One-loop corrections of single spin asymmetries in semi-inclusive DIS

A. P. Chen, 1,2,3 J. P. Ma, 1,2,3 and G. P. Zhang⁴

¹Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100190, China²School of Physics, University of Chinase Academy of Sciences, Peijing 100040, China ²School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China 3 School of Physics and Center for High-Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ¹Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China,

Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

(Received 6 September 2017; published 6 March 2018) \bigcirc

We study single spin asymmetries at one-loop accuracy in semi-inclusive DIS with a transversely polarized hadron in the initial state. Two measurable spin observables are predicted in the framework of QCD collinear factorization. One of the spin observables is the Sivers weighted asymmetry; another one is the Collins weighted asymmetry. The prediction takes a form of convolutions of perturbative coefficient functions and nonperturbative functions, which are twist-2 transversity distributions, twist-3 parton distributions, and twist-2 and twist-3 parton fragmentation functions. These nonperturbative functions can be extracted from measurements of the spin observables and provide valuable information of the inner structure of hadrons. The measurements can be done in current COMPASS and JLab experiments and in future experiments of EIC. The perturbative coefficient functions are calculated at the one-loop level. There are collinear divergences in the calculation involving chirality-even and chirality-odd twist operators. We find that all collinear divergences can be correctly subtracted so that the final results are finite.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.97.054003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.054003)

<u>I. I. I. II. I. I. I. II</u>.

Experiments of lepton-hadron scattering have provided important information about the inner structure of hadrons. A typical example is deeply inelastic scattering (DIS). From DIS one can extract parton distribution functions defined with twist-2 operators of QCD. In semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS) with one detected hadron in the final state, one can learn more about the inner structure and nonperturbative properties of QCD if the initial hadron is transversely polarized. In this case, the spin-dependent part of the differential cross section, or single spin asymmetries (SSAs), can be predicted with parton distributions defined with twist-3 operators as shown in $[1,2]$, and twist-3 fragmentation functions (FFs). The twist-3 parton distributions describe quark-gluon correlations inside a hadron and contain more information about the inner structure of hadrons than twist-2 parton distributions. In this work, we study SSAs in SIDIS, in particular one-loop corrections of spin observables.

Under a one-photon-exchange approximation, the hadronic tensor of SIDIS contains all information about the process. The spin-dependent part of the tensor has been studied with collinear factorization at tree level in different kinematic regions. In the region where the final hadron has large transverse momentum $P_{h\perp}$, SSA has been studied in [\[3](#page-19-1)–5], where the spin-dependent part starts at the order of α_s . At low $P_{h\perp}$ one can also employ collinear factorization because of the large virtuality $-Q^2$ of the exchanged photon. In this region, the spin-dependent part starting at the order of α_s^0 is predicted as a tensor distribution of $P_{h\perp}$ as shown in [\[6\]](#page-19-2). This implies that the measurable effects from this part can only be predicted when $P_{h\perp}$ is integrated over with certain weights. In this work we construct two spin observables by integrating over $P_{h\perp}$ with different weights, and we study one-loop corrections of the two spin observables.

Various SSAs in SIDIS can be measured in the current experiments of COMPASS [\[7\]](#page-19-3) and JLab [\[8\]](#page-19-4) and in future experiments of EIC [\[9\]](#page-19-5). It is noted that in the low $P_{h\perp}$ region one can employ the approach of transversemomentum-dependent (TMD) factorization studied in [\[10](#page-19-6)–12], where nonperturbative effects are described by TMD parton distributions and TMD FFs. The relevant phenomenology with TMD factorization for SIDIS has been studied in [13–[16\].](#page-19-7) In the framework of TMD factorization, the SSA related to the Sivers function, which is one of TMD parton distributions, is called "Sivers asymmetry." The SSA related to the Collins fragmentation function is called "Collins asymmetry." These two asymmetries have already been studied in experiments by

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

HERMES and COMPASS. It is found that these asymmetries are different from zero [\[17,18\]](#page-20-0). Recently, the so-called "weighted Sivers asymmetry" has been studied by COMPASS [\[19\]](#page-20-1). One of our two spin observables is, in fact, the studied weighted Sivers asymmetry. Another one is the weighted Collins asymmetry, because it is related to the transverse momentum moment of the Collins fragmentation function. These two asymmetries have important implications, if they are not zero. If Sivers asymmetry is not zero, it indicates that partons inside a hadron have nonzero orbital angular momentum. Nonzero Collins asymmetry indicates that partons in their fragmentation into a hadron can have nonzero orbital angular momentum.

Without observing the spin of the final hadron, twist-3 contributions only appear in the case when the initial hadron is transversely polarized. The spin-dependent part of the hadronic tensor can obtain contributions from the twist-3 parton distributions introduced in [\[1,2\]](#page-19-0) combined with the twist-2 quark FF. These twist-3 parton distributions and twist-2 FF are defined with chirality-even operators. We will call these contributions "chirality-even contributions." Besides them, the spin-dependent part also receives contributions from the twist-2 transversity distribution introduced in [\[20\]](#page-20-2), combined with twist-3 FFs. The transversity distribution and twist-3 FFs are defined with chirality-odd operators. We will call these contributions "chirality-odd contributions." At the leading order of α_s , i.e., at α_s^0 , one of our two spin observables has only a chirality-even contribution, while another has only a chirality-odd contribution. Therefore, through the two observables one can extract not only twist-3 parton distributions and fragmentation functions, but also the twist-2 transversity distribution, which is less known than other twist-2 parton distributions. Beyond tree level, each spin observable can have chirality-even and chirality-odd contributions.

It is worth pointing out that there are not many results of one-loop calculation involving twist-3 operators, while calculations beyond tree level with only twist-2 operators are rather standard, and many one-loop results exist. For Drell-Yan processes with one transversely polarized hadron in the initial state, one-loop correction of a spin observable involving the twist-3 parton distributions has been calculated at one loop in [\[21\]](#page-20-3). In [\[22\]](#page-20-4) two spin observables were studied and their complete one-loop corrections were derived. For SIDIS, different parts of one-loop chiralityeven correction have been studied in [23–[25\]](#page-20-5) for one of our two spin observables. But the one-loop chirality-even corrections from [23–[25\]](#page-20-5) are still not completed, and the one-loop chirality-odd corrections are missing. In this work we will give complete one-loop corrections of the two spin observables. One-loop study of the twist-3 effect for DIS has been performed in [\[26\].](#page-20-6)

An interesting observation has been made for the twist-3 part of the hadronic tensor in [\[6\].](#page-19-2) The twist-3 part at tree level has contributions proportional to the derivative of $\delta^2(P_{h\perp})$. The virtual corrections beyond tree level of these contributions are completely determined by the loop corrections of the quark form factor. Similar observation has been also made for Drell-Yan processes in [\[27\]](#page-20-7). In this work, the two spin observables are so constructed that they receive contributions at tree level only from those contributions with the derivative of $\delta^2(P_{h\perp})$ of the twist-3 parts. Then the virtual correction of the spin observables can be obtained from the relevant results of the quark form factor; we will mainly deal with the real correction.

Calculations involving twist-3 operators are in general more complicated than those of twist-2. The nonperturbative and perturbative effects must be separated in a gaugeinvariant way. This has been discussed in detail in [\[4\]](#page-19-8) for SIDIS. Unlike the twist-2 factorization, where partons can never have zero momentum fraction, in the twist-3 factorization some partons participating in hard scattering can have zero momentum fraction. In [\[2\]](#page-19-9) it has been shown that there are so-called "soft-gluon-pole contributions" in which one gluon as a parton has zero momentum. The gluon does not have exactly zero momentum. In fact, as shown in [\[28\]](#page-20-8), it is a Glauber gluon and its momentum can be neglected in hard scattering. It is difficult to calculate the soft-gluonpole contributions. However, these contributions at tree level can be related to the corresponding twist-2 contribution, as shown in [29–[31\].](#page-20-9) There are so-called "master formulas" to obtain the contributions. This will simplify our calculation of one-loop real correction, since it is a treelevel calculation before some final states are summed. With the results for SIDIS in [\[4,29\],](#page-19-8) the twist-3 calculations of SIDIS can be performed straightforwardly.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we introduce our notations. We define two spin observables and derive the tree-level results. In Secs. [III](#page-4-0) and [IV](#page-8-0) we give the oneloop corrections for the chirality-odd and chirality-even contributions, respectively. In these sections, we also perform the subtraction of the collinear contributions. The collinear singularities will be subtracted into various parton distributions and FFs. The final results are finite. In Sec. [V](#page-15-0) we give our final results. Section [VI](#page-16-0) is our summary. In the Appendix we list perturbative coefficient functions of our one-loop corrections.

II. NOTATIONS AND TREE-LEVEL RESULTS II. NOTATIONS AND TREE-LEVEL RESULTS

We consider the semi-inclusive process

$$
e(k) + h(P, s) \to e(k') + h'(P_h) + X,
$$
 (1)

where the initial hadron h is a spin-1/2 one with the spin vector s. We will consider the case that the polarization of particles in the final state is not observed or summed over and the initial electron is unpolarized. At the leading order of QED, the process is described by the hadronic tensor

$$
W^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{X} \int \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P, s | J^{\mu}(x) | P_h, X \rangle
$$

$$
\times \langle P_h, X | J^{\nu}(0) | P, s \rangle,
$$
 (2)

with $q = k - k'$ as the momentum of the virtual photon emitted from the initial electron. We will consider the process in the kinematic region with $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$.
In this region one can use the concent of OCD fectorization In this region one can use the concept of QCD factorization to predict $W^{\mu\nu}$ in the form of convolutions with perturbative coefficient functions, various parton distributions, and FFs. We are interested in the transverse-spin-dependent part of $W^{\mu\nu}$. In this part, twist-3 parton distributions and twist-3 FFs are involved.

To define parton distributions and FFs, it is convenient to use the light-cone coordinate system. In this system a vector a^{μ} is expressed as $a^{\mu} = (a^+, a^-, \vec{a}_{\perp}) = ((a^0 + a^3)t^2$ $\sqrt{2}$, $(a^0 - a^3)/\sqrt{2}$, a^1 , a^2) and \vec{a}^2 = $(a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2$ = $-a_1 \cdot a_2$. We introduce two light-cone vectors as l^{μ} - $-a_{\perp} \cdot a_{\perp}$. We introduce two light-cone vectors as l^{μ} $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $n^{\mu} = (0, 1, 0, 0)$. With these two vectors one can define can define

$$
g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - n^{\mu}l^{\nu} - n^{\nu}l^{\mu}, \qquad \epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}l_{\alpha}n_{\beta}.
$$
 (3)

We take the initial hadron moving in the z direction with the momentum $P^{\mu} = (P^+, 0, 0, 0)$. The initial hadron is transversely polarized with $s^{\mu} = (0, 0, s_{\perp}^1, s_{\perp}^2)$. At twist-2 there is one parton distribution related to the transverse spin. It is is one parton distribution related to the transverse spin. It is the transversity distribution introduced first in [\[20\]:](#page-20-2)

$$
\int \frac{d\lambda}{4\pi} e^{-ix\lambda P^{+}} \langle P, s_{\perp} | \bar{\psi}_{i}(\lambda n) \psi_{j}(0) | P, s_{\perp} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{4N_{c}P^{+}} (\gamma_{\perp} \cdot s_{\perp} \gamma \cdot Ph_{1}(x) + \cdots)_{ji},
$$
 (4)

where *ij* stand for Dirac indices and color indices and \cdot
denote irrelevant terms. Here and in the following y --denote irrelevant terms. Here and in the following we suppress gauge links between field operators for brevity. x is the momentum fraction carried by the quark. This distribution is defined with the operator which is chirality odd. Hence, the contributions to $W^{\mu\nu}$ involving h_1 will always be combined with chirality-odd FFs.

At twist-3 there are two transverse-spin-dependent parton distributions defined with quark-gluon-quark correlations. They are the so-called Efremov-Teryaev-Qiu-Sterman (ETQS) matrix elements in [\[1,2\]](#page-19-0):

$$
\int \frac{d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2}{4\pi} e^{-i\lambda_2 (x_2 - x_1)P^+ - i\lambda_1 x_1 P^+}
$$
\n
$$
\times \langle P, s_\perp | \bar{\psi}_i(\lambda_1 n) g_s G^{+\mu}(\lambda_2 n) \psi_j(0) | P, s_\perp \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^-]_{ji} \tilde{s}_\perp^{\mu} T_F(x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{4} [i\gamma_5 \gamma^-]_{ji} s_\perp^{\mu} T_\Delta(x_1, x_2) + \cdots,
$$
\n(5)

where \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{μ} is defined as $\tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\mu} = \epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} s_{\perp\nu}$ and \cdots denote irrelevant terms. The two twist-3 parton distribution functions have the property

$$
T_F(x_1, x_2) = T_F(x_2, x_1), T_{\Delta}(x_1, x_2) = -T_{\Delta}(x_2, x_1). \tag{6}
$$

Replacing the field-strength tensor operator in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-0) with the covariant derivative D_{\perp}^{μ} , one can define the other two twist-3 distributions. There are three twist-3 distributions defined with a product of two quark field operators. Two of them are given in [\[3\]](#page-19-1), and one of them is defined in [\[6\]](#page-19-2). These twist-3 distributions can be expressed with the two defined in Eq. (5) $[3,6]$.

Four twist-3 distributions can be defined with purely gluonic operators [\[32\]](#page-20-10). One of them can be defined as

$$
T_G^{(f)}(x_1, x_2) \tilde{s}^{\mu}
$$

=
$$
\frac{ig_s f^{abc} g_{\perp a\beta}}{P^+} \int \frac{dy_1 dy_2}{4\pi} e^{-iP^+(y_2(x_2 - x_1) + y_1 x_1)}
$$

× $\langle P, s_\perp | G^{a, +a}(y_1 n) G^{b, +\mu}(y_2 n) G^{c, +\beta}(0) | P, s_\perp \rangle$. (7)

Replacing *if*^{abc} with d^{abc} one obtains the definition of $T_G^{(d)}$. Besides these two distributions $T_G^{(f,d)}$, the other two twist-3 distributions are defined by replacing $g_{\perp\alpha\beta}$ with $\epsilon_{\perp\alpha\beta}$ in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-1). However, the contributions with these two twist-3 distributions do not appear in the two spin observables studied in this work. For the matrix elements with f^{abc} one has

$$
T_G^{(f)}(x_1, x_2) = -T_G^{(f)}(-x_2, -x_1),
$$

\n
$$
T_G^{(f)}(x_1, x_2) = T_G^{(f)}(x_2, x_1).
$$
\n(8)

Similar relations can be derived for distributions defined with d^{abc} .

To define FFs, we assume that the produced hadron moves in the $-z$ direction with the momentum $P_h^{\mu} = (0, P_h^-, 0, 0)$. From two-parton correlations we define

$$
\Gamma_{ji}(k) = \int \frac{d^4 \xi}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i\xi \cdot k} \sum_X \langle 0 | \psi_j(0) | P_h X \rangle \langle P_h X | \bar{\psi}_i(\xi) | 0 \rangle
$$

=
$$
\frac{\delta(k^+)}{z^{d-3} P_h^-} \left[\delta^2(k_\perp) (\gamma^+ P_h^- \hat{d}(z) + \hat{e}(z) + \sigma^{-+} \hat{e}_I(z)) - i\gamma^+ \gamma_\perp^{\mu} P_h^- \hat{e}_\partial(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\perp^{\mu}} \delta^2(k_\perp) \right]_{ji}
$$

+
$$
\cdots, \qquad (9)
$$

where ij stand for Dirac indices and color indices and k^- is fixed as P_h^-/z . $\hat{d}(z)$ is the standard twist-2 FF [\[33\].](#page-20-11) \hat{e} , \hat{e}_I , and \hat{e}_2 are of the twist-3 type \hat{e}_I and \hat{e}_I , were first introduced in \hat{e}_{∂} are of the twist-3 type. \hat{e} and \hat{e}_I were first introduced in [\[34\]](#page-20-12). From three-parton correlations one can define three twist-3 FFs. Two of them are

$$
\hat{E}_{F}(z_{1},z_{2}) = -\frac{z_{2}^{d-3}g_{s}}{2(d-2)N_{c}} \int \frac{d\lambda_{1}d\lambda_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-i\lambda_{1}P_{h}^{-}/z_{1}-i\lambda_{2}P_{h}^{-}/z_{3}} \sum_{X} \text{Tr}\langle 0|i\gamma^{-}\gamma_{\perp\mu}\psi(0)|P_{h}X\rangle \langle P_{h}X|\bar{\psi}(\lambda_{1}I)G^{-\mu}(\lambda_{2}I)|0\rangle,
$$
\n
$$
\hat{E}_{G}(z_{1},z_{2}) = -\frac{z_{2}^{d-3}g_{s}}{4(N_{c}^{2}-1)} \frac{2}{d-2} \int \frac{d\lambda_{1}d\lambda_{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i\lambda_{1}P_{h}^{-}/z_{1}-i\lambda_{2}P_{h}^{-}/z_{2}} \sum_{X} \text{Tr}\langle 0|\bar{\psi}(\lambda_{1}I)i\gamma^{-}\gamma_{\perp\mu}T^{a}\psi(0)|P_{h}X\rangle \langle P_{h}X|G^{a,-\mu}(\lambda_{2}I)|0\rangle, \tag{10}
$$

with $1/z_3 = 1/z_2 - 1/z_1$. Through charge conjugation of the operator in \hat{E}_F , one can define anther FF $\hat{E}_{\bar{F}}$, which is for fragmentation with an antiquark. Similarly, one can define an additional FF \hat{E}_D by replacing $g_s G^{-\mu}(\lambda_2 l)$ with $P_h^- D_\perp^{\mu} (\lambda_2 l)$. However, this function is completely deter-
mined by \hat{F}_R and \hat{e}_R [35] $\hat{e}(\tau)$ and $\hat{e}_R(\tau)$ have the support mined by E_F and \hat{e} _{∂} [\[35\].](#page-20-13) $\hat{e}(z)$ and $\hat{e}_{I,\partial}(z)$ have the support $|z|$ < 1. For $z > 0$, these FFs are for fragmentation of a quark. $E_{F,G}(z_1, z_2)$ has the support [\[36\]](#page-20-14)

$$
0 < z_2 < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad z_2 < z_1 < \infty. \tag{11}
$$

In [\[36\]](#page-20-14) it was shown that these functions are zero at $z_1 = z_2$ or $1/z_1 = 0$; i.e., no parton in these FFs can have zero momentum fraction.

All introduced twist-3 FFs are chirality odd. The functions \hat{e} , \hat{e}_I , and \hat{e}_{∂} are real, while $\hat{E}_{F,G}$ is complex in general. If there are no final-state interactions, \hat{e}_I and \hat{e}_{∂} are zero and $E_{F,\bar{F},G}$ is real. It was shown in [\[35\]](#page-20-13) that there are relations among these twist-3 FFs. In our notations they are

$$
z_2^2 \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1} P \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1} \text{Im} \hat{E}_F(z_1, z_2)
$$

= $2z_2 \hat{e}_{\partial}(z_2) - \hat{e}_I(z_2)$,

$$
\hat{e}(z_2) = z_2^2 \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1} P \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1} \text{Re} \hat{E}_F(z_1, z_2).
$$
 (12)

In this work, we will take \hat{e}_I and \hat{e} as redundant in calculations of one-loop corrections.

The standard variables for the considered process are

$$
x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}, \qquad y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k}, \qquad z_h = \frac{P \cdot P_h}{P \cdot q}.
$$
 (13)

It is convenient to take the frame for the process in which the initial hadron moves in the z direction, and the virtual photon moves in the $-z$ direction. In this frame, the relevant momenta are given by

$$
q^{\mu} = (q^+, q^-, 0, 0), \qquad P^{\mu} \approx (P^+, 0, 0, 0),
$$

$$
P_h^{\mu} = (P_h^+, P_h^-, P_{h\perp}^1, P_{h\perp}^2), \qquad P_{h\perp}^{\mu} = g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} P_{h\nu}.
$$
 (14)

To simplify notations, we will give our results for QCD with one flavor quark, and its electric charge fraction is set to be 1. It is easy to generalize our results to the case of multiflavor quarks and to implement the real electric charges.

In [\[6\]](#page-19-2) the transverse-spin-dependent part of $W^{\mu\nu}$ at tree level has been derived. The symmetric and twist-3 part of $W^{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$
W^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{x_B P \cdot q} \delta^2 (P_{h\perp}) ((2x_B P + q)^{\mu} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\nu} + (2x_B P + q)^{\nu} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\mu}) h_1(x_B) (2z_h \hat{e}_{\partial}(z_h) - \hat{e}_I(z_h))
$$

$$
- z_h^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{h\perp}^{\rho}} \delta^2 (P_{h\perp}) [T_F(x_B, x_B) \hat{d}(z_h) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\rho} + 2(g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\rho} - g_{\perp}^{\mu\rho} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\nu} - g_{\perp}^{\nu\rho} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\mu}) h_1(x_B) \hat{e}_{\partial}(z_h)]. \tag{15}
$$

This expression is explicitly $U(1)$ -gauge invariant in the frame because of $q^{\mu}_{\perp} = 0$. Although the two transverse tensors $g^{\mu\nu}_{\perp}$ and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}_{\perp}$ from Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) are used here, the above result is covariant because the two transverse tensors can be defined covariantly:

$$
g^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{P \cdot \bar{P}} (P^{\mu} \bar{P}^{\nu} + P^{\nu} \bar{P}^{\mu}),
$$

$$
\epsilon^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} = \frac{1}{P \cdot \bar{P}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} P_{\alpha} \bar{P}_{\beta}, \qquad \bar{P} = x_{B} P + q. \qquad (16)
$$

Beyond tree level, Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0) receives corrections starting at the order of α_s . In the derivation of the result in [\[6\]](#page-19-2) it is found that the virtual correction to the derivative part of hadronic tensors is determined by the correction of the quark form factor. Based on this result, the virtual correction to the second line in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0) is determined by the correction of the quark form factor.

The kinematics of the process has been discussed in detail in [\[16,37\].](#page-20-15) The differential cross section is given by

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy dz_h d\psi d^2 P_{h\perp}} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{4z_h Q^4} L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu},\qquad(17)
$$

where ψ is the azimuthal angle of the outgoing lepton. $L^{\mu\nu}$ is the leptonic tensor:

$$
L^{\mu\nu} = 2(k^{\mu}k^{\prime\nu} + k^{\nu}k^{\prime\mu} - k \cdot k^{\prime}g^{\mu\nu}).
$$
 (18)

In principle one can measure the differential cross section in Eq. [\(17\)](#page-3-1) to detect the twist-3 effects or SSAs, because at twist-3 $W^{\mu\nu}$ is predicted as a tensor distribution, indicated by the δ-function $\delta^2(P_{h\perp})$ and its derivative. This implies

that measurable quantities can only be predicted when one integrates in Eq. [\(17\)](#page-3-1) over $P_{h\perp}$ with some weights depending on $P_{h\perp}$. We can define these measurable quantities or observables as weighted differential cross sections as

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle O\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{4z_h Q^4} \int d\psi d^2 P_{h\perp} O L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}, \quad (19)
$$

with $\mathcal O$ as a weight depending on P_h , k', and s_⊥. We will use dimensional regularization with $d = 4 - \epsilon$ to regularize divergences; Eq. (19) should be understood as in d-dimensional space-time. In our final results, one can set $d = 4$ because these results finite. In this work we will study two spin observables. They are defined with the weights

$$
\mathcal{O}_1 = P_{h\perp} \cdot \tilde{s}_\perp,
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{O}_2 = P_{h\perp} \cdot k'_\perp k'_\perp \cdot \tilde{s}_\perp - \frac{1}{2 - \epsilon} \frac{Q^2(y - 1)}{y^2} P_{h\perp} \cdot \tilde{s}_\perp.
$$
 (20)

These two weights are proportional to $P_{h\perp}$. To clarify the meaning of these two observables, we take a frame in which the initial hadron moves in the z direction and the virtual photon moves in the $-z$ direction. In this frame we denote the azimuthal angle between the lepton plane and the observed hadron h['] in the final state as ϕ_h and the azimuthal angle between the lepton plane and the transverse spin as ϕ_s . The two weights are related to these azimuthal angles as

$$
\mathcal{O}_1 = -|P_{h\perp}||s_{\perp}|\sin(\phi_h - \phi_s),
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_2 = -Q^2 \frac{1 - y}{2y^2} |P_{h\perp}||s_{\perp}|\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s).
$$
 (21)

It is noted that nonzero Sivers or Collins asymmetry indicates that the azimuthal-angle distribution has a nonzero contribution proportional to $sin(\phi_h - \phi_s)$ or $\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s)$, respectively. Therefore, the differential cross section in Eq. [\(19\)](#page-4-1) with the weight \mathcal{O}_1 or \mathcal{O}_2 is proportional to the coefficient in the front of $sin(\phi_h - \phi_s)$ or $\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s)$ in the azimuthal-angle distribution, respectively. Hence, our two spin observables are weighted Sivers or Collins asymmetry. We note that one can construct observables beyond the two given in this work, as shown, e.g., in [\[6\]](#page-19-2).

Substituting the two weights into Eq. [\(19\)](#page-4-1), it is easy to find that only the part of $W^{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0) with the derivative of $\delta^2(P_{h\perp})$ will contribute to the two spin observables. For given $\mathcal{O}_{1,2}$, the integration over ψ can be performed trivially:

$$
\int d\psi L^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}_1 = 2\pi \mathcal{Q}^2 (-Y_M g^{\mu\nu} + Y_L P^{\mu} P^{\nu}) P_{h\perp} \cdot \tilde{s}_{\perp},
$$

$$
\int d\psi L^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}_2 = 2\pi \mathcal{Q}^4 Y_2 \left(P_{h\perp}^{\mu} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\nu} + P_{h\perp}^{\nu} \tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\mu} - \frac{2}{d-2} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} P_{h\perp} \cdot \tilde{s}_{\perp} \right). \tag{22}
$$

The above integrals should be understood as in d-dimensional space-time; i.e., $d\psi$ should be understood as $d\Omega_{d-2}$. Our final results are obtained by taking $d = 4$. With $d = 4$ we have for $Y_{L,M,2}$

$$
Y_M = \frac{1}{y^2}((1-y)^2 + 1), \quad Y_L = \frac{4x_B^2}{Q^2}((2-y)^2 + 2 - 2y),
$$

$$
Y_2 = \frac{(1-y)^2}{2y^4}.
$$
 (23)

With the tree-level result of the twist-3 part of $W^{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0), we have the results for the two observables:

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} = \pi \frac{\alpha^2 y z_h}{Q^2} Y_M |s_\perp|^2 \int \frac{dx dz}{xz} \delta(1-\hat{x}) \delta(1-\hat{z})
$$

$$
\times [\hat{d}(z) T_F(x, x)(1-\epsilon/2) - \epsilon h_1(x) \hat{e}_\partial(z)],
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} = \pi \alpha^2 y z_h |s_\perp|^2 Y_2 \int \frac{dx dz}{xz} \delta(1-\hat{x})
$$

$$
\times \delta(1-\hat{z}) 2h_1(x) \hat{e}_\partial(z) \left(3-\epsilon-\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}\right), \qquad (24)
$$

with

$$
\hat{x} = \frac{x_B}{x}, \qquad \hat{z} = \frac{z_h}{z}.
$$
 (25)

From Eq. [\(24\)](#page-4-2) it is observed that, with $\epsilon = 0$, the first observable only receives a chirality-even contribution, while the second one only receives a chirality-odd contribution. In Eq. [\(24\)](#page-4-2) we have not included the contribution from the charge-conjugated partonic process. This can be easily added to Eq. [\(24\)](#page-4-2) through charge conjugation. The two observables have different dimensions in mass because the weights $\mathcal{O}_{1,2}$ are of different mass dimensions. As a result, there are different powers of Q^2 in the two observables.

We will study one-loop corrections of these two observables. As discussed before, the virtual correction is determined by the quark form factor. We will only need to calculate the real corrections.

III. ONE-LOOP CHIRALITY-ODD CORRECTION

In this section we study one-loop chiral-odd corrections. They are from those diagrams which have the general patterns given in Fig. [1.](#page-5-0) In Fig. [1,](#page-5-0) the bubbles in the lower part of the diagrams represent the parton correlation given by the transversity distribution as in Eq. (4) . In Fig. 1 (a) , the upper bubbles denote two parton correlations of fragmentation, while in Figs. $1(b)$ and $1(c)$ they stand for corresponding

FIG. 1. Patterns of diagrams for chiral-odd contributions to $W^{\mu\nu}$. The broken lines are the cuts.

three-parton correlations. The boxes in the middle of Fig. [1](#page-5-0) represent various parton scatterings. Contributions from complex conjugated diagrams of Figs. [1\(b\)](#page-5-0) and [1\(c\)](#page-5-0) should also be included. In our case, we only need to consider the real corrections. Hence, there is always one parton crossing the cut in the middle boxes. The virtual corrections are obtained as mentioned before.

In Fig. [1](#page-5-0) we can in the first step make the projection for the lower bubbles with $h_1(x)$ as given in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-3). The projection can be done in a frame in which the initial hadron moves in the z direction, while the final hadron moves in an arbitrary direction. After the projection, the contributions from Figs. [1\(a\)](#page-5-0) and $1(b)$ are

$$
W^{\mu\nu}|_{1a} = \frac{1}{2N_c} \int \frac{dx}{x} h_1(x) \int d^4k_b \text{Tr} \{\gamma \cdot s_\perp \gamma \cdot k_a \mathcal{M}_{1a}^{\mu\nu}(k_a, k_b) \Gamma_{1a}(k_b) \},
$$

\n
$$
W^{\mu\nu}|_{1b} = \frac{1}{2N_c} \int \frac{dx}{x} h_1(x) \int d^4k_b d^4k_g \text{Tr} \{\gamma \cdot s_\perp \gamma \cdot k_a \mathcal{M}_{1b}^{a, \mu\nu\alpha}(k_a, k_b, k_g) \Gamma_{1b}^{a, \beta}(k_b, k_g) \} g_{\alpha\beta},
$$
\n(26)

with $\Gamma_{1a,1b}$ for the upper bubbles given as

$$
\Gamma_{1a,ij}(k_b) = \int \frac{d^4 \xi}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i\xi \cdot k_b} \sum_X \langle 0 | \psi_j(0) | P_h, X \rangle \langle P_h, X | \bar{\psi}_i(\xi) | 0 \rangle,
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_{1b,ij}^{a,\beta}(k_b, k_g) = \int \frac{d^4 \xi d^4 \xi_1}{(2\pi)^4 (2\pi)^4} e^{-i\xi \cdot k_b - i k_g \cdot \xi_1} \sum_X \langle 0 | \psi_j(0) | P_h, X \rangle \langle P_h, X | \bar{\psi}_i(\xi) G^{a,\beta}(\xi_1) | 0 \rangle,
$$
\n(27)

where *ij* stand for Dirac and color indices. $\mathcal{M}_{1a}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1b}^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ stand for the boxes in the middle of Figs. [1\(a\)](#page-5-0) and [1\(b\)](#page-5-0), respectively. The contribution from Fig. [1\(c\)](#page-5-0) takes a similar form. k_a is the momentum of the quark from the lower bubbles and it is given by $k_a = xP$. We note that the projection, as given by the (\cdots) in Eq. [\(4\),](#page-2-3) can be written in
a covariant form After the projections from the lower a covariant form. After the projections from the lower bubbles, we can do projections from the upper bubbles in a frame in which the final hadron moves in the $-z$ direction.

To find the contributions involving twist-3 parts of the upper bubbles, one needs to perform collinear expansion for the parts represented by the middle boxes. The expansion includes the expansion of momenta carried by the parton lines connecting the boxes with the bubbles and projecting out perturbative parts from the middle boxes with the different parts of upper bubbles. We note that there are contributions at leading twist from Fig. [1\(a\).](#page-5-0) In general it is nontrivial to find the contributions at the next-toleading twist if there are loops in the middle box. At twist-3 one of the two quark lines entering the upper bubble represents the bad component of the quark field. This component should be eliminated with the QCD equation of motion (see [\[38\]](#page-20-16) and references therein). Since we deal here, in fact, only with tree-level diagrams with a parton crossing the cut before its momentum is integrated over, the separation is rather easy. One can simply use the twist-3 part in the two-parton correlation in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-4) for the upper bubble in Fig. [1\(a\).](#page-5-0) The diagrams represented by the middle box in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-5-0) at the considered order of α_s are given in Fig. [2](#page-6-0). It is well known that the existence of the transversespin part requires the existence of final-state interactions in SIDIS or the nonzero absorptive part in scattering ampli-tudes. In the contributions of Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-5-0) at the order of α_s , the middle box cannot have an absorptive part. The final-state interactions can only appear in fragmentation.

The contributions from Figs. $1(b)$ and $1(c)$ are of the twist-3 or higher twist types. Because FFs from three-parton correlations have the support given in Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-2) and are zero if any parton carries zero momentum fraction, one finds at the order of α_s that the amplitudes of parton scatterings,

FIG. 2. Diagrams for chiral-odd contributions, represented by the middle box in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-5-0). Black dots denote the insertion of the operator of the electromagnetic current.

represented by the left or right parts of middle boxes, have no imaginary part, i.e., no physical cut. This is in contrast to chiral-even contributions studied in the next section. Therefore, the needed final-state interactions only appear in FFs. The diagrams represented by the middle box in Figs. [1\(b\)](#page-5-0) and [1\(c\)](#page-5-0) are then given by Figs. [3](#page-6-1) and [4,](#page-7-0) respectively.

The calculation is rather straightforward. One needs to perform the collinear expansion in the frame where the final hadron moves in the $-z$ direction. One essentially expands parton momenta k_b and k_g around the direction of P_h^{μ} and takes the large components of quark fields in the upper bubble of Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-5-0). Details about the expansion can be

found in [\[2,3\]](#page-19-9). We first calculate $W^{\mu\nu}$ from Fig. [1](#page-5-0) with diagrams given explicitly in Figs. 2–[4.](#page-6-0) The $U(1)$ gauge invariance is checked. From Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-5-0) we obtain contributions involving \hat{e}_{∂} and \hat{e}_I . We use the relation in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-3) to express \hat{e}_I with \hat{e}_{∂} and \hat{E}_F . With the obtained $W^{\mu\nu}$, we can calculate our spin observables. Since there is only one parton in the intermediate state, the length of $P_{h\perp}$ is fixed as

$$
P_{h\perp} \cdot P_{h\perp} = -\frac{z z_h Q^2}{\hat{x}} (1 - \hat{x})(1 - \hat{z}). \tag{28}
$$

Therefore, the integration over $P_{h\perp}$ in Eq. [\(19\)](#page-4-1) can be performed easily.We have then the chiral-odd real corrections

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h}\Big|_{\text{Re}} = \frac{z_h \alpha_s \alpha^2 y}{4Q^2} |s_\perp|^2 F_D \int \frac{dx dz}{xz} h_1(x) \Big\{ \hat{e}_\partial(z) \Big(-8Y_M C_F \frac{2}{\epsilon} \delta(1-\hat{x}) \delta(1-\hat{z}) + \mathcal{A}_{1\sigma\partial}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) \Big) \n+ 2 \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1} [\text{Im}\hat{E}_F(z_1,z) \mathcal{A}_{1\sigma F}(\hat{x},\hat{z},\hat{z}_1) + \text{Im}\hat{E}_G(z_1,z) \mathcal{A}_{1\sigma G}(\hat{x},\hat{z},\hat{z}_1)] \Big\},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h}\Big|_{\text{Re}} = \frac{z_h \alpha_s \alpha^2 y}{4} |s_\perp|^2 F_D Y_2 \int \frac{dx dz}{xz} h_1(x) \Big\{ -\hat{e}_\partial(z) \frac{8}{\hat{z}} C_F \Big[\Big(-2 \Big(\frac{2}{\epsilon} \Big)^2 + 3 \frac{2}{\epsilon} \Big) \delta(1-\hat{x}) \delta(1-\hat{z}) \Big) \n+ \delta(1-\hat{x}) \frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{2\hat{z}}{(1-\hat{z})_+} + \delta(1-\hat{z}) \frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{2\hat{x}}{(1-\hat{x})_+} \Big] + \hat{e}_\partial(z) \mathcal{A}_{2\sigma\partial}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + 2 \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \Big[\text{Im}\hat{E}_F(z_1,z) \Big| \times \Big(\frac{2}{\epsilon} \delta(1-\hat{x}) \hat{z} \Big(C_F(\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1-1) - \frac{N_c}{2} \frac{\hat{z}^2+\hat{z}_1^2-\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1}{(\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1)(1-\hat{z}_1)} \Big) \frac{4}{\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1} + \mathcal{A}_{2\sigma F}(\hat{x},\hat{z},\hat{z}_1) \Big) \Big\} + \text{Im}\hat{E}_G(z_1,z) \Big(\delta(1-\hat{x}) \frac{2}{
$$

FIG. 3. Diagrams for chiral-odd contributions, represented by the middle box in Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-5-0).

FIG. 4. Diagrams for chiral-odd contributions, represented by the middle box in Fig. [1\(c\)](#page-5-0).

where the poles of $1/\epsilon$ stand for collinear or IR divergences. These divergences come from the momentum region where the unobserved parton in the intermediate state is soft or collinear to the initial or final hadron. These divergences will be canceled by those in the virtual parts or subtracted into parton distributions or FFs as we will show. The integrating ranges of x, z, and z_1 are given by

$$
\int dx = \int_{x_B}^1 dx, \qquad \int dz = \int_{z_h}^1 dz, \qquad \int dz_1 = \int_z^\infty dz_1.
$$
\n(30)

In Eq. [\(29\)](#page-6-2) we have already neglected those terms which are proportional to ϵ and will not contribute to our final results. F_D and \hat{z}_1 are given by

$$
F_D = \left(\frac{4\pi\mu_c^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon/2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon/2)}, \qquad \hat{z}_1 = \frac{z_h}{z_1}, \quad (31)
$$

with μ_c as the scale associated with collinear divergences. In Eq. [\(29\)](#page-6-2) we have listed divergent contributions explicitly. The finite parts are given by functions A 's, which can be found in the Appendix.

As discussed before, the virtual correction to the derivative part of the hadronic tensor, and hence to our observables, is given by the correction of the quark form factor. The correction is well known. The virtual part can be simply obtained by multiplying our tree-level results in Eq. [\(24\)](#page-4-2) with the factor

$$
1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} F_D \left[-2\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^2 - 3\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right) - 8 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2). \tag{32}
$$

Summing the divergent part in the real and virtual part, we have the divergent parts of one-loop chirality-odd corrections:

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}|_{\text{Div}}} = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}|_{\text{Div}}} = z_{h}\alpha_{s}\alpha^{2}y|_{S\perp}|^{2}Y_{2}F_{D}\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)\int\frac{dxdz}{xz}h_{1}(x)\left\{2\hat{e}_{\partial}(z)C_{F}\left(-3\delta(1-\hat{x})\delta(1-\hat{z})\right)\right\} - \frac{2\delta(1-\hat{x})}{(1-\hat{z})_{+}} - \frac{2\hat{x}\delta(1-\hat{z})}{(1-\hat{x})_{+}}\right\} + \delta(1-\hat{x})\int\frac{dz_{1}}{z_{1}}\left[\text{Im}\hat{E}_{F}(z_{1},z)\frac{2\hat{z}}{\hat{z}-\hat{z}_{1}}\right] + \left[\text{Im}\hat{E}_{F}(z_{1},z)\frac{2\hat{z}}{\hat{z}-\hat{z}_{1}}\right] + \left[\text{Im}\hat{E}_{F}(z_{1},z)\frac{2\hat{z}}{\hat{z}-\hat{z}_{1}}\right] + \left[\text{Im}\hat{E}_{G}(z_{1},z)\frac{2C_{F}(\hat{z}-1)^{2}}{N_{c}(1+\hat{z}_{1}-\hat{z})}\right].
$$
\n(33)

In the sums, the infrared divergences are canceled. The remaining divergences are collinear ones. The one-loop correction to our first spin observables is finite. The correction to the second observable contains collinear divergences.

It should be noted that the contributions from exchanging collinear partons are, in fact, already included in the parton distributions and FFs of the tree-level results in Eq. [\(24\),](#page-4-2) or they are already contained in the lower and upper bubbles in Fig. [5](#page-8-1). In order to avoid double counting, we should subtract these contributions from the one-loop corrections calculated in the above. The subtraction at one-loop level can be easily done with the replacement in the tree-level results in Eq. [\(24\)](#page-4-2):

$$
h_1(x) \to h_1(x) - \Delta h_1(x), \qquad \hat{e}_{\partial}(x) \to \hat{e}_{\partial}(x) - \Delta \hat{e}_{\partial}(x), \tag{34}
$$

FIG. 5. Patterns of diagrams for chiral-even contributions to $W^{\mu\nu}$. The broken lines are the cuts.

and the contributions which need to be subtracted are

$$
\Delta \frac{d\sigma \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} = \frac{\pi z_h y \alpha^2}{Q^2} Y_M |s_\perp|^2 \epsilon (\Delta h_1(x_B) \hat{e}_\partial(z_h) + h_1(x_B) \Delta \hat{e}_\partial(z_h)),
$$
\n
$$
\Delta \frac{d\sigma \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} = -\pi z_h y \alpha^2 Y_2 |s_\perp|^2 (4 - 3\epsilon) (\Delta h_1(x_B) \hat{e}_\partial(z_h) + h_1(x_B) \Delta \hat{e}_\partial(z_h)).
$$
\n(35)

These contributions should be added in our final results to avoid the double counting.

In the case with dimensional regularization for collinear divergences of massless partons, the quantities Δh_1 and Δe_∂ are determined by the evolution of h_1 and \hat{e}_{∂} , respectively. The evolution of twist-3 FFs has been studied in [38–[40\].](#page-20-16) The evolution of h_1 can be found in [\[41\]](#page-20-17). The evolution of \hat{e}_{∂} can be found in [\[38,40\]](#page-20-16). According to these results, Δh_1 and Δe_{∂} are given by

$$
\Delta h_1(x_B) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) \int \frac{dx}{x} C_F \left(\frac{2\hat{x}}{(1-\hat{x})_+} + \frac{3}{2}\delta(1-\hat{x}) \right) h_1(x)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) (P_{\perp q} \otimes h_1)(x_B),
$$

\n
$$
\Delta \hat{e}_{\partial}(z_h) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) \int \frac{dz}{z} \left\{ \hat{e}_{\partial}(z) C_F \left(\frac{2}{(1-\hat{z})_+} + \frac{3}{2}\delta(1-\hat{z}) \right) - \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \left[\text{Im}\hat{E}_F(z_1,z) \right] \times \frac{\hat{z}}{\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1} \left(C_F(\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1-1) - \frac{N_c}{2} \frac{\hat{z}^2 + \hat{z}_1^2 - \hat{z} - \hat{z}_1}{(\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1)(1-\hat{z}_1)} \right) + \text{Im}\hat{E}_G(z_1,z) \frac{C_F(\hat{z}-1)^2}{N_c(1+\hat{z}_1-\hat{z})} \right] \}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) (\mathcal{F}_\partial \otimes \hat{e}_\partial + \mathcal{F}_F \otimes \hat{E}_F + \mathcal{F}_G \otimes \hat{E}_G)(z_h), \tag{36}
$$

where the poles in ϵ stand for collinear divergence and μ is the renormalization scale. In Eq. [\(36\)](#page-8-2) we have introduced four evolution kernels $P_{\perp q}$, \mathcal{F}_{∂} , \mathcal{F}_F , and \mathcal{F}_G for a short notation. Taking the derivative of Δh_1 and Δe_{∂} with respect to ln μ , one obtains the evolution of h_1 and \hat{e}_{∂} , respectively.

Substituting the results in Eq. [\(36\)](#page-8-2) into the contributions in Eq. [\(35\)](#page-8-3) and adding them to the divergent part of the one-loop corrections in Eq. [\(33\),](#page-7-1) one can realize that the divergences represented by the poles in ϵ are canceled. The final results of chirality-odd contributions are finite. We will present the final results in Sec. [V.](#page-15-0)

IV. ONE-LOOP CHIRALITY-EVEN CORRECTION

In this section we study the one-loop chirality-even corrections. As discussed before, we only need to calculate the real correction. The virtual correction is given by the one-loop correction of the quark form factor. The chiralityeven contributions involve twist-2 parton FFs and twist-3 parton distributions of the initial hadron.

In the case of quark fragmentation, the contributions are from these diagrams, whose general structure can be represented by Fig. [5.](#page-8-1) To calculate, e.g., the contribution from Fig. [5\(a\),](#page-8-1) one can in the first step project out the contribution of the twist-2 quark FF from the upper bubble. After the projection, the contribution to $W^{\mu\nu}$ can be written as

$$
W^{\mu\nu}|_{5a} = \int \frac{dz}{z^{d-3}} \hat{d}(z) \int d^d k_a d^d k_g \text{Tr} \{ \gamma \cdot P_h \mathcal{M}_{5a}^{a,\mu\nu\alpha}(k_a, k_g, k_b) \Gamma_{5a}^{a,\beta}(k_a, k_g) \} g_{\alpha\beta},\tag{37}
$$

with Γ_{5a} for the lower bubble in Fig. [5\(a\)](#page-8-1) given as

$$
\Gamma_{5a,ij}^{a,\beta}(k_a, k_g) = \int \frac{d^d \xi_a d^d \xi_g}{(2\pi)^{2d}} e^{i\xi_a \cdot k_a + i\xi_g \cdot k_g}
$$

$$
\times \langle P, s_\perp | \bar{\psi}_j(0) G^{a,\beta}(\xi_g) \psi_i(\xi_a) | P, s_\perp \rangle, \quad (38)
$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{5a}^{a,\mu}$ standing for the middle box in Fig. [5\(a\)](#page-8-1). In the above contribution, the collinear expansion relevant to the produced hadron is performed, and the momentum carried by the quark lines between the middle box and the upper bubble is given by $k_b = P_h/z$. k_a and k_g are the momenta carried by the quark and gluon lines, respectively, in the lower left part of Fig. [5\(a\)](#page-8-1).

At the order we consider, there is always one parton contained in the middle boxes crossing the cut. Unlike the case of chiral-odd contributions studied before, where the final-state interactions only appear in parton fragmentation, the final-state interactions in chirality-even contributions can only appear in the middle boxes, i.e., in the hard scatterings. This is due to the fact that twist-2 parton FFs do not contain final-state interactions. The amplitudes represented by the left parts of the middle boxes have a nonzero absorptive part, or the left parts contain a physical cut implicitly. Because of the cut, one of the parton lines in the lower left part of the diagrams in Fig. [5](#page-8-1) can carry zero momentum. The resulting contributions are called "soft-quark" or "soft-gluon-pole contributions." There are contributions in which none of the parton lines carry zero momentum. These contributions are called "hard-pole contributions." There is also the case that the final hadron is produced through gluon fragmentation. In this case, there are types of diagrams similar to Fig. [5](#page-8-1).

How to make the collinear expansion in a gaugeinvariant way has been studied in detail in [\[4\],](#page-19-8) and how to calculate the soft-gluon-pole contributions with the master formulas can be found in [29–[31\].](#page-20-9) Employing these techniques, various contributions can be calculated in a straightforward way. Therefore, we will not give details about how these calculations are done. We first discuss the contributions of hard poles. For the case of quark fragmentation, the hard scattering part represented by the middle box in Fig. $5(a)$ is given by the diagrams in Fig. [6,](#page-10-0) where the quark propagators with a short bar imply the cut for the absorptive part; i.e., only the absorptive part of the propagators is taken into account. The dispersive part will not contribute. Figure [6](#page-10-0) stands for two cases; the final hadron can be produced from quark or gluon fragmentation. The contributions from Fig. [6](#page-10-0) are

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h}\Big|_{\text{Fig.6}} = \frac{z_h y a^2 a_s}{4Q^2} |s_\perp|^2 F_D \int \frac{dx dz}{xz} \left\{ \hat{d}(z) \left[Y_M T_F(x, x_B) \left(2N_c \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \delta(1 - \hat{x}) \delta(1 - \hat{z}) \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1 + \hat{z}(N_c^2 - 1)}{\hat{z} N_c} \frac{2}{\epsilon} \delta(1 - \hat{x}) \frac{1 + \hat{z}^2}{(1 - \hat{z})_+} - N_c \delta(1 - \hat{z}) \frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{1 + \hat{x}}{(1 - \hat{x})_+} \right) \\ \left. + Y_M T_\Delta(x, x_B) N_c \delta(1 - \hat{z}) \frac{2}{\epsilon} + T_F(x, x_B) \mathcal{A}_{1hq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) + T_\Delta(x, x_B) \mathcal{B}_{1hq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \right] \\ \left. + \hat{g}(z) \left[Y_M T_F(x, x_B) \frac{\hat{z} + N_c^2 (1 - \hat{z})}{\hat{z}^2 N_c} \delta(1 - \hat{x}) \frac{2}{\epsilon} (2 - 2\hat{z} + z^2) \right. \\ \left. + T_F(x, x_B) \mathcal{A}_{1hq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) + T_\Delta(x, x_B) \mathcal{B}_{1hq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \right] \right\},
$$
\n
$$
\left. \frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} \right|_{\text{Fig.6}} = 0.
$$
\n(39)

Here we only list the divergent results explicitly. $A_{1hi}(\hat{x}, \hat{z})$ and $B_{1hi}(\hat{x}, \hat{z})$ for $i = q$, g are finite functions given in the Appendix. In the following, we will give various contributions in the same way as Eq. [\(39\)](#page-9-0). From Fig. [6](#page-10-0) our second observable receives no contributions.

There are partonic processes as the forward scattering in which a $q\bar{q}$ pair participates as indicated by Fig. [5\(b\).](#page-8-1) Their contributions are given by Fig. [7.](#page-10-1) These are hard-pole contributions. The contributions from Fig. [7](#page-10-1) are

FIG. 6. Feynman diagrams for the hard-pole contribution with quark or gluon fragmentation.

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}|_{\text{Fig.7}}} = \frac{z_{h}y\alpha^{2}\alpha_{s}}{4Q^{2}}|s_{\perp}|^{2}F_{D}\int \frac{dxdz}{xz}\left\{\hat{d}(z)\left[-\frac{1}{N_{c}}Y_{M}T_{F}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})\frac{2}{\epsilon}\delta(1-\hat{z})(1-2\hat{x})\right.\right.\left.+\frac{1}{N_{c}}Y_{M}T_{\Delta}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})\frac{2}{\epsilon}\delta(1-\hat{z})\right]+T_{F}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})(\hat{d}(z)C_{1Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\left.-\hat{d}(-z)C_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}))+T_{\Delta}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})(\hat{d}(z)C_{1Dq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})-\hat{d}(-z)C_{1D\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}))\right\},\n\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}|_{\text{Fig.7}}} = \frac{z_{h}y\alpha^{2}\alpha_{s}}{4}|s_{\perp}|^{2}Y_{2}\int \frac{dxdz}{xz}\left\{T_{F}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})(\hat{d}(z)C_{2Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\left.-\hat{d}(-z)C_{2F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}))+T_{\Delta}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})(\hat{d}(z)C_{2Dq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})-\hat{d}(-z)C_{1D\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}))\right\}.
$$
\n(40)

In the above contributions, one of variables of twist-3 parton distributions $T_{F,\Delta}(x_1, x_2)$ is negative, and its absolute value is the momentum fraction of the antiquark. The antiquark FF is given by $-\hat{d}(-z)$. The contributions from Fig. [7](#page-10-1) to our second observable are nonzero but finite.

There are soft-pole contributions in which one of initial partons carries zero momentum. This parton can be a gluon or quark. The soft-gluon-pole contributions can be calculated conveniently with the master formulas found in [\[29](#page-20-9)–31]. From the type of diagrams of Fig. [5\(a\)](#page-8-1), there are soft-gluon-pole contributions. They are given by diagrams in Fig. [8.](#page-11-0) In Fig. [8](#page-11-0), the diagrams of the first row are for quark fragmentation; those in the second row are for gluon fragmentation. The total contributions from Fig. [8](#page-11-0) are

FIG. 7. Feynman diagrams for the hard scattering represented by the middle part of Fig. [5\(b\)](#page-8-1). Their contributions are hard pole with quark or antiquark fragmentation.

FIG. 8. Feynman diagrams for the hard scattering represented by the middle part of Fig. [5\(a\).](#page-8-1) Their contributions are of the soft-gluonpole type. Diagrams in the first (second) row show quark (gluon) fragmentation.

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h}\Big|_{\text{Fig.8}} = \frac{z_h y \alpha^2 \alpha_s}{4Q^2} |s_\perp|^2 F_D \int \frac{dx dz}{xz} T_F(x, x) \left\{ \hat{d}(z) \left[\frac{1}{\hat{z} N_c} Y_M \left((\epsilon - 2) \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \delta (1 - \hat{x}) \delta (1 - \hat{z}) \right) \right] \right\} \n+ \frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{1 + \hat{z}^2}{(1 - \hat{z})_+} \delta (1 - \hat{x}) + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{1 + \hat{x}^2}{(1 - \hat{x})_+} \delta (1 - \hat{z}) \right) + \mathcal{D}_{1q}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \left[\frac{N_c}{\hat{z}^2} Y_M \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} \delta (1 - \hat{x}) (2 - 2\hat{z} + \hat{z}^2) \right) + \mathcal{D}_{1g}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \right] \right\},\
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} \Big|_{\text{Fig.8}} = 0.
$$
\n(41)

Our second observable does not receive contributions from Fig. [8](#page-11-0).

From the type of diagram in Fig. [5\(b\),](#page-8-1) there are soft-quark-pole contributions. The diagrams are given in Fig. [9](#page-12-0). There are contributions involving quark, antiquark, and gluon fragmentation functions. The contributions from gluon fragmentation are represented by the diagrams in the second row. Those from antiquark fragmentation are given by the first and third rows. Contributions with quark fragmentation are from all three rows of Fig. [9.](#page-12-0) But the contributions from the second row cancel those from the complex conjugated diagrams of the third row. This is because the diagrams in the third row are from the second row by cutting the unobserved parton lines in different ways. It is easy to show the cancellation. Therefore, the contributions of quark fragmentation are only from the first row. We have

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}|_{\text{Fig.9}}} = \frac{z_{h}y\alpha^{2}\alpha_{s}}{4Q^{2}}|s_{\perp}|^{2}\int \frac{dxdz}{xz}\left\{\hat{d}(z)[T_{F}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{1Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})+T_{\Delta}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta q}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]\right\}-\hat{d}(-z)[T_{F}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})+T_{\Delta}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta \bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]+\hat{g}(z)[T_{F}(x,0)\mathcal{E}_{1Fg}(\hat{x},\hat{z})+T_{\Delta}(x,0)\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta g}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]\right\},\n\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}|_{\text{Fig.9}}} = \frac{z_{h}y\alpha^{2}\alpha_{s}}{4}|s_{\perp}|^{2}Y_{2}\int \frac{dxdz}{xz}\left\{\hat{d}(z)[T_{F}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{2Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})+T_{\Delta}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{2\Delta q}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]\right\}-\hat{d}(-z)[T_{F}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{2F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})+T_{\Delta}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{2\Delta \bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]\right\}.
$$
\n(42)

The soft-quark-pole contributions are finite.

At the order we consider, there are contributions involving gluonic twist-3 parton distributions defined in Eq. [\(7\).](#page-2-1) These contributions are represented by the type of diagram specified in Fig. [5\(c\)](#page-8-1). Since there are three gluons exchanged between

FIG. 9. Feynman diagrams for the hard scattering represented by the middle part of Fig. [5\(b\)](#page-8-1). Their contributions are of the soft-quarkpole type.

the middle box and the lower bubble, the Bose symmetry should be kept. For this it is convenient to use the symmetric notation in [\[31,32,42\]](#page-20-18) for the twist-3 gluonic matrix elements in the calculation and to express the final result with the gluonic distributions in Eq. [\(7\).](#page-2-1) In the symmetric notation, the matrix element of the twist-3 gluonic operator can be parametrized as

$$
\frac{i^{3}g_{s}}{P^{+}} \int \frac{d\lambda_{1}}{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda_{2}}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda_{1}x_{1}P^{+}+i\lambda_{2}(x_{2}-x_{1})P^{+}} \langle P, s_{\perp}|G^{a,+a}(\lambda_{1}n)G^{c,+y}(\lambda_{2}n)G^{b,+,\beta}(0)|P, s_{\perp}\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{N_{c}}{(N_{c}^{2}-1)(N_{c}^{2}-4)} d^{abc} O^{a\beta y}(x_{1}, x_{2}) - \frac{i}{N_{c}(N_{c}^{2}-1)} f^{abc} N^{a\beta y}(x_{1}, x_{2}), \qquad (43)
$$

where all indices α , β , and γ are transverse. From Bose symmetry and covariance, the two tensors take the form

$$
O^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x_1, x_2) = -2i[O(x_1, x_2)g^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{s}^{\gamma}_{\perp} + O(x_2, x_2 - x_1)g^{\beta\gamma}\tilde{s}^{\alpha}_{\perp} + O(x_1, x_1 - x_2)g^{\gamma\alpha}\tilde{s}^{\beta}_{\perp}],
$$

\n
$$
N^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x_1, x_2) = -2i[N(x_1, x_2)g^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{s}^{\gamma}_{\perp} - N(x_2, x_2 - x_1)g^{\beta\gamma}\tilde{s}^{\alpha}_{\perp} - N(x_1, x_1 - x_2)g^{\gamma\alpha}\tilde{s}^{\beta}_{\perp}],
$$
\n(44)

with the properties of the function O and N

$$
O(x_1, x_2) = O(x_2, x_1), \qquad O(x_1, x_2) = O(-x_1, -x_2), \qquad N(x_1, x_2) = N(x_2, x_1), \qquad N(x_1, x_2) = -N(-x_1, -x_2).
$$
 (45)

The functions O and N are related to those defined in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-1) as

$$
T_G^{(f)}(x_1, x_2) = 2\pi((d-2)N(x_1, x_2) - N(x_2, x_2 - x_1) - N(x_1, x_1 - x_2)),
$$

\n
$$
T_G^{(d)}(x_1, x_2) = 2\pi((d-2)O(x_1, x_2) + O(x_2, x_2 - x_1) + O(x_1, x_1 - x_2)).
$$
\n(46)

It should be noted that the relations depend on $d = 4 - \epsilon$. It will affect the subtraction discussed later.

FIG. 10. Feynman diagrams for the hard scattering represented by the middle part of Fig. [5\(c\).](#page-8-1) Their contributions are of the softgluon-pole type.

With the symmetric notation, the middle box of Fig. $5(c)$ is given by diagrams in Fig. [10.](#page-13-0) The contributions from Fig. $5(c)$ are of the soft-gluon-pole type, i.e., one of the three gluon lines carries zero momentum. One can use the so-called master formula in [\[29](#page-20-9)–31] to calculate the twist-3 contributions. From Fig. [10](#page-13-0) we have

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h}\Big|_{\text{Fig.10}} = \frac{z_h y \alpha^2 \alpha_s}{4Q^2} |s_\perp|^2 F_D(-4\pi) \int \frac{dx dz}{x^2 z} \hat{d}(z) \Big\{ (O(x, x) + N(x, x) + O(x, 0) - N(x, 0))
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[Y_M \frac{2}{\epsilon} \delta(1 - \hat{z})(1 - 2\hat{x} + 2\hat{x}^2) + Y_L \mathcal{F}_{1L}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \right] + Y_M (O(x, x) + N(x, x)) \mathcal{F}_{1M+}(\hat{x}, \hat{z})
$$
\n
$$
+ Y_M (O(x, 0) - N(x, 0)) \mathcal{F}_{1M-}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \Big\},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h}\Big|_{\text{Fig.10}} = \frac{z_h y \alpha^2 \alpha_s}{4} |s_\perp|^2 Y_2(-4\pi) \int \frac{dx dz}{x^2 z} \hat{d}(z) (O(x, 0) - N(x, 0)) \frac{4\hat{x}^2}{\hat{z}}.
$$
\n(47)

We observe that the contribution from Fig. [10](#page-13-0) to our second observable is finite.

The real part of one-loop chirality-even correction to our observables is the sum of the contributions listed in Eqs. [\(39\)](#page-9-0)– [\(42\)](#page-11-1) and [\(47\).](#page-13-1) The virtual part, as mentioned before, is obtained by multiplying our tree-level results in Eq. [\(24\)](#page-4-2) by the factor in Eq. [\(32\)](#page-7-2). Since our second observable at tree level does not contain a chirality-even part, its virtual part of the chiralityeven part is zero. Adding all divergent contributions together, we obtain the sum

$$
\frac{d\sigma \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h}\Big|_{\text{Div}} = \frac{z_h y \alpha^2 \alpha_s}{2Q^2} |s_\perp|^2 Y_M F_D \int \frac{dx dz}{xz} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} \right) \left\{ \delta(1-\hat{x}) T_F(x, x) (\mathcal{P}_{qq}(\hat{z}) \hat{d}(z) + \mathcal{P}_{gq}(\hat{z}) \hat{g}(z)) + \delta(1-\hat{z}) \hat{d}(z) \left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{qq}(\hat{x}) - \frac{N_c}{2} \frac{1+\hat{x}^2}{(1-\hat{x})_+} - N_c \delta(1-\hat{x}) \right) T_F(x, x) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{N_c}{2} \frac{1+\hat{x}}{(1-\hat{x})_+} T_F(x, x_B) + \frac{N_c}{2} T_\Delta(x_B, x) + \frac{1}{2N_c} T_\Delta(x_B, x_B - x) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2N_c} (1-2\hat{x}) T_F(x_B, x_B - x) - \frac{1}{2x} (1-2\hat{x} + 2\hat{x}^2) T_{G+}(x, x) \right] \right\},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\sigma \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} \Big|_{\text{Div}} = 0,
$$
\n(48)

with the standard splitting functions

$$
\mathcal{P}_{qq}(z) = C_F \bigg(\frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)_+} + \frac{3}{2} \delta(1-z) \bigg),
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{P}_{gq}(z) = C_F \frac{2-2z+z^2}{z}.
$$
\n(49)

In Eq. [\(48\)](#page-13-2) and in our final results, the contributions from gluonic twist-3 distributions can be conveniently expressed by two combinations $T_{G\pm}(x_1, x_2)$ of gluonic twist-3 distributions. They are defined as

$$
T_{G\pm}(x_1, x_2) = T_G^{(f)}(x_1, x_2) \pm T_G^{(d)}(x_1, x_2). \tag{50}
$$

In Eq. [\(48\)](#page-13-2) the divergent gluonic contribution is only related to $T_{G+}(x, x)$, which are given by those twist-3 gluonic distributions in Eq. [\(44\)](#page-12-1) as

$$
T_{G+}(x, x) = 2\pi [2(O(x, x) + N(x, x) + O(x, 0) - N(x, 0)) - \epsilon (O(x, x) + N(x, x))].
$$
 (51)

Inspecting Eq. [\(48\)](#page-13-2), we find that our second observable is finite, while the first one is divergent. The divergence is represented by the single pole in ϵ . The divergence of the double pole in the real and virtual part is canceled in the sum. The divergence in Eq. [\(48\)](#page-13-2) comes from the exchanged parton in the kinematic region of momentum, which is collinear to the initial hadron h or the final h' . This divergent contribution from the collinear region is, in fact, already included in the tree-level result of the chiral-even part of our first observable in Eq. [\(24\),](#page-4-2) i.e., in the twist-3 parton distributions and twist-2 parton FFs. Therefore, this divergent contribution needs to be subtracted to avoid a double counting.

The subtraction can be done by the replacement in the chirality-even part of the tree-level results in Eq. [\(24\):](#page-4-2)

$$
T_F(x,x) \to T_F(x,x) - \Delta T_F(x,x), \quad \hat{d}(z) \to \hat{d}(z) - \Delta \hat{d}(z).
$$
\n(52)

The contributions which should be added in the final results for the subtraction are

$$
\Delta \frac{d\sigma \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} = -\pi \frac{z_h y \alpha^2 \alpha_s}{Q^2} |s_\perp|^2 Y_M \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) [\Delta \hat{d}(z_h) T_F(x_B, x_B) + \hat{d}(z_h) \Delta T_F(x_B, x_B)],
$$
\n
$$
\Delta \frac{d\sigma \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle}{dx_B dy dz_h} = 0.
$$
\n(53)

In dimensional regularization for regularizing all divergences, the quantities $\Delta \hat{d}(z)$ and $\Delta T_F(x, x)$ are determined by the evolution kernels. The evolution kernel of the quark fragmentation function is well known. The evolution of $T_F(x, x)$ is studied in [\[43](#page-20-19)–48]. At one-loop level we have

$$
\Delta T_F(x, x) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon_c} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) \left\{ -N_c T_F(x, x) + \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \left[\mathcal{P}_{qq}(z) T_F(\xi, \xi) + \frac{N_c}{2} \left(T_\Delta(x, \xi) \right) \right] + \frac{(1+z)T_F(x, \xi) - (1+z^2)T_F(\xi, \xi)}{1-z} \right\} + \frac{1}{2N_c} ((1-2z)T_F(x, x-\xi)) + T_\Delta(x, x-\xi)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-z)^2 + z^2}{\xi} T_{G^+}(\xi, \xi) \right] \right\}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon_c} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) (\mathcal{F}_q \otimes T_F + \mathcal{F}_{\Delta q} \otimes T_\Delta + \mathcal{F}_g \otimes T_{G^+})(x),
$$

\n
$$
\Delta \hat{d}(x) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon_c} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) \int \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \{ P_{qq}(z) \hat{d}(\xi) + P_{gq}(z) \hat{g}(\xi) \}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon_c} + \ln \frac{e^{\gamma}\mu^2}{4\pi\mu_c^2} \right) (\mathcal{P}_{qq} \otimes \hat{d} + \mathcal{P}_{gq} \otimes \hat{g})(x), \tag{54}
$$

with $z = x/\xi$. In the above we define five convolutions \mathcal{F} 's and \mathcal{P} 's for short notations. μ is the renormalization scale. μ_c is the scale related to collinear divergences. The derivative of $\Delta T_F(x, x)$ with respect to μ gives the evolution kernel of $T_F(x, x)$ derived. Adding the contributions in Eq. [\(53\)](#page-14-0) to our calculated one-loop correction, we find that the chirality-even part of the one-loop correction is finite.

The chirality-even corrections to our first observable have been studied in [23–[25\].](#page-20-5) In [\[24\]](#page-20-20) the contributions from Fig. [10](#page-13-0) are obtained, where there is a soft-gluon-pole contribution involving the derivative of $N(x, x)$ or $N(x, 0)$ with respect to x, and the derivative of $O(x, x)$ or $O(x, 0)$. These derivative terms can be eliminated by integration by part. We note that there is an integration over $P_{h\perp}$ in Eq. [\(19\)](#page-4-1) for our spin observables. With the master formulas in [\[29](#page-20-9)–31], such derivative terms are eliminated automatically through the integration over $P_{h\perp}$. This also holds for the case of $T_F(x, x)$, where there is a derivative contribution of $T_F(x, x)$ in [\[23\]](#page-20-5). In [23] the contributions from

Figs. [6](#page-10-0) and [8](#page-11-0) are obtained, but without the contributions from $T_{\Delta}(x_1, x_2)$. In [\[25\]](#page-20-21) the contributions with $T_{\Delta}(x_1, x_2)$ from Figs. [6](#page-10-0) and [8](#page-11-0) and the contributions from Fig. [7](#page-10-1) are included. However, the soft-quark-pole contributions are still missing. In all these works, only the first term with $g^{\mu\nu}$ in the first equation in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-4-3) is taken into account, and the contributions from the second term with Y_L are not considered. It is true that the second term with Y_L does not contribute at tree level. But it will contribute beyond tree level. Except for these missing contributions, our results agree with those in [\[23](#page-20-5)–25]. The missing contributions with Y_L and the soft-quark-pole contributions are included in this work; they are finite.

V. FINAL RESULTS

As mentioned in previous sections, the evolutions of involved parton distributions and FFs take the forms of convolutions. We denote these evolutions as

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{d}(z)}{\partial \ln \mu} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} (\mathcal{P}_{qq} \otimes \hat{d} + \mathcal{P}_{gq} \otimes \hat{g})(z),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial T_F(x, x)}{\partial \ln \mu} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} (\mathcal{F}_q \otimes T_F + \mathcal{F}_{\Delta q} \otimes T_{\Delta} + \mathcal{F}_g \otimes T_{G+})(x),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial h_1(x)}{\partial \ln \mu} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} (P_{\perp q} \otimes h_1)(x),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{e}_{\partial}(z)}{\partial \ln \mu} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} (\mathcal{F}_{\partial} \otimes \hat{e}_{\partial} + \mathcal{F}_F \otimes \hat{E}_F + \mathcal{F}_G \otimes \hat{E}_G)(z).
$$

(55)

The definitions of the convolutions can be found in Eqs. [\(36\)](#page-8-2) and [\(54\)](#page-14-1). With these notations we can write our final results in the form that is explicitly μ independent at one-loop level:

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}} = \frac{\pi z_{h}y\alpha^{2}}{Q^{2}}|s_{\perp}|^{2}Y_{M}\left[\hat{d}(z_{h})T_{F}(x_{B},x_{B}) - \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{e\mu^{2}}{Q^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\ln\mu}(T_{F}(x_{B},x_{B})\hat{d}(z_{h})) - \frac{\partial}{\partial\ln\mu}(h_{1}(x_{B})\hat{e}_{\partial}(z_{h})) - \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}(5\hat{d}(z_{h})T_{F}(x_{B},x_{B}) - 6h_{1}(x_{B})\hat{e}_{\partial}(z_{h})) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi}\hat{d}(z_{h})\int\frac{dx}{x^{2}}((1-\hat{x})^{2} + \hat{x}^{2})(3T_{G+}(x,x) - 2T_{G-}(x,0)) + \frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\rangle_{F}}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}},
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\rangle}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}} = 2\pi z_{h}y\alpha^{2}|s_{\perp}|^{2}Y_{2}\left[2h_{1}(x_{B})\hat{e}_{\partial}(z_{h}) - \left(\ln\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\ln\mu}(h_{1}(x_{B})\hat{e}_{\partial}(z_{h})) - \frac{5\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}h_{1}(x_{B})\hat{e}_{\partial}(z_{h})\right] + \frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\rangle_{F}}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}},
$$
(56)

where the last term with the subindex F in the result of our two observables is the sum of all finite contributions from sets of diagrams studied in previous sections. The sums are

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle O_{1}\rangle_{F}}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}} = \frac{z_{h}\alpha_{s}\alpha^{2}y}{4Q^{2}}|s_{\perp}|^{2}\int \frac{dxdz}{xz}\Big\{h_{1}(x)\Big[\hat{e}_{\partial}(z)\mathcal{A}_{1\sigma\partial}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+2\int \frac{dz_{1}}{z_{1}}(\text{Im}\hat{E}_{F}(z_{1},z)\mathcal{A}_{1\sigma F}(\hat{x},\hat{z},\hat{z}_{1}) + \text{Im}\hat{E}_{G}(z_{1},z)\mathcal{A}_{1\sigma G}(\hat{x},\hat{z}))\Big] \n+ \hat{d}(z)\Big[T_{F}(x,x_{B})\mathcal{A}_{1hq}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(x,x_{B})\mathcal{B}_{1hq}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{F}(x_{B} - x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{1Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+ T_{\Delta}(x_{B} - x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{1Dq}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{F}(x,x)\mathcal{D}_{1q}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{F}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{1Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+ T_{\Delta}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta q}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + \frac{1}{x}T_{G+}(x,x)\mathcal{F}_{1g+}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + \frac{1}{x}T_{G-}(x,0)\mathcal{F}_{1g-}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\Big] \n- \hat{d}(-z)[T_{F}(x_{B} - x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(x_{B} - x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{1D\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+ T_{F}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]\n+ \hat{g}(z)[T_{F}(x,x_{B})\mathcal{A}_{1hg}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(x,x_{B})\mathcal{B}_{1hg}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+ T_{F}(x,x)\mathcal{D}_{1g}(\hat{x},\
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\rangle_{F}}{dx_{B}dydz_{h}} = \frac{z_{h}\alpha_{s}\alpha^{2}y}{4}|s_{\perp}|^{2}\int \frac{dxdz}{xz}\Big\{h_{1}(x)\Big[\hat{e}_{\partial}(z)\mathcal{A}_{2\sigma\partial}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+2\int \frac{dz_{1}}{z_{1}}(\text{Im}\hat{E}_{F}(z_{1},z)\mathcal{A}_{2\sigma F}(\hat{x},\hat{z},\hat{z}_{1}) + \text{Im}\hat{E}_{G}(z_{1},z)\mathcal{A}_{2\sigma G}(\hat{x},\hat{z}))\Big] \n+ \hat{d}(z)\Big[T_{F}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{2Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{2Dq}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+T_{F}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{2Fq}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(-x,0)\mathcal{E}_{2\Delta q}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + \frac{2\hat{x}^{2}}{x\hat{z}}(T_{G+}(x,x) + 2T_{G-}(x,0))\Big] \n- \hat{d}(-z)[T_{F}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(x_{B}-x,x_{B})\mathcal{C}_{1D\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})\n+T_{F}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(0,x)\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]\Big] \n+ \hat{g}(z)[T_{F}(x,0)\mathcal{E}_{2Fg}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) + T_{\Delta}(x,0)\mathcal{E}_{2\Delta g}(\hat{x},\hat{z})]\Big\}. \tag{57}
$$

Equations [\(56\)](#page-15-1) and [\(57\)](#page-16-1) are our main results. The perturbative functions A 's, B 's, C 's, and E 's are given in the Appendix. In Eq. [\(24\)](#page-4-2) and the results in this section, the contributions from charge-conjugated parton processes are not included. They can be obtained from our results with charge conjugation.

VI. SUMMARY VI. SUMMARY

In this work we have studied two spin observables of SIDIS in which the initial hadron is transversely polarized. They are weighted differential cross sections corresponding to Sivers or Collins asymmetry, respectively. These asymmetries have been measured in experiments already. In fact, one of the studied observables is weighted Sivers asymmetry, while another is weighted Collins asymmetry. In collinear factorization they take factorized forms as convolutions of perturbative coefficient functions with twist-3 parton distributions combined with twist-2 FFs or twist-2 transversity distributions combined with twist-3 FFs. The perturbative coefficient functions have been calculated at the one-loop level in this work. The collinear divergences are correctly subtracted so that these functions are finite. With our results, the spin observables or SSAs are predicted more precisely than with tree-level results. The spin observables studied here can already be measured in current COMPASS and JLab experiments, and in future experiments at EIC.

It is interesting to note that at tree level our first observable is predicted only with the ETQS matrix element and the twist-2 quark FF, and the second observable is predicted only with the twist-2 transversity distribution and one of the twist-3 quark FFs. This implies that through the measurement of these observables, it is possible to determine these nonperturbative quantities at tree-level accuracy. However, at one-loop level more twist-3 parton distributions and FFs are involved. To determine all involved parton distributions and FFs, one has to combine theoretical and experimental results from other processes, like Drell-Yan processes and inclusive single hadron production at hadron-hadron collisions, where the involved parton distributions and FFs also appear in theoretical predictions. This requires more studies both in theory and experiment.

ACCEPT CHEMICS

The work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11275244, No. 11675241, and No. 11605195). Partial support from the CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP) is acknowledged.

APPENDIX: THE FINITE PART OF WEIGHTED CROSS SECTIONS

CROSS SECTIONS We list here all functions appearing in the finite part of our results. We define two functions

$$
L_{\pm}(\xi) = \left(\frac{\ln(1-\xi)}{1-\xi}\right)_{+} \pm \frac{\ln \xi}{1-\xi}.
$$
 (A1)

The functions in the chirality-odd contributions in Eq. [\(29\)](#page-6-2) are

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1\sigma\partial} = 8Y_M C_F \left(\delta(1-\hat{x}) \frac{1}{(1-\hat{z})_+} + \delta(1-\hat{z}) \frac{\hat{x}}{(1-\hat{x})_+} \right),\tag{A2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1\sigma F} = \frac{Y_M}{\hat{z}(\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)^2} \left[2C_F \hat{z}_1(-3\hat{z}^2 + \hat{z}(\hat{z}_1 + 4) - 2\hat{z}_1) - \frac{1}{N_c} \hat{z}(\hat{x}(\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}) + 2(\hat{z} - 1)\hat{z}_1) - \delta(1 - \hat{x}) \frac{\hat{z}^2}{\hat{z}_1 - 1} \left(2C_F \hat{z}_1(\hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{z}\hat{z}_1 + \hat{z} + \hat{z}_1^2 + \hat{z}_1 - 2) - \frac{1}{N_c} (\hat{z} + \hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}^2 - \hat{z}_1^2) \right) \right] - \frac{Q^2 Y_L \hat{x} \hat{z}}{2x_B^2 (\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)(\hat{x} \hat{z} - \hat{x}\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}\hat{z}_1 + \hat{z}_1)} \left[2C_F \hat{z}\hat{z}_1(\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}) - \frac{1}{N_c} (\hat{z} - 1)(\hat{x} \hat{z} - \hat{x}\hat{z}_1 + \hat{z}_1) \right],
$$
\n(A3)

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1\sigma G} = -Y_M \delta(1-\hat{x}) \frac{2C_F(\hat{z}-1)^2}{N_c(\hat{z}_1+1-\hat{z})} - \left(Y_M + \frac{Q^2 Y_L(\hat{z}-1)\hat{z}}{2x_B^2}\right) \frac{2C_F\hat{x}(\hat{z}-1)}{\hat{z}(\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1)(\hat{x}\hat{z}-\hat{x}\hat{z}_1-\hat{z}\hat{z}_1+\hat{z}_1)} \times \frac{\hat{x}^2 \hat{z}_1^2 + \hat{x}(\hat{z}^3 - 3\hat{z}^2 \hat{z}_1 + 2\hat{z}\hat{z}_1^2 + \hat{z}\hat{z}_1 - 2\hat{z}_1^2) + (\hat{z}-1)^2 \hat{z}_1(\hat{z}_1-\hat{z})}{N_c(\hat{x}\hat{z}_1-\hat{z}^2+\hat{z}\hat{z}_1+\hat{z}-\hat{z}_1)},
$$
\n(A4)

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2\sigma\partial} = 8C_F \bigg\{ -\delta(1-\hat{x})\delta(1-\hat{z}) + \delta(1-\hat{x})\bigg(L_+(\hat{z}) + \frac{3}{(1-\hat{z})_+}\bigg) + \hat{x}\delta(1-\hat{z})\bigg[2L_-(\hat{x}) + \frac{3}{(1-\hat{x})_+}\bigg] + \frac{2\hat{x}}{(1-\hat{x})_+(1-\hat{z})_+}\bigg\},\tag{A5}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2\sigma F} = \frac{4}{\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1} \delta(1 - \hat{x}) \left[-L_{+}(\hat{z})(1 - \hat{z}) \hat{z} \left(C_{F}(\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1 - 1) - \frac{N_c}{2} \frac{\hat{z}^2 + \hat{z}_1^2 - \hat{z} - \hat{z}_1}{(\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)(1 - \hat{z}_1)} \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{\hat{z}} \left(\frac{C_F}{2} (3\hat{z}^3 - 3\hat{z}^2 (\hat{z}_1 + 1) + 2\hat{z} (\hat{z}_1 + 1) - 2\hat{z}_1) - \frac{N_c}{4} \frac{1}{(\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)(1 - \hat{z}_1)} \right)
$$

\n
$$
\times \hat{z}^2 (3\hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{z}\hat{z}_1^2 - 3\hat{z} + 5\hat{z}_1^2 - 5\hat{z}_1 + 2) \right) + \frac{4\hat{x}\hat{z}}{(1 - \hat{x})_+(\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)^2}
$$

\n
$$
\times \frac{1}{(\hat{x}\hat{z} - \hat{x}\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}\hat{z}_1 + \hat{z}_1)} \left[\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} \hat{z}_1 (\hat{x}\hat{z}^3 + \hat{x}\hat{z}^2 (1 - 2\hat{z}_1) + \hat{x} \hat{z} (\hat{z}_1^2 - 2\hat{z}_1 - 2) \right]
$$

\n
$$
+ \hat{x}\hat{z}_1 (\hat{z}_1 + 2) + \hat{z}_1 (3\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1 - 2) \right) + \frac{1}{2N_c} (\hat{x}^2 (\hat{z} - 1)(\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)^2
$$

\n
$$
+ \hat{x} (2\hat{z} - 3) \hat{z}_1 (\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1) + 2(\hat{z} - 1) \hat{z}_1^2 \right), \tag{A6}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2\sigma G} = 2C_F \delta (1 - \hat{x}) [L_{+}(\hat{z})(1 - \hat{z})(2\hat{z} - 2)\hat{z} + (3\hat{z}^2 - 3\hat{z} + 2\hat{z}_1 + 2)] \frac{(1 - \hat{z})}{N_c \hat{z}(1 + \hat{z}_1 - \hat{z})} \n- \frac{4C_F \hat{x}^2 (\hat{z} - 1)^2}{(1 - \hat{x})_+(\hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)(\hat{x}\hat{z} - \hat{x}\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}\hat{z}_1 + \hat{z}_1)} \n\times \frac{\hat{x}^2 \hat{z}_1^2 + \hat{x}(\hat{z}^3 - 3\hat{z}^2 \hat{z}_1 + 2\hat{z}\hat{z}_1^2 + \hat{z}\hat{z}_1 - 2\hat{z}_1^2) + (\hat{z} - 1)^2 \hat{z}_1(\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z})}{N_c(\hat{x}\hat{z}_1 - \hat{z}^2 + \hat{z}\hat{z}_1 + \hat{z} - \hat{z}_1)}
$$
\n(A7)

The functions in the chirality-even contributions in Eqs. [\(39\)](#page-9-0)–[\(42\)](#page-11-1) and [\(47\)](#page-13-1) are

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1hq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = Y_M \left[\frac{1 + \hat{z}(N_c^2 - 1)}{\hat{z}N_c} \delta(1 - \hat{x})(L_+(\hat{z})(1 + \hat{z}^2) - \hat{z} + 1) + N_c \delta(1 - \hat{z}) \right] \times L_-(\hat{x})(1 + \hat{x}) + \frac{1 + \hat{z}(N_c^2 - 1)}{\hat{z}N_c} \frac{1 + \hat{x}\hat{z}^2}{(1 - \hat{x})_+(1 - \hat{z})_+} \right],
$$
\n(A8)

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1hq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = Y_M \bigg[N_c \delta (1 - \hat{z}) L_-(\hat{x}) (\hat{x} - 1) + \frac{1 + \hat{z}(N_c^2 - 1)}{\hat{z} N_c} \frac{\hat{x} \hat{z}^2 - 1}{(1 - \hat{x})_+(1 - \hat{z})_+} \bigg],\tag{A9}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1hg}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = Y_M \left[\delta(1-\hat{x})(-L_{+}(\hat{z})(1-\hat{z})(2-2\hat{z}+\hat{z}^2) - \hat{z}^2) - \frac{1+\hat{x}(1-\hat{z})^2}{(1-\hat{x})_+} \right] \times \frac{N_c^2(1-\hat{z})+\hat{z}}{\hat{z}^2 N_c},\tag{A10}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1hg}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = Y_M \frac{N_c^2 (1 - \hat{z}) + \hat{z}}{\hat{z}^2 N_c} \frac{\hat{x}(1 - \hat{z})^2 - 1}{\hat{x} - 1},
$$
\n(A11)

$$
C_{1Fq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = Y_M \left[\frac{1}{N_c} \left(\delta(1-\hat{z}) L_-(\hat{x}) (1-\hat{x}) (1-2\hat{x}) + \frac{(1-2\hat{x})\hat{z}}{(1-\hat{z})_+} \right) - \frac{1}{\hat{z}} (2\hat{x} - 1)(2\hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{z} + 1) \right],
$$
 (A12)

$$
C_{1Dq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -Y_M \left[\frac{1}{N_c} \left(\delta(1-\hat{z}) L_-(\hat{x}) (1-\hat{x}) + \frac{\hat{z}}{(1-\hat{z})_+} \right) + \frac{2\hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{z} + 1}{\hat{z}} \right],\tag{A13}
$$

$$
C_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -Y_M \left[\frac{(2\hat{x} - 1)(\hat{z} - 1)^2}{N_c \hat{z}^2} + \frac{(2\hat{x} - 1)(2\hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{z} + 1)}{\hat{z}} \right],
$$
(A14)

$$
C_{1D\bar{q}}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -Y_M \left[\frac{(\hat{z} - 1)^2}{N_c \hat{z}^2} + \frac{2\hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{z} + 1}{\hat{z}} \right],\tag{A15}
$$

$$
C_{2Fq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -\frac{1}{N_c} 2\hat{x} + 4\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1),
$$
\n(A16)

$$
C_{2Dq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{N_c} 2\hat{x} - 4\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1),
$$
\n(A17)

$$
C_{2F\bar{q}}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{N_c} 2\hat{x}\frac{\hat{z} - 1}{\hat{z}} + 4\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1),
$$
\n(A18)

$$
C_{2D\bar{q}}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -\frac{1}{N_c} 2\hat{x}\frac{\hat{z} - 1}{\hat{z}} - 4\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1),
$$
\n(A19)

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1q}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{-1}{\hat{z}N_c} \left\{ Y_M \left[\delta(1-\hat{x}) \left(L_+(\hat{z})(1+\hat{z}^2) + \frac{2(\hat{z}^2 - \hat{z} + 1)}{(1-\hat{z})_+} \right) + \delta(1-\hat{z}) \left(L_-(\hat{x})(1+\hat{x}^2) + \frac{2(\hat{x}^2 - \hat{x} + 1)}{(1-\hat{x})_+} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(1-\hat{x})^2 + (1-\hat{z})^2 + 2\hat{x}\,\hat{z}}{(1-\hat{x})_+ (1-\hat{z})_+} \right\} + \frac{Y_L Q^2}{2x_B^2} \hat{x}\,\hat{z} \right\},\tag{A20}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1g}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{N_c}{\hat{z}^2} \left\{ Y_M \left[\delta(1-\hat{x})L_+(\hat{z})(1-\hat{z})(\hat{z}^2+2-2\hat{z}) + \delta(1-\hat{x}) \right. \\ \times (2\hat{z}^2+2-2\hat{z}) + \frac{(1-\hat{x})^2+\hat{z}^2+2\hat{x}(1-\hat{z})}{(1-\hat{x})_+} \right] + \frac{Y_L Q^2}{2x_B^2} \hat{x}(1-\hat{z})\hat{z} \right\},
$$
\n(A21)

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1Fq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} \left(\frac{Y_M}{\hat{z}} (-2\hat{x}^2 + 2\hat{x}\,\hat{z} + \hat{x} - \hat{z}^2) - \frac{Y_L Q^2}{x_B^2} \hat{x}(\hat{x} - 1)(\hat{z} - 1) \right),\tag{A22}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta q}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{Y_M}{\hat{z}^2 N_c} (\hat{x} + \hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{x}\,\hat{z}),\tag{A23}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1F\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} \left(\frac{Y_M}{\hat{z}} (2\hat{x}^2 - 2\hat{x}\,\hat{z} - \hat{x} + \hat{z}^2) + \frac{Y_L Q^2}{x_B^2} \hat{x}(\hat{x} - 1)(\hat{z} - 1) \right),\tag{A24}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) = \frac{Y_M}{\hat{z}^2 N_c} (\hat{x} + \hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{x}\,\hat{z}),\tag{A25}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1Fg}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -\frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} \left(\frac{Y_M}{\hat{z}} (-2\hat{x}^2 + 2\hat{x}\,\hat{z} + \hat{x} - \hat{z}^2) - \frac{Y_L Q^2}{x_B^2} \hat{x} (\hat{x} - 1)(\hat{z} - 1) \right),\tag{A26}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1\Delta g}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -\frac{Y_M}{\hat{z}^2 N_c} (\hat{x} + \hat{z}^2 - 2\hat{x}\,\hat{z}),\tag{A27}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2Fq}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} 2\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1)(2\hat{x} - 1),
$$
\n(A28)

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2\Delta q}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} 2\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1),\tag{A29}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2F\bar{q}}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -\frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} 2\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1)(2\hat{x} - 1),\tag{A30}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2\Delta\bar{q}}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} 2\hat{x}(\hat{z}-1),\tag{A31}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2Fg}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -\frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} 2\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1)(2\hat{x} - 1),\tag{A32}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2\Delta g}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = -\frac{1}{\hat{z}N_c} 2\hat{x}(\hat{z} - 1),\tag{A33}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1M+}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = (L_{-}(\hat{x})(1-\hat{x})+1)\delta(1-\hat{z})(1-2\hat{x}+2\hat{x}^{2}) + \delta(1-\hat{z}) + \frac{1-2\hat{x}-2\hat{z}+2\hat{x}^{2}+2\hat{z}^{2}}{\hat{z}^{2}(1-\hat{z})_{+}},
$$
(A34)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1M-}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = L_{-}(\hat{x})(1 - \hat{x})\delta(1 - \hat{z})(1 - 2\hat{x} + 2\hat{x}^{2}) + \delta(1 - \hat{z}) + \frac{1 - 2\hat{x} - 2\hat{z} + 2\hat{x}^{2} + 2\hat{z}^{2}}{\hat{z}^{2}(1 - \hat{z})_{+}},
$$
(A35)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1L}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{Q^2}{x_B^2} \frac{(1 - \hat{x})\hat{x}}{\hat{z}}.
$$
\n(A36)

The perturbative functions \mathcal{F}_{1q+} and \mathcal{F}_{1q-} in Eq. [\(57\)](#page-16-1) for the gluonic contributions are determined by $\mathcal{F}_{1M\pm}$ and \mathcal{F}_{1L} as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1g+}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{2} Y_M(\mathcal{F}_{1M-}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) - 3\mathcal{F}_{1M+}(\hat{x}, \hat{z})) - Y_L \mathcal{F}_{1L}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \quad \mathcal{F}_{1g-}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = Y_M \mathcal{F}_{1M-}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) - Y_M \mathcal{F}_{1M+}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}). \tag{A37}
$$

- [1] A. V. Efremov and O. V. Teryaev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 36, 140 (1982); Phys. Lett. 150B[, 383 \(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(85)90999-2).
- [2] J. W. Qiu and G. Sterman, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.2264) 67, 2264 (1991); Nucl. Phys. B378[, 52 \(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90003-T); [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014004) 59, 014004 [\(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014004)
- [3] H. Eguchi, Y. Koike, and K. Tanaka, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.05.036) **B752**, 1 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.05.036)
- [4] H. Eguchi, Y. Koike, and K. Tanaka, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.11.016) B763, 198 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.11.016)
- [5] K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.074022) 88, 074022 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.074022)
- [6] A. P. Chen, J. P. Ma, and G. P. Zhang, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.006) 754, 33 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.006)
- [7] S. Patchkov, [EPJ Web Conf.](https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201612602025) 126, 02025 (2016).
- [8] I. G. Aznauryan and V. D. Burkert (LEPS), [AIP Conf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3701244) 1432[, 341 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3701244).
- [9] E.-C. Aschenauer et al., [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2017-12251-4) 53, 71 (2017).
- [10] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. **B193**[, 381 \(1981\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90339-4); B213[, 545\(E\) \(1983\)](https://doi.org/); B197[, 446 \(1982\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90453-9); B194[, 445](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90021-9) [\(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90021-9)
- [11] J.C. Collins, D.E. Soper, and G. Sterman, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90479-1) B250[, 199 \(1985\);](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90479-1) B261[, 104 \(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90565-6)
- [12] X.D. Ji, J.P. Ma, and F. Yuan, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.034005)* **71**, 034005 [\(2005\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.034005) [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.07.026) 597, 299 (2004).
- [13] P. J. Mulders and R. D. Tangerman, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00632-X) **B461**, 197 [\(1996\);](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00632-X) B484[, 538\(E\) \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00648-7)
- [14] D. Boer and P. J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. D 57[, 5780 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.5780)
- [15] D. Boer, R. Jakob, and P. J. Mulders, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00586-6) B564, 471 [\(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00586-6)
- [16] A. Bacchetta, M. Diehl, K. Goeke, A. Metz, P. J. Mulders, and M. Schlegel, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2007\) 093.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/02/093)
- [17] A. Airapetian et al. (HERMES Collaboration), [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.152002) Lett. 103[, 152002 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.152002).
- [18] C. Adolph et al. (COMPASS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.06.080) 736[, 124 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.06.080).
- [19] F. Bradamante (COMPASS Collaboration), [J. Phys. Conf.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/938/1/012004) Ser. 938[, 012004 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/938/1/012004).
- [20] R. L. Jaffe and X.-D. Ji, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.552) **67**, 552 (1991); Nucl. Phys. B375[, 527 \(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90110-W)
- [21] W. Vogelsang and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 094010 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.094010)
- [22] A. P. Chen, J. P. Ma, and G. P. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.074005) 95, [074005 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.074005)
- [23] Z.-B. Kang, I. Vitev, and H.-X. Xing, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.034024) 87, [034024 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.034024)
- [24] L.-Y. Dai, Z. B. Kang, A. Prokudin, and I. Vitev, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.114024) D **92**[, 114024 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.114024).
- [25] S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 054048 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.054048)
- [26] X. Ji and J. Osborne, Nucl. Phys. B608[, 235 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00249-8); A. V. Belitsky, X.-D. Ji, W. Lu, and J. Osborne, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.094012) 63, [094012 \(2001\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.094012) X.-D. Ji, W. Lu, J. Osborne, and X. T. Song, Phys. Rev. D 62[, 094016 \(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.094016).
- [27] J. P. Ma and G. P. Zhang, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)163) [163.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)163)
- [28] J. P. Ma and H. Z. Sang, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)062) [062.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)062)
- [29] Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.01.044) **646**, 232 (2007); **[668](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.09.026)**, [458\(E\) \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.09.026).
- [30] Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **76**[, 011502 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.011502)
- [31] Y. Koike, K. Tanaka, and S. Yoshida, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.114014) 83, [114014 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.114014)
- [32] X.D. Ji, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)91375-J) **289**, 137 (1992).
- [33] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. **B194**[, 445 \(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90021-9)
- [34] X.-D. Ji, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.114) 49, 114 (1994).
- [35] A. Metz and D. Pitonyak, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.05.043) 723, 365 (2013).
- [36] S. Meissner and A. Metz, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.172003) **102**, 172003 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.172003)
- [37] M. Diehl and S. Sapeta, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2005-02242-9) 41, 515 (2005).
- [38] J. P. Ma and G. P. Zhang, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.07.025) 772, 559 (2017).
- [39] A. V. Belitsky and E. A. Kuraev, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00306-4) **B499**, 301 [\(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00306-4)
- [40] Z.B. Kang, Phys. Rev. D **83**[, 036006 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.036006)
- [41] W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 57[, 1886 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.1886)
- [42] H. Beppu, Y. Koike, K. Tanaka, and Y. Yoshida, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054005) ^D 82[, 054005 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054005).
- [43] Z.-B. Zhang and J.W. Qiu, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.016003) 79, 016003 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.016003)
- [44] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov, and B. Pirnay, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.114002) 80[, 114002 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.114002)
- [45] J. Zhou, F. Yuan, and Z.-T. Liang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.054008) 81, 054008 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.054008)
- [46] J. P. Ma and Q. Wang, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.07.036) **715**, 157 (2012).
- [47] A. Schafer and J. Zhou, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.117501) 85, 117501 [\(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.117501)
- [48] Z.-B. Kang and J. W. Qiu, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.06.021) ⁷¹³, 273 (2012).