Elastic scattering of virtual photons via a quark loop in the double-logarithmic approximation

B. I. Ermolaev

Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute, 194021 St.Petersburg, Russia

D. Yu. Ivanov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia Novosibirsk State University, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

S. I. Troyan

St. Petersburg Institute of Nuclear Physics, 188300 Gatchina, Russia

(Received 29 December 2017; published 13 April 2018)

We calculate the amplitude of elastic photon-photon scattering via a single quark loop in the doublelogarithmic approximation, presuming all external photons to be off-shell and unpolarized. At the same time we account for the running coupling effects. We consider this process in the forward kinematics at arbitrary relations between t and the external photon virtualities. We obtain explicit expressions for the photon-photon scattering amplitudes in all double-logarithmic kinematic regions. Then we calculate the small-x asymptotics of the obtained amplitudes and compare them with the parent amplitudes, thereby fixing the applicability regions of the asymptotics, i.e., fixing the applicability region for the nonvacuum Reggeons. We find that these Reggeons should be used at $x < 10^{-8}$ only.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.97.076007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.076007)

I. INTRODUCTION

For a long time, the light-by-light scattering has been an object of both experimental and theoretical interest. In this paper we consider this process in the high energy limit. The motivation of our study is twofold.

On the one hand, it is well known that, similarly to the e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons, the total cross section of the collision of two off-shell photons with large virtualities is an important test ground for perturbative QCD. At a fixed order of in the strong coupling, α_s , and at low energies, the dominant contribution comes from the pure QED quark box diagrams, calculated at the leading-order (LO) in Refs. [\[1,2\]](#page-12-0) and at the next-to-LO (NLO) in α_s , see Ref. [\[3\].](#page-12-1) In Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2) the resummation of double logs appearing starting from the first NLO QCD corrections to the quark box was studied. Such contributions are important at high energy where arguments of the logs are large. At even higher energies additional class of QCD diagrams gives important contribution to the cross section. It is a contribution with the two-gluon exchange in the t-channel that overwhelms the quark exchange contribution despite additional α_s^2 suppression: it has a different asymptotics in the power of energy and therefore it will exceed the contribution of quark exchange mechanism at sufficiently large center of mass frame (c.m.f.) energy \sqrt{s} . At higher orders in α_s , the contributions from t-channel gluons lead to terms with powers of single logarithms of the energy, which must be resummed. The Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) approach [\[5\]](#page-12-3) provides for a consistent theoretical framework for such resummation of the energy logarithms, both in the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA), which means resummation of all terms $\sim (\alpha_s \ln(s))^n$, and in the next-to-leading approximation (NLA), which means resummation of all terms $\sim \alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln(s))^n$. In this approach, the imaginary part of the amplitude (and, hence, the total cross section) for a large- s hard collision process can be written as the convolution of the Greens function of two interacting Reggeized gluons with the impact factors of the colliding particles.

The study of the $\gamma^* \gamma^*$ total cross section in LLA BFKL has a long history [\[6\].](#page-12-4) For the extension of these results to the NLA level one needs to consider corrections to both the BFKL Green's function [\[7\]](#page-12-5) and to the impact factors of colliding virtual photons.

While its LO expression for the photon impact factor is known since long, the NLO calculation, carried out in the momentum representation, turned out to be rather complicated and was completed only after year-long efforts [\[8\]](#page-12-6),

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

and the results are available only in the form of a numerical code, thus making it of limited practical use. Indeed, until recently, the inclusion of BFKL resummation effects in the NLA calculation of the $\gamma^* \gamma^*$ total cross section was carried out only in approximate way, by taking the BFKL Green's function in the NLA while using the LO expression for impact factors. This is the case of the pioneer paper in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-12-7) (see also Ref. [\[10\]](#page-12-8)) and of the later analysis in Refs. [\[11,12\].](#page-12-9)

The situation changed when the NLO photon impact was calculated analytically in the coordinate space and then transformed to the momentum representation and to the Mellin [\[13\]](#page-12-10) (see also Ref. [\[14\]\)](#page-12-11). This achievement opened a way for a subsequent calculations of $\gamma^* \gamma^*$ total cross section with complete NLA BFKL resummation approach, see [\[14,15\]](#page-12-11).

In [\[15\]](#page-12-12) a comparison of the NLA BFKL predictions with LEP2 data [\[16,17\]](#page-13-0) was made. It was shown that the account of the Balitsky and Chirilli expression for NLO photon impact factor reduces the BFKL contribution to the cross section to very small values making it impossible to describe LEP2 data as a sum of BFKL and LO QED quark box contributions. Note that, as we discussed above, the LO QED quark box itself receives, at higher QCD orders, large corrections enhanced by double logs. Their resummation is important and leads to a considerable enhancement of the quark box contribution (see Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2) for detail), but still these effects are not large enough for a good description of LEP2 data at largest available rapidity without a sizable BFKL contribution. Therefore, in this situation, one of the aim of this paper is to reconsider the derivation of double logs resummation and to confirm results of [\[4\].](#page-12-2) Besides, we account for the running QCD coupling effects.

Another motivation for the present paper is related to the possibility to measure amplitude of the light-by-light scattering at nonzero angles, i.e., at nonzero values of t. Recently, ATLAS Collaboration has reporte[d\[18\]](#page-13-1) on evidence for the quasireal photo-photon scattering in heavyion collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. These results proved to be consistent with calculations reported in Refs. [\[19](#page-13-2)–21], Light-by-light scattering has been an object of both experimental and theoretical interest. For instance, ATLAS Collaboration has recently reported [\[18\]](#page-13-1) on evidence for light-by-light scattering in heavy-ion collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. These results proved to be consistent with calculations reported in Refs. [\[19](#page-13-2)–21], where one of the essential ingredients is the amplitudes of the photon-photon elastic scattering studied in the lowest ("Born") approximation where description of the photon scattering involves a single quark loop only. As accounting for the QCD radiative corrections can essentially change the scattering amplitudes, it is interesting to study their impact. Both the technology of accounting for the radiative corrections and their impact strongly depend on the kinematic region of the process. The most interesting kinematics at high energies is the forward one. Because of that we investigate the photon-photon scattering

$$
\gamma^*(p)\gamma^*(q) \to \gamma^*(p')\gamma^*(q'),\tag{1}
$$

with all photons being off-shell, via a single quark loop. We consider this reaction in the forward kinematics

$$
s = (p+q)^2 \gg -t = -(p'-p)^2. \tag{2}
$$

In order to be in agreement with the conventional notations, we denote the photon virtualities as follows:

$$
|p^2| = Q_1^2, |p'^2| = Q'^2, \qquad |q^2| = Q_2^2, |q'^2| = Q'^2_2,\tag{3}
$$

so that $Q_{1,2}^2, Q_{1,2}^2$ are positive. We presume that $Q_{1,2}^2 \approx Q_{1,2}^2$. In what follows we consider the case when $s \gg Q_{1,2}^2, Q_{1,2}^2$, i.e., when

$$
s \gg |t|, Q_{1,2}^2. \tag{4}
$$

In contrast, we do not fix any hierarchy between $Q_{1,2}^2$ and t and consider all possible situations. Then, throughout the paper we will focus on the unpolarized initial and final photons. We will calculate the amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ of the reaction [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the double-logarithmic approximation (DLA). The imaginary part (with respect to s) of this amplitude was calculated in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2) in the collinear kinematics, i.e., in the kinematics [\(2\)](#page-1-1) with $t = 0$, and under the approximation of fixed QCD coupling α_s . We check and confirm the results obtained in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2) and, in contrast, we account for the running α_s effects, using the results of Ref. [\[22\]](#page-13-3). In our approach α_s runs in every rung of each involved Feynman ladder graph. Then we consider the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the forward kinematics, with $t \neq 0$ and obtain a complete expression for the amplitude of this process.

In our calculations we compose and solve infrared evolution equations (IREE) for $A_{\gamma\gamma}$. The key point of the IREE method is the property of factorization of the double logarithmic (DL) contributions of the softest partons (i.e., the partons with minimal transverse momenta) out of the scattering amplitudes. This remarkable property of the softest photons was first proved by V. N. Gribov [\[23\]](#page-13-4) in the QED context and then its generalization to the non-Abelian theories was obtained in Ref. [\[24,25\],](#page-13-5) where the IREE method was suggested to calculate in DLA amplitudes of quark-antiquark scattering. After that, the IREE method proved to be a simple and effective method to calculate in DLA amplitudes of various inclusive and exclusive processes in QCD and the standard model, with both fixed and running α_s , see, e.g., the overviews in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-13-6).

The aim of our paper is to calculate the amplitude $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ of the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the forward kinematics [\(2\)](#page-1-1) with nonzero value of t and arbitrary relations between t and $Q_{1,2}^2$.

Throughout the paper we deal with running α_s . Technology of composing IREE involves matching $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ with amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ calculated in the collinear kinematics. Following this pattern, we in the first place calculate amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ in the collinear kinematics, examining the cases of running and fixed α_s thereby checking results of Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2), and then proceed to calculating $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ in the region of nonzero t.

Our paper is organized as follows: In Secs. II–[V](#page-2-0) we consider the photon-photon scattering in collinear kinemat-ics, i.e., in kinematics [\(2\)](#page-1-1) with $t = 0$. In Sec. [II](#page-2-0) we briefly mention the lowest-order results for $A_{\gamma\gamma}$. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0) we compose and solve IREE for $A_{\gamma\gamma}$, expressing it terms of auxiliary amplitudes describing photon-quark scattering. In Sec. [IV](#page-6-0) we compose and solve IREE for the auxiliary amplitudes and express them in terms of amplitudes of the quark-antiquark annihilation in the forward kinematics. Using the obtained results, in Sec. [V](#page-7-0) we express the photon-photon scattering amplitudes through the quarkquark amplitude. Then in Sec. [VI](#page-9-0) we use results of Sec. [V](#page-7-0) in order to calculate the photon-photon scattering amplitude $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ in kinematics [\(2\)](#page-1-1) at $t \neq 0$. In Sec. [VII](#page-10-0) we discuss the results obtained in Secs. [V](#page-7-0)and [VI.](#page-9-0) Here we consider the high-energy asymptotics of $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ and compare them to the parent amplitudes, thereby defining the applicability region for non-vacuum Reggeons. Finally, Sec. [VIII](#page-12-13) is for our concluding remarks.

II. LOWEST-ORDER AMPLITUDES IN THE COLLINEAR KINEMATICS

First of all we consider the "Born", i.e., the simplest, case, where only quark box diagrams contribute. We also suggest that $t \approx 0$. In this case the amplitude A_B of the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the lowest order, with the quark masses neglected, consists of two terms:

$$
A_B = B + B',\tag{5}
$$

where

$$
B = \iota \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[\gamma_\nu(\hat{q} + \hat{k})\gamma_\mu \hat{k}\gamma_\lambda(\hat{k} - \hat{p})\gamma_\rho \hat{k}]}{k^2 k^2 (q + k)^2 (k - p)^2} \times l_\mu(q) l_\lambda(p) l_\nu^*(q') l_\lambda^*(p') \tag{6}
$$

and B' can be obtained from [\(6\)](#page-2-1) by replacing $q \rightarrow -q$. The important property of amplitude B is that $\mathfrak{F}_s B \neq 0$ whereas $\mathfrak{S}_{s}B' = 0$. By this reason we will not consider B' and focus on B only. In Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-1) we have neglected the quark mass and introduced the following notations: k is the loop momentum, $l_{\mu}(q)$, $l_{\lambda}(p)$ are the polarization vectors of the incoming photons, and $l^*_{\nu}(q)$, $l^*_{\rho}(p)$ stand for the polarization vectors of the outgoing photons. Throughout the present paper we consider the case of the unpolarized photons and use for them the Feynman gauge where the averaging over the photon polarizations can be done using the following replacements:

$$
l_{\mu}(q)l_{\nu}^{*}(q) = -g_{\mu\nu}/2, \qquad l_{\lambda}(p)l_{\rho}^{*}(p) = -g_{\lambda\rho}/2 \quad (7)
$$

and therefore

$$
Tr[\gamma_{\nu}(\hat{q} + \hat{k})\gamma_{\mu}\hat{k}\gamma_{\lambda}(\hat{k} - \hat{p})\gamma_{\rho}(\hat{k} + \hat{p}' - \hat{p})]
$$

\n
$$
\times l_{\mu}(q)l_{\lambda}(p)l_{\nu}^{*}(q')l_{\lambda}^{*}(p')
$$

\n
$$
= Tr[(\hat{q} + \hat{k})\hat{k}(\hat{k} - \hat{p})(\hat{k} + \hat{p}' - \hat{p})]
$$

\n
$$
\approx -Tr[\hat{q}\hat{k}\hat{p}\hat{k}] = 2[wk^{2} - 2pk2qk],
$$
 (8)

where we have used the standard notation $w = 2pq$. For the next step, it is convenient to introduce the Sudakov representation [\[27\]](#page-13-7) for the soft momentum k :

$$
k = -\alpha \tilde{q} + \beta \tilde{p} + k_{\perp}, \tag{9}
$$

where the light-cone momenta \tilde{p} , \tilde{q} are made of the photon momenta p and q :

$$
\tilde{p} = p - x_p q, \quad \tilde{q} = q - x_q p, \quad x_p \approx Q_1^2 / w, \quad x_q \approx Q_2^2 / w,
$$
\n(10)

so that

$$
2pk = -\alpha w + \beta x_p w, \qquad 2qk = \beta w - \alpha x_q w,
$$

$$
k^2 = -\alpha \beta w - k^2_{\perp}.
$$
 (11)

In terms of the Sudakov variables Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-2) looks much simpler:

$$
2[wk^2 - 2pk2qk] \approx -2wk_{\perp}^2.
$$
 (12)

Corrections to Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-3) are ∼ p^2 , q^2 . Accounting for them is beyond the DLA accuracy, so we drop them.

Therefore, the DL contribution to amplitude B of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-1) in collinear kinematics is given by the following expression of the Sudakov type:

$$
B = -i \frac{e^4}{16\pi^3} \int \frac{d\alpha d\beta dk_{\perp}^2 w^2 k_{\perp}^2}{k^2 k^2 (x_q w + \beta w - \alpha x_q w + k^2)(x_p w + \alpha w - \beta x_p w + k^2)} \approx i \frac{e^4}{16\pi^3} \int \frac{d\alpha d\beta dk_{\perp}^2 w^2}{k^2 (x_q w + \beta w + k^2)(x_p w + \alpha w + k^2)}.
$$
\n(13)

We have used in (13) that in DLA the integrand does not depend on the azimuthal angle.

A. Massless external photons

This case is the simplest. Here $p^2 = q^2 = 0$, so the onshell Born amplitude B_{on} is

$$
B_{on} = i \frac{e^4}{16\pi^3} \int \frac{d\alpha d\beta dk_{\perp}^2 w^2}{k^2 (\beta w + k^2)(\alpha w + k^2)}
$$

= $-\frac{e^4}{8\pi^2} \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^s dk_{\perp}^2 \frac{w}{k_{\perp}^2 (w\beta - k_{\perp}^2)}$
= $-\frac{e^4}{16\pi^2} \ln^2(w/\mu^2) \approx -\frac{e^4}{16\pi^2} \ln^2(s/\mu^2)$, (14)

where we have introduced the infrared (IR) cutoff μ in the transverse space: $k_{\perp}^2 \gg \mu^2$. In order to use the cutoff and at the same time neglect the quark masses, μ should obey the inequality $\mu \gg m_{\text{quark}}$. With our accuracy, we have neglected the difference between s and w in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-1) and will do so throughout the paper.

B. Off-shell external photons

Here we consider the case of the off-shell photons. After integrating B of Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-4) over k_{\perp} , we arrive at

$$
B = -\frac{e^4}{8\pi^2} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\Theta(\alpha\beta - \lambda)}{(\beta + x_q)(\alpha + x_p)}, \quad (15)
$$

where $\lambda = \mu^2/s$. Depending on the ratio between the photon virtualities and μ^2 , there are two different cases:

(1) Moderately virtual photons

We call so the case, when virtualities Q_1^2 and Q_2^2 are sizable but not too great and obey the inequality

$$
Q_1^2 Q_2^2 \ll s\mu^2. \tag{16}
$$

The integration region in this case is depicted in Fig. [1](#page-3-2) and therefore the off-shell Born amplitude $B_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ in the kinematics [\(16\)](#page-3-3) is

$$
B_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = -\frac{e^4}{8\pi^2} \int_{x_p}^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \int_{x_q}^1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \Theta(\alpha\beta - \lambda)
$$

=
$$
-\frac{e^4}{16\pi^2} [\ln^2(s/\mu^2) - \ln^2(p^2/\mu^2) - \ln^2(q^2/\mu^2)].
$$
 (17)

(2) Deeply virtual photons

On the contrary when the photon virtualities are so great that

$$
Q_1^2 Q_2^2 \gg s\mu^2,\tag{18}
$$

FIG. 1. Integration region for moderately virtual photons.

FIG. 2. Integration region for deeply virtual photons.

the integration region does not include or touch the line $s\alpha\beta = \mu^2$ $s\alpha\beta = \mu^2$ (see Fig. 2), so the amplitude $B_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ does not depend on μ and becomes IR stable:

$$
B_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = -\frac{e^4}{8\pi^2} \int_{x_p}^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \int_{x_q}^1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta}
$$

=
$$
-\frac{e^4}{8\pi^2} \ln(s/Q_1^2) \ln(s/Q_2^2).
$$
 (19)

III. PHOTON-PHOTON AMPLITUDES IN DLA

In this section we account for DL corrections to the Born amplitudes $B_{\gamma\gamma}^M, B_{\gamma\gamma}^D$ and express the amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}(s, Q_1^2, Q_2^2)$ of the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) at $t = 0$. We do it with constructing and solving IREE for $A_{\gamma\gamma}(s, Q_1^2, Q_2^2)$. As a result, we represent $A_{\gamma\gamma}(s, Q_1^2, Q_2^2)$ in terms of auxiliary amplitudes $A_{\gamma q}$ and $A_{q\gamma}$ that correspond respectively to the t-channel annihilation of the pair of photons into quarks

$$
\gamma^*(p)\gamma^*(q) \to q(p'_1)\bar{q}(p'_2),\tag{20}
$$

and to the inverse process. According to the IREE technology, we start with introducing a cutoff μ in the transverse space:

$$
k_{\perp} \gg \mu,\tag{21}
$$

where k_{\perp} refers to the transverse momenta of virtual quarks or gluons. In order to handle virtual quarks and gluons equally, we choose μ much greater than the masses of involved quarks, which allows us to neglect the quark masses. After that, the amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ becomes μ -dependent, so we can evolve it with respect to μ and thereby compose IREE for $A_{\gamma\gamma}$. It is convenient to deal with $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ through its Mellin transformation $F_{\gamma\gamma}$. We will use the Mellin transform as follows:

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}(s, Q_1^2, Q_2^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi \iota} (s/\mu^2)^{\omega} F_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, Q_1^2, Q_2^2)
$$

=
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi \iota} e^{\omega\rho} F_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1, y_2),
$$
 (22)

where we have denoted

$$
\rho = \ln(s/\mu^2)
$$
, $y_1 = \ln(Q_1^2/\mu^2)$, $y_2 = \ln(Q_2^2/\mu^2)$. (23)

We will address $F_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_2, y_1)$ and $(s/\mu^2)^\omega$ as the Mellin amplitude and the Mellin factor respectively. We would like to remind the reader that in the context of the Regge processes the Mellin transform in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-4-0) is actually the asymptotic form of the Sommerfeld-Watson representation for the positive signature amplitudes. Before composing IREE for objects with several μ -dependent variables like $A_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho, y_1, y_2)$, we should order these variables. We use the ordering of Eq. [\(4\),](#page-1-2) complementing it by the restriction $Q_1^2 \gg Q_2^2$ and arriving thereby at

$$
\rho > y_1 > y_2. \tag{24}
$$

When we obtain expressions for $A_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho, y_1, y_2)$ under the ordering [\(24\),](#page-4-1) we will generalize our results on the case of the opposite ordering $y_1 < y_2$ and for $y_1 = y_2$ as well. The general strategy of composing IREE prescribes to start with considering the simplest case: we first compose the IREE for the on-shell amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{on}$, which describes the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) at $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ and therefore depends on the largest variable ρ only. When $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{on}$ is found, we do next step, considering the more involved case of amplitude $A_{yy}(\rho, y_1)$ of the same process in the kinematics $\rho > y_1 > y_2 = 0$. In order to specify a general solution of the IREE for $\tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho, y_1)$, we will use matching with the onshell amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{on}$, which has been found on the previous step. Then we repeat the same to specify a general solution to the IREE for $A_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho, y_1, y_2)$. Obviously, such procedure can be repeated as many times as one needs, allowing to describe processes with arbitrary number of external kinematic invariants. We suppose that the amplitudes

FIG. 3. Infrared evolution equation for the amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}$. The dashed lines denote the external photons, whereas the straight lines correspond to quarks. The blobs stand for amplitudes calculated in DLA. The letters on the blobs denote the IR cutoffs for the involved amplitudes.

 $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{on}(\rho), \tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho, y_1), A_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho, y_1, y_2)$ are related to the conjugated Mellin amplitudes $f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega), \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1), F_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1, y_2)$ by the Mellin transform [\(22\).](#page-4-0)

Now we have got all set to compose IREE for the amplitudes of the process [\(1\).](#page-1-0) The generic form of IREE for $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ is depicted in Fig. [3](#page-4-2). Throughout this paper we will write the IREE directly in the ω -space.

A. All photons are nearly on-shell

We start with calculation of $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{on}$ in the simplest kinematics where $Q_1^2 \approx Q_2^2 \lesssim \mu^2$. We denote $f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega)$ the Mellin amplitude for the photon-photon scattering in the case when virtualities $Q_{1,2}^2$ are neglected, i.e., when

$$
y_2 = y_1 = 0.\t(25)
$$

The IREE for $f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega)$ is very simple. It represents $f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega)$ through two auxiliary Mellin amplitudes:

$$
\omega f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} f_{\gamma q}(\omega) f_{q\gamma}(\omega),\tag{26}
$$

where $f_{\gamma q}(\omega)$ and $f_{q\gamma}(\omega)$ corresponds to the processes [\(20\)](#page-3-5) and the reversal process, respectively. In fact, $f_{\gamma q}(\omega) = f_{q\gamma}(\omega)$.

B. One of the photons is on-shell and the other is off-shell

Let us consider the more complicated case when

$$
\rho > y_1 > y_2 = 0, \tag{27}
$$

i.e., $Q_1^2 \gg Q_2^2 \sim \mu^2$, and denote $\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1)$ the amplitude corresponding to that case. It obeys the following IREE:

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}}{\partial y_1} + \omega \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y_1) f_{q\gamma}(\omega). \tag{28}
$$

where amplitudes $F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y_1)$ and $f_{q\gamma}(\omega)$ are supposed to be calculated independently. Once they are known, the general solution to Eq. [\(28\)](#page-4-3) is

$$
\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma} = e^{-\omega y_1} \bigg[C_2(\omega) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} f_{q\gamma}(\omega) \int_0^{y_1} dy' e^{\omega y'} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y') \bigg].
$$
\n(29)

In order to specify an unknown function C_2 in Eq. [\(29\)](#page-5-0) we use the matching:

$$
\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1)|_{y_1=0} = f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega),\tag{30}
$$

where $f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega)$ is defined in Eq. [\(26\).](#page-4-4) Therefore, $\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1)$ in kinematics [\(27\)](#page-4-5) is represented in terms of the photonquark amplitudes:

$$
\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1) = e^{-\omega y_1} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2 \omega} f_{\gamma q}(\omega) f_{q\gamma}(\omega) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} f_{q\gamma}(\omega) \int_0^{y_1} dy e^{\omega y} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) \right], \qquad (31)
$$

where $F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y)$ is the photon-quark amplitude at $y \neq 0$.

C. Off-shell photons with moderate virtualities

We call the moderately virtual kinematics the case when $Q_1^2 \gg \mu^2$ and $Q_2^2 \gg \mu^2$ but $Q_1^2 Q_2^2 \ll s\mu^2$. In the logarithmic variables it means that

$$
\rho > y_2 + y_1. \tag{32}
$$

The IREE for the amplitude $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}(\omega, y_1, y_2)$ in the kinematic region [\(32\)](#page-5-1) is

$$
\frac{\partial F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}}{\partial y_2} + \frac{\partial F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}}{\partial y_1} + \omega F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y_1) F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y_2). \tag{33}
$$

In order to use the symmetry with respect to y_1 , y_2 of the differential operator in [\(33\)](#page-5-2) and simplify the IREE, we have introduced new variables ξ , η :

$$
\xi = y_1 + y_2, \qquad \eta = y_1 - y_2.
$$
 (34)

Equation [\(33\)](#page-5-2) in terms of ξ , η takes a simpler form:

$$
2\frac{\partial F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}}{\partial \xi} + \omega F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y_1) F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y_2). \tag{35}
$$

A general solution to Eq. [\(35\)](#page-5-3) is

$$
F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = e^{-\omega\xi/2} \left[C(\omega, \eta) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^{\xi} d\xi' e^{\omega\xi'/2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y_1') F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y_2') \right], \quad (36)
$$

with $C(\omega, \eta)$ being an arbitrary function and the variables y'_1, y'_2 are defined as follows:

$$
y'_1 = (\xi' + \eta)/2,
$$
 $y'_2 = (\xi' - \eta)/2.$ (37)

In order to specify $C(\omega, \eta)$, we use the matching of $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}(\omega, y_1, y_2)$ with an amplitude $\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1)$ of the same process but in the simpler kinematic regime [\(27\)](#page-4-5) considered above:

$$
F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}(\omega, y_1, y_2)|_{y_2=0} = \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1), \tag{38}
$$

where amplitude $\mathbf{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1)$ is given by Eq. [\(31\)](#page-5-4). Combining Eqs. [\(38\)](#page-5-5), [\(36\),](#page-5-6) and [\(31\),](#page-5-4) we arrive at the following expression for $F_{\gamma\gamma}$:

$$
F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = e^{-\omega\xi/2} \left[e^{\omega\eta/2} \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, \eta) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\eta}^{\xi} d\xi' e^{\omega\xi'/2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y_1') F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y_2') \right].
$$
 (39)

Substituting Eq. [\(39\)](#page-5-7) in [\(22\)](#page-4-0), we arrive at the expression for the amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ at moderate virtualities $Q_{1,2}^2$:

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\rho - \xi/2)} \left[e^{\omega\eta/2} \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, \eta) \right.
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\eta}^{\xi} d\xi' e^{\omega\xi'/2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y'_2) F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y'_1) \right]
$$

=
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{Q_1^2 Q_2^2}} \right)^{\omega} \left[e^{\omega\eta/2} \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, \eta) \right.
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\eta}^{\xi} d\xi' e^{\omega\xi'/2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y'_2) F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y'_1) \right], \quad (40)
$$

where $\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}$ is expressed in Eq. [\(31\)](#page-5-4) through the auxiliary amplitudes. Eqs. [\(39\),](#page-5-7) [\(40\)](#page-5-8) are obtained under the assumption of Eq. [\(32\)](#page-5-1) that $y_1 > y_2$. Writing $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ in terms of variables ζ , η makes easy to see that the reverse assumption $y_1 > y_2$ leads to the expressions for $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}, F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$, with η replaced by $-\eta$. Therefore, replacing η by $|\eta|$ in Eqs. [\(39\),](#page-5-7) [\(40\)](#page-5-8) allows us to embrace the both cases. After the replacement has been done, Eqs. [\(39\),](#page-5-7) [\(40\)](#page-5-8) are indeed invariant to the exchange $y_1 \leq y_2$.

D. Deeply virtual photons

When $Q_1^2 \gg \mu^2$ and $Q_2^2 \gg \mu^2$, and their product is also great, $Q_1^2 Q_2^2 \gg s\mu^2$, the inequality in Eq. [\(32\)](#page-5-1) is replaced by the opposite one

$$
\rho < y_2 + y_1. \tag{41}
$$

We address such photons as deeply-virtual ones. The principal difference between this case and the case of moderately virtual photons is that the scattering amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}(\rho, y_1, y_2)$ in the kinematics [\(41\)](#page-6-1) does not depend on μ , so the IREE for it is very simple:

$$
\frac{\partial A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}}{\partial y_1} + \frac{\partial A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}}{\partial y_2} = 0.
$$
 (42)

A general solution to Eq. [\(42\)](#page-6-2) can be written in different ways. The most convenient way for our goal is

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = M(\rho - y_1, \rho - y_2), \tag{43}
$$

with M being an arbitrary analytic function. In order to specify M we use the matching with the amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ of the same process but in the region [\(32\)](#page-5-1). It means that

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}(\rho - y_1, \rho - y_2)|_{\rho = y_1 + y_2} = A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}(\rho, y_1, y_2)|_{\rho = y_1 + y_2}
$$

$$
\equiv \tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}(y_1, y_2).
$$
 (44)

Replacing $y_1 \rightarrow \rho - y_2$ and $y_2 \rightarrow \rho - y_1$ in $\tilde{A}_{rr}^{(M)}(y_1, y_2)$ immediately allows us to express $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ through $\tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ in the whole the region $\rho \leq y_1 + y_2$:

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}(\rho, y_1, y_2) = \tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}(\rho - y_2, \rho - y_1), \qquad (45)
$$

or, in terms of the Mellin transform,

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\rho - \xi/2)} \left[e^{\omega\eta/2} \tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, \eta) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\eta}^{2\rho - \xi} d\xi' e^{\omega\xi'/2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y_2') F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y_1') \right].
$$
\n(46)

Equation [\(46\)](#page-6-3) demonstrates that, in contrast to the previous cases, the variable ρ participates not only in the Mallin factor but also in the expression in parentheses. This should be taken as a clear warning not to use the Mellin amplitudes for the matching. Indeed, applying the Mellin transform to Eq. [\(42\)](#page-6-2) converts it into the following equation for the Mellin amplitude $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$:

$$
\omega F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} + \frac{\partial F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}}{\partial y_1} + \frac{\partial F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}}{\partial y_2} = 0, \tag{47}
$$

$$
\omega F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} + \frac{\partial F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}}{\partial \xi} = 0,\tag{48}
$$

with the obvious general solution:

$$
F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = \Phi(\omega, \eta) e^{-\omega\xi}, \qquad (49)
$$

where an unspecified function Φ is supposed to be found through matching with $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ of Eq. [\(39\)](#page-5-7) at $\rho = \xi$. However, it cannot be done because Φ by definition does not depend on ξ whereas $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ depends on it. So, the matching can be done for the amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}, A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$. We consider this issue in more detail in Sec. [V.](#page-7-0)

IV. AUXILIARY AMPLITUDES

In the previous section we obtained amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ in terms of auxiliary amplitudes $A_{\gamma q}$, $A_{q\gamma}$. corresponding to the process of Eq. [\(20\)](#page-3-5) and the inverse process respectively. We denote $F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y)$ and $F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y)$ the Mellin amplitudes related to $A_{\gamma q}$, $A_{q\gamma}$ respectively. We remind that throughout the paper we neglect the quark masses. We will compose and solve IREE for them, considering first the simplest kinematics, where the photons are on-shell and then move to the case of off-shell photons. As $A_{\gamma q}$ and $A_{q\gamma}$ are much alike, we consider in detail dealing with $F_{\gamma q}$ only.

A. Photon-quark amplitude with on-shell photon

We consider the case when $y = 0$ and denote $f_{\gamma q}(\omega)$ the Mellin amplitude of such a process. The IREE for $f_{\gamma q}(\omega)$ is depicted in Fig. [4](#page-6-4).

In the ω -space it is

$$
f_{\gamma q}(\omega) = \frac{a_{\gamma q}}{\omega} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2 \omega} f_{\gamma q}(\omega) f_0(\omega),\tag{50}
$$

where $a_{\gamma q}/\omega$, with $a_{\gamma q}=e^2$, is the Born amplitude and f_0 is the quark-quark amplitude. It includes the total resummation of DL contributions as well as accounts for the running coupling. The solution to Eq. [\(50\)](#page-6-5) is

$$
f_{\gamma q}(\omega) = \frac{a_{\gamma q}}{\omega - H(\omega)},
$$
\n(51)

FIG. 4. Infrared evolution equation for the amplitude $A_{\gamma q}$.

or

where, by convenience reason we have introduced the notation $H(\omega) = (1/8\pi^2)f_0(\omega)$. In DIS process H plays the role of the nonsinglet anomalous dimension calculated in DLA.

B. Off-shell photons

IREE for $F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y)$ is

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) + \omega F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) f_0(\omega). \tag{52}
$$

A general solution to Eq. [\(52\)](#page-7-1) is

$$
F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) = C_{\gamma q}(\omega) e^{-y[\omega - H(\omega)]}, \qquad (53)
$$

with $C_{\gamma g}$ being an arbitrary function. To specify $C_{\gamma g}$ we use the matching

$$
F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y)|_{y=0} = \tilde{F}_{\gamma q}(\omega), \qquad (54)
$$

with $F_{\gamma q}(\omega)$ defined in Eq. [\(51\).](#page-6-6) The use of Eq. [\(54\)](#page-7-2) leads to

$$
F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) = \frac{a_{\gamma q}}{\omega - H(\omega)} e^{-y[\omega - H(\omega)]}
$$
 (55)

Now the auxiliary amplitude $F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) f_0(\omega)$ is expressed through the on-shell quark-quark amplitude f_0 which is well known. It was calculated in Ref. [\[25\],](#page-13-8) with α_s being fixed.

C. Quark-quark amplitude

Amplitude f_0 was obtained in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-13-8). It satisfies the simple algebraic equation

$$
f_0 = \frac{a_0}{\omega} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2 \omega} f_0 f_0,
$$
\n(56)

where a_0/ω is the Born amplitude. Solving Eq. [\(57\),](#page-7-3) one arrives at the explicit expression for f_0 :

$$
f_0 = 4\pi^2 \left[\omega - \sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)} \right].
$$
 (57)

Equation [\(57\)](#page-7-3) is true for the both cases of fixed and running α_s but a_0 in those cases are different. For fixed QCD coupling, $a_0 \equiv a_0^{fix}$ was obtained in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-13-8):

$$
a_0^{fix} = 4\pi \alpha_s^{fix} C_F,\tag{58}
$$

with $C_F = (N^2 - 1)/(2N) = 4/3$, while at running α_s it depends on ω (see [\[22\]](#page-13-3) for detail):

$$
a_0(\omega) = \frac{4\pi C_F}{b} \left[\frac{\zeta}{\zeta^2 + \pi^2} - \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho e^{-\omega \rho}}{(\rho + \zeta)^2 + \pi^2} \right], \quad (59)
$$

where $\zeta = \ln(\mu^2/\Lambda_{QCD}^2)$ and $b = (11N - 2n_f)/(12\pi^2)$ is the standard notation for first coefficient of the Gell-Mann– Low function.

D. Representation of the auxiliary amplitudes through the quark amplitude

Using Eq. [\(56\)](#page-7-4) allows us to simplify Eq. [\(51\)](#page-6-6) for the auxiliary amplitude $f_{\gamma q}(\omega)$:

$$
f_{\gamma q}(\omega) = \frac{a_{\gamma q}}{a_0} f_0(\omega) \tag{60}
$$

as well as the expression for $F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y)$ in Eq. [\(55\)](#page-7-5):

$$
F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y) = \frac{a_{\gamma q}}{a_0} f_0(\omega) e^{-y(\omega - H(\omega))}.
$$
 (61)

The only difference between IREE for $F_{\gamma q}(\omega, y)$ and $F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y)$ is in the use of different the factors $a_{\gamma q}$ and $a_{q\gamma}$ respectively, so expressions for $\tilde{F}_{q\gamma}(\omega, y)$ and $F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y)$ can be immediately obtained from Eqs. [\(60\)](#page-7-6) and [\(61\):](#page-7-7)

$$
f_{q\gamma}(\omega) = \frac{a_{q\gamma}}{a_0} f_0(\omega) \tag{62}
$$

$$
F_{q\gamma}(\omega, y) = \frac{a_{q\gamma}}{a_0} f_0(\omega) e^{-y(\omega - H(\omega))}.
$$
 (63)

We define the factors $a_{\gamma q}$ and $a_{q\gamma}$ as follows:

$$
a_{\gamma q} = e_q^2, \qquad a_{q\gamma} = -e_q^2, \tag{64}
$$

where e_q is the electric charge of the loop quark.

V. REPRESENTATION OF PHOTON-PHOTON AMPLITUDES THROUGH QUARK-QUARK AMPLITUDES

A. On-shell initial photons

Substituting Eqs. (62) , (60) in Eq. (26) and using Eq. [\(56\)](#page-7-4), we obtain

$$
f_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega) = \kappa \left[f_0(\omega) - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right],\tag{65}
$$

with

$$
\kappa = \frac{a_{\gamma q} a_{q\gamma}}{a_0^2}.
$$
\n(66)

According to Eq. [\(59\)](#page-7-9) κ depends on ω , when α_s is running, so throughout the paper we will keep it under the Mellin integral sign. The photon-photon scattering amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(on)}$, all photons are on-shell, is

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(\text{on})}(s/\mu^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega \rho} \kappa \left[f_0(\omega) - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right]. \tag{67}
$$

B. One of the photons is off-shell

If $y_1 > y_2 = 0$, amplitude $\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}$ is given by Eq. [\(31\)](#page-5-4) where $\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1)$ is represented through the auxiliary amplitudes. Combining Eq. [\(31\),](#page-5-4) [\(61\)](#page-7-7) and [\(65\),](#page-7-10) we express $\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1)$ in terms of $f_0(\omega)$:

$$
\tilde{F}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1) = \kappa e^{-\omega y_1} \left[f_0(\omega) e^{y_1 H} - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right].
$$
 (68)

Therefore,

$$
\tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\rho - y_1)} \kappa \left[f_0(\omega) e^{y_1 f_0/(8\pi^2)} - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right].
$$
\n(69)

C. Moderately-virtual photons

Combining Eq. (39) with Eqs. (68) , (61) , (63) allows us to obtain $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$, so we can write the amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ in the MV region as follows:

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega\rho} \kappa [W_1 + W_2],\tag{70}
$$

with

$$
W_1 = e^{-\omega(\xi + |\eta|)/2} \left(-\frac{a_0}{\omega} + f_0 e^{|\eta|H} \right)
$$

$$
W_2 = \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}}
$$

$$
\times \left[e^{-(\xi + |\eta|)\omega/2 + \eta H} - e^{\xi(-\omega + H)} \right].
$$
 (71)

We remind that the variables ξ , η are defined in Eq. [\(34\)](#page-5-9). Equations [\(70\)](#page-8-1), [\(71\)](#page-8-2) describe $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ at any ordering between y_1 and y_2 , i.e., at $y_1 > y_2$ and $y_1 < y_2$; they also stand when $y_1 = y_2$.

D. Deeply virtual photons

According to Eq. [\(44\),](#page-6-7) amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ can be found through matching with amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ at the border between the deeply virtual and moderately virtual regions, where

$$
\rho = \xi. \tag{72}
$$

As ρ participates in the Mellin factor, the matching should involve the whole amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}, A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ rather than $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}, F_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$. For performing the matching the easiest way, we replace Eq. [\(70\)](#page-8-1) by the following one:

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{(\omega\rho - \omega\xi/2)} \kappa F_1(\omega, \eta)
$$

$$
- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{(\omega\rho - \omega\xi + \xi H)} \kappa F_2(\omega), \qquad (73)
$$

where

$$
F_1(\omega, \eta) = e^{-\omega \eta/2} \left[\left(-\frac{a_0}{\omega} + f_0 e^{\eta H} \right) + \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}} e^{\eta H} \right],
$$

$$
F_2(\omega) = \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}}.
$$
(74)

Then at $\rho = \xi$ amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ becomes $\bar{A}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$:

$$
\bar{A}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega\xi/2} \kappa F_1(\omega, \eta) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\xi H} \kappa F_2(\omega)
$$
\n(75)

and therefore in the deeply virtual region

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\rho - \xi/2)} \kappa F_1(\omega, \eta)
$$

$$
- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{(2\rho - \xi)H} \kappa F_2(\omega). \tag{76}
$$

The second integral in Eq. [\(76\)](#page-8-3) can be dropped because it does not contain the standard Mellin factor $e^{\omega\rho}$ (or $e^{\omega(2\rho - \xi)}$) which would prevent closing the integration contour to the right, where the integrand does not have singularities. Closing the contour to the right, we find that the integration over ω yields a zero. So, we arrive at the following expression which is true for any ordering of $y_{1,2}$ and for the case $y_1 = y_2$:

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\rho - \xi/2 - |\eta|/2)} \kappa \left[\left(-\frac{a_0}{\omega} + f_0 e^{|\eta|H} \right) + \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}} e^{|\eta|H} \right]
$$

=
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{Q_1^2 Q_2^2}} \right)^{\omega} \left(\frac{Q_{\text{max}}^2}{Q_{\text{min}}^2} \right)^{\omega} \kappa \left[\left(-\frac{a_0}{\omega} + f_0 e^{|\eta|H} \right) + \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}} e^{|\eta|H} \right],
$$
(77)

where we have denoted $Q_{\text{max}}^2 = \max[Q_{1,2}^2]$ and $Q_{\text{min}}^2 = \min[Q_{1,2}^2]$.

The amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ in Eq. [\(70\)](#page-8-1) and $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ in Eqs. [\(77\)](#page-8-4), [\(99\)](#page-11-0) are represented in the form different from the expressions for the same amplitudes obtained in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2), which is unessential. The main difference between our approach and Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2) is our accounting for the running QCD coupling. In this case a_0 depends on ω [see Eq. [\(59\)\]](#page-7-9). Now let us remind that $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ are not complete expressions for amplitudes of the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the collinear kinematics. In order to account for the missing contributions, we replace s by u in Eqs. [\(70\)](#page-8-1) and [\(77\),](#page-8-4) obtaining the amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$. Adding them to $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ respectively, we arrive at the complete expressions for the DLA amplitude of the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the collinear kinematics. In the moderately virtual region [\(32\)](#page-5-1) it is

$$
\tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} + A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} \tag{78}
$$

whereas in the deeply virtual region [\(41\)](#page-6-1)

$$
\tilde{A}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} + A_{\gamma\gamma}^{\prime (D)}.
$$
 (79)

VI. NONCOLLINEAR PHOTON-PHOTON SCATTERING

In this section we extend the results obtained above to the forward Regge kinematics [\(2\)](#page-1-1) with $t \neq 0$. In order to avoid confusing new amplitudes with $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ obtained under assumption that $t \sim 0$, we introduce a generic notation $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ for new amplitudes in DLA and will provide this notation with superscripts to specify the kinematics. The Born amplitude, M_B is [cf. Eq. [\(6\)\]](#page-2-1)

$$
M_B = \tilde{B} + \tilde{B}',\tag{80}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{B} = -i\frac{e^4}{16\pi^3} \int \frac{d\alpha d\beta dk_\perp^2 w^2 k_\perp^2}{k^2(k+q-q')^2(x_q w + \beta w - \alpha x_q w + k^2)(x_p w + \alpha w - \beta x_p w + k^2)}
$$
(81)

and \tilde{B}' is obtained from \tilde{B}' with replacing $q \rightarrow -q$. It is obvious that the integration over k in Eq. (81) yields a DL contribution from the region

$$
k_{\perp}^{2} \gg -t = -(q'-q)^{2}.
$$
 (82)

In other words, $|t|$ acts in Eq. [\(81\)](#page-9-1) as a new IR cutoff. Therefore in the Born approximation (and beyond it) the amplitude $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ in DLA is IR stable. All results we obtained in the previous sections, studying the amplitudes in the collinear kinematics, can easily be extended to the region of nonzero t by the simple replacement

$$
\mu^2 \to |t|. \tag{83}
$$

A further advancement strongly depends on the hierarchy between $Q_{1,2}^2$ and |t|. When

$$
Q_{1,2}^2 < |t|,\tag{84}
$$

amplitude M_B does not depend on $Q_{1,2}^2$ under the DL accuracy. In this case

$$
M_B = M_B = -\frac{e^4}{16\pi^2} \ln^2(s/|t|). \tag{85}
$$

When $s \gg Q_{1,2}^2 \gg |t|$, there are again two cases:

$$
M_B = -\frac{e^4}{16\pi^2} [\ln^2(s/|t|) - \ln^2(Q_1^2/|t|) - \ln^2(Q_2^2/|t|)],
$$
\n(86)

when $Q_1^2 Q_2^2 \ll s|t|$ and

$$
M_B = -\frac{e^4}{8\pi^2} \ln^2(s/Q_1^2) \ln(s/Q_2^2),\tag{87}
$$

when $Q_1^2 Q_2^2 \gg s|t|$. Now let us to extend our results for amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}$ to the case of nonzero |t| beyond the Born approximation. To this end, we introduce new logarithmic variables $\bar{\rho}$, \bar{y}_1 , \bar{y}_2 instead of the variables ρ , y_1 , y_2 defined in Eq. [\(23\):](#page-4-6)

$$
\bar{\rho} = \ln (s/|t|), \qquad \bar{y}_{1,2} = \ln (Q_{1,2}^2/|t|). \tag{88}
$$

In the case when $s \gg Q_1^2 \gg |t|$ and $Q_2^2 \lesssim |t|$, i.e., when $\bar{\rho} > \bar{y}_1 > \bar{y}_2 \approx 0$, amplitude

$$
\tilde{M}_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega, y_1) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\bar{\rho} - \bar{y}_1)} \kappa \left[f_0(\omega) e^{y_1 f_0/(8\pi^2)} - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right].
$$
\n(89)

In the more involved case of moderate virtualities, when $s \gg Q_1^2, Q_2^2 \gg |t|$ but $s|t| \gg Q_1^2 Q_2^2$, i.e., when

$$
\bar{\rho} > \bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2, \tag{90}
$$

the scattering amplitude $M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ is

$$
M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega\bar{\rho}} \kappa [\bar{W}_1 + \bar{W}_2], \tag{91}
$$

with

$$
\bar{W}_1 = e^{-\omega(\bar{\xi} + |\bar{\eta}|)/2} \left(-\frac{a_0}{\omega} + f_0 e^{|\bar{\eta}|H} \right)
$$

$$
\bar{W}_2 = \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}}
$$

$$
\times \left[e^{-(\bar{\xi} + |\bar{\eta}|)\omega/2 + |\bar{\eta}|H} - e^{\bar{\xi}(-\omega + H)} \right].
$$
(92)

whereas in the new deeply virtual region

$$
\bar{\rho} < \bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2 \tag{93}
$$

the scattering amplitude $M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ is

$$
M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\bar{\rho} - \bar{\xi}/2 - |\bar{\eta}|/2)} \kappa \left[\left(-\frac{a_0}{\omega} + f_0 e^{|\bar{\eta}|H} \right) + \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}} e^{|\bar{\eta}|H} \right]. \tag{94}
$$

Replacing s by u in Eqs. [\(91\)](#page-9-2) and [\(94\)](#page-10-1), we obtain amplitudes $M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$. Adding them to the expressions in Eqs. [\(91\),](#page-9-2) [\(94\)](#page-10-1) and multiplying them by the factor $2n_f$, with n_f being the number of involved flavors, we arrive at the DL expressions for the scattering amplitudes $\tilde{M}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ [in the moderately virtual region [\(90\)\]](#page-9-3) and $\tilde{M}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ [in the deeply virtual region [\(93\)\]](#page-10-2):

$$
\tilde{M}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} = 2n_f [M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)} + M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}],
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{M}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} = 2n_f [M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} + M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}].
$$
\n(95)

Amplitudes $\tilde{M}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ in Eq. [\(95\)](#page-10-3) account for the total resuimmation of DL corrections to the Born amplitude A_B of Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-5) in the forward kinematic region [\(2\).](#page-1-1)

VII. DISCUSSION OF THE OBTAINED RESULTS

In this section we discuss the results obtained in the previous sections.

A. Comment on deeply virtual and moderately virtual regions

The deeply virtual (DV) and moderately virtual (MV) kinematics are introduced in Eqs. (16) , (32) and (18) , (41) , respectively. The scattering amplitude $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$, being calculated in MV kinematics explicitly depends on the IR cutoff μ . Often, μ is an artificial parameter with arbitrary value but on the other hand, there are cases, when μ has a physical meaning. For instance, it can be the heavy quark mass or the masses of W, Z bosons in standard model. In such cases the range of ρ in the region [\(41\)](#page-6-1) is quite restricted:

$$
\max[y_1, y_2] < \rho < y_1 + y_2. \tag{96}
$$

As a result, $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ cannot be used for calculating the asymptotics of $A_{\gamma\gamma}$, when $s \to \infty$. The asymptotics in this case can be obtained from $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$. The same is true also in the case when the running coupling effects for amplitudes in the Regge kinematics are accounted for.

On the contrary, when μ is not associated with an appropriate mass scale and α_s is fixed or regarded as μ - independent, the value of μ can be chosen arbitrary small. and, as the DV region ensures the IR stability independently of μ , one can choose μ very small. This considerably broadens the applicability region for the DV kinematics and makes possible to use it at very high energies. So, despite the kinematics is the Regge one, it is as IR stable as the hard kinematics.

B. Impact of higher-loop DL radiative corrections

The s-dependent parts, $B_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ of the photon-photon scattering amplitudes in the lowest-order approximation at $t = 0$ are given by Eqs. [\(17\)](#page-3-7), [\(19\)](#page-3-8) for the MV and DV photons respectively. Accounting for the radiative corrections in DLA converts them into amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$. Let us estimate the impact of the DL radiative corrections on $B_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ in the simplest case when $Q_1^2 \sim Q_2^2 = Q_2^2$, so the involved amplitudes in this case depend on $x = Q^2/s$ only. In order to be independent of choice of μ , we will do it for the amplitudes with deeply virtual photons, where $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}(x)$ is given by Eq. [\(99\)](#page-11-0). We define the ratio R_{γ} as follows:

$$
R_{\gamma}(x) = A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}/B_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)} \tag{97}
$$

and show the plot of R_{γ} against x in Fig. [5](#page-10-4).

Figure [5](#page-10-4) explicitly demonstrates that the radiative corrections become sizable since $x \sim 10^{-3}$. They double the Bon amplitude at $x \sim 10^{-4}$.

C. High-energy asymptotics

At very high energies scattering amplitudes are often approximated by their asymptotics. The asymptotics are much easier to work on than the explicit expressions.

FIG. 5. Dependence of R_{γ} on x.

However, the applicability region for the asymptotics cannot be deduced from theoretical consideration. Below we first show how to calculate the asymptotics and then outline its applicability region. Very small x asymptotics at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ of all amplitudes we calculated above can be obtained by using the saddle point method and is given by similar expressions. For the sake of simplicity we consider the small-x asymptotics of amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ of Eqs. [\(70\),](#page-8-1) [\(77\)](#page-8-4) in the particular case when $Q^2 \sim Q_2^2 \equiv Q^2$. In this case Eq. [\(70\)](#page-8-1) is reduced to

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} x^{-\omega} \kappa \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right)
$$

$$
\times \left[1 + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}} \left(1 - e^{-y\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}} \right) \right],
$$
(98)

where $x = Q^2/s$ and $y = Q^2/s$. In contrast, $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$ depends on x only:

$$
A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}(x) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} x^{-\omega} \kappa \left(f_0 - \frac{a_0}{\omega} \right) \left[1 + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2)}} \right].
$$
\n(99)

The saddle point method, being applied to Eqs. [\(98\)](#page-11-1), [\(99\)](#page-11-0), immediately yields that the rightmost stationary point ω_0 is the same for the both amplitudes and it is given by the rightmost root of the equation

$$
\omega^2 - a_0/(2\pi^2) = 0. \tag{100}
$$

The further progress depends on the treatment of α_s . When α_s is fixed ($\alpha_s = \alpha_s^{fix}$), it is easy to obtain an analytic expressions for ω_0 :

$$
\omega_0 = \sqrt{2\alpha_s^{\text{fix}} C_F/\pi} + 1/(2z). \tag{101}
$$

A numerical estimate for α_s^{fix} in Eq. [\(101\)](#page-11-2) was obtained in Ref. [\[28\].](#page-13-9) According to it, $\alpha_s \approx 0.24$.

When the running coupling effects are taken into account, a_0 depends on ω [see Eq. [\(59\)](#page-7-9)] and therefore Eq. [\(100\)](#page-11-3) has to be solved numerically (see Ref. [\[22\]](#page-13-3)). It leads to the estimate $\omega_0 \approx 0.4$. The small-x asymptotics $[A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}]_{as}$ of amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ respectively are

$$
[A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}]_{as} = \Pi^{(M,D)} x^{-\omega_0},\tag{102}
$$

with the factors $\Pi^{(M)}$ and $\Pi^{(D)}$ being

where we have denoted $z = \ln(1/x)$.

Now let us consider the x dependence of the ratio

$$
R_{as} = \frac{[A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}]_{as}}{A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}}.
$$
 (104)

The x-dependence of $R_{as}^{(M)}$ is plotted in Fig. [5.](#page-10-4) For the sake of simplicity, the graph in Fig. [6](#page-11-4) is done for $y \approx 0$. The greater y, the lower the graph runs.

Similarly to Eq. [\(104\),](#page-11-5) we define the ratio

$$
R_{as} = \frac{[A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}]_{as}}{A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}}.
$$
 (105)

The x-dependence of $R_{as}^{(D)}$ is plotted in Fig. [7.](#page-11-6)

Figures [6](#page-11-4) and [7](#page-11-6) display that the amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ are reliably represented by their asymptotics at very small x . Indeed, $R_{as}^{(M,D)} \sim 0.8$ at $x \lesssim 10^{-8}$. It perfectly agrees with the results of Ref. [\[26\]](#page-13-6) where it was proved that the small- x asymptotics of the nonsinglet DIS structure functions F_1^{NS} and g_1^{NS} reliably represent these structure functions at $x \lesssim 10^{-8}$. In terms of the Reggeology, the only difference

between F_1^{NS} and $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ is the difference in the impact factors while the Reggeons are the same. This proves that the applicability region for the use of nonvacuum Reggeons is

$$
x \lesssim 10^{-8},\tag{106}
$$

i.e., Eq. [\(106\)](#page-12-14) manifests that the nonvacuum Reggeons should not be used for description of hadronic reactions at available energies.

VIII. CONCLUSION

We have calculated the amplitudes of the process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in DLA. We considered this process in both the collinear kinematics (amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$), where $t = 0$, and the forward kinematics [\(2\)](#page-1-1) (amplitudes $M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M)}$ and $M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(D)}$) at $t \neq 0$. So as to calculate those amplitudes we constructed and solved the infrared evolution equations for them. According to the general technology of solving IREE, any general solution to IREE for a scattering amplitude in a certain kinematics is specified through matching with the known amplitude of the same process in a simpler kinematics. So, before solving IEEE for the amplitudes $M_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ in the $t \neq 0$ -kinematics, we had to calculate the

amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ of the same process in the collinear kinematics. Doing so, we confirmed the results of Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2) and generalized them to the case of running QCD coupling while α_s in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-12-2) was fixed.

At very high energies the scattering amplitudes are often considered in the asymptotic form and such asymptotics are addressed as Reggeons. Such Reggeons are much easier to use than their parent amplitudes. However, applicability regions for the asymptotics (Reggeons) cannot be fixed from theoretical grounds. We do it numerically, calculating the asymptotics of amplitudes $A_{\gamma\gamma}^{(M,D)}$ and comparing the asymptotics to the amplitudes. In order to calculate the asymptotics, we use the saddle point method. The results are plotted in Figs. [5](#page-10-4) and [6.](#page-11-4) They outline the applicability region of the nonvacuum Reggeons and perfectly agree with the observation of Ref. [\[26\]](#page-13-6) that nonvacuum Reggeons should not be used for describing available experimental data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to W. Schafer and A. Szczurek for useful communications. The work of D. Yu. Ivanov was supported by the program of fundamental scientific researches of the SB RAS II.15.1., Project No. 0314-2016-0021.

- [1] V.M. Budnev, I.F. Ginzburg, G.V. Meledin, and V.G. Serbo, Phys. Rep. 15[, 181 \(1975\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(75)90009-5)
- [2] I. Schienbein, [Ann. Phys. \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916(02)96300-1) 301, 128 (2002).
- [3] M. Cacciari, V. Del Duca, S. Frixione, and Z. Trocsanyi,
- [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2001\) 029.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/02/029) [4] J. Bartels and M. Lublinsky, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/09/076) [076;](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/09/076) [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732304015191) 19, 1969 (2004).
- [5] V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev, and L. N. Lipatov, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(75)90524-9) 60B[, 50 \(1975\);](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(75)90524-9) E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov, and V. S. Fadin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 71, 840 (1976) [Sov. Phys. JETP 44, 443 (1976)]; 72[, 377 \(1977\)](https://doi.org/) [45[, 199 \(1977\)](https://doi.org/)]; Ya. Ya. Balitskii and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28, 822 (1978).
- [6] F. Hautmann, Reports No. OITS-613-96, No. C96-07-25; J. Bartels, A. De Roeck, and H. Lotter, Phys. Lett. B 389, 742 (1996); A. Bialas, W. Czyz, and W. Florkowski, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520050171) J. C 2[, 683 \(1998\);](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520050171) S. J. Brodsky, F. Hautmann, and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D 56[, 6957 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.6957); [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.803) 78, [803 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.803); 79[, 3544\(E\) \(1997\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.3544) J. Kwiecinski and L. Motyka, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00866-7) 462, 203 (1999); [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520000535) 18[, 343 \(2000\);](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520000535) M. Boonekamp, A. De Roeck, C. Royon, and S. Wallon, Nucl. Phys. B555[, 540 \(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00345-4); J. Bartels, C. Ewerz, and R. Staritzbichler, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01080-7) 492, 56 (2000); N. N. Nikolaev, J. Speth, and V. R. Zoller, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520100804) 22, [637 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520100804); Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 120, 1104 (2001) [[JETP](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1427107) 93, [957 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1427107)].
- [7] V. S. Fadin and L. N. Lipatov, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00473-0) 429, 127 (1998); M. Ciafaloni and G. Camici, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00551-6) 430, 349 (1998).
- [8] J. Bartels, S. Gieseke, and C. F. Qiao, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.056014) 63, [056014 \(2001\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.056014) 65[, 079902 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.079902); J. Bartels, S. Gieseke, and A. Kyrieleis, Phys. Rev. D 65[, 014006 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.014006); J. Bartels, D. Colferai, S. Gieseke, and A. Kyrieleis, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.094017) Rev. D 66[, 094017 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.094017); J. Bartels, [Nucl. Phys. \(Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(03)80156-1) Suppl.) 116[, 126 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(03)80156-1); J. Bartels and A. Kyrieleis, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.114003) Rev. D 70[, 114003 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.114003); V. S. Fadin, D. Yu. Ivanov, and M. I. Kotsky, Yad. Fiz. 65, 1551 (2002) [\[Phys. At. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1501664) 65, [1513 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1501664)]; Nucl. Phys. B658[, 156 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00219-0).
- [9] S. J. Brodsky, V. S. Fadin, V. T. Kim, L. N. Lipatov, and G. B. Pivovarov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 76, 306 (2002) [JETP Lett. 76[, 249 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1520615)].
- [10] S. J. Brodsky, V. S. Fadin, V. T. Kim, L. N. Lipatov, and G. B. Pivovarov, JETP Lett. 70[, 155 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.568145)
- [11] F. Caporale, D. Yu. Ivanov, and A. Papa, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0732-1) 58, [1 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0732-1)
- [12] X.-C. Zheng, X.-G. Wu, S.-Q. Wang, J.-M. Shen, and Q.-L. Zhang, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2013\) 117.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2013)117)
- [13] I. Balitsky nad G. A. Chirilli, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.014013) 87, 014013 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.014013)
- [14] G. A. Chirilli and Yu. V. Kovchegov, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2014)099) [05 \(2014\) 099.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2014)099)
- [15] D. Yu. Ivanov, B. Murdaca, and A. Papa, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)058) [Phys. 10 \(2014\) 058.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)058)
- [16] P. Achard et al. (L3 Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01340-0) 531, 39 [\(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01340-0)
- [17] G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collaboration), [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520200940) 24, [17 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520200940)
- [18] M. Aaboud (Oujda U.) et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Report No. CERN-EP-2016-316; Nat. Phys. 13[, 852 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4208)
- [19] M. Kusek-Gawenda, P. Lebiedowicz, and A. Szczurek, Phys. Rev. C 93[, 044907 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.044907)
- [20] D. d'Enterria and G. G. da Silveira, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.080405) 111, [080405 \(2013\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.080405) 116[, 129901 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.129901)
- [21] M. Kusek-Gawenda, W. Schfer, and A. Szczurek, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.08.059) Lett. B 761[, 399 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.08.059)
- [22] B. I. Ermolaev, M. Greco, and S. I. Troyan, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00812-3) B571[, 137 \(2000\);](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00812-3) B594[, 71 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00647-7).
- [23] V. N. Gribov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 5, 280 (1967).
- [24] L. N. Lipatov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 82, 991 (1982); [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90156-3) Lett. 116B[, 411 \(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90156-3)
- [25] R. Kirschner and L. N. Lipatov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 83, 488 (1982); Nucl. Phys. B213[, 122 \(1983\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90178-5).
- [26] B. I. Ermolaev, M. Greco, and S. I. Troyan, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 33, 57 (2010); Acta Phys. Polon., B38, 2243 (2007).
- [27] V. V. Sudakov, Sov. Phys. JETP 3, 65 (1956).
- [28] B. I. Ermolaev, M. Greco, and S. I. Troyan, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2013-13034-0) Plus 128[, 34 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2013-13034-0).