# Parapositronium can decay into three photons

Andrzej Pokraka<sup>\*</sup> and Andrzej Czarnecki<sup>[†](#page-0-1)</sup>

Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E1, Canada (Received 29 July 2017; published 6 November 2017)

<span id="page-0-3"></span>We provide the first explicit calculation showing that parapositronium can decay into three photons. While this decay is forbidden within quantum electrodynamics because it violates charge conjugation symmetry, it proceeds through the weak interaction. We compute the charged weak boson contribution to the photon energy spectrum and the rate of this decay.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.96.093002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.093002)

### I. INTRODUCTION

Positronium (Ps) is an atom composed of an electron and a positron. The ground state is the spin singlet parapositronium (p-Ps) while the lowest excited state is the spin triplet orthopositronium (o-Ps).

Within pure quantum electrodynamics (QED), p-Ps can decay only into an even number of photons and o-Ps only into an odd number of photons. This is because QED is invariant under charge conjugation symmetry (C-symmetry).

With the inclusion of C-violating weak interactions, Ps gains access to new photonic decay modes. The simplest one being p-Ps  $\rightarrow$  3 $\gamma$  (the o-Ps  $\rightarrow$  2 $\gamma$  is forbidden by the Landau-Yang theorem [\[1,2\]](#page-4-0)). While Ref. [\[3\]](#page-4-1) argues that this decay is possible and estimates its branching ratio, their statement has not yet been supported by an explicit calculation.

We compute the W-boson contribution to the p-Ps  $\rightarrow$  3 $\gamma$ decay and thus demonstrate that it does indeed occur. In Sec. [II](#page-0-2) we calculate the amplitude generated by W-boson loops to order  $\mathcal{O}(m_e^6/m_W^6)$  where  $m_e$  is the mass of the leader of the W-boson In Sec. III we electron and  $m<sub>W</sub>$  is the mass of the W-boson. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we use the result of Sec. [II](#page-0-2) to compute the decay rate, branching ratio and photon spectrum of  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$  decays. We conclude in Sec. [IV.](#page-2-1)

#### II. DECAY AMPLITUDE

<span id="page-0-2"></span>We start by noting that since the p-Ps  $\rightarrow$  3 $\gamma$  decay violates C-symmetry, it must also violate parity in order to conserve CP. Therefore, the final state must be composed of three spatially symmetric photons with vanishing total angular momentum—identical to that of the neutral pion decay into three photons  $(\pi^0 \rightarrow 3\gamma)$  [\[4\].](#page-4-2)

The p-Ps  $\rightarrow$  3 $\gamma$  decay amplitude can be written as a sum of tensors made up of the external momentum multiplied by scalar functions,  $F_i$ , called form factors. The form factors are functions of the only available scalars:  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  where  $x, y, z = k_{1,2,3}^0/m_e$  and  $k_i$  is the 4-momentum of the *i*th photon in the final state. photon in the final state.

Following Ref. [\[4\],](#page-4-2) we the use gauge invariance of the photon field to construct the general form of the amplitude (detailed in Appendix [A\)](#page-2-2)

$$
\mathcal{M} = \epsilon_{\mu_1}^* \epsilon_{\mu_2}^* \epsilon_{\mu_3}^* \mathcal{M}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3)
$$
 (1)

where

<span id="page-0-4"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \left(k_1^{\mu_3} - k_2^{\mu_3} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_3}{k_2 \cdot k_3}\right) \left(k_1^{\mu_2} k_2^{\mu_1} - k_1 \cdot k_2 g^{\mu_2\mu_1}\right) F_1(x, y, z) + \left(k_1^{\mu_2} - k_3^{\mu_2} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_2 \cdot k_3}\right) \left(k_3^{\mu_1} k_1^{\mu_3} - k_3 \cdot k_1 g^{\mu_1\mu_3}\right) F_2(x, y, z) \n+ \left(k_2^{\mu_1} - k_3^{\mu_1} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_1 \cdot k_3}\right) \left(k_3^{\mu_2} k_2^{\mu_3} - k_2 \cdot k_3 g^{\mu_2\mu_3}\right) F_3(x, y, z) + \left[k_2^{\mu_3} \left(k_1^{\mu_2} k_3^{\mu_1} - k_1 \cdot k_3 g^{\mu_2\mu_1}\right) - k_1^{\mu_3} \left(k_3^{\mu_2} k_2^{\mu_1} - k_3 \cdot k_2 g^{\mu_2\mu_1}\right) \right] \n+ k_2^{\mu_1} k_1 \cdot k_3 g^{\mu_3\mu_2} + k_3^{\mu_2} k_1 \cdot k_2 g^{\mu_3\mu_1} - k_1^{\mu_2} k_2 \cdot k_3 g^{\mu_3\mu_1} - k_3^{\mu_1} k_1 \cdot k_2 g^{\mu_3\mu_2}\right] F_4(x, y, z).
$$
\n(2)

and the  $\epsilon_i$  are the photon polarizations. Furthermore, Bose symmetry places restrictions on the form factors such that only  $F_1$  and  $F_4$  need to be calculated,

 $F_2(x, y, z) = F_1(x, z, y),$  (3)

$$
F_3(x, y, z) = F_1(y, z, x).
$$
 (4)

The form factors  $F_1$  and  $F_4$  can be projected out from the total amplitude by contracting  $\mathcal{M}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  with suitable tensors (Appendix [A\)](#page-2-2).

<span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>[\\*](#page-0-3) pokraka@ualberta.ca [†](#page-0-3) andrzejc@ualberta.ca

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. The W-boson contributions to the C-violating amplitude of  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$ . In addition to Figs. (a)-(f) there are the analogous diagrams where the W-bosons are replaced by charged Goldstone bosons  $\phi^{\pm}$ . Figs. (g) and (h), are counterterm diagrams that remove the divergences of Figs. (e) and (f).

To lowest order in perturbation theory,  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$ proceeds through one-loop processes in electroweak theory. It is especially convenient to employ the nonlinear renormalizable gauge  $(R<sub>ξ</sub>)$  [\[5,6\],](#page-4-3) which eliminates the three point Goldstone-W-photon vertex, reducing the number of diagrams that need to be computed. In this formalism,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{Gauge-fix}} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} (\partial^{\mu} A_{\mu})^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} (\partial^{\mu} Z_{\mu} - \eta m_{Z} \chi)^2 \n- \frac{1}{\xi} (\partial^{\mu} W_{\mu}^{+} - i \xi m_{W} \phi^{+} - ig A_{\mu}^{3} W^{+\mu}) \n\times (\partial^{\mu} W_{\mu}^{-} + i \xi m_{W} \phi^{-} + ig A_{\mu}^{3} W^{-\mu}),
$$
\n(5)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  are gauge parameters. The Feynman rules in this gauge are listed in Appendix [B.](#page-3-0)

To calculate the amplitude, the electron and positron are approximated to be at rest with four-momentum  $p = (m, 0)$ and the p-Ps projection operator  $\Psi_{p-Ps} = (1 + \gamma^0)\gamma^5/2\sqrt{2}$ <br>[7] is used to project out the correct spin configuration of [\[7\]](#page-4-4) is used to project out the correct spin configuration of the electron and positron.

Similar to the  $\pi^0 \rightarrow 3\gamma$  and o-Ps  $\rightarrow \gamma \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$  decays, the diagrams that contribute to  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$  must contain an axial-vector interaction in the trace along the fermion line in order to break C-symmetry [\[4,8\]](#page-4-2). At the one-loop level, this restricts the relevant diagrams to those that do not contain virtual photons.

Since our goal is to ascertain whether the p-Ps  $\rightarrow$  3 $\gamma$  decay is possible, we can examine either the W- or Z-boson contributions to this decay. For simplicity, we focus our attention, in this paper, on diagrams containing W-bosons; these diagrams are given in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) Counterterm diagrams  $1(g)$ and  $1(h)$  (Appendix [C\)](#page-4-5) cancel the divergences of Figs.  $1(e)$ and  $1(f)$ .

<span id="page-1-3"></span>As a consistency check, we keep the gauge parameter of the W-bosons and show that the form factors in the total amplitude are gauge independent. Namely,

$$
F_i(x, y, z = 2 - x - y) = \frac{7G_F e^3 m_e}{360\pi^2 m_W^4} (1 - x) f_i(x, y)
$$
 (6)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>where

$$
f_1 = \frac{1-y}{x} - \frac{1-x}{y},\tag{7}
$$

$$
f_2 = (1 - y) \left( \frac{1}{2 - x - y} - \frac{1}{x} \right),
$$
 (8)

$$
f_3 = (1 - y) \left( \frac{1}{2 - x - y} - \frac{1}{y} \right),
$$
 (9)

$$
f_4 = 0.\t(10)
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>Here,  $G_F \simeq 1.166 \times 10^{-5} / GeV^2$  is the Fermi coupling constant [\[9\]](#page-4-6) and  $e > 0$  is the electric charge of a proton. Substituting these form factors into [\(2\)](#page-0-4) yields the W-boson contribution to total p-Ps  $\rightarrow$  3 $\gamma$  decay amplitude. The form factors [\(7\)](#page-1-1)–[\(10\)](#page-1-2) have corrections of order  $\mathcal{O}(m_e^2/m_W^2)$  that we ignore Their leading order terms are remarkably simple we ignore. Their leading order terms are remarkably simple compared to the one-loop form factors for the analogous decay of o-Ps [\[10\].](#page-4-7) This is because the W-loop is essentially pointlike relative to the other distance scales in Ps.

As mentioned above, both W- and Z-bosons can contribute to this decay. Diagrams containing virtual Z-bosons are proportional to the factor  $1-4\sin^2\theta_W$  from the vector coupling in the Ze<sup>+</sup>e<sup>−</sup> vertex (where  $\theta_{\rm W}$  is the weak mixing angle and  $\sin^2 \theta_w \approx 0.238$  is numerically close to 1/4 [\[11\]](#page-4-8)). Despite this suppression, the Z-boson diagrams likely dominate because the Z-boson loops depend on two mass scales:  $m_e$  and  $m_Z$ . The large distance scale related to  $m_e$ can enhance the Z-boson mediated decay relative to the W-boson mediated decay.

Explicit calculation of the Z-boson contribution is outside the scope of this paper. Since the Z-boson loops depend on two mass scales, evaluation of Z-loops is significantly more difficult than the W-loops.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>III. DECAY RATE AND PHOTON SPECTRUM

<span id="page-2-3"></span>With the results of the previous section, it is simple to obtain the decay rate and photon spectrum. Using [\(2\)](#page-0-4) with [\(6\)](#page-1-3), we obtain

$$
\Gamma(\text{p-Ps} \to 3\gamma; W\text{-loops})
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{(14700\pi^2 - 145081)G_F^2\alpha^6 m_e^{13}}{58320000\pi^5 m_W^8} \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-67} \text{ s}^{-1}.
$$

The corresponding branching ratio is

$$
Br(p-Ps \to 3\gamma; W\text{-loops})
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\Gamma(p-Ps \to 3\gamma; W\text{-loops only})}{\Gamma(p-Ps \to 2\gamma)} \approx 4.4 \times 10^{-77}.
$$
 (12)

Comparing with the estimated branching ratio of [\[3\]](#page-4-1),

$$
\alpha[G_{\rm F}m_{\rm e}^2(1-4\sin^2\theta_W)]^2 \approx 10^{-27},\tag{13}
$$

we see that the W-loop contribution is tiny. Our qualitative understanding of this suppression is as follows. Consider the annihilation of  $e^-e^+$  at high energies (center of mass energies greater than about 1 GeV). In this energy regime,

<span id="page-2-5"></span>

FIG. 2. Plot of the W-boson contribution to the p-Ps  $\rightarrow 3\gamma$ photon spectrum [\(14\)](#page-2-4). Here,  $x = E/m_e$  is the energy of a photon, E, divided by the electron mass.

the mass of the electron/positron may be ignored and mass of the W-boson becomes the only relevant mass scale. Photon gauge invariance requires three powers of  $k_i$  in the amplitude, each of which must be divided by the only available mass:  $m_W$ . When transitioning from the high energy to the low energy regime, the W-boson mass dividing the  $k_i$ 's in the amplitude cannot be replaced by the electron mass. Of course, in a low energy process, the electron mass becomes important and may give rise to additional contributions, but they will be no less suppressed by the W-boson mass.

The corresponding photon spectrum is calculated to be

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx} = \frac{70(1-x)}{14700\pi^2 - 145081} \left[ -840 + 7700x^2 - 14560x^3 + 10192x^4 - 2489x^5 - \frac{840(2 + 6x - 6x^2 + x^3)(1 - x)^4 \ln(1 - x)}{(2 - x)x} \right]
$$
(14)

 $(11)$ 

where  $x$  is the energy of one of the photons divided by the electron mass and  $\Gamma = \Gamma(p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma; W\text{-loops})$  is given in [\(11\)](#page-2-3). Equation [\(14\)](#page-2-4) is plotted in Fig. [2.](#page-2-5)

When one photon has maximal energy  $(x = 1)$ , the other two photons must move collinearly and in the opposite direction. However, this configuration does not conserve angular momentum and thus the photon spectrum [\(14\)](#page-2-4) vanishes at  $x = 1$ . This is in contrast with the spectrum of the orthopositronium decay into  $3\gamma$ , which reaches its maximum at  $x = 1$ . Figure [2](#page-2-5) also shows the suppression of low-energy photon emission by a neutral system. In the low energy limit the photon spectrum is of  $\mathcal{O}(x^5)$  in agreement with Low's theorem [\[12\]](#page-4-9).

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

<span id="page-2-1"></span>We have presented the first calculation that explicitly demonstrates that parapositronium decays into an odd number of photons. Positronium has been used to test C-symmetry by looking for forbidden QED decay modes such as  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$  and  $o-Ps \rightarrow 4\gamma$  [13–[15\]](#page-4-10). Together with the previously published estimate of the Z-loops [\[3\],](#page-4-1) our calculation demonstrates that standard model contributions to  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$  decays are far smaller than conceivable experimental sensitivities. Any detection of  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$ , in the near future would be a signal of new physics [\[16,17\]](#page-5-0).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

<span id="page-2-2"></span>This research was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

# APPENDIX A: AMPLITUDE STRUCTURE

This appendix summarizes the construction of the amplitude presented in [\[4\].](#page-4-2) We start by defining the matrix element for  $p-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$  by

ANDRZEJ POKRAKA and ANDRZEJ CZARNECKI PHYSICAL REVIEW D 96, 093002 (2017)

$$
\mathcal{M}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \epsilon_{\mu_1}^* \epsilon_{\mu_2}^* \epsilon_{\mu_3}^* \mathcal{M}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \tag{A1}
$$

where  $\epsilon_i$  are the polarizations of the external photons. The most general third rank tensor constructed out of the external photon momentum contains 27 terms of the form amplitude will be contracted with  $\epsilon_{\mu_3}^* \epsilon_{\mu_2}^* \epsilon_{\mu_1}^*$  we can identify  $\frac{\mu_1}{i} k_j^{\mu_2} k_k^{\mu_3}$  and 9 terms of the form  $k_l^{\mu_1} g^{\mu_j \mu_k}$ . Since the molitude will be contracted with  $c^* c^* c^*$  we can identify terms: 8 of the type  $k_i^{\mu_1} k$  $u_i^{\mu_i} = 0$ . This reduces the number of terms to 14 possible<br>erms: 8 of the type  $L^{\mu_1} L^{\mu_2} L^{\mu_3}$  and 6 of the type  $L^{\mu_i} a^{\mu_i \mu_k}$  $\mu_2$  $\int$   $\frac{1}{2}$  $\mu_3$  and 6 of the type  $k_l^{\mu_i} g^{\mu_j \mu_k}$ . Gauge invariance,

$$
k_{3\mu_3} \mathcal{M}^{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1} = k_{2\mu_2} \mathcal{M}^{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1} = k_{1\mu_1} \mathcal{M}^{\mu_3 \mu_2 \mu_1} = 0, \quad (A2)
$$

then restricts the structure of the amplitude to that of Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-4).

To project out the form factors we need the projection tensors. The  $F_1$  projector is obtained by setting

$$
F_1 = -\frac{k_2 \cdot k_3}{2(k_1 \cdot k_3)(k_1 \cdot k_2)^3} \tag{A3}
$$

$$
F_2 = F_3 = 0 \tag{A4}
$$

$$
F_4 = -\frac{1}{4(k_1 \cdot k_3)(k_1 \cdot k_2)^2}
$$
 (A5)

in Eq. [\(2\).](#page-0-4) Similarly, setting

 $W_{\nu}^+$ λ

$$
F_1 = -\frac{1}{4(k_1 \cdot k_3)(k_1 \cdot k_2)^2}
$$
 (A6)

$$
F_2 = \frac{1}{4(k_1 \cdot k_3)^2(k_1 \cdot k_2)}\tag{A7}
$$

$$
F_3 = -\frac{1}{4(k_2 \cdot k_3)^2(k_1 \cdot k_2)}\tag{A8}
$$

$$
F_4 = -\frac{1}{2(k_2 \cdot k_3)(k_1 \cdot k_3)(k_1 \cdot k_2)}\tag{A9}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-4) yields the  $F_4$  projector.

# APPENDIX B: FEYNMAN RULES IN THE NONLINEAR  $R_{\xi}$  GAUGE

In this appendix, we list the Feynman rules used in our calculation. The propagator for the W-boson and Goldstone boson propagators are unchanged from the linear  $R_{\xi}$  gauge and given by

$$
---\frac{q}{W^{\pm}} - \frac{i}{q^2 - m_W^2} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi) \frac{q_{\mu} q_{\nu}}{q^2 - \xi m_W^2} \right)
$$
\n(B1)

$$
-\frac{q}{-\frac{1}{\phi^{\pm}}} - \frac{i}{q^2 - \xi m_W^2}
$$
 (B2)

where  $\xi$  is the gauge parameter. Next we list the relevant vertices in the nonlinear  $R_{\xi}$  gauge that differ from those in the linear  $R_{\xi}$  gauge:

$$
\sum_{\substack{k\\ \text{odd} \\ W_{\mu}^{\perp}}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \text{odd} \\ \text{odd} \\ \text{odd}}} A_{\alpha} = -ie \left[ g_{\mu\nu} (k - k')_{\alpha} - g_{\mu\alpha} \left( 2k + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right) k' \right)_{\nu} + g_{\nu\alpha} \left( 2k' + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right) k \right)_{\mu} \right], \quad (B3)
$$

$$
W_{\nu}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{\lambda_{\beta} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\gamma_{\mu}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\gamma_{\mu}}}} A_{\beta}
$$
\n
$$
= -ie^{2} \left[2g_{\mu\nu}g_{\alpha\beta} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \left(g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta} + g_{\mu\beta}g_{\nu\alpha}\right)\right],
$$
\n(B4)



The remaining vertices are unchanged form those in the linear  $R_{\xi}$  gauge (consult Ref. [\[18\]](#page-5-1) for a complete list of vertices in the linear  $R_{\xi}$  gauge):

$$
\sum_{\substack{\bar{\nu}_e \ v_e}} \sum_{e^-}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{e^+}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{e^-}
$$
\n
$$
\
$$



<span id="page-4-5"></span>Here,  $g_W = e / \sin \theta_W$  is the weak coupling constant and  $e > 0$  is the electric charge of a proton.

# APPENDIX C: RENORMALIZATION

For our purposes, it is enough to limit our attention to axial contributions to the electron self-energy and the renormalized  $e^-e^+\gamma$  vertex. The axial contributions to these quantities are [\[19\]](#page-5-2)

$$
\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}\gamma^5 (\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{A}}(p^2) - \delta Z_{\mathcal{A}}), \tag{C1}
$$

$$
\Gamma_A^{\mu} = ie\delta Z_A \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5. \tag{C2}
$$

Above,  $\hat{\Sigma}_A$  is the axial part of the self energy not including the counterterm.

The counterterm contribution to the electron propagator and  $e^-e^+\gamma$  vertex is fully determined by the axial part of the electron field strength,  $\delta Z_A$ . Using on-shell renormalization conditions, the axial part of the electron field strength is  $\delta Z_{\rm A} = \hat{\Sigma}_{\rm A}(\not\!{p} = m_{\rm e}).$ 

- $=\frac{i g_W}{2\sqrt{2}}\gamma_\mu(1+\gamma_5),$  $(B8)$
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] L. D. Landau, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. 60, 207 (1948).
- [2] C.-N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **77**[, 242 \(1950\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.77.242)

 $\bar{\nu}$ 

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[3] W. Bernreuther and O. Nachtmann, Z. Phys. C 11[, 235 \(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01545680)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[4] D. A. Dicus, *Phys. Rev. D* **12**[, 2133 \(1975\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.2133).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[5] K. Fujikawa, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.393) 7, 393 (1973).
- [6] M. B. Gavela, G. Girardi, C. Malleville, and P. Sorba, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90529-0) Phys. B193[, 257 \(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90529-0)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[7] A. Czarnecki, K. Melnikov, and A. Yelkhovsky, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.59.4316) A 59[, 4316 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.59.4316)
- [8] A. Pokraka and A. Czarnecki, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.113012) 94, 113012 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.113012)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[9] W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D 60[, 093006 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.093006)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[10] G. S. Adkins, D. R. Droz, D. Rastawicki, and R. N. Fell, Phys. Rev. A 81[, 042507 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.042507).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[11] A. Czarnecki and W. J. Marciano, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/435437a) 435, 437 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/435437a)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span><span id="page-4-9"></span>[12] F. E. Low, Phys. Rev. 110[, 974 \(1958\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.110.974).
- [13] P. Moskal *et al.*, [Acta Phys. Pol. B](https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolB.47.509) **47**, 509 (2016).

ANDRZEJ POKRAKA and ANDRZEJ CZARNECKI PHYSICAL REVIEW D 96, 093002 (2017)

- [14] S. N. Gninenko, N. V. Krasnikov, V. A. Matveev, and A. Rubbia, [Phys. Part. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063779606030038) 37, 321 (2006).
- [15] A. P. Mills and S. Berko, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.18.420) **18**, 420 (1967).
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[16] M. Caravati, A. Devoto, and W. W. Repko, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00126-6) 556[, 123 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00126-6).
- [17] H. Grosse and Y. Liao, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01150-9) **520**, 63 (2001).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[18] A. Denner, [Fortschr. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.2190410402) **41**, 307 (1993).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[19] W. Hollik, [Adv. Ser. Dir. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814503662_0003) 14, 37 [\(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814503662_0003)