Strong couplings and form factors of charmed mesons in holographic QCD

Alfonso Ballon-Bayona,^{*} Gastão Krein,[†] and Carlisson Miller[‡]

Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz,

271-Bloco II, 01140-070 São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

(Received 1 April 2017; published 21 July 2017)

We extend the two-flavor hard-wall holographic model of Erlich, Katz, Son, and Stephanov [Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 261602 (2005)] to four flavors to incorporate strange and charm quarks. The model incorporates chiral and flavor symmetry breaking and provides a reasonable description of masses and weak decay constants of a variety of scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector strange and charmed mesons. In particular, we examine flavor symmetry breaking in the strong couplings of the ρ meson to the charmed *D* and *D*^{*} mesons. We also compute electromagnetic form factors of the π , ρ , *K*, *K*^{*}, *D* and *D*^{*} mesons. We compare our results for the *D* and *D*^{*} mesons with lattice QCD data and other nonperturbative approaches.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.96.014017

I. INTRODUCTION

There is considerable current theoretical and experimental interest in the study of the interactions of charmed hadrons with light hadrons and atomic nuclei [1-3]. There is special interest in the properties of D mesons in nuclear matter [4], mainly in connection with *D*-mesic nuclei [5–8], J/Ψ and η_c binding to nuclei [9–12], and ND molecules [13]. D-mesons are also of interest in the context of the socalled X,Y,Z exotic hadrons, which have galvanized the field of hadron spectroscopy since the discovery in 2003 of the charmed hadron X(3872) by the Belle collaboration [14]. They are *exotic* because they do not fit the conventional quark-model pattern of either quark-antiquark mesons or three-quark baryons. Most of the X,Y,Z hadrons have masses close to open-flavor thresholds and decay into hadrons containing charm (or bottom) quarks. Presently there is no clear theoretical understanding of the new hadrons, despite of the huge literature that has accumulated over the last decade. In the coming years, existing and forthcoming experiments will produce numerous new, and very likely surprising results-Ref. [15] is a very recent review on exotic hadrons, with an extensive list of references on theory and experiment. The PANDA collaboration [16], in particular, at the forthcoming FAIR facility has an extensive program [17,18] aiming at the investigation of charmed hadrons and their interactions with ordinary matter.

A major difficulty in the theoretical treatment of inmedium interactions of charmed hadrons is the lack of experimental information on the interactions in free space. For example, almost all knowledge on the DN interaction comes from calculations based on effective Lagrangians that are extensions of light-flavor chiral Lagrangians using SU(4) flavor symmetry [19–25] and heavy quark symmetry [6,26]. The Lagrangians involve coupling constants, like $g_{\rho DD}$, $g_{\omega DD}$, $g_{\rho D^*D}$, and $g_{\rho D^*D^*}$, whose values are taken from SU(4) flavor and heavy-quark symmetry relations. For instance, SU(4) symmetry relates the couplings of the ρ to the pseudoscalar mesons π , K, and D, namely $g_{\rho DD} = g_{KK\rho} = g_{\rho\pi\pi}/2$. If in addition to SU(4) flavor symmetry, heavy-quark spin symmetry is invoked, one has $g_{\rho DD} = g_{\rho D^*D} = g_{\rho D^*D^*} = g_{\pi D^*D}$ to leading order in the charm quark mass [27,28]. The coupling $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ is constrained by experimental data; the studies of the DN interaction in Refs [22–24] utilized such a SU(4) relation, taking $g_{\rho\pi\pi} = 6.0$, which is the value used in a large body of work conducted within the Jülich model [29,30] for lightflavor hadrons. This value of $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ implies through SU(4)symmetry $g_{\rho DD} = 3$, which is not very much different from predictions based on the vector meson dominance (VMD) model: $g_{DDo} = 2.52-2.8$ [31,32]. Moreover, to maintain unitarity in calculations of scattering phase shifts and cross sections, lowest-order Born diagrams need to be iterated with the use of a scattering equation, like the Lippmann-Schwinger equation, and phenomenological form factors are required to control ultraviolet divergences. Form factors involve cutoff parameters that also are subject to flavor dependence. Again, due to the lack of experimental information, they are also poorly constrained.

Flavor symmetry is strongly broken at the level of the QCD Lagrangian due to the widely different values of the quark masses; while in the light quark sector one has good SU(2) symmetry, $m_u \simeq m_d$, thereby, e.g., $g_{\rho DD} = g_{\omega DD}$ (up to a phase), in the heavy-flavor sector SU(3) and SU(4) symmetries are badly broken: $m_c \gg m_s \gg m_u$. Given the importance of effective Lagrangians in the study of a great variety of phenomena involving *D*-mesons, in the present work we examine their properties in a holographic model of QCD. We extend the holographic QCD model of Refs. [33,34] to the case of $N_f = 4$ and investigate the

aballonb@ift.unesp.br

gkrein@ift.unesp.br

[#]miller@ift.unesp.br

implications of the widely different values of the quark masses on the effective three-meson couplings $g_{\rho DD}$ and $g_{\rho D^*D^*}$ and the electromagnetic form factors of the *D* and D^* mesons. The parameters of the model are the quark masses and condensates as well as the mass gap scale. Using experimental data for a selected set of meson masses to fix the model parameters, allows us to predict not only the strong couplings and electromagnetic form factors mentioned above but also many other observables not studied before with a holographic model.

The works in Refs. [33,34] pioneered in the modeling of low energy QCD by incorporating features of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking in holographic QCD. They correctly identify the five dimensional gauge fields dual to the left and right currents associated with chiral symmetry as well as the five dimensional scalar field dual to the chiral condensate. The extension proposed in Ref. [35] incorporated the strange quark and was able to identify the appearance of scalar modes associated with flavor symmetry breaking. In the present work, by extending the model of Refs. [33,34] to the case $N_f = 4$, we are able to investigate the consequences of the dramatically different values of the quark masses on the phenomenology of charmed mesons. Moreover, by combining the formalism of Kaluza-Klein expansions and the AdS/CFT dictionary, we are able to directly extract the leptonic decay constants of mesons and find an expansion for the flavor currents that relate flavor symmetry breaking to the appearance of scalar modes. That relation bears a strong analogy with the generalized PCAC (partially conserved axial current relation) [36,37] that relates dynamical chiral symmetry breaking to the appearance of the pion and its resonances [38].

In the model of Refs. [33,34], dynamical chiral symmetry breaking becomes manifest when considering fluctuations of the five dimensional gauge fields associated with the axial and vectorial sector. While the kinetic terms of the axial sector acquire a mass, signalizing chiral symmetry breaking, the vector sector remains massless. In our framework, it turns out that the vector sector also acquires a mass signalizing the breaking of flavor symmetry. The Kaluza-Klein decomposition of these fields allows us to obtain effective kinetic Lagrangians for the mesons from the five dimensional kinetic terms, with masses and decay constants obtained in terms of the wave functions representing the Kaluza-Klein modes. Moreover, expanding the five dimensional action to cubic order in the fluctuations and performing again a Kaluza-Klein decomposition allows us to obtain effective Lagrangians describing the three-meson interactions, with strong couplings given in terms of integrals involving the wave functions of the corresponding Kaluza-Klein modes.

It turns out that the symmetry breaking pattern in the strong couplings differs somewhat from previous studies in the literature. Calculations employing QCD sum rules found SU(4) symmetry breaking in three-hadron couplings

that vary within the range of 7% to 70% [39]. In Ref. [40], using a model constrained by the Dyson-Schwinger equations of QCD, it was found that the relation $g_{\rho DD} = g_{\rho \pi \pi}/2$ is strongly violated at the level of 300% or more. In a recent follow up of that study within the same framework, Ref. [41] finds that couplings between *D*-, *D**-mesons and π -, ρ -mesons can differ by almost an order-of-magnitude, and that the corresponding form factors also exhibit different momentum dependences. Our results calculations are more in line with calculations using the ³P₀ quark-pair creation model in the nonrelativistic quark model [42,43].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II we describe how chiral and flavor symmetry breaking is realized in our model. Then in Sec. III we describe the five dimensional field equations and the AdS/CFT dictionary for the flavor and axial currents. In Sec. IV we describe the formalism of Kaluza-Klein expansions and obtain effective kinetic Lagrangians for the mesons. In Sec. V we use the prescription of our previous studies in Ref. [38] for the leptonic decay constants and obtain relations describing flavor symmetry breaking and chiral symmetry breaking in terms of scalar and pseudoscalar modes respectively. In Sec. VI we obtain effective Lagrangians describing three-meson interactions with the holographic prescription for the strong couplings. Finally, in Sec. VII we fit the model parameters and present our numerical results for many observables, including the strong couplings $g_{\rho DD}$ and $g_{\rho D^*D^*}$ as well as the electromagnetic form factors of the D and D^* mesons. We compare the latter against lattice QCD data obtained in Ref. [44]. Section VIII presents our conclusions.

II. CHIRAL SYMMETRY AND FLAVOR SYMMETRY IN HOLOGRAPHIC QCD

Chiral symmetry $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$ for N_f flavors holds in the massless limit of QCD and is described in terms of the left and right currents

$$J_{L/R}^{\mu,a} = \bar{q}_{L/R} \gamma^{\mu} T^a q_{L/R}, \qquad (1)$$

where T^a , $a = 1, ..., N_f^2 - 1$ are the generators of the $SU(N_f)$ group, and $q_{L/R} = 1/2(1 \pm \gamma_5)q$, with q being the quark Dirac field. The SU(4) generators T^a are normalized by the trace condition $Tr(T^aT^b) = 1/2\delta^{ab}$, satisfying the Lie algebra $[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc}T^c$. The generators T^a are related to the Gell-Mann matrices λ^a by $T^a = 1/2\lambda^a$. Chiral symmetry is broken by the presence of the operator $\bar{q}q = \bar{q}_R q_L + \bar{q}_L q_R$. This breaking can be explicit, when it appears in the QCD Lagragian associated with the nonzero quark masses, or dynamically, when it acquires a vacuum expectation value, giving rise to a condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ in limit of zero quark masses.

In the case $N_f = 2$, dynamical chiral symmetry breaking goes as $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(2)_V$, where $SU(2)_V$ is an exact vector symmetry and the broken symmetry occurs in the axial sector. This is described in terms of the vector and axial currents $J_{V/A}^{\mu,a} = J_R^{\mu,a} \pm J_L^{\mu,a}$. The symmetry associated with the vector sector $J_V^{\mu,a}$ is known as isospin symmetry. When $N_f > 2$, both chiral and flavor symmetries are broken by the quark masses. We will describe how chiral and flavor symmetry breaking are implemented in a holographic model for $N_f = 4$.

In the pioneering work of Refs. [33,34], a simple holographic realization of chiral symmetry breaking (CSB) was proposed. They considered the simplest background in holographic QCD, known as the hard wall model [45], consisting in a slice of anti-de-Sitter spacetime:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - dz^{2}), \qquad (2)$$

with $0 < z \le z_0$. The parameter z_0 determines an infrared (IR) scale at which conformal symmetry is broken. The action proposed in Ref. [33] includes N_f gauge fields L_m and R_m , corresponding to the left and right flavor currents $J_{L/R}^{\mu,a}$, and a bifundamental field X dual to the operator $\bar{q}_R q_L$. The action can be written as

$$S = \int d^{5}x \sqrt{|g|} \operatorname{Tr}\{(D^{m}X)^{\dagger}(D_{m}X) + 3|X|^{2} - \frac{1}{4g_{5}^{2}}(L^{mn}L_{mn} + R^{mn}R_{mn})\}, \qquad (3)$$

where $D_m X = \partial_m X - iL_m X + iXR_m$ is the covariant derivative of the bifundamental field *X*, and

$$L_{mn} = \partial_m L_n - \partial_n L_m - i[L_m, L_n],$$

$$R_{mn} = \partial_m R_n - \partial_n R_m - i[R_m, R_n],$$
(4)

are non-Abelian field strengths. The 5-d squared mass of the field X is fixed to $m^2 = -3$, to match with the conformal dimension $\Delta = 3$ of the dual operator $\bar{q}_R q_L$. The model of Ref. [33] focused on $N_f = 2$ and worked in the limit of exact flavor symmetry. In Ref. [35], Abidin and Carlson extended the model to $N_f = 3$, to incorporate the strange-quark sector. In the present paper we further extend that model to $N_f = 4$, with the aim of making predictions for charmed mesons. In our approach we use a Kaluza-Klein expansion for the 5-d fields in order to find a 4-d effective action for the mesons. This approach allows us to find directly the meson weak decay constants, couplings and expansions for the vector and axial currents. We find in particular a relation for the vector current describing flavor symmetry breaking (FSB).

We start with the classical background that describes chiral symmetry breaking:

$$L_m^0 = R_m^0 = 0, \qquad 2X_0 = \zeta M z + \frac{\Sigma}{\zeta} z^3, \qquad (5)$$

where *M* is the quark-mass matrix, $M = \text{diag}(m_u, m_u, m_s, m_c)$, and Σ is the matrix of the quark condensates, $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_u, \sigma_u, \sigma_s, \sigma_c)$. The parameter $\zeta = \sqrt{N_c}/2\pi$ is introduced to have consistency with the counting rules of large- N_c QCD—for details, see Ref. [46]. Note that we are assuming SU(2) isospin symmetry in the light-quark sector, i.e. $m_d = m_u$ and $\sigma_d = \sigma_u$, which is a very good approximate symmetry in QCD.

For the strange and charm quarks we will fit their masses m_s and m_c to the physical masses for the mesons. Note, however, that the model should not be valid for arbitrarily large quark masses. The reason is that, from the string theory perspective, the action in Ref. (3) is expected to arise from a small perturbation of N_f coincident space-filling flavor branes. Specifically, the mass term M appearing in Eq. (5) acts as a small source for the operator $\bar{q}_R q_L$, responsible for the breaking of the chiral and flavor symmetries. A holographic description of quarks with very large masses requires the inclusion of long open strings and two sets of flavor branes distinguishing the heavy quarks from the light quarks (see, e.g., [47]). In that framework, the string length is proportional to the quark mass and each set of flavor branes will carry a set of fields describing the dynamics of light and heavy mesons respectively. In this work we will show that the model described by the action in Eq. (3) is still a very good approximation for the dynamics of light and heavy-light charmed mesons, the reason being that the internal structure in both cases is governed by essentially the same nonperturbative physics, that occurs at the scale Λ_{OCD} [27]. In heavy-heavy mesons, on the other hand, the internal dynamics is governed by short-distance physics. For recent holographic studies of mesons involving heavy quarks see Refs. [48-51].

To investigate the consequences of chiral and flavor symmetry breaking it is convenient to rewrite the fluctuations of left and right gauge fields in terms of vector and axial fields, i.e., $L_m = V_m + A_m$ and $R_m = V_m - A_m$. The bifundamental field X can be decomposed as

$$X = e^{i\pi} X_0 e^{i\pi},\tag{6}$$

where X_0 is the classical part and π contains the fluctuations. The fields V_m , A_m , and π can be expanded as $V_m^a T^a$, $A_m^a T^a$, and $\pi^a T^a$ respectively.

It is important to remark that organizing the heavy-light D mesons together with the light pions and kaons in a 15-plet $\pi^a T^a$ of fluctuation fields does not imply, automatically, that the heavy-light D mesons are being approximated by Nambu-Goldstone bosons. The reason is that the explicit breaking of chiral symmetry, driven by the heavy charm quark, is large and by no means its effects are

BALLON-BAYONA, KREIN, and MILLER

neglected in the model. In the same way, the fact the Dmesons appear in the same multiplet of the SU(4) flavor group does not mean that flavor symmetry is exact; it is explicitly broken by the widely different values of the quark masses. The main advantage of using such a SU(4) representation with explicit symmetry-breaking terms is that it allows us to make contact with the four dimensional effective field theories describing the interactions of light and heavy-light mesons commonly used in phenomenological applications. This not only extends the work of [20,32] but also leads to quantitative predictions for the strong couplings that can be tested against experiment or lattice QCD data, which is our main objective in the present paper. An alternative approach to describe the heavy-light mesons is to make contact with a particularly interesting class of four dimensional models that treat the light mesons as in the present paper, and treat heavy mesons by invoking heavy-quark symmetry. The Lagrangian in the heavy sector is written as an expansion in inverse powers of the heavy quark mass; Refs. [6,26] are examples of such models. In holography the heavy quarks are realized in terms of long open strings, as described above in this section. For recent progress in the heavy quark approach to heavy-light mesons within holographic QCD see [50,51].

Expanding the action in Eq. (3) up to cubic order in the fields V_m^a , A_m^a and π^a , we find

$$S = S^{(2)} + S^{(3)} + \cdots,$$
(7)

where

$$S^{(2)} = \int d^{5}x \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4g_{5}^{2}} v_{a}^{mn} v_{mn}^{a} + \frac{1}{2} (M_{V}^{a})^{2} V_{a}^{m} V_{m}^{a} - \frac{1}{4g_{5}^{2}} a_{a}^{mn} a_{mn}^{a} + \frac{M_{A}^{ab}}{2} (\partial^{m} \pi^{a} - A^{m,a}) (\partial_{m} \pi_{b} - A_{m,b}) \right\}$$

$$(8)$$

$$S^{(3)} = \int d^{5}x \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2g_{5}^{2}} f^{abc} v_{a}^{mn} (V_{m}^{b} V_{n}^{c} + A_{m}^{b} A_{n}^{c}) - \frac{1}{g_{5}^{2}} f^{abc} a_{a}^{mn} V_{m}^{b} A_{n}^{c} - \frac{(M_{V}^{b})^{2}}{2} f^{abc} (\partial_{m} \pi^{a} - 2A_{m}^{a}) V^{m,b} \pi^{c} + M_{A}^{ae} f^{ebc} (\partial_{m} \pi^{a} - A_{m}^{a}) V^{m,b} \pi^{c} \right\},$$

$$(9)$$

and we have defined the Abelian field strengths $v_{mn}^a = \partial_m V_n^a - \partial_n V_m^a$ and $a_{mn}^a = \partial_m A_n^a - \partial_n A_m^a$. In the kinetic term $S^{(2)}$, the vector and axial symmetry breaking is dictated by the mass terms M_V^a and \tilde{M}_A^{ab} , defined by the traces $2\text{Tr}([T^a, X_0][T^b, X_0]) = -(M_V^a)^2 \delta^{ab}$ and $2\text{Tr}(\{T^a, X_0\}\{T^b, X_0\}) = M_A^{ab}$. Note, however, that the axial sector in $S^{(2)}$ is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$A_m^a \to A_m^a - \partial_m \lambda_A^a, \qquad \pi^a \to \pi^a - \lambda_A^a.$$
 (10)

Using Eq. (5) we find the following nonzero values for M_V :

$$(M_V^a)^2 = \frac{1}{4} (v_s - v_u)^2 \quad \text{for } a = (4, 5, 6, 7),$$

$$(M_V^a)^2 = \frac{1}{4} (v_c - v_u)^2 \quad \text{for } a = (9, 10, 11, 12),$$

$$(M_V^a)^2 = \frac{1}{4} (v_c - v_s)^2 \quad \text{for } a = (13, 14),$$
(11)

and the nonzero values for M_A :

$$\begin{split} M_A^{a,a} &= v_u^2 \quad \text{for } a = (1,2,3), \\ M_A^{a,a} &= \frac{1}{4} (v_s + v_u)^2 \quad \text{for } a = (4,5,6,7), \\ M_A^{a,a} &= \frac{1}{4} (v_c + v_u)^2 \quad \text{for } a = (9,10,11,12), \\ M_A^{a,a} &= \frac{1}{4} (v_c + v_s)^2 \quad \text{for } a = (13,14), \\ M_A^{8,8} &= \frac{1}{3} (v_u^2 + 2v_s^2), \\ M_A^{15,15} &= \frac{1}{12} (2v_u^2 + v_s^2 + 9v_c^2), \\ M_A^{8,15} &= M_A^{15,8} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} (v_u^2 - v_s^2). \end{split}$$

In Eqs. (11) and (12) we have defined

$$v_q(z) = \zeta m_q z + \frac{1}{\zeta} \sigma_q z^3, \qquad q = (u, s, c).$$
(13)

In the interesting case where all the masses and condensates are equal we have that $(M_V^a)^2 = 0$ and the SU(4)flavor symmetry is preserved. In this paper we consider quark masses and condensates that lead to a realistic spectrum for the mesons so that we could explore the consequences of SU(4) flavor symmetry breaking. The kinetic term in Eq. (8) allows us to extract the meson spectrum and decay constants whereas the action in Eq. (9) leads to nontrivial predictions for three-meson couplings, including the heavy-light charmed mesons D and D^* .

Note that the mass term for the vectorial sector $(M_V^a)^2$ is zero not only for a = (1, 2, 3), corresponding to the light SU(2) sector but also for a = (8, 15), which implies that flavor symmetry has not been broken in the sector describing the dynamics of the ω' and ψ mesons. This is one clear example of heavy-heavy mesons (mesons composed by a heavy quark-antiquark pair), where we actually expect some corrections to appear in (3) describing flavor symmetry breaking. Those terms would arise from the dynamics of long open strings dual to heavy quarks, as explained above in this section. Writing the kinetic action in Eq. (8) as $S^{(2)} = \int d^5 x \mathcal{L}^{(2)}$, its variation takes the form $\delta S^{(2)} = \delta S^{(2)}_{\text{Bulk}} + \delta S^{(2)}_{\text{Bdy}}$ where

$$\delta S_{\text{Bulk}}^{(2)} = \int d^5 x \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{\partial V_{\ell}^a} - \partial_m P_{V,a}^{m\ell} \right) \delta V_{\ell}^a + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{\partial A_{\ell}^a} - \partial_m P_{A,a}^{m\ell} \right) \delta A_{\ell}^a + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{\partial \pi^a} - \partial_m P_{\pi,a}^m \right) \delta \pi^a \right], \qquad (14)$$

$$\delta S_{\rm Bdy}^{(2)} = \int d^5 x \partial_m (P_{V,a}^{m\ell} \delta V_\ell^a + P_{A,a}^{m\ell} \delta A_\ell^a + P_{\pi,a}^m \delta \pi^a), \quad (15)$$

and

ć

$$P_{V,a}^{m\ell} \coloneqq \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{\partial (\partial_m V_\ell^a)} = -\frac{1}{g_5^2} \sqrt{|g|} v_a^{m\ell},$$

$$P_{A,a}^{m\ell} \coloneqq \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{\partial (\partial_m A_\ell^a)} = -\frac{1}{g_5^2} \sqrt{|g|} a_a^{m\ell},$$

$$P_{\pi,a}^m \coloneqq \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{\partial (\partial_m \pi^a)} = M_A^{ab} \sqrt{|g|} (\partial^m \pi_b - A_b^m), \quad (16)$$

are conjugate momenta to the 5-d fields V_m^a , A_m^a and π^a respectively. The bulk term in Eq. (14) leads to the field equations

$$\partial_m \left[\sqrt{|g|} v_a^{mn} \right] + g_5^2 (M_V^a)^2 \sqrt{|g|} V_a^n = 0,$$

$$\partial_m \left[\sqrt{|g|} a_a^{mn} \right] - g_5^2 M_A^{ab} \sqrt{|g|} (\partial^n \pi_b - A_b^n) = 0,$$

$$\partial_m \left[M_A^{ab} \sqrt{|g|} (\partial^m \pi_b - A_b^m) \right] = 0.$$
(17)

Imposing the boundary conditions $\partial_z V^a_{\mu}|_{z=z_0} = \partial_z A^a_{\mu}|_{z=z_0} = 0$ and $\partial_z \pi^a|_{z=z_0} = A_z|_{z=z_0} = V_z|_{z=z_0} = 0$ the boundary term (15) reduces to

$$\delta S_{\text{Bdy}}^{(2)} = -\int d^4 x [\langle J_{V,a}^{\hat{\mu}} \rangle (\delta V_{\hat{\mu}}^{a})_{z=\epsilon} + \langle J_{A,a}^{\hat{\mu}} \rangle (\delta A_{\hat{\mu}}^{a})_{z=\epsilon} + \langle J_{\pi,a} \rangle (\delta \pi^{a})_{z=\epsilon}], \qquad (18)$$

where we find the dual 4-d currents

$$\langle J_{V,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x)\rangle = P_{V,a}^{z\mu}|_{z=\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{g_5^2} \left(\sqrt{|g|} v_a^{z\mu}\right)_{z=\epsilon}, \quad (19)$$

$$\langle J_{A,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x)\rangle = P_{A,a}^{z\mu}|_{z=\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{g_5^2} \left(\sqrt{|g|} a_a^{z\mu}\right)_{z=\epsilon}, \quad (20)$$

$$\langle J_{\pi,a}(x) \rangle = P^{z}_{\pi,a}|_{z=\epsilon} = \left[\sqrt{|g|} M^{ab}_{A} (\partial^{z} \pi_{b} - A^{z}_{b}) \right]_{z=\epsilon}$$
$$= \partial_{\hat{\mu}} \langle J^{\hat{\mu}}_{A,a}(x) \rangle.$$
(21)

Note that we distinguish the vector Minkowski indices $\hat{\mu}$ from the AdS indices μ . The results in Eqs. (19), (20), and (21) define the holographic prescription for expectation values of the 4-d vector, axial, and pion current operators. Note from Eqs. (17) and (19) that $\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\langle J_{V,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x)\rangle \neq 0$ when $(M_V^a)^2 \neq 0$, i.e., the vector current is not conserved for those cases. Similarly, from Eqs. (17) and (20), one sees that $\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\langle J_{A,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x)\rangle \neq 0$ for any *a* (because $M_A^{ab} \neq 0$), i.e. the axial current is never conserved.

IV. THE 4-D EFFECTIVE ACTION

After decomposing the vector and axial fields into their (z, μ) components and evaluating the metric in Eq. (2), the kinetic action in Eq. (8) takes the form

$$S^{(2)} = S_V^{(2)} + S_A^{(2)}, (22)$$

where

$$S_{V}^{(2)} = \int d^{4}x \int \frac{dz}{z} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4g_{5}^{2}} [(v_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{a})^{2} - 2(v_{z\hat{\mu}}^{a})^{2}] + \frac{(M_{V}^{a})^{2}}{2z^{2}} [(V_{\hat{\mu}}^{a})^{2} - (V_{z}^{a})^{2}] \right\},$$
(23)

and

$$S_{A}^{(2)} = \int d^{4}x \int \frac{dz}{z} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4g_{5}^{2}} [(a_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{a})^{2} - 2(a_{z\hat{\mu}}^{a})^{2}] + \frac{M_{A}^{ab}}{2z^{2}} [(\partial^{\hat{\mu}}\pi^{a} - A^{\hat{\mu},a})(\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\pi^{b} - A_{\hat{\mu}}^{b}) - (\partial_{z}\pi^{a} - A_{z}^{a})(\partial_{z}\pi^{b} - A_{z}^{b})] \right\}.$$
(24)

The vector and axial sectors admit a decomposition in irreducible representations of the Lorentz group. For the vector sector we find

$$V^{a}_{\hat{\mu}} = V^{\perp,a}_{\hat{\mu}} + \partial_{\hat{\mu}} (\tilde{\phi}^{a} - \tilde{\pi}^{a}),$$

$$V^{a}_{z} = -\partial_{z} \tilde{\pi}^{a},$$
(25)

where $\partial_{\hat{\mu}} V_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a} = 0$. The 5-d field $V_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a}$ describes an infinite tower of 4-d massive spin 1 fields, i.e., the vector mesons, whereas the 5-d fields $\tilde{\phi}^a$ and $\tilde{\pi}^a$ describe an infinite tower of massive scalar fields, i.e., scalar mesons associated with flavor symmetry breaking (FSB).

On the other hand, the gauge symmetry in Eq. (10) allows us to decompose the axial sector as

BALLON-BAYONA, KREIN, and MILLER

$$\begin{aligned} A^a_{\hat{\mu}} &\to A^{\perp,a}_{\hat{\mu}}, \qquad A^a_z \to -\partial_z \phi^a, \\ \pi^a &\to \pi^a - \phi^a, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\partial_{\hat{\mu}} A_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a} = 0$. This time the 5-d field $A_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a}$ will describe an infinite tower of 4-d massive axial spin 1 fields, i.e., the axial vector mesons. The 5-d fields ϕ^a and π^a will describe an infinite tower of 4-d pseudoscalar fields, i.e., the pions associated with chiral symmetry breaking (CSB).

Using Eqs. (25), (25), and (26) the actions in Eqs. (23) and (24) take the form

$$S_{V}^{(2)} = \int d^{4}x \int \frac{dz}{z} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4g_{5}^{2}} [(v_{\hat{\mu}\nu}^{\perp,a})^{2} - 2(\partial_{z}V_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a})^{2} - 2(\partial_{z}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\phi}^{a})^{2}] + \frac{(M_{V}^{a})^{2}}{2z^{2}} [(V_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a})^{2} + (\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\pi}^{a} - \partial_{\mu}\tilde{\phi}^{a})^{2} - (\partial_{z}\tilde{\pi}^{a})^{2}] + \partial_{\hat{\mu}}(\dots) \right\},$$
(27)

$$S_{A}^{(2)} = \int d^{4}x \int \frac{dz}{z} - \frac{1}{4g_{5}^{2}} [(a_{\hat{\mu}\nu}^{\perp,a})^{2} - 2(\partial_{z}A_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a})^{2} - 2(\partial_{z}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\phi^{a})^{2}] + \frac{M_{A}^{ab}}{2z^{2}} [A_{\perp}^{\hat{\mu},a}A_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,b} + (\partial^{\hat{\mu}}\pi^{a} - \partial^{\hat{\mu}}\phi^{a})(\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\pi^{b} - \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\phi^{b}) - (\partial_{z}\pi^{a})(\partial_{z}\pi^{b})] + \partial_{\hat{\mu}}(...)\},$$
(28)

where the terms in (...) are surface terms that vanish after choosing periodic boundary conditions for the fields.

The actions in Eqs. (27) and (28) are in a suitable form to perform a Kaluza-Klein expansion for the 5-d fields. Before performing this expansion note that the nondiagonal mass term $M_A^{8,15}$ induces a mixing in the axial sector for meson states with flavor indices a = (8, 15). In this paper we are mainly interested in the axial sector states with a = (9, ..., 14) and a = (1, 2, 3), corresponding to the heavy-light charmed mesons and the usual light mesons. Then from now on we will consider for the axial sector only those states where $a \neq (8, 15)$. The axial sector states corresponding to a = (8, 15) have an interesting physical interpretation, e.g., $\eta - \eta_c$ mixing for the pseudoscalar sector, and deserve a further study that will be pursued in a future project.

The 5-d fields in the vector sector admit a Kaluza-Klein expansion of the form

$$V_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a}(x,z) = g_5 v^{a,n}(z) \hat{V}_{\hat{\mu}}^{a,n}(x), \qquad (29)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}^a(x,z) = g_5 \tilde{\pi}^{a,n}(z) \hat{\pi}_V^{a,n}(x), \qquad (30)$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{a}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}) = g_{5}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{a,n}(\boldsymbol{z})\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{V}^{a,n}(\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (31)$$

where a sum from n = 0 to $n = \infty$ is implicit. A similar decomposition holds for the 5-d fields in the axial sector:

$$A_{\hat{\mu}}^{\perp,a}(x,z) = g_5 a^{a,n}(z) \hat{A}_{\hat{\mu}}^{a,n}(x), \qquad (32)$$

$$\pi^{a}(x,z) = g_{5}\pi^{a,n}(z)\hat{\pi}^{a,n}(x), \qquad (33)$$

$$\phi^{a}(x,z) = g_{5}\phi^{a,n}(z)\hat{\pi}^{a,n}(x).$$
(34)

Using these expansions the actions in Eqs. (27) and (28) factorize into *z* integrals and *x* integrals and we find $S_V^{(2)} = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_V$ and $S_A^{(2)} = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_A$, with the vector and axial 4-d Lagrangians given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{V} = -\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{V}^{a,nm} \hat{v}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{a,n} \hat{v}_{a,m}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} M_{V}^{a,nm} \hat{V}_{\hat{\mu}}^{a,n} \hat{V}_{a,m}^{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\pi_{V}}^{a,nm} (\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,n}) (\partial^{\hat{\mu}} \pi_{V}^{a,m}) - \frac{1}{2} M_{\pi_{V}}^{a,nm} \hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,n} \hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,m}, \quad (35)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{A} = -\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{A}^{a,nm} \hat{a}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{a,n} \hat{a}_{a,m}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} M_{A}^{a,nm} \hat{A}_{\hat{\mu}}^{a,n} \hat{A}_{a,m}^{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\pi}^{a,nm} (\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}^{a,n}) (\partial^{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}^{a,m}) - \frac{1}{2} M_{\pi}^{a,nm} \hat{\pi}^{a,n} \hat{\pi}^{a,m}, \quad (36)$$

with coefficients defined by the z integrals

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{V}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} v^{a,n}(z) v^{a,m}(z), \\ M_{V}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} \{ [\partial_{z} v^{a,n}(z)] [\partial_{z} v^{a,m}(z)] \\ &+ \beta_{V}^{a}(z) v^{a,n}(z) v^{a,m}(z) \}, \\ \Delta_{\pi_{V}}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} \{ [\partial_{z} \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z)] [\partial_{z} \tilde{\phi}^{a,m}(z)] \\ &+ \beta_{V}^{a}(z) [\tilde{\pi}^{a,n}(z) - \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z)] [\tilde{\pi}^{a,m}(z) - \tilde{\phi}^{a,m}(z)] \}, \\ M_{\pi_{V}}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} \beta_{V}^{a}(z) [\partial_{z} \tilde{\pi}^{a,n}] [\partial_{z} \tilde{\pi}^{a,m}], \end{split}$$
(37)

for the vector sector and

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{A}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} a^{a,n}(z) a^{a,m}(z), \\ M_{A}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} \{ [\partial_{z} a^{a,n}(z)] [\partial_{z} a^{a,m}(z)] \\ &+ \beta_{A}^{a}(z) a^{a,n}(z) a^{a,m}(z) \}, \\ \Delta_{\pi}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} \{ [\partial_{z} \phi^{a,n}(z)] [\partial_{z} \phi^{a,m}(z)] \\ &+ \beta_{A}^{a}(z) [\pi^{a,n}(z) - \phi^{a,n}(z)] [\pi^{a,m}(z) - \phi^{a,m}(z)] \}, \\ M_{\pi}^{a,nm} &= \int \frac{dz}{z} \beta_{A}^{a}(z) [\partial_{z} \pi^{a,n}] [\partial_{z} \pi^{a,m}], \end{split}$$
(38)

for the axial sector. Here we have defined

STRONG COUPLINGS AND FORM FACTORS OF CHARMED ...

$$\beta_V^a \coloneqq \frac{g_5^2}{z^2} (M_V^a)^2, \qquad \beta_A^a \coloneqq \frac{g_5^2}{z^2} M_A^{aa}. \tag{39}$$

In order to obtain standard kinetic terms in Eqs. (35) and (36) we impose the following conditions:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{V}^{a,nm} &= \Delta_{\pi_{V}}^{a,nm} = \Delta_{A}^{a,nm} = \Delta_{\pi}^{a,nm} = \delta^{nm}, \\ M_{V}^{a,nm} &= m_{V^{a,n}}^{2} \delta^{nm}, \qquad M_{\pi_{V}}^{a,nm} = m_{\pi_{V}^{a,n}}^{2} \delta^{nm}, \\ M_{A}^{a,nm} &= m_{A^{a,n}}^{2} \delta^{nm}, \qquad M_{\pi}^{a,nm} = m_{\pi^{a,n}}^{2} \delta^{nm}. \end{split}$$
(40)

The Lagrangians in Eqs. (35) and (36) then reduce to

$$\mathcal{L}_{V} = -\frac{1}{4} \hat{v}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{a,n} \hat{v}_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} m_{V^{a,n}}^{2} \hat{V}_{\hat{\mu}}^{a,n} \hat{V}_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,n}) (\partial^{\hat{\mu}} \pi_{V}^{a,n}) - \frac{1}{2} m_{\pi_{V}^{a,n}}^{2} \hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,n} \hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,n}, \quad (41)$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{A} = -\frac{1}{4} \hat{a}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{a,n} \hat{a}_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} m_{A^{a,n}}^{2} \hat{A}_{\hat{\mu}}^{a,n} \hat{A}_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}^{a,n}) (\partial^{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}^{a,n}) - \frac{1}{2} m_{\pi^{a,n}}^{2} \hat{\pi}^{a,n} \hat{\pi}^{a,n}. \quad (42)$$

The conditions for the Δ coefficients are normalization rules for the corresponding wave functions. The conditions

for the masses are equivalent to the conditions for the Δ coefficients if we impose the following equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \partial_z\right) + \frac{1}{z} \beta_V^a(z) \end{bmatrix} v^{a,n}(z) = \frac{m_{V^{a,n}}^2}{z} v^{a,n}(z),$$
$$\frac{\beta_V^a(z)}{z} [\tilde{\pi}^{a,n}(z) - \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z)] = -\partial_z \left[\frac{1}{z} \partial_z \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z)\right],$$
$$\beta_V^a(z) \partial_z \tilde{\pi}^{a,n}(z) = m_{\pi_V^{a,n}}^2 \partial_z \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z), \quad (43)$$

for the vector sector and

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z}\partial_z\right) + \frac{1}{z}\beta_A^a(z) \end{bmatrix} a^{a,n}(z) = \frac{m_{A^{a,n}}^2}{z} a^{a,n}(z),$$
$$\frac{\beta_A^a(z)}{z} [\pi^{a,n}(z) - \phi^{a,n}(z)] = -\partial_z \left[\frac{1}{z}\partial_z \phi^{a,n}(z)\right],$$
$$\beta_A^a(z)\partial_z \pi^{a,n}(z) = m_{\pi^{a,n}}^2 \partial_z \phi^{a,n}(z), \quad (44)$$

for the axial sector.

We finish this section writing the SU(4) pseudoscalar and vector meson matrices $\hat{\pi}$ and \hat{V} in terms of the charged states

$$\hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi}^{a} T^{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\eta_{c}}{\sqrt{12}} & \pi^{+} & K^{+} & \bar{D}^{0} \\ \pi^{-} & -\frac{\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta_{c}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\eta_{c}}{\sqrt{12}} & K^{0} & D^{-} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta + \frac{\eta_{c}}{\sqrt{12}} & D_{s}^{-} \\ D^{0} & D^{+} & D_{s}^{+} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{12}}\eta_{c} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{V} = \hat{V}^{a} T^{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega'}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{12}} & \rho^{+} & K^{\star +} & \bar{D}^{\star 0} \\ \rho^{-} & -\frac{\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega'}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{12}} & K^{\star 0} & D^{\star -} \\ K^{\star -} & \bar{K}^{\star 0} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\omega' + \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{12}} & D_{s}^{\star -} \\ D^{\star 0} & D^{\star +} & D_{s}^{\star +} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{12}}\psi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(45)$$

where in the last equation we have omitted the index μ for simplicity.

Eqs. (19)–(21), by their Kaluza-Klein expansions in Eqs. (29)–(34). We find:

V. DECAY CONSTANTS, CSB, AND FSB

As observed in [38], the simplest method for extracting the leptonic decay constants is to replace the fields in the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of the dual currents prescription,

$$\langle J_{V,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x) \rangle = \left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z v^{a,n}(z) \right]_{z=\epsilon} \hat{V}_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}}(x) \\ + \left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z) \right]_{z=\epsilon} \partial^{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}_V^{a,n}(x), \quad (47)$$

$$\langle J_{A,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x) \rangle = \left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z a^{a,n}(z) \right]_{z=\epsilon} \hat{A}_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}}(x) \\ + \left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z \phi^{a,n}(z) \right]_{z=\epsilon} \partial^{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}^{a,n}(x), \quad (48)$$

$$\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \langle J^{\mu}_{A,a}(x) \rangle = \langle J_{\Pi,a}(x) \rangle$$
$$= -\left[\frac{\beta^{a}_{A}(z)}{g_{5}z} \partial_{z} \pi^{a,n}(z) \right]_{z=\epsilon} \hat{\pi}^{a,n}(x), \quad (49)$$

where a sum from n = 0 to $n = \infty$ is implicit. In the expansions (47)–(49) the 4-d fields $\hat{V}_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}}(x), A_{a,n}^{\hat{\mu}}(x)$, $\hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,n}(x)$, and $\hat{\pi}^{\bar{a},n}(x)$ are on-shell. From these expansions we find the holographic prescription for leptonic decay constants:

$$g_{V^{a,n}} = \left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z v^{a,n}(z)\right]_{z=\epsilon},\tag{50}$$

$$f_{\pi_V^{a,n}} = -\left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z)\right]_{z=\epsilon},\tag{51}$$

$$g_{A^{a,n}} = \left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z a^{a,n}(z)\right]_{z=\epsilon},\tag{52}$$

$$f_{\pi^{a,n}} = -\left[\frac{1}{g_5 z} \partial_z \phi^{a,n}(z)\right]_{z=\epsilon}.$$
(53)

Taking the divergence of Eqs. (47) and (48) we find

$$\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\langle J_{V,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x)\rangle = f_{\pi_{V}^{a,n}}m_{\pi_{V}^{a,n}}^{2}\hat{\pi}_{V}^{a,n}(x),$$
(54)

and

$$\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\langle J_{A,a}^{\hat{\mu}}(x)\rangle = f_{\pi^{a,n}}m_{\pi^{a,n}}^2\hat{\pi}^{a,n}(x), \qquad (55)$$

where a sum from n = 0 to $n = \infty$ is implicit. Equation (55) is a generalization of the partially conserved axial current relation (PCAC), which encodes the effect of chiral symmetry breaking (CSB) in the current algebra. Equation (54) encodes the effect of flavor symmetry breaking (FSB) in the vector current. Interestingly, the scalar mesons $\hat{\pi}_V^{a,n}(x)$ of FSB and the pions $\hat{\pi}^{a,n}(x)$ of CSB appear in a similar way in Eqs. (54) and (55), respectively.

VI. COUPLING CONSTANTS AND FORM FACTORS

The three-point interactions are described by the 5-d action in Eq. (9). After decomposing the fields into their (z, μ) components and evaluating the metric in Eq. (2), the action takes the form

$$S_3 = S_{VVV} + S_{VAA} + S_{VA\pi} + S_{V\pi\pi}, \tag{56}$$

where

$$S_{VVV} = -\frac{1}{2g_5^2} f^{abc} \int d^4x \int \frac{dz}{z} [v_a^{\hat{\mu}\,\hat{\nu}} V_{\hat{\mu}}^b V_{\hat{\nu}}^c - 2v_{z\hat{\mu}}^a V_z^b V_c^{\hat{\mu}}],$$
(57)

$$S_{VAA} = \frac{1}{2g_5^2} f^{abc} \int d^4x \int \frac{dz}{z} [v_a^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} A_{\hat{\mu}}^b A_{\hat{\nu}}^c - 2v_{z\hat{\mu}}^a A_z^b A_c^{\hat{\mu}} - 2a_a^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} V_{\hat{\mu}}^b A_{\hat{\nu}}^c + 2a_{z\hat{\mu}}^a (V_z^b A_c^{\hat{\mu}} - V_b^{\hat{\mu}} A_z^c)],$$
(58)

$$S_{VA\pi} = \frac{1}{g_5^2} f^{abc} \int d^4x \int \frac{dz}{z} [\beta_V^b(z) - \beta_A^a(z)] \\ \times [-A_z^a V_z^b + A_{\hat{\mu}}^a V_b^{\hat{\mu}}] \pi^c,$$
(59)

$$S_{V\pi\pi} = \frac{1}{2g_5^2} f^{abc} \int d^4x \int \frac{dz}{z} [-\beta_V^b(z) + 2\beta_A^a(z)] \\ \times [-(\partial_z \pi^a) V_z^b + (\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \pi^a) V_b^{\hat{\mu}}] \pi^c.$$
(60)

Here we are interested in the following 4-d triple couplings

$$S_{\hat{V}\hat{V}\hat{V}} = g_{\hat{V}^{a,\ell}\hat{V}^{b,m}\hat{V}^{c,n}} \int d^4x \hat{V}^{\hat{\mu}}_{a,\ell} \hat{v}^{b,m}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} V^{\hat{\nu}}_{c,n}, \qquad (61)$$

$$S_{\hat{V}\hat{\pi}\hat{\pi}} = g_{\hat{\pi}^{a,\ell}\hat{V}^{b,m}\hat{\pi}^{c,n}} \int d^4x (\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\hat{\pi}^{a,\ell}) \hat{V}^{\hat{\mu}}_{b,m}\hat{\pi}^{c,n}, \quad (62)$$

where a sum over a, b, c as well as ℓ , m, n is implicit.

Using (25)–(26) in (57), (58), and (60) as well as the KK expansions (29), (33), and (34) we find that

$$g_{\hat{V}^{a,\ell}\hat{V}^{b,m}\hat{V}^{c,n}} = \frac{g_5}{2} f^{abc} \int \frac{dz}{z} v^{a,\ell}(z) v^{b,m}(z) v^{c,n}(z), \quad (63)$$

$$g_{\hat{\pi}^{a,\ell}\hat{V}^{b,m}\hat{\pi}^{c,n}} = \frac{g_5}{2} f^{abc} \int \frac{dz}{z} \{ 2(\partial_z \phi^{a,\ell}) v^{b,m} (\partial_z \phi^{c,n}) + [-\beta_V^b(z) + 2\beta_A^a(z)] \times (\pi^{a,\ell} - \phi^{a,\ell}) v^{b,m} (\pi^{c,n} - \phi^{c,n}) \}.$$
(64)

In order to compare our results with chiral theory models we rewrite the 3-point interactions in Eqs. (61) and (62) as

$$S_{\hat{V}\hat{V}\hat{V}} = 2f^{abc}\bar{g}_{\hat{V}^{a,\ell}\hat{V}^{b,m}\hat{V}^{c,n}} \int d^4x \hat{V}^{\hat{\mu}}_{a,\ell} (\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\hat{V}^{b,m}_{\hat{\nu}}) V^{\hat{\nu}}_{c,n}, \quad (65)$$

$$S_{\hat{V}\hat{\pi}\hat{\pi}} = f^{abc} \bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^{a,\ell} \hat{V}^{b,m} \hat{\pi}^{c,n}} \int d^4 x \hat{V}^{\hat{\mu}}_{b,m} [(\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}^{a,\ell}) \hat{\pi}^{c,n} - (\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\pi}^{c,n}) \hat{\pi}^{a,\ell}],$$
(66)

where

$$\bar{g}_{\hat{V}^{a,\ell}\hat{V}^{b,m}\hat{V}^{c,n}} = \frac{g_5}{2} \int \frac{dz}{z} v^{a,\ell}(z) v^{b,m}(z) v^{c,n}(z), \quad (67)$$

and

$$\bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^{a,\ell}\hat{V}^{b,m}\hat{\pi}^{c,n}} = \frac{g_5}{8} \int \frac{dz}{z} v^{b,m} \{ 4(\partial_z \phi^{a,\ell}) (\partial_z \phi^{c,n}) + [-2\beta_V^b(z) + 2(\beta_A^a(z) + \beta_A^c(z))] \times (\pi^{a,\ell} - \phi^{a,\ell}) (\pi^{c,n} - \phi^{c,n}) \}.$$
(68)

To arrive at Eqs. (65) and (66), we have integrated by parts the actions in Eqs. (61) and (62) and also used the transversality of the vector mesons $(\partial_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{V}^{\hat{\mu}}_{a,\ell} = 0)$. Note that the coupling in Eq. (68) is symmetric when interchanging the pion flavor indices a and c, as required by crossing symmetry.

We are interested in describing strong couplings involving charmed mesons D and D^* , strange mesons K and K^* as well as light mesons π and ρ . Then in (65) and (66) we select only a = (1, ..., 7) and a = (9, ..., 12) for the pseudoscalar mesons π^a , whereas for the vector mesons we pick a = (1..., 7), a = (9, ..., 12), and a = (8, 15). The other states are taken to zero. The reason we include a = (8, 15) in the vectorial sector is because it contributes to the electromagnetic form factors of the D and D^* as shown below in this section. Using also the results in (45)–(46) and evaluating the SU(4) structure constants f^{abc} we arrive at the effective Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L}_{V\pi\pi} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi D^* D} + \mathcal{L}_{\rho D D} + \mathcal{L}_{\omega' D D} + \mathcal{L}_{\psi D D} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi K^* K} + \mathcal{L}_{\rho K K} + \mathcal{L}_{\omega' K K} + \mathcal{L}_{\rho \pi \pi}, \tag{69}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{VVV}} = \mathcal{L}_{\rho D^* D^*} + \mathcal{L}_{\omega' D^* D^*} + \mathcal{L}_{\psi D^* D^*} + \mathcal{L}_{\rho K^* K^*} + \mathcal{L}_{\omega' K^* K^*} + \mathcal{L}_{\rho \rho \rho}, \tag{70}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi D^* D} = i\sqrt{2}g_{\pi D^* D} \Big[D^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{D}^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^- \Big) + D^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^0 \Big) + D^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(D^- \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^+ \Big) + \bar{D}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^- \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^+ \Big) \Big] + ig_{\pi D^* D} \Big[D^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^- \Big) + D^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(D^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^0 \Big) + D^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{D}^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^0 \Big) + \bar{D}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^0 \Big) \Big],$$
(71)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho DD} = i\sqrt{2}g_{\rho DD} \left[\rho^+_{\mu} \left(D^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^- \right) + \rho^-_{\mu} \left(D^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^0 \right) \right] + ig_{\rho DD} \left[\rho^0_{\mu} \left(D^- \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^+ \right) + \rho^0_{\mu} \left(D^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^0 \right) \right], \tag{72}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega'DD} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} g_{\omega'DD} \Big[\omega'_{\mu} \Big(D^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^- \Big) + \omega'_{\mu} \Big(D^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^0 \Big) \Big], \tag{73}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi DD} = i \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}} g_{\psi DD} \Big[\psi_{\mu} \Big(D^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^- \Big) + \psi_{\mu} \Big(D^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^0 \Big) \Big], \tag{74}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho D^* D^*} = i\sqrt{2}g_{\rho D^* D^*} \left[D^{*+}_{\mu} \left(\rho^{-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^{\nu}_{*0} \right) + D^{*-}_{\mu} \left(D^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{+} \right) + D^{*0}_{\mu} \left(\rho^{+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*-} \right) + \bar{D}^{*0}_{\mu} \left(D^{*+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{-} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \rho^{+}_{\mu} \left(D^{*-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*0} \right) + \rho^{-}_{\mu} \left(\bar{D}^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*+} \right) \right] + ig_{\rho D^* D^*} \left[D^{*+}_{\mu} \left(D^{*-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{0} \right) + D^{*-}_{\mu} \left(\rho^{0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*+} \right) \right. \\ \left. + D^{*0}_{\mu} \left(\rho^{0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^{\nu}_{*0} \right) + \bar{D}^{*0}_{\mu} \left(D^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{0} \right) + \rho^{0}_{\mu} \left(D^{*+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*-} \right) + \rho^{0}_{\mu} \left(\bar{D}^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*0} \right) \right],$$

$$(75)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega'D^*D^*} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} g_{\omega'D^*D^*} \Big[D^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(D^{*-}_{\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\partial^{\mu}} \omega'^{\nu} \Big) + D^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(\omega'^{\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\partial^{\mu}} D^{*+}_{\nu} \Big) + D^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{D}^{*0}_{\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\partial^{\mu}} \omega'^{\nu} \Big) + \bar{D}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\omega'^{\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\partial^{\mu}} D^{*0}_{\nu} \Big) \\ + \omega'_{\mu} \Big(D^{*+}_{\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*-} \Big) + \omega'_{\mu} \Big(D^{*0}_{\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^{\nu}_{*0} \Big) \Big],$$

$$(76)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi D^* D^*} = -i\sqrt{\frac{8}{3}}g_{\psi D^* D^*} \Big[D^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(D^{*-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \psi^{\nu} \Big) + D^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(\psi^{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{*+}_{\nu} \Big) + D^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{D}^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \psi^{\nu} \Big) + \bar{D}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\psi^{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{*0}_{\nu} \Big) \\ + \psi_{\mu} \Big(D^{*+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} D^{\nu}_{*-} \Big) + \psi_{\mu} \Big(D^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{D}^{\nu}_{*0} \Big) \Big],$$

$$(77)$$

BALLON-BAYONA, KREIN, and MILLER

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 96, 014017 (2017)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi K^* K} = i\sqrt{2}g_{\pi K^* K} \Big[K^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^{-} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{K}^0 \Big) + K^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(K^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^+ \Big) + K^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^- \Big) + \bar{K}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(K^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^- \Big) \Big] + ig_{\pi K^* K} \Big[K^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^- \Big) + K^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(K^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^0 \Big) + K^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{K}^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^0 \Big) + \bar{K}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\pi^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^0 \Big) \Big],$$
(78)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho KK} = i\sqrt{2}g_{\rho KK} \Big[\rho_{\mu}^{+} \Big(K^{-} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{0} \Big) + \rho_{\mu}^{-} \Big(\bar{K}^{0} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{+} \Big) \Big] + ig_{\rho KK} \Big[\rho_{\mu}^{0} \Big(K^{-} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{+} \Big) + \rho_{\mu}^{0} \Big(K^{0} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{K}^{0} \Big) \Big], \tag{79}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega'KK} = i\sqrt{3}g_{\omega'KK} \Big[\omega'_{\mu} \Big(K^{-} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{+} \Big) + \omega'_{\mu} \Big(\bar{K}^{0} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{0} \Big) \Big], \tag{80}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho K^* K^*} = i\sqrt{2}g_{\rho K^* K^*} \Big[K^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{K}^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{-} \Big) + K^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(\rho^{+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{*0}_{\nu} \Big) + K^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(K^{*-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{+} \Big) + \bar{K}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\rho^{-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*+} \Big) \\ + \rho^{+}_{\mu} \Big(K^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*-} \Big) + \rho^{-}_{\mu} \Big(K^{*+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{K}^{\nu}_{*0} \Big) \Big] + ig_{\rho K^* K^*} \Big[K^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(K^{*-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{0} \Big) + K^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(\rho^{0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*+} \Big) \\ + K^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\rho^{0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{K}^{\nu}_{*0} \Big) + \bar{K}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(K^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho^{\nu}_{0} \Big) + \rho^{0}_{\mu} \Big(K^{*+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*-} \Big) + \rho^{0}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{K}^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*0} \Big) \Big],$$

$$(81)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega'K^*K^*} = i\sqrt{3}g_{\omega'K^*K^*} \Big[K^{*+}_{\mu} \Big(K^{*-}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \omega'^{\nu} \Big) + K^{*-}_{\mu} \Big(\omega'_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*+} \Big) + K^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\bar{K}^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \omega'^{\nu} \Big) + \bar{K}^{*0}_{\mu} \Big(\omega'_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*0} \Big) \\ + \omega'_{\mu} \Big(K^{*+}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} K^{\nu}_{*-} \Big) + \omega'_{\mu} \Big(K^{*0}_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \bar{K}^{\nu}_{*0} \Big) \Big],$$

$$(82)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho\pi\pi} = ig_{\rho\pi\pi} \Big[\rho^+_{\mu} \Big(\pi^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^- \Big) + \rho^-_{\mu} \Big(\pi^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^0 \Big) + \rho^0_{\mu} \Big(\pi^- \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \pi^+ \Big) \Big], \tag{83}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho\rho\rho} = ig_{\rho\rho\rho} \Big[\rho_{\mu}^{+} \Big(\rho_{\nu}^{-} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho_{0}^{\nu} \Big) + \rho_{\mu}^{-} \Big(\rho_{\nu}^{0} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho_{+}^{\nu} \Big) + \rho_{\mu}^{0} \Big(\rho_{\nu}^{+} \overleftrightarrow{\partial^{\mu}} \rho_{-}^{\nu} \Big) \Big]. \tag{84}$$

In the above, the couplings are given by

$$\begin{split} g_{\pi D^* D} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{\pi}^c}, \qquad a = (1, 2, 3), \qquad (b, c) = (9, \dots, 12), \\ g_{\rho DD} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{\pi}^c}, \qquad (a, c) = (9, \dots, 12), \qquad b = (1, 2, 3), \\ g_{\omega' DD} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{\pi}^c}, \qquad (a, c) = (9, \dots, 12), \qquad b = 8, \\ g_{\psi DD} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{\pi}^c}, \qquad (a, c) = (9, \dots, 12), \qquad b = 15, \\ g_{\rho D^* D^*} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{V}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{V}^c}, \qquad a = (1, 2, 3), \qquad (b, c) = (9, \dots, 12), \\ g_{\omega' D^* D^*} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{V}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{V}^c}, \qquad a = 8, \qquad (b, c) = (9, \dots, 12), \\ g_{\psi D^* D^*} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{V}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{V}^c}, \qquad a = 15, \qquad (b, c) = (9, \dots, 12), \\ g_{\pi K^* K} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{\pi}^c}, \qquad (a, c) = (4, \dots, 7), \qquad b = (1, 2, 3), \\ g_{\rho KK} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{\pi}^c}, \qquad (a, c) = (4, \dots, 7), \qquad b = 8, \\ g_{\rho K^* K^*} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{V}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{V}^c}, \qquad a = 8, \qquad (b, c) = (4, \dots, 7), \\ g_{\omega' K^* K^*} &= \bar{g}_{\hat{V}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{V}^c}, \qquad a = 8, \qquad (b, c) = (4, \dots, 7), \\ g_{\rho \pi \pi} &= 2\bar{g}_{\hat{\pi}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{\pi}^c}, \qquad (a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3), \\ g_{\rho \rho \rho} &= 2\bar{g}_{\hat{V}^a \hat{V}^b \hat{V}^c}, \qquad (a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3). \end{split}$$

We have used the double arrow derivative $f\partial^{\mu}g := f(\partial^{\mu}g) - (\partial^{\mu}f)g$ and for simplicity we have omitted the indices ℓ , m, n that distinguish the fundamental states from the corresponding resonances. The Lagrangians in Eqs. (70) and (69) are typically used in phenomenology of charmed mesons—see e.g. Ref. [32].

In the limit where the quark masses and condensates are equal, flavor symmetry is recovered and the couplings satisfy the relations

$$g_{\pi D^* D} = g_{\rho DD} = g_{\omega' DD} = g_{\psi DD}$$

= $g_{\pi K^* K} = g_{\rho KK} = g_{\omega' KK} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho \pi \pi} =: \frac{g}{4},$ (86)

$$g_{\rho D^* D^*} = g_{\omega' D^* D^*} = g_{\psi D^* D^*} = g_{\rho K^* K^*} = g_{\rho K^* K^*} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho \rho \rho} \coloneqq \frac{\tilde{g}}{4}.$$
 (87)

In this case all the couplings can be obtained from the interaction terms $ig \text{Tr}(\partial^{\mu}\pi[\pi, V_{\mu}])$ and $i\tilde{g}\text{Tr}(\partial^{\mu}V^{\nu}[V_{\mu}, V_{\nu}])$ —see e.g. Ref. [20].

A. Electromagnetic form factors

The effective Lagrangian (69) describes the interaction between a vector meson and two pseudoscalar mesons. If the vector meson is off-shell and the pseudoscalar mesons are on-shell we can use (69) to investigate the electromagnetic (EM) form factors of pseudoscalar mesons. Similarly, using the effective Lagrangian (70) and taking one of the vector mesons off-shell we can investigate the EM form factors of vector mesons. The (elastic) EM form factors of pseudoscalar mesons appear in the decomposition of the EM current as

$$\langle \pi^a(p+q) | J^{\mu}_{\rm EM}(0) | \pi^a(p) \rangle = (2p+q)^{\mu} F_{\pi^a}(q^2).$$
 (88)

Similarly, the (elastic) EM form factors of vector mesons appear as the Lorentz scalars in the EM current decomposition [52]

$$\langle V^{a}(p+q), \epsilon' | J^{\mu}_{\text{EM}}(0) | V^{a}(p), \epsilon \rangle$$

$$= -(\epsilon' \cdot \epsilon)(2p+q)^{\mu} F^{1}_{V^{a}}(q^{2})$$

$$+ [\epsilon'^{\mu}(\epsilon \cdot q) - \epsilon^{\mu}(\epsilon' \cdot q)][F^{1}_{V^{a}}(q^{2}) + F^{2}_{V^{a}}(q^{2})]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{M^{2}_{V^{a}}}(q \cdot \epsilon')(q \cdot \epsilon)(2p+q)^{\mu} F^{3}_{V^{a}}(q^{2}).$$

$$(89)$$

Linear combinations of the form factors in (89) define the so called electric, magnetic and quadrupole form factors:

$$F_{V^{a}}^{E} = F_{V^{a}}^{1} + \frac{q^{2}}{6M_{V^{a}}^{2}} \left[F_{V^{a}}^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{q^{2}}{4M_{V^{a}}^{2}} \right) F_{V^{a}}^{3} \right],$$

$$F_{V^{a}}^{M} = F_{V^{a}}^{1} + F_{V^{a}}^{2},$$

$$F_{V^{a}}^{Q} = -F_{V^{a}}^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{q^{2}}{4M_{V^{a}}^{2}} \right) F_{V^{a}}^{3}.$$
(90)

In the absence of baryonic number the EM current is obtained from a linear combination of flavor currents, i.e.

$$J_{\rm EM}^{\mu}(x) = \sum_{a=(3,8,15)} c_a J_a^{\mu}(x), \tag{91}$$

where the coefficients c_a can vary depending on the quarks that will be considered in the EM current. When considering the EM form factors of the heavy-light D and D^* charmed mesons the strange quark does not participate in the process and we can define the EM current as

$$J_{\rm EM}^{\mu} = \frac{2}{3} \bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} u - \frac{1}{3} \bar{d} \gamma^{\mu} d + \frac{2}{3} \bar{c} \gamma^{\mu} c.$$
(92)

Then the EM current can be decomposed as (91) with coefficients $c_3 = 1$, $c_8 = 7/(3\sqrt{3})$ and $c_{15} = -8/(3\sqrt{6})$, up to the strangeness current which do not contribute when evaluating the current at the external states. On the other hand, when evaluating the EM form factors for the strange

K and *K*^{*} as well as the light mesons π and ρ we define the EM current as

$$J_{\rm EM}^{\mu} = \frac{2}{3} \bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} u - \frac{1}{3} \bar{d} \gamma^{\mu} d - \frac{1}{3} \bar{s} \gamma^{\mu} s, \qquad (93)$$

which admits the decomposition (91) for the coefficients $c_3 = 1$, $c_8 = 1/\sqrt{3}$ and $c_{15} = 0$.

As explained in the previous section, each flavor current admits a decomposition in terms of vector mesons. This implies from (91) that the photon decays into $\rho^{0,n}$, ω'^n , and ψ^n mesons. This is a holographic realization of generalized vector meson dominance (GVMD) [53], in that also the resonances are included and not only the fundamental states as VMD.

For the pion and ρ meson only the states $\rho^{0,n}$ contribute to the EM form factors. In the case of strange mesons *K* and *K*^{*} the states $\rho^{0,n}$ and ω'^n contribute to the EM form factors whereas in the case of the charmed *D* and *D*^{*} mesons we have contributions from $\rho^{0,n}$, ω'^n and ψ^n . In our model it turns out that the states ω'^n and ψ^n are identical to the states $\rho^{0,n}$ as well as their couplings to external states. Although this implies an unrealistic spectrum for those mesons, its contribution to the EM form factors is not only required by consistency but also leads to reasonable results consistent either with experimental data or lattice QCD data, as we will show below.

Using the Feynman rules for the vector meson propagator in (41) and the triple vertex (69) as well as the EM current decomposition (91), with the appropriate coefficients, we extract the (elastic) EM form factors for the pion, kaon and D meson:

$$F_{\pi}(Q^2) = \sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^n} g_{\rho^n \pi \pi}}{m_{\rho^n}^2 + Q^2},$$
(94)

$$F_{K}(Q^{2}) = \sum_{n} \left[\frac{g_{\rho^{n}}g_{\rho^{n}KK}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2} + Q^{2}} + \frac{g_{\omega'^{n}}g_{\omega'^{n}KK}}{m_{\omega'^{n}}^{2} + Q^{2}} \right]$$
$$= 2\sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}}g_{\rho^{n}KK}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2} + Q^{2}},$$
(95)

$$F_D(Q^2) = \sum_n \left[\frac{g_{\rho^n} g_{\rho^n DD}}{m_{\rho^n}^2 + Q^2} - \frac{7}{9} \frac{g_{\omega'^n} g_{\omega'^n DD}}{m_{\omega'^n}^2 + Q^2} + \frac{16}{9} \frac{g_{\psi^n} g_{\psi^n DD}}{m_{\psi^n}^2 + Q^2} \right] = 2 \sum_n \frac{g_{\rho^n} g_{\rho^n DD}}{m_{\rho^n}^2 + Q^2}, \quad (96)$$

where $Q^2 = -q^2$. The second equalities in (95) and (96) come from the identification of the states ω'^n and ψ^n with the states $\rho^{0,n}$. Similarly for the vector sector, we use the Feynman rules associated with the triple vertex (70) and the vector meson propagator in (41) to extract the (elastic) EM form factors for the ρ meson, K^* meson, and D^* meson:

$$F_{\rho}^{1} = F_{\rho}^{2} = F_{\rho}(Q^{2}) = \sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} \rho \rho}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2} + Q^{2}}, \qquad (97)$$

$$F_{K^*}^1 = F_{K^*}^2 = F_{K^*}(Q^2) = 2\sum_n \frac{g_{\rho^n} g_{\rho^n K^* K^*}}{m_{\rho^n}^2 + Q^2}, \quad (98)$$

$$F_{D^*}^1 = F_{D^*}^2 = F_{D^*}(Q^2) = 2\sum_n \frac{g_{\rho^n} g_{\rho^n D^* D^*}}{m_{\rho^n}^2 + Q^2}, \quad (99)$$

$$F_{\rho}^3 = F_{K^*}^3 = F_{D^*}^3 = 0.$$
 (100)

The electric, magnetic, and quadrupole form factors are obtained using (90).

B. Low and high Q^2

At low Q^2 the EM form factor of a pseudoscalar meson can be expanded as

$$F_{\pi^{a}}(Q^{2}) = 1 - \frac{1}{6} \langle r_{\pi^{a}}^{2} \rangle Q^{2} + \cdots, \qquad (101)$$

where the second term defines the charge radius. A similar expression follows for the vector mesons. Notice that we have used the relation $F_{\pi^a}(0) = 1$, which is due to charge conservation. In fact, the relation $F_{\pi^a}(0) = 1$ follows nicely from the sum rules

$$\sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} \pi \pi}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}} = 2 \sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} KK}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}$$
$$= 2 \sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} DD}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}} = 1.$$
(102)

These sum rules can be proven using the equation and completeness relation of vector mesons as well as the normalization of the external states. For the vector mesons the electric radius is obtained from the electric form factor as

$$\langle r_{V^a}^2 \rangle = -6 \frac{dF_{V^a}^E(Q^2)}{dQ^2} \Big|_{Q^2=0}.$$
 (103)

The magnetic μ and quadrupole *D* moments of the vector mesons in our model take the canonical values

$$\mu = F_{V^a}^M(0) = 2,$$

$$D = \frac{1}{M_{V^a}^2} F_{V^a}^Q(0) = -\frac{1}{M_{V^a}^2},$$
 (104)

where we have used the relation $F_{V^a}(0) = 1$ which follows from the sum rules

$$\sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} \rho \rho}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}} = 2 \sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} K^{*} K^{*}}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}$$
$$= 2 \sum_{n} \frac{g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} D^{*} D^{*}}}{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}} = 1.$$
(105)

Again these sum rules follow from the equation and completeness of the ρ^n states and the normalization of the external states. In fact, the sum rules Eqs. (102) and (105) are universal in bottom up and top-down holographic models for QCD. A discussion of these sum rules in the top-down approach can be found in Ref. [54].

In the regime of large Q^2 , the EM form factors of pseudoscalar mesons can be expanded as

$$F_{\pi}(Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} \pi \pi} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \cdots \right],$$

$$F_{K}(Q^{2}) = \frac{2}{Q^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} KK} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \cdots \right]$$

$$F_{D}(Q^{2}) = \frac{2}{Q^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} DD} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \cdots \right]. \quad (106)$$

A similar expansion holds for the EM form factors of vector mesons

$$F_{\rho}(Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} \rho \rho} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \cdots \right],$$

$$F_{K^{*}}(Q^{2}) = \frac{2}{Q^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} K^{*} K^{*}} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \cdots \right],$$

$$F_{D^{*}}(Q^{2}) = \frac{2}{Q^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{\rho^{n}} g_{\rho^{n} D^{*} D^{*}} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\rho^{n}}^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \cdots \right].$$
(107)

In the next section we present our predictions for the couplings and form factors involving the pions, kaons, ρ mesons, K^* mesons as well as the charmed mesons D and D^* . For the D and D^* EM form factors we compare our results against data from lattice QCD. Using (101) and (103) we will also extract the charge radii of all those mesons and compare against experimental data or lattice QCD data. Last but not least, the high- Q^2 behavior of the form factors in Eqs. (106)–(107) will be checked and compared with perturbative QCD calculations.

VII. RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH LATTICE QCD

In this section we present our numerical results for the spectrum, decay constants, coupling constants and EM form factors involving the charmed mesons. It is convenient to define unnormalized wave functions for the scalar mesons ($\tilde{\phi}_{U}^{a,n}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{U}^{a,n}$), pseudoscalar mesons ($\phi_{U}^{a,n}$ and $\pi_{U}^{a,n}$), vector mesons ($v_{U}^{a,n}$), and axial vector mesons ($a_{U}^{a,n}$)

so that the first coefficient in the near boundary expansion is fixed arbitrarily (due to the linearity of the differential equations). Eqs. (43) and (44) dictate the near boundary behavior of the unnormalized wave functions:

$$\tilde{\phi}_{U}^{a,n}(z) = -z^{2} + \cdots, \qquad \tilde{\pi}_{U}^{a,n}(z) = -\frac{m_{\pi_{V}^{a,n}}^{a}}{\beta_{V}^{a}(0)}z^{2} + \cdots,
\phi_{U}^{a,n}(z) = -z^{2} + \cdots, \qquad \pi_{U}^{a,n}(z) = -\frac{m_{\pi^{a,n}}^{2}}{\beta_{A}^{a}(0)}z^{2} + \cdots,
v_{U}^{a,n}(z) = z^{2} + \cdots, \qquad a_{U}^{a,n}(z) = z^{2} + \cdots.$$
(108)

In Eq. (108), the first coefficients were fixed to 1 or -1 to guarantee a positive sign for the decay constants in Eqs. (50)–(53) and positive normalization constants. The normalized wave functions take the form

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\phi}^{a,n}(z) &= N_{\pi_V^{a,n}} \tilde{\phi}_U^{a,n}(z), \quad \tilde{\pi}^{a,n}(z) = N_{\pi_V^{a,n}} \tilde{\pi}_U^{a,n}(z), \\ \phi^{a,n}(z) &= N_{\pi^{a,n}} \phi_U^{a,n}(z), \quad \pi^{a,n}(z) = N_{\pi^{a,n}} \pi_U^{a,n}(z), \\ v^{n,a}(z) &= N_{V^{a,n}} v_U^{a,n}(z), \quad a^{n,a}(z) = N_{A^{a,n}} a_U^{a,n}(z), \end{split}$$
(109)

with the normalization constants defined by the integrals

$$N_{\pi_{V}^{a,n}}^{-2} = \int \frac{dz}{z} \{ (\partial_{z} \tilde{\phi}_{U}^{a,n}(z))^{2} + \beta_{V}^{a}(z) (\tilde{\pi}_{U}^{a,n}(z) - \tilde{\phi}_{U}^{a,n}(z))^{2} \},$$

$$N_{\pi^{a,n}}^{-2} = \int \frac{dz}{z} \{ (\partial_{z} \phi_{U}^{a,n}(z))^{2} + \beta_{A}^{a}(z) (\pi_{U}^{a,n}(z) - \phi_{U}^{a,n}(z))^{2} \},$$

$$N_{V^{a,n}}^{-2} = \int \frac{dz}{z} (v_{U}^{a,n}(z))^{2},$$

$$N_{A^{a,n}}^{-2} = \int \frac{dz}{z} (a_{U}^{a,n}(z))^{2}.$$
(110)

The spectrum of vector mesons and scalar mesons is obtained by solving Eqs. (43) and imposing the Neumann boundary conditions at the hard wall $z = z_0$. Similarly, the spectrum of axial vector mesons and pseudoscalar mesons is obtained by solving Eqs. (44) and imposing Neumann boundary conditions at the hard wall.

On the other hand, using Eqs. (108) and (109) we find that the meson decay constants, defined in Eqs. (50)–(53), are determined by the normalization constants through the relations

$$f_{\pi_V^{a,n}} = \frac{2}{g_5} N_{\pi_V^{a,n}}, \qquad f_{\pi^{a,n}} = \frac{2}{g_5} N_{\pi^{a,n}},$$

$$g_{V^{a,n}} = \frac{2}{g_5} N_{V^{a,n}}, \qquad g_{A^{a,n}} = \frac{2}{g_5} N_{A^{a,n}}.$$
 (111)

Having described the procedure for finding the meson spectrum and decay constants now we describe how we fit the parameters of our model, namely the quark masses m_{μ} , m_s, m_c , quark condensates $\sigma_u, \sigma_s, \sigma_c$ and the position of the hard wall z_0 . We choose to fit the parameter z_0 using only the mass of the ρ meson, since that observable does not depend on any other parameter. We find $z_0^{-1} = 322.5$ MeV. Then we proceed with a global fit for the quark masses and quark condensates using 10 observables, namely the light meson masses (m_{π}, m_{a_1}) , the strange meson masses $(m_K, m_{K^*}, m_{K_1}, m_{K_0^*})$ and the charmed meson masses $(m_D, m_{D^*}, m_{D_s}, m_{D_s^*})$. Note that we have included the scalar meson K_0^* , which is associated with flavor symmetry breaking. Numerically, we find the best global fit for the parameters $m_u = 9$ MeV, $m_s = 190$ MeV and $m_c =$ 1560 MeV for the quark masses; $\sigma_u = (198 \text{ MeV})^3$, $\sigma_s =$ $(205 \text{ MeV})^3$ and $\sigma_c = (280 \text{ MeV})^3$ for the quark condensates. In Table I we compare the model fit to the observables with their experimental values.

Note that the fit works very well for the isospin and strange sectors, as already known from previous works. The extension of the model to the charm sector also gives a reasonably good fit of properties of heavy-light mesons, like the D and D^* mesons.

Once we have fitted the parameters of the model, we are able to make predictions. In Table II we show a set of predictions for masses and decay constants. In the cases where experimental or lattice data is available the measured values are presented. Regarding the masses $m_{D_0^*}$ and $m_{D_{0s}^*}$, the large difference between the model prediction and the experimental values [55] may be related to the not clear distinction between the ground and excited states.

Now we move to the triple meson couplings defined in the effective Lagrangians (69) and (70). Using the dictionary (67), (68) and the relations (85) we find the couplings $g_{\rho^n\pi\pi}$, $g_{\rho^n\rho\rho}$, $g_{\rho^n KK}$, $g_{\rho^n K^*K^*}$, $g_{\rho^n DD}$, and $g_{\rho^n D^*D^*}$. The results are shown in Table III where we notice an interesting feature taking place. The triple coupling $g_{\rho^n DD}$, involving the heavy-light pseudoscalar charmed mesons, does not decrease with *n* in the same way as the triple

TABLE I. Global fit to masses of eleven selected mesons. The mass of the ρ meson was fit separately using z_0 .

Mass	Model (MeV)	Measured (MeV)	
m_{o}	775.6	775.3 ± 0.3 [55]	
m_{π}	142.5	139.6 [55]	
m_{a_1}	1232	1230 ± 40 [55]	
m_{K}	489.2	493.7 [55]	
m_{K^*}	803.7	891.7 ± 0.3 [55]	
m_{K_1}	1359	1272 ± 7 [55]	
$m_{K^*_{\alpha}}$	674.9	682 ± 29 [55]	
m_D	1831	1870 [55]	
m_D	1987	1968 [55]	
m_{D^*}	2161	2010 [55]	
$m_{D_s^*}$	2006	2112 [55]	

TABLE II. Set of predictions for masses and decay constants, compared to experimental or lattice data. The measured value for f_D and f_{D_s} , taken from Ref. [55], are averages from lattice QCD results. The other measured values are taken from experimental data.

Observable	Model (MeV)	Measured (MeV)
$\frac{f_{\pi}}{q_{o}^{1/2}}$	84.41 329.3	$\begin{array}{c} 92.07 \pm 1.2 \hspace{0.1 in} [55] \\ 345 \pm 8 \hspace{0.1 in} [56] \end{array}$
$a_{a_1}^{1/2}$	440.9	420 ± 40 [57]
f_K $a_m^{1/2}$	98.14 331	110 ± 0.3 [55]
g_{K}^{+}	478.3	-
$f_{K_{\alpha}^{*}}$	33.48	-
m_{D_1}	2500	2423 ± 2 [55]
$m_{D_0^*}$	1704	2318 ± 29 [55]
$m_{D_{0c}^{*}}$	1547	2318 ± 1 [55]
f_D	186.7	149.8 ± 0.8 [55]
f_{D_s}	195	176.1 ± 0.8 [55]
$g_{D^*}^{1/2}$	572.7	-
$g_{D^*}^{1/2}$	546.4	-
$g_{D_1}^{1/2}$	722	-
$f_{D_0^*}$	159	-
$f_{D^*_{0s}}$	150.5	-

TABLE IV. SU(4) flavor symmetry breaking in our model.

Ratios	SU(4) symmetry	Model	
$2g_{\rho KK}$	1	0.902	
$\frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}}{2g_{\rho K^*K^*}}$	1	0.998	
$g_{\rho\rho\rho}$ $2g_{\rho DD}$	1	0.449	
$\frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}}{2g_{\rho D^* D^*}}$	1	0.625	

TABLE V. Contributions of the five first states to the EM form factors of mesons at $Q^2 = 0$.

n	0	1	2	3	4
$\frac{g_{\rho^n}}{m^2_n}g_{\rho^n\pi\pi}$	0.886	0.192	-0.082	0.003	0.002
$\frac{g_{\rho^n}}{m_n^2} g_{\rho^n \rho \rho}$	1.237	-0.239	0.002	0.000	0.000
$2 \frac{g_{\rho^n}}{m_n^2} g_{\rho^n KK}$	0.799	0.276	-0.072	-0.004	0.002
$2 \frac{g_{\rho^n}}{m_n^2} g_{\rho^n K^* K^*}$	1.235	-0.235	0.000	0.000	0.000
$2 \frac{g_{\rho^n}}{m^2_n} g_{\rho^n DD}$	0.398	0.446	0.161	0.005	-0.008
$2 rac{g_{ ho^n}}{m_{ ho^n}^2} g_{ ho^n D^* D^*}$	0.773	0.376	-0.083	-0.059	-0.007

couplings $g_{\rho^n \pi \pi}$ and $g_{\rho^n KK}$, involving light and strange pseudoscalar mesons respectively. The same behavior appears in the triple coupling $g_{\rho^n D^* D^*}$, involving vectorial charmed mesons, when compared to the triple couplings $g_{\rho^n \rho\rho}$ and $g_{\rho^n K^*K^*}$, involving vectorial light and strange mesons respectively. In the case of $g_{\rho^n DD}$ we see that actually the first resonance $\rho^{n=1}$ couples stronger than the fundamental $\rho^{n=0}$.

Due to flavor symmetry breaking, through the different values for the quark masses and condensates, we expect a violation of SU(4) relations given in (86)–(87). We compare in Table IV our results with the expectations from the SU(4) flavor symmetric case. We note that we find the trend $g_{\rho DD} < g_{\rho KK} < g_{\rho \pi \pi}/2$ for the pseudoscalar mesons, which opposite to that found with the QCD sum rules [39] and Dyson-Schwinger calculations in Ref. [40], but it

TABLE III. Couplings for the pseudoscalars mesons with the ρ meson and their excitations.

n	0	1	2	3	4
$g_{\rho^n\pi\pi}$	4.9144	1.6019	-0.8511	0.0325	0.0231
$g_{\rho^n \rho \rho}$	6.8634	-1.9971	0.022	-0.0025	0.0005
$g_{o^n KK}$	2.2163	1.1512	-0.377	-0.0241	0.0103
$g_{\rho^n K^* K^*}$	3.4246	-0.981	0.0013	0.0003	-0.0002
$g_{o^n DD}$	1.103	1.8591	0.8386	0.0327	-0.0564
$g_{\rho^n D^* D^*}$	2.1431	1.5691	-0.4344	-0.3573	-0.0467

agrees with calculations based ${}^{3}P_{0}$ quark-pair creation model in the nonrelativistic quark model of Refs. [42]. For the vectorial mesons we find a similar trend $g_{\rho D^{*}D^{*}} < g_{\rho K^{*}K^{*}} < g_{\rho \rho \rho}/2$. Note, however, that the coupling $g_{\rho K^{*}K^{*}}$ is very close to $g_{\rho \rho \rho}/2$. The reason behind this proximity is that the vector meson spectrum, found from the first equation in (43), depends more on the condensate difference than the mass difference appearing in $\beta_{V}^{a}(z)$. Since the strange and light condensates σ_{s} and σ_{u} are very close to each other the masses and wave functions of the ρ and K^{*} are very similar.



FIG. 1. Electromagnetic form factor of the pion (solid line) compared to experimental data [62] (points with error bars).



FIG. 2. The electric (blue), magnetic (red), and quadrupole (green) EM form factors of the ρ meson.



FIG. 3. Electromagnetic form factor of the kaon (solid line) compared to experimental data [63] (points with error bars).



FIG. 4. The electric (blue), magnetic (red) and quadrupole (green) EM form factors of the K^* meson.



FIG. 5. Electromagnetic form factor of the *D* meson (solid line) compared to lattice QCD data [44] (points with error bars).

Finally, we show our results for the meson (elastic) EM form factors defined in the previous section. Using the couplings obtained in Table III and evaluating the expressions in Eqs. (94)–(96) we obtain a series expansion for the EM form factors of the pion, kaon, and D meson. In a similar fashion, we take the coupling in Table III and evaluate the expressions in Eqs. (97)–(99) to obtain a series expansion for the EM form factors of the ρ , K^* , and D^* mesons. In both cases, a good convergence is achieved after considering 5 states (4 resonances ρ^n besides the fundamental $\rho^{n=0}$). This is explicitly shown in Table V for the case $Q^2 = 0$.

Table V reveals again a clear distinction between the light mesons and charmed mesons. In the former, vector meson dominance (VMD) is a good approximation, whereas in the case of the latter the EM form factors



FIG. 6. The electric (blue), magnetic (red), and quadrupole (green) EM form factors of the D^* meson. The electric form factor $F_{D^*}^E(Q^2)$ (solid blue line) is compared to lattice QCD data [44] (points with error bars).

TABLE VI. The charge radii of π , ρ , K, K^* , D and D^* meson compared to experiment and lattice QCD.

$\overline{\langle r^2 \rangle}$ (fm ²)	Model	Experiment	Lattice QCD
π^+	0.35	0.45 ± 0.01 [55]	-
ρ^+	0.53	0.56 ± 0.04 [64]	-
K^+	0.33	0.31 ± 0.03 [55]	-
K^{*+}	0.52	-	-
D^+	0.19	-	0.14 ± 0.01 [44]
D^{*+}	0.33	-	0.19 ± 0.02 [44]

receive substantial contribution from the first ρ resonance. This is a nice example of generalized vector meson dominance (GVMD) in EM form factors. This is consistent with Ref. [58], where the authors claimed that the radial excitations of the ρ meson are important for EM form factors of nonzero spin hadrons, as nucleons.



FIG. 7. Large Q^2 behavior for the *D*-meson, kaon and pion electromagnetic form factor.



FIG. 8. Large Q^2 behavior for the D^* -meson, K^* -meson and ρ -meson electromagnetic form factor.

In Figs. 1–4 we show our results for the EM form factors of the π , ρ , K, and K^* mesons. The pion and kaon EM form factors are compared against experimental data. Previous results for the pion and kaon EM form factors in holographic QCD can be found in [59–61] respectively. Previous results for the ρ meson EM form factor can be found in [52]. Finally we show in Figs. 5 and 6 our results for the *D* meson and D^* meson EM form factors compared with data from lattice QCD. As promised, we find a reasonable agreement between our model and the lattice results.

At low Q^2 , we use the relations in Eqs. (101) and (103) to extract the charge radii of pseudoscalar and vector mesons. In Table VI we compare our results for the light mesons against experimental data and those for the charmed mesons against lattice QCD data.

We use the expansions in Eqs. (106) and (107) to obtain the Q^2 dependence of the EM form factors; the results are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. For the case of pseudoscalar mesons, we find the behavior $F_{\pi^a}(Q^2) \sim Q^{-2}$. For the vector meson EM form factors we find that $F_{V^a}(Q^2) \sim Q^{-4}$. Both results are consistent with perturbative QCD expectations and conformal symmetry in the UV.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We have extended the two-flavor hard-wall holographic model of Ref. [33] to four flavors. By fitting the seven parameters of the model, which are three quark masses, three condensates, and the hard-wall scale z_0 , to eleven selected meson masses, the model provides a good description of weak decay constants of more than a dozen light and strange and charmed mesons. We have also investigated the effects of flavor symmetry breaking on three-meson couplings and form factors. In particular, we have made predictions for the strong couplings $g_{\rho^n\pi\pi}$, $g_{\rho^n\rho\rho}$, $g_{\rho^n KK}, g_{\rho^n K^* K^*}, g_{\rho^n DD}$, and $g_{\rho^n D^* D^*}$. Moreover, using our results for those couplings we have been able to evaluate the π , ρ , K, K^* , D, and D^* electromagnetic form factors. For the D and D^* electromagnetic form factors we found a reasonable agreement with the lattice QCD results of Ref. [44].

Our results for the couplings involving the ground-state ρ meson and the charmed mesons, namely $g_{\rho DD}$ and $g_{\rho D^*D^*}$ are smaller than the SU(4) symmetry values, as shown in Table IV. Our result $g_{\rho DD} = 1.103$ is also smaller than predictions based on the VMD model [31,32] where $g_{\rho DD} = 2.52-2.8$. Moreover, we found that $g_{\rho DD} < g_{\rho \pi \pi}/2$, which is of the opposite trend to the predictions based on QCD sum rules [39] and Dyson-Schwinger equations of QCD [40], but it agrees with that obtained with the ³P₀ paircreation model in the nonrelativistic quark model of Refs. [42,43]. A possible explanation for the discrepancy for the small values of the couplings is that the electromagnetic form factor of the *D* meson is a dramatic example where

the VMD approximation is broken and the contribution from the resonances ρ^n can not be neglected. It is interesting to notice the relation between the breaking of the VMD approximation and the breaking of the *SU*(4) symmetry. In a VMD approximation we would find that

$$2g_{\rho DD} = \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{g_{\rho}} = g_{\rho \pi \pi}.$$
 (112)

The first equality comes from applying VMD to the *D* isospin form factor; in our framework, this relation also comes from the EM form factor. The second equality is the well known VMD result for the pion EM form factor. The relation in Eq. (112) can be extended to other couplings and it means that a VMD approximation necessarily implies a universality between the couplings. Interestingly, the result in Eq. (112) for the coupling $g_{\rho DD}$ matches with the SU(4) symmetry expectations. Then it is reasonable to interpret a dramatic breaking of the SU(4) flavor symmetry in terms of a dramatic breaking of the VMD approximation, which is exactly what we have found for the charmed mesons *D* and D^* .

We finish this paper by reiterating our earlier remarks on the applicability of our model. Our holographic QCD model is based on an extensions of a light-flavor chiral Lagrangian, which should be adequate to describe heavylight mesons, as the internal structure of these mesons is governed by essentially the same nonperturbative physics governing the internal structure of light mesons, which occurs at the scale Λ_{OCD} . On the other hand, the internal structure of heavy-heavy mesons, as the ψ and η_c mesons, is governed by short-distance physics at the scale of the heavy quark mass. An appropriate holographic description of such mesons most likely requires the inclusion of long open strings. In that scenario, it should be possible, in particular, to describe the non-relativistic limit of heavy quarks where a spin-flavor symmetry emerges [27]. Although there have been some interesting top-down [47,48,50,65] and bottom-up [49,51] proposals, a realistic model for heavy-heavy mesons remains a challenge in holographic OCD.

In holographic QCD, it is assumed that the quark mass coefficient m_q in the near boundary expansion of the classical field $X_0(z)$ behaves as the source of the operator $\bar{q}(x)q(x)$. Then the holographic dictionary leads to conclude that the parameter σ is also in one to one correspondence with the vacuum expectation value (v.e.v.) $\langle \bar{q}(x)q(x) \rangle$. This matching, however, is ambiguous, as discussed in Ref. [46], because $\langle \bar{q}(x)q(x) \rangle$ is actually a scale-dependent quantity whereas m_q and σ are obtained from a global fit to the meson spectrum. This issue actually becomes exacerbated as the quark mass increases.

In QCD, the quantity $\langle \bar{q}(x)q(x) \rangle$ is identified with the trace of the quark propagator *S*, i.e., $\langle \bar{q}(x)q(x) \rangle = -\text{Tr}S(x-x) = -\text{Tr}S(0)$. It contains a nonperturbative, low-energy contribution from a dynamical component of

chiral symmetry breaking, and an essentially perturbative contribution due to the explicit chiral symmetry breaking driven by the quark mass. In the heavy quark mass limit, the perturbative contribution dominates and the nonperturbative contribution goes to zero. For that reason, and to make contact with the traditional definition of the quark condensate in QCD sum rules [66], in lattice simulations the perturbative contribution is subtracted; see, e.g., [67]. Interestingly, the authors of Ref. [67] found, after subtracting the perturbative contribution, that the strange quark condensate at the \overline{MS} scale of 2 GeV is larger than that of the light quarks. So far there are no such lattice calculations for the charm and bottom quark, but calculations within the framework of Dyson-Schwinger equations [68] find that the nonperturbative component of chiral symmetry breaking decreases with increasing current-quark mass, as expected.

Our results, obtained from a global fit to the meson spectrum, indicate that σ increases with m_q . Although, as discussed above, the relation between σ and the OCD v.e.v. $\langle \bar{q}(x)q(x) \rangle$ is far from clear, one could assume that relation as being strictly one-to-one and conclude that $\langle \bar{q}(x)q(x)\rangle$ increases with the quark mass unless a perturbative subtraction is also implemented in the holographic model. For the case of the charm quark this means that a large value for σ_c does not necessarily imply a large charm quark condensate. There is an additional issue that requires further study. In QCD, the v.e.v. of the operator $\bar{q}q$, with canonical dimension $\Delta = 3$, is expected to acquire a large anomalous dimension in the infrared. In our holographic model, we have made the *ad hoc* approximation of keeping the same canonical dimension for $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$. If we take into account anomalous dimension effects, corrections to m_q and σ are expected. We hope to pursue this line of research in the near future.

It is also important to bear in mind that results from a holographic QCD approach are supposedly referring to leading-order in an expansion of $1/N_c$ and in the large 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g_{\rm YM}^2 N_c$. As such, loop corrections for the hadronic propagators and vertices are not taken into account. The $1/N_c$ and/or $1/\lambda$ corrections to the effective chiral-flavor Lagrangians in holographic QCD is a fascinating open problem and deserves further studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work partially supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Grants No. 2015/17609-3 (A. B.-B.) and 2013/01907-0 (G. K.), and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Grant No. 305894/2009-9 (G. K.) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçõamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) for a doctoral fellowship (C.M). The authors also thank K. U. Can for providing them the lattice data for the electromagnetic form factors of Ref. [44].

- G. Krein, Review of hadrons in medium, AIP Conf. Proc. 1701, 020012 (2016).
- [2] A. Hosaka, T. Hyodo, K. Sudoh, Y. Yamaguchi, and S. Yasui, Heavy hadrons in nuclear matter, arXiv:1606.08685.
- [3] R. A. Briceño *et al.*, Issues and opportunities in exotic hadrons, Chin. Phys. C 40, 042001 (2016).
- [4] L. Tolos, Charming mesons with baryons and nuclei, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 22, 1330027 (2013).
- [5] K. Tsushima, D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, K. Saito, and R. H. Landau, Charmed mesic nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 59, 2824 (1999).
- [6] S. Yasui and K. Sudoh, Exotic nuclei with open heavy flavor mesons, Phys. Rev. D 80, 034008 (2009).
- [7] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, and L. Tolos, D mesic nuclei, Phys. Lett. B 690, 369 (2010).
- [8] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, L. L. Salcedo, and L. Tolos, D⁻ mesic atoms, Phys. Rev. C 85, 025203 (2012).
- [9] S. J. Brodsky, I. A. Schmidt, and G. F. de Teramond, Nuclear-bound quarkonium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1011 (1990).
- [10] S. H. Lee and C. M. Ko, Charmonium in nuclear matter, Phys. Rev. C 67, 038202 (2003).
- [11] G. Krein, A. W. Thomas, and K. Tsushima, J/Ψ mass shift in nuclear matter, Phys. Lett. B 697, 136 (2011).
- [12] K. Tsushima, D. H. Lu, G. Krein, and A. W. Thomas, *J*/Ψ-nuclear bound states, Phys. Rev. C 83, 065208 (2011).
- [13] T. F. Carams, C. E. Fontoura, G. Krein, K. Tsushima, J. Vijande, and A. Valcarce, Hadronic molecules with a \overline{D} meson in a medium, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 034009 (2016).
- [14] S. K. Choi *et al.*, Observation of a Narrow Charmoniumlike State in Exclusive $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ Decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003).
- [15] R. F. Lebed, R. E. Mitchell, and E. S. Swanson, Heavyquark QCD exotica, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 93, 143 (2017).
- [16] W. Erni *et al.* (Panda Collaboration), Physics performance report for PANDA: Strong interaction studies with antiprotons, arXiv:0903.3905.
- [17] U. Wiedner, Future prospects for hadron physics at PANDA, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66, 477 (2011).
- [18] E. Prencipe, J. S. Lange, and A. Blinov (PANDA Collaboration), New spectroscopy with *PANDA* at FAIR: X, Y, Z and the F-wave charmonium states, AIP Conf. Proc. **1735**, 060011 (2016).
- [19] T. Mizutani and A. Ramos, D mesons in nuclear matter: A DN coupled-channel equations approach, Phys. Rev. C 74, 065201 (2006).
- [20] Z.-w. Lin, C. M. Ko, and B. Zhang, Hadronic scattering of charm mesons, Phys. Rev. C 61, 024904 (2000).
- [21] J. Hofmann and M. F. F Lutz, Coupled-channel study of crypto-exotic baryons with charm, Nucl. Phys. A763, 90 (2005).
- [22] J. Haidenbauer, G. Krein, U.-G. Meiner, and A. Sibirtsev, N interaction from meson-exchange and quark-gluon dynamics, Eur. Phys. J. A 33, 107 (2007).
- [23] J. Haidenbauer, G. Krein, U.-G. Meiner, and A. Sibirtsev, Charmed meson rescattering in the reaction $\bar{p}d\uparrow\bar{D}DN$, Eur. Phys. J. A **37**, 55 (2008).
- [24] J. Haidenbauer, G. Krein, U.-G. Meiner, and L. Tolos, DN interaction from meson exchange, Eur. Phys. J. A 47, 18 (2011).

- [25] C. E. Fontoura, G. Krein, and V. E. Vizcarra, D N interaction in a color-confining chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. C 87, 025206 (2013).
- [26] C. Garcia-Recio, V. K. Magas, T. Mizutani, J. Nieves, A. Ramos, L. L. Salcedo, and L. Tolos, The s-wave charmed baryon resonances from a coupled-channel approach with heavy quark symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 79, 054004 (2009).
- [27] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Cambridge Monogr. Part. Phys., Nucl. Phys., Cosmol. **10**, 1 (2000).
- [28] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio, and G. Nardulli, Phenomenology of heavy meson chiral Lagrangians, Phys. Rep. 281, 145 (1997).
- [29] J. Haidenbauer, T. Hippchen, K. Holinde, B. Holzenkamp, V. Mull, and J. Speth, The reaction anti-p $p \rightarrow$ anti-Lambda Lambda in the meson exchange picture, Phys. Rev. C **45**, 931 (1992).
- [30] M. Hoffmann, J. W. Durso, K. Holinde, B. C. Pearce, and J. Speth, Role of correlated two pion exchange in K + N scattering, Nucl. Phys. A593, 341 (1995).
- [31] S. Matinya and B. Müller, Model of charmonium absorption by light mesons, Phys. Rev. C 58, 2994 (1998).
- [32] Z. w. Lin and C. M. Ko, A model for J/ψ absorption in hadronic matter, Phys. Rev. C **62**, 034903 (2000).
- [33] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D. T. Son, and M. A. Stephanov, QCD and a Holographic Model of Hadrons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 261602 (2005).
- [34] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Chiral symmetry breaking from five dimensional spaces, Nucl. Phys. B721, 79 (2005).
- [35] Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Strange hadrons and kaon-topion transition form factors from holography, Phys. Rev. D 80, 115010 (2009).
- [36] A. Holl, A. Krassnigg, and C. D. Roberts, Pseudoscalar meson radial excitations, Phys. Rev. C 70, 042203 (2004).
- [37] C. A. Dominguez, Extended partially conserved axialvector current hypothesis and chiral symmetry breaking, Phys. Rev. D 15, 1350 (1977).
- [38] A. Ballon-Bayona, G. Krein, and C. Miller, Decay constants of the pion and its excitations in holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 91, 065024 (2015).
- [39] M. E. Bracco, M. Chiapparini, F. S. Navarra, and M. Nielsen, Charm couplings and form factors in QCD sum rules, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 67, 1019 (2012).
- [40] B. El-Bennich, G. Krein, L. Chang, C. D. Roberts, and D. J. Wilson, Flavor SU(4) breaking between effective couplings, Phys. Rev. D 85, 031502 (2012).
- [41] B. El-Bennich, M. A. Paracha, C. D. Roberts, and E. Rojas, Couplings between the ρ and *D*- and *D*^{*}-mesons, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 034037 (2017).
- [42] G. Krein, SU(4)-flavor symmetry breaking in hadron couplings, *Proc. Sci.*, ConfinementX (2012) 144.
- [43] C. E. Fontoura, J. Haidenbauer, and G. Krein, SU(4) flavor symmetry breaking in D-meson couplings to light hadrons, Eur. Phys. J. A 53, 92 (2017).
- [44] K. U. Can, G. Erkol, M. Oka, A. Ozpineci, and T. T. Takahashi, Vector and axial-vector couplings of D and D* mesons in 2 + 1 flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Lett. B 719, 103 (2013).
- [45] J. Polchinski and M. J. Strassler, Hard Scattering and Gauge/String Duality, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 031601 (2002).

- [46] A. Cherman, T. D. Cohen, and E. S. Werbos, The chiral condensate in holographic models of QCD, Phys. Rev. C 79, 045203 (2009).
- [47] J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, and J. Grosse, Heavy-light mesons from the AdS/CFT correspondence, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2007) 098.
- [48] K. Hashimoto, N. Ogawa, and Y. Yamaguchi, Holographic heavy quark symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2015) 040.
- [49] N. R. F. Braga, M. A. Martin Contreras, and S. Diles, Holographic model for heavy-vector-meson masses, Europhys. Lett. 115, 31002 (2016).
- [50] Y. Liu and I. Zahed, Holographic heavy-light chiral effective action, Phys. Rev. D 95, 056022 (2017).
- [51] Y. Liu and I. Zahed, Heavy light mesons in chiral AdS/ QCD, Phys. Lett. B 769, 314 (2017).
- [52] H. R. Grigoryan and A. V. Radyushkin, Form factors and wave functions of vector mesons in holographic QCD, Phys. Lett. B 650, 421 (2007).
- [53] J. J. Sakurai and D. Schildknecht, Generalized vector dominance and inelastic electron-proton scattering, Phys. Lett. B 40B, 121 (1972).
- [54] C. A. B. Bayona, H. Boschi-Filho, M. Ihl, and M. A. C. Torres, Pion and vector meson form factors in the Kuperstein-Sonnenschein holographic model, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2010) 122.
- [55] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Review of particle physics, Chin. Phys. C 40, 100001 (2016).
- [56] J. F. Donoghue, E. Golowich, and B. R. Holstein, *Dynamics* of the Standard Model (Cambridge, New York, 2014).
- [57] N. Isgur, C. Morningstar, and C. Reader, The a1 in tau decay, Phys. Rev. D 39, 1357 (1989).

- [58] A. Bramon, E. Etim, and M. Greco, A vector meson dominance approach to scale invariance, Phys. Lett. B 41, 609 (1972).
- [59] S. J. Brodsky and G. F. de Teramond, Light-front dynamics and AdS/QCD correspondence: The pion form factor in the space- and time-like regions, Phys. Rev. D 77, 056007 (2008).
- [60] H. J. Kwee and R. F. Lebed, Pion form-factors in holographic QCD, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2008) 027.
- [61] H. Z. Sang and X. H. Wu, Strange meson form factors in holographic QCD, arXiv:1004.4392.
- [62] S. R. Amendolia *et al.* (NA7 Collaboration), A measurement of the space-like pion electromagnetic form-factor, Nucl. Phys. **B277**, 168 (1986).
- [63] S. R. Amendolia *et al.*, A measurement of the kaon charge radius, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 435 (1986).
- [64] A. F. Krutov, R. G. Polezhaev, and V. E. Troitsky, The radius of the rho meson determined from its decay constant, Phys. Rev. D 93, 036007 (2016).
- [65] J. Erdmenger, K. Ghoroku, and I. Kirsch, Holographic heavy-light mesons from non-Abelian DBI, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2007) 111.
- [66] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein, and S. Yazaki, Hadron properties from QCD sum rules, Phys. Rep. 127, 1 (1985).
- [67] C. McNeile, A. Bazavov, C. T. H. Davies, R. J. Dowdall, K. Hornbostel, G. P. Lepage, and H. D. Trottier, Direct determination of the strange and light quark condensates from full lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 87, 034503 (2013).
- [68] L. Chang, Y. X. Liu, M. S. Bhagwat, C. D. Roberts, and S. V. Wright, Dynamical chiral symmetry breaking and a critical mass, Phys. Rev. C 75, 015201 (2007).