# Implications of conformal symmetry in quantum mechanics

Tadashi Okazak[i\\*](#page-0-0)

Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan (Received 6 June 2017; published 25 September 2017)

<span id="page-0-1"></span>In conformal quantum mechanics with the vacuum of a real scaling dimension and with a complete orthonormal set of energy eigenstates, which is preferable under the unitary evolution, the dilatation expectation value between energy eigenstates monotonically decreases along the flow from the UV to the IR. In such conformal quantum mechanics, there exist bounds on scaling dimensions of the physical states and the gauge operators.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.96.066030](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.066030)

# I. INTRODUCTION

A space-time symmetry is of great importance in defining theories to describe the laws of nature. By reconciling quantum mechanics with the Poincaré symmetry group, one obtains relativistic quantum field theory in which fields are classified by the Casimir operators of the Poincaré group as quantum numbers, i.e., the spin and the mass. In conformal field theory, operators are classified by the Casimir operators of the conformal group. Since the mass cannot be invariant under the conformal group, as the mass is a dimensional parameter, it is replaced by the scaling dimension. The main subject in the study of conformal field theory is the operators which have a definite spin and scaling dimension. By virtue of symmetry properties of the correlation functions, even if we have no Lagrangian description, we can study conformal field theory from consistency conditions via the conformal bootstrap method  $[1-3]$ , which leads to a certain spectrum of the allowed scaling dimensions of the theory.

In this work we investigate conformal quantum mechanics, which is obtained by the incorporation of the  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ conformal symmetry of time into quantum mechanics. In conformal quantum mechanics, the Casimir operators of the conformal group  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  are associated only with the scaling dimensions. The important fact is that, while, in the analysis of the spectrum for conformal field theory, the dilatation is identified with the Hamiltonian in the radial quantization, in conformal quantum mechanics they should be distinguished. Therefore, conformal quantum mechanics would be a theoretically appropriate playground to study the relationship between the energy and the scaling dimension.

Here, we aim to address two main issues in conformal quantum mechanics that admits the vacuum and the primary operators by examining its correlation functions.

The first question is the irreversibility of the renormalization group (RG) flow in a quantum mechanical system. The RG transformation is associated with the scaling process from the UV to the IR. The information in the UV of the theory is expected to be lost in the IR since correlation functions in field theory are valid only at scales smaller than the cutoff by integrating out higher momentum degrees of freedom. Zamolodchikov's c theorem [\[4\]](#page-10-1) proves the irreversibility of the RG flow in two-dimensional field theories by establishing the existence of a  $c$  function, a function of a coupling constant and energy scale that decreases along the RG flow and is stationary at the RG fixed points, where its value equals the crucial parameter in the theory, i.e., the central charge. Even for  $d$ -dimensional conformal field theory (CFT<sub>d</sub>), Cardy [\[5\]](#page-10-2) proposes a c function as the quantity appearing in the trace anomaly given by the one point function of the trace of the energymomentum tensor on the sphere:  $c \sim \langle T^{\mu}_{\mu} \rangle_{S^d}$ . There have<br>heen various proposals in higher dimensional extensions of been various proposals in higher dimensional extensions of a c function:  $d = 3$  [6–[8\],](#page-10-3)  $d = 4$  [\[9\],](#page-10-4) and  $d = 6$  [\[10,11\]](#page-10-5). An exploration of a c function in conformal quantum mechanics is attractive since it may provide a direct explanation of the irreversibility as the theory distinguishes the energy and the scaling dimension. We observe that the trace part of the energy-momentum tensor is related to the conserved current of the dilatation  $D$ , and we show that the expectation value of the dilatation between preferable energy eigenstates under the unitary evolution, which we will call the D function  $D(E) \sim \langle E|D|E\rangle$ , possesses similar properties as a c function.

In the second part, we present a pair of simple no-go theorems. In relativistic quantum field theory, the interaction of massless particles with spins is highly constrained due to the Lorentz symmetry. For higher spin massless particles, the negative energy state and negative probability occur. Even though they are mathematically well defined, they would not appear in experimental results. The Weinberg-Witten theorem [\[12\]](#page-10-6) claims that a theory with the Lorentzian covariant conserved current  $J^{\mu}$  does not admit the spin  $s > \frac{1}{2}$  massless charged particles and that a theory with the Lorentzian covariant energy-momentum tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$  does not involve spin  $s > 1$  massless particles. The theorem is proven by investigating the matrix elements

<span id="page-0-0"></span>tadashiokazaki@phys.ntu.edu.tw

of the charge operators between one massless particle states of spin and momenta. In this work we apply a similar method to matrix elements in conformal quantum mechanics. We derive new types of no-go theorems which impose constraints on scaling dimensions of the physical states constructed in terms of the primary operators and the preferred vacua under the unitary evolution as  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq d + \Delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . This admits the free bosonic scalar with  $d + \Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ , the free fermion with  $d + \Delta = 0$ , and<br>the become evaluate field with  $d + \Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ . We also find a the bosonic auxiliary field with  $d + \Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ . We also find a hound on the scaling dimension of the gauge operators bound on the scaling dimension of the gauge operators which may couple to physical states with the scaling dimensions  $d + \Delta$  and  $0 < \delta_Q \leq 2$ . While the bosonic scalar of  $d + \Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$  may couple to the gauge fields of  $\delta = 2$  the fermion of  $d + \Delta = 0$  can interact with those  $\delta_Q = 2$ , the fermion of  $d + \Delta = 0$  can interact with those of  $\delta_{\Omega} = 1$ .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we review the  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  conformal symmetry of time. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we discuss the vacuum state  $|\Omega\rangle$ , which can be labeled by the scaling dimension  $d$ . It characterizes the theory under consideration. In Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) we study the energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$  and introduce the D function as the dilatation expectation value between the energy eigenstates. We see that the  $D$  function behaves as a  $c$  function. In Sec. [V](#page-6-0) we derive the no-go theorems. They provide bounds on scaling dimensions of the vacuum, of the primary operator and of the gauge operator as well as the constraints. In Sec. [VI](#page-9-0) we conclude with some open questions and future directions.

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. CONFORMAL SYMMETRY OF TIME

In general, a conformal group is the group of spacetime transformations that preserve angles locally between two distinct points and whose definition contains a metric tensor. Such a definition does not seem to be suitable for one dimension since there is neither an angle nor a metric tensor. In addition, if we follow the definition, we find a diffeomorphism group  $Diff(\mathbb{R})$ , which requires a gravity coupling. It does not seem realistic to consider the quantum mechanical system which is invariant under the Diff $(\mathbb{R})$ , that is, the topological quantum mechanics. In this work we are interested in the conformal symmetry transformation of time which consists of the translation, the scaling transformation, and the conformal boost. The corresponding generators are the Hamiltonian  $H = i\partial_t$ , the dilatation  $D = it\partial_t$ , and the special conformal transformation  $K = it^2\partial_t$ , respectively. Let

$$
G = uH + vD + wK \tag{2.1}
$$

be a linear combination of the three generators, where  $u, v$ , and  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  are constant parameters. It then turns out that

$$
G = i\frac{d}{d\tau},\tag{2.2}
$$

$$
d\tau = \frac{dt}{u + vt + wt^2}.
$$
 (2.3)

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>Hence, the G can be viewed as the new Hamiltonian of the new time coordinate  $\tau$ . From [\(2.3\),](#page-1-1) the new time coordinate  $\tau$  can be represented as

$$
\tau = \int d\tau = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{dt'}{u + vt' + wt'^2} + \tau_0, \qquad (2.4)
$$

where  $\tau_0 = \tau(t_0)$ . For simplicity, we set  $\tau_0 = 0$ . For example, the new time coordinates  $\tau$  generated by H, D, and K are as follows.

(1) Time evolution. An evolution of the original coordinate  $t$  is generated by the Hamiltonian  $H$ . The corresponding new time coordinate [\(2.4\)](#page-1-2) is

$$
\tau = \int_{t_0}^t dt' = t - t_0.
$$
 (2.5)

<span id="page-1-3"></span>The finite transformation of the original time coordinate is

$$
t = t_0 + \tau, \tag{2.6}
$$

<span id="page-1-6"></span>and the infinitesimal transformation is

$$
\delta t = t - t_0 = \tau. \tag{2.7}
$$

(2) Global time dilation. The new time coordinate [\(2.4\)](#page-1-2) whose evolution is generated by the dilatation  $D$  is

$$
\tau = \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{dt'}{t'} = \log \frac{t}{t_0}.
$$
 (2.8)

<span id="page-1-4"></span>The finite transformation of the original time coordinate is

$$
t = t_0 e^{\tau}, \tag{2.9}
$$

<span id="page-1-7"></span>and the dilatation D rescales the time coordinate. It can be viewed as a global time dilation for the original time coordinate  $t_0$  with a constant scale factor  $e^{\tau}$ . Its infinitesimal transformation is

$$
\delta t = t - t_0 \approx \tau t_0. \tag{2.10}
$$

(3) Local time dilation. The new time coordinate [\(2.4\)](#page-1-2) whose evolution is generated by the special conformal transformation  $K$  is

$$
\tau = \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{dt'}{t'^2} = \frac{1}{t_0} - \frac{1}{t}.
$$
 (2.11)

<span id="page-1-5"></span>The finite transformation of the original time coordinate is

$$
t = \frac{t_0}{1 - \tau t_0},\tag{2.12}
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>and the generator  $K$  is responsible for the local scale transformation. It corresponds to the local time dilation, with the scale factor  $\frac{1}{1-\tau t_0}$  depending on the time coordinate  $t_0$ . The infinitesimal transformation is

$$
\delta t = t - t_0 \approx \tau t_0^2. \tag{2.13}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>A sequence of three finite transformations, [\(2.6\),](#page-1-3) [\(2.9\),](#page-1-4) and [\(2.12\)](#page-1-5), can be expressed as

$$
t \to t' = f(t) = \frac{at+b}{ct+d}, \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{R}).
$$
\n(2.14)

<span id="page-2-6"></span>The infinitesimal transformations  $(2.7)$ ,  $(2.10)$ , and  $(2.13)$ are summarized as

$$
\delta t = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 t + \epsilon_3 t^2, \tag{2.15}
$$

<span id="page-2-5"></span>where  $\epsilon_1$ ,  $\epsilon_2$ , and  $\epsilon_3$  are the infinitesimal parameters of the Hamiltonian  $H$ , the dilatation  $D$ , and the special conformal transformation  $K$ , respectively. The conformal generators obey the commutation relations

$$
[H, D] = iH, \t [K, D] = -iK, \t [H, K] = 2iD, \t (2.16)
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>which form the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  algebra. In terms of the conformal generators, the Casimir operator  $C_2$  of the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ conformal algebra is written as

$$
C_2 = \frac{1}{2}(HK + KH) - D^2 = KH + iD - D^2.
$$
 (2.17)

We claim that this expression specifies a choice of basis and its dual of the conformal algebra in terms of the Hamiltonian, the dilatation, and the special conformal transformation of time coordinate  $t$ , although one can obtain an alternative quantum mechanical description with a different time coordinate  $t' = f(t)$  from [\(2.14\)](#page-2-2) and its<br>Hamiltonian H' For instance for the de Alfaro-Fubini-Hamiltonian  $H'$ . For instance, for the de Alfaro–Fubini– Furlan (DFF) model [\[13\]](#page-10-7) with the action [\(3.15\)](#page-3-0), one can find a theory with a different Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}' = \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{x}^2 - \frac{g}{x^2} - \frac{x^2}{4} \right) \tag{2.18}
$$

containing the harmonic potential by changing the original time coordinate  $t$  into a new time coordinate

$$
t' = 2\tan^{-1}t,\tag{2.19}
$$

whose Hamiltonian is

$$
H' = \frac{1}{2}(H + K). \tag{2.20}
$$

This achieves the discrete energy spectrum and the normalizable ground state. However, this is a different theory from the original one and the generators  $H$ ,  $D$ , and  $K$  should still be identified as the conformal generators in time coordinate  $t$ . Therefore, the relation  $(2.17)$  would intrinsically characterize the conjugation and scalar product in the state space of conformal quantum mechanics in time coordinate t.

One might worry that the system with time coordinate  $t$ has neither a discrete energy spectrum nor a normalizable vacuum state, as observed in [\[13\]](#page-10-7). However, it would imply not that the physical system with time coordinate  $t$  is unreasonable but rather that the quantization needs a subtle treatment due to the existing constraints on the canonical variables [\[14\]](#page-10-8). In other words, such undesirable properties for the physical description originate from a naive assumption in the quantization problem that all of the canonical variables are the observables in the Hilbert space. In fact, for the DFF model, the constraint  $x > 0$  should be taken seriously to advance the consistent quantization so that some operators (states) would not belong to the algebra of the observables (physical states).<sup>1</sup> As a consequence, the non-normalizable vacuum state may be harmless, so one obtains descriptions of some physical systems.2

In this work, instead of considering the detailed quantization prescription that provides a way to embed the observable algebra into a larger algebra of the canonical variables in a specific model, we wish to extract universal features of conformal quantum mechanics by restricting our attention to the universal relation [\(2.17\).](#page-2-3)

### III. VACUUM STATE

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>Let us consider the vacuum state  $|\Omega\rangle$  which has zero energy,

$$
H|\Omega\rangle = 0, \qquad \langle \Omega|\Omega\rangle = 1. \tag{3.1}
$$

Here, ket  $\ket{\cdot}$  is the vector which belongs to the vector space V. The corresponding bra  $\langle \cdot |$  is the linear map from V to  $\mathbb C$  which belongs to the space  $V^*$  dual to V. Here, we have assumed that the restrictions or boundary conditions of the wave functions in a particular region due to the constraints on the canonical variables admit the satisfactory

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The uncertain principal leads to the nonzero lowest energy for the physical ground states as the zero point energy, although, in the quantum field theories, it is neglected by the normal ordering. In this aspect the non-normalizable vacuum state may play the role of the reference state rather than the physical ground state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For example, the energy spectrum of an electron in a periodic potential can be purely continuous and the ground state is not normalizable. However, by restricting the wave function to a unit cell, one can acquire the physically well-defined description.

normalizable wave functions for the vacuum states. Now that the states in quantum mechanics follow the  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ conformal symmetry of time, one needs to select out consistent bras  $\langle \cdot |$  belonging to the dual space  $V^*$  in such a way that the matrix element  $\langle \cdot | C_2 | \cdot \rangle$  of the Casimir operator  $(2.17)$  gives the c number. This leads to additional constraints on the bra and the ket. From the expression [\(2.17\)](#page-2-3) of the Casimir operator, the ket  $H|\cdot\rangle$  has the dual bra  $\langle \cdot | K$ , so  $\langle \cdot | KH | \cdot \rangle$  gives a c number. For the vacuum state [\(3.1\),](#page-2-4) one can choose the corresponding bra as

$$
\langle \Omega | K = 0. \tag{3.2}
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>It follows from the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  algebra [\(2.16\)](#page-2-5) that the state

$$
|\Omega'\rangle \coloneqq D|\Omega\rangle \tag{3.3}
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>is also the vacuum state  $H|\Omega'\rangle = 0$ . According to the Casimir (2.17) the ket  $|\Omega'\rangle$  entails the bra Casimir [\(2.17\),](#page-2-3) the ket  $|\Omega'\rangle$  entails the bra

$$
\langle \Omega' | \propto i \langle \Omega |, \tag{3.4}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span>which is proportional to  $\Omega$ . The bra-ket pairs from [\(3.3\)](#page-3-1) and [\(3.4\)](#page-3-2) mean that an application of D to  $|\Omega\rangle$  does not result in a state vector with a distinct basis but merely gives a proportionality constant. Namely, the vacuum state is the eigenstate of the dilatation D,

$$
D|\Omega\rangle = id|\Omega\rangle, \qquad (3.5)
$$

where  $d$  is the eigenvalue characterizing the scaling dimension of the vacuum  $|\Omega\rangle$ . In this work we will concentrate on the case with a real scaling dimension  $d \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$  From [\(2.17\)](#page-2-3) the dual bra of the ket  $D|\Omega\rangle$  is<br>proportional to both  $i/\Omega$  and  $\langle \Omega|D$ . The proportionality proportional to both  $i\langle\Omega|$  and  $\langle\Omega|D$ . The proportionality constant is fixed by taking the bra-ket pairs from [\(3.4\)](#page-3-2) and  $(3.5)$ . So we have

$$
\langle \Omega | D = id \langle \Omega |.
$$
 (3.6)

<span id="page-3-4"></span>Note that the dilatation generator is anti-Hermitian, and it is not the observable that is measured by a probability distribution. The diagonalization [\(3.5\)](#page-3-3) means that  $|\Omega'\rangle$  is<br>proportional to  $|\Omega\rangle$  and there is a single basis  $|\Omega\rangle$  of the proportional to  $|\Omega\rangle$  and there is a single basis  $|\Omega\rangle$  of the vacuum state. From [\(3.5\)](#page-3-3) and [\(3.6\)](#page-3-4), one finds inner products

$$
\langle \Omega | D | \Omega \rangle = id,\tag{3.7}
$$

$$
\langle \Omega | D^2 | \Omega \rangle = -(d + C_2) = -d^2. \tag{3.8}
$$

<span id="page-3-7"></span>It follows from  $(3.8)$  that the scaling dimension d of the vacuum is fixed by the Casimir  $C_2$ ,

$$
d = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4C_2}}{2}.
$$
 (3.9)

Alternatively, the Casimir is expressed as

$$
C_2 = d(d-1). \t(3.10)
$$

Let us act  $K$  on the vacuum and define

$$
|\Omega''\rangle := K|\Omega\rangle. \tag{3.11}
$$

From the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  algebra [\(2.16\)](#page-2-5) and the diagonalization [\(3.5\),](#page-3-3) we see that it satisfies the relations

$$
H|\Omega''\rangle = -2d|\Omega\rangle,\tag{3.12}
$$

$$
D|\Omega''\rangle = i(d+1)|\Omega''\rangle.
$$
 (3.13)

<span id="page-3-6"></span>Equation  $(3.13)$  indicates that K increases the eigenvalue of  $|\Omega\rangle$  for D by i. For a further application of K on the vacuum, we find

$$
D(K^{2}|\Omega\rangle) = i(d+2)(K^{2}|\Omega\rangle). \tag{3.14}
$$

This implies that  $K^2$  increases the eigenvalue of  $|\Omega\rangle$  for D by  $2i$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>As a simple example, let us consider the DFF model [\[13\]](#page-10-7) whose action is given by

$$
S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \left( \dot{x}^2 - \frac{g}{x^2} \right),\tag{3.15}
$$

where  $q$  is a dimensionless coupling constant parameter. The action [\(3.15\)](#page-3-0) is invariant under the conformal trans-formations [\(2.14\)](#page-2-2) and  $\delta x = x/(ct + d)$ . Using the Noether method, one can deduce the conformal generators

$$
H = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{g}{2x^2}, \qquad D = -\frac{1}{4} \{x, p\}, \qquad K = \frac{1}{2} x^2
$$
\n(3.16)

and the Casimir operator

$$
C_2 = d(d-1) = \frac{g}{4} - \frac{3}{16}.
$$
 (3.17)

<span id="page-3-8"></span>Therefore, if the vacuum state exists in the DFF model, the coupling constant  $g$  determines the scaling dimension of the vacuum

$$
d = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{g + \frac{1}{4}}}{2}.
$$
 (3.18)

<span id="page-3-5"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>By contrast, the complex scaling dimension  $d \in \mathbb{C}$  is realized for the principal series representation of the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  conformal algebra, in which case the energy eigenstate is described by the Whittaker vector [\[15\]](#page-10-9).

For example, the Heisenberg picture vacuum is realized when  $g = \frac{3}{4}$ .

### IV. D FUNCTION

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>Consider an energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$ 

$$
H|E\rangle = E|E\rangle \tag{4.1}
$$

<span id="page-4-8"></span>with energy eigenvalue  $E \in \mathbb{R}$ . Taking into account the Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian  $H$  and the expression  $(2.17)$ of the Casimir operator, we can take the corresponding bra for the state  $(4.1)$  as

$$
\langle E|K = \langle E|E,\tag{4.2}
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>where  $\langle E|$  is the dual bra of the energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$ . Let us apply  $D$  and  $K$  to the energy eigenstate and define

$$
|E'\rangle := D|E\rangle, \qquad |E''\rangle := K|E\rangle. \tag{4.3}
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Then we have

$$
H|E'\rangle = E|E'\rangle + iE|E\rangle, \qquad (4.4)
$$

$$
H|E''\rangle = E|E''\rangle + 2i|E'\rangle.
$$
 (4.5)

<span id="page-4-3"></span>From [\(4.4\),](#page-4-2) the state  $|E'\rangle$  is not the energy eigenstate due to<br>the term  $iF|F\rangle$ . The energy eigenstate  $|F\rangle$  is unchanged the term  $iE|E\rangle$ . The energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$  is unchanged under the scale transformation generated by  $D$  only when  $|E\rangle$  is the vacuum state  $|\Omega\rangle$ <sup>4</sup>.<br>Similarly according to (4

Similarly, according to [\(4.5\)](#page-4-3),  $|E''\rangle$  is not the energy eigenstate because of the term  $2i|E'$ . The energy eigenstate<br>can be realized under the conformal boost generated by K can be realized under the conformal boost generated by  $K$ as the energy eigenstate only when  $|E'\rangle$  vanishes, i.e.,  $d = 0$  and  $E = 0$  In this case (4.3) requires that  $|E''\rangle$  is the  $d = 0$  and  $E = 0$ . In this case, [\(4.3\)](#page-4-4) requires that  $|E''\rangle$  is the vacuum state. Then [\(4.3\)](#page-4-4) implies that  $|E''\rangle$  is the eigenstate of  $K$ ,

$$
K|\Omega\rangle = k|\Omega\rangle, \tag{4.6}
$$

<span id="page-4-5"></span>with eigenvalue  $k$ . Therefore, only the vacuum state obeying [\(4.6\)](#page-4-5) and

$$
H|\Omega\rangle = D|\Omega\rangle = 0 \tag{4.7}
$$

keeps the same energy eigenvalue under the conformal transformations. Specifically, the conformally invariant vacuum is realized only when the vacuum satisfies

$$
H|\Omega\rangle = D|\Omega\rangle = K|\Omega\rangle = 0.
$$
 (4.8)

In this case, the vacuum state admits the Heisenberg picture in which the state has no time dependence.

<span id="page-4-11"></span>Employing the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we find that

$$
e^H De^{-H} = D + iH
$$
,  $e^H Ke^{-H} = K + 2iD - H$ , (4.9)

<span id="page-4-6"></span>
$$
e^{aD}He^{-aD} = e^{-ia}H
$$
,  $e^{aD}Ke^{-aD} = e^{ia}K$ . (4.10)

Using the relation [\(4.10\)](#page-4-6), one can show that

$$
H(e^{iaD}|E\rangle) = e^{-\alpha}E(e^{iaD}|E\rangle). \tag{4.11}
$$

Taking  $\alpha$  as a continuous parameter, the energy spectrum can be continuous. Hence, the continuous energy spectrum is a universal feature in conformal quantum mechanics. However, such an undesirable feature can be cured by selecting the observables out of the canonical operators. Thus, it does not follow that one should discard the system with time coordinate  $t$  as the physical system.

<span id="page-4-7"></span>Now consider a matrix element

$$
D(E) := \frac{1}{i} \langle E|D|E\rangle.
$$
 (4.12)

This describes the quantum scaling dimension of the energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$ , and it is a real function of the energy eigenvalue  $E$ . The overall factor in  $(4.12)$  eliminates the imaginary unit due to the anti-Hermiticity of the dilatation generator D.

<span id="page-4-9"></span>We assume that the energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$  forms a complete orthonormal set<sup>5</sup>

$$
1 = \int dE |E\rangle\langle E|, \qquad \langle E_1|E_2\rangle = \delta(E_1 - E_2). \quad (4.14)
$$

Making use of [\(2.17\)](#page-2-3), [\(4.1\),](#page-4-1) [\(4.2\),](#page-4-8) and [\(4.14\)](#page-4-9), we find the quadratic equation

$$
D(E)^{2} - D(E) - (C_{2} - E^{2}) = 0,
$$
 (4.15)

<span id="page-4-10"></span>whose solution is given by

$$
D(E) = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4(C_2 - E^2)}}{2}.
$$
 (4.16)

To make all predictions in quantum mechanics work correctly, we shall associate some energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$  of the energy  $E$  with the unitary group to describe time

$$
|E\rangle = 2^{r_0} E^{\frac{1}{2}-r_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{-iEt} |t\rangle.
$$
 (4.13)

The author thanks R. Jackiw for pointing out this point.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This fact was also pointed out in Appendix C of [\[16\].](#page-10-10)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In [\[17\],](#page-10-11) for the DFF model the energy eigenstates  $|E\rangle$  with see properties are actually constructed from the proposed state these properties are actually constructed from the proposed state  $|t\rangle$  by the Fourier transform

<span id="page-5-0"></span>evolution, i.e., the unitary evolution. However, as the quantity  $D(E)$  measures the averaged scaling dimension of the energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$ , the energy eigenstate  $|E\rangle$ would behave as  $t^{D(E)}$ . So it is preferable to have  $D(E) = 0$ . To achieve this, we will need to take the minus sign in [\(4.16\),](#page-4-10) and we have

$$
D(E) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + 4(C_2 - E^2)}}{2},
$$
 (4.17)

which we will call a *D* function.

Now let us make a connection to the AdS/CFT correspondence. It tells  $[18,19]$  that the bulk mass m of a scalar field in two-dimensional anti–de Sitter  $(AdS<sub>2</sub>)$  space is related to the dimension  $\Delta_m$  of the corresponding operator on the boundary as

$$
\Delta_m(\Delta_m - 1) = m^2, \tag{4.18}
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>and there are two solutions,

$$
\Delta_m^{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4m^2}}{2}.
$$
 (4.19)

For  $\frac{3}{4} < m^2$ , only the boundary conditions with  $\Delta_m^+$  lead to the normalizable solution  $[20-22]$  $[20-22]$  as  $z^{\Delta_m^+}$  near  $z = 0$  for a free scalar of mass m in the AdS, space whose metric is free scalar of mass  $m$  in the AdS<sub>2</sub> space whose metric is given by

$$
ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} (dz^2 + dt^2).
$$
 (4.20)

Since [\(4.17\)](#page-5-0) corresponds to  $\Delta_m^-$ , it is unlikely that the dual conformal quantum mechanics appears when  $\frac{3}{4} < m^2$ . Meanwhile, there can be two possible boundary conditions with  $\Delta_m^+$  and  $\Delta_m^-$  when [20–[22\]](#page-10-13)

$$
-\frac{1}{4} < m^2 < \frac{3}{4},\tag{4.21}
$$

where the lower bound is the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound.<sup>6</sup> Comparing [\(4.16\)](#page-4-10) with [\(4.19\)](#page-5-1) for  $\Delta_m^-$ , the mass range leads to  $E^2 - \frac{1}{4} \leq C_2 \leq E^2 + \frac{3}{4}$ . The existence of the vacuum state requires that the Casimir is bounded above vacuum state requires that the Casimir is bounded above and below,  $-\frac{1}{4} \leq C_2 \leq \frac{3}{4}$ , and that the scaling dimension d of the vacuum has a bound  $-\frac{1}{2} \le d \le \frac{1}{2}$ . The resulting function  $(4.17)$  is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-5-2) As the energy eigenvalue E takes real values, the energy squared is bounded above and below:  $0 \leq E^2 \leq C_2 + \frac{1}{4}$ . Correspondingly,  $D(E)$  is also bounded above and below. also bounded above and below,

<span id="page-5-2"></span>

FIG. 1. The D function. It is defined in the range  $0 \le E^2 \le$  $C_2 + \frac{1}{4}$  and decreases monotonically from the UV to the IR.

$$
d \le D(E) \le \frac{1}{2},\tag{4.22}
$$

where  $d$  is the scaling dimension  $(3.9)$  of the vacuum state. The normalizability of the energy eigenstate under the evolution operator can be kept when  $E^2 = C_2$ .

To summarize, if conformal quantum mechanics dual to the AdS<sub>2</sub> has the vacuum  $|\Omega\rangle$  and a complete orthonormal set of energy eigenstates  $|E\rangle$  with the averaged scaling dimension [\(4.17\)](#page-5-0), the energy eigenstate will realize wellbehaved unitary evolution at  $E^2 = C_2$  for  $-\frac{1}{4} < C_2 < \frac{3}{4}$ .<br>Here we would like to arous the physical implications

Here, we would like to argue the physical implications of the D function. It involves two important physical quantities, scaling dimension and energy. In quantum field theory change of scale is described by the RG transformation, and the number of degrees of freedom in a physical system decreases along the RG flows from high energy to lower energy. In  $CFT<sub>2</sub>$  this can be quantitatively measured by defining a  $c$  function [\[4\]](#page-10-1) which has the following properties:

- (1) It is a real function of the coupling constant  $q$  and the energy scale  $E$  which is defined on the space of theories.
- (2) It monotonically decreases along the RG flow.
- (3) It is stationary at the RG fixed point where its value equals the crucial parameter in the CFT.

It is proposed [\[5\]](#page-10-2) that for even dimensional  $CFT<sub>d</sub>$ , the Euler characteristic appearing in the trace anomaly provides a c function, which can be evaluated as the expectation value of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor on the sphere  $S^d$ ,

$$
c \sim \int_{S^d} \langle T^{\mu}_{\mu} \rangle. \tag{4.23}
$$

We remark that the trace  $T^{\mu}_{\mu}$  of the energy-momentum tensor is related to the conserved current of the dilatation D as the scale invariance is achieved when the trace of the

<span id="page-5-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>It has been discussed [\[23\]](#page-10-14) that an electric field  $\mathcal E$  in AdS<sub>2</sub> can shift the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound  $-\frac{1}{4} < m^2$  to  $-\frac{1}{4} + \mathcal{E}^2 \le m^2$  due to the pair production of the Schwinger effect. However  $m<sup>2</sup>$  due to the pair production of the Schwinger effect. However, we will not consider such effects in this work.

energy-momentum tensor vanishes [\[24\]](#page-10-15). This leads us to expect that, in conformal quantum mechanics, the expectation value  $\langle D \rangle$  of the dilatation, which depends on the energy scale  $E$ , can play a role of a  $c$  function. In fact, we see that the  $D$  function has the below properties of a  $c$ function:

(1) The D function is defined on the space of theory as it depends on the Casimir which may involve the coupling constants of the theories considered. For instance, in the DFF model [\(3.15\)](#page-3-0), the Casimir invariant is given by the coupling constant  $q$  as in  $(3.18)$ , and the *D* function is represented by

$$
D(E) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{g - 4E^2 + \frac{1}{4}}}{2}.
$$
 (4.24)

Since the dimensionless coupling constant  $g$  parametrizes the theories, the D function is defined on the space of the theories.

(2) Along the flow from the UV to the IR, the energy scale decreases and the D function decreases monotonically with the energy scale  $E$ ,

$$
\frac{dD(E)}{dE} = \frac{2E}{\sqrt{1 + 4(C_2 - E^2)}} \ge 0.
$$
 (4.25)

This shows the monotonic flow for the D function.

(3) At the fixed point  $E = 0$  of the flow, it is stationary with its value,

$$
D(E = 0) = d = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + 4C_2}}{2}.
$$
 (4.26)

This is the crucial parameter in conformal quantum mechanics, that is, the scaling dimension [\(3.15\)](#page-3-0) of the vacuum state.

Therefore, the D function exhibits analogous properties as a c function. It supports the irreversibility of the flow from the UV to the IR in conformal quantum mechanics. At the fixed point, it becomes the scaling dimension  $d$  of the vacuum that encodes the theory considered. As dimension conceptually measures certain properties of an object that is independent from other objects, the D function as an averaged scaling dimension of energy eigenstates, including the scaling dimension  $d$  of the vacuum counts the number of degrees of freedom in a similar way as a c function.

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>V. BOUNDS ON SCALING DIMENSIONS

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Now we want to consider a dynamical realization of a conformal group in quantum mechanics. We shall postulate the existence of primary operators transforming as representations of the conformal algebra

$$
(T(g)\mathcal{O})_{\alpha}(t) = S_{\alpha\beta}(g,t)\mathcal{O}_{\beta}(g^{-1}t), \tag{5.1}
$$

where g acts on time coordinate t as  $(2.14)$  and  $T(g)$  is the representation matrix. It follows from [\(5.1\)](#page-6-1) that  $S_{\alpha\beta}(g,0)$ should be a representation of the stability subgroup at the time  $t = 0$ . According to the infinitesimal transformation [\(2.15\)](#page-2-6), this subgroup is given by the dilatation and special conformal transformation. The commutation relation [\(2.16\)](#page-2-5) reduces to

$$
[K,D] = -iK.\tag{5.2}
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Every element of the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  conformal algebra can be constructed by ascribing the time dependence to the generators. From [\(4.9\)](#page-4-11), we have

$$
D(t) = e^{iHt} D e^{-iHt} = D - tH,
$$
 (5.3)

$$
K(t) = e^{iHt} K e^{-iHt} = K - 2tD + t^2 H.
$$
 (5.4)

<span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span>Assume that

$$
H\mathcal{O}(0) = i\dot{\mathcal{O}}(0),\tag{5.5}
$$

$$
D\mathcal{O}(0) = i\Delta\mathcal{O}(0),\tag{5.6}
$$

$$
K\mathcal{O}(0) = 0,\t(5.7)
$$

<span id="page-6-5"></span>with  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Equation [\(5.6\)](#page-6-2) indicates that the operator  $\mathcal{O}(0)$ enjoys a real scaling dimension  $\Delta$ . According to [\(5.3\)](#page-6-3) and [\(5.4\),](#page-6-4) we find that

$$
H\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t) = i\dot{\mathcal{O}}_{\Delta}(t),\tag{5.8}
$$

$$
D\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t) = i\left(-t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta\right)\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t),\tag{5.9}
$$

$$
K\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t) = i \left( t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2t\Delta \right) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t). \tag{5.10}
$$

<span id="page-6-8"></span><span id="page-6-6"></span>Equivalently, one can define the primary operators  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t)$ , which obey  $(5.8)$ – $(5.10)$  by the transformation law

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t) \to \left(\frac{\partial t'}{\partial t}\right)^{\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t') = \frac{1}{(ct+d)^{2\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t') \quad (5.11)
$$

under the finite transformation [\(2.14\).](#page-2-2)

<span id="page-6-7"></span>We will formulate conformal quantum mechanics in terms of the primary operators  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t)$  acting on the vacuum state  $|\Omega\rangle$ . We assume that each state in the Hilbert space is represented by

$$
|\text{state}\rangle = F(G)|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(t_1)\cdots \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n}(t_n)\rangle, \qquad (5.12)
$$

where

$$
|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(t_1)\cdots\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n}(t_n)\rangle = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(t_1)\cdots\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n}(t_n)|\Omega\rangle, \qquad (5.13)
$$

with  $F(G)$  being some function of  $G = uH + vD + wK$ . Let us examine the expectation values  $\langle$ stateA $|$ stateB $\rangle$ constructed as overlaps of the two states  $|stateA\rangle$  and  $|stateB\rangle$  with the form of [\(5.12\)](#page-6-7) in the Hilbert space. In this work we will explore the expectation value involving the time-independent primary operators  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta} := \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(0)$  and take the conventional choice of the overall constant one which fixes the normalization of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$  as

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle = 1. \tag{5.14}
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Now we would like to extract constraints on the description of the unitary evolution for a certain physical system. To achieve this, one needs to fix its time coordinate t and construct all the physical states in such a way that they fall into the representations of the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  conformal algebra specified by the vacuum with the eigenvalue of the Casimir invariant  $C_2$ , i.e., the scaling dimension d. Given the normalized primary operators  $(5.14)$ , this corresponds to the condition

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | \mathcal{C}_2 | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle = \mathcal{C}_2 = d(d-1), \tag{5.15}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>which ensures the unitary evolution of the states by fixing the eigenvalue of the Casimir invariant. Alternatively, we can write the expectation value [\(5.15\)](#page-7-1) as

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | HK - iD - D^2 | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle
$$
  
=  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | HK | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle + (d + \Delta)(d + \Delta + 1).$  (5.16)

Unitarity implies the positivity of the inner product in the Hilbert space. Demanding that  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | HK| \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle = |K| \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle|^2$ is positive definite and combining  $(5.15)$  with  $(5.16)$ , we find a condition

$$
(\Delta + 2d)(\Delta + 1) \le 0. \tag{5.17}
$$

Together with the preferred range [\(4.22\)](#page-5-3) under the unitary evolution probed by the D function, we obtain the bounds on the scaling dimension of the primary operator and of the vacuum

$$
-1 \le \Delta \le -2d,\tag{5.18}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \le d \le \frac{1}{2}.\tag{5.19}
$$

<span id="page-7-4"></span>Similarly, we can extract further constraints by rewriting  $(5.15)$  as

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | KH + iD - D^2 | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle
$$
  
=  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | KH | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle + (d + \Delta)(d + \Delta - 1).$  (5.20)

Since  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} |KH|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}\rangle = |H|\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}\rangle|^2$  is positive definite, we get the condition

$$
\Delta(\Delta + 2d - 1) \le 0,\tag{5.21}
$$

which gives the additional constraint

$$
0 \le \Delta \le -2d + 1. \tag{5.22}
$$

<span id="page-7-5"></span>The result is depicted in Fig. [2](#page-7-3). The primary operators and the vacuum states can exist in the orange region. As a consequence, the allowed range of the scaling dimensions of the physical states  $|O_{\Delta}\rangle$  which are constructed in terms of the vacua and the primary operators is

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \le d + \Delta \le \frac{1}{2}.
$$
 (5.23)

It supports the existence of the bosonic scalar with the scaling dimension  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , the fermion with the scaling dimension 0, and the bosonic auxiliary field with the

<span id="page-7-3"></span>

FIG. 2. The bound on  $(d, \Delta)$  in conformal quantum mechanics. In the orange region, the primary operators with the dimension  $\Delta$ and the vacuum states with the dimension d are allowed, and the red line characterizes the charged physical states coupled to the gauge operators of  $\delta_{\theta}$ . Without the favored condition [\(5.19\)](#page-7-4) for the unitary evolution of the states, the green region is also allowed.

scaling dimension  $\frac{1}{2}$  in conformal quantum mechanics, as argued and constructed in Lagrangian theory. If we relax the condition [\(5.19\)](#page-7-4) for the favored energy eigenstates  $|E\rangle$ under the unitary evolution, which is examined by the D function, the states are allowed in the green region.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Suppose that a theory allows the construction of a conserved charge. In what follows, we will not rely on the Lagrangian but rather will describe a charge as the operator that acts on the state [\(5.12\)](#page-6-7) and the primary operator  $(5.11)$ . Let Q be the corresponding charge operator that obeys

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
Q\mathcal{O}_{\Delta} = q\mathcal{O}_{\Delta},\tag{5.24}
$$

$$
Q|\Omega\rangle = 0, \t(5.25)
$$

$$
[H, Q] = 0,\t(5.26)
$$

$$
[D, Q] = i\delta_Q Q, \qquad (5.27)
$$

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span>with  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . Equations [\(5.24\)](#page-8-0) and [\(5.25\)](#page-8-1) assign the charges such that the primary operator  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$  has charge q, whereas the vacuum state has no charge. Equation [\(5.26\)](#page-8-2) implies that the charge operator  $Q$  is not dynamical. In the Lagrangian description, it would have no kinetic term, so it can be eliminated by its algebraic equation of motion as an auxiliary field. Equation [\(5.27\)](#page-8-3) gives the scaling dimension  $\delta_{Q}$  of the charge operator Q. In the following, we will focus on the case  $\delta_Q \geq 0$ .

The corresponding symmetry transformation is a global transformation if  $\delta_{\theta} = 0$  because every charge at  $t$  is transformed in the same way, making  $q$  a constant charge, which we will call a global charge. For the continuous symmetry, an operator can be realized by exponentiating the corresponding global charge. When the theory is generalized by including a  $(d-1)$ dimensional space  $M_{d-1}$  separated from time, one may further define higher-form global symmetries and higherform global charges  $Q(M_{d-1})$  [\[25\]](#page-10-16) by furnishing the scaling dimensions stemming from  $M_{d-1}$ .

On the other hand, for  $\delta_{\theta} > 0$  we view the symmetry transformation as a local transformation because the charge is a function of time:  $q(t)$ . In this case, Q can enter the Lagrangian, and its elimination by the equation of motion and the gauge fixing would give the Gauss constraint. In the Lagrangian description of quantum mechanics, it is nothing but an auxiliary gauge field. In quantum mechanics, it is the Gauss law operator. Note that the Gauss law constraint is not the identity between operators obeying the canonical commutation relation  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  but rather holds only when acting on the physical<br>states. In fact, it is well known that the Gauss law states. In fact, it is well known that the Gauss law constraint is incompatible with the canonical commutation relation.7 Therefore, we should not require the Jacobi identity for a canonical commutation relation operation by including the Gauss law operator  $Q$ . When the theory is generalized by adding a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional space  $M_{d-1}$ , this operator behaves as a vector- or tensorlike operator since it has the nonvanishing scaling dimension. If a theory follows the action principle, it naturally appears in the covariant derivative as a gauge field to make the symmetry manifest. We will refer to the charge operator with  $\delta_Q > 0$  as a gauge operator.

<span id="page-8-4"></span>Consider a matrix element

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} | [K, Q] H | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle. \tag{5.30}
$$

Since  $[K, Q]H = (KH)Q - Q(KH)$  and both actions of Q,<br>on the ket  $[Q, \cdot]$  and the bra  $(Q, \cdot)$  produce the same charge on the ket  $|O_{\Delta}\rangle$  and the bra  $|O_{\Delta}|$ , produce the same charge  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , this should vanish. On the other hand, using the commutation relations  $(2.16)$  and  $(5.24)$ – $(5.27)$ , we find that

$$
[K, Q]H = 2i\delta_Q QD - \delta_Q^2 Q + \delta_Q Q. \tag{5.31}
$$

Plugging this into [\(5.30\),](#page-8-4) we get

$$
q\delta_Q(\delta_Q - 1 + 2(d + \Delta)) = 0.
$$
 (5.32)

For the global charge operator with  $\delta_{\theta} = 0$ , the above condition holds and there is no constraint on the primary operator. However, for the gauge operator with  $\delta_{\mathcal{O}} > 0$ , the scaling dimension of the charged primary operator is determined by

$$
d + \Delta = \frac{1 - \delta_Q}{2}.\tag{5.33}
$$

The resulting constrained scaling dimension is illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-7-3) The red line characterizes the gauge operator. Within the regions [\(5.23\)](#page-7-5) and [\(5.19\),](#page-7-4) there exists a bound on the scaling dimension of the gauge operator

$$
0 < \delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq 2. \tag{5.34}
$$

This admits the presence of the gauge operators with  $\delta_{\mathcal{Q}} = 1$ , which would realize massless spin  $s = 1$  gauge fields involving photon and gluon, coupled to the physical

$$
[A_i(\mathbf{x}, 0), \dot{A}_j(\mathbf{x}', 0)] = i\delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \quad [A_i(\mathbf{x}, 0), A_j(\mathbf{x}', 0)] = 0
$$
\n(5.28)

are incompatible with the Gauss law constraints

$$
\text{div} E = 0, \qquad E_i = \dot{A}_i. \tag{5.29}
$$

See, for example, [\[14\]](#page-10-8) for a more general discussion of the Gauss law constraint in quantum mechanics.

 $7$ For example, in pure Maxwell theory, the canonical commutation relations for the gauge fields

states with  $d + \Delta = 0$ , i.e., free fermions. Also, it is compatible with the gauge operators with  $\delta_{\mathcal{Q}} = 2$ , which would show up as massless spin  $s = 2$  fields involving a graviton, coupled to the physical states with  $d + \Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,<br>i.e., free bosonic scalars. On the other hand, the bosonic i.e., free bosonic scalars. On the other hand, the bosonic auxiliary field with  $d + \Delta = \frac{1}{2}$  may not couple to the gauge operators.

### VI. DISCUSSION

<span id="page-9-0"></span>In this work we have studied conformal quantum mechanics with the vacuum state and the primary operators.We have shown that a matrix element of the dilatation operator between two energy eigenstates may define a conformal quantum mechanical counterpart of a c function, which we call a D function. Its monotonic decrease from the UV to the IR along the flow supports the universal irreversibility of the RG flow in higher dimensional field theories. At the fixed point of the flow, it becomes a crucial parameter  $d$ —that is, the scaling dimension of the vacuum—which specifies the theory, analogous to the central charge in two-dimensional conformal field theories. In addition, we have found new nogo theorems which impose constraints and bounds on the scaling dimensions of the primary operator, the vacuum, and the gauge operators.

Our results in conformal quantum mechanics should have implications for two-dimensional gravity and black holes via holography. It would be nice to find further applications of our proposed D function in a holographic framework of the RG flow, as in higher dimensional conformal field theories in the context of the  $AdS/CFT$  correspondence [\[26,27\]](#page-10-17). Also, our result may be substantiated by the  $dS/CFT$  correspondence [\[28\]](#page-10-18). As the radial direction corresponds to time evolution in an asymptotically de Sitter space-time [\[28,29\],](#page-10-18) time evolution would be dual to the RG flow. It has been discussed [\[30\]](#page-10-19) that the RG flow may correspond to the instability of the space due to Hawking emission [\[31\].](#page-10-20) As time goes on, the black hole mass would decrease due to Hawking radiation. Nevertheless, Bianchi and Smerlak [\[32,33\]](#page-10-21) have recently derived a formula that relates the energy flux and the von Neumann entropy of the Hawking radiation for a two-dimensional black hole, which predicts the possibility of the increase of black hole mass due to the negative energy flux, whereas Abdolrahimi and Page [\[34\]](#page-10-22) have pointed out some inadequacies in the Bianchi-Smerlak formula. We would like to address these issues via the holographic method in future work.

Although we have investigated several properties of conformal quantum mechanics, there are other conformal quantum mechanical models which are beyond the scope of this work. First, one can construct other conformal quantum mechanics by considering topological quantum mechanics, which may not obey the unitary evolution for energy eigenstates in our argument. Such theories may have a zero Hamiltonian; however, they can appear in the study of topological or Bogomolny-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) protected sector for physical system. For example, superconductor and fractional quantum Hall systems are studied by topological Chern-Simons quantum mechanics [35–[37\]](#page-10-23) and certain BPS protected sectors are examined by superconformal quantum mechanics [\[38\]](#page-10-24) (see also [39–[41\]](#page-10-25) and the references therein). By relaxing the unitary evolution of physical energy eigenstates, which is taken as the physically preferable condition in this work, one may find other conformal quantum mechanics which can be used as a physical description.

Meanwhile, even if we focus on the  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  conformal quantum mechanics, there may exist some quantum mechanical models which are not studied in this work. One possibility is to construct a conformal quantum mechanics which does not rely on the existence of a vacuum state and of the primary operators. In fact, Jackiw, Pi, and co-workers [\[17,42\]](#page-10-11) argue that in the DFF model the unexpected nonprimary operator and the nonconformally invariant state conspire with each other to construct consistent correlation functions.

For many applications of conformal quantum mechanics, it would be important to proceed with the analysis of correlation functions and to explore stronger constraints by considering an additional physical requirement or the presence of additional operators characterizing the symmetries in the theory.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank C. Cardona, R. Jackiw, G. Dimitrios, D. S. Gupta, K. Hosomichi, S. Kawamoto, Y. Matsuo, S. Pal, D. J. Smith, and S. Yamaguchi for the useful discussions and comments. The author also thanks the organizers of the "Workshop on String and M-theory in Okinawa" for providing a stimulating environment during the completion of the work. The author is supported by Ministry of Science and Technology, R.O.C. under Grant No. 105-2811-066.

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[1] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo, and R. Gatto, Tensor representations of conformal algebra and conformally covariant operator product expansion, [Ann. Phys. \(Berlin\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(73)90446-6) 76, 161 (1973).
- [2] A. M. Polyakov, Nonhamiltonian approach to conformal quantum field theory, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 66, 23 (1974) [Nonhamiltonian approach to conformal quantum field theorySoviet Physics JETP 39, 10 (1974)].
- [3] G. Mack, Duality in quantum field theory, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(77)90238-3) B118[, 445 \(1977\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(77)90238-3)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[4] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Irreversibility of the flux of the renormalization group in a 2D field theory, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43, 565 (1986) [JETP Lett. 43, 730 (1986)].
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[5] J. L. Cardy, Is there ac theorem in four dimensions?, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)90054-8) Lett. B 215[, 749 \(1988\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)90054-8)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[6] R.C. Myers and A. Sinha, Holographic c-theorems in arbitrary dimensions, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2011\) 125.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2011)125)
- [7] D. L. Jafferis, The exact superconformal R-symmetry extremizes Z, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2012\) 159.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2012)159)
- [8] 7H. Casini, M. Huerta, and R. C. Myers, Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)036) [Energy Phys. 05 \(2011\) 036.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)036)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[9] Z. Komargodski and A. Schwimmer, On renormalization group flows in four dimensions, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2011)099) [\(2011\) 099.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2011)099)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[10] H. Elvang, D. Z. Freedman, L.-Y. Hung, M. Kiermaier, R. C. Myers, and S. Theisen, On renormalization group flows and the a-theorem in 6D, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)011) [\(2012\) 011.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)011)
- [11] B. Grinstein, D. Stone, A. Stergiou, and M. Zhong, Challenge to the a Theorem in Six Dimensions, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.231602) Rev. Lett. 113[, 231602 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.231602)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[12] S. Weinberg and E. Witten, Limits on massless particles, Phys. Lett. 96B[, 59 \(1980\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90212-9).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[13] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, and G. Furlan, Conformal invariance in quantum nechanics, [Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02785666) 34A, [569 \(1976\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02785666).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[14] F. Strocchi, Gauge invariance and Weyl-polymer quantization, [Lect. Notes Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17695-6) 904, 1 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[15] T. Okazaki, Whittaker vector, Wheeler-DeWitt equation, and the gravity dual of conformal quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 126010 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.126010).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[16] S. Pal and B. Grinstein, Weyl consistency conditions in nonrelativistic quantum field theory, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)012) [\(2016\) 012.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)012)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[17] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Conformal blocks for the four-point function in conformal quantum mechanics, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.045017) 86, [045017 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.045017); Erratum, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 089905 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.089905)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[18] S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, and A.M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3) B 428[, 105 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3).
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[19] E. Witten, Anti–de Sitter space and holography, [Adv. Theor.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2) Math. Phys. 2[, 253 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2)
- [20] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Positive energy in anti–de Sitter backgrounds and gauged extended supergravity, Phys. Lett. 115B[, 197 \(1982\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90643-8).
- [21] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Stability in gauged extended supergravity, [Ann. Phys. \(Berlin\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(82)90116-6) 144, 249 (1982).
- [22] L. Mezincescu and P.K. Townsend, Stability at a local maximum in higher dimensional anti–de Sitter space and applications to supergravity, [Ann. Phys. \(Berlin\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(85)90150-2) 160, 406 [\(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(85)90150-2)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>[23] B. Pioline and J. Troost, Schwinger pair production in  $AdS_2$ , [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2005\) 043.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/043)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>[24] S. R. Coleman and R. Jackiw, Why dilatation generators do not generate dilatations?, [Ann. Phys. \(Berlin\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(71)90153-9) 67, 552 [\(1971\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(71)90153-9)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>[25] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, Generalized global symmetries, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)172) [\(2015\) 172.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)172)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>[26] D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser, K. Pilch, and N. P. Warner, Renormalization group flows from holography supersymmetry and a c theorem, [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1999.v3.n2.a7) 3, 363 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1999.v3.n2.a7)
- [27] R.C. Myers and A. Sinha, Seeing a c-theorem with holography, Phys. Rev. D 82[, 046006 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.046006)
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>[28] A. Strominger, The  $dS/CFT$  correspondence, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/10/034) [Energy Phys. 10 \(2001\) 034.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/10/034)
- [29] V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer, and D. Minic, Notes on de Sitter space and holography, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/22/302) 19, [5655 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/22/302); Exploring de Sitter space and holography, [Ann. Phys. \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916(02)00020-9) 303, 59 (2003).
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>[30] E. Halyo, On the Cardy-Verlinde formula and the de Sitter/CFT correspondence, [J. High Energy Phys. 03](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/03/009) [\(2002\) 009.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/03/009)
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>[31] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, [Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02345020) Math. Phys. 43[, 199 \(1975\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02345020).
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>[32] E. Bianchi and M. Smerlak, Entanglement entropy and negative energy in two dimensions, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.041904) 90, [041904 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.041904)
- [33] E. Bianchi and M. Smerlak, Last gasp of a black hole: unitary evaporation implies non-monotonic mass loss, [Gen.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-014-1809-9) [Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-014-1809-9) 46, 1809 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>[34] S. Abdolrahimi and D. N. Page, Hawking radiation energy and entropy from a Bianchi-Smerlak semiclassical black hole, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 083005 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.083005).
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>[35] N. S. Manton, First order vortex dynamics, [Ann. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1997.5672) (Berlin) 256[, 114 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1997.5672).
- [36] A. P. Polychronakos, Quantum Hall states as matrix Chern-Simons theory, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2001\) 011.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/04/011)
- [37] N. Dorey, D. Tong, and C. Turner, Matrix model for non-Abelian quantum Hall states, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.085114) 94, 085114 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.085114)
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>[38] S. Fubini and E. Rabinovici, Superconformal quantum mechanics, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90422-X) B245, 17 (1984).
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>[39] R. Britto-Pacumio, J. Michelson, A. Strominger, and A. Volovich, Lectures on superconformal quantum mechanics and multiblack hole moduli spaces, [NATO Sci. Ser. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4303-5_6) 556, [255 \(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4303-5_6).
- [40] S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, and O. Lechtenfeld, Superconformal mechanics, J. Phys. A 45[, 173001 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/17/173001).
- [41] T. Okazaki, Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology, 2015.
- [42] C. Chamon, R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi, and L. Santos, Conformal quantum mechanics as the CFT<sub>1</sub> dual to  $AdS_2$ , [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.06.023) 701[, 503 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.06.023).