Geometry in transition in four dimensions: A model of emergent geometry in the early universe

Badis Ydri, Ramda Khaled, and Rouag Ahlam

Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Badji Mokhtar Annaba University, BP. 12, Annaba 23000, Algeria (Received 15 August 2016; published 21 October 2016)

We study a six matrix model with global $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ symmetry containing at most quartic powers of the matrices. This theory exhibits a phase transition from a geometrical phase at low temperature to a Yang-Mills matrix phase with no background geometrical structure at high temperature. This is an exotic phase transition in the same universality class as the three matrix model but with important differences. The geometrical phase is determined dynamically, as the system cools, and is given by a fuzzy sphere background $S_N^2 \times S_N^2$, with an Abelian gauge field which is very weakly coupled to two normal scalar fields.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.94.085020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.085020)

I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of geometry as an emergent concept is not new. See for example [\[1,2\]](#page-4-0) for an inspiring discussion, along the lines of causal sets and lattice dynamical triangulation respectively, and [\[3,4\]](#page-4-1) for some other recent ideas from strings and random matrix theory. Another powerful approach is the idea of emergent noncommutative geometry [\[5\]](#page-4-2) from IKKT Yang-Mills matrix models [\[6\].](#page-4-3)

We examine such a phenomenon in the context of noncommutative geometry emerging from matrix models by studying a surprisingly rich six matrix model proposed in [\[7,8\].](#page-4-4) This is a theory with two independent parameters, the gauge coupling q and the mass deformation M , where the particular value $M = 1/2$ was considered in [\[9\]](#page-4-5). This model is a generalization of the three matrix model studied in [\[10](#page-4-6)–12]. The matrix geometry which emerges here is also interesting because it provides an alternative setting for the regularization of (noncommutative) field theories [\[13](#page-4-7)–16], and also as the configurations of D0-branes in particular string theories, namely in the large k limit of a boundary Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten model [\[17](#page-4-8)–19]. Here, however, the geometry emerges as the system cools, much as a Bose condensate or superfluid emerges as a collective phenomenon at low temperatures. And there is no background geometry in the high-temperature phase.

We consider the most general single trace Euclidean action functional for a six matrix model invariant under global $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ transformations containing no higher than the fourth power of the matrices. We find that generically the model has three phases. The first distinct phase is a geometrical one whereas the other distinct phase is a matrix phase. The third phase is a crossover phase which appears between the geometrical and the matrix phases for large values of M . The geometrical phase appears at low temperature (weak coupling). Small fluctuations in this phase are those of a Yang-Mills theory coupled to two scalar fields around a ground state corresponding to the Cartesian product of two round fuzzy spheres [\[20\]](#page-4-9), viz. $S_N^2 \times S_N^2$. The gauge group is Abelian. In the strict large N limit the geometry becomes classical. As the temperature is increased the geometry undergoes a transition. In the matrix phase there is no background spacetime geometry and the fluctuations are those of the matrix entries around zero. In this high-temperature (strong coupling) phase the model is essentially a zero-dimensional reduction of six-dimensional Yang-Mills theory.

II. THE MODEL

Let X_a and Y_a , $a = 1, 2, 3$ be six $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices and let us consider the action

$$
S = S_1 + S_2 + S_{12},
$$

\n
$$
S_1 = N \left[-\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}[X_a, X_b]^2 + \frac{2i\alpha}{3} \epsilon_{abc} \text{Tr} X_a X_b X_c + \beta \text{Tr} X_a^2 + M \text{Tr}(X_a^2)^2 \right],
$$

\n
$$
S_2 = N \left[-\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}[Y_a, Y_b]^2 + \frac{2i\alpha}{3} \epsilon_{abc} \text{Tr} Y_a Y_b Y_c + \beta \text{Tr} Y_a^2 + M \text{Tr}(Y_a^2)^2 \right],
$$

\n
$$
S_{12} = N \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[X_a, Y_b]^2 \right].
$$

\n(1)

The gauge coupling constant $\tilde{\alpha}^4 = \alpha^4 N^2 = \beta$ plays the role of inverse temperature, the mass parameter M controls the stability of the geometry, and we fix $N = N_0^2$, $c_2^0 = (N_0^2 - 1)/4$ and $\beta = -\alpha^2 \mu$, $\mu = 2(4c_2^0 M - 1)/9$ in this study.

The absolute minimum of the action is given by $X_a =$ $\alpha\phi_0L_a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{N_0}$ and $Y_a = \alpha\phi_0\mathbf{1}_{N_0} \otimes L_a$ with $\phi_0 = 2/3$ and L_a are the generators of $SU(2)$ in the irreducible

BADIS YDRI, RAMDA KHALED, and ROUAG AHLAM PHYSICAL REVIEW D 94, 085020 (2016)

representation of size N_0 . Expanding around this configuration, with $X_a = \alpha \phi_0 (L_a \otimes 1 + A_a)$ and $Y_a =$ $\alpha\phi_0(1\otimes L_a + B_a)$, yields a noncommutative Yang-Mills action with gauge coupling $g^2 = 1/\tilde{\alpha}^4$. This theory includes two adjoint scalar fields, which are the components of the gauge field normal to the two spheres, given by

$$
\Phi^{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{a} A_{a} + A_{a} x_{a} + \frac{A_{a}^{2}}{\sqrt{c_{2}^{0}}} \right),
$$

$$
\Phi^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(y_{a} B_{a} + B_{a} y_{a} + \frac{B_{a}^{2}}{\sqrt{c_{2}^{0}}} \right).
$$
 (2)

In the large N limit taken with $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $m^2 = NM/2$ held fixed, the action for small fluctuations becomes that of a $U(1)$ gauge field very weakly coupled to the above two scalar fields defined on a background commutative sphere $S^2 \otimes S^2$. For large m^2 the two scalar fields are simply not excited.

One can see the background geometry as that of a fuzzy sphere $\mathbf{S}_N^2 \otimes \mathbf{S}_N^2$ with coordinates $x_a = L_a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{N_0}/\sqrt{c_2^0}$ and $y_a = \mathbf{1}_{N_0} \otimes L_a / \sqrt{c_2^0}$ satisfying

$$
x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1, \t[x_a, x_b] = \frac{i}{\sqrt{c_2^0}} \epsilon_{abc} x_c,
$$

$$
y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 1, \t[y_a, y_b] = \frac{i}{\sqrt{c_2^0}} \epsilon_{abc} y_c,
$$
 (3)

and

$$
[x_a, y_b] = 0.\t\t(4)
$$

The algebra generated by products of the x_a and y_a is the algebra of all $N \times N$ matrices with complex coefficients. The geometry enters through the Laplacian [\[14\]](#page-4-10)

$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}}^2 = [L_a, [L_a, \cdot]] \otimes \mathbf{1}_{N_0} + \mathbf{1}_{N_0} \otimes [L_a, [L_a, \cdot]], \quad (5)
$$

which has the same spectrum as the round Laplacian on the commutative sphere $S^2 \times S^2$, but cut off on each sphere at a maximum angular momentum $L = N_0 - 1$. The fluctuations of the scalar fields have this Laplacian as the kinetic term.

The ground state is found by considering the configuration $X_a = \alpha \phi L_a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{N_0}$ and $Y_a = \mathbf{1}_{N_0} \otimes \alpha \phi_0 L_a$ where ϕ plays the role of the radius of the spheres defined by

$$
\mathcal{R}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} X_a^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{R}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} Y_a^2. \tag{6}
$$

The radius R was defined in $[21]$ by the formula

$$
\frac{1}{R} = \frac{1}{\phi_0^2 \tilde{\alpha}^2 c_2^0} \operatorname{Tr} X_a^2.
$$
 (7)

The effective potential [\[11,22,23\]](#page-4-12) obtained by integrating out fluctuations around the $S_N^2 \times S_N^2$ background is given, in the large N limit, by

$$
\frac{V}{2N^2} = \tilde{\alpha}_0^4 \left[\frac{\phi^4}{4} - \frac{\phi^3}{3} + m^2 \frac{\phi^4}{4} - \mu \frac{\phi^2}{2} \right] + \log \phi^2, \quad (8)
$$

where we have redefined the coupling constant by

$$
\frac{N_0^2}{2}\alpha^4 = \tilde{\alpha}_0^4. \tag{9}
$$

The difference between the result on $S²$ and this result lies in the replacement $\tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}_0$ and the replacement $c_2 \rightarrow c_2^0$ in the definition of μ . The analysis of the phase structure is therefore identical.

For example, the local minimum $\phi = \phi_0$ disappears for $\tilde{\alpha} < \tilde{\alpha}_{*}$. The critical curve $\tilde{\alpha}_{*}$ is determined from the point at which the real roots of $\partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial \phi = 0$ merge and disappear. This interpolates between $\tilde{\alpha}_* \sim N$ at small M and the large M result

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_* = 3\left(\frac{2}{M}\right)^{1/4}.\tag{10}
$$

Thus, as the system is heated, the radius *expands from* $R = 1$, at large $\tilde{\alpha}$ to some critical value R_* at $\tilde{\alpha}_*$. When $\tilde{\alpha} < \tilde{\alpha}_{*}$ the fuzzy sphere solution no longer exists and the fuzzy sphere $\mathbf{S}_N^2 \otimes \mathbf{S}_N^2$ evaporates.

Furthermore, defining the entropy by $S = \langle S \rangle / N^2$, we obtain in the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ phase near the critical point the formula [\[24\]](#page-4-13)

$$
S = S_* - \frac{24}{\phi_* \tilde{\alpha}_*^{\frac{5}{2}} \sqrt{M}} \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}_*}. \tag{11}
$$

This predicts immediately that the transition has a divergent specific heat with exponent $\alpha = 1/2$, and also predicts that the entropy has a discrete jump, with a narrowing critical regime as M is increased. However, since the effective potential approximation does not take into account the coupling S_{12} between the two spheres, the value of the predicted discrete jump is not expected to agree with the Monte Carlo result. Nevertheless, we have shown by means of Monte Carlo [\[23\]](#page-4-14) that the effective potential approximation remains a very good fit to the Monte Carlo data especially for large values of M where the coupling between the two spheres is dominated by the individual actions.

III. THE PHASE DIAGRAM

In Monte Carlo simulations we use the Metropolis algorithm and the action [\(1\).](#page-0-0) The errors were estimated using the jackknife method.

The first estimation of the location of the transition is obtained from the intersection point of the average value of the action $\langle S \rangle$ for different values of N. This intersection point is associated with a discrete jump in the entropy which is neatly observed for small values of M (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). As M increases it becomes harder to resolve the discontinuity.

For small values of M (Fig. [2](#page-2-1)) a divergence in the specific heat $C_v := \langle (S - \langle S \rangle)^2 \rangle / N^2$ is observed. The maximum coincides with the intersection point of the action, and thus it marks the location of the transition. The theoretical prediction [\(10\)](#page-1-0) gives also a reasonable fit in this regime.

In summary, we have the behavior

$$
\frac{C_v}{N^2} \to \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2}, & \tilde{\alpha} \gg \tilde{\alpha}_* \quad \text{fuzzy sphere } \mathbf{S}_N^2 \otimes \mathbf{S}_N^2 \text{ phase} \\ \frac{3}{2}, & \tilde{\alpha} \ll \tilde{\alpha}_* \quad \text{Yang-Mills matrix phase.} \end{cases}
$$

The location of the transition, for large values of M , moves to the minimum of the specific heat, and it agrees very well with the theoretical curve [\(10\),](#page-1-0) while the intersection point of the action gives a lower estimate of the transition point in this case.

The maximum of C_v , for large values of M, saturates around the value $\tilde{\alpha} \sim 4.2$. Indeed, starting from some value of M around $M \sim 1$, the peak in C_v occurs always at this value $\tilde{\alpha} \sim 4.2$. This is the regime where the transition from the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ phase to the Yang-Mills matrix phase becomes a crossover transition. The critical line between the fuzzy sphere $\mathbf{S}_N^2 \otimes \mathbf{S}_N^2$ phase and the crossover phase is given by the maximum of C_v , whereas the critical line between the Yang-Mills matrix phase and the crossover phase is given by the minimum of C_v .

As the value of M is increased, our numerical study confirms that the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ to matrix model

FIG. 1. The action for the 6D Yang-Mills matrix model.

FIG. 2. The specific heat for the 6D Yang-Mills matrix model.

transition is shifted to lower values of $\tilde{\alpha}$, and extrapolating $M \rightarrow \infty$ we infer that the critical coupling goes to zero. In other words, the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ phase is only stable in the limit $M \to \infty$.

Our results are summarized in a phase diagram in Fig. [3](#page-2-2) which also includes the measurement from the radius [\[23\]](#page-4-14). As in the two-dimensional case studied in [\[21\],](#page-4-11) the persistence of the critical line, as determined by the crossing point of the average action at the minimum of C_v , suggests that the transition is second order. This is consistent with the theoretical analysis [\(11\)](#page-1-1) which indicates a divergent specific heat with exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ but with a narrowing critical regime as *M* is increased. See also [\[25\]](#page-4-15). However, for large values of M the behavior seems to be quite different with the appearance of a crossover phase separating the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ phase from the Yang-Mills matrix phase.

IV. THE EIGENVALUE DISTRIBUTIONS

The most detailed order parameter at our disposal is the distribution of the eigenvalues of observables. Here, we

FIG. 3. The phase diagram of the 6D Yang-Mills matrix model.

FIG. 4. The eigenvalue distribution for X_3 across the transition line.

focus mainly on X_3 and Y_3 . The characteristic behavior of the distributions of eigenvalues in the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes$ S_N^2 and Yang-Mills matrix phases is illustrated in Figs. [4](#page-3-0) and [5](#page-3-1) respectively.

For small values of M , we see that, as one crosses the critical curve in Fig. [3](#page-2-2), the eigenvalue distribution of X_3 and Y_3 undergoes a transition from a point spectrum given by the eigenvalues of the $SU(2)$ generators in the largest irreducible representation which is of size N, viz.

$$
+\frac{N-1}{2}, \frac{N-1}{2}-1, \dots, -\frac{N-1}{2}+1, -\frac{N-1}{2}, \quad (12)
$$

to a continuous distribution symmetric around zero given by the $d = 6$ law [\[26](#page-4-16)–29],

$$
\rho(\lambda) = \frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{V_d(d-1)} (r^2 - \lambda^2)^{(d-1)/2}.
$$
 (13)

FIG. 5. The eigenvalue distributions for X_3 for small values of M and $\tilde{\alpha}$.

FIG. 6. The eigenvalue distributions for X_3 for large values of M and small values $\tilde{\alpha}$.

This is a generalization of the $d = 3$ (parabolic) law found in two dimensions [\[30,31\]](#page-4-17). This can be derived from the assumption that the six matrices are commuting with a joint eigenvalue distribution uniform inside a six-dimensional ball with a radius r .

However, for large values of M the behavior of the distribution inside the Yang-Mills matrix phase changes to a uniform distribution. See Fig. [6](#page-3-2). This occurs in the regime of the crossover phase. Indeed, for a large value of M , in the crossover phase, a strong gauge field is superimposed on the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ background in such a way that the middle peaks flatten then disappear slowly in favor of a uniform distribution. The last peaks to go are the maximum and the minimum of the $SU(2)$ configuration [\(12\)](#page-3-3).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have extended our previous work [\[21\]](#page-4-11) to four dimensions. We have studied a six matrix model with global $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ symmetry containing at most quartic powers of the matrices proposed in [\[7\]](#page-4-4). The value $M = 1/2$ of the deformation corresponds to the model of [\[9\]](#page-4-5). This theory exhibits a phase transition from a geometrical phase at low temperature given by a fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \times S_N^2$ background, to a Yang-Mills matrix phase with no background geometrical structure at high temperature. The geometry as well as an Abelian gauge field and two scalar fields have been determined dynamically as the temperature decreases and the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ condenses. The transition is exotic in the sense that we have observed, for small values of M , a discontinuous jump in the entropy, characteristic of a first order transition, yet with divergent critical fluctuations and a divergent specific heat with critical exponent $\alpha = 1/2$. The critical temperature is pushed upwards as the scalar field mass is increased

(see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-2). For small M , the system in the Yang-Mills phase is well approximated by six decoupled matrices with a joint eigenvalue distribution which is uniform inside a ball in \mathbb{R}^6 . This yields the $d = 6$ law [\(13\)](#page-3-4). For large M, the transition from the sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ phase to the Yang-Mills matrix phase turns into a crossover and the eigenvalue distribution in the Yang-Mills matrix phase changes from the $d = 6$ law to a uniform distribution.

In the Yang-Mills matrix phase the specific heat is equal to $3/2$ which coincides with the specific heat of six independent matrix models with quartic potential in the high-temperature limit and is therefore consistent with this interpretation. Once the geometrical phase is well established the specific heat takes the value $5/2$ with the gauge field contributing $1/2$ [\[32\]](#page-4-18) and the two scalar fields each contributing 1 [\[33\]](#page-4-19).

The behavior of the physical radius of the two spheres $\mathcal R$ which is defined by (6) is such that it goes to a minimum value \mathcal{R}_{min} , which can be computed using the $d = 6$ law [\(13\)](#page-3-4) for small values M , in the Yang-Mills matrix phase, while in the fuzzy sphere $S_N^2 \otimes S_N^2$ it increases for large $\tilde{\alpha}$ as $\tilde{\alpha}^2$, i.e. the radius expands with the temperature as $1/\sqrt{T}$.

The model presents thus an appealing picture of a geometrical phase emerging as the system cools and suggests a scenario for the emergence of geometry in the early universe.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by CNEPRU, The National (Algerian) Commission for the Evaluation of University Research Projects under Contract No. DO1120130009.

- [1] L. Bombelli, J. H. Lee, D. Meyer, and R. Sorkin, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521)* Lett. 59[, 521 \(1987\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521).
- [2] J. Ambjorn, A. Gorlich, J. Jurkiewicz, and R. Loll, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.091304) Rev. Lett. 100[, 091304 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.091304)
- [3] N. Seiberg, [arXiv:hep-th/0601234.](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0601234)
- [4] P. Di Francesco, P. H. Ginsparg, and J. Zinn-Justin, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)00084-G) Rep. 254[, 1 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)00084-G)
- [5] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry (Academic Press, London, 1994).
- [6] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, and A. Tsuchiya, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00290-3) Phys. B498[, 467 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00290-3)
- [7] R. Delgadillo-Blando and B. Ydri, [J. High Energy Phys. 03](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/03/056) [\(2007\) 056.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/03/056)
- [8] P. Castro-Villarreal, R. Delgadillo-Blando, and B. Ydri, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2005\) 066.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/09/066)
- [9] W. Behr, F. Meyer, and H. Steinacker, [J. High Energy Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/07/040) [07 \(2005\) 040.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/07/040)
- [10] T. Azuma, S. Bal, K. Nagao, and J. Nishimura, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/005) [Energy Phys. 05 \(2004\) 005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/005)
- [11] P. Castro-Villarreal, R. Delgadillo-Blando, and B. Ydri, Nucl. Phys. B704[, 111 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.10.032)
- [12] D. O'Connor and B. Ydri, [J. High Energy Phys. 11 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/11/016) [016.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/11/016)
- [13] B. Ydri, [arXiv:hep-th/0110006.](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0110006)
- [14] D. O'Connor, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732303012659) 18, 2423 (2003).
- [15] A. P. Balachandran, S. Kurkcuoglu, and S. Vaidya, Lectures on Fuzzy and Fuzzy SUSY Physics (World Scientific, Singapore, 2007), p. 191.
- [16] H. Grosse, C. Klimčík, and P. Prešnajder, [Commun. Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02099720) Phys. 180[, 429 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02099720)
- [17] R. C. Myers, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(1999\) 022.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/12/022)
- [18] A. Y. Alekseev, A. Recknagel, and V. Schomerus, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/010) [Energy Phys. 05 \(2000\) 010.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/010)
- [19] V. G. Knizhnik, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732388000982) 03, 819 (1988).
- [20] J. Hoppe, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1982; J. Madore, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/1/008) 9, 69 [\(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/1/008)
- [21] R. Delgadillo-Blando, D. O'Connor, and B. Ydri, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.201601) Rev. Lett. 100[, 201601 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.201601)
- [22] T. Azuma, K. Nagao, and J. Nishimura, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/06/081) [Phys. 06 \(2005\) 081.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/06/081)
- [23] B. Ydri, A. Rouag, and K. Ramda (to be published).
- [24] R. Delgadillo-Blando, D. O'Connor, and B. Ydri, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/049) [Energy Phys. 05 \(2009\) 049.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/049)
- [25] D. O'Connor, B.P. Dolan, and M. Vachovski, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2013)085) [Energy Phys. 12 \(2013\) 085.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2013)085)
- [26] V. G. Filev and D. O'Connor, J. Phys. A 46[, 475403 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/47/475403)
- [27] D. O'Connor and V.G. Filev, [J. High Energy Phys. 04](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)144) [\(2013\) 144.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)144)
- [28] V. G. Filev and D. O'Connor, [J. High Energy Phys. 08](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)003) [\(2014\) 003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)003)
- [29] B. Ydri, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X12500881) 27, 1250088 (2012).
- [30] R. Delgadillo-Blando and D. O'Connor, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)057) [Phys. 11 \(2012\) 057.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)057)
- [31] D. E. Berenstein, M. Hanada, and S. A. Hartnoll, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/02/010) [Energy Phys. 02 \(2009\) 010.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/02/010)
- [32] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.446) 21, 446 (1980).
- [33] Recall that in the 3D Yang-Mills matrix model the specific heat takes the value 1 in the geometrical phase which is attributed in this case to the normal scalar field since there is no propagating gauge degrees of freedom in two dimensions.