how the temperature decrease in the different cases previously discussed and in the pure radiation era (red dotted line). The transition time is reduced by adding the electroweak sector:  $t_t^s = 36.39 \ \mu s$ ,  $t_t^{ew} = 18.71 \ \mu s$ .

Finally, Fig. [3](#page-3-3) shows the behavior of the speed of sound as a function of the cosmological time. For the whole system, after about 100  $\mu$ s the values of w and  $c_s^2$ come back to be that ones of a radiation dominated era.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>V. EVOLUTION OF THE COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

The results in the previous sections are the starting point to study the behavior of the cosmological parameters during the deconfinement transition. Since the cosmological parameters can depend on the higher order derivatives of the Hubble parameter, i.e., on the higher order derivative of the thermodynamical quantities, it could be possible that some effects show up near the critical temperature [\[4\]](#page-9-1).

We have analyze two different cases: strong sector only (blue curves in the figures) and strong plus electroweak sector (red curves). In all figures, the arrows indicate the transition time.

In Figs. [4](#page-3-4) and [5](#page-4-1) are respectively depicted the time behavior of the scale factor  $a(t)$  (normalized to the value at 400 MeV,  $a^*$ ) and of  $H(t)$ . The final result is essentially independent on the specific setting.

In Figs. 6–[10](#page-4-2) the time evolution of q, j, s,  $A_5$  and  $A_6$ is plotted. As expected the parameters with high order derivative show larger deviations from the typical values of a radiation dominated era. However once the transition is over, the Universe is again dominated by radiation.

<span id="page-3-4"></span>

FIG. 4. The scale factor  $a/a^*$  as a function of cosmological time in the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

FIG. 5. The Hubble parameter  $H$  as a function of cosmological time in the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).

<span id="page-4-2"></span>

FIG. 6. Cosmological deceleration  $q$  as a function of cosmological time in the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).



FIG. 7. The jerk, j, as a function of cosmological time in the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>VI. MODIFICATION OF THE PRIMORDIAL SPECTRUM OF THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

According to previous results, the fluctuations of the cosmological parameters in the whole system are limited to a short time interval of about 100  $\mu$ s.



FIG. 8. The snap, s, as a function of cosmological time in the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).



FIG. 9.  $A_5$  as a function of cosmological time in the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).



FIG. 10.  $A<sub>6</sub>$  as a function of cosmological time in the different sectors: QCD (blue) and QCD plus electroweak sector (red).

From this point of view the deconfinement transition turns out to be more effective in modifying the primordial spectrum of the gravitational waves, proposed in [\[2\]](#page-9-8), that will be recalled in this section and reevaluated on the basis of the detailed description of the transition in Sec. [IV.](#page-2-0)

During the inflation era the wavelengths of the quantum fluctuations are stretched to scales greater than the casually connected region and the fluctuations of the metric tensor result in a background of stochastic gravitational waves [\[18\]](#page-9-9).

In the transverse traceless (TT) gauge, tensor perturbations  $h_{ij}$  of the FLRW metric satisfy the linearized equation of motion

$$
h_{ij;\mu}{}^{\mu} = 0,\tag{23}
$$

where ";" indicates the covariant derivative, and the corresponding Fourier modes take the form

$$
h_{ij} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{\lambda} e_{ij}^{\lambda} h_{k,\lambda} e^{ikx}, \tag{24}
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>where  $\lambda = (+, \times)$  are the two polarization states and  $e_{ij}^{\lambda}$  is the symmetric polarization tensor ( $e_{ii} = 0$ ,  $k^{i} e_{ij} = 0$ ). In conformal time,  $\eta$ , the equation of motion for the perturbations reads [\[3\]](#page-9-10)

$$
h_{k,\lambda}''(\eta) + 2\frac{a'}{a}h_{k,\lambda}'(\eta) + k^2h_{k,\lambda}(\eta) = 0, \qquad (25)
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>where  $d/d\eta$  is denoted by prime "". By defining  $\mu_{k\lambda} = ah_{k\lambda}$ , Eq. [\(25\)](#page-5-0) can be written as

$$
\mu''_{k,\lambda}(\eta) + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right) \mu_{k,\lambda}(\eta) = 0. \tag{26}
$$

Two different regimes are physically relevant and correspond to fluctuations well inside the Hubble horizon or well outside the horizon. Since  $a''/a \sim (aH)^2$ , when  $k \gg aH$ the wavelength is smaller than the horizon: this is the subhorizon regime. In this case Eq. [\(26\)](#page-5-1) is that of a harmonic oscillator, hence,  $\mu_k(\eta) \sim e^{ik\eta}$  and for the perturbation one obtains

$$
h_k \sim a^{-1},\tag{27}
$$

which implies that the amplitude decreases in time. In the superhorizon regime, i.e., for  $k \ll aH$ , Eq. [\(26\)](#page-5-1) has two independent solutions: a decaying mode  $\mu_k \sim a^{-2}$ , which we neglect, and  $\mu_k \sim a$  that leads to

$$
h_k \sim \text{const},\tag{28}
$$

that is the amplitudes are almost frozen, being outside the casually connected region.

Therefore, during the inflation era the amplitudes are stretched to size larger than the horizon, where they remain constant, but when inflation ends the comoving Hubble horizon  $(aH)^{-1}$  grows in time and each mode crosses the horizon and reenters inside the casually connected region <span id="page-5-2"></span>when the wavelength is comparable to the horizon size, i.e.,  $k = aH$ . In this case, a general solution of Eq. [\(26\)](#page-5-1) can be written introducing a factor depending on the mode's amplitude in the superhorizon regime and a transfer function,  $\mathcal{T}_k(\eta)$ , as

$$
h_{k,\lambda}(\eta) = h_{k,\lambda}^{\text{prim}} \mathcal{T}_k(\eta), \tag{29}
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>where  $h_{k,\lambda}^{\text{prim}}$  is the amplitude when the mode left the horizon during the inflationary period and  $\mathcal{T}_k(\eta)$  describes the evolution of the gravitational wave after it crosses the horizon. In a radiation dominated universe, the solution reads

$$
h_{k,\lambda}(\eta) = h_{k,\lambda}^{\text{prim}} j_0(k\eta),\tag{30}
$$

where  $j_0(x)$  is the spherical Bessel function [\[18\].](#page-9-9)

Let us define the power spectrum of gravitational waves. The energy density is given by

$$
\varepsilon_h(\eta) = \frac{1}{32\pi G a^2} \langle h'_{ij} h'^{ij} \rangle.
$$
 (31)

and in k space the spatial average reads

$$
\langle h'_{k,\lambda}h'_{k',\lambda'}\rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\delta^3(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')|h'_{k,\lambda}|^2. \qquad (32)
$$

Moreover, one assumes that the primordial gravitational waves are unpolarized, that is  $|h'_{k,+}(\eta)|^2 = |h'_{k,\times}(\eta)|^2$ .

Using Eq. [\(29\)](#page-5-2), we can write the energy density as

$$
\varepsilon_h(\eta) = \frac{1}{32\pi G a^2} \int \frac{dk}{k} \Delta_{h,\text{prim}}^2 [\mathcal{T}'_k(\eta)]^2, \tag{33}
$$

where  $\Delta_{k,\text{prim}}^2$  is the primordial amplitude which in de Sitter inflation turns out to be

$$
\Delta_{h,\text{prim}}^2 = \frac{2}{\pi^2} k^3 |h_k^{\text{prim}}|^2 = \frac{16}{\pi} \left(\frac{H_{dS}}{M_{\text{Pl}}}\right)^2, \tag{34}
$$

 $H_{dS}$  and  $M_{Pl}$  being the Hubble constant in de Sitter inflation and the Planck mass, respectively.

The logarithmic energy density is defined as  $d\varepsilon_h/d\ln k$ and the fractional energy density is given by

$$
\Omega(\eta, k) = \frac{d\varepsilon_h(\eta, k)}{d \ln k} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_c(\eta)} = \frac{\Delta_{h, \text{prim}}^2 [\mathcal{T}'_k(\eta)]^2}{32\pi G a^2 \varepsilon_c(\eta)}, \quad (35)
$$

where  $\varepsilon_c$  is the critical energy density.

From Friedman equations [\(5\)](#page-1-2) we finally get

$$
\Omega(\eta, k) = \frac{\Delta_{h,\text{prim}}^2}{12H^2(\eta)a^2} [\mathcal{T}'_k(\eta)]^2.
$$
 (36)

A gravitational wave of mode k has frequency  $f = 2\pi k/a$ . Because of redshift, once a wave crosses the horizon its frequency decreases. From the definition of fractional energy density follows that  $\Omega$  decreases as  $a^{-4}H^{-2}$ , since gravitational waves are decoupled from the rest of the Universe and  $\varepsilon_c \sim H^2$  from Friedman equation. For waves that reentered at a certain time  $\eta$ , the fractional energy density today is

$$
\Omega_0 = \Omega(\eta, f) \frac{a^4(\eta) H^2(\eta)}{a_0^4 H_0^2},
$$
\n(37)

<span id="page-6-2"></span>and the frequency today is  $f_0 = 2\pi k/a_0$ , where  $a_0$  and  $H_0$ are the scale factor and the Hubble parameter today.

The evolution of the  $h_k$  modes and of the crossing condition,  $k = aH$ , are controlled by the scale factor a and the modification of the spectrum of gravitational waves from the primordial one depends on the content of matter in the epoch they reenter the horizon. As previously shown (see Fig. [3](#page-3-3)), during the QCD transition the speed of sound  $c_s^2$  is strongly modified since the Universe stands no longer in a pure radiation era and, correspondingly, the primordial gravitational waves cross the horizon near that transition time at different rates.

By lattice QCD simulations, by the HRG model and including the electroweak sector, we now discuss a detailed analysis of this effect by numerical integration of Eqs.  $(25)$ – $(26)$ , improving previous analysis  $[2,3]$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>It is more useful to write Eq. [\(25\)](#page-5-0) for the transfer function as a function of the temperature, that is (see Appendix [B](#page-8-0) for details)

$$
\frac{d^2\mathcal{T}_k}{dT^2} + f(T)\frac{dT_k}{dT} + \kappa^2(T,k)\mathcal{T}_k = 0.
$$
 (38)

In order to integrate numerically Eq. [\(38\)](#page-6-0), we set boundary conditions at high temperature, such as  $10<sup>4</sup>$  MeV, where the modes  $h_k$  are given by the radiation era solutions [Eq. [\(30\)\]](#page-5-3).

In Fig. [11](#page-6-1) the numerical results for different values of  $k$ are reported.

Waves with higher frequencies cross the horizon earlier and waves that reentered at  $T \sim 150$  MeV have frequencies of about  $10^{-7}$  Hz, the typical frequency  $f_*$  of waves from the QCD transition. The effects of the transition are expected to be impressed in the fractional energy density  $\Omega$  and, in particular, one computes [\[2\]](#page-9-8) the quantity  $\Omega(f)/\Omega(\bar{f} \ll f_*)$ , that is the fractional energy density of the gravitational waves with respect to the same quantity evaluated for waves that do not encounter the transition  $(\bar{f}$  being a fixed frequency much lower than  $f_*$ ).

From Eq. [\(37\),](#page-6-2) this quantity evaluated today is

$$
\frac{\Omega_0(f)}{\Omega_0(\bar{f}\ll f_*)} = \frac{\Omega(f)}{\Omega(\bar{f}\ll f_*)} \frac{a^4(f)H^2(f)}{a^4(\bar{f})H^2(\bar{f})}.
$$
 (39)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

FIG. 11. Transfer function  $\mathcal{T}_k$  against cosmic time at different values of the wave number  $k$ . It describes the evolution of a gravitational wave. Green is for  $k = 2.17 \times 10^{-14} \mu s^{-1}$ , yellow  $k = 6.02 \times 10^{-14} \mu s^{-1}$ , orange  $k = 1.20 \times 10^{-13} \mu s^{-1}$ . Vertical line indicates the QCD transition.

<span id="page-6-3"></span>

FIG. 12. Fraction of energy density of gravitational waves with respect to waves that do not encounter the QCD transition in continuous lines, only the redshift factor to today's values in dashed lines. Both against frequency f. Vertical line represents the transition. The size of the step is about 38%.

The redshift factor gives the shape of the step and the final result is showed in Fig. [12.](#page-6-3) The size of the step is about 38%, larger than previous results [\[2,3\]](#page-9-8). In particular, in [\[2\]](#page-9-8) the step size was  $\simeq 30\%$ , obtained by a numerical computation of a first order transition between the quark-gluon plasma phase and the hadronic phase. Only the strong and electroweak sectors were considered and we used recent lattice data [\[11\]](#page-9-3) for the quark gluon plasma phase and the HRG model for the hadronic phase. Figure [13](#page-7-2) shows a direct comparison between the latter result and our evaluation (see also Ref. [\[10\]\)](#page-9-11).

In order to verify these results we need to detect primordial gravitational waves with frequencies around  $10^{-7}$  Hz. They could be detected indirectly by seeking effects on physical observables, such as the cosmic microwave background (CMB) polarization, or by direct detection with interferometers [\[18\].](#page-9-9) However, we can only put upper limits on the energy density of gravitational waves

<span id="page-7-2"></span>

FIG. 13. Comparison of the fraction of energy density of gravitational waves with respect to waves that do not encounter the QCD transition between the evaluation made in [\[2\]](#page-9-8), in black, and our evaluation, in red. Vertical line represents the transition.

from current data. In the future, other detectors as the Kamioka Gravitational Wave Detector (KAGRA) [\[19\],](#page-9-12) the Einstein Telescope [\[20\]](#page-9-13) and LIGO-India [\[21\]](#page-9-14) will improve our knowledge on the gravitational waves.

### VII. COMMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

<span id="page-7-0"></span>The fluctuations of conserved charges at the deconfinement transition are a clear signature of the different behavior between a quark-gluon plasma and a hadron resonance gas model, but their detection in relativistic heavy ion collisions is difficult.

The fluctuations of the cosmological parameters at the QCD transition have, in principle, the same physical basis, i.e., they originate from the combined effect of the equation of state and of the calculation of higher order derivatives of the relevant physical parameters, that is, in early Universe, the scale factor.

We have shown, by a complete treatment of the thermodynamics of the whole system (strong and electroweak contributions), that after about 100  $\mu$ s the cosmological parameters return to the typical values of a radiation dominated era, i.e., to their values before the transition, and that this result remains valid also for cosmological scalars involving higher order derivatives of the scale factor (see Figs. 6–[10](#page-4-2)).

Moreover the effects of the QCD transition on the density fluctuations are small [\[8\]](#page-9-15) and, therefore, the possible signature of the deconfinement transition in early Universe is restricted to the modification of the primordial gravitational wave spectrum.

By using the recent lattice QCD simulation data and the HRG below  $T_c$  to describe the transition, one evaluates the fraction of energy density of gravitational waves with respect to waves that do not encounter the QCD transition. A difference of about 10% is observed with respect to previous analyses [\[2\]](#page-9-8).

However, direct and indirect detection of gravitational waves from inflation is required to verify these results [\[22\]](#page-9-16). While it seems unlikely in the present, promising experiments are planned for the future [\[23\].](#page-9-17)

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank H. Satz and D. Schwarz for helpful comments.

#### <span id="page-7-1"></span>APPENDIX A: COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

In the framework of Friedmann cosmology the evolution can be described by the Hubble parameter  $H$ , the deceleration q, the jerk  $j = A_3$ , the snap  $s = A_4$  and others cosmological parameters, since they specify the various terms of the Taylor expansion of the scale factor:

$$
a(t) = a(t^*) \left[ 1 + H(t^*)(t - t^*) - \frac{(qH^2)(t^*)}{2!} (t - t^*)^2 + \frac{(jH^3)(t^*)}{3!} (t - t^*)^3 + \cdots \right].
$$
 (A1)

Since

$$
\frac{d^n a}{dt^n} = \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} \left( \frac{da}{dt} \right) = \frac{d^{n-1}(aH)}{dt^{n-1}},
$$
 (A2)

it is easy to show that these quantities are related to the derivatives of the Hubble parameter as follows:

$$
q = -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2},\tag{A3}
$$

$$
j = A_3 = 1 + 3\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + \frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3},
$$
 (A4)

$$
s = A_4 = 1 + 6\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + 3\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)^2 + 4\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3} + \frac{\dddot{H}}{H^4}, \quad (A5)
$$

$$
A_5 = 1 + 10\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + 15\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)^2 + 10\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3} + 5\frac{\dddot{H}}{H^4} + 10\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\frac{\dddot{H}}{H^3} + \frac{H^{(4)}}{H^5},
$$
 (A6)

$$
A_6 = 1 + 15\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + 45\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)^2 + 20\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3} + 15\frac{\dddot{H}}{H^4} + 60\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\frac{\dddot{H}}{H^3} + 6\frac{H^{(4)}}{H^5} + 15\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)^3 + 15\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\frac{\dddot{H}}{H^4} + 10\left(\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3}\right)^2 + \frac{H^{(5)}}{H^6}.
$$
 (A7)

By defining the speed of sound  $c_s^2 \equiv \partial p/\partial \varepsilon$ , where p is the pressure and  $\varepsilon$  the energy density, and by recalling that

$$
\frac{1}{H^{n+1}}\frac{d^nH}{dt^n} = -\frac{4\pi G}{H^{n+1}}\frac{d^{n-1}(\varepsilon + p)}{dt^{n-1}},\tag{A8}
$$

each of the previous derivatives can be express as

$$
\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = -\frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \right),\tag{A9}
$$

$$
\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^3} = \frac{9}{2} \left( 1 + c_s^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \right),\tag{A10}
$$

$$
\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^4} = \frac{9}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\epsilon} \right) \left[ \frac{dc_s^2/dt}{H} - 3(1 + c_s^2)^2 - \frac{3}{2} (1 + c_s^2) \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\epsilon} \right) \right],
$$
\n(A11)

$$
\frac{H^{(4)}}{H^5} = \frac{9}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \right) [9(1 + c_s^2)^3 + 18(1 + c_s^2)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \right) - 3 \left( 4 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} + 3c_s^2 \right) \frac{dc_s^2/dt}{H} + \frac{d^2 c_s^2/dt^2}{H^2}, \quad \text{(A12)}
$$

$$
\frac{H^{(5)}}{H^6} = \frac{9}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\epsilon} \right) \left[ \frac{d^3 c_s^2 / dt^3}{H^3} - 9 \left( \frac{dc_s^2 / dt}{H} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{33}{2} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{p}{\epsilon} + 12c_s^2 \right) \frac{d^2 c_s^2 / dt^2}{H^2} + (1 + c_s^2) \left( 135 + 81 \frac{p}{\epsilon} + 54c_s^2 \right) \frac{dc_s^2 / dt}{H} - 27(1 + c_s^2)^4 - \frac{297}{2} (1 + c_s^2)^3 \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\epsilon} \right) - 27(1 + c_s^2)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \right].
$$
\n(A13)

Furthermore, by defining  $w(\varepsilon) \equiv p/\varepsilon$  one can show that

$$
c_s^2 = w + \varepsilon \frac{dw}{d\varepsilon} \tag{A14}
$$

and thus all the cosmological parameters can be express in terms of w,  $c_s^2$  and its derivatives. For the first three parameters one gets

$$
q = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 3w(\varepsilon)),
$$
 (A15)

$$
j = 1 + 3c_s^2(1+q) = q(1+2q) + 3(1+q)\varepsilon \frac{dw}{d\varepsilon},
$$
\n(A16)

$$
s = 1 - 3(1 + q) - 9c_s^4(1 + q)
$$
  
\n
$$
-3c_s^2(1 + q)(3 + q) + 3(1 + q)\frac{dc_s^2/dt}{H}
$$
  
\n
$$
= -q(1 + 2q)(2 + 3q)
$$
  
\n
$$
-3(1 + q)(1 + 5q)\epsilon \frac{dw}{d\epsilon}
$$
  
\n
$$
-9(1 + q)\left(\epsilon \frac{dw}{d\epsilon}\right)^2 + 3(1 + q)\frac{dc_s^2/dt}{H}, \quad (A17)
$$

Finally, to simplify the calculations it is better to consider temperature derivatives rather than time derivatives. In general, one needs a function  $T = T(t)$  and, by defining the function

$$
h(T) = \frac{1}{a(T)} \frac{da}{dT},
$$
\n(A18)

it is easy to show that

$$
\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{H}{h},\tag{A19}
$$

and, by the FLRW equations and by the isentropic expansion condition, one obtains

$$
h = -\frac{1}{3c_s^2 T} = -\frac{C_V}{3(\varepsilon + p)},
$$
 (A20)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>where  $C_V$  is the specific heat.

### APPENDIX B: CALCULATION OF THE TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Let us consider the equation of motion for the transfer function,  $T_k$ , with respect to cosmic time, t,

$$
\frac{d^2\mathcal{T}_k}{dt^2} + 3\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt}\frac{\mathcal{T}_k}{dt} + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\mathcal{T}_k = 0
$$
 (B1)

and let us write

$$
\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{dT}{d\eta} \frac{d\eta}{dt} = -3c_s^2 T H.
$$
 (B2)

where T is the temperature,  $\eta$  is the conformal time, H is the Hubble parameter and  $c_s^2$  is the speed of sound.

Then Eq. [\(B1\)](#page-8-1) becomes

$$
\frac{d^2\mathcal{T}_k}{dT^2} + f(T)\frac{dT_k}{dT} + \kappa^2(T,k)\mathcal{T}_k = 0,
$$
 (B3)

where

$$
f(T) = \frac{1}{T} \frac{w - 1 + 2c_s^2}{2c_s^2} + \frac{1}{c_s^2} \frac{dc_s^2}{dT},
$$
 (B4)

$$
\kappa(T,k) = -\frac{k}{a} \frac{1}{3c_s^2 T H},
$$
\n(B5)

$$
w = \frac{p}{\varepsilon},\tag{B6}
$$

$$
c_s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\varepsilon}.\tag{B7}
$$

In radiation era, the solution of Eq. [\(B1\)](#page-8-1) reads

$$
\mathcal{T}_k = Aj_0\bigg(\alpha \frac{k}{T}\bigg),\tag{B8}
$$

where  $j_0$  is a spherical Bessel function of the first kind and A and  $\alpha$  are appropriate constants.

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] D. J. Schwarz, [Ann. Phys. \(Berlin\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.200310010) **12**, 220 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[2] D. J. Schwarz, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732398002941) 13, 2771 (1998).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[3] Y.Watanabe and E. Komatsu, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 123515 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.123515)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[4] P. Castorina, V. Greco, and S. Plumari, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.063530) 92, [063530 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.063530)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[5] F. Karsch, Nucl. Phys. **A967**[, 461 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2017.06.011).
- [6] A. Bazavov et al., Phys. Rev. D 86[, 034509 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.034509)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[7] F. Karsch, K. Redlich, and A. Tawfik, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2003-01228-y) 29, [549 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2003-01228-y).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[8] G. L. Guardo, V. Greco, and M. Ruggieri, [AIP Conf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4875317) 1595[, 224 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4875317).
- [9] W. Florkowski, Nucl. Phys. A853[, 173 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2011.01.024)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[10] S. Schettler, T. Boeckel, and J. Schaffner-Bielich, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.064030) D 83[, 064030 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.064030).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[11] A. Bazavov et al. (HotQCD Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.094503) 90[, 094503 \(2014\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.094503) Nucl. Phys. A931[, 867 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2014.08.073)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[12] P. Huovinen and P. Petrec, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2010.02.015) A837, 26 (2010).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[13] M. Visser, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/11/006) 21, 2603 (2004).
- [14] M. Dunajski and G. Gibbons, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/23/235012) 25[, 235012 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/23/235012)
- [15] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, and K. K. Szabo, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.01.007) 730, 99 (2014).
- [16] S. Borsanyi, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, A. Jakovac, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, C. Ratti, and K. K. Szabo, [J. High Energy Phys. 11](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2010)077) [\(2010\) 077.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2010)077)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[17] R. Venugopalan and M. Prakas, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(92)90005-5) A546, 718 [\(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(92)90005-5)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[18] M. C. Guzzetti, N. Bartolo, M. Liguori, and S. Matarrese, [Rivista del Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1393/ncr/i2016-10127-1) 39, 399 (2016).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[19] Y. Aso, Y. Michimura, K. Somiya, M. Ando, O. Miyakawa, T. Sekiguchi, D. Tatsumi, and H. Yamamoto, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.043007) 88[, 043007 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.043007)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[20] M. Punturo et al., [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/19/194002) 27, 194002 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/19/194002)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[21] C. S. Unnikrishnan, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271813410101) 22, 1341010 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271813410101)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[22] M. Kamionkowski, A. Kosowsky, and M. S. Turner, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.2837) Rev. D 49[, 2837 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.2837)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[23] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), [Astron. As](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525830)trophys. 594[, A13 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525830)