Probing the cosmic ray mass composition in the knee region through TeV secondary particle fluxes from solar surroundings

Prabir Banik,^{*} Biplab Bijay, Samir K. Sarkar, and Arunava Bhadra[†]

High Energy & Cosmic Ray Research Centre, University of North Bengal, Siliguri, West Bengal 734013, India (Received 27 June 2016; published 22 March 2017)

The possibility of estimating the mass composition of primary cosmic rays above the knee of their energy spectrum through the study of high-energy gamma rays, muons, and neutrinos produced in the interactions of cosmic rays with solar ambient matter and radiation is explored. It is found that the theoretical fluxes of TeV gamma rays, muons, and neutrinos from a region around 15° of the Sun are sensitive to a mass composition of cosmic rays in the PeV energy range. The experimental prospects for the detection of such TeV gamma rays/neutrinos by future experiments are discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.063014

I. INTRODUCTION

The Sun is known to emit gamma rays during solar flares which are produced in interactions of flare-accelerated particles with solar atmosphere [1]. The prolonged highenergy γ emissions in solar flares which have been detected by the Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) on board the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory [2] and the Large Area Telescope on board the Fermi Gamma Ray Space Telescope (Fermi) [3] are believed to originate from the π° decay and thereby are evidence of particle acceleration in solar flares [3]. There were also theoretical predictions that the Sun should radiate gamma rays in the quiescent stage as a result of the hadronic interaction of cosmic ray particles with the solar atmosphere [4,5], and also due to the inverse Compton scattering of cosmic ray electrons on the solar photon halo around the Sun [6]. The EGRET [7] and the Fermi [8] recently observed gamma emissions from the quiescent Sun and thereby confirmed these theoretical predictions. Interestingly, both the EGRET [7] and the Fermi observations [8] could resolve two components in the quiescent solar radiation-a point like emission from the solar disk which is ascribed to cosmic rav cascades in the solar atmosphere and an extended emission due to the inverse Compton scattering of cosmic ray electrons on solar photons in the heliosphere. The hadronically originated gamma rays should be accompanied by neutrinos of almost the same flux level [9].

Recently, Andersen and Klein [10] evaluated the fluxes of the high-energy gamma rays (photon pairs) as well as muons and neutrinos from a solid angle within 15° around the Sun, assuming that the primary cosmic ray particles are all proton at very high energies, and concluded that the muon flux so produced might be detectable by next-generation air-shower arrays or neutrino detectors [10]. The authors considered only cosmic rays with energies above 10^{16} eV, which is roughly the threshold energy for Δ resonance production (which subsequently decays into pions and thereby emits gamma rays, muons, and neutrinos), in interaction of cosmic ray protons with solar photons.

An assumption of pure protons as the primary cosmic rays is reasonable for estimating low-energy gamma rays/ neutrino flux as about 90% of nuclear cosmic rays below a few hundred TeV energies are protons. However, when gamma rays/neutrinos in TeV energy range and above from the Sun, which are likely to be produced in interactions of cosmic rays in the PeV energy range with solar atmosphere, are concerned, it is important to consider the proper primary composition of cosmic rays above the so-called knee energy where the power law spectral index of the cosmic ray energy spectrum steepens from ~ -2.7 to about -3.1 [11]. Note that the primary cosmic rays are studied directly through satellite or balloon borne detectors only up to few hundreds TeV, beyond which direct methods become inefficient due to a sharp decrease in the flux of primary particles, and instead an indirect method, through the observation of cosmic ray extensive air showers (EAS), which are cascades of secondary particles produced by interactions of cosmic ray particles with atmospheric nuclei, has to be adopted. Several EAS measurements have been carried out to determine the mass composition of cosmic rays in the PeV energy region and above, but the conclusions of different experiments on primary mass composition in the PeV energy region are not unequivocal, which is mainly due to the weak mass resolution of the measured EAS observables [12]. A majority of the experiments, however, conclude that the knee represents the energy at which proton components exhibit cutoff (see [12] and references therein), i.e., the knee of the spectrum has been ascribed as the proton knee, which implies that beyond the knee energy, the cosmic ray composition would be heavier, dominated by Fe nuclei. Very recently the

pbanik74@yahoo.com

[†]Corresponding Author.

aru_bhadra@yahoo.com

Karlsruhe Shower Core and Array Detector–Grande experiment observed the existence of a Fe knee around 80 PeV [13] beyond which the cosmic ray composition again dominantly consists of protons. Therefore, the estimation of TeV gamma ray, muon, and neutrino fluxes from the Sun due to a heavier cosmic-ray-composition scenario is imperative. Here it is worthwhile to state that the effects of heavier nuclei in cosmic rays have been studied in detail for diffuse galactic gamma rays [14] and neutrino emission [15].

In the present work we would like to analyze the fluxes of high-energy gamma rays, muons, and neutrinos produced in the interaction of high-energy cosmic rays with solar radiation and coronal matter using a cosmic ray massspectrometric technique. For this objective we would extend the previous analysis in several ways. Since the cosmic ray composition above the knee of the cosmic ray energy spectrum is not clearly known, we will consider primary particle to be iron nuclei and we shall consider the whole cosmic ray energy spectrum. However, this extension is not simply a rerun of the Anderson-Klein approach [10] as, with the change in nature of the primary cosmic rays from protons to Fe, the interaction mechanism becomes complex and changes as will be elaborated in the following sections. The present work also suggests a way to verify different models for solar coronal matter density through observations of GeV gamma rays/neutrinos from solar corona. Here it is worth mentioning that precise knowledge about solar coronal matter density is an important requirement for resolving cosmic ray mass composition from gamma-ray/neutrino observations. Since the cosmic ray composition above the knee of the cosmic ray energy spectrum is not clearly known, we would estimate fluxes of TeV gamma rays, muons, and neutrinos produced in interactions of hadronic cosmic rays with the solar atmosphere while considering different cosmic ray mass compositions above the knee, and we would demonstrate that so-produced TeV gamma ray and neutrino fluxes are sensitive to the primary composition above the knee of the cosmic ray primary energy spectrum. We particularly would estimate the flux of TeV gamma rays/neutrinos from the Sun produced in the interaction of primary cosmic rays with the matter in the solar corona as well as with the solar radiation in the vicinity of the Sun while considering both proton and Fe primaries beyond the knee.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In the next section we shall evaluate the TeV gamma rays and neutrino fluxes generated in the interaction of cosmic rays with the solar atmosphere. In Subsection II(a) we shall estimate the TeV gamma ray, muon, and neutrino fluxes generated in the interaction of cosmic rays with solar coronal matter. In Subsection II(b) we evaluate the TeV gamma rays flux produced in the interaction of cosmic rays with solar rays with solar radiation. We shall discuss our results in Sec. III and finally conclude in Sec. IV.

II. TEV GAMMA RAY AND NEUTRINO FLUXES FROM EXTERNAL REGION OF THE SUN

Cosmic ray particles undergo different interactions with the solar atmosphere (matter and radiation) leading to highenergy gamma rays/neutrinos. In the corona region the hadronic interaction of cosmic rays with coronal matter dominates over all other interaction processes irrespective of the nature of the primary (proton or heavier nuclei). Outside the corona, photo production leads to a major part of TeV gamma ray/neutrino flux for proton primary. The Δ resonance, however, diminishes with nuclear mass and also occurs at relatively higher energies for heavier nuclei. Instead, as we shall see in the later part of the present work, the dominant part of TeV gamma ray flux is generated by heavier cosmic ray nuclei through photodisintegration and subsequent de-excitation.

Till the knee of the cosmic ray energy spectrum, i.e., till $\sim 3 \times 10^{15}$ eV, we have taken pure proton, pure iron, and mixed primary composition following [16] (reasonably consistent with the findings of the direct experiments in [17]), whereas above the knee we have considered the following composition scenarios: i) proton primaries till the ankle (3×10^{18} eV) of the cosmic ray spectrum, ii) iron primaries up to the ankle, iii) the same preknee mixed primaries but with a rigidity-dependent cutoff taking proton cutoff at the knee, and iv) Fe primaries up to the second knee (8×10^{16} eV) of the cosmic ray spectrum and proton primary beyond that till the ankle energy. Below the knee the cosmic ray energy spectrum follows a power law [18]

$$\frac{dn_p}{dE_p}(E_p < E_{knee}) = 7.3 \times 10^{19} \frac{eV^{1.7}}{m^2.s.sr} \times E_p^{-2.7} \quad (1)$$

as measured by balloon- and satellite-based experiments directly. Above the knee the spectral index is -3.1 [18], and equating beyond the knee spectrum with below the knee spectrum at the knee position, the absolute intensity of cosmic rays above the knee has been determined.

A. TeV gamma rays and neutrinos from solar corona

We have considered the following physical scenario: high-energy (TeV energies and above) cosmic rays traveling towards the Earth interact with the matter in the solar corona of the Sun and copiously produce pi-mesons along with other secondary particles. The subsequent decay of π° mesons gives gamma rays, whereas the decay of charged pions gives muons and neutrinos. The central part of the solar disk offers a huge thickness of matter to the soproduced gamma rays/muons, and the probability of escape of those gamma rays/muons is very small. In contrast, secondary gamma rays/muons produced in the solar corona are likely to escape with a negligible probability of absorption and might be detected on the earth. Since coronal matter density is very low, the interaction

PROBING THE COSMIC RAY MASS COMPOSITION IN ...

probability of cosmic rays in the corona is also small, and therefore the interactions of secondary pions and the leading particles have not been considered. The effects of the heliomagnetic field on high-energy cosmic rays are negligible and hence ignored. Here it is worthwhile to mention that Seckel *et al.* [5] considered gamma rays from the whole disk, ignoring the coronal part. This is because the low-energy gamma rays from the Sun are essentially albedo photons produced in cascades in the solar atmosphere by low-energy cosmic rays. The majority of the muons are expected to decay enroute and are unlikely to reach at Earth. Hence, we shall not consider them.

The matter in the coronal part is in a state of plasma, and the particle density is very low, of the order of 10^{15} particles/ m^3 , with composition similar to the Sun's interior, mainly ionized hydrogen. Electron density profiles in the heliosphere are inferred from white-light brightness measurements of the corona during solar eclipses. The heliosphere is filled with solar-wind streams of different velocities and thereby is highly structured; hence, the heliosphere radial electron-density profile can only be approximated, which matches the observations on average. Several models have been proposed in the literature for radial electron-density profiles in the heliosphere, but being an approximate description, the model-predicted densities differ from each other by some extent. Mann et al. [19] obtained a heliospheric density model applicable to a range from the low corona up to 5 astronomical units (AU) by solving magneto-hydrostatic equations that include the thermal pressure and the gravitational force of the Sun, which is given by

$$N(R_{\theta}) = N_S \exp\left[\frac{A}{R_{\odot}} \left(\frac{R_{\odot}}{R_{\theta}} - 1\right)\right], \qquad (2)$$

where $N_S = N(R_{\odot})$, $A = \mu G M_{\odot} / k_B T$, R_{\odot} and M_{\odot} are the radius and mass of the Sun, respectively, μ is the mean molecular weight, G is the gravitational constant, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature, and $R_{\theta} =$ $b/\sin\theta$ is the position of interaction of cosmic ray nuclei where b is the impact parameter of the path of cosmic ray nuclei from the center of the Sun as shown in Fig. 1. In the solar corona the solar wind μ is about 0.6 [20]. It has been reported that the model agrees very well with different observations for a chosen temperature of 1.0×10^6 K. such as the electron-number-density data at low corona extracted from Skylab observations [21], the in situ particle-number-density and particle-flux data in the range 0.1 AU to 1 AU from the plasma data of the Helios 1 and 2 and Interplanetary Monitoring Platform (IMP) satellites [22] (mean deviation around 13%), and the particle-density data derived from the coronal radio sounding experiment at the Ulysses spacecraft [23]. We have considered this model for estimating particle flux generated in the interaction of cosmic rays with coronal matter. Since coronal density falls



FIG. 1. Geometry for interactions of cosmic rays with solar photons or coronal matter.

sharply with radial distance, we have restricted up to three solar radii (equivalently within 1° around the Sun). The corona is electrically neutral; hence, we take the ion density in the corona to be the same as the electron density. We further assume that the ions in the corona are all protons, so the estimated flux essentially gives the lower bound of the flux. The geometry for the interactions of cosmic rays with solar coronal matter or photons is shown in Fig. 1.

For the estimation of secondary fluxes it is important to properly take into account the convolution of the corresponding production spectra of secondary particles with the primary cosmic ray spectrum, as discussed in [15], for example. When cosmic rays interact with solar atmospheric nucleons, charged and neutral pions will be copiously produced, and will subsequently decay to gamma rays and muons. We have assumed that inelastic hadronic collisions lead to roughly equal numbers of π^0 , π^+ and π^- mesons. The emissivity of pions, which is assumed to be the same for charged and neutral pions, resulting from interaction of high-energy cosmic ray nuclei with coronal matter can be expressed by [24]

$$Q_{\pi}^{Ap}(E_{\pi}, R_{\theta}) = cN(R_{\theta}) \int_{E_{N}^{h}(E_{\pi})}^{E_{N}^{max}} \frac{dn_{A}}{dE_{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{A}}{dE_{\pi}}(E_{\pi}, E_{N}) dE_{N},$$
(3)

where $E_N^{\text{th}}(E_\pi)$ is the threshold energy per nucleon required to produce a pion with energy E_π which is determined through kinematic considerations, and $d\sigma_A/dE_\pi$ is the differential-inclusive cross section for the production of a pion with energy E_π in the lab frame by the stated process. For the inclusive cross section we have used the following model with parametrization of the differential cross section as given by [25,26]

$$\frac{d\sigma_A}{dE_{\pi}}(E_{\pi}, E_N) \simeq \frac{A\sigma_0}{E_N} F_{\pi}(x, E_N), \qquad (4)$$

where $x = E_{\pi}/E_N$. The presence of heavier nuclei (A > 1) in cosmic rays leads to a nuclear enhancement factor A via

 $d\sigma_A/dE_{\pi} = A * d\sigma_p/dE_{\pi}$ [14,15]. The inelastic part of the total cross section of p-p interactions (σ_0) is given by [27]

$$\sigma_0(E_N) = 34.3 + 1.88L + 0.25L^2mb, \tag{5}$$

where $L = \ln(E_N/\text{TeV})$.

For the energy distribution of secondary pions we used the empirical function as given below [27,28] that well describes the results obtained with the SIBYLL code by numerical simulations

$$F_{\pi}(x, E_N) = 4\beta B_{\pi} x^{\beta - 1} \left(\frac{1 - x^{\beta}}{1 + rx^{\beta}(1 - x^{\beta})} \right)^4 \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^{\beta}} + \frac{r(1 - 2x^{\beta})}{1 + rx^{\beta}(1 - x^{\beta})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{m_{\pi}}{xE_N} \right)^{1/2}$$
(6)

where $B_{\pi} = a + 0.25$, $\beta = 0.98/\sqrt{a}$, $a = 3.67 + 0.83L + 0.075L^2$, $r = \frac{2.6}{\sqrt{a}}$, and $L = \ln(E_N/\text{TeV})$. The spectra of both charged and neutral pions can be described by the same equation.

The resulting gamma ray emissivity due to decay of π^0 mesons thereby can be written as

$$Q_{\gamma}^{Ap}(E_{\gamma}, R_{\theta}) = 2 \int_{E_{\pi}^{\min}(E_{\gamma})}^{E_{\pi}^{\max}} \frac{Q_{\pi^{0}}^{Ap}(E_{\pi}, R_{\theta})}{(E_{\pi}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2})^{1/2}} dE_{\pi}, \quad (7)$$

where $E_{\pi}^{\min}(E_{\gamma}) = E_{\gamma} + m_{\pi}^2/(4E_{\gamma})$ is the minimum energy of a pion required to produce a gamma ray photon of energy E_{γ} .

The charged pions π^+ and π^- decay into charged muon and muon neutrinos and antineutrinos. The emissivity of such neutrinos can be written as [29]

$$Q_{\nu_{\mu}}^{(1)}(E_{\nu_{\mu}},R_{\theta}) \simeq \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{m_{\pi}^2 - m_{\mu}^2} \int_{E_{\pi}^{\min}(E_{\nu})}^{\infty} Q_{\pi^{\pm}}^{Ap}(E_{\pi},R_{\theta}) \frac{dE_{\pi}}{E_{\pi}}.$$
 (8)

For $\langle E_{\nu} \rangle \gg m_{\pi}$, the minimum energy of a pion required to produce a neutrino in the stated process is

$$E_{\pi}^{\min}(E_{\nu}) = \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{m_{\pi}^2 - m_{\mu}^2} E_{\nu} + \frac{m_{\pi}^4}{m_{\pi}^2 - m_{\mu}^2} \frac{1}{4E_{\nu}} \simeq \frac{1}{1 - r^2} E_{\nu}, \qquad (9)$$

where $r = m_u/m_{\pi}$.

On the other hand, secondary muons, produced in direct decay of charged pions, may subsequently decay $\mu \rightarrow e\nu_{\mu}\nu_{e}$ into electrons/positrons and neutrinos. The contribution of this process to the lepton emissivity is [30]

$$Q_{e}(E_{e}, R_{\theta}) = \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2} - m_{\mu}^{2}} \int_{E_{\mu}^{\min}}^{E_{\mu}^{\max}} dE_{\mu} \frac{dP}{dE_{e}} P'(\gamma_{\mu}, R_{\theta}) \\ \times \int_{E_{\mu}}^{E_{\mu}/r^{2}} \frac{dE_{\pi}}{\beta_{\pi} E_{\pi}} Q_{\pi^{\pm}}^{Ap}(E_{\pi}, R_{\theta})$$
(10)

where the three-body decay probability for lepton distribution from a decaying muon is given by [30]

$$\frac{dP}{dE_e} = \frac{8pc}{\beta_\mu m_\mu^3 c^6} \int du \frac{u(u^2 \gamma_\mu^2 - m_e^2 c^4)^{1/2}}{(pc - E_e + u)^2} \left(3 - \frac{4\gamma_\mu u}{m_\mu c^2}\right) \\ \times \left(1 - \frac{E_e(E_e - u)}{p^2 c^2}\right)$$
(11)

where $u = (E_e - \beta_\mu pc \cos \alpha)$, p is the electron momentum, the Lorentz factor of muon is $\gamma_\mu = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$, and α is the angle between the direction of a produced lepton and initial direction of a decaying muon in lab frame. $P'(\gamma_\mu, R_\theta)$ is the probability of decay of a muon while traveling to the earth and is given by [10]

$$P'(\gamma_{\mu}, R_{\theta}) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{b/\tan\varphi - l_{\theta}}{c\tau_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}}\right), \quad (12)$$

where $(b/\tan \varphi - l_{\theta})$ is the distance from the interaction point to the Earth, $l_{\theta} = b/\tan \theta$ as shown in Fig. 1, and $\tau_{\mu} = 2.2 \ \mu s$ is the muon lifetime at rest.

The emissivity of muonic neutrino from the decay of muon can be described by the same function as lepton and hence $Q_{\nu}^{(2)}(E_{\nu}, R_{\theta}) = Q_e(E_e, R_{\theta})$ [27]. The total neutrino emissivity due to decay of charged pions by these two processes thus can be written as $Q_{\nu}^{Ap}(E_{\nu}, R_{\theta}) = Q_{\nu}^{(1)}(E_{\nu}, R_{\theta}) + Q_{\nu}^{(2)}(E_{\nu}, R_{\theta}).$

Using the geometry for interactions of cosmic rays in the solar surroundings as shown in Fig. 1, we have the differential flux of gamma rays and neutrinos reaching the Earth,

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma/\nu}}{dE_{\gamma/\nu}}(E_{\gamma/\nu}) = \int_{R_{\odot}}^{b_{\max}} \frac{2\pi b db}{D\sqrt{(D^2 - b^2)}} \\ \times \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \frac{b d\theta}{\sin^2\theta} Q_{\gamma/\nu}^{Ap}(E_{\gamma/\nu}, R_{\theta}) \quad (13)$$

where *D* is the distance between the Sun and the Earth, $\varphi_1 = \sin^{-1}(b/3R_{\odot})$, and $\varphi_2 = \pi/2 + \cos^{-1}(b/3R_{\odot})$.

The integral flux of gamma rays to reach the Earth from the solar corona as a function of energy is shown in Fig. 2 for different cosmic-ray-composition scenarios. On the other hand, the integral flux of neutrinos to reach the Earth from the solar corona as a function of energy is shown in Fig. 3 for different cosmic-ray-composition scenarios.



FIG. 2. Integral energy spectrum of gamma ray photons reaching the Earth from the solar corona with the observation angle restricted to 1° around the sun. The (black) continuous line corresponds to unchanging proton primary (below and above the knee energy), the (red) small-dashed line describes unchanging mixed cosmic ray composition till the second knee, the (blue) small-dashed-double-dotted line represents mixed cosmic ray composition below the knee that changes to pure proton primary above the knee, the (green) dotted line corresponds to the mixed primaries with rigidity-dependent cutoff for all primaries taking proton cutoff at the knee, the (brown) dashed-dotted line denotes mixed composition below the knee that changes to dominated Fe composition above the knee, and the (violet) dashed line corresponds to the iron primary over the whole energy range.



FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 2 but for neutrinos (instead of gamma rays).

III. TEV GAMMA RAYS PRODUCED IN COSMIC RAY INTERACTION WITH SOLAR RADIATION

We shall now explore the impact of primary nuclei on the fluxes of high-energy gamma rays which are to be produced in the interaction of cosmic rays with the solar radiation field. Since the energy of the primary cosmic rays considered here is above few TeV, the deflection of the cosmic rays by the magnetic field of the Sun is negligible and hence is not taken into account.

The solar photon flux (in cm⁻² eV⁻¹ s⁻¹) at distance $R(\theta)$ can be estimated from the blackbody spectrum with the temperature of the solar surface T = 5778 K and is given by

$$n_{\gamma}(\epsilon, R_{\theta}) = \pi \frac{R_{\odot}^2}{R_{\theta}^2} \frac{2\epsilon^2}{h^3 c^2} \times \frac{1}{\exp(\epsilon/k_b T) - 1}, \qquad (14)$$

where ϵ is the photon energy, k_b is Boltzmann's constant, h is Planck's constant, and R_{\odot} is the radius of the Sun. Since the radiation spreads into a half sphere, a solid angle factor of π has been incorporated.

While traveling in the solar system, a cosmic ray particle encounters a flux of solar radiation. Let us say that a cosmic ray particle with energy E_{CR} and mass *m* is passing nearby the sun with an impact parameter *b* as described in Fig. 1. There are two important processes that lead to the generation of a high-energy gamma ray flux as described below.

A. TeV Gamma rays from photodisintegration of cosmic ray iron nuclei

For heavier nuclei, photodisintegration is an important process for generating high-energy gamma ray flux. In the photodisintegration of high-energy nuclei, the daughter nucleus is typically left in an excited state, which usually immediately emits gamma rays. Here we shall examine the gamma ray flux from the adjacent region of the Sun those are expected to be originated in photodisintegration of cosmic ray nucleus interacting with solar photon flux. Note that the photodisintegration process is employed to explain the recently discovered High Energy Gamma Ray Astronomy experiment (HEGRA) source at the edge of the Cygnus OB2 association [31].

The photodisintegration rate for a nucleus of atomic number A is given by [31,32]

$$R_A(E_N, R_\theta) = \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{n_\gamma(\epsilon, R_\theta) d\epsilon}{\epsilon^2} \int_0^{2\gamma\epsilon} \sigma_A(\epsilon') \epsilon' d\epsilon', \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma_A(\epsilon')$ is the photodisintegration cross section and ϵ' is the energy of photons in the cosmic ray rest frame.

The nuclear photodisintegration cross section is dominated by the giant dipole resonance (GDR) with peaks in the γ -ray energy range of 10–30 MeV (nuclear rest frame).

BANIK, BIJAY, SARKAR, and BHADRA

The process occurs in two steps, which is generally consistent with the experimental data: the nucleus forms a compound state due to photoabsorption, followed by a statistical decay process involving the emission of one or more nucleons from the nucleus [33]. Using a Lorentzian model, for a medium and heavy nuclei $A \ge 30$ the total photon absorption cross section can be represented by a Lorentzian or Breit-Wigner function as given by [26,33]

$$\sigma_A(\epsilon') = \sigma_0 \frac{\epsilon'^2 \Gamma^2}{(\epsilon'_0{}^2 - \epsilon'^2)^2 + \epsilon'^2 \Gamma^2},$$
 (16)

where ϵ'_0 is the position of the GDR, Γ is the width of the resonance, and σ_0 is the normalization constant.

With the single pole of narrow-width approximation, the cross section can be safely approximated as [26]

$$\sigma_A(\epsilon') = \pi \sigma_0 \frac{\Gamma}{2} \delta(\epsilon' - \epsilon'_0), \qquad (17)$$

where $\sigma_0/A = 1.45 \times 10^{-27}$ cm², $\Gamma = 8$ MeV, and $\epsilon'_0 = 42.65A^{-0.21}(0.925A^{2.433})$ MeV for A > 4 (A \leq 4). Putting Eq. (17) in Eq. (15), we obtain [22]

$$R_{A}(E_{N}, R_{\theta}) \simeq \frac{\pi \sigma_{0} \epsilon_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma}{4\gamma^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon^{2}} n_{\gamma}(\epsilon, R_{\theta}) \Theta(2\gamma\epsilon - \epsilon_{0}^{\prime})$$
$$= \frac{\pi \sigma_{0} \epsilon_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma}{4\gamma^{2}} \int_{\epsilon_{0}^{\prime}/2\gamma}^{\infty} \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon^{2}} n_{\gamma}(\epsilon, R_{\theta}).$$
(18)

Ultrahigh-energy (UHE) cosmic ray heavy nuclei with Lorentz factor $\gamma = E_{CR}/Am_p$ undergo photodisintegration when they interact with the solar photon radiation field and release a number of protons and neutrons in the process. The rate of photodisintegration of cosmic ray iron nuclei by the solar radiation at $R_{\theta} = 2R_{\odot}$ as a function of cosmic ray energy is shown in Fig. 4. It is seen that the photodisintegration rate increases sharply until about 2×10^{17} eV; thereafter, it becomes roughly constant.

Approximating the γ -ray spectrum as being monochromatic with energy equal to its average value $(\bar{E}'_{\gamma,A})$, the emissivity of gamma ray photons due to de-excitation of photodisintegrated nuclei can be expressed as [26]

$$Q_{\gamma}^{\text{dis}}(E_{\gamma}, R_{\theta}) = \frac{\bar{n}_A m_N}{2\bar{E}_{\gamma,A}'} \int_{\frac{m_N E_{\gamma}}{2\bar{E}_{\gamma,A}'}} \frac{dn_A}{dE_N} (E_N) R_A(E_N, R_{\theta}) \frac{dE_N}{E_N},$$
(19)

where $\bar{E}'_{\gamma,A}$ is the average energy of the emitted gamma ray photon, \bar{n}_A represents the mean γ -ray multiplicity for a nucleus with mass number A, and m_N is the rest mass of each nucleon. Here we have used $\bar{E}'_{\gamma,56} = 2$ MeV and $\bar{n}_{56} = 2$ for iron nuclei [26]. The photon flux at energy E_{γ} is produced from cosmic rays with (per nucleon) energy $E_N^{\min} = E_{\text{CR}}/A = \frac{m_N E_{\gamma}}{2\bar{E}'_{\gamma,A}}$ and above. The upper limit of the



FIG. 4. The rate of photodisintegration of cosmic ray iron nuclei by solar photons at $R_{\theta} = 2R_{\odot}$ as a function of cosmic ray energy.

integral in Eq. (19) is taken as the maximum cosmic ray energy considered.

The flux of gamma ray photons at Earth following the photodisintegration process is therefore given by

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}}(E_{\gamma}) = \int_{b_{\min}}^{b_{\max}} \frac{2\pi b db}{D\sqrt{(D^2 - b^2)}} \int_{\varphi}^{\pi} \frac{b d\theta}{\sin^2\theta} Q_{\gamma}^{\text{dis}}(E_{\gamma}, R_{\theta}),$$
(20)

where $b_{\min} = D \cdot \sin 2^{\circ}$ and $b_{\max} = D \cdot \sin 15^{\circ}$, which are effective impact parameters for a observation of 2° to 15° solid angle about the Sun as seen from Earth.

If we assume that all cosmic ray particles are iron between the cosmic ray knee to the ankle, the integral flux of gamma ray photons above 10 GeV is found to be 0.026 particles/(km² yr). Instead, if we restrict primary energy up to the second knee only, the integral flux of the gamma ray photons above 10 GeV is 6.3×10^{-5} particles/(km² yr), which implies that the dominant contribution comes from cosmic rays (Fe) above the second knee to the ankle energy as suggested in Fig. 4. The resultant differential spectrum of gamma rays reaching the Earth is shown in Fig. 5. The figure implies that the photodisintegration process dominates after the second knee of the cosmic ray spectrum.

B. TeV Gamma rays from photopion interaction of cosmic ray nuclei

The photopion interaction is another important process for generating high-energy gamma ray flux. As UHE cosmic ray nuclei propagate throughout the vicinity of the solar system, they produce pions through photopion interactions with radiated solar photons at a rate [33,34]



FIG. 5. Differential energy spectrum of photons reaching at the Earth from 2° to 15° solid angle area around the Sun. The black continuous line represents the photon flux considering a mixed composition of cosmic rays below the knee and iron above the knee until the ankle energy, whereas the red dashed line describes the same (to that of the black continuous line) but restricting the upper energy up to the second knee only.

$$G_A(E_N, R_\theta) = \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \int_{\epsilon'_{\rm th}/2\gamma}^{\infty} \frac{n_\gamma(\epsilon, R_\theta) d\epsilon}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\epsilon'_{\rm th}}^{2\gamma\epsilon} \sigma_A(\epsilon') \epsilon' k(\epsilon') d\epsilon',$$
(21)

where k is the inelasticity coefficient and $\epsilon'_{th} = 0.15$ GeV is the threshold energy of a solar photon in the center of the mass frame. The main contribution to the second integral in Eq. (21) is from the photon energies $\epsilon' \sim \epsilon'_0 = 0.3$ GeV, where the cross section peaks due to the Δ resonance.

Approximating the integral by the contribution from the resonance one obtains [34]

$$G_A(E_N, R_\theta) = \frac{k(\epsilon'_0)\sigma_0^A \epsilon'_0 \Delta \epsilon'}{2\gamma^2} \int_{\epsilon'_0/2\gamma}^{\infty} \frac{n_{\gamma}(\epsilon, R_\theta) d\epsilon}{\epsilon^2}, \quad (22)$$

where $\sigma_0^A/A \simeq 5 \times 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^2$ and $k(\epsilon_0') \simeq 0.2$ are the values of σ and k at $\epsilon' = \epsilon_0'$, and $\Delta \epsilon' \simeq 0.2$ GeV is the peak width of the resonance.

By replacing $\bar{E}'_{\gamma} = km_N/2$, $\bar{n} = 2$ and $R_A(E_N, R_{\theta}) \rightarrow G_A(E_N, R_{\theta})$ in Eq. (19), one gets the emissivity of gamma rays as [35]

$$Q_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}, R_{\theta}) = \frac{2}{k} \int_{E_{\gamma}/k} \frac{dn_A}{dE_N} (E_N) G_A(E_N, R_{\theta}) \frac{dE_N}{E_N}.$$
 (23)

Substituting the above equation into Eq. (20) in place of $Q_{\gamma}^{\text{dis}}(E_{\gamma}, R_{\theta})$, we can calculate the flux of gamma rays at Earth due to photopion interaction.

Assuming all cosmic ray particles are protons, the total flux of gamma ray photons is found to be about



FIG. 6. Differential energy spectrum of photons in a 2° to 15° area around the Sun where the black continuous line and red dashed line represent the gamma ray flux considering protons and iron as a primary cosmic ray spectrum, respectively.

 4.2×10^{-4} particles/(km² yr) in a solid angle range 2° to 15° around the Sun. Instead, if all cosmic ray particles are iron in the same energy range, then the flux of photons will be about 3.1×10^{-6} particles/(km² yr) from the same region around the Sun. The variations of the differential energy spectra of the created photons reaching the Earth for pure proton and pure Fe primaries are shown in Fig. 6.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have analyzed the production of TeV gamma rays/ neutrinos in the external part of the Sun through the interaction of high-energy cosmic rays with coronal matter and the solar radiation field while considering different cosmic ray mass compositions above the cosmic ray energy spectrum and estimating the corresponding fluxes at the Earth. It is found that TeV gamma ray and neutrino fluxes from the solar corona and a region of a 15° observation angle about the sun are sensitive to the primary composition of cosmic rays above the knee of the cosmic ray energy spectrum and thereby can be utilized, at least in principle, to estimate the cosmic ray composition above the knee energy.

In the case of TeV gamma rays/neutrinos from the solar corona, the estimated fluxes remain uncertain to some extent due to lack of precise knowledge of the matterdensity profile in the solar corona. For instance, the radialdensity-profile model of Mann *et al.* [20] considered here has a difference of around 15% from the well-known fourfold Newkirk model [36] that was developed in accordance with the observations of white-light scattering in the corona during a solar minimum period. The primary composition of cosmic rays is well known up to few hundred TeV from direct measurement. Since the lower-energy part of the gamma ray spectrum is not affected by cosmic rays of PeV energy or higher, uncertainty in the flux of gamma rays/neutrinos in the GeV energies from the solar corona comes solely from the uncertain matter-density profile of the solar corona. Hence, precise measurement of GeV gamma ray flux should, in principle, distinguish the different models for radial matter density in the solar corona. Once the correct model for density profile is identified from the GeV gamma ray observations, the observed TeV and PeV gamma ray fluxes can be utilized to distinguish a primary-cosmic-ray-composition scenario above the knee of the cosmic ray energy spectrum.

When interactions of energetic cosmic rays with solar photons are considered, it is found that if cosmic rays are Fe nuclei above the second-knee energy, the TeV gamma ray flux would be substantially (nearly two orders) higher than that due to proton-dominated composition at the same energy region. Because of the lower threshold energy, the gamma ray production by energetic cosmic ray Fe nuclei interacting with the solar radiation field is dominated by the photodisintegration process, whereas the photoproduction process leads gamma ray production in the interaction of cosmic ray protons with solar photons. The gamma ray flux through photoproduction of cosmic ray Fe nuclei is much less than that produced by the photodisintegration process or through photoproduction of cosmic ray protons. Since the photodisintegration process does not lead to neutrinos and muons, the neutrino and muon fluxes (through photoproduction) for cosmic ray Fe nuclei are about two orders less than those due to cosmic ray protons. A point to be noted is that the photodisintegration of cosmic ray nuclei in interaction with solar radiation may give rise to the development of two separate air showers, almost simultaneously, at some spatial separation initiated by two photodisintegrated-daughter nuclei [37,38], which might be observed by the ongoing or future cosmic ray air-shower arrays if cosmic rays at those energies are indeed heavy nuclei.

The question is whether TeV gamma rays/neutrinos produced in the interaction of high-energy cosmic rays with solar coronal matter/solar radiation can be observed experimentally or not. It appears that the chances of observation are better from within the solar corona than outside the corona. It is found that around 0.5 TeV and slightly below there should be a few tens of events per year in a square-kilometer gamma ray observatory. The proposed Cherenkov telescope array is supposed to have such a large collection area, but being a Cherenkov-imaging telescope, it can not see the Sun. Instead, water-based Cherenkov experiments such as Milagro [39] or High Altitude Water Cherenkov Experiment (HAWC) [40] can detect gamma rays from and around the Sun, but their collection area is much smaller. Only a square-kilometer extension of a HAWC type of experiment should be able to detect cosmic ray induced TeV gamma rays from the solar corona and thereby may address the mass composition of cosmic rays above the knee.



FIG. 7. Integral energy spectrum of photons reaching the Earth from a solid angle 2° to 15° around the Sun. The (red) dashed line, the (black) continuous line, and the (blue) dotted line respectively describe the photon flux when the cosmic rays are (a) pure protons, (b) protons up to the knee and iron above the knee energy, and (c) protons up to the knee, iron above the knee up to the second knee and again protons above the second knee.

The TeV gamma ray flux produced in the interaction of high-energy cosmic rays with solar photons around the Sun is a mass-sensitive observable. For Fe primary above the second knee the so-produced Tev gamma ray flux is about two orders higher than that due to proton primary. However, such TeV gamma rays are unlikely to be detected in the near future experimentally even if cosmic rays are Fe above the second knee of the spectrum. The integral so-produced TeV gamma ray flux from a region 2° to 15° around the Sun is shown in Fig. 7. It appears from the figure that to observe at least one event per year when the cosmic rays are Fe above the second knee, the detector area needs to be nearly 10 square kilometers.

Andersen and Klein pointed out that the muon flux from solar surroundings produced in the photoproduction of cosmic ray protons should be detectable by future experiments [10]. The present analysis suggests that the muon flux due to Fe-dominated cosmic ray composition around 100 PeV energy will be much smaller than those due to proton-dominated composition at such high energies. This implies that if future experiments really can see the appropriate muon flux from solar surroundings, the primary composition of cosmic rays in the 100 PeV range can be conclusively inferred to be proton-dominated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank an anonymous reviewer for insightful comments and very useful suggestions that helped us to improve and correct the manuscript. PROBING THE COSMIC RAY MASS COMPOSITION IN ...

- L. E. Peterson and J. R. Winckler, J. Geophys. Res. 64, 697 (1959); E. L. Chupp, D. J. Forrest, P. R. Higbie, A. N. Suri, C. Tsai, and P. P. Dunphy, Nature (London) 241, 333 (1973).
- [2] G. Kanbach *et al.*, Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser. **97**, 349 (1993).
- [3] M. Ackermann, M. Ajello, A. Albert *et al.*, Astrophys. J. 787, 15 (2014); M. Ajello, A. Albert, A. Allafort *et al.*, Astrophys. J. 789, 20 (2014).
- [4] J. F. Dolan and G. G. Fazio, Rev. Geophys. 3, 319 (1965); L. E. Peterson, D. A. Schwartz, R. M. Pelling, and D. McKenzie, J. Geophys. Res. 71, 5778 (1966); H. S. Hudson, in *Gamma Ray Observatory Science Workshop*, edited by W. N. Johnson, 4 (Goddard Space Flight Centre, Greenbelt, Maryland, 1989).
- [5] D. Seckel, T. Stanev, and T. K. Gaisser, Astrophys. J. 382, 652 (1991).
- [6] I. V. Moskalenko, T. A. Porter, and S. W. Digel, Astrophys. J. 652, L65 (2006); E. Orlando and A. W. Strong, Astrophys. Space Sci. 309, 359 (2007).
- [7] E. Orlando and A. W. Strong, Astron. Astrophys. 480, 847 (2008).
- [8] E. Orlando et al. (Fermi-LAT Collab.), arXiv:0912.3775.
- [9] A. Bhadra and R. K. Dey, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 395, 1371 (2009).
- [10] K. K. Andersen and S. R. Klein, Phys. Rev. D 83, 103519 (2011).
- [11] T. Antoni *et al.*, Astropart. Phys. **24**, 1 (2005); G. V. Kulikov and G. B. Khristiansen, Sov. Phys. JETP **8**, 441 (1959).
- [12] A. Haungs, Astrophys. Space Sci. Trans. 7, 295 (2011).
- [13] W. D. Apel, J. C. Arteaga-Velzquez, K. Bekk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 171104 (2011); W. D. Apel, J. C. Arteaga-Velázquez, K. Bekk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 081101 (2013).
- [14] M. Kachelriess, I. Moskalenko, and S. Ostapchenko, Astrophys. J. 789, 136 (2014).
- [15] M. Kachelriess and S. Ostapchenko, Phys. Rev. D 90, 083002 (2014).
- [16] T. Gaisser, Cosmic Rays and Particle Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990), p. 224.
- [17] O. Adriani *et al.*, Science 332, 69 (2011); Y. S. Yoon *et al.*, Astrophys. J. 728, 122 (2011); K. Abe *et al.*, Astrophys. J. 822, 65(2016).
- [18] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. J. Phys. C 38, 090001 (2014).
- [19] G. Mann, F. Jansen, R. J. MacDowall, M. L. Kaiser, and R. G. Stone, Astron. Astrophys. 348, 614 (1999).

- [20] E. R. Priest, *Solar Magnetohydrodynamics* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1982).
- [21] J. E. Vernazza, E. H. Avrett, and R. Loeser, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 45, 635 (1981).
- [22] R. Schwenn, *Physics of the Inner Heliosphere*, edited by R. Schwenn and E. Marsch (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990), p. 99; J. L. Bougeret, J. H. King, and R. Schwenn, Sol. Phys. **90**, 401 (1984).
- [23] M. K. Bird, H. Volland, M. Paetzold, P. Edenhofer, S. W. Asmar, and J. P. Brenkle, Astrophys. J. 426, 373 (1994).
- [24] F. W. Stecker, *Cosmic Gamma Rays* (Mono Book Co., Baltimore, 1971).
- [25] L. A. Anchordoqui, J. F. Beacom, H. Goldberg, S. Palomares-Ruiz, and T. J. Weiler, Phys. Rev. D 75, 063001 (2007).
- [26] A. D. Supanitsky, Phys. Rev. D 93, 043001 (2016).
- [27] S. R. Kelner, F. A. Aharonian, and V. V. Bugayov, Phys. Rev. D 74, 034018 (2006).
- [28] L. A. Anchordoqui and T. Montaruli, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60, 129 (2010).
- [29] V. Cavasinni, D. Grasso, and L. Maccione, Astropart. Phys. 26, 41 (2006).
- [30] M. Fatuzzo and F. Melia, Astrophys. J. 596, 1035 (2003).
- [31] L. A. Anchordoqui, J. F. Beacom, H. Goldberg, S. Palomares-Ruiz, and T. J. Weiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 121101 (2007).
- [32] F. W. Stecker, Phys. Rev. 180, 1264 (1969).
- [33] F. W. Stecker, Astrophys. J. 185, 499 (1973); F. W. Stecker and M. H. Salmon, Astrophys. J. 512, 521 (1999); J. L. Puget, F. W. Steker, and J. H. Bredekamp, Astrophys. J. 205, 638 (1976).
- [34] E. Waxman and J. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2292 (1997).
- [35] S. Karakula, G. Kociolek, I. V. Moskalenko, and W. Tkaczyk, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 92, 481 (1994).
- [36] G. A. Newkirk, Astrophys. J. 133, 983 (1961).
- [37] G. Zatsepin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 80, 577 (1951); N. Gerasimova and G. Zatsepin, Sov. Phys. JETP 11, 899 (1960).
- [38] L. Epele, S. Mollerach, and E. Roulet, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (1999) 017; G. Medina-Tanco and A. Watson, Astropart. Phys. 10, 157 (1999).
- [39] R. Atkins *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 449, 478 (2000).
- [40] A. U. Abeysekara *et al.* (HAWC Collaboration), Astrophys.
 J. 817, 3 (2016).