Black holes in Einsteinian cubic gravity

Robie A. Hennigar^{*} and Robert B. Mann[†]

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada

(Received 5 January 2017; published 30 March 2017)

Using numerical and perturbative methods, we construct the first examples of black hole solutions in Einsteinian cubic gravity and study their thermodynamics. Focusing first on four-dimensional solutions, we show that these black holes have a novel equation of state in which the pressure is a quadratic function of the temperature. Despite this, they undergo a first order phase transition with associated van der Waals behavior. We then construct perturbative solutions for general $D \ge 5$ and study the properties of these solutions for D = 5 and D = 6 in particular. We note that for D > 4 the solutions are described by two independent metric functions. We find novel examples of super-entropic behavior over a large portion of the parameter space. We analyze the specific heat, determining that the black holes are thermodynamically stable over large regions of parameter space.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.064055

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in the subject of higher-curvature gravity, much of which has been motivated through attempts to provide a quantum description of the gravitational field. For example, it was known as early as 1977 that supplementing the Einstein-Hilbert action with higher-curvature interactions can lead to a renormalizable theory of gravity [1]. It is generally expected that such corrections should appear in the lowenergy limit of the ultraviolet completion of gravity. In the case of string theory, this manifests through the appearance of the Gauss-Bonnet term in corrections to the low-energy effective action [2]. More recently, higher-curvature gravity has been of interest in holography, where corrections to the Einstein-Hilbert action allow for the study of a broader universality class of CFTs [3–7].

However, for all of the interest in higher-curvature gravity, relatively few models have actually been explored. Generically, these theories are difficult to study due to higher-derivative equations of motion and are often plagued with pathological properties. For example, it is often the case that the linearized equations of motion describing the propagation of gravitons will reveal that these metric perturbations describe negative kinetic energy excitations, i.e. ghosts, signaling a breakdown of unitarity in the quantum theory [8]. For these reasons, the primary focus of attention has been on Lovelock gravity [9], with some attention also devoted to quasi-topological gravity [3,4,10] and certain f(Lovelock) models [11] where these issues can be controlled.

In addition to these models, some effort has also been devoted to constructing theories of gravity that are explicitly free of ghosts [12–14]. Recently a new theory

of cubic curvature gravity has been presented which, when supplemented with quadratic and cubic Lovelock terms, is the unique cubic theory of gravity that shares its graviton spectrum with Einstein gravity [15] and has dimensionindependent coupling constants. The Lagrangian density of this theory—appropriately called "*Einsteinian cubic* gravity"—is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} [-2\Lambda + R] + \beta_1 \chi_4 + \kappa [\beta_2 \chi_6 + \lambda \mathcal{P}], \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P} = 12R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}{}_{\nu}{}^{\sigma}R_{\rho}^{\gamma}{}_{\sigma}{}^{\delta}R_{\gamma}^{\mu}{}_{\delta}{}^{\nu} + R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\sigma}R_{\rho\sigma}^{\gamma\delta}R_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu} - 12R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}R^{\mu\rho}R^{\nu\sigma} + 8R_{\mu}^{\nu}R_{\nu}^{\rho}R_{\rho}^{\mu}$$
(1.2)

and χ_4 and χ_6 are the four- and six-dimensional Euler densities, respectively, and correspond to the usual Lovelock terms. Interestingly, the new \mathcal{P} contribution which is present in this model is neither trivial nor topological in four dimensions allowing for the study of the effects of cubic curvature terms in four dimensions. In this paper, we construct vacuum topological black hole solutions of this theory and study their thermodynamics, concentrating on the case where $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ to study the effects of the new term alone. The field equations of the theory are complicated fourth-order differential equations, and we have not been able to solve them analytically, but have resorted to perturbative methods to obtain solutions. Notwithstanding the instabilities that higher-order field equations could lead to (a problem which remains for future work), the fact that this is the unique theory with linearized equations matching Einstein gravity make it worthy of study since, at the very least, it should provide a useful holographic toy model.

rhenniga@uwaterloo.ca

rbmann@uwaterloo.ca

ROBIE A. HENNIGAR and ROBERT B. MANN

Our thermodynamic analysis is performed within the context of *black hole chemistry* [16]. In this framework the cosmological constant is promoted to a thermodynamic parameter [17–20] in the first law of black hole mechanics, a result which is supported by geometric arguments [21,22]. The thermodynamic interpretation of the cosmological constant is that of a pressure, and its conjugate quantity is termed the thermodynamic volume. Studies employing this formalism have shown thermodynamic phenomena for black holes analogous to that observed in everyday systems, for example van der Waals behavior [23], triple points [24], (multiple) reentrant phase transitions [25,26], isolated critical points [27,28], and most recently a superfluid phase transition [29]. Similar results have been found in a large number of subsequent investigations; for example see [26-28,30-50] for investigations focusing on higher-curvature gravity, [51–53] for entropy inequalities, [54–58] for extensions to gauge/gravity duality, and [59] for a general review.

Our paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we study in detail black hole solutions to the four-dimensional theory considering the solution both asymptotically and near a black hole horizon. We then study the thermodynamics of the four-dimensional black holes, finding that their equation of state is a quadratic function of temperature, in contrast to all other black holes with spherical symmetry. We find nevertheless that they still exhibit van der Waals behavior and a reentrant phase transition. We then move on to consider solutions in higher, arbitrary dimensions. We study the higher-dimensional black hole solutions, finding that for D > 4 two independent metric functions, f(r) and N(r), are required to satisfy the field equations. We emphasize that, while considering the solution as a small coupling limit is possible, it is not valid for black holes of arbitrary horizon radius and we clarify when such a limit is sensible. We finish by investigating the higher-dimensional thermodynamics, focusing on entropy, the reverse isoperimetric inequality, and critical behavior, providing details for five and six dimensions in particular. Here we find that the higherdimensional black holes are thermodynamically stable over a large portion of parameter space and can be super-entropic [52]. The latter is the first such example for higher-curvature black holes asymptotic to AdS space. However, we find no interesting critical behavior for D = 5and D = 6 perturbatively in the coupling.

II. SOLUTIONS IN FOUR DIMENSIONS

In four dimensions, the action of pure Einsteinian cubic gravity takes the form

$$\mathcal{I} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa} [-2\Lambda + R] + \kappa \lambda \mathcal{P} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

The vacuum Einstein equations which follow from the action can be conveniently written in the form

$$G_{ab} = P_{acde} R_b^{\ cde} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \mathcal{L} - 2\nabla^c \nabla^d P_{acdb} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$P_{abcd} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R^{abcd}},$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa} g_{a[c} g_{b]d} + 6\kappa \lambda \Big[R_{ad} R_{bc} - R_{ac} R_{bd} + g_{bd} R_{a}^{\ e} R_{ce} - g_{ad} R_{b}^{\ e} R_{ce} - g_{bc} R_{a}^{\ e} R_{de} + g_{ac} R_{b}^{\ e} R_{de} - g_{bd} R^{ef} R_{aecf} + g_{bc} R^{ef} R_{aedf} + g_{ad} R^{ef} R_{becf} - 3R_{a}^{\ e} {}_{d}^{f} R_{becf} - g_{ac} R^{ef} R_{bedf} + 3R_{a}^{\ e} {}_{c}^{f} R_{bedf} + \frac{1}{2} R_{ab}^{\ ef} R_{cdef} \Big].$$

$$(2.3)$$

As discussed in [15], remarkably, the linearized equations of this theory match those of Einstein gravity up to an overall constant. Explicitly, if h_{ab} is a perturbation away from any maximally symmetric spacetime \bar{g}_{ab} (i.e. $g_{ab} = \bar{g}_{ab} + h_{ab}$), then the linearized equations for h_{ab} take the form

$$\begin{aligned} G^{L}_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{4\kappa} \left(1 - \frac{96(D-3)(D-6)\kappa^{2}\lambda\Lambda_{\rm eff}^{2}}{[(D-1)(D-2)]^{2}} \right) \\ &\times \left[\frac{4\Lambda_{\rm eff}h_{ab}}{D-2} - \frac{2\Lambda_{\rm eff}\bar{g}_{ab}h^{c}{}_{c}}{D-2} + \nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}h^{c}{}_{c} \right. \\ &- \nabla_{c}\nabla_{a}h_{b}{}^{c} - \nabla_{c}\nabla_{b}h_{a}{}^{c} + \nabla_{c}\nabla^{c}h_{ab} \\ &+ \bar{g}_{ab}\nabla_{d}\nabla_{c}h^{cd} - \bar{g}_{ab}\nabla_{d}\nabla^{d}h^{c}{}_{c} \right] = \frac{1}{2}T_{ab}, \quad (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_{\rm eff}$ satisfies the equation¹

$$-\frac{32(D-3)(D-6)}{[(D-1)(D-2)]^2}\kappa^2\lambda\Lambda_{\rm eff}^3 + \Lambda_{\rm eff} - \Lambda = 0.$$
(2.5)

It is now easy to ensure that the theory is free from ghosts by enforcing that the overall constant has the same sign as in the case of Einstein gravity, namely,

$$1 - \frac{96(D-3)(D-6)\kappa^2\lambda\Lambda_{\rm eff}^2}{[(D-1)(D-2)]^2} > 0,$$
 (2.6)

which can be thought of as a constraint on λ . We will come back to the issue of ghosts in the following subsection.

¹Note that our equation superficially differs from Eq. (25) of [15], where the curvature of the maximally symmetric background is defined as $R_{abcd} = 2\Lambda g_{a[c}g_{d]b}$. We instead have defined it to be $R_{abcd} = \frac{4\Lambda_{\text{eff}}}{(D-1)(D-2)}g_{a[c}g_{d]b}$. The advantage of our method is that, when $\lambda = 0$, our Λ_{eff} reduces to the standard cosmological constant, whereas the expression from [15] coincides with what would more conventionally be termed $\pm 1/\ell^2$.

We now move on to consider black hole metrics in four dimensions. Substituting a static, spherically symmetric metric of the $form^2$

$$ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2}\left(d\theta^{2} + \frac{\sin^{2}(\sqrt{k\theta})}{k}d\phi^{2}\right),$$
(2.7)

(where k = -1, 0, 1 corresponds to hyperbolic, planar, or spherical geometry for the (t, r) = const sector) leads to the following equation of motion:

$$G_{r}^{\ r} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left[\frac{rf' + f - k + \Lambda r^{2}}{r^{2}} \right] + \lambda \kappa \left[\frac{6ff'''}{r^{3}} (rf' - 2f + 2k) + \frac{6ff''^{2}}{r^{2}} \right] + \frac{24ff''}{r^{4}} (f - k - rf') + \frac{6f'^{2}}{r^{4}} (4f - k) - \frac{24ff'}{r^{5}} (f - k) \right] = 0.$$
(2.8)

The remaining components of the (generalized) Einstein tensor are either zero, equivalent to this expression, or equivalent to derivatives of this expression. In the following three subsections, we will consider perturbative solutions to this equation.

A. Asymptotic behavior and ghosts

We first examine the behavior of the solution at large r. To this end, we series expand the metric function in inverse powers of r,

$$f_{r \to \infty}(r) = k - \frac{\Lambda_{\text{eff}}}{3}r^2 + \sum_n \frac{b_n}{r^n},$$
 (2.9)

and substitute this series expansion into Eq. (2.8). Requiring the field equations to be satisfied results in the following series coefficients (with b_1 a free parameter):

$$f_{r \to \infty}(r) = k - \frac{\Lambda_{\text{eff}}}{3} r^2 + \frac{b_1}{r} - \frac{168\Lambda_{\text{eff}}\lambda\kappa^2}{(16\Lambda_{\text{eff}}^2\kappa^2\lambda + 3)} \frac{b_1^2}{r^4} + \frac{324\lambda\kappa^2k}{(16\Lambda_{\text{eff}}^2\kappa^2\lambda + 3)} \frac{b_1^2}{r^6} + \frac{12\lambda\kappa^2(20192\Lambda_{\text{eff}}^2\kappa^2\lambda + 69)}{(16\Lambda_{\text{eff}}^2\kappa^2\lambda + 3)^2} \times \frac{b_1^3}{r^7} - \frac{1353024\lambda^2\kappa^4\Lambda_{\text{eff}}kb_1^3}{(16\Lambda_{\text{eff}}^2\kappa^2\lambda + 3)^2} \frac{c_1^2}{r^9} \\- \frac{288\lambda^2\kappa^4\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(2881024\Lambda_{\text{eff}}^2\kappa^2\lambda + 14457)}{(16\Lambda_{\text{eff}}^2\kappa^2\lambda + 3)^3} \frac{b_1^4}{r^{10}}.$$

$$(2.10)$$

²A more general ansatz would include a lapse function. However the field equations force the lapse to be a constant, which can then be absorbed into the definition of t. Thus, there is no loss of generality in restricting to a metric of this form.



FIG. 1. Ghost-free condition: This plot highlights the solutions for the effective cosmological constant vs the cubic coupling where we have made use of the dimensionless parameters $x = \Lambda_{\text{eff}}/\Lambda$ and $\alpha = \lambda \Lambda^2 \kappa^2$. The dashed black lines indicate that the ghost-free condition is violated for these parameters. We see that there is only ever a single ghost-free branch that limits to the Einstein case when $\lambda \to 0$. The fact that the ghost-free branch has x > 0 indicates that the effective cosmological constant is of the same sign as the ordinary cosmological constant, Λ . Here, the green and red curves highlight that the branch that limits to Einstein gravity differs depending on whether λ is positive or negative.

Let us consider now the various possible asymptotics for these solutions. In four dimensions, Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) become

$$\Lambda - \Lambda_{\rm eff} - \frac{16}{9} \lambda \kappa^2 \Lambda_{\rm eff}^3 = 0, \quad 1 + \frac{16}{3} \lambda \Lambda_{\rm eff}^2 \kappa^2 > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Taking the discriminant of the first equation we find that

$$\Delta = -\frac{64\lambda}{9\kappa^2} (1 + 12\Lambda^2 \kappa^2 \lambda). \tag{2.12}$$

The discriminant can be either positive, zero, or negative depending on the value of λ :

$$\Delta > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad -\frac{1}{12\Lambda^2\kappa^2} < \lambda < 0,$$

$$\Delta = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = -\frac{1}{12\Lambda^2\kappa^2},$$

$$\Delta < 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$
(2.13)

In the case $\Delta > 0$, the theory will have three real branches (i.e. three possible values for Λ_{eff}), while it will have only

ROBIE A. HENNIGAR and ROBERT B. MANN

one when $\Delta < 0$. In the case $\Delta = 0$, the ghost condition is either trivial ($\lambda = 0$) or fails. In general, we find that for any given Λ and λ , there will only be a single branch that is ghost free, as shown in Fig. 1. It is interesting to note that the ghost-free branch has a smooth limit to the Einstein case as $\lambda \to 0$; in other words, the Einstein branch is ghost free. Furthermore, the ghost-free branch has the property that $\operatorname{sgn}(\Lambda) = \operatorname{sgn}(\Lambda_{\text{eff}})$, meaning, for example, if $\Lambda < 0$ then the ghost-free branch will possess AdS asymptotics.

B. Solution as a small λ expansion

We next consider treating the curvature cubed terms as a small correction to Einstein gravity. Thus, we expand the metric function in terms of the dimensionless parameter $\lambda \Lambda^2$, which we treat as small,

$$f(r) = k - \frac{c_0}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \sum_{n=1} (\lambda \Lambda^2)^n h_n(r).$$
 (2.14)

Substituting this expression into the field equation yields a first-order differential equation for $h_n(r)$ at each order in λ . These can be easily integrated to give the solution to arbitrary order in λ . For example, solving for $h_1(r)$ and $h_2(r)$ gives

$$h_{1}(r) = \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \left[\frac{16r^{2}\Lambda^{3}}{27} + \frac{c_{1}}{r} - \frac{56\Lambda c_{0}^{2}}{r^{4}} + \frac{108kc_{0}^{2}}{r^{6}} - \frac{92c_{0}^{3}}{r^{7}} \right],$$

$$h_{2}(r) = \frac{\kappa^{4}}{\Lambda^{4}} \left[-\frac{256r^{2}\Lambda^{5}}{81} + \frac{c_{2}}{r} + \left(\frac{3584\Lambda^{3}c_{0}^{2}}{9} + 112\Lambda c_{0}c_{1} \right) \frac{1}{r^{4}} + \frac{-576\Lambda^{2}kc_{0}^{2} - 216kc_{0}c_{1}}{r^{6}} + \left(-\frac{77824\Lambda^{2}c_{0}^{3}}{3} + 276c_{0}^{2}c_{1} \right) \frac{1}{r^{7}} + \frac{150336\Lambda kc_{0}^{3}}{r^{9}} - \frac{154208\Lambda c_{0}^{4}}{r^{10}} - \frac{217728k^{2}c_{0}^{3}}{r^{11}} + \frac{443232kc_{0}^{4}}{r^{12}} - \frac{224112c_{0}^{5}}{r^{13}} \right].$$

$$(2.15)$$

The higher-order $h_n(r)$ terms can be obtained easily, but they are increasingly cumbersome and, therefore, not particularly illuminating.

From this expansion (and the two representative terms shown above) we can see a few interesting properties. First, since at each order the differential equation for $h_n(r)$ is first order, we have a single undetermined parameter c_n at each order. This parameter always appears as c_n/r in the expansion, and therefore accounts for perturbative corrections to the mass parameter, c_0 . These contributions require suitable boundary conditions to fix: for example, one could demand that the horizon radius remains fixed and determine c_n for n > 0 in terms of the horizon radius. Furthermore, at each order there is a correction to the cosmological constant. This is expected, since we know from the previous discussion that the higher-curvature terms modify the asymptotics, effectively altering the cosmological constant. Here what we are seeing is a perturbative expansion of the new, effective cosmological constant.

C. Near horizon solution

To study black hole solutions of this theory, it is useful to consider the metric function expanded near the horizon; this reads

$$f_{r \to r_+}(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (r - r_+)^n$$
 (2.16)

where the sum starts at n = 1 since the metric function must vanish linearly for a non-extremal black hole. Substituting this ansatz into the field equations produces a series of relationships that the coefficients a_n must satisfy; for example the first two expressions are given by:

$$0 = \Lambda r_{+}^{4} + r_{+}^{3}a_{1} - kr_{+}^{2} - 12k\kappa^{2}\lambda a_{1}^{2},$$

$$0 = (5\Lambda + 2a_{2})r_{+}^{4} + (72\kappa^{2}\lambda a_{1}^{2}a_{3} + (5 + 48\kappa^{2}\lambda a_{2}^{2})a_{1})r_{+}^{3} + (144\kappa^{2}\lambda a_{1}a_{3} - 96\kappa^{2}\lambda a_{1}^{2}a_{2} - 3k)r_{+}^{2} - 48\lambda a_{1}\kappa^{2}(3ka_{2} - a_{1}^{2})r_{+} + 36k\kappa^{2}\lambda a_{1}^{2}.$$
(2.17)

These two relationships suffice to highlight the general trend. Notice that the first expression determines a_1 , as we would expect. There are in fact two solutions for a_1 , one which has a smooth $\lambda \to 0$ limit, and another which does not. In the second expression both a_2 and a_3 appear, and this general trend continues: at order $(r - r_+)^n$, coefficients up to a_{n+1} can occur. The reason for this is the appearance of particular terms in the field equations involving third derivatives, e.g.

$$r^2 f(r) f'''(r).$$
 (2.18)

From here, there are two courses of action one can follow. First, we can treat a_2 as a free parameter, isolating the second relationship, i.e. contributions at $\mathcal{O}((r-r_+)^2)$, for a_3 in terms of a_2 , and continuing this recursive procedure to higher orders. This method produces two possible solutions due to the two initial choices of a_1 .

However, regardless of which choice is made for a_1 neither of these solutions has a smooth $\lambda \to 0$ limit, that is, neither is the Einstein branch. This is true irrespective of the choice of a_2 ; that is, while a_2 may be selected to cancel a divergence³ in some particular a_n , this choice of a_2 will not cure the divergences in all of the coefficients. Thus this procedure allows us to study the two non-Einsteinian cubic branches of the theory. However, as discussed earlier, these branches both suffer from the ghost instability.

To obtain information about the Einstein branch, we have found it necessary to follow a second approach, which we shall now describe. Specifically, we consider the small λ limit and work perturbatively, expanding each of the a_n series coefficients above in powers of λ :

$$a_n = \sum_{j=0}^{J_{\text{max}}} b_{n,j} \lambda^j.$$
 (2.19)

Using this expression in the field equations, we find that to obtain a series that is accurate to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^n)$ at $\mathcal{O}((r-r_+)^m)$ it is necessary to work to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n-1})$ at $\mathcal{O}((r-r_+)^{m+1})$ and so on until $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$ at $\mathcal{O}((r-r_+)^{m+n})$. As expected, the $b_{n,0}$ terms reproduce the series expansion of the ordinary Einstein equation about an event horizon,

$$b_{1,0} = \frac{k - \Lambda r_+^2}{r_+}, \qquad b_{2,0} = -\frac{k}{r_+^2}, \qquad b_{3,0} = \frac{3k - \Lambda r_+^2}{3r_+^3},$$

$$b_{n,0} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{b_{3,0}}{r_+^{n-3}}.$$
 (2.20)

The first- and higher-order terms in λ do not follow a nice pattern, and so we present here only some sample coefficients. Explicitly, taking $j_{\text{max}} = 2$ and requiring $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ accuracy at $\mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^3)$ we find the following coefficients:

$$\begin{split} b_{1,1} &= \frac{12k\kappa^2(\Lambda r_+^2 - k)^2}{r_+^5}, \quad b_{1,2} = -\frac{288k^2\kappa^4(\Lambda r_+^2 - k)^3}{r_+^9}, \\ b_{2,1} &= \frac{36\kappa^2(\Lambda r_+^2 - k)(\Lambda r_+^2 - 3k)^2}{r_+^6}, \\ b_{2,2} &= \frac{144(\Lambda r_+^2 - 3k)\kappa^4(\Lambda r_+^2 - k)}{r_+^{10}} \\ &\times (53\Lambda^3 r_+^6 - 392\Lambda^2 r_+^4 k + 879\Lambda r_+^2 k^2 - 564k^3), \\ b_{3,1} &= -\frac{4\kappa^2(41\Lambda^3 r_+^6 - 294\Lambda^2 r_+^4 k + 653\Lambda r_+^2 k^2 - 424k^3)}{r_+^7}, \\ b_{3,2} &= -\frac{48}{r_+^{11}} [\kappa^4 (1801\Lambda^5 r_+^{10} - 20526\Lambda^4 r_+^8 k + 89460\Lambda^3 r_+^6 k^2 - 184398\Lambda^2 r_+^4 k^3 + 177787\Lambda r_+^2 k^4 - 64220k^5)]. \end{split}$$

Working to higher order, we can show that

$$a_{1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{1,j} \lambda^{j} = \frac{r_{+}}{24\lambda k \kappa^{2}} \left[r_{+}^{2} - \sqrt{48k\kappa^{2}(\Lambda r_{+}^{2} - k)\lambda + r_{+}^{4}} \right],$$
(2.22)

which is the Einsteinian root for a_1 from Eq. (2.17). We have not been able to find corresponding closed-form expressions for a_2 and a_3 by summing the series. As we shall see later, this expression for a_1 is all that is needed to characterize the black hole thermodynamics of the Einstein branch.

D. Thermodynamics

We now move on to thermodynamic considerations for these black hole solutions given by (2.10) and (2.16). Their temperature can be computed by requiring regularity of the Euclidean sector, giving

$$T = \frac{f'(r_+)}{4\pi} = \frac{a_1}{4\pi},$$
 (2.23)

where a_1 solves the equation

$$\Lambda r_{+}^{4} + r_{+}^{3}a_{1} - kr_{+}^{2} - 12k\kappa^{2}\lambda a_{1}^{2} = 0.$$
 (2.24)

The entropy can be calculated using Wald's prescription [60,61],

$$S = -2\pi \oint d^2x \sqrt{\sigma} P^{abcd} \hat{\epsilon}_{ab} \hat{\epsilon}_{cd}, \qquad (2.25)$$

with σ the determinant of the induced metric on the horizon and \hat{e}_{ab} the binormal to the horizon, $\hat{e}_{ab}\hat{e}^{ab} = -2$. Using P^{abcd} as defined above, we find that the Wald entropy is given by

$$S = \frac{2\pi A}{\kappa} \left[1 + \frac{12\lambda\kappa^2 a_1}{r_+^3} (r_+ a_1 + 4k) \right], \quad (2.26)$$

which, remarkably, depends only on a_1 . Finally, as is standard in black hole chemistry, we take the pressure to be given by

$$P = -\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} \tag{2.27}$$

for AdS black holes. We shall return to the full thermodynamics after some relevant discussion of the series coefficient a_1 .

The equation determining a_1 (Eq. (2.24) is quadratic in a_1 , with the two solutions (assuming $k = \pm 1$) being

³Here we mean a divergence in the small λ limit: as $\lambda \to 0$, $a_n \to \infty$.

ROBIE A. HENNIGAR and ROBERT B. MANN

$$a_{1}^{\pm} = \frac{r_{+}}{24\lambda k\kappa^{2}} \left[r_{+}^{2} \pm \sqrt{48k\kappa^{2}(\Lambda r_{+}^{2} - k)\lambda + r_{+}^{4}} \right].$$
(2.28)

Note that a_1^- has a smooth $\lambda \to 0$ limit, while a_1^+ does not. However, there are some consistency conditions and additional restrictions we must consider if we wish to take the small λ limit. The considerations will be important for the higher-dimensional cases we consider in the next section and are most easily understood here in the four-dimensional case.

For convenience, we employ the dimensionless parameters

$$\alpha = \kappa^2 \Lambda^2 \lambda, \qquad \tilde{r}_+ = \sqrt{-\frac{3}{\Lambda}} r_+, \qquad (2.29)$$

in terms of which, a_1^{\pm} takes the form,

$$a_{1}^{\pm} = \tilde{r}_{+}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda}{3}} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{1}{8\alpha k \tilde{r}_{+}} \left[3\tilde{r}_{+}^{2} \pm \sqrt{9\tilde{r}_{+}^{4} - \alpha(48k^{2} + 144k\tilde{r}_{+}^{2})} \right] \right\}.$$
(2.30)

First, note that the term under the square root could become negative. To prevent this, we must demand that

$$9\tilde{r}_{+}^{4} - \alpha(48k^{2} + 144k\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}) > 0.$$
 (2.31)

This equation is trivially positive in the case k = 0, and so we restrict our attention to the cases $k = \pm 1$. For k = +1, we find that if $\alpha > 0$, then the minimum horizon radius is given by

$$\tilde{r}_{+,\min}^{k=+1} = \sqrt{8\alpha + \frac{4}{3}\sqrt{3\alpha(12\alpha + 1)}},$$
 (2.32)

and for $\alpha < 0$ any horizon radius is permitted. If k = -1, the situation is slightly more complicated. If $\alpha > 0$, then for fixed α , there is a minimum horizon size given by

$$\tilde{r}_{+,\min}^{k=-1} = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3\sqrt{3\alpha(12\alpha+1)} - 18\alpha}$$
 for $\alpha > 0.$ (2.33)

When $-1/12 < \alpha < 0$, there are no restrictions on the horizon radius; (2.31) is always satisfied. However for $\alpha < -\frac{1}{12}$ there are no black holes for r_+ satisfying

$$\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3\sqrt{3\alpha(12\alpha+1)} - 18\alpha} < r_{+} < \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{-3\sqrt{3\alpha(12\alpha+1)} - 18\alpha} \quad \text{for } \alpha < -\frac{1}{12}.$$
(2.34)

We get further constraints upon considering the small coupling limit. If we wish to expand a_1^- as $\lambda \to 0$

(i.e. $\alpha \rightarrow 0$), we are performing a Taylor expansion of the square root and therefore must, in addition to the above constraints, have,

$$\left|\frac{\alpha(48k^2 + 144k\tilde{r}_+^2)}{9\tilde{r}_+^4}\right| < 1.$$
 (2.35)

These conditions taken together imply a minimum black hole size in the small coupling limit, and we can solve for this exactly. We can express the value for α which yields $r_{+,\min}$ for the minimum horizon size concisely as

$$|\alpha| = \frac{3}{16} \frac{\tilde{r}_{+,\min}^4}{k(k+3\tilde{r}_{+,\min}^2)},$$
(2.36)

where it is understood that only positive values of $\tilde{r}_{+,\min}$ are permissible; the absolute value bars indicate that $\tilde{r}_{+,\min}$ is the same, regardless of the overall sign of α . Note that for k = -1, the above has a pole for $\tilde{r}_{+,\min} = \sqrt{-k/3}$. This corresponds to the value of \tilde{r}_{+} that causes the coefficient of α under the square root in the expression for a_1 to vanish. For this special value of r_{+} , the α (and therefore λ) dependence drops out of the square root, and no small coupling limit exists for a_1 .

1. Thermodynamics of Einstein branch

We shall now study in more detail the thermodynamics of black hole solutions of the Einstein branch. As discussed earlier, this is the only branch that is free from the ghost instability, i.e. the only branch with a well defined ground state. For the Einstein branch,

$$a_1 = \frac{r_+}{24\lambda k\kappa^2} \left[r_+^2 - \sqrt{48k\kappa^2(\Lambda r_+^2 - k)\lambda + r_+^4} \right].$$
 (2.37)

The first law and Smarr formula,

$$dM = TdS + VdP + \Psi_{\lambda}d\lambda,$$

$$M = 2(TS - VP) + 4\Psi_{\lambda}\lambda,$$
 (2.38)

are satisfied by the thermodynamic potentials defined in the previous section along with the following identifications for the mass, volume and conjugate to λ :

$$M = \frac{\pi r_{+}^{3}}{216k^{3}\kappa^{5}\lambda^{2}} [r_{+}^{6} - r_{+}^{4}(Y - 36k\kappa^{2}\lambda\Lambda) - 12k\kappa^{2}\lambda\Lambda r_{+}^{2}Y + 24k^{2}\kappa^{2}\lambda(24k\kappa^{2}\lambda\Lambda - Y)],$$

$$Y = \sqrt{48k\kappa^{2}(\Lambda r_{+}^{2} - k)\lambda + r_{+}^{4}},$$

$$V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_{+}^{3},$$

$$\Psi_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{4\lambda} [M - 2(TS - VP)].$$
 (2.39)

In the limit of small λ , the mass takes the form

$$M_{\lambda \to 0} = -\frac{4\pi r_{+}}{3\kappa} (r_{+}^{2}\Lambda - 3k) + \frac{16\pi\kappa(k - r_{+}^{2}\Lambda)^{2}(4k - r_{+}^{2}\Lambda)}{r_{+}^{3}}\lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{2}), \quad (2.40)$$

where we see that the leading-order term is the standard Schwarzschild-(A)dS mass. From the second term of the expansion, one might be tempted to think that the mass of the black hole tends to infinity as $r_+ \rightarrow 0$. However, this is not the case: as discussed earlier, for a given fixed λ , there is a minimum value for r_+ . Hence r_+ cannot be taken directly to zero in this expansion, but only to the minimum value specified by Eq. (2.36).

We can study P - v criticality by constructing the equation of state. Rearranging the definition of temperature and setting $\kappa = 8\pi$, we obtain for the pressure

$$P = \frac{T}{2r_{+}} - \frac{k}{8\pi r_{+}^{2}} - \frac{24\pi k(8\pi)^{2}\lambda T^{2}}{r_{+}^{4}}.$$
 (2.41)

It is easy to see that in the Einstein limit ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$), the last term drops out and the resulting equation of state possesses no inflection points. This is a qualitatively different equation of state than has appeared in Einstein, Lovelock, and quasitopological theories of gravity for spherically symmetric black holes as it is quadratic (and not just linear) in *T*. As a result of the cubic curvature corrections, this equation of state admits a single critical point provided k = 1 and $\lambda < 0$ with critical values

$$P_{c} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{256\pi(8\pi)\sqrt{-\lambda}}, \qquad T_{c} = \frac{2^{1/4}}{12\pi\sqrt{8\pi}(-\lambda)^{1/4}},$$
$$r_{c} = 2^{7/4}\sqrt{8\pi}(-\lambda)^{1/4}. \qquad (2.42)$$

The ratio of these critical values exactly matches that of the van der Waals fluid,

$$\frac{P_c v_c}{T_c} = \frac{P_c(2r_c)}{T_c} = \frac{3}{8},$$
(2.43)

which is independent of the parameters of this black hole solution, and is therefore a universal quantity for black holes of this theory. The only other time this same ratio has been seen is for the Reissner Nordstrom black hole [23]. The reason is that both solutions have the same falloff behavior in r_+ , namely $1/r_+^4$. The additional factor of T^2 doesn't make any difference because one computes derivatives with respect to r_+ .

To better understand the critical behavior of these black holes we introduce the following dimensionless parameters,

$$p = \sqrt{-\lambda}P,$$
 $v = 2(-\lambda)^{-1/4}r_+,$ $t = (-\lambda)^{1/4}T,$
(2.44)

which allow us to study the thermodynamic behavior for $\lambda < 0$. In terms of these quantities, the equation of state reads

$$p_{\lambda<0} = \frac{t}{v} - \frac{k}{2\pi v^2} + \frac{384\pi k(8\pi)^2 t^2}{v^4}, \qquad (2.45)$$

which, it must be kept in mind, applies only for $\lambda < 0$. In terms of these dimensionless quantities, the critical point occurs at the values

$$p_c = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2048\pi^2}, \qquad v_c = 2^{17/4}\sqrt{\pi}, \qquad t_c = \frac{2^{1/4}}{12\pi\sqrt{8\pi}}.$$
(2.46)

Expanding the equation of state about the critical point in terms of the following parameters,

$$\rho = \frac{p}{p_c} - 1, \qquad \omega = \frac{v}{v_c} - 1, \qquad \tau = \frac{t}{t_c} - 1, \quad (2.47)$$

we find that it takes the form,

$$\rho = \frac{10}{3}\tau - \frac{4}{3}\tau\omega - \frac{4}{3}\omega^3 + \mathcal{O}(\tau\omega^2, \omega^4).$$
 (2.48)

From this expansion, it is straightforward to show (see, e.g. [62]) that the critical exponents are given by the mean field theory values:

$$\alpha = 0, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \gamma = 1, \qquad \delta = 3.$$
 (2.49)

These four parameters characterize the specific heat at constant volume, order parameter, isothermal compressibility, behavior of pressure along the critical isotherm, respectively, as the critical point is approached. Interestingly, we have obtained the results suggested by [63,64] despite having a different form for the equation of state, with terms quadratic in the temperature.

To determine if there are any phase transitions, we study the Gibbs free energy of these black holes,

$$G = M - TS, \tag{2.50}$$

which can be converted to dimensionless form via the dimensionless parameters defined above and the rescaling

$$g = (-\lambda)^{1/4} G.$$
 (2.51)

The state of the physical system is taken to be that which minimizes the Gibbs free energy at constant temperature and pressure. Exploring first the behavior near the critical



FIG. 2. Criticality for $\lambda < 0$: k = 1, $\kappa = 8\pi$: Left: A p - v plot showing van der Waals behavior for $t = 0.9t_c$, t_c , 1.1 t_c (bottom to top). Center: A plot of the Gibbs free energy vs temperature showing swallowtail behavior for $p < p_c$. The curves correspond to $p = 0.9p_c$, p_c , 1.1 p_c from bottom to top. Right: The critical behavior in the p-t plane. Here we see typical van der Waals behavior: the black coexistence line separates small/large black hole phases until it terminates at the critical point, illustrated in this plot by a red dot. Note that no constraints have been enforced on the entropy in the construction of these plots.

point, we find that these black holes display van der Waals type behavior, with the liquid/gas phase transition replaced by a small/large black hole phase transition. Representative plots are shown in Fig. 2, where we see the standard van der Waals-type oscillation in the p-v plane, swallowtail behavior in the Gibbs free energy, and in the p-t plane a coexistence line of a first-order phase transition terminating at the critical point. We note from the plots of the Gibbs free energy that the two physical branches of the Gibbs free energy both possess positive specific heat, therefore ensuring that any black hole which is a local minimum of the Gibbs free energy is also (locally) thermodynamically stable.

Since we are considering higher-curvature gravity, care must be taken when dealing with the black hole entropy in the case of $\lambda < 0$ and k = 1, we can see from Eq. (2.26) that it is possible for the entropy to be negative. Assuming that the Wald entropy correctly identifies the true entropy of the black hole, and furthermore that this entropy is related to the underlying microscopic degrees of freedom, negativity of the entropy should be regarded as unphysical. However the negative entropy solutions of the theory do not seem to be affected by any other pathology. Thus one could argue that these black holes are in fact physical and perhaps the Wald entropy should be supplemented by the addition of some positive, arbitrary constant.

Due to this inherent ambiguity, we have considered both points of view. Noting that the plots in Fig. 2 do not impose any constraints on the entropy, we plot in Fig. 3 the relevant thermodynamic quantities imposing the positive entropy constraint. Here we see that the van der Waals type behavior has been modified via the addition of a "no black hole"



FIG. 3. Criticality for $\lambda < 0$: k = 1, $\kappa = 8\pi$: These plots of the Gibbs free energy vs temperature (left) and p vs t (right) illustrate how the plots from Fig. 2 are altered if one demands positivity of entropy as a physical constraint. The van der Waals type behavior is replaced by a large/small/large black hole reentrant phase transition over a very small window of pressures. A "no black hole" region is introduced where, for parameters in this region, the theory does not have positive entropy black hole solutions. The plot of the Gibbs free energy is for p = 0.0000688.



FIG. 4. Plot of Gibbs free energy: $\lambda > 0$, k = 1, $\kappa = 8\pi$, and p = 0.00006. This plot shows a representative example of the form of the Gibbs free energy when $(k < 0, \lambda > 0)$, $(k > 0, \lambda > 0)$, $(k < 0, \lambda > 0)$, where it generally takes the form of a cusp, and no critical behavior is observed.

region, which corresponds to parameter values for which there are no positive entropy black holes of the theory. We also see the appearance of a zeroth-order phase transition connecting the first-order coexistence line and the no black hole region. This gives rise to a large/small/large reentrant phase transition for a very small window of pressures. The first-order coexistence line terminates at the critical point.

This concludes the discussion of the thermodynamics in the case of $\lambda < 0$ and k = 1. Although there are clearly three more combinations of these parameters that could can be studied, for each the Gibbs free energy takes the form of a cusp, as shown in Fig. 4. Hence, we do not find any instances of critical behavior in these cases.

III. SOLUTIONS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

We now move on to considering solutions in higher dimensions. It is advantageous to work with dimensionless coordinates; we therefore take as the metric ansatz the following:

$$ds^{2} = \ell^{2} \left[-\tilde{r}^{2} N^{2}(\tilde{r}) f(\tilde{r}) d\tilde{t}^{2} + \frac{d\tilde{r}^{2}}{\tilde{r}^{2} f(\tilde{r})} + \tilde{r}^{2} d\Sigma_{(k)D-2}^{2} \right],$$

$$(3.1)$$

where $d\Sigma^2_{(k)D-2}$ is the line element on a (D-2)dimensional surface of constant scalar curvature $k(D-2) \times (D-3)$ and k = +1, 0, -1 describes spherical, flat and hyperbolic geometries, respectively as before; in the latter cases the space can be made compact via appropriate identifications [65]. In the above, we have identified the cosmological constant as PHYSICAL REVIEW D 95, 064055 (2017)

$$\Lambda = -\frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2\ell^2},$$
 (3.2)

and $\tilde{t} = t/\ell$ and $\tilde{r} = r/\ell$ to be dimensionless quantities; we have also pulled a factor of \tilde{r}^2 out of $f(\tilde{r})$ so that asymptotically $f(\tilde{r}) \to 1$.

We proceed to construct the solution as a small λ series, expanding the metric functions as

$$f(\tilde{r}) = 1 + \frac{k}{\tilde{r}^2} - \frac{c_0}{\tilde{r}^{D-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{l_{\text{max}}} \alpha^i h_i^{(D)}(\tilde{r}),$$

$$N(\tilde{r}) = 1 + \sum_i^{l_{\text{max}}} \alpha^i N_i^{(D)}(r),$$
 (3.3)

where $\alpha := \lambda \kappa^2 \Lambda^2$ is a dimensionless parameter. Evaluating the field equations,

$$G_{ab} = P_{acde} R_b^{\ cde} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \mathcal{L} - 2\nabla^c \nabla^d P_{acdb} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

 $[P_{acde}$ is the same as given in Eq. (2.3)] on this ansatz produces

$$h_{1}^{(D)}(\tilde{r}) = \frac{48(D-3)(D^{3}-4D^{2}+7D-14)}{(D-2)^{2}(D-1)^{2}} \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\tilde{r}^{2(D-1)}} \\ + \frac{48k(D-3)^{2}}{(D-2)^{2}} \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\tilde{r}^{2D}} \\ - \frac{4(D-3)(7D^{3}-30D^{2}+47D-64)}{(D-1)^{2}(D-2)^{2}} \\ \times \frac{c_{0}^{3}}{\tilde{r}^{3(D-1)}} + \frac{c_{1}}{\tilde{r}^{D-1}} + \frac{32(D-3)(D-6)}{(D-1)^{2}(D-2)^{2}}, \\ N_{1}^{(D)}(\tilde{r}) = -\frac{12(D-3)(D-4)}{(D-2)^{2}} \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\tilde{r}^{2(D-1)}} + n_{1}, \quad (3.5)$$

as the leading-order correction. One obvious difference between this case and the four-dimensional case is that the lapse can no longer be simply set equal to unity: in all dimensions greater than four, the solutions are characterized by two functions, $f(\tilde{r})$ and $N(\tilde{r})$.

We have verified that these results hold explicitly up to D = 12. In each case, the constant c_1 can be regarded as an order- α correction to the mass of the black hole. These constants can be fixed via appropriate boundary conditions, e.g. requiring the location of the black hole horizon to be fixed. The constant n_1 is an integration constant coming from the differential equations determining the lapse. Higher- order corrections are computed quite easily for a given dimension, but determining the general form is a nontrivial process. We note that both $h_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ and $N_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ vanish identically in D = 3 and furthermore that the perturbative correction to the cosmological constant,



FIG. 5. First-order corrections in higher dimensions: *Top row*: Plots of the first order corrections, $h_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ for spherical, flat and hyperbolic geometries (left, center, right) for a variety of dimensions. *Middle row*: Plots of the lapse function, $N(\tilde{r})$ with corrections up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$. We have set $n_1 = 0$ in these plots. *Bottom row*: Plots of the metric function, $f(\tilde{r})$ with the inclusion of the first order corrections shown in the top row for $\alpha = 0.001$. In all cases, c_0 and c_1 were chosen so that the horizon is at $\tilde{r}_+ = 10$. In each plot, the solid, dashed, dotted and dash-dotted lines correspond to D = 4, 6, 9, 11, respectively. Note that, for small enough \tilde{r} within the horizon, the first order correction terms become comparable to the zeroth order terms, and the termination of the series at first order breaks down.

$$\frac{32(D-3)(D-6)}{(D-1)^2(D-2)^2}\alpha,$$
(3.6)

vanishes in D = 3 and D = 6. In the latter case, this is because the contribution to the equations of motion of all six-dimensional cubic gravities vanish identically for an Einstein space (cf. footnote 41 in [15]). That is, when $c_0 = 0$ in D = 6, the cubic curvature terms cannot contribute to the equations of motion. Furthermore, we point out that $N_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ vanishes in four dimensions, consistent with the fact that the lapse can be set to unity in four dimensions (amounting to the choice $n_1 = 0$). In Fig. 5 we display $h_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$, $N_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$, and $f(\tilde{r})$ to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ for a variety of dimensions. In the appendix we write explicitly some of the higher-order corrections.



FIG. 6. Ghost-free condition in higher dimensions: Plots of $x = \Lambda_{\text{eff}} / \Lambda$ vs $\alpha = \kappa^2 \Lambda^2 \lambda$ for five dimensions (left) and seven dimensions (right). Here the black, dashed lines indicate that for these branches the graviton is a ghost, while for the branch indicated by a solid red line is ghost free. Note the plots for D > 7 are qualitatively identical to the D = 7 case: the only ghost-free branch is the Einstein branch.

We can go further and analyze the asymptotics of the solutions, incorporating the restrictions placed on the theory by requiring the absence of ghosts. Considering Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) for the cases of $D \ge 5$ (but not D = 6) we find that only the Einstein branch is free from ghosts. We highlight this graphically in Fig. 6. In the D = 6 case, \mathcal{P} does not contribute to the equations of motion for an Einstein metric and the spectrum agrees exactly with Einstein gravity.

It is useful for thermodynamic analysis to compute the near horizon solution. As in the case of four dimensions, we write the near horizon metric functions as

$$f(\tilde{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{i_{\max}} A_i (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_+)^i, \quad N(\tilde{r}) = \sum_{i=0}^{i_{\max}} N_i (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_+)^i, \quad (3.7)$$

where the A_i 's and N_i 's are dimensionless coefficients and we require the metric function to vanish linearly as $\tilde{r} \rightarrow \tilde{r}_+$. Note that we include a term N_0 at this point. Substituting these expressions into the field equations produces a series of complicated relationships which must be satisfied by the A_i 's and N_i 's. For example, at order $(\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_+)$, the following relationship must hold:

$$0 = \frac{(D-1)^{3}(D-2)^{2}}{432} - \frac{(D-1)^{2}(D-2)^{2}A_{1}\tilde{r}_{+}}{432} + \frac{(D-1)^{2}(D-2)^{2}(D-3)k}{432\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}} + \frac{(D-3)\alpha kA_{1}^{2}}{9} + \frac{(D-3)(D-4)\alpha\tilde{r}_{+}^{3}A_{1}^{3}}{54} - \frac{2(D-3)(D-4)(D-5)\alpha k^{3}}{27\tilde{r}_{+}^{6}} + \frac{2(D-3)\alpha\tilde{r}_{+}A_{1}^{2}(2k+\tilde{r}_{+}^{3}A_{1})N_{1}}{9},$$
(3.8)

where, once again, this expression has been explicitly checked up to D = 12. Similar expressions can be written at higher orders, but they rapidly become unwieldy and for this reason we do not present them here, but rather discuss the general character. The parameter N_0 is not fixed at any order, and we therefore take it equal to unity for convenience. The above expression is linear in N_1 but cubic in A_1 : at second order, the equivalent expression is linear in both A_2 and N_2 . At higher orders in $(\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_+)$, say at order n, a_{n+1} and N_{n+1} will occur and can be determined in terms of two free parameters, which can be taken to be any one of $\{A_1, N_1\}$ plus one of $\{A_2, N_2\}$. Thus, the black holes of this theory are in general characterized by two free parameters in D > 4.

In general, we see that Eq. (3.8) is cubic in A_1 , which can be seen as determining the three separate branches of the solution. However, as we saw earlier, it is only the Einstein branch of this theory which is free from ghosts. Thus it is natural to investigate what happens when α is small. To explore this, we write

$$A_{1} = \sum_{i=0} \alpha^{i} A_{1}^{(i)},$$

$$A_{2} = \sum_{i=0} \alpha^{i} A_{2}^{(i)},$$

$$N_{1} = \sum_{i=0} \alpha^{i} N_{1}^{(i)},$$

$$N_{2} = \sum_{i=0} \alpha^{i} N_{2}^{(i)},$$
(3.9)

and so on. Substituting these expressions into the field equations it is found that the free parameters are fixed order by order in α . To illustrate this, we shall write a few of the more useful expressions:

$$\begin{split} N_{1} &= \frac{24a(D-1)(D-3)(D-4)(\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}+k)^{2}}{(D-2)^{2}\tilde{r}_{+}^{5}} + \mathcal{O}(a^{2}), \\ N_{2} &= -\frac{12a(D-1)(D-3)(D-4)(2D-1)(\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}+k)^{2}}{(D-2)^{2}\tilde{r}_{+}^{6}} + \mathcal{O}(a^{2}), \\ A_{1} &= \frac{(D-1)\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}+(D-3)k}{\tilde{r}_{+}^{3}} + \frac{a(D-3)}{(D-2)^{2}} \left(\frac{8(D-1)(D-4)}{\tilde{r}_{+}} + \frac{24k(D^{2}-7D+14)}{\tilde{r}_{+}^{3}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{24k^{2}(D-3)(D^{2}-7d+16)}{(D-1)\tilde{r}_{+}^{5}} + \frac{8k^{3}(D^{3}-12D^{2}+53D-82)}{(D-1)\tilde{r}_{+}^{7}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{a^{2}(D-3)^{2}}{(D-2)^{4}} \left(\frac{192(D-1)(D-4)(13D-16)}{\tilde{r}_{+}} + \frac{192k(D-4)(65D^{2}-203D+178)}{\tilde{r}_{+}^{3}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{384k^{2}(65D^{4}-586D^{3}+1885D^{2}-2744D+1692)}{(D-1)\tilde{r}_{+}^{5}} \\ &+ \frac{384k^{3}(65D^{5}-709D^{4}+3003D^{3}-6481D^{2}+7694D-4292)}{(D-1)^{2}\tilde{r}_{+}^{7}} \\ &+ \frac{192k^{4}(65D^{6}-832D^{5}+4342D^{4}-12284D^{3}+21097D^{2}-21980D+10792)}{(D-1)^{3}\tilde{r}_{+}^{10}} \\ &+ \frac{192k^{5}(D-3)(13D^{5}-139D^{4}+585D^{3}-1341D^{2}+1938D-1456)}{(D-1)^{3}\tilde{r}_{+}^{11}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(a^{3}), \\ A_{2} &= \frac{6k - (\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}+k)D(D-1)}{2\tilde{r}_{+}^{4}} - \frac{a(D-3)}{(D-2)^{2}\tilde{r}_{+}^{8}} \left(4\tilde{r}_{+}^{6}(D-1)(10D^{2}-37D+24)\right) \\ &+ 12k\tilde{r}_{+}^{4}(10D^{3}-57D^{2}+111D-80) + \frac{12k^{2}\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}(10D^{4}-77D^{3}+232D^{2}-321D+136)}{(D-1)} \\ &+ \frac{4k^{3}(10D^{4}-87D^{3}+296D^{2}-451D+192)}{(D-1)} + \mathcal{O}(a^{2}). \end{split}$$

Г

These expressions can be evaluated to higher order in α , but the conclusion remains the same: the freedom to choose two parameters is lost when one requires that the solution has a smooth $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit. The reason for this can be easily seen by performing small α series expansions of the equations. For example, rearranging Eq. (3.8) for N_1 and expanding near $\alpha = 0$, while setting A_1 to be that given in Eq. (3.9) one finds that (ignoring proportionality constants) it goes like

$$N_1 \sim \frac{\tilde{r}_+^3 A_1^{(0)} + 3k + \tilde{r}_+^2 - D(\tilde{r}_+^2 + k)}{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^0). \quad (3.11)$$

Thus, avoiding a divergence as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in this term requires fixing $A_1^{(0)}$ to be that given in Eq. (3.10). Similar problems occur at all orders and completely use up the free parameters. This is not unlike the four-dimensional case, where we saw a similar result in Sec. II C. Since we wish to study the ghost-free (i.e. Einstein) branch, we will then work with the expansions given above in Eq. (3.10) in what follows. To begin our consideration of the thermodynamics, we compute the Iyer-Wald entropy [61]. We find that for the metric Eq. (3.1), the entropy takes the form

$$S = \frac{2\pi A}{\kappa} \left[1 + \frac{24(D-3)A_1\alpha}{(D-2)(D-1)^2 \tilde{r}_+} (4k + \tilde{r}_+^3 A_1) \right], \quad (3.12)$$

where, in the above expression, the area is given by

$$A = |N_0|\omega_{(k)D-2}(\ell \tilde{r}_+)^{D-2} = \omega_{(k)D-2}r_+^{D-2}, \qquad (3.13)$$

where $\omega_{(k)d-2}$ is the area of the surface defined by $d\Sigma_{(k)d-2}^2$ and we have chosen to set $N_0 = 1$. The temperature can be computed by requiring the absence of conical singularities in the Euclidean sector:

$$T = \frac{\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}|N(\tilde{r}_{+})|f'(\tilde{r}_{+})}{4\pi\ell} = \frac{\tilde{r}_{+}^{2}A_{1}}{4\pi\ell}.$$
 (3.14)

Remarkably, the higher-curvature corrections to the entropy are completely characterized in terms of A_1 . However, unfortunately, we do not have access to an exact



FIG. 7. Error profile for D = 5: Here the left plot corresponds to k = +1 and the right plot to k = -1. In each case, for parameters within the blue shaded region we have $A_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon |\alpha^2 A_1^{(2)}| > |\alpha^3 A_1^{(3)}|$ with ε chosen as 1/10, justifying terminating the series at order α^2 .

expression for A_1 as we did in the four-dimensional case. Therefore, we can only explore the thermodynamics here at a perturbative level. However, it must be kept in mind that in order for the perturbative results to be valid, the higherorder terms must die off rather than grow. Essentially the effect of this is to introduce a relationship between α and \tilde{r}_{\perp} which means that the perturbative results will not be valid for all combinations of \tilde{r}_+ and α —similar to what was discussed for the four-dimensional case in Sec. II D. We furthermore require that $A_1 > 0$, so that it describes a black hole rather than a (possibly) singular cosmological solution. In going forward with the analysis, we will work to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ and numerically enforce bounds on the maximum relative error of the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3)$ term, as we highlight in Fig. 7 for D = 5. We will focus our attention to the cases of five and six dimensions.

Our first thermodynamic consideration is under what circumstances the entropy is positive. In both five and six dimensions, and for both k = +1 and k = -1, the entropy is positive essentially for the entire viable parameter space: plotting this parameter space results in plots visually akin to Fig. 7. However, the entropy can be negative for very small portions of the viable parameter space when k = -1 for D = 5, an example is shown in Fig. 8. In the case where D = 6 and k = -1, the regions of negative entropy correspond to $A_1 < 0$ and we therefore exclude them on other grounds.

The natural next step is to investigate the first law of thermodynamics for these black holes. To obtain an expression for the thermodynamic mass we can integrate



FIG. 8. Region of negative entropy: This plot shows the D = 5 case with k = -1. The blue shaded region corresponds to negative entropy. In the D = 6 case, the negative entropy region corresponds to $A_1 < 0$ and we therefore exclude it.

the first law of thermodynamics. In doing so we must remain aware that we are working with perturbative solutions, and therefore they are not valid for arbitrary combinations of α and \tilde{r}_+ (see Fig. 7). We begin by constructing the first law of thermodynamics in D = 5and D = 6. Since we are working in dimensionless units, the standard extended first law,

$$dM = TdS + VdP + \Psi d\lambda, \qquad (3.15)$$

is modified according to

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa^4 P^2} \Rightarrow d\lambda = \frac{d\alpha}{\kappa^4 P^2} - \frac{2\alpha dP}{\kappa^4 P^3}, \qquad (3.16)$$

giving

$$dM = TdS + \left(V - \frac{2\alpha}{\kappa^4 P^3}\right)dP + \frac{\Psi}{\kappa^4 P^2}d\alpha, \qquad (3.17)$$

where, as before, we have identified

$$P = -\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}.\tag{3.18}$$

Working first in D = 5, and to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$, we find that the following expressions for the mass and volume satisfy the first law and Smarr formula:

$$\begin{split} M_{D=5} = & \frac{\omega_{(k)3}\ell^2}{\kappa} \bigg[\frac{3}{2} \tilde{r}_+^2 (k + \tilde{r}_+^2) + \frac{2\alpha}{3\tilde{r}_+^2} (40\tilde{r}_+^6 + 48k^2\tilde{r}_+^2\ln(\tilde{r}_+) + 108k\tilde{r}_+^4 + 5k^3) \\ & \quad + \frac{32\alpha^2}{27\tilde{r}_+^6} (696\tilde{r}_+^{10} + 15096k^2\tilde{r}_+^6\ln(\tilde{r}_+) + 5256k\tilde{r}_+^8 - 5214k^3\tilde{r}_+^4 - 873k^4\tilde{r}_+^2 - 76k^5) \bigg], \end{split}$$

г~4

0

$$\begin{split} V_{D=5} &= \omega_{(k)3} \ell^4 \left[\frac{r_+}{4} + \frac{8\alpha}{3} (\tilde{r}_+^4 + 2k^2 \ln(\tilde{r}_+) + 3k\tilde{r}_+^2 - k^2) \right. \\ &+ \frac{16\alpha^2}{27\tilde{r}_+^4} (1000\tilde{r}_+^8 + 15096k^2\tilde{r}_+^4 \ln(\tilde{r}_+) + 6432k\tilde{r}_+^6 + 1820k^2\tilde{r}_+^4 - 3820k^3\tilde{r}_+^2 - 351k^4) \right], \\ \Psi_{D=5} &= \frac{\omega_{(k)3}\kappa}{\ell^2\tilde{r}_+^2} \left[24(-8\tilde{r}_+^6 + 48k^2\tilde{r}_+^2 \ln(\tilde{r}_+) - 12k\tilde{r}_+^4 - 84k^2\tilde{r}_+^2 - 13k^3) \right. \\ &+ \frac{128\alpha}{3\tilde{r}_+^4} (1152\tilde{r}_+^{10} + 30192k^2\tilde{r}_+^6 \ln(\tilde{r}_+) + 9408k\tilde{r}_+^8 - 1824k^2\tilde{r}_+^6 \\ &- 11808k^3\tilde{r}_+^4 - 2235k^4\tilde{r}_+^2 - 218k^5) \\ &- \frac{512\alpha^2}{9\tilde{r}_+^8} (2\tilde{r}_+^2 + k)^3 (1208\tilde{r}_+^8 + 5492k\tilde{r}_+^6 + 9234k^2\tilde{r}_+^4 + 6799k^3\tilde{r}_+^2 + 1846k^4) \right]. \end{split}$$

and in D = 6:

$$\begin{split} M_{D=6} &= \frac{\omega_{(k)4}\ell^3}{\kappa} \left[2\tilde{r}_+^3 \left(k + \tilde{r}_+^2\right) + \frac{6\alpha}{\tilde{r}_+} (7\tilde{r}_+^6 + 20\tilde{r}_+^4 k + 27\tilde{r}_+^2 k^2 - 2k^3) \right. \\ &+ \frac{18\alpha^2}{25\tilde{r}_+^5} (2775\tilde{r}_+^{10} + 18250k\tilde{r}_+^8 + 85320k^2\tilde{r}_+^6 - 65670k^3\tilde{r}_+^4 - 8335k^4\tilde{r}_+^2 - 756k^5) \right], \\ V_{D=6} &= \omega_{(k)4}\ell^5 \left[\frac{\tilde{r}_+^5}{5} + \frac{6\alpha\tilde{r}_+}{5} (3\tilde{r}_+^4 + 8k\tilde{r}_+^2 + 9k^2) + \frac{36\alpha^2}{25\tilde{r}_+^3} (600\tilde{r}_+^8 + 3350k\tilde{r}_+^6 + 12447k^2\tilde{r}_+^4 - 6744k^3\tilde{r}_+^2 - 449k^4) \right], \\ \Psi_{D=6} &= \frac{\omega_{(k)4}\kappa}{\ell\tilde{r}_+} \left[-12(25\tilde{r}_+^6 - 25k\tilde{r}_+^4 - 585k^2\tilde{r}_+^2 + 289k^3) \right. \\ &+ \frac{36\alpha}{\tilde{r}_+^4} (9225\tilde{r}_+^{10} + 65350k\tilde{r}_+^8 + 329130k^2\tilde{r}_+^6 - 272016k^3\tilde{r}_+^4 - 36787k^4\tilde{r}_+^2 - 3510k^5) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{324\alpha^2}{5\tilde{r}_+^8} (\tilde{r}_+^2 + k)^3(60625\tilde{r}_+^8 + 192625k\tilde{r}_+^6 + 215175k^2\tilde{r}_+^4 + 102475k^3\tilde{r}_+^2 + 17788k^4) \right]. \end{split}$$

where the mass has been obtained by integrating the first law of thermodynamics. Note that the logarithms appearing in the five-dimensional quantities are an artefact of the expansion, which cannot be trusted all the way to $\tilde{r}_{+} = 0$. It is straightforward to compute these quantities to arbitrary order in any dimension. However, the resulting expressions are not insightful.

It is interesting to note that the thermodynamic volume is not simply the naive geometric volume, but possesses corrections perturbative in α , in contrast to the fourdimensional case discussed in the first part of this paper. This has the effect that, for some parameters, these black holes are super-entropic [52]. That is, their thermodynamic volume does not satisfy the following condition,

$$\mathcal{R} = \left(\frac{(D-1)V}{\omega_{(k)D-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{D-1}} \left(\frac{\omega_{(k)D-2}}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{D-2}} \ge 1, \quad (3.21)$$

which was conjectured for Einstein gravity first in [51] and then later revised to exclude noncompact horizons in [52]. Examples of black holes in higher-curvature theories of gravity that violate the reverse isoperimetric inequality were first found in [66] in the study of asymptotically Lifshitz spacetimes, but this is the first example of highercurvature black holes asymptotic to AdS space that violate the inequality. Note that in the Einstein gravity limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the inequality is an equality, consistent with the conjecture. Figure 9 shows the regions of parameter space for which the reverse isoperimetric inequality holds when the error in the series expansions used are less than 10%. We notice that, provided $\alpha > 0$, the inequality is obeyed in both D = 5 and D = 6 when the volume is positive, in D = 5 the inequality can be violated for both positive and negative α .

With an expansion for the mass it is also possible to examine the black hole solutions for thermodynamic stability. Specifically, we can examine the specific heat,

$$C = \frac{\partial M}{\partial T},\tag{3.22}$$

of the black holes and note when it is positive. Examining this to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$, we illustrate in Fig. 10 the results of this investigation for D = 5 and D = 6 where the error in the series is less than 10%. In the regions where the heat



FIG. 9. Reverse isoperimetric inequality: Plots showing regions of parameter space where the reverse isoperimetric inequality (3.21) is and is not obeyed. The plots are for D = 5 with k = +1 and k = -1 (top left and right, respectively) and D = 6 with k = +1 and k = -1 (bottom left and right, respectively). In each case, the reverse isoperimetric inequality is obeyed in the blue shaded regions and is violated in the red shaded regions. In the production of these plots we have enforced $A_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon |\alpha^2 A_1^{(2)}| > |\alpha^3 A_1^{(3)}|$ with ε chosen as 1/10.

capacity is negative (red shaded regions), these black holes are thermodynamically unstable. We note that in the case of k = -1, the black holes are thermodynamically stable with positive specific heat in the range where a second-order expansion is valid.

We close this section by studying the black hole equation of state for phase transitions in D = 5 and D = 6. This can be obtained from Eq. (3.8), substituting for the temperature and pressure, i.e. by returning to dimensionful units. This is easily accomplished by defining

$$a_1 \coloneqq \frac{A_1 r_+^2}{\ell^3}, \qquad n_1 \coloneqq \frac{N_1}{\ell},$$
(3.23)

after which Eq. (3.8) reduces to the higher-dimensional equivalent of Eq. (2.24). Identifying the pressure as

$$P = -\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} = \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2\kappa\ell^2},$$
 (3.24)

the equation of state then reads

$$P = \frac{2\pi (D-2)T}{\kappa r_{+}} - \frac{96\pi^{2}\kappa (D-2)(D-3)k(4r_{+}n_{1}+1)\lambda T^{2}}{r_{+}^{4}}$$
$$- \frac{64(D-2)(D-3)[12r_{+}n_{1}+(D-4)]\kappa\pi^{3}\lambda T^{3}}{r_{+}^{3}}$$
$$+ \frac{(D-2)(D-3)k}{2\kappa r_{+}^{6}}[8\kappa^{2}k^{2}(D-4)(D-5)\lambda - r_{+}^{4}],$$
(3.25)

where n_1 is in general a free parameter, but is fixed for the Einstein branch as per Eq. (3.10) in a way which is dependent on *P*. Explicitly, to first order in λ ,

$$n_1 = \frac{6(D-1)(D-3)(D-4)\kappa^2\lambda(2\kappa Pr_+^2 + (D-1)(D-2)k)^2}{(D-2)^2r_+^5}.$$
(3.26)

Since this correction contains terms quadratic in P it seriously complicates the study of the thermodynamics



FIG. 10. Heat capacity: Plots showing regions of parameter space where the heat capacity is and is not positive. The plots are for D = 5 with k = +1 and k = -1 (top left and right, respectively) and D = 6 with k = +1 and k = -1 (bottom left and right, respectively). In each case, the heat capacity is positive in the blue shaded regions and is negative in the red shaded regions. In the production of these plots we have enforced $A_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon |\alpha^2 A_1^{(2)}| > |\alpha^3 A_1^{(3)}|$ with ε chosen as 1/10.

at order λ^2 and higher. We can make progress by substituting for *P* in the expression for n_1 above the full equation of state Eq. (3.25) and keep terms only to order λ^2 . We can then study the resulting effective equation of state for critical points, verifying whether or not the results are valid within the approximation.

Considering first the case of D = 5, we find that that there are no critical points when k = +1 working to second order in λ . Naively, a calculation which is valid to first order suggests that there is a single critical point; however, this critical point occurs for parameters at which the series approximation breaks down. For k = -1 and $\lambda < 0$, there are two possible critical points occurring for the (dimensionless) combinations: $(\alpha, \tilde{r}_+, A_1) \approx (-0.05666, 0.91702, 5.608)$ and $(\alpha, \tilde{r}_+, A_1) \approx$ (-0.33412, 0.78780, 3.8581). A quick calculation reveals that for the first case, while a series approximation is valid for the choice of α and \tilde{r}_+ , the value of A_1 corresponds to a non-Einstein branch. In the second case, the series approximation is not valid at the critical point. With k = -1 and $\lambda > 0$, there is one possibility occurring at the dimensionless point $(\alpha, \tilde{r}_+, A_1) \approx (0.04595,$ 0.97383, 5.4786); however, once more the series approximation is not valid at this critical point.

The situation is not much better for D = 6. For k = +1, working at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ there are no options for critical points. For k = -1, there are two possibilities corresponding to $(\alpha, \tilde{r}_+, A_1) \approx (0.59427, 0.55692, 0.36703)$ and $(\alpha, \tilde{r}_+, A_1) \approx$ (0.04387, 0.88529, .36703). In the first case, the series approximation is not valid at the critical point. In the second case, while the series approximation is valid for the values of \tilde{r}_+ and α , the value of A_1 indicates does not match that coming from the Einstein branch. For k = -1 and $\lambda < 0$, there is one possible critical point which occurs for $(\alpha, \tilde{r}_+, A_1) \approx (-0.05510, 0.90570, 6.3931)$ which does not correspond to the Einstein branch. Thus, in five and six dimensions, there is no interesting critical behavior that can be captured perturbatively.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have found new static and spherically symmetric vacuum black hole solutions of all topologies to Einsteinian cubic gravity using a combination of analytic, perturbative, and numerical methods. There is only ever a single ghost-free branch that limits to the Einstein case when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, and we find asymptotic AdS solutions in a broad region of parameter space. We have found solutions in D = 4 and D > 4. The four-dimensional solutions are described by a single function, f(r), while the higher-dimensional solutions require two independent functions.

While these black holes have features and thermodynamic behavior similar to their counterparts in Einstein and Lovelock gravity, they do exhibit some novel properties. One is the existence of black holes of minimal radius, depending on the value coupling parameter α . Another is their equation of state, which is quadratic or cubic (and not linear) in the temperature. In four dimensions, their ratio of critical parameters is identical to that of a van der Waals fluid, the only such instance ever seen apart from the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole.

Turning to the higher-dimensional cases, an interesting feature of the higher-dimensional solutions is their violation (again in certain regions of parameter space) of the reverse isoperimetric inequality (3.21), the first time this has been observed for higher-curvature black holes asymptotic to AdS space. And finally we note the existence of negative entropy solutions, whose physical status remains unclear, in significant regions of parameter space.

There remains a great deal of future work to be carried out for Einsteinian cubic gravity. Within the theme of black hole thermodynamics, it would be interesting to see how the addition of the Gauss-Bonnet and cubic Lovelock terms affect the thermodynamics. Furthermore, inclusion of matter sources, e.g. a Maxwell field, would add further structure to the thermodynamic behavior, especially in higher dimensions. More generally, it would be of interest to study holographic implications of the new cubic curvature term. Of course, there remain more fundamental questions to be addressed as well, such as determining if the fourth-order nature of the field equations leads to any pathological behavior beyond linear order.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We are grateful to Pablo Bueno and Pablo Cano who pointed out to us an error in Sec. III in an earlier version of this manuscript.

Note added.—In the course of preparing this manuscript we have discovered that a particular example of the five-dimensional hyperbolic solution presented here was recently studied in [67].

APPENDIX: $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ CORRECTIONS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

Here we present the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ terms for the corrections to the metric functions for a selection of dimensions D > 4. In particular, the metric function to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ reads

$$f(\tilde{r}) = 1 + \frac{k}{\tilde{r}^2} - \frac{c_0}{\tilde{r}^{d-1}} + \alpha h_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r}) + \alpha^2 h_2^{(D)}(\tilde{r}),$$

$$N(\tilde{r}) = 1 + \alpha N_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r}) + \alpha^2 N_2^{(D)}(\tilde{r}),$$
 (A1)

where $h_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ and $N_1^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ are given by Eq. (3.5) and $h_2^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ and $N_2^{(D)}(\tilde{r})$ in five, six and seven dimensions are given by

$$h_{2}^{(D=5)}(\tilde{r}) = \frac{16}{27} + \frac{c_{2}}{\tilde{r}^{4}} - \frac{1472c_{0}^{2}}{27\tilde{r}^{8}} - \frac{184c_{0}c_{1}}{3\tilde{r}^{8}} - \frac{256kc_{0}^{2}}{9\tilde{r}^{10}} - \frac{128kc_{0}c_{1}}{3\tilde{r}^{10}} - \frac{167696c_{0}^{3}}{27\tilde{r}^{12}} + \frac{148c_{1}c_{0}^{2}}{3\tilde{r}^{12}} - 11776\frac{kc_{0}^{3}}{\tilde{r}^{14}} - \frac{51200k^{2}c_{0}^{3}}{9\tilde{r}^{16}} + 12080\frac{c_{0}^{4}}{\tilde{r}^{16}} + \frac{81664kc_{0}^{4}}{7\tilde{r}^{18}} - \frac{160064c_{0}^{5}}{27\tilde{r}^{20}},$$
(A2)

$$N_{2}^{(D=5)}(\tilde{r}) = -\frac{8c_{0}^{2}n_{1}}{3\tilde{r}^{8}} + \frac{32c_{0}^{2}}{9\tilde{r}^{8}} + \frac{16c_{0}c_{1}}{3\tilde{r}^{8}} + \frac{17792c_{0}^{3}}{27\tilde{r}^{12}} + \frac{51200kc_{0}^{3}}{63\tilde{r}^{14}} - \frac{9200c_{0}^{4}}{9\tilde{r}^{16}} + n_{2}, \tag{A3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_2^{(D=6)}(\tilde{r}) &= \frac{264222kc_0^4}{17\tilde{r}^{22}} + \frac{117c_1c_0^2}{2\tilde{r}^{15}} - 15552\frac{kc_0^3}{\tilde{r}^{17}} - \frac{37908k^2c_0^3}{5\tilde{r}^{19}} - 72\frac{c_0c_1}{\tilde{r}^{10}} - 54\frac{kc_0c_1}{\tilde{r}^{12}} \\ &+ 15903\frac{c_0^4}{\tilde{r}^{20}} - \frac{63207c_0^5}{8\tilde{r}^{25}} - 8100\frac{c_0^3}{\tilde{r}^{15}} + \frac{c_2}{\tilde{r}^5}, \end{aligned}$$
(A4)

$$N_{2}^{(D=6)}(\tilde{r}) = -\frac{9c_{0}^{2}n_{1}}{2\tilde{r}^{10}} + 9\frac{c_{0}c_{1}}{\tilde{r}^{10}} + 1242\frac{c_{0}^{3}}{\tilde{r}^{15}} + \frac{123444kc_{0}^{3}}{85\tilde{r}^{17}} - \frac{14985c_{0}^{4}}{8\tilde{r}^{20}} + n_{2}, \tag{A5}$$

$$h_{2}^{(D=7)}(\tilde{r}) = \frac{1024}{16875} + \frac{c_{2}}{\tilde{r}^{6}} + \frac{372736c_{0}^{2}}{16875\tilde{r}^{12}} - \frac{5824c_{0}c_{1}}{75\tilde{r}^{12}} + \frac{8192kc_{0}^{2}}{625\tilde{r}^{14}} - \frac{1536kc_{0}c_{1}}{25\tilde{r}^{14}} - \frac{155762176c_{0}^{3}}{16875\tilde{r}^{18}} + \frac{4784c_{1}c_{0}^{2}}{75\tilde{r}^{18}} - \frac{11206656kc_{0}^{3}}{625\tilde{r}^{20}} - \frac{5505024k^{2}c_{0}^{3}}{625\tilde{r}^{22}} + \frac{309192704c_{0}^{4}}{16875\tilde{r}^{24}} + \frac{56426496kc_{0}^{4}}{3125\tilde{r}^{26}} - \frac{155042944c_{0}^{5}}{16875\tilde{r}^{30}},$$

$$(A6)$$

$$N_{2}^{(D=7)}(\tilde{r}) = -\frac{144c_{0}^{2}n_{1}}{25\tilde{r}^{12}} - \frac{1536c_{0}^{2}}{625\tilde{r}^{12}} + \frac{288c_{0}c_{1}}{25\tilde{r}^{12}} + \frac{1042432c_{0}^{3}}{625\tilde{r}^{18}} + \frac{5935104kc_{0}^{3}}{3125\tilde{r}^{20}} - \frac{311424c_{0}^{4}}{125\tilde{r}^{24}} + n_{2}.$$

$$(A7)$$

- [1] K. S. Stelle, Renormalization of higher derivative quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977).
- [2] B. Zwiebach, Curvature squared terms and string theories, Phys. Lett. B 156, 315 (1985).
- [3] R. C. Myers and B. Robinson, Black holes in quasitopological gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2010) 067.
- [4] R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos, and A. Sinha, Holographic studies of quasi-topological gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2010) 035.
- [5] D. M. Hofman, Higher derivative gravity, causality and positivity of energy in a UV complete QFT, Nucl. Phys. B823, 174 (2009).
- [6] R. C. Myers and A. Sinha, Holographic c-theorems in arbitrary dimensions, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2011) 125.
- [7] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker, and S. Yaida, Viscosity bound violation in higher derivative gravity, Phys. Rev. D 77, 126006 (2008).
- [8] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, String Generated Gravity Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2656 (1985).
- [9] D. Lovelock, The Einstein tensor and its generalizations, J. Math. Phys. 12, 498 (1971).
- [10] J. Oliva and S. Ray, A new cubic theory of gravity in five dimensions: Black hole, Birkhoff's theorem and C-function, Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 225002 (2010).
- [11] P. Bueno, P. A. Cano, A. O. Lasso, and P. F. Ramírez, f(Lovelock) theories of gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2016) 028.
- [12] T. C. Sisman, I. Gullu, and B. Tekin, All unitary cubic curvature gravities in D dimensions, Classical Quantum Gravity 28, 195004 (2011).
- [13] I. Gullu, T. C. Sisman, and B. Tekin, Born-Infeld gravity with a massless graviton in four dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 91, 044007 (2015).
- [14] I. Gullu, T. C. Sisman, and B. Tekin, Born-Infeld gravity with a unique vacuum and a massless graviton, Phys. Rev. D 92, 104014 (2015).
- [15] P. Bueno and P. A. Cano, Einsteinian cubic gravity, Phys. Rev. D 94, 104005 (2016).
- [16] D. Kubiznak and R. B. Mann, Black hole chemistry, Can. J. Phys. **93**, 999 (2015).
- [17] J. Creighton and R. Mann, Quasilocal thermodynamics of dilaton gravity coupled to gauge fields, Phys. Rev. D 52, 4569 (1995).

- [18] M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola, and D. Klemm, Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories, Classical Quantum Gravity 17, 399 (2000).
- [19] B. P. Dolan, The cosmological constant and the black hole equation of state, Classical Quantum Gravity 28, 125020 (2011).
- [20] B. P. Dolan, Pressure and volume in the first law of black hole thermodynamics, Classical Quantum Gravity 28, 235017 (2011).
- [21] D. Kastor, S. Ray, and J. Traschen, Enthalpy and the mechanics of AdS black holes, Classical Quantum Gravity 26, 195011 (2009).
- [22] D. Kastor, S. Ray, and J. Traschen, Smarr formula and an extended first law for Lovelock gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 235014 (2010).
- [23] D. Kubiznak and R. B. Mann, P-V criticality of charged AdS black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2012) 033.
- [24] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and Z. Sherkatghanad, Kerr-AdS analogue of triple point and solid/liquid/gas phase transition, Classical Quantum Gravity 31, 042001 (2014).
- [25] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak, and R. B. Mann, Reentrant phase transitions in rotating anti-de Sitter black holes, Phys. Rev. D 88, 101502 (2013).
- [26] A. M. Frassino, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and F. Simovic, Multiple reentrant phase transitions and triple points in Lovelock thermodynamics, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 080.
- [27] B. P. Dolan, A. Kostouki, D. Kubiznak, and R. B. Mann, Isolated critical point from Lovelock gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity **31**, 242001 (2014).
- [28] R. A. Hennigar, W. G. Brenn, and R. B. Mann, P v criticality in quasitopological gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2015) 077.
- [29] R. A. Hennigar, R. B. Mann, and E. Tjoa, Superfluid Black Holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 021301 (2017).
- [30] S.-W. Wei and Y.-X. Liu, Critical phenomena and thermodynamic geometry of charged Gauss-Bonnet AdS black holes, Phys. Rev. D 87, 044014 (2013).
- [31] R.-G. Cai, L.-M. Cao, L. Li, and R.-Q. Yang, P-V criticality in the extended phase space of Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS space, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2013) 005.

- [32] W. Xu, H. Xu, and L. Zhao, Gauss-Bonnet coupling constant as a free thermodynamical variable and the associated criticality, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 2970 (2014).
- [33] J.-X. Mo and W.-B. Liu, P V criticality of topological black holes in Lovelock-Born-Infeld gravity, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 2836 (2014).
- [34] S.-W. Wei and Y.-X. Liu, Triple points and phase diagrams in the extended phase space of charged Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS space, Phys. Rev. D 90, 044057 (2014).
- [35] J.-X. Mo and W.-B. Liu, Ehrenfest scheme for P V criticality of higher dimensional charged black holes, rotating black holes and Gauss-Bonnet AdS black holes, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084057 (2014).
- [36] D.-C. Zou, Y. Liu, and B. Wang, Critical behavior of charged Gauss-Bonnet AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble, Phys. Rev. D 90, 044063 (2014).
- [37] A. Belhaj, M. Chabab, H. E. Moumni, K. Masmar, and M. Sedra, Ehrenfest scheme of higher dimensional topological AdS black holes in Lovelock-Born-Infeld gravity, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 12, 1550115 (2015).
- [38] H. Xu, W. Xu, and L. Zhao, Extended phase space thermodynamics for third order Lovelock black holes in diverse dimensions, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 3074 (2014).
- [39] A. Belhaj, M. Chabab, H. El moumni, K. Masmar, and M. B. Sedra, Maxwell's equal-area law for Gauss-Bonnet– anti–de Sitter black holes, Eur. Phys. J. C 75, 71 (2015).
- [40] Z. Sherkatghanad, B. Mirza, Z. Mirzaeyan, and S. A. H. Mansoori, Critical behaviors and phase transitions of black holes in higher order gravities and extended phase spaces, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 26, 1750017 (2017).
- [41] S. H. Hendi and R. Naderi, Geometrothermodynamics of black holes in Lovelock gravity with a nonlinear electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 91, 024007 (2015).
- [42] S. H. Hendi, S. Panahiyan, and M. Momennia, Extended phase space of AdS black holes in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity with a quadratic nonlinear electrodynamics, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 25, 1650063 (2016).
- [43] S. H. Hendi and A. Dehghani, Thermodynamics of thirdorder Lovelock-AdS black holes in the presence of Born-Infeld type nonlinear electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 91, 064045 (2015).
- [44] Z.-Y. Nie and H. Zeng, P-T phase diagram of a holographic s + p model from Gauss-Bonnet gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2015) 047.
- [45] S. H. Hendi, S. Panahiyan, and B. Eslam Panah, Charged black hole solutions in Gauss-Bonnet-massive gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2016) 129.
- [46] S. H. Hendi, S. Panahiyan, and B. E. Panah, Extended phase space of black holes in Lovelock gravity with nonlinear electrodynamics, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2015, 103E01 (2015).
- [47] C. V. Johnson, Gauss-Bonnet black holes and holographic heat engines beyond large N, Classical Quantum Gravity 33, 215009 (2016).

- [48] R. A. Hennigar, R. B. Mann, and S. Mbarek, Thermalon mediated phase transitions in Gauss-Bonnet gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2016) 034.
- [49] S. H. Hendi, S. Panahiyan, B. Eslam Panah, M. Faizal, and M. Momennia, Critical behavior of charged black holes in Gauss-Bonnet gravity's rainbow, Phys. Rev. D 94, 024028 (2016).
- [50] X.-X. Zeng, S. He, and L.-F. Li, Holographic Van der Waals-like phase transition in the Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Nucl. Phys. B915, 243 (2017).
- [51] M. Cvetic, G. Gibbons, D. Kubiznak, and C. Pope, Black hole enthalpy and an entropy inequality for the thermodynamic volume, Phys. Rev. D 84, 024037 (2011).
- [52] R. A. Hennigar, D. Kubiznak, and R. B. Mann, Entropy Inequality Violations from Ultraspinning Black Holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 031101 (2015).
- [53] R. A. Hennigar, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and N. Musoke, Ultraspinning limits and super-entropic black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2015) 096.
- [54] C. V. Johnson, Holographic heat engines, Classical Quantum Gravity **31**, 205002 (2014).
- [55] A. Karch and B. Robinson, Holographic black hole chemistry, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2015) 073.
- [56] E. Caceres, P.H. Nguyen, and J. F. Pedraza, Holographic entanglement entropy and the extended phase structure of STU black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2015) 184.
- [57] B. P. Dolan, Pressure and compressibility of conformal field theories from the AdS/CFT correspondence, Entropy 18, 169 (2016).
- [58] E. Caceres, P.H. Nguyen, and J.F. Pedraza, Holographic entanglement chemistry, arXiv:1605.00595.
- [59] D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and M. Teo, Black hole chemistry: Thermodynamics with Lambda, Classical Quantum Gravity 34, 063001 (2017).
- [60] R. M. Wald, Black hole entropy is the Noether charge, Phys. Rev. D48, 3427(R) (1993).
- [61] V. Iyer and R. Wald, Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy, Phys. Rev. D 50, 846 (1994).
- [62] S. Gunasekaran, R. B. Mann, and D. Kubiznak, Extended phase space thermodynamics for charged and rotating black holes and Born-Infeld vacuum polarization, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2012) 110.
- [63] B. R. Majhi and S. Samanta, P-V criticality of AdS black holes in a general framework, arXiv:1609.06224.
- [64] A. Mandal, S. Samanta, and B. R. Majhi, Phase transition and critical phenomena of black holes: A general approach, Phys. Rev. D 94, 064069 (2016).
- [65] R. B. Mann, Topological black holes: Outside looking in, Ann. Isr. Phys. Soc. 13, 311 (1997).
- [66] W.G. Brenna, R.B. Mann, and M. Park, Mass and thermodynamic volume in Lifshitz spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 92, 044015 (2015).
- [67] A. Dey, P. Roy, and T. Sarkar, On holographic Rényi entropy in some modified theories of gravity, arXiv:1609. 02290.