

Group-theoretic relations for amplitudes in gauge theories with orthogonal and symplectic groups

Jia-Hui Huang*

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Engineering and Quantum Materials,
School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, South China Normal University,
Guangzhou 510006, China

(Received 23 June 2016; published 25 January 2017)

It is important to find nontrivial constraint relations for color-ordered amplitudes in gauge theories. In the past several years, a pure group-theoretic iterative method has been proposed for deriving linear constraints on color-ordered amplitudes in $SU(N)$ gauge theories. In this paper, we use the same method to derive linear constraints on four-point gluon amplitudes in $SO(N)$ and $Sp(2N)$ gauge theories. These constraints are derived up to four-loop order. It is found that there are $n = 1, 6, 10, 13, 16$ constraint relations at $L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ loop orders in both $SO(N)$ and $Sp(2N)$ cases. Correspondingly, there are 2,3,5,8, and 11 independent four-point color-ordered amplitudes at $L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ loop orders in both theories.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.025015

I. INTRODUCTION

Scattering amplitudes are important gauge-invariant observables in perturbative quantum gauge theories. Many efforts have been made to simplify the computation of color-ordered amplitudes in recent years. It has also been found that color-ordered amplitudes are not all independent. Tree-level color-ordered amplitudes in $SU(N)$ gauge theories satisfy many constraint relations, such as $U(1)$ decoupling relations [1–5], Kleiss-Kuijf (KK) relations [6,7], and Bern-Carrasco-Johansson (BCJ) relations [8–12]. The KK relations reduce the number of independent n -point color-ordered amplitudes with a given helicity configuration to $(n-2)!$, and the BCJ relations further reduce this number to $(n-3)!$.

Just as for tree-level color-ordered amplitudes, loop amplitudes in $SU(N)$ gauge theories are also not all independent to each other. The loop-level $U(1)$ decoupling relations are well-known relations between loop amplitudes and exist at arbitrary loops [3]. These relations can be derived from the fact that $U(1)$ photon decouples from $SU(N)$ gluon scattering processes. The extension of KK and BCJ relations to one and two loop has also been explored [7,13–17]. In the past several years, some nontrivial all-loop relations have been derived for four-, five-, and six-point color-ordered loop amplitudes in $SU(N)$ gauge theories based on a group-theoretic method [18–21].

Color-ordered amplitudes in $SU(N)$ gauge theories have been studied extensively. One important reason is that $SU(N)$ gauge symmetry plays an important role in the modern standard model of particle physics. However, it is known that the standard model suffers from several difficulties, such as neutrino oscillations and dark-matter problems. Thus, many candidate theories have been

explored beyond the standard model, like grand unified theories (GUTs) and string theories. In these candidate theories, orthogonal gauge groups often play important roles, such as $SO(10)$ in GUTs [22–26] and $SO(32)$ in string theories [27–31]. Thus, it is interesting to study scattering amplitudes in gauge theories with $SO(N)$ or other gauge groups.

In this paper, we consider constraint relations for four-point color-ordered amplitudes in two kinds of gauge theories, namely, $SO(N)$ gauge theories and $Sp(2N)$ gauge theories. All external and internal particles are in adjoint representations of the gauge groups. By employing the group-theoretic approach [19–21], we derive constraint relations satisfied by four-point color-ordered amplitudes in both gauge theories up to four-loop order. It is found that, at $L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ loop orders, there are, respectively, $n = 1, 6, 10, 13, 16$ group-theoretic constraint relations for four-point color-ordered amplitudes in both kinds of theories. The number of independent color-ordered amplitudes at each loop order for both theories is listed in Table I. These results are consistent with those from a direct counting of color-basis elements and trace-basis elements up to the four-loop level [19,32].

In Sec. II, we list some basic results for the $SO(N)$ group and present the trace basis and L -loop color decomposition of four-point amplitudes. In Sec. III, loop-level group-theoretic constraint relations among four-point color-ordered amplitudes in $SO(N)$ theories are derived up to four-loop order. In Sec. IV, we list some basic results for the $Sp(2N)$ group and present the trace basis and L -loop color

TABLE I. Loop orders vs numbers of independent amplitudes.

Loop	0	1	2	3	4
Number	2	3	5	8	11

*huangjh@m.scnu.edu.cn

decomposition of four-point amplitudes. In Sec. V, loop-level constraint relations are derived for four-point amplitudes in $Sp(2N)$ gauge theories up to four-loop order. The last section is devoted to conclusions and discussion. Some details of the calculations are provided in the Appendix.

II. TRACE BASIS OF FOUR-POINT AMPLITUDES IN $SO(N)$ GAUGE THEORIES

We first list some basic results and our conventions for the $SO(N)$ group and algebra. In the fundamental representation, the generators of $SO(N)$ algebra are antisymmetric, traceless matrices, which can be chosen as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{ij} &= -i(e_{ij} - e_{ji}), & i, j &= 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ (e_{ij})_{kl} &= \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The above generators can be denoted by $\{T^a\}$ [$a = 1, 2, \dots, N(N-1)/2$]. They satisfy the $SO(N)$ Lie algebra

$$[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc}T^c, \quad (2)$$

where f^{abc} is the $SO(N)$ structure constant, and the normalization condition

$$\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = 2\delta^{ab}. \quad (3)$$

From the above two equations, the structure constant can be expressed as the trace of generators,

$$f^{abc} = -\frac{i}{2}\text{Tr}([T^a, T^b]T^c) = -i\text{Tr}(T^a T^b T^c). \quad (4)$$

The quadratic Casimir operator of $SO(N)$ is

$$\sum_a T^a T^a = (N-1)I_{N \times N}. \quad (5)$$

Two useful identities for the trace of $SO(N)$ generators are

$$\text{Tr}(T^a A T^a B) = \text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B) - (-1)^{n_B}(AB^r), \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Tr}(T^a A)\text{Tr}(T^a B) = \text{Tr}(AB) - (-1)^{n_B}(AB^r), \quad (7)$$

where A, B are products of $SO(N)$ generator matrices or $I_{N \times N}$. n_B is the number of generators in B and $n_B = 0$ if $B = I_{N \times N}$. If $B = T^1 T^2 T^3 \dots T^{n-1} T^n$, then $B^r = T^n T^{n-1} \dots T^3 T^2 T^1$.

It is known that scattering amplitudes in $SU(N)$ gauge theories can be decomposed into two parts, color parts and kinematic parts. The color parts can be expressed in terms of structure constants or traces of $SU(N)$ matrices in the fundamental representation. This leads to two kinds of decomposition, which can be called f -based decomposition

and trace-based decomposition. In f -based decomposition [7,33], a full n -point amplitude is written as

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}, \quad (8)$$

where a color factor c_{λ} is a product of several structure constants and a_{λ} is the corresponding kinematic factor. In trace-based decomposition, the full n -particle amplitude is written as

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_i t_i A_i, \quad (9)$$

where the trace basis $\{t_i\}$ includes independent traces of $SU(N)$ matrices and A_i is the color-ordered amplitude corresponding to t_i . Using some identities of $SU(N)$ algebra, a color factor c_{ρ} can be written as a linear combination of trace-basis elements,

$$c_{\rho} = \sum_i M_{\rho i} t_i. \quad (10)$$

Then, the color-ordered amplitude A_i can be expressed as

$$A_i = \sum_{\rho} a_{\rho} M_{\rho i}. \quad (11)$$

If the matrix M has a nonzero right null vector r , then we can obtain a constraint equation for the color-ordered amplitudes, $A \cdot r = 0$.

The above is the basic idea used before to derive group-theoretic constraints on color-ordered amplitudes. We adopt the same idea to derive constraints on four-point color-ordered amplitudes in $SO(N)$ gauge theories. The trace basis for four-point amplitudes in $SO(N)$ gauge theory is

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^{a_4}), & T_4 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2})\text{Tr}(T^{a_3} T^{a_4}), \\ T_2 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_4} T^{a_3}), & T_5 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_3})\text{Tr}(T^{a_2} T^{a_4}), \\ T_3 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_4} T^{a_2} T^{a_3}), & T_6 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_4})\text{Tr}(T^{a_2} T^{a_3}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

At tree level, only single traces appear in the trace-based color decomposition. At one-loop order, double-trace terms appear. At higher-loop order, traces with different powers of N appear in the decomposition. Thus, the trace-based color decomposition of four-point L -loop amplitude has the following form:

$$\mathcal{A}^L = \sum_{m=0}^L \sum_{i=1}^3 N^m T_i A_i^{(L,m)} + \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=4}^6 N^n T_j A_j^{(L,n)}. \quad (13)$$

$\{A_i^{(L,m)}\}$ and $\{A_j^{(L,n)}\}$ are the color-ordered amplitudes at L -loop order. This decomposition allows for a relative

factor of N between the leading and subleading contributions to a given $T_{i(j)}$. This is different from the $SU(N)$ case where the suppression always comes in N^2 [19]. The reason is that the algebraic identities satisfied by $SU(N)$ and $SO(N)$ have different structural features. For $SO(N)$, both a single-trace term and a double-trace term appear on the right-hand side of the identity (6). For $SU(N)$, a similar identity is $\text{Tr}(T^a A T^a B) = \text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B) - \frac{1}{N}\text{Tr}(AB)$. When we only consider adjoint particles, $\frac{1}{N}$ terms always cancel and can be omitted. Thus, only a double-trace term appears on the right-hand side of the $SU(N)$ identity. Using these identities to construct a higher-loop trace basis from a tree-level single-trace basis, we can obtain the different suppression behaviors between $SO(N)$ and $SU(N)$.

III. CONSTRAINT RELATIONS AMONG $SO(N)$ FOUR-POINT AMPLITUDES

As discussed in the previous section, in order to find the constraint relations among four-point L -loop color-ordered amplitudes, we should first find out a complete color basis for the L -loop amplitudes, and then find the transformation matrix M between the color basis and L -loop trace basis. The right null vectors of M give the constraint relations.

At tree level, there are three kinds of color factors,

$$c_s = f^{a_1 a_2 b} f^{b a_3 a_4}, \quad c_t = f^{a_4 a_1 b} f^{b a_2 a_3}, \quad c_u = f^{a_3 a_1 b} f^{b a_2 a_4}, \quad (14)$$

which correspond to s , t , and u -channel diagrams, respectively. These color factors are not independent and satisfy the Jacobi identity, $c_s = c_t - c_u$. Thus, we can choose c_s , c_t as independent color-basis elements. According to Eqs. (4) and (6), c_s , c_t can be expressed by the tree-level trace basis $\{T_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$. The corresponding transformation matrix is

$$M^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The right null vector of $M^{(0)}$ is

$$r^{(0)} = (1, 1, 1)', \quad (16)$$

where the prime means the transpose of the matrix. This vector implies a relation among the color-ordered amplitudes,

$$A^{(0,0)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + A^{(0,0)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + A^{(0,0)}(1, 4, 2, 3) = 0. \quad (17)$$

This relation for the $SO(N)$ amplitudes is the same as that for $SU(N)$ amplitudes.

For L -loop amplitudes, it is complicated to explicitly find out a complete color basis. Here we adopt the same assumption used in [19] that all $(L + 1)$ -loop color factors can be obtained from L -loop color factors by attaching a rung between two of its external legs. This assumption can be checked explicitly at lower loop orders ($L \leq 4$) for $SU(N)$ [19] and is thought to be correct at $L > 4$ loop orders. For a diagram with color factor

$$c = f^{*a_i^*} \dots f^{*a_j^*} \dots, \quad (18)$$

where “ \dots ” denotes product of some structure constants, attaching a rung between its external legs (i, j) means the color factor of the resulting diagram is

$$\tilde{c} = f^{b a_i e} f^{e a_j d} f^{*b^*} \dots f^{*d^*} \dots \quad (19)$$

For four-point amplitudes, we assume that all possible color factors of $(L + 1)$ -loop diagrams can be obtained from L -loop color factors by attaching legs $(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4)$ as in Eq. (19). Then we consider the effect of this attaching

process on the trace basis. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_4 \\ T_5 \\ T_6 \end{pmatrix}$.

After the process of attaching a rung, T and \tilde{T} transform as

$$T \rightarrow (A, B, C) \begin{pmatrix} NT \\ T \\ \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{T} \rightarrow (D, E, F) \begin{pmatrix} N\tilde{T} \\ T \\ \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

where A, B, C, D, E, F are all 3×3 matrices and are different for each attaching way. These matrices are given explicitly in the Appendix.

Let us explain how to use the iterative method to derive higher-loop right null vectors from lower-loop ones. Suppose $\{c_\alpha^{(L)}\}$ is a complete (maybe overcomplete) color basis for L -loop amplitudes, and the color basis elements can be expanded by the L -loop trace basis $\{T_k^{(L)}, (k = 1, 2, \dots, 6L + 3)\} = \{N^L T_i, N^{L-1} T_i, N^{L-1} T_j, \dots, T_i, T_j, (i = 1, 2, 3, j = 4, 5, 6)\}$,

$$c_\alpha^{(L)} = \sum_{k=1}^{6L+3} M_{ak}^{(L)} T_k^{(L)}. \quad (21)$$

An L -loop four-point full amplitude can be written as

$$A^L = \sum_{k=1}^{6L+3} T_k^{(L)} A_k^{(L)} = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha^{(L)} a_\alpha^{(L)}, \quad (22)$$

where $\{A_k^{(L)}\}$ corresponds one to one with $\{A^{(L,m)}\}$ in (13). An L -loop right null vector $r^{(L)}$ of $M^{(L)}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{6L+3} M_{ak}^{(L)} r_k^{(L)} = 0, \quad (23)$$

and implies a constraint on L -loop color-ordered amplitudes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{6L+3} A_k^{(L)} r_k^{(L)} = 0. \quad (24)$$

The $(L + 1)$ -loop color factors can be obtained by the attaching procedure; this procedure also transforms an L -loop trace basis element to a linear combination of an $(L + 1)$ -loop trace basis,

$$T_k^{(L)} \rightarrow \sum_{l=1}^{6L+9} G_{kl}^{(L,L+1)} T_l^{(L+1)}, \quad (25)$$

where G is a $(6L + 3) \times (6L + 9)$ transformation matrix. Then, we have

$$c_a^{(L+1)} = \sum_{l=1}^{6L+9} M_{al}^{(L+1)} T_l^{(L+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{6L+3} \sum_{l=1}^{6L+9} M_{ak}^{(L)} G_{kl}^{(L,L+1)} T_l^{(L+1)}. \quad (26)$$

An $(L + 1)$ -loop right null vector $r^{(L+1)}$ must satisfy

$$\sum_{l=1}^{6L+9} M_{al}^{(L+1)} r_l^{(L+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{6L+3} \sum_{l=1}^{6L+9} M_{ak}^{(L)} G_{kl}^{(L,L+1)} r_l^{(L+1)} = 0, \quad (27)$$

which means

$$G^{(L,L+1)} \cdot r^{(L+1)} = \text{linear combination of } \{r^{(L)}\}. \quad (28)$$

This is the relation between L -loop and $(L + 1)$ -loop right null vectors and is the basic equation of the recursive method.

A. Constraints on one-loop amplitudes

We have obtained $r^{(0)}$ in Eq. (16). The transformation matrix $G^{(0,1)}$ between the trace bases of tree amplitudes and one-loop amplitudes is

$$G^{(0,1)} = (A, B, C). \quad (29)$$

The explicit forms of A , B , C are in the Appendix. By solving the basic recursive equation

$$G^{(0,1)} \cdot r^{(1)} = \text{linear combination of } \{r^{(0)}\}, \quad (30)$$

we get six one-loop right null vectors,

$$\begin{aligned} \{r^{(1)}\} = & (4, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \quad (2, 4, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (2, 2, 4, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)', \quad (-1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)', \\ & (-1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)', \quad (-1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)'. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The last three null vectors imply the following equations:

$$A^{(1,0)}(1, 2; 3, 4) = A^{(1,0)}(1, 3; 2, 4) = A^{(1,0)}(1, 4; 2, 3), \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(1,0)}(1, 2; 3, 4) = & A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) \\ & + A^{(1,1)}(1, 4, 2, 3). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The three color-ordered amplitudes corresponding to double-trace-basis elements are equal to each other and they are linear combinations of leading-order amplitudes. The other three vectors imply the following constraint equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 4A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + 2A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + 2A^{(1,1)}(1, 4, 2, 3) \\ = -A^{(1,0)}(1, 2, 3, 4), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + 4A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + 2A^{(1,1)}(1, 4, 2, 3) \\ = -A^{(1,0)}(1, 2, 4, 3), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + 2A^{(1,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + 4A^{(1,1)}(1, 4, 2, 3) \\ = -A^{(1,0)}(1, 4, 2, 3). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

From (33) to (36), all subleading color-ordered amplitudes are expressed as linear combinations of three leading-order amplitudes. Thus, at one-loop order, the number of independent amplitudes is 3.

The number of independent right null vectors can also be obtained from direct counting of independent color factors and trace-basis elements at the one-loop level. It is known that there are three independent one-loop color factors corresponding to three different box diagrams and there are nine $(6L + 3)$ independent trace-basis elements. Thus, the number of independent null vectors should be 6. This is consistent with the recursive result.

B. Constraints on two-loop amplitudes

In this section we derive the constraints on two-loop amplitudes. The transformation matrix between one-loop and two-loop trace bases is

$$G^{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & B & C \\ 0 & 0 & D & E & F \end{pmatrix}. \quad (37)$$

By solving the recursive equation

$$G^{(1,2)} \cdot r^{(2)} = \text{linear combination of } \{r^{(1)}\}, \quad (38)$$

we get ten two-loop right null vectors,

$$\begin{aligned} \{r^{(2)}\} = & (10, 10, 10, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (7, 7, 3, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \quad (-7, -3, -3, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (-3, -7, -3, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (40, 12, 12, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \quad (12, 40, 12, 0, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (-68, -68, -40, -8, -8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (-30, -30, -14, -4, -4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)', \quad (26, 10, 10, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)', \\ & (10, 26, 10, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)', \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which imply ten constraint relations between 15 two-loop color-ordered amplitudes. Thus, the number of independent amplitudes at two-loop order is 5.

The first null vector implies the following linear constraint on the six leading amplitudes:

$$\begin{aligned} & 10(A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + A^{(2,2)}(1, 4, 2, 3)) \\ & + A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + A^{(2,1)}(1, 4, 2, 3) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Then, only five of the six leading amplitudes are independent. From the other null vectors, all other subleading color-ordered amplitudes can be expressed as linear combinations of the six leading amplitudes. The second null vector implies

$$\begin{aligned} & 7A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + 7A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + 3A^{(2,2)}(1, 4, 2, 3) \\ & + A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) + A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + A^{(2,1)}(1, 2; 3, 4) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The third and fourth null vectors imply relations that are equivalent to permutations of the above. The relation implied by the seventh null vector is

$$\begin{aligned} & -68A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 3, 4) - 68A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 4, 3) - 40A^{(2,2)}(1, 4, 2, 3) \\ & - 8A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) - 8A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + A^{(2,0)}(1, 4, 2, 3) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The fifth and sixth null vectors imply relations that are equivalent to perturbations of the above. The eighth null vectors give the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & -30A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 3, 4) - 30A^{(2,2)}(1, 2, 4, 3) - 14A^{(2,2)}(1, 4, 2, 3) \\ & - 4A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 3, 4) - 4A^{(2,1)}(1, 2, 4, 3) + A^{(2,0)}(1, 2; 3, 4) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The ninth and tenth null vectors imply relations that are equivalent to permutations of the above.

At two-loop order, there are six planar ladder diagrams. The color factors of them are not all linearly independent and satisfy one linear constraint equation. Thus, there are five linearly independent planar color factors. All color factors of nonplanar diagrams can be written as linear combinations of the planar color factors. A typical color factor of a planar ladder diagram is $c_{1234}^{(2,Lad)} = f_{a_1 a_2} f_{a_2 a_3} f_{a_3 a_4} f_{a_4 a_7} f_{a_4 a_5} f_{a_5 a_6} f_{a_6 a_1} f_{a_7}$. A typical color factor of a nonplanar diagram is $c_{1234}^{(2,NP)} = f_{a_1 a_2} f_{a_2 a_3} f_{a_3 a_6} f_{a_4 a_5} f_{a_5 a_1} f_{a_6 a_3} f_{a_7}$. Two relations among planar and nonplanar color factors are

$$c_{1234}^{(2,Lad)} - c_{1432}^{(2,Lad)} + c_{1423}^{(2,Lad)} - c_{1324}^{(2,Lad)} + c_{1342}^{(2,Lad)} - c_{1243}^{(2,Lad)} = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$3c_{1234}^{(2,NP)} = c_{1234}^{(2,Lad)} - c_{1432}^{(2,Lad)} - c_{1342}^{(2,Lad)} + c_{1243}^{(2,Lad)}. \quad (45)$$

The dimension of the two-loop trace basis is 15. Thus, by counting the numbers of color-basis elements and trace-basis elements, ten independent right null vectors should be obtained. This is consistent with the recursive results.

C. Constraints on three-loop amplitudes

The three-loop right null vectors obtained by the recursive method are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (21, 21, 21, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (72, 0, 0, 12, -2, -2, 0, -4, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (84, 156, 84, 6, 20, 6, 4, 4, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (0, 0, 72, -2, -2, 12, -4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-14, -14, -14, -1, -1, -1, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-14, -14, -14, -1, -1, -1, 0, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-140, -140, -140, -13, -13, -13, -6, -6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-256, -72, -72, -32, 0, 0, 0, 16, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-408, -592, -408, -32, -64, -32, -16, -16, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-72, -72, -256, 0, 0, -32, 16, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (100, 100, 100, 8, 8, 8, -8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (100, 100, 100, 8, 8, 8, 0, -8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)', \\
 & (268, 268, 268, 24, 24, 24, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)'. \tag{46}
 \end{aligned}$$

These right null vectors imply 13 constraint relations to the 21 three-loop color-ordered amplitudes. Thus, the number of independent amplitudes is 8.

The explicit constraint equations can be written out easily from the null vectors and we do not do it here. The first vector implies a constraint on the first nine color-ordered amplitudes $\{A_k^{(3)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ in (22). The next 12 vectors mean that all other amplitudes $\{A_k^{(3)}, k = 10, 11, \dots, 21\}$ are linear combinations of the first nine amplitudes. These 12 vectors can be divided sequentially into four sets. Three vectors (or equivalent vectors) in one set satisfy certain permutation symmetries. At three-loop order, the number of independent color factors is 8 [32]. By counting the numbers of color-basis elements and trace-basis elements, the number of right null vectors should be 13.

D. Constraints on four-loop amplitudes

At four-loop order, the number of linearly independent color-basis elements is 11 [32]. By counting the numbers of color-basis elements and trace-basis elements, the number of right null vectors should be 16. Using the recursive method, we find that the number of right null vectors is exactly 16. A set of independent vectors can be chosen as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (242, 242, 242, 22, 22, 22, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & \left(\frac{116}{5}, \frac{116}{5}, \frac{12}{5}, 3, 3, \frac{-1}{5}, \frac{44}{5}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)', \\
 & \left(-46, \frac{-126}{5}, \frac{-126}{5}, \frac{-23}{5}, \frac{-7}{5}, \frac{-7}{5}, \frac{-2}{5}, \frac{42}{5}, \frac{-2}{5}, \frac{-1}{5}, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)', \\
 & \left(\frac{-126}{5}, -46, \frac{-126}{5}, \frac{-7}{5}, \frac{-23}{5}, \frac{-7}{5}, \frac{-2}{5}, \frac{-2}{5}, \frac{42}{5}, 0, \frac{-1}{5}, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)', \\
 & (288, -96, -96, 56, -12, -12, 0, -8, 0, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-96, 288, -96, -12, 56, -12, 0, 0, -8, 0, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & (-2032, -2032, -1648, -188, -188, -120, -24, -16, -16, -8, -8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
 & \left(\frac{-1362}{5}, \frac{-1362}{5}, \frac{-114}{5}, -34, -34, \frac{22}{5}, \frac{-138}{5}, 2, 2, \frac{-12}{5}, \frac{-12}{5}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)',
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^{a_4}), & T_4 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2}) \text{Tr}(T^{a_3} T^{a_4}), \\
T_2 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_4} T^{a_3}), & T_5 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_3}) \text{Tr}(T^{a_2} T^{a_4}), \\
T_3 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_4} T^{a_2} T^{a_3}), & T_6 &= \text{Tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_4}) \text{Tr}(T^{a_2} T^{a_3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The full four-point L -loop $Sp(2N)$ gauge amplitude $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^L$ can be decomposed in the L -loop trace basis,

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}^L = \sum_{m=0}^L \sum_{i=1}^3 N^m T_i \bar{A}_i^{(L,m)} + \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} \sum_{j=4}^6 N^n T_j \bar{A}_j^{(L,n)}. \tag{55}$$

$\{\bar{A}_i^{(L,m)}\}$ and $\{\bar{A}_j^{(L,n)}\}$ are the color-ordered L -loop amplitudes in the $Sp(2N)$ case. The above decomposition can also be written in another useful form,

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}^L = \sum_{k=1}^{6L+3} T_k^{(L)} \bar{A}_k^{(L)}, \tag{56}$$

where $\{T_k^{(L)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, 6L+3\} = \{N^L T_i, N^{L-1} T_i, N^{L-1} T_j, \dots, T_i, T_j, (i = 1, 2, 3, j = 4, 5, 6)\}$.

V. CONSTRAINT RELATIONS AMONG $Sp(2N)$ FOUR-POINT AMPLITUDES

In this section, we use the same procedure as for the $SO(N)$ case to derive group-theoretic relations for four-point amplitudes in $Sp(2N)$ up to the four-loop level. At tree level, there are three kinds of color factors, c_s, c_t, c_u . They have the same forms as the $SO(N)$ case and satisfy the Jacobi identity, $c_s = c_t - c_u$. The transformation matrix between color factors and the trace basis is also the same as the $SO(N)$ case. Then, at tree level, the right null vector is the same as (16),

$$\bar{r}^{(0)} = (1, 1, 1)'. \tag{57}$$

The loop-level right null vectors for $Sp(2N)$ can be derived as for the $SO(N)$ case. It is found that the transformation matrices $\{G^{(L,L+1)}\}$ of $Sp(2N)$ have similar structures as the $SO(N)$ case. The A, B, C, D, E, F matrices in (20) of $Sp(2N)$ are different from those of $SO(N)$. The numbers of right null vectors are the same for both cases at each loop order. This is consistent with the result that the numbers of independent color-basis elements are the same for all simple groups at each loop order (at least up to four loop) [19,32].

A. Constraints on one-loop amplitudes

Using the recursive method, the independent one-loop right null vectors are

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-2, -1, -1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', & & (-1, -2, -1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\
&(-1, -1, -2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)', & & \left(\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0\right)', \\
&\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\right)', & & \left(\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\right)'.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The explicit constraint equations are easy to write and are not listed here. At one-loop order, it is known that there are three independent color factors corresponding to three different box diagrams for four-point amplitudes. The number of independent trace-basis elements is $9(6L+3)$. Thus, it is necessary that six null vectors be obtained by recursive method. The number of independent amplitudes is three.

B. Constraints on two-loop amplitudes

From (28), the independent two-loop right null vectors for $Sp(2N)$ four-point color-ordered amplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-5, -5, -5, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', & & \left(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -1, -1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\right)', \\
&\left(\frac{-7}{2}, \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-3}{2}, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\right)', & & \left(\frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-7}{2}, \frac{-3}{2}, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\right)', \\
&(10, 3, 3, -4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', & & (3, 10, 3, 0, -4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)', \\
&(-17, -17, -10, 4, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)', & & \left(\frac{15}{2}, \frac{15}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, -2, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0\right)', \\
&\left(\frac{-13}{2}, \frac{-5}{2}, \frac{-5}{2}, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\right)', & & \left(\frac{-5}{2}, \frac{-13}{2}, \frac{-5}{2}, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\right)'.
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

These vectors imply ten constraint relations among 15 color-ordered two-loop amplitudes. Thus, the number of independent amplitudes is 5. At two-loop order, there are six ladder diagrams. A typical color factor of ladder diagram is $\bar{c}_{1234}^{(2,Lad)} = F^{a1b} F^{b2c} F^{cde} F^{d3f} F^{f4g} F^{gae}$. The color factors of these ladder diagrams are not independent and satisfy a linear equation. A typical nonplanar color factor is $\bar{c}_{1234}^{(2,NP)} = F^{a1b} F^{b2c} F^{cde} F^{d3f} F^{e4g} F^{fga}$. All the color factors of nonplanar diagrams can be written as linear combinations of those of ladder diagrams. As for the $SO(N)$ case, two similar relations among planar and nonplanar color factors are

$$\bar{c}_{1234}^{(2,Lad)} - \bar{c}_{1432}^{(2,Lad)} + \bar{c}_{1423}^{(2,Lad)} - \bar{c}_{1324}^{(2,Lad)} + \bar{c}_{1342}^{(2,Lad)} - \bar{c}_{1243}^{(2,Lad)} = 0, \quad (60)$$

$$3\bar{c}_{1234}^{(2,NP)} = \bar{c}_{1234}^{(2,Lad)} - \bar{c}_{1432}^{(2,Lad)} - \bar{c}_{1342}^{(2,Lad)} + \bar{c}_{1243}^{(2,Lad)}. \quad (61)$$

C. Constraints on three-loop amplitudes

The 13 independent three-loop right null vectors for $Sp(2N)$ four-point color-ordered amplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{21}{2}, \frac{21}{2}, \frac{21}{2}, -2, -2, -2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)', \\ & (18, 0, 0, -6, 1, 1, 0, -2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (21, 39, 21, -3, -10, -3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (0, 0, 18, 1, 1, -6, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & \left(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, -3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)', \\ & \left(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, 0, -3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)', \\ & \left(35, 35, 35, \frac{-13}{2}, \frac{-13}{2}, \frac{-13}{2}, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)', \\ & (32, 9, 9, -8, 0, 0, 0, -4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (51, 74, 51, -8, -16, -8, 4, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)', \\ & (9, 9, 32, 0, 0, -8, -4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)', \\ & \left(\frac{25}{2}, \frac{25}{2}, \frac{25}{2}, -2, -2, -2, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 \right)', \\ & \left(\frac{25}{2}, \frac{25}{2}, \frac{25}{2}, -2, -2, -2, 0, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 \right)', \\ & \left(\frac{67}{2}, \frac{67}{2}, \frac{67}{2}, -6, -6, -6, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 \right)'. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

The first vector implies a constraint on the first nine color-ordered amplitudes $\{\bar{A}_k^{(3)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ in (56). The next 12 vectors mean that all other color-ordered amplitudes $\{\bar{A}_k^{(3)}, k = 10, 11, \dots, 21\}$ are linear combinations of the first nine amplitudes. Thus, the number of independent color-ordered amplitudes is 8. These 12 vectors can be put into four sets. Three vectors (or equivalent vectors) in one set satisfy certain permutation symmetries.

D. Constraints on four-loop amplitudes

The 16 independent four-loop right null vectors obtained from (28) are as follows:

It is worth noting that our results and the results in [19–21] are based on the assumption that all independent high-loop color factors can be obtained by the attaching approach from the lower-loop ones. According to our results, it is true for $SO(N)$ and $Sp(2N)$ at least up to four-loop order. It will be interesting to prove this assumption to all loop orders or to provide a general way of counting the number of independent color factors at any loop order.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2016A030313444).

APPENDIX: TRANSFORMATION MATRICES

For both the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(2N)$ cases, the transformation matrix $G^{(L,L+1)}$ between the L -loop trace basis and the

$(L+1)$ -loop trace basis is $(6L+3) \times (6L+9)$ and has the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & A & 0 & B & C & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & D & E & F & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A & 0 & B & C & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D & E & F & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

A, B, C, D, E, F are all 3×3 matrices.

For $SO(N)$, these matrices are of the following forms:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -e_{12} - e_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e_{12} - e_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -e_{13} - e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 3e_{12} - 2e_{13} + 3e_{14} & e_{12} - e_{13} & -e_{13} + e_{14} \\ e_{12} - e_{14} & 3e_{12} + 3e_{13} - 2e_{14} & e_{13} - e_{14} \\ -e_{12} + e_{14} & -e_{12} + e_{13} & -2e_{12} + 3e_{13} + 3e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -e_{12} + e_{13} & 0 & e_{13} - e_{14} \\ -e_{12} + e_{14} & -e_{13} + e_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & e_{12} - e_{13} & e_{12} - e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -2e_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2e_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 4e_{13} - 4e_{14} & -4e_{13} + 4e_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & -4e_{12} + 4e_{14} & 4e_{12} - 4e_{14} \\ -4e_{12} + 4e_{13} & 0 & 4e_{12} - 4e_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 4e_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4e_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4e_{14} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

In the above matrices, e_{1i} takes 1 when we attach legs $(1, i)$ and otherwise takes zero.

For $Sp(2N)$, these matrices are

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2e_{12} - 2e_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2e_{12} - 2e_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2e_{13} - 2e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -3e_{12} + 2e_{13} - 3e_{14} & -e_{12} + e_{13} & e_{13} - e_{14} \\ -e_{12} + e_{14} & -3e_{12} - 3e_{13} + 2e_{14} & -e_{13} + e_{14} \\ e_{12} - e_{14} & e_{12} - e_{13} & 2e_{12} - 3e_{13} - 3e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -e_{12} + e_{13} & 0 & e_{13} - e_{14} \\ -e_{12} + e_{14} & -e_{13} + e_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & e_{12} - e_{13} & e_{12} - e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -4e_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4e_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4e_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 4e_{13} - 4e_{14} & -4e_{13} + 4e_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & -4e_{12} + 4e_{14} & 4e_{12} - 4e_{14} \\ -4e_{12} + 4e_{13} & 0 & 4e_{12} - 4e_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} -4e_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4e_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4e_{14} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A13})$$

-
- [1] F. A. Berends and W. Giele, The six gluon process as an example of Weyl-Van Der Waerden spinor calculus, *Nucl. Phys.* **B294**, 700 (1987).
- [2] M. L. Mangano, S. J. Parke, and Z. Xu, Duality and multi-gluon scattering, *Nucl. Phys.* **B298**, 653 (1988).
- [3] Z. Bern and D. A. Kosower, Color decomposition of one loop amplitudes in gauge theories, *Nucl. Phys.* **B362**, 389 (1991).
- [4] B. Feng, R. Huang, and Y. Jia, Gauge amplitude identities by on-shell recursion relation in S-matrix program, *Phys. Lett. B* **695**, 350 (2011).
- [5] J.-H. Huang, R. Huang, and Y. Jia, Tree amplitudes of noncommutative U(N) Yang-Mills theory, *J. Phys. A* **44**, 425401 (2011).
- [6] R. Kleiss and H. Kuijf, Multi-gluon cross-sections and five jet production at hadron colliders, *Nucl. Phys.* **B312**, 616 (1989).
- [7] V. Del Duca, L. J. Dixon, and F. Maltoni, New color decompositions for gauge amplitudes at tree and loop level, *Nucl. Phys.* **B571**, 51 (2000).
- [8] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, New relations for gauge-theory amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 085011 (2008).
- [9] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, and P. Vanhove, Minimal Basis for Gauge Theory Amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 161602 (2009).
- [10] S. Stieberger, Open and closed vs pure open string disk amplitudes, [arXiv:0907.2211](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2211).
- [11] Y.-X. Chen, Y.-J. Du, and B. Feng, A proof of the explicit minimal-basis expansion of tree amplitudes in gauge field theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2011) 112.
- [12] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer, and S. Stieberger, Explicit BCJ numerators from pure spinors, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2011) 092.
- [13] Z. Bern, A. De Freitas, and L. J. Dixon, Two loop helicity amplitudes for gluon-gluon scattering in QCD and supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2002) 018.
- [14] J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, Generic multiloop methods and application to N = 4 super-Yang-Mills, *J. Phys. A* **44**, 454004 (2011).
- [15] B. Feng, Y. Jia, and R. Huang, Relations of loop partial amplitudes in gauge theory by unitarity cut method, *Nucl. Phys.* **B854**, 243 (2012).
- [16] R. H. Boels and R. S. Isermann, New relations for scattering amplitudes in Yang-Mills theory at loop level, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 021701 (2012).
- [17] Y.-J. Du and H. Luo, On general BCJ relation at one-loop level in Yang-Mills theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2013) 129.
- [18] S. G. Naculich and H. J. Schnitzer, One-loop SYM-supersymmetry relation for five-point amplitudes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2011) 001.
- [19] S. G. Naculich, All-loop group-theory constraints for color-ordered SU(N) gauge-theory amplitudes, *Phys. Lett. B* **707**, 191 (2012).
- [20] A. C. Edison and S. G. Naculich, SU(N) group-theory constraints on color-ordered five-point amplitudes at all loop orders, *Nucl. Phys.* **B858**, 488 (2012).
- [21] A. C. Edison and S. G. Naculich, Symmetric-group decomposition of SU(N) group-theory constraints on four-, five-, and six-point color-ordered amplitudes at all loop orders, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2012) 069.
- [22] G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi, and C. Wetterich, Proton lifetime and fermion masses in an SO(10) model, *Nucl. Phys.* **B181**, 287 (1981).
- [23] C. S. Aulakh and R. N. Mohapatra, Implications of supersymmetric SO(10) grand unification, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 217 (1983).
- [24] T. E. Clark, T.-K. Kuo, and N. Nakagawa, A SO(10) supersymmetric grand unified theory, *Phys. Lett.* **115B**, 26 (1982).

- [25] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Predictive Neutrino Spectrum in Minimal SO(10) Grand Unification, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 2845 (1993).
- [26] K. Matsuda, Y. Koide, T. Fukuyama, and H. Nishiura, How far can the SO(10) two Higgs model describe the observed neutrino masses and mixings?, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 033008 (2002); Erratum, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 079904(E) (2002).
- [27] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Infinity cancellations in SO(32) superstring theory, *Phys. Lett.* **151B**, 21 (1985).
- [28] E. Witten, Some properties of O(32) superstrings, *Phys. Lett.* **149B**, 351 (1984).
- [29] L. Clavelli, Proof of one-loop finiteness of type I SO(32) superstring theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **33**, 1098 (1986).
- [30] H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sanchez, P. K. S. Vaudrevange, and A. Wingerter, Exploring the SO(32) heterotic string, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2006) 050.
- [31] H. Abe, T. Kobayashi, H. Otsukaa, and Y. Takano, Realistic three-generation models from SO(32) heterotic string theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2015) 056.
- [32] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban, The complete four-loop four-point amplitude in $N = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 125040 (2010).
- [33] V. Del Duca, A. Frizzo, and F. Maltoni, Factorization of tree QCD amplitudes in the high-energy limit and in the collinear limit, *Nucl. Phys.* **B568**, 211 (2000).