Magnetic moments and electromagnetic form factors of the decuplet baryons in chiral perturbation theory

Hao-Song Li,^{1,*} Zhan-Wei Liu,^{2,3,†} Xiao-Lin Chen,^{4,‡} Wei-Zhen Deng,^{4,§} and Shi-Lin Zhu^{1,5,∥}

¹School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

²School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

³CSSM, Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia

⁴School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁵Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China

(Received 19 August 2016; revised manuscript received 9 January 2017; published 3 April 2017)

We have systematically investigated the magnetic moments and magnetic form factors of the decuplet baryons to the next-to-next-to-leading order in the framework of heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory. Our calculation includes the contributions from both the intermediate decuplet and octet baryon states in the loops. We have also calculated the charge and magnetic dipole form factors of the decuplet baryons. Our results may be useful for the chiral extrapolation of the lattice simulations of the decuplet electromagnetic properties.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.076001

I. INTRODUCTION

Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) is a very useful framework in hadron physics in the low-energy regime. ChPT was first proposed to study the purely pseudoscalar meson system with the consistent chiral power-counting scheme [1], which enables us to calculate either a physical process or hadron property order by order. For example, the pion-pion scattering amplitude in the low-energy regime can be expanded in terms of $\frac{m_{\pi}}{\Lambda_{\chi}}$ and $\frac{p}{\Lambda_{\chi}}$, where $\Lambda_{\chi} = 4\pi f_{\pi}$ and p is the three-momentum of the pion. In the chiral limit, $m_{\pi} \rightarrow 0$. The above scattering amplitude converges quickly with the soft pion momentum.

The extension of the ChPT to the matter field introduces a new large energy scale, the mass of the matter field which does not vanish in the chiral limit. Hence, this mass scale M will spoil the convergence of the chiral expansion. To overcome this obstacle, heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory (HBChPT) was developed [2,3]. Within this scheme, one also performs the heavy baryon expansion in terms of 1/M together with the chiral expansion. With the help of HBChPT, the octet baryon masses, Compton scattering amplitudes, axial charge, various electromagnetic form factors, and many other observables have been investigated systematically [3–11].

However, because of the nonrelativistic treatment of the baryon propagators, HBChPT also has its shortcomings. To satisfy the analyticity constraints lost in the HBChPT, the covariant ChPT has been applied to the study of several physical observables such as the pion scattering, baryon magnetic moments, axial form factors, and baryon masses [12–18]. In Ref. [12], Gegelia addressed the problem of matching HBChPT to relativistic theory. A new renormalization scheme leading to a simple and consistent power counting in the single-nucleon sector of relativistic chiral perturbation theory was discussed in Ref. [13]. The electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon were calculated to order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ in relativistic chiral perturbation theory in Ref. [14]. In Ref. [15], the masses of the ground-state baryon octet and the nucleon sigma terms were discussed in the framework of manifestly Lorentzinvariant baryon chiral perturbation theory. An analysis of the baryon octet and decuplet masses using covariant SU(3)-flavor chiral perturbation theory up to next-toleading order was presented in Ref. [16]. A novel analysis of the πN scattering amplitude in Lorentz covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory renormalized in the extendedon-mass-shell scheme has been presented in Ref. [17]. In Ref. [18], the octet-baryon axial-vector charges were studied up to $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ using the covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory with explicit decuplet contributions.

Covariant ChPT also has problems in the power counting introduced by the baryon mass as a new large scale. To combine the advantages of the relativistic and heavybaryon approaches, the infrared regularization was proposed in Refs. [19,20]. Kubis employed the infrared regularization scheme to analyze the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon to fourth order in relativistic baryon chiral perturbation theory in Refs. [21,22]. In Ref. [23], a systematic infrared regularization for chiral effective field theories including spin-3/2 fields was discussed. In Ref. [24], the authors extended the method of the infrared

haosongli@pku.edu.cn

zhan-wei.liu@adelaide.edu.au

chenxl@pku.edu.cn

dwz@pku.edu.cn

zhusl@pku.edu.cn

regularization to spin-1 fields. In Refs. [25,26], the authors reformulated the infrared regularization of Becher and Leutwyler [20] in a form analogous to their extended on-mass-shell renormalization scheme and calculated the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon up to fourth order. In Ref. [27], the authors analyzed the pion-nucleon scattering using the manifestly relativistic covariant framework of infrared regularization up to $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ in the chiral expansion.

In the last two decades, there have been many investigations of the baryon properties in chiral perturbation theory [28–37]. In Refs. [28,29], the octet and decuplet baryon masses were calculated to next-to-next-to-leading order in heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory and partially quenched heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory. The electromagnetic properties of the baryons were calculated in Refs. [30–34]. Since more and more charmed and bottomed baryons were observed experimentally, there has also been much work on the charmed or bottomed baryons in the last decade [38–45]. We will mainly investigate the electromagnetic properties of decuplet baryons in this work.

Historically, the experimental observation of the anomalous magnetic moment of the nucleon provides crucial evidence that the nucleon is not a point particle. In fact, the magnetic moment of the baryon is an equally important observable as its mass, which encodes valuable information of its inner structure. In the past several decades, the magnetic moments of the octet baryons have been investigated extensively [46–48]. In fact, their values have been measured quite precisely [49]. Within the ChPT framework, the magnetic moments of the octet baryons have been investigated by many groups [50–60].

The direct measurement of the magnetic moments of the excited baryons is difficult because of their short life. However, their magnetic moments and other electromagnetic form factors of the short-lived states can be measured from the polarization observables of the decay products [61] or by using the phenomenon of spin rotation in crystals [62]. The study of the magnetic moments of the nucleon excited states have been planned at the Mainz Microtron (MAMI) facility [63–65] and Jefferson Laboratory [66]. These groups have already realized the very first effort in measuring the magnetic moments.

The decuplet baryons are the spin-flavor excitations of the octet baryons. In strong contrast, the present knowledge of the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons is rather poor. According to PDG [49], only the Ω^- magnetic moment is measured precisely with $\mu_{\Omega^-} = (-2.02 \pm 0.05)\mu_N$. The other members of the decuplet baryons are much more unstable, which renders the experimental measurement of their magnetic moments very challenging. After huge efforts, the Δ^{++} and Δ^+ magnetic moments were extracted with sizable uncertainty, $\mu_{\Delta^{++}} = (5.6 \pm 1.9)\mu_N$ and $\mu_{\Delta^+} = (2.7 \pm 3.5)\mu_N$.

The electromagnetic properties of the decuplet baryons have been studied in various approaches such as the Skyrme model [67–69], the cloudy-bag model [70], quark models [71,72], QCD sum rules [73-76], chiral perturbation theory [77-83], lattice QCD [84-87], and so on [88–90]. The magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments of the decuplet baryons were computed to next-to-leading order with chiral perturbation theory in Ref. [77], where both the octet and decuplet baryons were included in the chiral loops. In Ref. [78], the Roper contribution to the Δ magnetic moments was discussed. In Refs. [79,83], the electromagnetic properties of the decuplet baryons were calculated to next-to-leading order in quenched and partially quenched chiral perturbation theory, respectively. In Ref. [80], the magnetic dipole moment (MDM) of $\Delta(1232)$ was calculated in the framework of manifestly Lorentz invariant baryon chiral perturbation theory with the so-called extended on-mass-shell renormalization scheme. In Refs. [81,82], the authors studied the radiative pion photoproduction on the nucleon $(\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N \gamma')$ in the Δ -resonance region, with the aim of determining the MDM of the $\Delta^+(1232)$. In Ref. [91], the authors reviewed the recent progress in understanding the nature of the Δ resonance and its electromagnetic excitation.

In Ref. [84], the electromagnetic properties of the SU(3)flavor decuplet baryons were examined within a quenched lattice QCD simulation. The magnetic moments of the Δ baryons were extracted from a lattice QCD simulation in Ref. [85]. Techniques were developed to calculate the four electromagnetic form factors of the Δ using lattice QCD simulation in Refs. [86,87], with particular emphasis on the subdominant electric quadrupole form factor that probes the deformation of Δ . The electromagnetic form factors of the Ω^- baryon were studied in lattice QCD in [92].

Lattice QCD simulation can provide the electromagnetic form factors from the first principle of QCD. But it usually gives results at large pion masses. The extrapolated values at the physical pion mass will be different with different dependence on the pion mass [93]. With the extrapolating expressions obtained from ChPT, the electromagnetic form factors of octet baryons simulated on the lattice are obviously improved [11,60,94]. Our work will also help with the extrapolation of the electromagnetic form factors of the decuplet baryons on the lattice in the future.

We investigate the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons to $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ within the framework of HBChPT at the one-loop level. The $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ results would give some corrections to the magnetic moments of decuplet baryons as in the case of the masses and form factors of octet baryons [53,95]. Moreover, one cannot judge whether the chiral expansion up to $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ converges or not without the numerical values of $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$. We also discuss the charge radii and magnetic radii of the decuplet baryons where the short-distance low-energy constant (LEC) is estimated with the help of the vector meson dominance (VMD) model and the long-range part is uniquely fixed by the loop corrections.

We explicitly consider both the octet and decuplet intermediate states in the loop calculation because the mass splitting between the octet and decuplet baryons is small. Moreover, the decuplet baryons generally strongly couple to the octet baryons. For example, the Δ resonance couples to the $N\pi$ channel very strongly. We use the dimensional regularization and modified minimal subtraction scheme to deal with the divergences from the loop corrections.

We will calculate the charge (E0), electro-quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic octupole (M3) form factors of the decuplet baryons in the framework of HBChPT. In the limit $q^2 = 0$, we extract the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons. Since the experimental measurement of the electro-quadrupole and magnetic octupole form factors of the decuplet baryons will be extremely difficult in the future, we focus on the calculation of the charge and magnetic form factors.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the electromagnetic form factors of the spin-3/2 particles. We introduce the effective chiral Lagrangians of the decuplet baryon in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we calculate the multipole form factors of the decuplet baryons order by order. We estimate the low-energy constants in Sec. V. We present our numerical results in Sec. VI and conclude in Sec. VII. We collect some useful formulas and the coefficients of the loop corrections in Appendix A and B.

II. ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM FACTORS OF DECUPLET BARYONS

A. Multipole form factors

When the electromagnetic current is sandwiched between two decuplet baryon states, one can write down the general matrix elements which satisfy the gauge invariance, parity conservation, and time-reversal invariance [96]:

$$\langle T(p')|J_{\mu}|T(p)\rangle = \bar{u}^{\rho}(p')O_{\rho\mu\sigma}(p',p)u^{\sigma}(p), \quad (1)$$

where

$$O_{\rho\mu\sigma}(p',p) = g_{\rho\sigma} \left(A_1 \gamma_\mu + \frac{A_2}{2M_T} P_\mu \right) + \frac{q_\rho q_\sigma}{(2M_T)^2} \left(C_1 \gamma_\mu + \frac{C_2}{2M_T} P_\mu \right), \quad (2)$$

where p and p' are the momenta of decuplet baryons. In the above equations, P = p' + p, q = p' - p, M_T is the decuplet-baryon mass, and $u_{\rho}(p)$ is the Rarita-Schwinger spinor for an on-shell heavy baryons satisfying $p^{\rho}u_{\rho}(p) = 0$ and $\gamma^{\rho}u_{\rho}(p) = 0$. Note that $A_{1,2}$ and $C_{1,2}$ are real functions of q^2 . In the literature, there exists another definition of the tensor $O_{\rho\mu\sigma}(p',p)$ [97]:

$$O_{\rho\mu\sigma}(p',p) = g_{\rho\sigma}(a_1\gamma_\mu + a_2P_\mu) + a_3(q_\rho g_{\mu\sigma} - g_{\rho\mu}q_\sigma) + q_\rho q_\sigma(c_1\gamma_\mu + c_2P_\mu) + ic_3\gamma_5\epsilon_{\rho\mu\sigma\lambda}q^\lambda, \quad (3)$$

where a_i and c_i are real functions of q^2 . Here, $\epsilon_{\rho\mu\sigma\lambda}$ is the totally antisymmetric rank-4 tensor with $\epsilon_{0123} = 1$. However, the expression in Eq. (3) contains two additional terms (the b term and the d term) which are not linearly independent of the other terms. For example, the tensor structure $(q_{\rho}g_{\mu\sigma} - g_{\rho\mu}q_{\sigma})$ is not dependent if both the initial and final decuplet baryons are on shell,

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}^{\rho}(p')(q_{\rho}g_{\mu\sigma} - g_{\rho\mu}q_{\sigma})u^{\sigma}(p) \\ &= \bar{u}^{\rho}(p') \bigg[2M_{T} \bigg(1 - \frac{q^{2}}{4M_{T}^{2}} \bigg) g_{\rho\sigma}\gamma_{\mu} \\ &- g_{\rho\sigma}P_{\mu} + \frac{1}{M_{T}}q_{\rho}q_{\sigma}\gamma_{\mu} \bigg] u^{\sigma}(p). \end{split}$$
(4)

In the following, we use Eq. (2) to define the charge (E0), electro-quadrupole (E2), magnetic-dipole (M1), and magnetic octupole (M3) multipole form factors of the decuplet baryons,

$$\begin{cases}
G_{E0}(q^2) = \left(1 + \frac{2}{3}\tau\right)[A_1 + (1+\tau)A_2] - \frac{1}{3}\tau(1+\tau)[C_1 + (1+\tau)C_2], \\
G_{E2}(q^2) = [A_1 + (1+\tau)A_2] - \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau)[C_1 + (1+\tau)C_2], \\
G_{M1}(q^2) = (1 + \frac{4}{5}\tau)A_1 - \frac{2}{5}\tau(1+\tau)C_1, \\
G_{M3}(q^2) = A_1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau)C_1,
\end{cases}$$
(5)

where $\tau = -\frac{q^2}{(2M_T)^2}$. With $q^2 = 0$, we obtain the charge, electro-quadrupole moment, magnetic moment, magnetic octupole moment, and charge radii of the decuplet baryons, etc.,

LI, LIU, CHEN, DENG, and ZHU

$$\begin{cases} G_{E0}(0) = A_1 + A_2, \\ G_{E2}(0) = A_1 + A_2 - \frac{1}{2}(C_1 + C_2), \\ G_{M1}(0) = A_1, \\ G_{M3}(0) = A_1 - \frac{1}{2}C_1 \\ \langle r^2 \rangle = 6 \frac{dG_{E0}(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2 = 0}. \end{cases}$$
(6)

B. Form factors in the nonrelativistic limit

In the heavy baryon limit, the baryon field B can be decomposed into the large component \mathcal{N} and the small component \mathcal{H} ,

$$B = e^{-iM_B v \cdot x} (\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{H}), \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = e^{iM_B v \cdot x} \frac{1 + \varkappa}{2} B,$$

$$\mathcal{H} = e^{iM_B v \cdot x} \frac{1 - \varkappa}{2} B,$$
 (8)

where M_B is the octet-baryon mass and $v_{\mu} = (1, \vec{0})$ is the velocity of the baryon. For the decuplet baryon, the large component is denoted as \mathcal{T}_{μ} . Now the decuplet matrix elements of the electromagnetic current J_{μ} can be parametrized as

$$\langle \mathcal{T}(p')|J_{\mu}|\mathcal{T}(p)\rangle = \bar{u}^{\rho}(p')\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}(p',p)u^{\sigma}(p).$$
(9)

The tensor $\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}$ can be parametrized in terms of four Lorentz invariant form factors,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}(p',p) = g_{\rho\sigma} \bigg[v_{\mu} F_1(q^2) + \frac{[S_{\mu}, S_{\alpha}]}{M_T} q^{\alpha} F_2(q^2) \bigg] + \frac{q^{\rho} q^{\sigma}}{(2M_T)^2} \bigg[v_{\mu} F_3(q^2) + \frac{[S_{\mu}, S_{\alpha}]}{M_T} q^{\alpha} F_4(q^2) \bigg].$$
(10)

The multipole form factors are

$$\begin{cases}
G_{E0}(q^2) = \left(1 + \frac{2}{3}\tau\right)[F_1 + \tau(F_1 - F_2)] - \frac{1}{3}\tau(1 + \tau)[F_3 + \tau(F_3 - F_4)], \\
G_{E2}(q^2) = [F_1 + \tau(F_1 - F_2)] - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau)[F_3 + \tau(F_3 - F_4)], \\
G_{M1}(q^2) = \left(1 + \frac{4}{5}\tau\right)F_2 - \frac{2}{5}\tau(1 + \tau)F_4, \\
G_{M3}(q^2) = F_2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau)F_4.
\end{cases}$$
(11)

Accordingly, the multipole form factors at $q^2 = 0$ lead to the charge (Q), the magnetic dipole moment (μ), the electric quadrupole moment (\mathbb{Q}), and the magnetic octupole moment (\mathcal{O}):

$$\begin{cases}
Q = G_{E0}(0) = F_1, \\
\mathbb{Q} = \frac{e}{M_T^2} G_{E2}(0) = \frac{e}{M_T^2} (F_1 - \frac{1}{2} F_3), \\
\mu = \frac{e}{2M_T} G_{M1}(0) = \frac{e}{2M_T} F_2, \\
\mathcal{O} = \frac{e}{2M_T^3} G_{M3}(0) = \frac{e}{2M_T^3} (F_2 - \frac{1}{2} F_4) \\
\langle r_E^2 \rangle = 6 \frac{dG_{E0}(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2 = 0}.
\end{cases}$$
(12)

III. CHIRAL LAGRANGIANS

A. Strong interaction chiral Lagrangians

The pseudoscalar meson fields are introduced as follows,

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta & \sqrt{2}\pi^+ & \sqrt{2}K^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\pi^- & -\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta & \sqrt{2}K^0 \\ \sqrt{2}K^- & \sqrt{2}\bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (13)

In the framework of ChPT, the chiral connection and axial vector field are defined as [4,98]

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} [u^{\dagger}(\partial_{\mu} - ir_{\mu})u + u(\partial_{\mu} - il_{\mu})u^{\dagger}], \qquad (14)$$

$$u_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} i [u^{\dagger} (\partial_{\mu} - ir_{\mu})u - u(\partial_{\mu} - il_{\mu})u^{\dagger}], \qquad (15)$$

where

$$u^2 = U = \exp(i\phi/f_0). \tag{16}$$

Here, f_0 is the decay constant of the pseudoscalar meson in the chiral limit. The experimental value of the pion decay constant $f_{\pi} \approx 92.4$ MeV, while $f_K \approx 113$ MeV, $f_{\eta} \approx 116$ MeV.

The lowest order $[\mathcal{O}(p^2)]$ pure meson Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} = \frac{f_0^2}{4} \operatorname{Tr}[\nabla_{\mu} U (\nabla^{\mu} U)^{\dagger}], \qquad (17)$$

where

$$\nabla_{\mu}U = \partial_{\mu}U - ir_{\mu}U + iUl_{\mu}.$$
 (18)

For the electromagnetic interaction,

$$r_{\mu} = l_{\mu} = -eQA_{\mu}, \qquad Q = \text{diag}\left(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right).$$
 (19)

The spin-1/2 octet field reads

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda & \Sigma^{+} & p \\ \Sigma^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda & n \\ \Xi^{-} & \Xi^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (20)

For the spin-3/2 decuplet field, we adopt the Rarita-Schwinger field $T^{\mu} \equiv T^{\mu \ abc}$ [99]:

$$T^{111} = \Delta^{++}, \qquad T^{112} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Delta^{+}, \qquad T^{122} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Delta^{0},$$

$$T^{222} = \Delta^{-}, \qquad T^{113} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Sigma^{*+}, \qquad T^{123} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Sigma^{*0},$$

$$T^{223} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Sigma^{*-}, \qquad T^{133} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Xi^{*0},$$

$$T^{233} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Xi^{*-}, \qquad T^{333} = \Omega^{-}.$$
(21)

The leading-order pseudoscalar meson and baryon interaction Lagrangians read [50,99]

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{0}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Tr}[\bar{B}(i\mathcal{D} - M_{B})B] + \operatorname{Tr}\bar{T}^{\mu}[-g_{\mu\nu}(i\mathcal{D} - M_{T}) + i(\gamma_{\mu}D_{\mu} + \gamma_{\nu}D_{\mu}) - \gamma_{\mu}(i\mathcal{D} + M_{T})\gamma_{\nu}]T^{\nu}, \qquad (22)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{int}}^{(1)} = \mathcal{C}[\text{Tr}(\bar{T}^{\mu}u_{\mu}B) + \text{Tr}(\bar{B}u_{\mu}T^{\mu})] + \mathcal{H}\text{Tr}(\bar{T}^{\mu}g_{\mu\nu}\mathscr{U}\gamma_{5}T^{\nu}),$$
(23)

where M_B is the octet-baryon mass, M_T is the decupletbaryon mass, and

$$D_{\mu}B = \partial_{\mu}B + [\Gamma_{\mu}, B],$$

$$D^{\nu}(T^{\mu})_{abc} = \partial^{\nu}(T^{\mu})_{abc} + (\Gamma^{\nu})^{d}_{a}(T^{\mu})_{dbc}$$

$$+ (\Gamma^{\nu})^{d}_{b}(T^{\mu})_{adc} + (\Gamma^{\nu})^{d}_{c}(T^{\mu})_{abd}.$$
 (24)

We also need the second-order pseudoscalar meson and decuplet baryon interaction Lagrangian,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\rm int}^{(2)} = \frac{ig_2}{4M_B} \operatorname{Tr}(g_{\rho\sigma} \bar{T}^{\rho}[u_{\mu}, u_{\nu}] \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^{\sigma}), \qquad (25)$$

where the superscript denotes the chiral order and g_2 is the coupling constant.

In the framework of HBChPT, the baryon field *B* is decomposed into the large component \mathcal{N} and the small component \mathcal{H} . We denote the large component of the decuplet baryon as \mathcal{T}_{μ} . The leading-order nonrelativistic

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 95, 076001 (2017)

pseudoscalar meson and baryon Lagrangians read [50]

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Tr}[\bar{\mathcal{N}}(iv \cdot D - \delta)\mathcal{N}] - i\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu}(v \cdot D)\mathcal{T}_{\mu}, \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{(1)} = \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu}u_{\mu}\mathcal{N} + \bar{\mathcal{N}}u_{\mu}\mathcal{T}^{\mu}) + 2\mathcal{H}\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu}S^{\nu}u_{\nu}\mathcal{T}_{\mu}, \quad (27)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{0}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{(1)}$ are the free and interaction parts, respectively. S_{μ} is the covariant spin operator, and $\delta = M_B - M_T$ is the octet and decuplet baryon mass splitting. In the isospin symmetry limit, $\delta = -0.2937$ GeV. We do not consider the mass difference among different decuplet baryons. The $\phi \mathcal{NT}$ coupling $\mathcal{C} = -1.2 \pm 0.1$ while the $\phi \mathcal{TT}$ coupling $\mathcal{H} = -2.2 \pm 0.6$ [100]. For the pseudoscalar meson masses, we use $m_{\pi} = 0.140$ GeV, $m_K = 0.494$ GeV, and $m_{\eta} = 0.550$ GeV. We use the averaged masses for the octet and decuplet baryons, and $M_B = 1.158$ GeV, $M_T = 1.452$ GeV.

The second-order nonrelativistic pseudoscalar meson and baryon Lagrangian reads,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(2)} = \frac{g_2}{2M_B} \text{Tr}(g_{\rho\sigma} \bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho} [S^{\mu}, S^{\nu}] [u_{\mu}, u_{\nu}] \mathcal{T}^{\sigma}), \qquad (28)$$

where g_2 is the $\phi\phi TT$ coupling constant to be determined. In fact, there exist several $\phi\phi TT$ interaction terms with other Lorentz structures. However, these additional terms do not contribute to the present investigations of the electromagnetic form factors of the decuplet baryons. So we omit them and keep the g_2 term only.

B. Electromagnetic chiral Lagrangians at $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$

The lowest order $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangian contributes to the magnetic moments and magnetic dipole form factors of the decuplet baryons at tree level [50],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{T}}^{(2)} = \frac{-i}{2M_{B}} \mathrm{Tr}\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu} (b - b_{q^{2}} \partial^{2}) F_{\mu\nu}^{+} \mathcal{T}^{\nu}, \qquad (29)$$

where the coefficients *b* and b_{q^2} are new LECs which contribute to the magnetic moments and magnetic radii of the decuplet baryons at tree level, respectively. The chirally covariant QED field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$ is defined as

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{\pm} = u^{\dagger} F_{\mu\nu}^{R} u \pm u F_{\mu\nu}^{L} u^{\dagger},$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{R} = \partial_{\mu} r_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} r_{\mu} - i[r_{\mu}, r_{\nu}],$$
(30)

$$F^L_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu l_\nu - \partial_\nu l_\mu - i[l_\mu, l_\nu], \qquad (31)$$

where $r_{\mu} = l_{\mu} = -eQA_{\mu}$. The operator $F_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$ transforms as the adjoint representation. Recall that the direct product $10 \otimes \overline{10} = 1 \oplus 8 \oplus 27 \oplus 64$ contains only one adjoint representation. Therefore, there is only one independent interaction term in the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangians for the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons. LI, LIU, CHEN, DENG, and ZHU

The lowest order Lagrangians which contribute to the magnetic moments of the octet baryons at tree level are [53]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{\mathcal{N}}}^{(2)} = b_{F} \frac{-i}{4M_{B}} \operatorname{Tr}\bar{\mathcal{N}}[S^{\mu}, S^{\nu}][F_{\mu\nu}^{+}, \mathcal{N}] + b_{D} \frac{-i}{4M_{B}} \operatorname{Tr}\bar{\mathcal{N}}[S^{\mu}, S^{\nu}]\{F_{\mu\nu}^{+}, \mathcal{N}\}.$$
(32)

The lowest order Lagrangians which contribute to the decuplet-octet transition magnetic moments at tree level are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_{TN}}^{(2)} = b_2 \frac{-i}{2M_B} \text{Tr}\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu}^+ S^{\nu} \mathcal{N} + b_3 \frac{-i}{2M_B} \text{Tr}\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu}^+ D^{\nu} \mathcal{N} + \text{H.c.}, \qquad (33)$$

where b_2 is estimated with the help of the quark model. The b_3 term does not contribute to the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons.

C. Higher order electromagnetic chiral Lagrangians

We also need the $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ Lagrangian which contributes to the short-distance part of the charge radii,

$$\mathcal{L}_{r}^{(3)} = \frac{-c_{r}}{4M_{T}^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho}\mathcal{T}_{\rho}v^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^{+}.$$
(34)

The $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ Lagrangian which contributes to the electroquadrupole moments and its radii at tree level [77,79] reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(3)} = \frac{c_{\mathbb{Q}}}{4M_T^2} \operatorname{Tr} \bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\{\rho} \mathcal{T}^{\sigma\}} v^{\mu} \partial_{\rho} F^+_{\mu\sigma}, \qquad (35)$$

where $\bar{T}^{\{\rho}T^{\sigma\}} = \bar{T}^{\rho}T^{\sigma} + \bar{T}^{\sigma}T^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}\bar{T}^{\alpha}T_{\alpha}$.

To calculate the magnetic moments to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$, we also need the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ electromagnetic chiral Lagrangians at tree level. Recall that

 $10 \otimes \overline{10} = 1 \oplus 8 \oplus 27 \oplus 64, \tag{36}$

$$8 \otimes 8 = 1 \oplus 8_1 \oplus 8_2 \oplus 10 \oplus \overline{10} \oplus 27.$$
 (37)

Both $F_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$ and χ^+ transform as the adjoint representation. When the product $F_{\mu\nu\chi}^{\pm}$ belongs to the 1, 8₁, 8₂, and 27 flavor representations, we can write down the chirally invariant $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ electromagnetic Lagrangians. Therefore, it seems there should be four independent interaction terms in the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ chiral Lagrangians. However, it only contains three independent terms after considering C parity,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(4)} = d_{1} \frac{-i}{2M_{B}} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu}\mathcal{T}^{\nu}) \operatorname{Tr}(\chi^{+}F_{\mu\nu}^{+}) + d_{2} \frac{-i}{2M_{B}} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{ijk}^{\mu}(F_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{+i}\chi^{+k})\mathcal{T}^{\nu a j l}) + d_{3} \frac{-i}{2M_{B}} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{ijk}^{\mu}(F_{\mu\nu\chi}^{+}\chi^{+})_{l}^{i}\mathcal{T}^{\nu l j k}),$$
(38)

where $\chi^+ = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)$ at leading order and the factor m_s has been absorbed in the LECs $d_{1,2,3}$.

There is one more term which contributes to the decuplet magnetic moments,

$$\mathcal{L}'^{(4)}_{\mu} = b' \frac{-i}{2M_B} \text{Tr}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\mu} F^+_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{T}^{\nu}) \text{Tr}(\chi^+).$$
(39)

However, its contribution can be absorbed through the renormalization of the LEC b, i.e.,

$$b \to b + \operatorname{Tr}(\chi^+)b'.$$
 (40)

The $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ Lagrangian which contributes to the magnetic octupole moments and its radii at tree level is constructed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(4)} = \frac{-d_{\mathcal{O}}}{8M_T^3} \mathrm{Tr} \bar{\mathcal{T}}^{\{\rho} \mathcal{T}^{\sigma\}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} F^+_{\mu\sigma}.$$
(41)

IV. FORMALISM UP TO ONE-LOOP LEVEL

We apply the standard power-counting scheme of HBChPT. The chiral order D_{χ} of a given diagram is given by [101]

$$D_{\chi} = 4N_L - 2I_M - I_B + \sum_n nN_n,$$
(42)

where N_L is the number of loops, I_M is the number of internal pion lines, I_B is the number of internal octet or decuplet nucleon lines, and N_n is the vertices from the *n*th order Lagrangians. As an example, we consider the one-loop diagram $a(\mathcal{T})$ in Fig. 2. First of all, the number of independent loops $N_L = 1$, the number of internal pion lines $I_M = 1$, and the number of internal octet or decuplet nucleon lines $I_B = 2$. For $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 1$, we obtain $D_{\chi} = 4 - 2 - 2 + 2 + 2 = 4$.

We use Eq. (42) to count the chiral order D_{χ} of the matrix element of the current, $e\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}$. We count the unit charge *e* as $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$. The chiral orders of F_1 , F_2 , F_3 , and F_4 are $(D_{\chi} - 1)$, $(D_{\chi} - 2)$, $(D_{\chi} - 3)$, and $(D_{\chi} - 4)$, respectively, since

$$e\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma} \sim ep^0F_1 + ep^1F_2 + ep^2F_3 + ep^3F_4.$$
 (43)

MAGNETIC MOMENTS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM ...



FIG. 1. The $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ tree-level diagram. The left dot and the right black square represent second- and fourth-order couplings, respectively.

The chiral order of magnetic dipole moments μ is $(D_{\chi} - 1)$ based on Eq. (12).

A. Magnetic moments

Throughout this work, we assume the exact isospin symmetry with $m_u = m_d$. The tree-level Lagrangians in Eqs. (29) and (38) contribute to the decuplet magnetic moments at $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ as shown in Fig. 1. The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the various decuplet states are collected in Table I. All decuplet magnetic moments are given in terms of the LECs b, d_1 , d_2 , and d_3 . There exist several interesting relations,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\mu_{\Sigma^0}^{\text{tree}} = \mu_{\Sigma^+}^{\text{tree}} + \mu_{\Sigma^-}^{\text{tree}}, \\ & 2\mu_{\Sigma^0}^{\text{tree}} = \mu_{\Delta^0}^{\text{tree}} + \mu_{\Xi^0}^{\text{tree}}, \\ & 2\mu_{\Sigma^-}^{\text{tree}} = \mu_{\Xi^-}^{\text{tree}} + \mu_{\Delta^-}^{\text{tree}}, \\ & \mu_{\Omega^-}^{\text{tree}} = \mu_{\Sigma^-}^{\text{tree}} + \mu_{\Xi^-}^{\text{tree}} - \mu_{\Delta^-}^{\text{tree}}. \end{aligned}$$
(44)

There are twelve Feynman diagrams at one-loop level as shown in Fig. 2, and we divide them into six types (a–f) according to the structure. All the vertices in these diagrams come from Eqs. (17) and (26)–(33). In diagram a, the meson vertex is from the strong interaction terms in Eq. (27), while the photon vertex is from the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ treelevel magnetic moment interaction in Eqs. (29), (32), and (33). In diagram b, the photon-meson-baryon vertex is also from the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ tree level magnetic moment interaction in Eq. (29). In diagram c, the two vertices are from the strong interaction and seagull terms, respectively. In diagram d, the meson vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the meson-photon interaction term in Eq. (17). In diagram e, the meson-baryon vertex is from the second-order pseudoscalar meson and baryon Lagrangian in Eq. (28), while the photon vertex is also from the meson-photon interaction term. In diagram f, the meson vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the strong interaction terms, while the photon vertex is from the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ tree-level magnetic moment interaction.

Diagrams a, b, e, and f contribute to the tensor $e\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}$ at $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$, while diagram d contributes at $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$. Diagram c vanishes in the heavy baryon mass limit. If the intermediate baryon is a decuplet (or octet) state, the amplitude of diagram c is denoted as $J_{c(\mathcal{T})}$ (or $J_{c(\mathcal{N})}$). We have

$$J_{c(\mathcal{T})} \propto \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{i}{l^2 - m_{\phi}^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{g_{\mathcal{H}}(S \cdot l)}{f_0} \frac{-iP_{\rho\sigma}^{3/2}}{v \cdot l + i\epsilon} S_{\mu}$$

$$\propto S \cdot v = 0, \qquad (45)$$

$$J_{c(\mathcal{N})} \propto \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{i}{l^2 - m_{\phi}^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{g_{\mathcal{H}} l_{\sigma}}{f_0} \frac{i}{v \cdot l - \omega + i\epsilon} g_{\mu\rho}$$

 $\propto g_{\mu\rho} v_{\sigma},$ (46)

where $P_{\rho\sigma}^{3/2}$ is the nonrelativistic spin-3/2 projector. Note that $J_{c(\mathcal{T})}$ vanishes, and $J_{c(\mathcal{N})}$ also vanishes since $v_{\sigma}u^{\sigma} = 0$. In other words, this diagram does not contribute to the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons in the leading order of the heavy baryon expansion.

For diagram c, there are two adjoint graphs in which the photon moves from the left vertex to the right one. There are also two adjoint graphs for diagram f. We include the contributions from the adjoint graphs in our results. We use

Baryons	$\mathcal{O}(p^1)$ tree	$\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ loop	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ tree	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ loop	Total
Δ^{++}	$\frac{4}{3}b$	-3.54	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1$	$0.49 - 0.50b - 0.02b_D - 0.07b_F - 0.36g_2$	4.97(89)
Δ^+	$\frac{2}{3}b$	-1.91	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1$	$0.22 - 0.21b - 0.01b_D - 0.04b_F - 0.27g_2$	2.60(50)
Δ^0	0	-0.29	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1$	$-0.27 + 0.06b + 0.001b_D - 0.001b_F - 0.18g_2$	0.02(12)
Δ^{-}	$-\frac{2}{3}b$	1.34	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1$	$-0.32 + 0.20b + 0.01b_D + 0.02b_F - 0.14g_2$	-2.48(32)
Σ^{*+}	$\frac{2}{3}b$	-1.63	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1 - \frac{2}{9}d_2 + \frac{4}{9}d_3$	$0.17 - 0.50b - 0.001b_D - 0.04b_F - 0.33g_2$	1.76(38)
Σ^{*0}	0	0	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1 - \frac{2}{9}d_2 + \frac{1}{9}d_3$	$-0.02 - 0.001b_D - 0.24g_2$	-0.02(3)
Σ^{*-}	$-\frac{2}{3}b$	1.63	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1 - \frac{2}{9}d_2 - \frac{2}{9}d_3$	$-0.27 + 0.50b - 0.001b_D + 0.04b_F - 0.15g_2$	-1.85(38)
Ξ^{*0}	0	0.29	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1 - \frac{4}{9}d_2 + \frac{2}{9}d_3$	$-0.21 - 0.06b + 0.01b_D + 0.001b_F - 0.30g_2$	-0.42(13)
Ξ^{*-}	$-\frac{2}{3}b$	1.91	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1 - \frac{4}{9}d_2 - \frac{4}{9}d_3$	$-0.22 + 0.60b - 0.001b_D + 0.04b_F - 0.21g_2$	-1.90(47)
Ω-	$-\frac{2}{3}b$	2.20	$-\frac{2}{3}d_1 - \frac{2}{3}d_2 - \frac{2}{3}d_3$	$0.17 + 0.65b + 0.01b_D + 0.02b_F - 0.27g_2$	-2.02(5)

TABLE I. The magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons to the next-to-next-to-leading order (in unit of μ_N).



FIG. 2. The one-loop diagrams where the decuplet (octet) baryon is denoted by the double (single) solid line. The dashed and wiggly lines represent the pseudoscalar meson and photon, respectively. For the wave function renormalization in diagram f, only representative graphs are shown. We do not list the adjoint graphs for diagram c either.

diagram f to indicate the corrections from the renormalization of the external leg where the Lehmann-Symanzik-Zimmermann reduction formula is used.

The leading-order loop contributions to the multipole form factors are

$$F_{1}^{(2,\text{loop})} = \sum_{\phi=\pi,K} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2}\beta_{T}^{\sigma}}{f_{\phi}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{4} q^{2} (2n_{4\phi}^{\text{II0}} + 2n_{4\phi}^{\text{III0}}) + \frac{5}{6} n_{13\phi}^{\text{III0}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{q^{2}}{2M_{T}} \right) n_{1\phi}^{\text{II0}} \right] + \frac{\mathcal{C}^{2}\beta_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}}{4f_{\phi}^{2}} \left[2n_{13\phi}^{\text{III}} + \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{2M_{T}} \right) n_{1\phi}^{\text{II}} \right] \right\}, \quad (47)$$

$$F_{2}^{(1,\text{loop})} = \sum_{\phi=\pi,K} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2}\beta_{T}^{\phi}}{f_{\phi}^{2}} \left[-\frac{M_{T}}{3} \left(1 + \frac{q^{2}}{4M_{T}^{2}} \right) \right] n_{1\phi}^{\text{IIO}} + \frac{\mathcal{C}^{2}\beta_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}}{f_{\phi}^{2}} \left[-\frac{M_{T}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{q^{2}}{4M_{T}^{2}} \right) \right] n_{1\phi}^{\text{II}} \right\},$$
(48)

$$F_{3}^{(0,\text{loop})} = \sum_{\phi=\pi,K} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2}\beta_{T}^{\phi}}{f_{\phi}^{2}} \frac{-1}{3} \left[4M_{T}^{2} (2n_{4\phi}^{\text{II0}} + 2n_{4\phi}^{\text{III0}}) + 4M_{T}n_{1\phi}^{\text{II0}} \right] + \frac{\mathcal{C}^{2}\beta_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}}{4f_{\phi}^{2}} \left[4M_{T}^{2} (2n_{4\phi}^{\text{II}} + 2n_{4\phi}^{\text{III}}) + 4M_{T}n_{1\phi}^{\text{III}} \right] \right\},$$

$$(49)$$

$$F_4^{(-1,\text{loop})} = 0, (50)$$

where $n_{1\phi}^{\text{II}}$, $n_{4\phi}^{\text{II}}$, $n_{4\phi}^{\text{III}}$, $n_{3\phi}^{\text{III}}$ are n_1^{II} , n_4^{II} , n_{4}^{III} , n_{13}^{III} , respectively, defined in Appendix A with $m = m_{\phi}$ and $\omega = \delta$. When $\omega = 0$, they become $n_{1\phi}^{\text{II0}}$, $n_{4\phi}^{\text{II0}}$, $n_{4\phi}^{\text{III0}}$, $n_{13\phi}^{\text{III0}}$. The coefficients $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}^{\phi}$ and $\beta_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$ arise from the decuplet and octet intermediate states, respectively. We use the number *n* within the parentheses in the superscript of $X^{(n,\ldots)}$ to indicate the chiral order of *X*.

The tensor $e\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}$ at $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ should contribute to F_4 at $\mathcal{O}(p^{-1})$. However, such a contribution is 0 from Eq. (50). Moreover, the loop diagrams in Fig. 2 do not contribute to F_4 up to $\mathcal{O}(p^0)$. Therefore, in our case $F_4 = F_4^{(0,\text{tree})} \sim d_{\mathcal{O}}Q$. If one tries to obtain the next-toleading-order correction of F_4 , $e\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}$ at $\mathcal{O}(p^5)$ must be systematically considered.

Summing all the contributions in Fig. 2, the leading and next-to-leading-order loop corrections to the decuplet magnetic moments can be expressed as

$$\mu_{T}^{(2,\text{loop})} = \frac{e}{2M_{T}} \sum_{\phi=\pi,K} \left[-\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{H}^{2} M_{T} d_{T} \frac{\beta_{T}^{\phi}}{f_{\phi}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^{2} M_{T} \frac{\beta_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}}{f_{\phi}^{2}} d_{\mathcal{N}} \right],$$
(51)

MAGNETIC MOMENTS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM ...

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 95, 076001 (2017)

$$\mu_{T}^{(3,\text{loop})} = \frac{e}{2M_{T}} \left[\sum_{\phi=\pi,K} (\gamma_{b}^{\phi} + \gamma_{e}^{\phi}) \frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{8\pi^{2} f_{\phi}^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{\phi}}{\lambda} - \sum_{\phi=\pi,K,\eta} \left(\frac{2}{3f_{\phi}^{2}} \frac{5b\mathcal{H}^{2}}{12} a_{T} \gamma_{aT}^{\phi} + \frac{\mathcal{C}^{2}}{16f_{\phi}^{2}} a_{N} \gamma_{aN}^{\phi} - \frac{b_{2}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{H}}{3\delta f_{\phi}^{2}} a_{TN} \gamma_{aTN}^{\phi} \right) \right] \\ + \sum_{\phi=\pi,K,\eta} \left(\frac{5\mathcal{H}^{2}}{12} \frac{\mu_{T}^{(1)}}{f_{\phi}^{2}} a_{T} \gamma_{fT}^{\phi} - \frac{\mu_{T}^{(1)}}{4f_{\phi}^{2}} \mathcal{C}^{2} a_{N} \gamma_{fN}^{\phi} \right),$$
(52)

where $\lambda = 1$ GeV is the renormalization scale. Note that γ_{aT}^{ϕ} , γ_{aN}^{ϕ} , γ_{aTN}^{ϕ} , γ_{b}^{ϕ} , γ_{e}^{ϕ} , γ_{fT}^{ϕ} , and γ_{fN}^{ϕ} arise from the corresponding diagrams in Fig. 2. We collect their explicit expressions in Tables V–VII in Appendix B.

$$d_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{m_{\phi}}{16\pi},\tag{53}$$

$$d_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \begin{cases} \delta \left(\log \frac{m_{\phi}^2}{\lambda^2} - 1 \right) - 2\sqrt{\delta^2 - m_{\phi}^2} \left(\operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{-\delta}{m_{\phi}} \right) - i\pi \right) & \phi = \pi \\ \delta \left(\log \frac{m_{\phi}^2}{\lambda^2} - 1 \right) + 2\sqrt{m_{\phi}^2 - \delta^2} \operatorname{arccos} \left(-\frac{\delta}{m_{\phi}} \right) & \phi = K, \eta, \end{cases}$$
(54)

$$a_{\mathcal{T}} = -\frac{m_{\phi}^2}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{m_{\phi}}{\lambda},\tag{55}$$

$$a_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \begin{cases} (m_{\phi}^2 - 2\delta^2) \log\left(\frac{m_{\phi}^2}{\lambda^2}\right) + 4\delta\sqrt{\delta^2 - m_{\phi}^2} \left(\operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{-\delta}{m_{\phi}}\right) - i\pi\right) + 2\delta^2 & \phi = \pi \\ (m_{\phi}^2 - 2\delta^2) \log\left(\frac{m_{\phi}^2}{\lambda^2}\right) - 4\delta\sqrt{m_{\phi}^2 - \delta^2} \operatorname{arccos}\left(-\frac{\delta}{m_{\phi}}\right) + 2\delta^2 & \phi = K, \eta, \end{cases}$$
(56)

$$a_{TN} = \frac{1}{144\pi^2} \left[(6\delta^3 - 9m_{\phi}^2 \delta) \log\left(\frac{m_{\phi}^2}{\lambda^2}\right) + 2(3\pi m_{\phi}^3 + 6m_{\phi}^2 \delta - 5\delta^3) \right] - \frac{1}{12\pi^2} \begin{cases} (\delta^2 - m_{\phi}^2)^{3/2} \left(\arccos\left(\frac{-\delta}{m_{\phi}}\right) - i\pi\right) & \phi = \pi \\ (m_{\phi}^2 - \delta^2)^{3/2} \arccos\left(-\frac{\delta}{m_{\phi}}\right) & \phi = K, \eta. \end{cases}$$
(57)

With the low-energy counterterms and loop contributions (51) and (52), we obtain the magnetic moments,

$$\mu_{\mathcal{T}} = \{\mu_{\mathcal{T}}^{(1)}\} + \{\mu_{\mathcal{T}}^{(2,\text{loop})}\} + \{\mu_{\mathcal{T}}^{(3,\text{tree})} + \mu_{\mathcal{T}}^{(3,\text{loop})}\}, \quad (58)$$

where $\mu_T^{(1)}$ and $\mu_T^{(3,\text{tree})}$ are the tree-level magnetic moments as shown in Table I.

B. Electromagnetic form factors and radii

From the tensor $e\mathcal{O}_{\rho\mu\sigma}$ up to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$, the magnetic dipole form factor with the corrections at next-to-next-to-leading order is

$$G_{M1}(q^2) = \{F_2^{(0)}\} + \{F_2^{(1,\text{loop})} - F_2^{(\text{rec,loop})}\} + \{Q\tilde{b}_{q^2}q^2 + F_2^{(2)} + F_2^{(\text{rec,loop})}\},$$
(59)

where the terms in the first, second, and third sets of curly braces are G_{M1} at the leading, next-to-leading, and

next-to-next-to-leading order, respectively. Here, $F_2^{(0)} = 2M_T \mu_T^{(1)}/e$, $F_2^{(2)} = 2M_T (\mu_T^{(3,\text{tree})} + \mu_T^{(3,\text{loop})})/e$, and

$$F_2^{(\text{rec,loop})} = -\frac{q^2}{4M_T} \sum_{\phi=\pi,K} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}^2 \beta_T^\phi}{3f_\phi^2} n_{1\phi}^{\text{II0}} - \frac{\mathcal{C}^2 \beta_N^\phi}{2f_\phi^2} n_{1\phi}^{\text{II}} \right).$$
(60)

The other multipole form factors are

$$G_{E0}(q^2) = \{Q\} + \left\{ Q\tilde{c}_r q^2 + F_1^{(2,\text{loop})} - \frac{1}{3}\tau F_3^{(0,\text{loop})} \right\}, \quad (61)$$

$$G_{E2}(q^2) = \left\{ Q\tilde{c}_{\mathbb{Q}} - \frac{1}{2}F_3^{(0,\text{loop})} \right\},$$
 (62)

$$G_{M3}(q^2) = Q\tilde{d}_{\mathcal{O}},\tag{63}$$

where \tilde{b}_{q^2} , \tilde{c}_r , $\tilde{c}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $\tilde{d}_{\mathcal{O}}$ are the linear combinations of LECs b, b_{q^2} , c_r , $c_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $d_{\mathcal{O}}$. We can estimate the LECs \tilde{b}_{q^2}

and \tilde{c}_r with the SU(3) VMD model as shown in Sec. VA. However, the LECs $\tilde{c}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\tilde{d}_{\mathcal{O}}$ are still unknown for the electro-quadrupole and magnetic octupole form factors. Hence, we do not list the loop corrections to these multipole form factors at higher order.

The charge and magnetic radii of the decuplet baryons can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_E^2 \rangle &= 6 \frac{dG_{E0}(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2 = 0} \\ &= \langle r_E^2 \rangle^{\text{tree}} + \langle r_E^2 \rangle^{\text{loop}} \\ &= [6Q\tilde{c}_r] + 6 \left[\frac{dF_1^{(2,\text{loop})}}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2 = 0} + \frac{1}{12M_T^2} F_3^{(0,\text{loop})}(0) \right], \end{aligned}$$
(64)

$$\begin{aligned} r_{M}^{2} &= \frac{6}{G_{M1}(0)} \frac{dG_{M1}(q^{2})}{dq^{2}} \\ &= \langle r_{M}^{2} \rangle^{\text{tree}} + \langle r_{M}^{2} \rangle^{\text{loop}} \\ &= \frac{6}{G_{M1}(0)} Q \tilde{b}_{q^{2}} + \frac{6}{G_{M1}(0)} \frac{dF_{2}^{(1,\text{loop})}}{dq^{2}} \Big|_{q^{2}=0}. \end{aligned}$$
(65)

For the neutral decuplet baryons, we normalize the magnetic radii as

$$\langle r_M^2 \rangle = 6 \frac{dG_{M1}(q^2)}{dq^2}.$$
(66)

V. ESTIMATION OF THE LOW-ENERGY CONSTANTS

A. Vector meson dominance model and estimation of some LECs

To calculate the tree-level charge radii and magnetic radii, we can use the VMD model to estimate the shortdistance contribution.

It is well known that the charge radii of the proton and pion are dominated by the short-distance contribution, which can be estimated very well by the VMD model. In this work, we use this model to estimate the LECs \tilde{c}_r and \tilde{b}_{q^2} which are related to the charge and magnetic radii of the decuplet baryons, respectively. Within this framework, the virtual photon transforms into a virtual vector meson which couples to the decuplet baryons as shown in Fig. 3.

It is convenient to adopt the antisymmetric Lorentz tensor field formulation for the vector meson [102,103], which has 6 degrees of freedom. But we can dispose of three of them in a systematic way. For details see Ref. [102]. The kinetic and mass term of the effective Lagrangian for the vector meson has the form [102,103]



FIG. 3. The contribution to tree-level charge radii from the vector meson dominance model. The double-solid, double-dashed, and wiggly lines represent the decuplet baryons, the vector meson, and the photon, respectively.

$$\mathcal{L}_{0W} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\partial^{\mu} W_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\sigma} W^{\sigma\nu}) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}(M_{V}^{2} W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}), \quad (67)$$

where

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^{+} & K^{*+} \\ \rho^{-} & -\frac{\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \phi \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (68)

The QED gauge-invariant interaction between the photon and vector meson can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{W}^{(2)} = \frac{f_{V}}{2\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Tr}(W^{\mu\nu}F^{+}_{\mu\nu}).$$
(69)

The vector meson and decuplet baryon interaction Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{W\mathcal{T}}^{(1)} = g_{V\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Tr} \bigg[\bar{T}^{\alpha} \bigg(\frac{1}{M_V} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla^{\nu} W_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\kappa}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu} \bigg) T_{\alpha} \bigg].$$
(70)

Under the SU(3) symmetry, the charge form factor and charge radii of the decuplet baryons are

$$G_{E0}^{\rm VMD}(q^2) = Q \frac{g_{VT} f_V}{\sqrt{2}M_V} \frac{q^2}{-q^2 + M_V^2}, \tag{71}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{E}^{2} \rangle^{\text{tree}} &\approx \langle r_{E}^{2} \rangle^{\text{VMD}} \\ &= 6 \frac{dG_{E0}^{\text{VMD}}(q^{2})}{dq^{2}} \Big|_{q^{2}=0} \\ &= 6Q \frac{g_{VT} f_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{M_{V}^{3}}. \end{aligned}$$
(72)

The magnetic-dipole form factor and magnetic radii of the decuplet baryons are

MAGNETIC MOMENTS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM ...

$$G_{M1}^{\rm VMD}(q^2) = Q \frac{g_{VT} f_V}{\sqrt{2}M_V - q^2 + M_V^2} - \sqrt{2}\kappa Q g_{VT} f_V \frac{M_T}{-q^2 + M_V^2},$$
(73)

$$\langle r_M^2 \rangle^{\text{tree}} \approx \langle r_M^2 \rangle^{\text{VMD}}$$

$$= \frac{6}{G_{M1}(0)} \frac{dG_{M1}^{\text{VMD}}(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2=0}$$

$$= \frac{6Q}{G_{M1}(0)} \left[\frac{g_{VT}f_V}{\sqrt{2}M_V^3} + \frac{G_{M1}^{\text{VMD}}(0)}{M_V^2 Q} \right].$$
(74)

Now the LECs \tilde{c}_r and \tilde{b}_{a^2} read

$$\tilde{c}_r = \frac{g_{VT} f_V}{\sqrt{2}M_V^3},\tag{75}$$

$$\tilde{b}_{q^2} = \frac{g_{VT} f_V}{\sqrt{2}M_V^3} + \frac{G_{M1}^{\text{rec}}(0)}{M_V^2 Q}.$$
(76)

In the numerical analysis, we use $M_{\rho} = 770.0 \pm 0.3$ MeV, $f_{\rho} = f_V = 152.5 \pm 16.5$ MeV, $g_{VT} \approx g_{\rho N} = 4.0 \pm 0.4$ [21], where we have considered the quark model error of around 10% in Sec. V B.

B. Quark model and estimation of some couplings

Comparing the matrix elements at both the hadron and quark level, one can express the couplings in terms of the constituent quark masses and/or other known hadron couplings. To estimate g_{VT} , we first consider the $\Delta^+\Delta^+\rho^0$ and $pp\rho^0$ vertices at the hadron level,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Delta^+\Delta^+\rho^0} = \frac{g_{V\mathcal{I}}}{\sqrt{2}M_V} \bar{\Delta^{+}}^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \rho^0_{\mu\nu} \Delta^+_{\alpha}, \qquad (77)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{pp\rho^0} = \frac{g_{\rho\mathcal{N}}}{\sqrt{2}M_V} \bar{p}\gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \rho^0_{\mu\nu} p.$$
(78)

At the quark level, the quark vector meson interaction reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{qq\rho^0} = g_{qq\rho} \bar{q}_a \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \rho^0_{\mu\nu} q_a. \tag{79}$$

With the help of the flavor wave functions of the static Δ^+ and *p* states, we obtain the matrix elements at the hadron level,

$$\langle \Delta^+ | i \mathcal{L}_{\Delta^+ \Delta^+ \rho^0} | \Delta^+; \rho^0 \rangle = \frac{g_{VT}}{\sqrt{2}M_V} 2m_{\Delta^+} q^{\mu}_{\rho} \epsilon_{\mu 0}, \quad (80)$$

$$\langle p|i\mathcal{L}_{pp\rho^{0}}|p;\rho^{0}\rangle = \frac{g_{\rho\mathcal{N}}}{\sqrt{2}M_{V}}2m_{p}q_{\rho}^{\mu}\epsilon_{\mu0},\qquad(81)$$

and at the quark level,

$$\langle \Delta^+ | i \mathcal{L}_{qq\rho^0} | \Delta^+; \rho^0 \rangle = 2g_{qq\rho} (2m_u + m_d) q^{\mu}_{\rho} \epsilon_{\mu 0}, \qquad (82)$$

$$\langle p|i\mathcal{L}_{qq\rho^0}|p;\rho^0\rangle = 2g_{qq\rho}(2m_u + m_d)q^{\mu}_{\rho}\epsilon_{\mu 0}.$$
 (83)

Comparing the hadron and quark-level matrix element and neglecting the mass difference between p and Δ^+ , we finally obtain

$$g_{VT} = g_{\rho \mathcal{N}}.\tag{84}$$

In the same way, one can estimate the LEC b_2 by comparing the $\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$ matrix element at both the hadron and quark levels with the Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\Sigma^{*0} \to \Lambda + \gamma} = -\frac{b_2}{4M_B} \bar{\Sigma}^{*0\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma_5 \Lambda F_{\mu\nu} \tag{85}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm Im} = -\frac{e}{4} \left(\frac{2}{3m_u} \bar{u} \sigma^{\mu\nu} u - \frac{1}{3m_d} \bar{d} \sigma^{\mu\nu} d - \frac{1}{3m_s} \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu\nu} s \right) F_{\mu\nu}.$$
(86)

We obtain

$$b_2 = 4M_B \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{3\sqrt{6}m_u} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{6}m_d} \right) = 3.45 \pm 0.35, \quad (87)$$

with $m_u = m_d = 336 \pm 34$ MeV [104], where we have considered the quark model error around 10%.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We collect our numerical results of the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons to the next-to-next-toleading order in Table I. We also compare the numerical results of the magnetic moments when the chiral expansion is truncated at orders $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$, $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, and $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$, respectively, in Table II.

At the leading order $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$, there is only one unknown low-energy constant *b*. We use the precise experimental measurement of the Ω^- magnetic moment $\mu_{\Omega^-} = (-2.02 \pm 0.05)\mu_N$ as input to extract $b = 3.03 \pm 0.08$. The magnetic moments of the other decuplet baryons are given in the second column in Table II. Notice that the $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$ tree-level magnetic moments of the neutral baryons Δ^0 , Σ^{*0} , and Ξ^{*0} vanish. In the limit of the exact SU(3) flavor symmetry, there exists only one independent term for the magnetic interaction in the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangian of the decuplet baryons due to the constraint of the decuplet flavor structure. Therefore, the leading-order $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$ magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons are proportional to their

TABLE II. The magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons when the chiral expansion is truncated at $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$, $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, and $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$, respectively (in unit of μ_N).

Baryons	$\mathcal{O}(p^1)$	$\mathcal{O}(p^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$	PDG
Δ^{++}	4.04(10)	4.90(84)	4.97(89)	5.6 ± 1.9
Δ^+	2.02(5)	2.31(47)	2.60(50)	2.7 ± 3.5
Δ^0	0	-0.29(11)	0.02(12)	
Δ^{-}	-2.02(5)	-2.88(27)	-2.48(32)	
Σ^{*+}	2.02(5)	2.59(37)	1.76(38)	
Σ^{*0}	0	0	-0.02(3)	
Σ^{*-}	-2.02(5)	-2.59(37)	-1.85(38)	
Ξ^{*0}	0	0.29(11)	-0.42(13)	
Ξ^{*-}	-2.02(5)	-2.31(47)	-1.90(47)	
Ω-	-2.02(5)	-2.02(5)	-2.02(5)	-2.020.05

charge, which is in strong contrast to the case of the octet baryons. The magnetic moments of the neutral octet baryons do not vanish at leading order because there exist two independent magnetic interaction terms as illustrated in Refs. [50,53].

Up to $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, we need to include both the leading treelevel magnetic moments and the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ loop corrections. At this order, all the coupling constants are well known. There exist no new LECs. Again, we use the experimental value of the Ω^- magnetic moment $\mu_{\Omega^-} = (-2.02 \pm 0.05)\mu_N$ as input to extract the LEC $b = 6.3 \pm 0.1$. We list the numerical results in the third column in Table II, where the errors in the brackets are dominated by the errors of the coupling constants C, \mathcal{H} in Eq. (27).

It is interesting to notice that the magnetic moment of Σ^{*0} still vanishes even at $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$. The reason for this is as follows. Throughout our calculations, we neglect the mass difference among different decuplet baryons in the loop and have used the same propagator $\frac{-iP_{p_1}^{3/2}}{v \cdot q + ic}$ for all the decuplet baryons. In the case of the Σ^{*0} magnetic moment, the loop contributions from different intermediate states cancel each other. In other words, the pion loop contributions with the intermediate baryons Σ^{*+} and Σ^{*-} , Σ^+ and Σ^- cancel each other due to the exact SU(2) flavor symmetry. The kaon loop contributions with the intermediate baryons Δ^+ and Ξ^{*-} , p and Ξ^- cancel each other due to the SU(3) flavor symmetry. Hence, the magnetic moment of Σ^{*0} is zero to $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ in Table II.

Up to $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$, there are seven unknown LECs: $b_{D,F}$, b, g_2 , $d_{1,2,3}$. The first two LECs were extracted in the calculation of the magnetic moments of the octet baryons in Ref. [53]: $b_D = 3.9$, $b_F = 3.0$. We use the experimental value of the Ω^- magnetic moment, the magnetic moments of the Δ baryons in Ref. [85] ($\mu_{\Delta^{++}} = 4.99 \pm 0.56$, $\mu_{\Delta^+} = 2.49 \pm 0.27$, $\mu_{\Delta^0} = 0.06 \pm 0.00$, $\mu_{\Delta^-} = -2.45 \pm 0.27$), and $\mu_{\Sigma^{+0}} = 0$ to extract the remaining five LECs:



FIG. 4. The variation of the normalized electric charge form factor $G_{E0}(-\tilde{q}^2)$ with $\tilde{q}^2 = -q^2 > 0$.

 $b = 6.8 \pm 0.4$, $g_2 = -13.7 \pm 0.1$, $d_1 = 3.5 \pm 0.1$, $d_2 = -1.5 \pm 0.1$, $d_3 = 4.3 \pm 0.1$. We list the numerical results up to $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ in the fourth column in Table II after taking the uncertainties of these inputs into consideration. In the error analysis, we use the least χ^2 fitting tool of the TMinuit software package to get the errors of fitting. To get the total errors of the $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ magnetic moments, we have considered the errors of the coupling constants C, \mathcal{H} , the error of the coupling constant b_2 , and the errors of fitting.

In order to study the convergence of the chiral expansion, we show the numerical results at each order for the decuplet magnetic moment:





For the neutral decuplet baryons, their magnetic moments vanish at $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$. Their total magnetic moments arise from the loop contributions at $\mathcal{O}(p^{2,3})$ and the tree-level LECs

TABLE III. Charge radii and magnetic radii (in fm²).

 $d_{1,2,3}$ at $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ which are related to the strange quark mass correction. For the charged baryons, one observes rather good convergence of the chiral expansion, and the leading-order term dominates in these channels.

In order to illustrate the variation of the multipole form factors with the photon momentum q, we show the $\tilde{q}^2 = -q^2$ dependence of the electric charge and magnetic dipole form factors to $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ in Figs. 4 and 5, where we have used the SU(3) VMD model to estimate the LECs b_{q^2} and c_r as shown in Eqs. (29) and (34).

In Fig. 4 or 5, we notice that there is not much difference between the slopes of the curves. They should be exactly the same for different decuplet baryons if only the tree-level contributions are considered. The difference arises from the loop correction.

The electric quadrupole form factors $G_{E2}(q^2)$ contain interesting information on the deformation of decuplet baryons. Note that $\tilde{c}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ cannot be determined because of the lack of experimental data. But the $\tilde{c}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ term does not change with q^2 . We list the normalized $F_3^{(0,\text{loop})}(-\tilde{q}^2)$ in Fig. 6 to indicate the variation of $G_{E2}(q^2)$.

In Table III we show numerical results for the charge radii and magnetic radii of the decuplet baryons. One can check that the charge radii estimated from the VMD model are proportional to the charge Q of the decuplet baryons, while the magnetic radii estimated from the VMD model are the same for different baryons. In the error analysis, the errors of VMD radii are dominated by the input parameters M_{ρ} , f_V , g_{VT} and their propagation. The chiral correction radii are dominated by the errors of the coupling constants C, \mathcal{H} in Eq. (27).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In short summary, we have systematically studied the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons up to next-tonext-to-leading order in the framework of heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory. With both the octet and decuplet baryon intermediate states in the chiral loops, we have

$\langle r_E^2 \rangle / \text{fm}^2$	VMD	Chiral correction	Total value	$\langle r_M^2 \rangle / \mathrm{fm}^2$	VMD	Chiral correction	Total value
Δ^{++}	0.44(20)	0.16(6)	0.60(21)	Δ^{++}	0.46(11)	0.15(10)	0.61(15)
Δ^+	0.22(10)	0.07(3)	0.29(10)	Δ^+	0.46(11)	0.18(8)	0.64(14)
Δ^0	0	-0.02(1)	-0.02(1)	Δ^0	0	0.07(12)	0.07(12)
Δ^{-}	-0.22(10)	-0.11(5)	-0.33(11)	Δ^{-}	0.46(11)	0.09(15)	0.55(19)
Σ^{*+}	0.22(10)	0.09(4)	0.31(11)	Σ^{*+}	0.46(11)	0.13(12)	0.59(16)
Σ^{*0}	0	0	0	Σ^{*0}	0	0	0
Σ^{*-}	-0.22(10)	-0.09(4)	-0.31(11)	Σ^{*-}	0.46(11)	0.13(12)	0.59(16)
Ξ^{*0}	0	0.02(1)	0.02(1)	Ξ^{*0}	0	-0.07(12)	-0.07(12)
Ξ^{*-}	-0.22(10)	-0.07(3)	-0.29(10)	Ξ^{*-}	0.46(11)	0.18(8)	0.64(14)
Ω-	-0.22(10)	-0.05(2)	-0.27(10)	Ω^{-}	0.46(11)	0.24(4)	0.70(12)

TABLE IV. Comparison of the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons in the literature including lattice QCD (LQCD) [84], the chiral quark model (ChQM) [105], the nonrelativistic quark model (NQM) [106], QCD sum rules (QCD-SR) [74], large N_c [107], covariant ChPT [83], next-to-leading-order HBChPT [77], and PDG [49] (in units of μ_N).

Baryons	Δ^{++}	Δ^+	Δ^0	Δ^{-}	Σ^{*+}	Σ^{*0}	Σ^{*-}	Ξ*0	[]*-	Ω-
LQCD [84]	6.09	3.05	0	-3.05	3.16	0.329	-2.50	0.58	-2.08	-1.73
ChQM [105]	6.93	3.47	0	-3.47	4.12	0.53	-3.06	1.10	-2.61	-2.13
NQM [106]	5.56	2.73	-0.09	-2.92	3.09	0.27	-2.56	0.63	-2.2	-1.84
QCD-SR [74]	4.1	2.07	0	-2.07	2.13	-0.32	-1.66	-0.69	-1.51	-1.49
Large N _c [107]	5.9	2.9		-2.9	3.3	0.3	-2.8	0.65	-2.30	-1.94
Covariant ChPT [83]	6.04	2.84	-0.36	-3.56	3.07	0	-3.07	0.36	-2.56	-2.02
HBChPT [77]	4.0	2.1	-0.17	-2.25	2.0	-0.07	-2.2	0.10	-2.0	-1.94
PDG [49]	5.6 ± 1.9	2.7 ± 3.5								-2.02 ± 0.05
This work	4.97(89)	2.60(50)	0.02(12)	-2.48(32)	1.76(38)	-0.02(3)	-1.85(38)	-0.42(13)	-1.90(47)	-2.02(5)

systematically calculated the chiral corrections to the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons order by order. The chiral expansion converges rather well for the charged channels. In Table IV, we compare our results obtained in HBChPT with those from other model calculations such as lattice QCD [84], the chiral quark model [105], the non-relativistic quark model [106], QCD sum rules [74], large N_c [107], covariant ChPT [83], and next-to-leading-order HBChPT [77]. We also list the experimental values in the PDG [49]. One may observe the qualitatively similar features for the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons.

Because of the SU(3) flavor symmetry, there is one independent low-energy constant at leading order. Hence, the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons are proportional to their charge. Therefore, the magnetic moments of the neutral decuplet baryons vanish at $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$, which differs from the case of the neutral octet baryons. There exist two independent magnetic interaction terms for the octet baryons, which ensures a large magnetic moment for the neutron at leading order.

For the magnetic moment of the Σ^{*0} , the pion loop contributions with the Σ^{*+} and Σ^{*-} , Σ^+ and Σ^- intermediate states cancel each other exactly in the SU(2) symmetry limit. The kaon loop contributions with the Δ^+ and Ξ^{*-} , p and Ξ^- intermediate states cancel each other exactly in the SU(3) symmetry limit. The magnetic moment of Σ^{*0} vanishes even at $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ with SU(3) symmetry. The nonvanishing SU(3) breaking corrections first appear at $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$. In other words, the SU(3) flavor symmetry demands that the magnetic moment of Σ^{*0} be significantly smaller than those of the charged decuplet baryons. We hope that the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons will be measured experimentally in future experiments. Moreover, the analytical expressions derived in this work may be useful to the possible chiral extrapolation of the lattice simulations of the decuplet electromagnetic properties in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H. S. L. is grateful to N. Jiang, B. Zhou, L. Ma, and G. J. Wang for very helpful discussions. This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11575008 and No. 11621131001, and the 973 program.

APPENDIX A: INTEGRALS AND LOOP FUNCTIONS

We collect some common integrals and loop functions in this appendix.

1. Integrals with one or two meson propagators

$$\Delta = i \int \frac{d^d l \lambda^{4-d}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2 - m^2} = 2m^2 \left(L(\lambda) + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \ln \frac{m^2}{\lambda^2} \right),$$
(A1)

$$L(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{d-4}}{16\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{d-4} - \frac{1}{2} (\ln 4\pi + 1 + \Gamma'(1)) \right].$$
 (A2)

$$I_{0}(q^{2}) = i \int \frac{d^{d} l \lambda^{4-d}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{(l^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon)((l+q)^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon)}$$

$$= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}(1 - \ln\frac{m^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} - r\ln|\frac{1+r}{1-r}|) + 2L(\lambda) & (q^{2} < 0) \\ -\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}(1 - \ln\frac{m^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} - 2r\arctan\frac{1}{r}) + 2L(\lambda) & (0 < q^{2} < 4m^{2}) \\ -\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}(1 - \ln\frac{m^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} - r\ln|\frac{1+r}{1-r}| + i\pi r) + 2L(\lambda) & (q^{2} > 4m^{2}), \end{cases}$$
(A3)

where $r = \sqrt{|1 - 4m^2/q^2|}$.

2. Integrals with one baryon propagator and one meson propagator

$$i\int \frac{d^d l\lambda^{4-d}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{[1, l_\alpha, l_\alpha l_\beta]}{(l^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)(\omega + v \cdot l + i\epsilon)} = [J_0(\omega), v_\alpha J_1(\omega), g_{\alpha\beta} J_2(\omega) + v_\alpha v_\beta J_3(\omega)], \qquad \omega = v \cdot r + \delta, \quad (A4)$$

$$J_{0}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{-\omega}{8\pi^{2}} (1 - \ln\frac{m^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}) + \frac{\sqrt{\omega^{2} - m^{2}}}{4\pi^{2}} (\operatorname{arccosh}\frac{\omega}{m} - i\pi) + 4\omega L(\lambda) & (\omega > m) \\ \frac{-\omega}{8\pi^{2}} (1 - \ln\frac{m^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}) + \frac{\sqrt{m^{2} - \omega^{2}}}{4\pi^{2}} \operatorname{arccos}\frac{-\omega}{m} + 4\omega L(\lambda) & (\omega^{2} < m^{2}) \end{cases}$$
(A5)

$$\left(\frac{-\omega}{8\pi^2}\left(1-\ln\frac{m^2}{\lambda^2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{\omega^2-m^2}}{4\pi^2}\operatorname{arccosh}\frac{-\omega}{m}+4\omega L(\lambda)\qquad (\omega<-m),\right.$$

$$J_1(\omega) = -\omega J_0(\omega) + \Delta, \tag{A6}$$

$$J_{2}(\omega) = \frac{1}{d-1} [(m^{2} - \omega^{2})J_{0}(\omega) + \omega\Delta],$$
 (A7)

$$J_3(\omega) = -\omega J_1(\omega) - J_2(\omega). \tag{A8}$$

3. Integrals with two baryon propagators and one meson propagator

$$i\int \frac{d^{d}l\lambda^{4-d}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{[1, l_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha}l_{\beta}]}{(l^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon)(v \cdot l + i\epsilon)(\omega + v \cdot l + i\epsilon)} = [\Gamma_{0}(\omega), v_{\alpha}\Gamma_{1}(\omega), g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma_{2}(\omega) + v_{\alpha}v_{\beta}\Gamma_{3}(\omega)] \quad \omega \neq 0,$$
(A9)

$$\Gamma_i(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} [J_i(0) - J_i(\omega)], \tag{A10}$$

$$i\int \frac{d^{d}l\lambda^{4-d}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{[1, l_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha}l_{\beta}]}{(l^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon)(\omega + v \cdot l + i\epsilon)^{2}} = -\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}J_{0}(\omega), v_{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}J_{1}(\omega), g_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}J_{2}(\omega) + v_{\alpha}v_{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}J_{3}(\omega)\right].$$
(A11)

4. Integrals with one baryon propagator and two meson propagators

$$i \int \frac{d^{d} l\lambda^{4-d}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{[1, l_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha} l_{\beta}, l_{\nu} l_{a} l_{\beta}]}{(l^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon)((l+q)^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon)(\omega + v \cdot l + i\epsilon)} = [L_{0}(\omega), L_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha\beta}, L_{\nu\alpha\beta}], \qquad v \cdot q = 0,$$

$$L_{0}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{8\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega^{2} - m^{2}}} \arccos\frac{\omega}{m} - i\pi) & (\omega > m) \\ \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^{2} - \omega^{2}}} \arccos\frac{-\omega}{m} & (\omega^{2} < m^{2}) \\ \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega^{2} - m^{2}}} \arccos\frac{-\omega}{m} & (\omega < -m), \end{cases}$$
(A12)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 95, 076001 (2017)

$$L_{\alpha} = n_1^{\rm I} q_{\alpha} + n_2^{\rm I} v_{\alpha},\tag{A13}$$

$$L_{\alpha\beta} = n_1^{\mathrm{II}} g_{\alpha\beta} + n_2^{\mathrm{II}} q_\alpha q_\beta + n_3^{\mathrm{II}} v_\alpha v_\beta + n_4^{\mathrm{II}} v_\alpha q_\beta + n_5^{\mathrm{II}} q_\alpha v_\beta, \tag{A14}$$

$$L_{\nu\alpha\beta} = n_{1}^{\text{II}} q_{\nu} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} + n_{2}^{\text{II}} q_{\nu} q_{\alpha} v_{\beta} + n_{3}^{\text{II}} q_{\nu} q_{\beta} v_{\alpha} + n_{4}^{\text{II}} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} v_{\nu} + n_{5}^{\text{II}} q_{\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} + n_{6}^{\text{III}} q_{\beta} g_{\nu\alpha} + n_{7}^{\text{II}} q_{\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} + n_{8}^{\text{II}} q_{\nu} v_{\alpha} v_{\beta} + n_{9}^{\text{III}} q_{\alpha} v_{\nu} v_{\beta} + n_{10}^{\text{III}} q_{\beta} v_{\nu} v_{\alpha} + n_{11}^{\text{III}} g_{\nu\beta} v_{\alpha} + n_{12}^{\text{III}} g_{\nu\alpha} v_{\beta} + n_{13}^{\text{III}} g_{\alpha\beta} v_{\nu} + n_{14}^{\text{III}} v_{\nu} v_{\alpha} v_{\beta}.$$
(A15)

5. Explicit expressions of the scalar functions

$$\begin{split} n_{1}^{\mathrm{I}} &= -\frac{L_{0}}{2}, \\ n_{2}^{\mathrm{I}} &= I_{0} - L_{0}\omega, \\ n_{1}^{\mathrm{II}} &= \frac{-4I_{0}\omega - 2J_{0} + q^{2}L_{0} - 4L_{0}m^{2} + 4L_{0}\omega^{2}}{8 - 4d}, \\ n_{2}^{\mathrm{II}} &= \frac{2(d-3)J_{0} + (d-1)q^{2}L_{0} - 4(I_{0}\omega + L_{0}m^{2} - L_{0}\omega^{2})}{4(d-2)q^{2}}, \\ n_{3}^{\mathrm{II}} &= \frac{-4(dI_{0}\omega - dL_{0}\omega^{2} - I_{0}\omega + L_{0}m^{2} + L\omega^{2}) - 2J_{0} + q^{2}L_{0}}{4(d-2)}, \\ n_{3}^{\mathrm{II}} &= \frac{-4(dI_{0}\omega - dL_{0}\omega^{2} - I_{0}\omega + L_{0}m^{2} + L\omega^{2}) - 2J_{0} + q^{2}L_{0}}{4(d-2)}, \\ n_{4}^{\mathrm{II}} &= n_{5}^{\mathrm{II}} = \frac{1}{2}(L_{0}\omega - I_{0}), \\ n_{1}^{\mathrm{III}} &= -\frac{1}{8(d-2)q^{2}}[6(d-3)J_{0} + (d+1)q^{2}L_{0} - 12(I_{0}\omega + L_{0}m^{2} - L_{0}\omega^{2})], \\ n_{2,3,4}^{\mathrm{III}} &= \frac{1}{4(d-2)(d-1)q^{2}}[d^{2}q^{2}(I_{0} - L_{0}\omega) - 2(d^{2} - 4d + 3)\omega J_{0} \\ &\quad - 2d(\Delta + I_{0}(q^{2} - 2\omega^{2}) + L_{0}\omega(-2m^{2} + 2\omega^{2} - q^{2})) \\ &\quad + 4\Delta + 4I_{0}m^{2} - 4I_{0}\omega^{2} - q^{2}L_{0}\omega - 4L_{0}m^{2}\omega + 4L_{0}\omega^{3}], \\ n_{5,6,7}^{\mathrm{III}} &= \frac{1}{8(d-2)}[-4I_{0}\omega - 2J_{0} + q^{2}L_{0} - 4L_{0}m^{2} + 4L_{0}\omega^{2}], \\ n_{8,9,10}^{\mathrm{III}} &= \frac{1}{16 - 8d}[-4dI_{0}\omega + 4dL_{0}\omega^{2} + 4I_{0}\omega - 2J_{0} + q^{2}L_{0} - 4L_{0}m^{2} - 4L_{0}\omega^{2}], \\ n_{11,12,13}^{\mathrm{III}} &= \frac{1}{4(d-2)(d-1)}[4d\Delta + I_{0}(4((d-3)m^{2} - (d-1)\omega^{2}) - (d-2)q^{2}) \\ &\quad - 2(d-1)\omega J_{0} + dq^{2}L_{0}\omega - 4dL_{0}m^{2}\omega + 4dL_{0}\omega^{3} - 8\Delta - q^{2}L_{0}\omega + 4L_{0}\omega^{3}], \\ n_{11,12,13}^{\mathrm{III}} &= \frac{1}{4(d-2)(d-1)}[2I_{0}(2(d^{2} - 1)\omega^{2} + (d-2)q^{2} + 2(7 - 2d)m^{2}) - 4d^{2}L_{0}\omega^{3} \\ &\quad - 10d\Delta + 6(d-1)\omega J_{0} - 3dq^{2}L_{0}\omega + 12dL_{0}m^{2}\omega + 20\Delta + 3q^{2}L_{0}\omega - 12L_{0}m^{2}\omega + 4L_{0}\omega^{3}]. \end{split}$$

APPENDIX B: COEFFICIENTS OF THE LOOP CORRECTIONS

In this appendix, we collect the explicit formulas for the chiral expansion of the decuplet baryon magnetic moments at $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ in Table V and $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ in Tables VI and VII, respectively.

TABLE V.	The coefficients of	f the loop con	rections to	the magnetic	moments of	the decuple	et baryons fro	m Fig. 2(d).	The subscripts
" \mathcal{T} " and " \mathcal{N}	" denote the decu	plet and octe	t baryons	within the loo	p, while the	superscript	s denote the	pseudoscalar	meson.

Baryons	$eta_{\mathcal{T}}^{\pi}$	$eta_{\mathcal{T}}^{K}$	$eta_{\mathcal{T}}^\eta$	$eta_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pi}$	$eta_{\mathcal{N}}^{K}$	$eta_{\mathcal{N}}^\eta$
Δ^{++}	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	2	2	0
Δ^+	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{4}{9}$	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0
Δ^0	$-\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{2}{9}$	0	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0
Δ^{-}	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	-2	0	0
Σ^{*+}	$\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{2}{9}$	0	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0
Σ^{*0}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Σ^{*-}	$-\frac{4}{9}$	$-\frac{2}{9}$	0	$-\frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0
Ξ^{*0}	$\frac{2}{9}$	$-\frac{2}{9}$	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0
<u>I</u> *-	$-\frac{2}{9}$	$-\frac{4}{9}$	0	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$	0
Ω-	0	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	-2	0

TABLE VI. The coefficients of the loop corrections to the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons from Fig. 2(a).

Baryons	γ^{π}_{aT}	γ^K_{aT}	γ^η_{aT}	$\gamma^{\pi}_{a\mathcal{N}}$	$\gamma^K_{a\mathcal{N}}$	$\gamma^{\eta}_{a\mathcal{N}}$	γ^{π}_{aTN}	γ^{K}_{aTN}	γ^{η}_{aTN}
Δ^{++}	83	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}(b_D + 3b_F)$	$\frac{2}{3}(b_D + 3b_F)$	0	$-\frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$	0
Δ^+	<u>13</u> 9	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}b_F$	$\frac{2}{3}(b_D + b_F)$	0	$-\frac{4}{9}$	$-\frac{8}{9}$	0
Δ^0	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{-2}{9}$	0	$\frac{-2}{3}(b_D - b_F)$	$\frac{2}{3}(b_D - b_F)$	0	$\frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{9}$	0
Δ^{-}	-1	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{-8}{9}b_D$	$\frac{4}{9}(b_D - 3b_F)$	0	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	0
Σ^{*+}	$\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{14}{9}$	0	$\frac{1}{9}(-b_D+3b_F)$	$\frac{-2}{9}(b_D - 3b_F)$	$\frac{1}{3}(b_D + 3b_F)$	0	$-\frac{4}{3}$	0
Σ^{*0}	0	0	0	$-\frac{1}{9}b_{D}$	$-\frac{2}{9}b_{D}$	$\frac{1}{3}b_D$	$\frac{4}{9}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0
Σ^{*-}	$-\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{-14}{9}$	0	$\frac{-1}{9}(b_D + 3b_F)$	$\frac{-2}{9}(b_D + 3b_F)$	$\frac{1}{3}(b_D - 3b_F)$	89	$\frac{4}{9}$	0
Ξ^{*0}	$\frac{-2}{9}$	$\frac{2}{9}$	0	$\frac{-2}{3}b_F$	$\frac{2}{3}b_F$	$\frac{-2}{3}b_D$	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{2}{3}$
Ξ^{*-}	$-\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{-14}{9}$	$\frac{-1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}(-b_D-b_F)$	$\frac{-2}{3}b_F$	$\frac{1}{3}(b_D - 3b_F)$	$\frac{4}{9}$	<u>8</u> 9	0
Ω^{-}	0	$\frac{-2}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$	0	$\frac{-4}{9}(b_D + 3b_F)$	0	0	$-\frac{4}{3}$	0

TABLE VII. The coefficients of the loop corrections to the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons from Figs. 2(b), 2(e), and 2(f).

Baryons	γ_b^{π}	γ_b^K	γ^η_b	γ_e^{π}	γ_e^K	γ_e^η	γ^{π}_{fT}	γ_{fT}^K	γ^η_{fT}	$\gamma^{\pi}_{f\mathcal{N}}$	$\gamma_{f\mathcal{N}}^K$	$\gamma^{\eta}_{f\mathcal{N}}$
Δ^{++}	-b	-b	0	$2g_2$	$2g_2$	0	53	$\frac{2}{3}$	13	2	2	0
Δ^+	$-\frac{1}{3}b$	$-\frac{2}{3}b$	0	$2g_{2}$	$\frac{4}{3}g_2$	0	5 3	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	2	0
Δ^0	$\frac{1}{3}b$	$-\frac{1}{3}b$	0	$2g_{2}$	$\frac{2}{3}g_2$	0	<u>5</u> <u>3</u>	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	2	0
Δ^{-}	b	b	0	$3g_{2}$	0	0	53	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	2	0
Σ^{*+}	$-\frac{2}{3}b$	$-\frac{1}{3}b$	0	$\frac{4}{3}g_2$	$2g_2$	0	89	$\frac{16}{9}$	0	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	1
Σ^{*0}	0	0	0	$\frac{4}{3}g_2$	$\frac{4}{3}g_2$	0	89	$\frac{16}{9}$	0	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{4}{3}$	1
Σ^{*-}	$\frac{2}{3}b$	$\frac{1}{3}b$	0	$\frac{4}{3}g_2$	$\frac{2}{3}g_2$	0	89	$\frac{16}{9}$	0	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{4}{3}$	1
Ξ^{*0}	$-\frac{1}{3}b$	$\frac{1}{3}b$	0	$\frac{2}{3}g_2$	$2g_2$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	2	1
Ξ^{*-}	$\frac{1}{3}b$	$\frac{2}{3}b$	0	$\frac{2}{3}g_2$	$\frac{4}{3}g_2$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	2	1
Ω-	0	b	0	0	$2g_{2}$	0	0	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	4	0

- [1] S. Weinberg, Physica (Amsterdam) A96, 327 (1979).
- [2] E. E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 255, 558 (1991).
- [3] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, J. Kambor, and U.G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. B388, 315 (1992).
- [4] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U. G. Meissner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 04, 193 (1995).
- [5] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U. G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A615, 483 (1997).
- [6] M. Mojzis, Eur. Phys. J. C 2, 181 (1998).
- [7] N. Fettes, U. G. Meissner, and S. Steininger, Nucl. Phys. A640, 199 (1998).
- [8] N. Fettes and U. G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A693, 693 (2001).
- [9] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U. G. Meissner, Z. Phys. C 60, 111 (1993).
- [10] B. R. Holstein, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 20, 301 (1992).
- [11] P. E. Shanahan, R. Horsley, Y. Nakamura, D. Pleiter, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, H. Stüben, A. W. Thomas, R. D. Young, and J. M. Zanotti, Phys. Rev. D 90, 034502 (2014).
- [12] J. Gegelia and G. Japaridze, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114038 (1999).
- [13] T. Fuchs, J. Gegelia, G. Japaridze, and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D 68, 056005 (2003).
- [14] T. Fuchs, J. Gegelia, and S. Scherer, J. Phys. G 30, 1407 (2004).
- [15] B. C. Lehnhart, J. Gegelia, and S. Scherer, J. Phys. G 31, 89 (2005).
- [16] J. Martin-Camalich, L. S. Geng, and M. J. V. Vacas, Phys. Rev. D 82, 074504 (2010).
- [17] J. M. Alarcon, J. Martin-Camalich, and J. A. Oller, Phys. Rev. D 85, 051503 (2012).
- [18] T. Ledwig, J. Martin-Camalich, L. S. Geng, and M. J. V. Vacas, Phys. Rev. D 90, 054502 (2014).
- [19] P.J. Ellis and H.B. Tang, Phys. Rev. C 57, 3356 (1998).
- [20] T. Becher and H. Leutwyler, Eur. Phys. J. C 9, 643 (1999).
- [21] B. Kubis and U.G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A679, 698 (2001).
- [22] B. Kubis and U. G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. C 18, 747 (2001).
- [23] V. Bernard, T. R. Hemmert, and U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B 565, 137 (2003).
- [24] P. C. Bruns and U. G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. C 40, 97 (2005).
- [25] M. R. Schindler, J. Gegelia, and S. Scherer, Phys. Lett. B 586, 258 (2004).
- [26] M. R. Schindler, J. Gegelia, and S. Scherer, Eur. Phys. J. A 26, 1 (2005).
- [27] J. M. Alarcon, J. Martin-Camalich, J. A. Oller, and L. Alvarez-Ruso, Phys. Rev. C 83, 055205 (2011); 87, 059901(E) (2013).
- [28] A. Walker-Loud, Nucl. Phys. A747, 476 (2005).
- [29] B. C. Tiburzi and A. Walker-Loud, Nucl. Phys. A748, 513 (2005).
- [30] P. Wang, D. B. Leinweber, A. W. Thomas, and R. D. Young, Phys. Rev. D 79, 094001 (2009).
- [31] J. M. Camalich, L. Alvarez-Ruso, L. S. Geng, and M. J. V. Vacas, *Proc. Sci.*, EFT09 (2009) 024.
- [32] S. N. Syritsyn et al., Phys. Rev. D 81, 034507 (2010).

- [33] G. Ahuatzin, R. Flores-Mendieta, and M. A. Hernandez-Ruiz, Phys. Rev. D 89, 034012 (2014).
- [34] T. Ledwig, J. Martin-Camalich, V. Pascalutsa, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D 85, 034013 (2012).
- [35] V. Lensky and V. Pascalutsa, Eur. Phys. J. C 65, 195 (2010).
- [36] B. Long and U. van Kolck, Nucl. Phys. A840, 39 (2010).
- [37] M. C. Birse and J. A. McGovern, Eur. Phys. J. A 48, 120 (2012).
- [38] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 014006 (2007).
- [39] W. Detmold, C.-J. D. Lin, and S. Meinel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 172003 (2012).
- [40] Z. W. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 86, 034009 (2012);
 93, 019901(E) (2016).
- [41] N. Jiang, X. L. Chen, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 90, 074011 (2014).
- [42] Z. S. Brown, W. Detmold, S. Meinel, and K. Orginos, Phys. Rev. D 90, 094507 (2014).
- [43] N. Jiang, X. L. Chen, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 92, 054017 (2015).
- [44] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 92, 074014 (2015).
- [45] Z. F. Sun and M. J. V. Vacas, Phys. Rev. D 93, 094002 (2016).
- [46] I. C. Cloet, W. Bentz, and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. C 90, 045202 (2014).
- [47] M. E. Carrillo-Serrano, W. Bentz, I. C. Cloet, and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **759**, 178 (2016).
- [48] J. Zhang and B. Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. C 93, 065209 (2016).
- [49] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C 38, 090001 (2014).
- [50] E. E. Jenkins, M. E. Luke, A. V. Manohar, and M. J. Savage, Phys. Lett. B **302**, 482 (1993); **388**, 866(E) (1996).
- [51] S. J. Puglia, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 63, 034014 (2001).
- [52] S. J. Puglia, and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys. Rev. D 62, 034010 (2000).
- [53] U. G. Meissner and S. Steininger, Nucl. Phys. B499, 349 (1997).
- [54] D. B. Leinweber, R. M. Woloshyn, and T. Draper, Phys. Rev. D 43, 1659 (1991).
- [55] M. J. Savage, Nucl. Phys. A700, 359 (2002).
- [56] M. Göckeler, T.R. Hemmert, R. Horsley, D. Pleiter, P.E.L. Rakow, A. Schäfer, and G. Schierholz, Phys. Rev. D 71, 034508 (2005).
- [57] J. Arrington, C. D. Roberts, and J. M. Zanotti, J. Phys. G 34, S23 (2007).
- [58] C. Alexandrou, G. Koutsou, J. W. Negele, and A. Tsapalis, Phys. Rev. D 74, 034508 (2006).
- [59] H. W. Lin and K. Orginos, Phys. Rev. D 79, 074507 (2009).
- [60] P. E. Shanahan, R. Horsley, Y. Nakamura, D. Pleiter, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, H. Stüben, A. W. Thomas, R. D. Young, and J. M. Zanotti, Phys. Rev. D 89, 074511 (2014).
- [61] T. M. Aliev and M. Savcı, Phys. Rev. D 90, 116006 (2014).
- [62] V.G. Baryshevsky, Phys. Lett. B 757, 426 (2016).

- [63] B. Krusche and S. Schadmand, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51, 399 (2003).
- [64] M. Kotulla et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 272001 (2002).
- [65] M. Kotulla, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 61, 147 (2008).
- [66] V. Punjabi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 71, 055202 (2005); 71, 069902(E) (2005).
- [67] G. S. Adkins, C. R. Nappi, and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B228, 552 (1983).
- [68] J. H. Kim, C. H. Lee, and H. K. Lee, Nucl. Phys. A501, 835 (1989).
- [69] T. D. Cohen and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D 34, 3472 (1986).
- [70] M. I. Krivoruchenko, Yad. Fiz. 45, 169 (1987) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 45, 109 (1987)].
- [71] M. I. Krivoruchenko and M. M. Giannini, Phys. Rev. D 43, 3763 (1991).
- [72] F. Schlumpf, Phys. Rev. D 48, 4478 (1993).
- [73] T. M. Aliev, A. Ozpineci, and M. Savci, Nucl. Phys. A678, 443 (2000).
- [74] F.X. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 57, 1801 (1998).
- [75] K. Azizi, Eur. Phys. J. C 61, 311 (2009).
- [76] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and M. Savci, Phys. Lett. B 681, 240 (2009).
- [77] M. N. Butler, M. J. Savage, and R. P. Springer, Phys. Rev. D 49, 3459 (1994).
- [78] M. K. Banerjee and J. Milana, Phys. Rev. D 54, 5804 (1996).
- [79] D. Arndt and B. C. Tiburzi, Phys. Rev. D 68, 114503 (2003); 69, 059904(E) (2004).
- [80] C. Hacker, N. Wies, J. Gegelia, and S. Scherer, Eur. Phys. J. A 28, 5 (2006).
- [81] V. Pascalutsa and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 102003 (2005).
- [82] V. Pascalutsa and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D 77, 014027 (2008).
- [83] L. S. Geng, J. Martin-Camalich, and M. J. V. Vacas, Phys. Rev. D 80, 034027 (2009).
- [84] D. B. Leinweber, T. Draper, and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3067 (1992).
- [85] I.C. Cloet, D.B. Leinweber, and A.W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B 563, 157 (2003).

- [86] C. Alexandrou, T. Korzec, G. Koutsou, T. Leontiou, C. Lorcé, J. W. Negele, V. Pascalutsa, A. Tsapalis, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D 79, 014507 (2009).
- [87] C. Alexandrou, T. Korzec, G. Koutsou, C. Lorce, J. W. Negele, V. Pascalutsa, A. Tsapalis, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Nucl. Phys. A825, 115 (2009).
- [88] J. Segovia, C. Chen, I.C. Cloet, C.D. Roberts, S.M. Schmidt, and S. Wan, Few-Body Syst. 55, 1 (2014).
- [89] A. Girdhar, H. Dahiya, and M. Randhawa, Phys. Rev. D 92, 033012 (2015).
- [90] M. D. Slaughter, Phys. Rev. D 84, 071303 (2011).
- [91] V. Pascalutsa, M. Vanderhaeghen, and S. N. Yang, Phys. Rep. 437, 125 (2007).
- [92] C. Alexandrou, T. Korzec, G. Koutsou, J. W. Negele, and Y. Proestos, Phys. Rev. D 82, 034504 (2010).
- [93] A. Parreno, M. J. Savage, B. C. Tiburzi, J. Wilhelm, E. Chang, W. Detmold, and K. Orginos, arXiv:1609.03985.
- [94] J. M. M. Hall, D. B. Leinweber, and R. D. Young, Phys. Rev. D 85, 094502 (2012).
- [95] X. L. Ren, L. Geng, J. Meng, and H. Toki, Phys. Rev. D 87, 074001 (2013).
- [96] S. Nozawa and D. B. Leinweber, Phys. Rev. D 42, 3567 (1990).
- [97] H. Arenhovel and H. G. Miller, Z. Phys. 266, 13 (1974).
- [98] S. Scherer, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 27, 277 (2003).
- [99] W. Rarita and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 60, 61 (1941).
- [100] M. N. Butler, M. J. Savage, and R. P. Springer, Nucl. Phys. B399, 69 (1993).
- [101] G. Ecker, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 35, 1 (1995).
- [102] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, A. Pich, and E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B321, 311 (1989).
- [103] B. Borasoy and U. G. Meissner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 11, 5183 (1996).
- [104] M. D. Scadron, R. Delbourgo, and G. Rupp, J. Phys. G 32, 735 (2006).
- [105] G. Wagner, A. J. Buchmann, and A. Faessler, J. Phys. G 26, 267 (2000).
- [106] K. Hikasa *et al.* (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **45**, S1 (1992); **46**, 5210(E) (1992).
- [107] M. A. Luty, J. March-Russell, and M. J. White, Phys. Rev. D 51, 2332 (1995).