# Higher spin Lifshitz theories and the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy

Matteo Beccaria,<sup>1,\*</sup> Michael Gutperle,<sup>2,†</sup> Yi Li,<sup>2,‡</sup> and Guido Macorini<sup>1,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica Ennio De Giorgi, Università del Salento & INFN,

Via Arnesano, 73100 Lecce, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA (Received 26 May 2015; published 5 October 2015)

In this paper three-dimensional higher spin theories in the Chern-Simons formulation with gauge algebra  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  are investigated which have Lifshitz symmetry with scaling exponent *z*. We show that an explicit map exists for all *z* and *N* relating the Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory to the (n, m) element of the Korteweg– de Vries hierarchy. Furthermore we show that the map and hence the conserved charges are independent of *z*. We derive these result from the Drinfeld-Sokolov formalism of integrable systems.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.085005

PACS numbers: 11.15.Yc, 11.25.Tq, 11.10.Kk

## I. INTRODUCTION

Higher spin theories in *d* spacetime dimensions were constructed over the last 20 years by Vasiliev [1,2], Bekaert *et al.* [3] and Didenko *et al.* [4]. These theories provide new ways to explore the AdS/CFT correspondence [5,6]. The present paper only deals with higher spin theory in three dimensions in the Chern-Simons (CS) formulation [7–10]. Gaberdiel and Gopakumar [11,12] proposed a duality linking dimensional higher spin theories in three-dimensional anti–de Sitter space to two-dimensional  $W_N$  minimal model CFTs.

In the last couple of years solutions of three-dimensional higher spin gravity which are not asymptotically AdS have been investigated in the literature [13–17]. In particular asymptotically Lobachevsky, Schrödinger, warped AdS and Lifshitz spacetimes have been found. Field theories which exhibit with Lifshitz scaling, i.e. anisotropic scaling symmetries of space and time dimensions, are important condensed matter theories near quantum critical points (see e.g. [18]).

The goal of the present paper is to generalize the results [19] where a map of the Lifshitz Chern-Simons theories with gauge group  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  and scaling exponent *z* to the integrable Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) hierarchy was discovered for particular values of *N*, *z*, namely N = 3, z = 2 as well as N = 4, z = 3.

The structure and the main results of the paper are as follows: In Sec. II we review some of the background material and results from [19] for the convenience of the reader.

In Sec. III, a detailed analysis of the case of scaling exponent z = 2 for generic N is presented. In addition solutions for scaling exponent z > 2 and values N up to N = 8 are found. These results give very strong evidence for the conjecture of [19], that there always exists a map which relates the  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  *z* Lifshitz theory to the m = z, n = N member of the KdV hierarchy.

Furthermore the case-by-case study reveals also an unexpected universality: First, the form of the map from the Chern-Simons variables to the KdV variables is independent of z and second, the form of the conserved charges which is determined for z = 2 are conserved for all z (and N).

In Sec. IV, we use the formalism of matrix valued pseudodifferential operators constructed by Drinfeld and Sokolov [20] in their seminal paper to prove the relation of the CS Lifshitz and KdV and the universality of the map and the conserved charges for all values of z and N.

We discuss some directions for future research in Sec. V.

In Appendix A we present our conventions for the gauge algebras. In Appendix B details of some of the proof statements in the paper of Drinfeld and Sokolov [20] are reviewed to make our paper self-contained. Some of the results used in Sec. III are presented in Appendix C where we report the *z*-independent map between the CS and KdV variables, as well as the explicit KdV and CS equations of motion for various pairs N, z.

# II. REVIEW OF HIGHER SPIN LIFSHITZ THEORIES

In this section we will review the CS formulation of higher spin gravity in three dimensions based on the  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  or  $hs(\lambda)$  gauge algebra. More details can be found, for example, in [12,21]. In addition, we review some the results obtained in previous papers of some of the authors on the formulation of theories with Lifshitz scaling in higher spin gravity theories [17] and the relation of these theories to the KdV hierarchy [19].

## A. Chern-Simons formulation of higher spin gravity

The action for the Chern-Simons formulation of higher spin gravity is given by two copies of Chern-Simons at level k and -k respectively

matteo.beccaria@le.infn.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>gutperle@physics.ucla.edu

yli@physics.ucla.edu

macorini@nbi.ku.dk

$$S = S_{\rm CS}[A] - S_{\rm CS}[\bar{A}] \tag{2.1}$$

where the Chern-Simons action is given by the following expression

$$S_{\rm CS}[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \operatorname{tr}\left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A\right).$$
(2.2)

The equations of motion following from the Chern-Simons action are the flatness conditions on the connections  $A, \overline{A}$ ,

$$F = dA + A \wedge A = 0, \qquad \bar{F} = d\bar{A} + \bar{A} \wedge \bar{A} = 0.$$
(2.3)

The gauge connections can be related to generalizations of the vielbein and the spin connection, which take values in the gauge algebra

$$e_{\mu} = \frac{l}{2}(A_{\mu} - \bar{A}_{\mu}), \qquad \omega_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\mu} + \bar{A}_{\mu}).$$
 (2.4)

The metric and the higher spin fields can be obtained from the vielbein. For example for the  $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$  case one gets [10]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(e_{\mu}e_{\nu}), \qquad \phi_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{6} \operatorname{tr}(e_{(\mu}e_{\nu}e_{\rho})). \qquad (2.5)$$

Generalizations of these expressions for  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  were obtained in [22,23]. In the following we will only need the expression for the metric which is given by (2.5). An important ingredient to construct spacetimes with a given asymptotic behavior and their symmetry is the radial gauge. We denote a radial coordinate  $\rho$ , where the holographic boundary will be located at  $\rho \to \infty$ . The coordinates *t* and *x* have the topology of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  or  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . The dependence of the connections  $A, \overline{A}$  on the radial coordinate  $\rho$  is given by a gauge transformation on  $\rho$ -independent connections  $a, \overline{a}$ ,

$$A_{\mu} = b^{-1}a_{\mu}b + b^{-1}\partial_{\mu}b, \qquad \bar{A}_{\mu} = b\bar{a}_{\mu}b^{-1} + b\partial_{\mu}(b^{-1}),$$
(2.6)

where  $b = \exp(\rho L_0)$  and  $L_0$  is given by a Cartan generator of an  $\operatorname{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  subalgebra of  $\operatorname{sl}(N, \mathbb{R})$ . For  $hs(\lambda)$  one chooses the generator  $V_0^2$  instead. The nonzero components  $a_t, a_x$  (and  $\bar{a}_t, \bar{a}_x$ ) obey the  $\rho$ -independent flatness condition

$$\partial_t a_x - \partial_x a_t + [a_t, a_x] = 0,$$
  

$$\partial_t \bar{a}_x - \partial_x \bar{a}_t + [\bar{a}_t, \bar{a}_x] = 0.$$
(2.7)

It is easy to see that connections satisfying (2.7) also satisfy (2.3).

#### B. Lifshitz scaling in field theories

Scaling symmetries are ubiquitous in two-dimensional quantum field theories and generated by the transformation

$$t \to \lambda^z t, \qquad x \to \lambda x.$$
 (2.8)

The case z = 1 corresponds to isotropic scaling and leads to conformally invariant theories. For  $z \neq 1$  the scaling is anisotropic and called Lifshitz scaling with exponent z. While such an anisotropic scaling breaks Lorentz symmetry it nevertheless appears in some condensed matter systems (see e.g. [18]). The algebra of Lifshitz symmetries is generated by the generator of dilations D together with the generator of time translations H and spatial translations P. Together they satisfy the following algebra

$$[P, H] = 0,$$
  
 $[D, H] = zH,$   
 $[D, P] = P.$  (2.9)

The stress-energy tensor for field theories in 1 + 1 dimensions with Lifshitz scaling is not necessarily symmetric and contains four components: the energy density  $\mathcal{E}$ , the energy flux  $\mathcal{E}^x$ , the momentum density  $\mathcal{P}_x$  and the stress energy  $\Pi_x^x$ . They satisfy the following conservation equations [24]

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \partial_x \mathcal{E}^x = 0, \qquad \partial_t \mathcal{P}_x + \partial_x \Pi_x^x = 0.$$
 (2.10)

For theories with the Lifshitz scaling exponent z there exists a modified trace condition

$$z\mathcal{E} + \Pi_x^x = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

#### C. Lifshitz spacetimes in higher spin gravity

A holographic realization of the Lifshitz scaling symmetry in three dimensions can be constructed using the following metric

$$ds^{2} = d\rho^{2} - e^{2z\rho}dt^{2} + e^{2\rho}dx^{2}.$$
 (2.12)

A shift of the radial coordinate  $\rho \rightarrow \rho + \ln \lambda$  induces a Lifshitz scaling transformation on the spacetime coordinates *t*, *x* with scaling exponent *z* (2.8). Such a metric is in general not a solution of pure Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant and additional matter has to be added to support the solution (see e.g. [18]). In higher spin gravity Lifshitz metrics can be obtained from connections.

$$a_{\rm Lif} = V_z^{z+1}dt + V_1^2dx, \qquad \bar{a}_{\rm Lif} = V_{-z}^{z+1}dt + V_{-1}^2dx.$$
(2.13)

Our conventions for the generators  $V_m^s$  are presented in Appendix A. It is straightforward to verify that the connections (2.13) are flat using the fact that  $[V_{\pm z}^{z+1}, V_{\pm 1}^2] = 0$ .

Since z in general is an integer these constructions produce Lifshitz theories with an integer scaling exponent. Note that the barred connection in (2.13) can be related to the unbarred sector by a conjugation operation  $\bar{A} = A^c$ , where the conjugation is acting on the gauge algebra generator by  $(V_m^s)^c = (-1)^{s+m+1}V_{-m}^s$ . Though in general *A* and  $\bar{A}$  may be unrelated,  $A^c$  solves the flatness condition in the barred sector if *A* solves it in the unbarred sector so we always take  $\bar{A}$  to be  $A^c$  as in [19]. By this choice we can get the Lifshitz metric from (2.5).

## **D.** Asymptotic Lifshitz connections

In holographic theories one considers spacetimes which are not exactly AdS, but approach AdS asymptotically. This enlarges the space of possible solutions including for example black holes. For Lifshitz spacetimes a similar notion exists. In the Chern-Simons formulation we call a connection asymptotically Lifshitz if the leading term of the connection is the Lifshitz connection which can be obtained from (2.13). In [19] we presented a general procedure to construct time-dependent asymptotically Lifshitz connections. The starting point is to choose a "lowest weight gauge" for the connection  $a_x$  [25],

$$a_x = V_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \alpha_i V_{-i+1}^i, \qquad (2.14)$$

where the  $\alpha_i$ 's depend on *x*, *t*. An *ansatz* for the time component of the connection for a asymptotically Lifshitz connection with exponent *z* is given by

$$a_t = (*a_x)^z|_{\text{traceless}} + \Delta a_t. \tag{2.15}$$

The definition of removing the trace component by  $|_{\text{traceless}}$  is presented in Appendix A 2.

In [19] it was shown that the flatness conditions (2.7)together with  $\Delta a_t$  can be solved recursively. While the general procedure was developed for  $hs(\lambda)$ , explicit expressions for two cases, namely  $sl(3, \mathbb{R}), z = 2$  and  $sl(4, \mathbb{R}), z = 3$  were given in that paper. In these specific examples it was found that there is some gauge freedom left in the  $\Delta a_t$ . By appropriately fixing  $a_t$  we obtained the equation of motion for  $\alpha_i$ 's which can be mapped to KdV hierarchy. Another useful property of the CS construction is the fact that one can assign scaling dimensions to the fields  $a_i$ . The scaling behavior is determined by demanding that under Lifshitz scaling of the coordinates  $x \to \lambda x, t \to \lambda^z t$ , the connection A is invariant. A field of scaling dimension lwill be rescaled by a factor  $\lambda^{-l}$ . It was shown in [19], that one can assign the following scaling dimensions to the basic fields and operators

$$[\alpha_n] = n, \qquad [\partial_x] = 1, \qquad [\partial_t] = z. \tag{2.16}$$

## E. Integrability and map to KdV hierarchy

Here we briefly describe the formulation of the KdV hierarchy using pseudodifferential operators. Elements of KdV hierarchy are labeled by two integers n and m. A differential operator L can be defined

$$L = \partial^n + u_2 \partial^{n-2} + \dots + u_{n-1} \partial + u_n.$$
 (2.17)

Here  $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  and  $u_i = u_i(x, t)$ . The formalism of pseudodifferential operators (PDOs) introduces negative powers  $\partial^{-k}$  of differentiation while preserving the standard rules of differentiation such as the Leibniz rule (see [26,27] for reviews). This formalism makes it possible to define fractional powers of *L*, in particular  $L^{1/n}$ ,

$$L^{1/n} = \partial + \frac{1}{n} u_2 \partial^{-1} + o(\partial^{-2}).$$
 (2.18)

For another integer m one defines

$$P_m = (L^{m/n})_+ \tag{2.19}$$

where the subscript  $()_+$  denotes the non-negative part of the pseudodifferential operator, which has terms with  $\partial^k, k \ge 0$ . An integrable system is constructed due to the fact that P, L form a Lax pair; i.e. the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}L = [P_m, L] \tag{2.20}$$

gives a system of partial differential equations for  $u_i(x, t)$  which is integrable. In [19] it was found that for the concrete example  $sl(3, \mathbb{R}), z = 2$  and  $sl(4, \mathbb{R}), z = 3$  it was possible for a specific gauge choice for  $a_t$  (called KdV gauge) to map the flatness conditions for the asymptotically Lifshitz connection to the evolution equation (2.20) of an element of KdV hierarchy. Furthermore, it was conjectured that this holds in general with the identification of Chern-Simons parameters N, z with the KdV parameters m, n given by

$$m = z, \qquad n = N. \tag{2.21}$$

# III. EXPLICIT CHERN-SIMONS TO KDV MAPS

In this section, we illustrate the specific form of the CS-KdV map in various explicit examples.

# A. z = 2

A particularly simple case is when the exponent z takes its minimal nontrivial value z = 2. We can write the equations of motion for the CS fields  $\alpha_i$  and for the KdV fields  $u_i$  in closed form for generic N. For the  $\alpha_i$ fields, we have<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The derivation of Eq. (3.1) is completely similar (and even simpler) to the case z = 3 that is treated in full details in Sec. 5.2 of [19]. Notice also that the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3.1) is understood to be zero for n = 2.

$$\dot{\alpha}_{n} = \frac{n(n^{2} - N^{2})}{2n + 1} \alpha'_{n+1} + \frac{1}{(n-1)(2n-3)} \alpha''_{n-1} + \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \frac{2(2n-m)}{2(n-m)+1} \alpha'_{n-m+1} \alpha_{m}.$$
(3.1)

For the  $u_i$  fields of the KdV (2, N) hierarchy, we have

$$\dot{u}_{i} = u_{i}'' + 2u_{i+1}' - \frac{2}{N} {N \choose i} u_{2}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} \frac{2}{N} {N-j \choose i-j} u_{j} u_{2}^{(i-j)}.$$
(3.2)

Assuming an *ansatz* for the map consistent with the scaling Eq. (2.16),<sup>2</sup> the matching between the two sets of equations

can be solved recursively term by term. The explicit expression of the map for the first seven fields turns out to be

$$u_2 = N(N^2 - 1)\frac{\alpha_2}{6},\tag{3.3}$$

$$u_3 = N(N^2 - 1)(N - 2)\left(\frac{1}{30}\alpha_3(-N - 2) + \frac{\alpha_2'}{12}\right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$u_{4} = N(N^{2} - 1)(N - 2)(N - 3) \left(\frac{1}{60}(-N - 2)\alpha'_{3} + \frac{1}{360}\alpha_{2}^{2}(5N + 7) + \frac{1}{140}\alpha_{4}(N + 2)(N + 3) + \frac{\alpha''_{2}}{40}\right),$$
(3.5)

$$u_{5} = N(N^{2} - 1)(N - 2)(N - 3)(N - 4) \left(\frac{1}{360}\alpha_{2}(5N + 7)\alpha_{2}' + \frac{1}{280}(N + 2)(N + 3)\alpha_{4}' + \frac{1}{210}(-N - 2)\alpha_{3}'' - \frac{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}(N + 2)(7N + 13)}{1260} - \frac{1}{630}\alpha_{5}(N + 2)(N + 3)(N + 4) + \frac{\alpha_{2}^{(3)}}{180}\right),$$
(3.6)

$$u_{6} = N(N^{2} - 1)(N - 2)(N - 3)(N - 4)(N - 5) \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{3}(35N^{2} + 112N + 93)}{45360} + \frac{\alpha_{3}^{2}(N + 2)(7N^{2} + 34N + 44)}{12600} - \frac{\alpha_{2}(N + 2)(7N + 13)\alpha_{3}'}{2520} + \frac{(7N + 10)(\alpha_{2}')^{2}}{2016} - \frac{\alpha_{3}(N + 2)(7N + 13)\alpha_{2}'}{2520} - \frac{(N + 2)(N + 3)(N + 4)\alpha_{5}'}{1260} + \frac{\alpha_{2}(21N + 29)\alpha_{2}''}{5040} + \frac{(N + 2)(N + 3)\alpha_{4}''}{1008} + \frac{\alpha_{4}\alpha_{2}(N + 2)(N + 3)(3N + 7)}{2520} + \frac{\alpha_{6}(N + 2)(N + 3)(N + 4)(N + 5)}{2772} + \frac{\alpha_{3}^{(3)}(-N - 2)}{1008} + \frac{\alpha_{2}^{(4)}}{1008}\right),$$

$$(3.7)$$

1

$$\begin{split} u_{7} &= N(N^{2}-1)(N-2)(N-3)(N-4)(N-5)(N-6) \left( \frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}(35N^{2}+112N+93)\alpha_{2}'}{30240} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{3}(N+2)(7N^{2}+34N+44)\alpha_{3}'}{12600} - \frac{\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}^{2}(N+2)(35N^{2}+144N+157)}{75600} \\ &- \frac{\alpha_{3}\alpha_{4}(N+2)(N+3)(11N^{2}+65N+106)}{46200} + \frac{(7N+10)\alpha_{2}'\alpha_{2}'}{3360} + \frac{\alpha_{2}(N+2)(N+3)(3N+7)\alpha_{4}'}{5040} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{4}(N+2)(N+3)(3N+7)\alpha_{2}'}{5040} - \frac{(N+2)(21N+40)\alpha_{2}'\alpha_{3}'}{15120} + \frac{(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)(N+5)\alpha_{6}'}{5544} \\ &- \frac{\alpha_{2}(N+2)(6N+11)\alpha_{3}'}{7560} - \frac{\alpha_{3}(N+2)(21N+38)\alpha_{2}''}{25200} - \frac{(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)\alpha_{5}'}{4620} \\ &- \frac{\alpha_{5}\alpha_{2}(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)(11N+31)}{41580} + \frac{\alpha_{2}^{(3)}\alpha_{2}(14N+19)}{15120} \\ &- \frac{\alpha_{7}(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)(N+5)(N+6)}{12012} + \frac{\alpha_{4}^{(3)}(N+2)(N+3)}{5040} + \frac{\alpha_{3}^{(4)}(-N-2)}{6048} + \frac{\alpha_{2}^{(5)}}{6720} \right). \end{split}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In other words, each field  $u_n$  is written as a general linear combination of monomials in the various  $\alpha$ 's and their spatial derivatives with the correct dimension n. Taking into account  $[\partial_x] = 1$  and  $[\alpha_m] = m$ , it is clear that only a finite number of terms must be considered at each n.

As expected, these equations truncate for positive integer N and define a differential map between the first N CS and KdV fields.

# **B.** Generic z > 2 and universality of the map

To analyze cases with z > 2, we begin by briefly recalling the algorithmic construction of CS solutions with asymptotic Lifshitz scaling presented in [19]. The main ingredients are the Eqs. (2.14), (2.15) for the two components of the connection. As discussed in Sec. II D, it is convenient to assign the scaling dimension  $[\alpha_n] = n$  to the fields and  $[V_m^s] = m$  to the generators. This implies that all terms in (2.14) have the same dimension one. Let  $\Phi(\alpha)$  be the set of monomials built with the  $\alpha$  functions and their  $\partial_x$  derivatives. Then we can write the following explicit *ansatz* for  $a_t$ ,

$$a_t = (*a_x)^z |_{\text{traceless}} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n+1}^{z-2} \mathcal{O}_m^n(\alpha) V_m^n,$$
 (3.9)

where  $\mathcal{O}_m^n(\alpha)$  is a linear combination of elements of  $\Phi(\alpha)$ with homogeneous dimension z - m. The upper bound on mis due to the fact that the minimal dimension is two obtained for  $\mathcal{O} \sim \alpha_2$ . Solving the flatness condition amounts to solving algebraic equations for the coefficients in the  $\mathcal{O}$ combinations in (3.9). This system has a triangular structure and can be fully reduced to a finite-dimensional one for  $\lambda = N$  when  $hs(\lambda)$  reduces to  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$ .<sup>3</sup>

For our purposes, it is important to revisit the case z = 3, N = 4 that has already been discussed in [19]. Solving the *ansatz* (3.9), we obtain the following nonzero polynomials  $\mathcal{O}_m^n$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{-1}^{2} = \left(\frac{41}{5} - k\right) \alpha_{2}^{2} - \frac{k}{2} \alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{0}^{2} = k \alpha_{2}^{\prime}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} = \left(\frac{41}{5} - k\right) \alpha_{2},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{3} = \left(\frac{41}{5} - k\right) \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{3}^{\prime\prime}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{-1}^{3} = 2 \alpha_{3}^{\prime},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{-3}^{4} = \left(\frac{41}{5} - k\right) \alpha_{2} \alpha_{4} + \frac{3}{10} (\alpha_{2}^{\prime})^{2} + \frac{23}{60} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{10} \alpha_{4}^{\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{120} \alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{-2}^{4} = -\frac{9}{5} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} - \frac{3}{5} \alpha_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{1}{20} \alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime\prime}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{-1}^{4} = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{0}^{4} = -\alpha_{2}^{\prime},$$
(3.10)

where k is an undetermined coefficient. The associated equations of motion are

$$\dot{\alpha}_{2} = -3k\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{k}{2}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{54}{5}\alpha'_{4},$$

$$\dot{\alpha}_{3} = -3\left(k + \frac{9}{5}\right)\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} - \left(k + \frac{34}{5}\right)\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha''_{3},$$

$$\dot{\alpha}_{4} = -\left(k - \frac{14}{5}\right)\alpha'_{4}\alpha_{2} - 2\left(2k - \frac{7}{5}\right)\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{24}{5}\alpha'_{2}\alpha^{2}_{2} + \frac{13}{30}\alpha''_{2}\alpha_{2} - 12\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{59}{60}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{1}{10}\alpha''_{4} + \frac{1}{120}\alpha'''_{2}.$$
(3.11)

These can be compared with the equations of motion quoted in [19]

$$\dot{\alpha}_{2} = -\left(\frac{123}{5} - 3c\right)\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} - \left(\frac{41}{10} - \frac{c}{2}\right)\alpha'''_{2} + \frac{54}{5}\alpha'_{4},$$
  
$$\dot{\alpha}_{3} = -(30 - 3c)\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} - (15 - c)\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha'''_{3},$$
  
$$\dot{\alpha}_{4} = -\left(\frac{27}{5} - c\right)\alpha'_{4}\alpha_{2} - (30 - 4c)\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{24}{5}\alpha'_{2}\alpha^{2}_{2} + \frac{13}{30}\alpha'''_{2}\alpha_{2} - 12\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{59}{60}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{1}{10}\alpha'''_{4} + \frac{1}{120}\alpha''''_{2}, \qquad (3.12)$$

where c is a gauge parameter analogous to k. If we set

$$k = \frac{41}{5} - c, \tag{3.13}$$

then Eqs. (3.11) and (3.12) match. They must be compared with the KdV equations for the (4,3) case, i.e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In the example discussed in this section, as well as in the data collected in Appendix C, we worked at fixed N (and z). We found it computationally efficient to deal directly with the  $N \times N$  matrix representation of the flatness condition, without projecting onto the generators  $V_m^n$ . We solved the linear equations giving the time derivatives  $\dot{\alpha}_n$  and replaced in the other entries that become linear combinations of elements of  $\Phi(\alpha)$ . These elements are all linearly independent and this gives a set of linear constraints for the coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_m^n(\alpha)$ .

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= -\frac{3}{4}u_{2}u_{2}' + 3u_{4}' - \frac{3}{2}u_{3}'' + \frac{1}{4}u_{2}'', \\ \dot{u}_{3} &= -\frac{3}{4}u_{3}u_{2}' - \frac{3}{4}u_{2}u_{3}' + 3u_{4}'' - 2u_{3}''' + \frac{3}{4}u_{2}^{(4)}, \\ \dot{u}_{4} &= -\frac{3}{4}u_{3}u_{3}' + \frac{3}{4}u_{2}u_{4}' + \frac{3}{8}u_{3}u_{2}'' - \frac{3}{4}u_{2}u_{3}'' \\ &+ \frac{3}{8}u_{2}u_{2}''' + u_{4}''' - \frac{3}{4}u_{3}^{(4)} + \frac{3}{8}u_{2}^{(5)}. \end{split}$$
(3.14)

We can try to relate the CS and KdV equations of motion by postulating a generic CS-KdV map consistent with the scaling dimensions. In this case, it reads

$$u_{2} = \xi_{2,1}\alpha_{2},$$
  

$$u_{3} = \xi_{3,1}\alpha_{3} + \xi_{3,2}\alpha'_{2},$$
  

$$u_{4} = \xi_{4,1}\alpha_{2}^{2} + \xi_{4,2}\alpha_{4} + \xi_{4,3}\alpha'_{3} + \xi_{4,4}\alpha''_{2}.$$
 (3.15)

Comparing the CS and KdV sides, we get a set of algebraic equations for k and the  $\xi$  coefficients which have the following two nontrivial solutions ( $\xi \equiv 0$  is clearly a solution):

$$\xi_{2,1} = 10, \quad \xi_{3,1} = \pm 24, \quad \xi_{3,2} = 10, \quad \xi_{4,1} = 9,$$
  
 $\xi_{4,2} = 36, \quad \xi_{4,3} = \pm 12, \quad \xi_{4,4} = 3, \quad k = \frac{7}{10}.$  (3.16)

The value of k implies c = 15/2 as in [19]; see (3.13). However, the solution quoted in that reference is the one with the plus sign in (3.16).<sup>4</sup> Taking instead the minus sign, we recover precisely the KdV map for N = 4 and z = 2 as one can easily see just taking Eqs. (3.3)–(3.8) for N = 4. This simple remark suggests that the CS-KdV map is actually *universal*, i.e. independent on the Lifshitz exponent *z*. We have systematically explored the map for various *z* and N = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. The explicit results for the CS-KdV maps and the equations of motion are collected in Appendix C. One can check that in all cases there is always one solution to the algebraic constraints such that the CS-KdV map is the same as for z = 2.

#### C. Conserved charges

A further check of universality of the CS-KdV map is provided by the conserved charges. In particular, we expect that the charges determined for z = 2 are conserved for all z (and N). The explicit form of the conserved charges for z = 2 can be determined by using the closed form of the equations of motion. Guided by the results of [19], we look for densities  $\rho_n$  of the form

$$\rho_n = \alpha_n$$
 + other fields of dimension n, (3.17)

such that, using (3.1), we get

$$\partial_t \rho_n = \partial_x (\text{local field of dimension } n+1).$$
 (3.18)

At each *n*, we find by direct inspection, a unique solution up to total derivatives of previously determined densities  $\rho_{m < n}$ . The first expressions are trivial

$$\rho_2 = \alpha_2, \qquad \rho_3 = \alpha_3.$$
(3.19)

The next charges have an explicit N dependence and read

$$\begin{split} \rho_{4} &= \alpha_{4} - \frac{7\alpha_{2}^{2}}{6(N^{2} - 4)}, \\ \rho_{5} &= \alpha_{5} - \frac{4\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}{N^{2} - 9}, \\ \rho_{6} &= \alpha_{6} - \frac{11(2N^{2} - 11)(\alpha_{2}')^{2}}{24(N^{2} - 16)(N^{2} - 9)(N^{2} - 4)} + \frac{11\alpha_{2}^{3}(13N^{2} - 61)}{36(N^{2} - 16)(N^{2} - 9)(N^{2} - 4)} - \frac{11\alpha_{4}\alpha_{2}}{2(N^{2} - 16)} - \frac{11\alpha_{3}^{2}(3N^{2} - 20)}{10(N^{2} - 16)(N^{2} - 9)}, \\ \rho_{7} &= \alpha_{7} + \frac{143\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}''}{50(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)} + \frac{572\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}^{2}}{25(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)} - \frac{104\alpha_{5}\alpha_{2}}{15(N^{2} - 25)} - \frac{13\alpha_{3}\alpha_{4}(17N^{2} - 173)}{25(N^{4} - 41N^{2} + 400)}, \\ \rho_{8} &= \alpha_{8} + \frac{13\alpha_{4}(17N^{2} - 227)\alpha_{2}''}{60(N^{2} - 36)(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)} + \frac{13\alpha_{4}\alpha_{2}^{2}(161N^{2} - 2441)}{60(N^{2} - 36)(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)} - \frac{143\alpha_{2}^{2}(38N^{4} - 605N^{2} + 1887)\alpha_{2}'}{720(N^{2} - 36)} - \frac{143(3N^{4} - 50N^{2} + 167)(\alpha_{2}'')^{2}}{30(N^{2} - 36)(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)(N^{2} - 9)} - \frac{143\alpha_{2}^{4}(281N^{4} - 4210N^{2} + 12569)}{2160(N^{2} - 36)(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)(N^{2} - 9)(N^{2} - 4)} \\ &+ \frac{13\alpha_{3}^{2}\alpha_{2}(97N^{4} - 1989N^{2} + 9692)}{30(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)(N^{2} - 9)} - \frac{3\alpha_{4}^{2}(271N^{4} - 7315N^{2} + 54684)}{140(N^{2} - 36)(N^{2} - 25)(N^{2} - 16)} - \frac{4\alpha_{3}\alpha_{5}(41N^{2} - 596)}{15(N^{4} - 61N^{2} + 900)}. \end{split}$$
(3.20)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The extra map results from the symmetry of the CS equations of motion under the discrete transformation  $\alpha_i \rightarrow (-1)^i \alpha_i$ .

## HIGHER SPIN LIFSHITZ THEORIES AND THE ...

These have been derived using the z = 2 equations of motion. However, since the conserved charges on the KdV side are by definition z independent, we expect that these expressions are valid for any z as well. Indeed, we checked that the above densities define conserved charges for all the examples we explored, using the  $\alpha_i$  equations of motion collected in Appendix C.

# IV. INTEGRABILITY OF LIFSHITZ CHERN-SIMONS THEORY BY DRINFELD-SOKOLOV FORMALISM

In the preceding sections we have shown that Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory is an integrable system by appropriately choosing  $a_t$ . Though this fact can be verified by constructing the explicit map between the Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory and the KdV hierarchy, an elegant theoretic approach is desired. Such a formalism of integrable systems in terms of matrix valued PDOs was developed in the seminal paper by Drinfeld and Sokolov [20] on which the present section is based.

To begin with, we rewrite the flatness condition in a Lax form

$$\frac{d}{dt}D_x + [a_t, D_x] = 0, \qquad (4.1)$$

where the covariant derivative  $D_x = \partial_x + a_x$  is regarded as a Lie algebra valued differential operator (and hence it can be regarded as a PDO without any negative powers  $\partial^{-i}$ ). For the gauge algebra  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$ , we can use the matrix representation and the flatness condition becomes a Lax equation of a matrix valued PDO. One of our main results is that both the Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory for  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  and the KdV hierarchy can be deduced from the Drinfeld-Sokolov formalism and are related by making two different gauge choices for the PDOs. Consequently, almost all the questions previously studied about integrability of our Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory for the gauge algebra  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$ , including the map from Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory to KdV, the infinite tower of conserved quantities and the choice of  $a_t$  to make Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory integrable, are given clear answers.

The Drinfeld-Sokolov formalism starts by defining the PDO valued in  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$L = \partial_x + q(x, t) + \Lambda, \qquad (4.2)$$

where *q* is a lower triangular matrix [or nonpositive weight element, if we use the terminology in  $hs(\lambda)$  and view  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  as a truncation of it] and

$$\Lambda = V_1^2 + \lambda e. \tag{4.3}$$

The parameter  $\lambda$  was introduced by Drinfeld and Sokolov and should not be confused with the deformation parameter

in the gauge algebra  $hs(\lambda)$ . In fact the construction in the present section is limited to  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  and it is an interesting open question how to generalize the present construction to  $hs(\lambda)$ .

Here  $e_{i,j}$  denotes the matrix with a single one in the *i*th row and *j*th column, and zeros elsewhere. In the matrix representation we use  $V_1^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} e_{i,i+1}$ , and  $e = e_{N,1}$  is proportional to  $V_{-N+1}^N$ . The Lax equation is defined as

$$\frac{d}{dt}L = [P, L], \tag{4.4}$$

where P is some differential polynomial in q that has to be carefully chosen. The left-hand side of the Lax equation is independent on  $\lambda$  and lower triangular, so we want the commutator on the right-hand side to be also independent on  $\lambda$  and lower triangular. Suppose  $M = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} m_i \lambda^i$  is a matrix that commutes with L where  $m_i$ 's are matrix valued coefficients (i.e. matrices multiplied by powers in  $\lambda$ ), then we can set  $P = M_+$ , the part of M with non-negative powers in  $\lambda$ . From [M,L] = 0 it follows  $[M_+,L] = -[M_-,L]$ . Since the left-hand side only contains non-negative powers in  $\lambda$  but the right-hand side only contains nonpositive powers in  $\lambda$ , they should be both independent on  $\lambda$  and  $-[M_{-}, L] = [m_{-1}, e]$  is necessarily lower triangular. Now we have  $[P, L] = [M_+, L] = [m_0, \partial_x + V_1^2 + q]$ . We identify  $V_1^2 + q$  as  $a_x$ , so we have  $L = D_x + \lambda e$ . We furthermore identify  $-m_0 = -\text{Zero}(P)$  as  $a_t$ , where symbolically Zero means to take the  $\lambda^0$  part. Then the Lax equation is reduced to our flatness condition in Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory. It should be noted that the parameter  $\lambda$  is used in setting up the PDOs, the actual equations of motion and the conserved charges are all independent on  $\lambda$ .

An important restriction we want to impose on the Lax equation is that it must preserve gauge equivalence. Furthermore it will be shown that the Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory and the KdV hierarchy are just reductions of Drinfeld-Sokolov formalism by special gauge choices. The crucial notion, a gauge transformation, is defined for a PDO as

$$L' = S^{-1}LS, (4.5)$$

where *S* is a  $\lambda$ -independent lower triangular matrix with the ones in the diagonal, or in the higher spin algebra language, *S* is  $V_0^1$  plus negative weight terms. Define  $L' = \partial_x + a'_x + \lambda e = \partial_x + V_1^2 + q' + \lambda e$ , then this PDO gauge transformation induces a transformation of  $a_x$  (or q)

$$a'_{x} = S^{-1}a_{x}S + S^{-1}\partial_{x}S,$$
  

$$q' = S^{-1}V_{1}^{2}S - V_{1}^{2} + S^{-1}\partial_{x}S,$$
(4.6)

where we used the fact that e commutes with S in the calculation. By the explicit construction specified later P is a differential polynomial in q and so is the commutator

[P, L]. Hence the Lax equation is essentially a evolution equation for q,

$$\partial_t q = p(q), \tag{4.7}$$

where p(q) means a differential polynomial in q. We require the evolution equation to preserve gauge equivalence<sup>5</sup>; that is, when starting with two initial conditions for q which are connected by a gauge transformation, the two solutions should be also connected by a (time-dependent) gauge transformation at any time. The Lax equation preserving gauge equivalence is actually an evolution equation of gauge equivalent classes. Needless to say, we can choose representatives of some special form to specify the time evolution of the gauge equivalent classes. This motivates the definition of the canonical form of L, or q. We denote the part of q with weight -i by  $q_i$ . In principle  $q_i$  lies in the (N - |i|)-dimensional linear space spanned by  $V_i^{|i|+1}, \dots, V_i^N$ . By restricting  $q_i$  to be in a one-dimensional subspace, that is, a specific linear combination, we define a canonical form for q. For technical reasons, we also require that the one-dimensional subspace has a nonzero lowest weight projection. The name canonical form is justified by the following theorem: for any q there is a unique gauge transformation to transform it into the canonical form, and the expression in the canonical form is unique. See Appendix B 1 for a proof. The choice of the one-dimensional subspaces that  $q'_i$  lie in defines the specific canonical form. Two choices are of particular importance in our discussion. The first one, we restrict  $q'_i$  to be lowest weight, if not an abuse of language, and we call this the lowest weight canonical form. The second one, we restrict  $q'_i$  to be a multiple of  $e_{1,i+1}$ , which we call the KdV canonical form. In the lowest weight canonical form,

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i V_{-i+1}^i, \tag{4.8}$$

the Lax equation  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P, L]$  gives us the flatness condition of Chern-Simons theory in the lowest weight gauge (by appropriately choosing  $a_t$ ). In the KdV canonical form

$$q = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i e_{1,i},$$
(4.9)

the Lax equation  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P, L]$  gives us KdV, as proven in the paper by Drinfeld and Sokolov. The evolution equation in the lowest weight canonical form and that in the KdV

canonical form are just two special explicit forms of the same equation. There is a unique gauge transformation that transforms between these two canonical forms, which establish the one-to-one correspondence between Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory with  $sl(N, \mathbb{R}), z$  and KdV with n = N, m = z, and explicitly the map from  $\alpha_i$ 's to  $u_i$ 's. From the relation

$$Tr[P, L] = -Tr[m_{-1}, e] = 0, \qquad (4.10)$$

it follows that the trace part of *L* must be constant by the equation of motion. In the following we set it to be zero for simplicity. For example, we can set  $\alpha_1 = 0$  for the *q* in the lowest weight canonical form.

Now let us construct the conserved quantities from the Lax equation. In general, a general matrix A whose elements are power series in  $\lambda$  (both positive and negative) can be uniquely expanded in the form

$$A = \sum_{i} a_i \Lambda^i, \tag{4.11}$$

where  $a_i$ 's denote diagonal matrices which are independent of  $\lambda$ . Note that the summation index *i* in (4.11) ranges over positive and negative integers.

Here q is lower triangular, so it has the expansion  $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} d_i \Lambda^{-i}$ , or equivalently

$$L = \partial_x + \Lambda + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} d_i \Lambda^{-i}.$$
 (4.12)

There is a similarity transformation to transform L into a scalar coefficient form; that is, there is a formal series

$$T = E + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i \Lambda^{-i}, \qquad (4.13)$$

where  $h_i$ 's are diagonal matrices, such that

$$L_0 = TLT^{-1} = \partial_x + \Lambda + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \Lambda^{-i}, \qquad (4.14)$$

where  $f_i$ 's are scalar functions, as opposed to matrices multiplied to the left. T is determined up to multiplication by series of the form  $E + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \Lambda^i$  where  $t_i$ 's are scalar functions, and  $f_i$ 's are determined up to a total derivative. Most importantly

$$q^i = \int f_i \tag{4.15}$$

are conserved by the Lax equation. See Appendix B 2 for the proof.

The scalar coefficient form  $L_0 = \partial_x + \Lambda + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \Lambda^{-i}$ not only gives us the conserved quantities, but also can help

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This notion of preserving gauge equivalence has nothing to do with the gauge invariance of the flatness condition. The former is about the gauge transformation of the PDO or q defined in the paper by Drinfeld and Sokolov; the latter is about the usual gauge transformation in field theory simultaneously acted on  $a_x$  and  $a_i$ .

us to determine the form of the matrices that commute with L, and ultimately the form of P. Matrices that commute with  $L_0$  must take the form  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i$  with  $c_i$ 's as constant coefficients; see Appendix B 3 for a proof. Therefore matrices that commute with L must have the form

$$M = T^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i \right) T \tag{4.16}$$

because [M, L] = 0 is equivalent to  $[TMT^{-1}, L_0] = 0$ . Setting  $P = M_+$ , we get the consistent Lax equation  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P, L]$ . Despite the simple appearance, several remarks about this equation are necessary. First, T is the series that transforms L into a form with scalar coefficients  $L_0$  and it is in general a differential polynomial in q; hence P is a differential polynomial in q and so is the commutator [P, L]. Second, though T has the indeterminacy of a multiplicative series  $E + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \Lambda^{-i}$  where  $t_i$ 's are scalar functions, P is uniquely defined because  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i$  commutes with this series. Last but the most important, this Lax equation preserves gauge equivalence; a proof of this statement will be given in Appendix B 4.

As an evolution equation of gauge equivalent classes, the explicit form of the Lax equation  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P, L]$  is certainly not unique and different explicit forms correspond to the choice of different representatives in gauge equivalent classes. We have the following theorem: if the difference between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is a negative weight matrix with no time or  $\lambda$  dependence, then  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P_1, L]$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P_2, L]$  give the same evolution equations of gauge equivalent classes. See Appendix B 5 for a proof. Applying this theorem, we can add a negative weight matrix both independent on time and  $\lambda$  to P without actually changing the evolution equation of gauge equivalent classes. We do need to do so when we want to obtain the Lax equation in certain canonical form, because the commutator [P, L] is guaranteed to be negative weight, but not necessarily in the specific canonical form. The correction added to P can be uniquely determined. The proof of this statement will be omitted because it is structurally the same as the proof of existence and uniqueness of the gauge transformation that transforms L into a canonical form.

At last we have enough ingredients to explain how the integrable Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory for  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  and z emerges from the Drinfeld-Sokolov formalism. First the Lax equation  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P, L]$  is equivalent to the flatness condition  $\frac{d}{dt}D_x + [a_t, D_x] = 0$  with the identification  $a_x = V_1^2 + q$  and  $a_t = -\text{Zero}(P)$ . Second, the Lax equation viewed as an evolution equation of gauge equivalent classes can be put in the lowest weight canonical form, which corresponds to lowest weight gauge choice in the Chern-Simons theory. Then, considering the Lifshitz exponent is z, we set  $P = (T^{-1}\Lambda^z T)_+$  up to a multiplicative constant.

At last we add a correction to P to make [P, L] lowest weight. From P obtained in this way,  $a_t = -\text{Zero}(P)$ coincides with  $a_t$  in "KdV gauge" in our previous paper. If we choose the KdV canonical form for L, we get KdV hierarchy as proven in the paper by Drinfeld and Sokolov. The gauge transformation between the two canonical forms gives us the explicit map between the Lifshitz Chern-Simons theory and the KdV hierarchy. This map is zindependent simply because z is not involved in the construction of gauge transformation between the two canonical forms.

## **V. DISCUSSION**

In the present paper we showed that there is an explicit relation of the Lifshitz Chern-Simons theories and the integrable KdV hierarchy. This relation identifies the parameters N and z of the Chern-Simons theory to the parameters n and m of the KdV hierarchy. Consequently the map exists for all values of N. We discuss the status of the generalization to the infinite-dimensional algebra  $hs(\lambda)$ .

The fact that the equations of motion obey the scaling laws implies that the equation of motion, as well as the KdV map for a CS field  $\alpha_i$ , only contains finitely many terms since fields with a too large scaling dimension cannot appear. Since the  $hs(\lambda)$  truncates to  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  and we adopted the normalization of our  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  generators which is compatible with this truncation, for a finite number of fields the results for  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  are mapped to the general  $hs(\lambda)$  case by replacing  $N \rightarrow \lambda$ . It would nevertheless be interesting to see whether it is possible to derive a closed form expression valid for all  $a_i$ .

The construction of the CS Lifshitz theory has a close relation to the construction of the asymptotically AdS theories which realize *W* algebras, with many equations related by an exchange of light cone coordinates  $x^{\pm}$  with space and time *x*, *t* [see [28] for the discussion of the SL(3, *R*) case]. It would be interesting to see whether this relation can also be understood on the level of the conformal field theory; for some early discussion in this direction in the literature see [29,30].

In the present paper we have related the CS Lifshitz theory to the integrable KdV hierarchy. There exists a related and in some sense more universal integrable hierarchy the so-called KP hierarchy [31]. It would be interesting to investigate whether a relation of the CS Lifshitz theory for  $hs(\lambda)$  to the KP hierarchy exists (see for possibly relevant work [32–37]). We leave these interesting questions for future work.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of M. G. and Y. L. was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-13-13986. The work of M. B. is supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant No. 14-42-00047 and associated with the Lebedev Institute.

## APPENDIX A: CONVENTIONS FOR GAUGE ALGEBRAS

In this appendix we collect our conventions for the  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  and  $hs(\lambda)$  algebras. We recall that for integer values of  $\lambda = N$  the  $hs(\lambda)$  algebra has an ideal and by factoring the algebra over this ideal, it truncates to a finite algebra, namely  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$ . We use the same notation for the generators of the two algebras.

#### **1.** $sl(N,\mathbb{R})$ conventions

In the fundamental representation the generators of the  $sl(N, \mathbb{R})$  algebra are  $N \times N$  matrices labeled by two integers *s*, *m* with  $2 \le s \le N$  and  $|m| < s \le N$ . All generators are built starting from the generators  $\{V_0^2, V_{\pm 1}^2\}$  of the canonical  $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$  subalgebra, whose nonzero matrix elements are given by (indices range from 1 to *N*)

$$\begin{split} (V_0^2)_{j,j} &= \frac{N+1}{2} - j, \qquad (V_1^2)_{j+1,j} = -\sqrt{j(N-j)}, \\ (V_{-1}^2)_{j,j+1} &= \sqrt{j(N-j)}. \end{split} \tag{A1}$$

The other generators are obtained according to

$$V_m^s = (-1)^{s-m-1} \frac{(s+m-1)!}{(2s-2)!} \times \underbrace{[V_{-1}^2, [V_{-1}^2, \dots, [V_{-1}^2], (V_1^2)^{s-1}]}_{s-m-1} \dots ]$$
(A2)

#### **2.** $hs(\lambda)$ conventions

The  $hs(\lambda)$  algebra is spanned by the infinite set of generators  $V_m^s$ , s=1,2,3,... and m=-s+1,-s+2,...,s-1. The associative lone star product is defined as

$$V_m^s * V_n^t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u=1}^{s+t-|s-t|-1} g_u^{st}(m,n,\lambda) V_{m+n}^{s+t-u}.$$
 (A3)

The structure constants of the  $hs(\lambda)$  algebra were defined in [38] and can be represented as follows

$$g_{u}^{st}(m,n;\lambda) = \frac{q^{u-2}}{2(u-1)!} \phi_{u}^{st}(\lambda) N_{u}^{st}(m,n),$$
(A4)

where q is a normalization constant which can be eliminated by a rescaling of the generators; we choose q = 1/4to agree with the literature. The other terms in (A4) are given by

$$\begin{split} N_{u}^{st}(m,n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} (-1)^{k} \binom{u-1}{k} [s-1+m]_{u-1-k} \\ &\times [s-1-m]_{k} [t-1+n]_{k} [t-1-n]_{u-1-k}, \\ \phi_{u}^{st}(\lambda) &= {}_{4}F_{3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \lambda & \frac{1}{2} - \lambda & \frac{2-u}{2} & \frac{1-u}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} - s & \frac{3}{2} - t & \frac{1}{2} + s + t - u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

$$(A5)$$

The descending Pochhammer symbol  $[a]_n$  is defined as

$$[a]_n = a(a-1)...(a-n+1),$$
 (A6)

and the commutator is defined as

$$[V_m^s, V_n^t] = V_m^s * V_n^t - V_n^t * V_m^s.$$
(A7)

 $V_0^1$  is the unit element. The trace of an  $hs(\lambda)$  element is defined as the coefficient of  $V_0^1$  up to a multiplicative constant tr $(V_0^1)$ . When  $\lambda = N$  is an integer,  $hs(\lambda)$  is truncated to sl $(N, \mathbb{R})$ . That means, we can consistently set  $V_m^s$  to be zero if s > N, and the remaining elements can be identified with the sl $(N, \mathbb{R})$  generators defined above; the star product becomes the usual matrix multiplication and the trace the usual matrix trace.

## APPENDIX B: PROOF STATEMENTS USED IN THE DRINFELD-SOKOLOV FORMALISM

In this part of the appendix we give the proofs to the theorems used in Drinfeld-Sokolov formalism. Most of them are essentially contained in the original paper by Drinfeld and Sokolov. However, the original paper is a little bit condensed, so we add details to the proofs to make them easier to follow.

#### 1. Gauge transformation of PDOs

Here we give the proof of the following statement: For any q and any canonical form, there exists a unique gauge transformation S to transform q into  $q' = S^{-1}V_1^2S - V_1^2 + S^{-1}\partial_x S$  in the canonical form chosen.

The proof proceeds as follows: We rewrite the gauge transformation as

$$Sq' = qS + [V_1^2, S] + \partial_x S \tag{B1}$$

and then by comparing the weight -i part we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i} S_{i-j} q'_{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} q_{j} S_{i-j} + [V_{1}^{2}, S_{i+1}] + \partial_{x} S_{i}$$
(B2)

which holds for all *i*'s. Using the fact  $S_0$  is the identity matrix *E*, we put it in a recursive form

HIGHER SPIN LIFSHITZ THEORIES AND THE ...

$$q'_{i} - [V_{1}^{2}, S_{i+1}] = q_{i} + \partial_{x}S_{i} - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} S_{i-j}q'_{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_{j}S_{i-j}.$$
(B3)

Given q, and suppose  $q'_j$  and  $S_{j+1}$  are known for all j < i, from the lowest weight projection of the right-hand side we can find  $q'_i$  if we restrict it to be in a one-dimensional subspace of weight -i elements which has nonzero lowest weight projection. Then  $S_{i+1}$  is also determined by equating the nonlowest weight terms on both sides. The initial conditions, needless to say, are  $q'_0 = q_0$  and  $S_0 = E$ .

## 2. Scalar coefficient form and conserved quantities

Here we proof the following statement: For generic L, there is a formal series

$$T = E + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i \Lambda^{-i}, \tag{B4}$$

where  $h_i$ 's are diagonal matrices, such that

$$L_0 = TLT^{-1} = \partial_x + \Lambda + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \Lambda^{-i}, \qquad (B5)$$

where  $f_i$ 's are scalar functions. *T* is determined up to multiplication by series of the form  $E + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \Lambda^i$  where  $t_i$ 's are scalar functions, and  $f_i$ 's are determined up to a total derivative. Furthermore  $q^i = \int f_i$  are conserved by the Lax equation.

The proof proceeds as follows: By equating the coefficients of the same powers of  $\Lambda$  in the equality  $TL = L_0 T$  we get

$$d_{i} + h_{i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} h_{i-j} d_{j}^{\sigma^{-(i-j)}}$$
  
=  $f_{i}E + \partial_{x}h_{i} + h_{i+1}^{\sigma} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} f_{i-j}h_{j}^{\sigma^{-(i-j)}}.$  (B6)

Here the notation  $A^{\sigma^i}$  means  $\Lambda^i A \Lambda^{-i}$ , which is *i* times cyclic permutation of the diagonal elements for a diagonal matrix *A*. For example if  $A = \text{Diag}\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$  then  $A^{\sigma} = \text{Diag}\{a_2, a_3, a_4, a_1\}$ . We rewrite the equation above as

$$h_{i+1} - h_{i+1}^{\sigma} - f_i E$$
  
=  $-d_i + \partial_x h_i - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} h_{i-j} d_j^{\sigma^{-(i-j)}} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} f_{i-j} h_j^{\sigma^{-(i-j)}}.$  (B7)

 $f_i$  is obtained by taking the trace on both sides, then  $h_{i+1}$  is determined up to an additive multiple of identity. Now suppose T' transforms L to

$$L'_0 = T'LT'^{-1} = \partial_x + \Lambda + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f'_i \Lambda^{-i}.$$
 (B8)

Define  $TT'^{-1} = A = E + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \Lambda^i$  where  $a_i$ 's are diagonal matrices. We have  $A^{-1}L_0A = L'_0$  or  $L_0A = AL'_0$ . By equating the coefficients of the same power in  $\Lambda$  we get

$$a_{i+1} - a_{i+1}^{\sigma} + f_i'E - f_iE = \partial_x a_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f_i a_{i-j}^{\sigma^{-i}} - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f_i'a_{i-j}$$
(B9)

with the initial conditions

$$a_1 - a_1^{\sigma} + f_0' E - f_0 E = 0,$$
  

$$a_2 - a_2^{\sigma} + f_1' E - f_1 E = \partial_x a_1.$$
 (B10)

From this recursive formula it is easy to see  $a_i - a_i^{\sigma} = 0$  for all *i*'s; that is  $a_i$ 's are all multiples of identity, say,  $a_i = t_i E$ . Plug this back into the recursive formula we have

$$f'_i - f_i = \partial_x t_i - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} t_{i-j} (f'_j - f_j)$$
 (B11)

with the initial condition

$$f'_0 - f_0 = 0,$$
  
 $f'_1 - f_1 = \partial_x t_1.$  (B12)

One can prove by induction that  $f'_i - f_i$  is a total derivative. The evolution equation of  $L_0$  is

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_0 = [P_0, L_0],$$
 (B13)

where  $P_0 = \frac{dT}{dt}T^{-1} + TPT^{-1}$ . Expand  $P_0$  as  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} p_i \Lambda^i$ , then the Lax equation above gives us

$$0 = p_n - p_n^{\sigma},$$
  

$$0 = -\partial_x p_i + p_{i-1} - p_{i-1}^{\sigma} + \sum_{j=i}^n f_{j-i} (p_j - p_j^{\sigma^{j-i}}),$$
  

$$0 < i \le n,$$
  

$$\dot{f}_{-i} = -\partial_x p_i + p_{i-1} - p_{i-1}^{\sigma} + \sum_{j=i}^n f_{j-i} (p_j - p_j^{\sigma^{j-i}}),$$
  

$$i \le 0.$$
(B14)

This recursive formula demands all  $p_i$ 's to be multiples of identity. From this, in turn, the commutator simplifies to  $-\partial_x P_0$ ; hence  $\dot{f}_i$ 's are equal to total derivatives and  $\int f_i$ 's are conserved.

# 3. Matrices that commute with $L_0$

Here we would like to show that all matrices that commute with  $L_0 = \partial_x + \Lambda + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \Lambda^{-i}$  have the form  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i$  with  $c_i$ 's as constant coefficients.

This follows from letting  $M = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} m_i \Lambda^i$  be a matrix commuting with  $L_0$ . By equating coefficients of the same power in  $\Lambda$  in the equation  $ML_0 = L_0 M$  we get

$$m_n - m_n^{\sigma} = 0,$$
  
$$-\partial_x m_i + m_{i-1} - m_{i-1}^{\sigma} + \sum_{j=i}^n f_{j-i} (m_j - m_j^{\sigma^{j-i}}) = 0, \quad i \le n.$$
  
(B15)

Therefore all  $m_i$ 's are constants times identity matrix.

## 4. The Lax equation preserves gauge equivalence

In this subsection we prove the statement that by choosing  $P = (T^{-1}(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i)T)_+$  the Lax equation preserves gauge equivalence.

This can be shown as follows: It suffices to prove if L satisfies the Lax equation, then so does  $L' = S^{-1}LS$  where S is a gauge transformation matrix that only depends on x. In other words  $\partial_t q = p(q)$  implies  $\partial_t q' = p(q')$ . Using the original Lax equation, it is straightforward to get

$$\frac{d}{dt}L' = [S^{-1}PS, L']. \tag{B16}$$

So we want  $S^{-1}PS = P'$ , which means,  $S^{-1}PS$  is the same differential polynomial in q' as P in q. Explicitly we have

$$S^{-1}PS = S^{-1} \left( T^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i \right) T \right)_+ S$$
$$= \left( (TS)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i \right) (TS) \right)_+.$$
(B17)

Suppose T' transforms L' into the form of scalar coefficients, that is  $T'L'T'^{-1} = L'_0$ , so T' is the same differential polynomial in q' as T in q. Plug in  $L' = S^{-1}LS$  we get  $(T'S^{-1})L(T'S^{-1})^{-1} = L'_0 = L_0 = TLT^{-1}$ . Hence  $T'S^{-1} = T$  or TS = T', and at last we get

$$S^{-1}PS = \left(T'^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{n} c_i \Lambda^i\right)T'\right)_+ = P'.$$
(B18)

# 5. Equivalent evolution equations of gauge equivalent classes

We want to prove the following statement: Given that the difference between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is a negative weight matrix with no time or  $\lambda$  dependence, then  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P_1, L]$  and

 $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P_2, L]$  give the same evolution equations of gauge equivalent classes.

The proof proceeds as follows: Let *R* denote the ring of scalar differential polynomials in *q* which are invariant under gauge transformation. For any  $f \in R$  the time derivative of *f* by the Lax equation also belongs to *R*, and the form of time derivatives of all  $f \in R$  uniquely specify the evolution equation of gauge equivalent classes. Now for any  $f \in R$ , let *g* be the difference of the time derivative of *f* by the above two Lax equations, then *g* is actually the time derivative of *f* by the Lax equation  $\frac{d}{dt}L = [P_1 - P_2, L]$ . Formally

$$g(L) = \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathcal{L}(t))|_{t=0},$$
(B19)

where  $\mathcal{L}(t)$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}(0) = L,$$
  
 $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}(t)|_{t=0} = [P_1 - P_2, L].$  (B20)

Apparently  $\mathcal{L}(t) = SLS^{-1}$  where  $S = E + t(P_1 - P_2)$  satisfies these conditions, and its time evolution is just a gauge transformation. Therefore we have g = 0 because  $g \in R$ .

## APPENDIX C: EXPLICIT RESULTS FOR VARIOUS N AND z

In this appendix we collect explicit results for several pairs (N, z). For each N, we list the *z*-independent CS-KdV map and, for various *z*, the explicit KdV and CS equations of motion. Due to the length of the equations we do not write all the cases for N = 6 and N = 7, limiting the presentation to the first values of *z* (up to z = 4, 3 respectively).<sup>6</sup>

1. N = 3

CS-KdV map:

$$u_2 = 4\alpha_2,$$
  
 $u_3 = 2\alpha'_2 - 4\alpha_3.$  (C1)

KdV equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\dot{u}_2 = 2u'_3 - u''_2, \dot{u}_3 = -\frac{2}{3}u_2u'_2 + u''_3 - \frac{2}{3}u'''_2.$$
(C2)

CS equations of motion at z = 2:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Additional data are available from the authors upon request.

$$\dot{\alpha}_2 = -2\alpha'_3, \dot{\alpha}_3 = \frac{8}{3}\alpha_2\alpha'_2 + \frac{1}{6}\alpha'''_2.$$
(C3)

**2.** 
$$N = 4$$

CS-KdV map:

$$u_{2} = 10\alpha_{2},$$
  

$$u_{3} = 10\alpha'_{2} - 24\alpha_{3},$$
  

$$u_{4} = -12\alpha'_{3} + 3\alpha''_{2} + 9\alpha_{2}^{2} + 36\alpha_{4}.$$
 (C4)

KdV equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_2 &= 2u'_3 - 2u''_2, \\ \dot{u}_3 &= -u_2u'_2 + 2u'_4 + u''_3 - 2u'''_2, \\ \dot{u}_4 &= -\frac{1}{2}u_3u'_2 - \frac{1}{2}u_2u''_2 + u''_4 - \frac{1}{2}u_2^{(4)}. \end{split}$$
(C5)

CS equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}_2 &= -\frac{24}{5}\alpha'_3, \\ \dot{\alpha}_3 &= \frac{8}{3}\alpha_2\alpha'_2 - 3\alpha'_4 + \frac{1}{6}\alpha'''_2, \\ \dot{\alpha}_4 &= \frac{10}{3}\alpha_3\alpha'_2 + \frac{12}{5}\alpha_2\alpha'_3 + \frac{1}{15}\alpha'''_3. \end{aligned} \tag{C6}$$

KdV equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\dot{u}_{2} = -\frac{3}{4}u_{2}u'_{2} + 3u'_{4} - \frac{3}{2}u''_{3} + \frac{1}{4}u'''_{2},$$

$$\dot{u}_{3} = -\frac{3}{4}u_{3}u'_{2} - \frac{3}{4}u_{2}u'_{3} + 3u''_{4} - 2u'''_{3} + \frac{3}{4}u'^{(4)}_{2},$$

$$\dot{u}_{4} = -\frac{3}{4}u_{3}u'_{3} + \frac{3}{4}u_{2}u'_{4} + \frac{3}{8}u_{3}u''_{2} - \frac{3}{4}u_{2}u''_{3} + \frac{3}{8}u_{2}u'''_{2} + u'''_{4} - \frac{3}{4}u'^{(4)}_{3} + \frac{3}{8}u'^{(5)}_{2}.$$
(C7)

CS equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= -\frac{21}{10}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{54}{5}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{7}{20}\alpha'''_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= -\frac{15}{2}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{15}{2}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha'''_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= \frac{59}{60}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{24}{5}\alpha_{2}^{2}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{21}{10}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{4} - 12\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} \\ &\quad + \frac{13}{30}\alpha_{2}\alpha'''_{2} + \frac{1}{10}\alpha'''_{4} + \frac{1}{120}\alpha'^{(5)}_{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(C8)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 085005 (2015)

CS-KdV map:

$$u_{2} = 20\alpha_{2},$$

$$u_{3} = 30\alpha'_{2} - 84\alpha_{3},$$

$$u_{4} = -84\alpha'_{3} + 18\alpha''_{2} + 64\alpha_{2}^{2} + 288\alpha_{4},$$

$$u_{5} = 64\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} + 144\alpha'_{4} - 24\alpha''_{3} + 4\alpha'''_{2} - 192\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3} - 576\alpha_{5}.$$
(C9)

KdV equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_2 &= 2u'_3 - 3u''_2, \\ \dot{u}_3 &= -\frac{6}{5}u_2u'_2 + 2u'_4 + u''_3 - 4u'''_2, \\ \dot{u}_4 &= -\frac{4}{5}u_3u'_2 + 2u'_5 - \frac{6}{5}u_2u''_2 + u''_4 - 2u^{(4)}_2, \\ \dot{u}_5 &= -\frac{2}{5}u_4u'_2 - \frac{2}{5}u_3u''_2 + u''_5 - \frac{2}{5}u_2u'''_2 - \frac{2}{5}u^{(5)}_2. \end{split}$$
(C10)

CS equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= -\frac{42}{5}\alpha'_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= \frac{8}{3}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{48}{7}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{1}{6}\alpha'''_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= \frac{10}{3}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{12}{5}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} - 4\alpha'_{5} + \frac{1}{15}\alpha'''_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{5} &= \frac{14}{5}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} + 4\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{16}{7}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{1}{28}\alpha'''_{4}. \end{aligned}$$
(C11)

KdV equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= -\frac{6}{5}u_{2}u_{2}' + 3u_{4}' - 3u_{3}'' + u_{2}'', \\ \dot{u}_{3} &= -\frac{6}{5}u_{3}u_{2}' - \frac{6}{5}u_{2}u_{3}' + 3u_{5}' + 3u_{4}'' - 5u_{3}''' + 3u_{2}^{(4)}, \\ \dot{u}_{4} &= -\frac{6}{5}u_{3}u_{3}' - \frac{3}{5}u_{4}u_{2}' + \frac{3}{5}u_{2}u_{4}' + \frac{3}{5}u_{3}u_{2}'' - \frac{9}{5}u_{2}u_{3}'' \\ &\quad + 3u_{5}'' + \frac{6}{5}u_{2}u_{2}''' + u_{4}''' - 3u_{3}^{(4)} + \frac{12}{5}u_{2}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{u}_{5} &= -\frac{3}{5}u_{4}u_{3}' + \frac{3}{5}u_{2}u_{5}' - \frac{3}{5}u_{3}u_{3}'' + \frac{3}{5}u_{4}u_{2}'' + \frac{3}{5}u_{3}u_{2}''' \\ &\quad -\frac{3}{5}u_{2}u_{3}''' + u_{5}''' + \frac{3}{5}u_{2}u_{2}^{(4)} - \frac{3}{5}u_{3}^{(5)} + \frac{3}{5}u_{2}^{(6)}. \end{split}$$
(C12)

CS equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= -\frac{24}{5}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{216}{5}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{4}{5}\alpha''_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= -\frac{120}{7}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{120}{7}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{144}{7}\alpha'_{5} - \frac{8}{7}\alpha'''_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= \frac{59}{60}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{24}{5}\alpha_{2}^{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{36}{5}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{147}{5}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} \\ &- 12\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{13}{30}\alpha_{2}\alpha'''_{2} - \frac{1}{5}\alpha''_{4} + \frac{1}{120}\alpha'^{(5)}_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{5} &= \frac{97}{140}\alpha'_{3}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{29}{56}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{3} + \frac{144}{35}\alpha_{2}^{2}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{396}{35}\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} \\ &+ \frac{36}{7}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{5} - \frac{126}{5}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{72}{5}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{5}{28}\alpha_{2}\alpha'''_{3} \\ &+ \frac{123}{280}\alpha_{3}\alpha'''_{2} + \frac{1}{7}\alpha'''_{5} + \frac{1}{560}\alpha'^{(5)}_{3}. \end{split}$$
(C13)

KdV equations of motion at z = 4:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= \frac{6}{5}u_{2}^{\prime 2} - \frac{4}{5}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime} + 4u_{5}^{\prime} + \frac{6}{5}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - 2u_{4}^{\prime \prime} + u_{2}^{(4)}, \\ \dot{u}_{3} &= \frac{24}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{12}{25}u_{2}^{\prime 2}u_{2}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime} \\ &- \frac{4}{5}u_{4}u_{2}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} + 6u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + 2u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} - 4u_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} + u_{3}^{(4)} + \frac{6}{5}u_{2}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{u}_{4} &= \frac{16}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{12}{25}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime 2} + \frac{8}{25}u_{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime} + \frac{8}{5}u_{2}u_{5}^{\prime} \\ &- \frac{4}{5}u_{4}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{3}u_{4}^{\prime} + \frac{12}{25}u_{2}^{\prime 2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{8}{5}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{12}{5}u_{2}^{\prime \prime 2} + \frac{2}{5}u_{4}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} + 4u_{5}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{6}{5}u_{2}u_{2}^{(4)} - 3u_{4}^{(4)} + \frac{6}{5}u_{3}^{(5)} + \frac{2}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}0^{(6)}, \\ \dot{u}_{5} &= \frac{12}{25}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime 4} + \frac{4}{25}u_{4}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\ &- \frac{4}{5}u_{4}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{5}^{\prime} + \frac{4}{5}u_{3}u_{5}^{\prime} + \frac{8}{5}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime} \\ &+ \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{5}^{\prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{4}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{5}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{5}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{5}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{5}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{4}{5}u_{4}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{3}^{\prime} u_{5}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{5}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{2}{5}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{25}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{5}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{5}u$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 085005 (2015)

$$\begin{split} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= \frac{144}{5} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{2}' + \frac{144}{5} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}' - \frac{576}{5} \alpha_{5}' + \frac{18}{5} \alpha_{3}''', \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= -\frac{24}{7} \alpha_{2}' \alpha_{2}'' - \frac{64}{7} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{2}' + \frac{384}{7} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}' + \frac{336}{5} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{3}' \\ &+ \frac{384}{7} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{2}' - \frac{12}{7} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2}''' + \frac{24}{7} \alpha_{4}''' - \frac{1}{14} \alpha_{2}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= -\frac{68}{15} \alpha_{3}' \alpha_{2}'' - \frac{61}{15} \alpha_{2}' \alpha_{3}'' - \frac{96}{5} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{3}' - \frac{208}{5} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2}' \\ &- \frac{64}{5} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{5}' + \frac{336}{5} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{3}' + \frac{336}{5} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}' - \frac{26}{15} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}''' \\ &- \frac{13}{5} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{2}''' - \frac{4}{5} \alpha_{5}''' - \frac{1}{30} \alpha_{3}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{5} &= \frac{1108}{315} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2}' \alpha_{2}'' - \frac{7}{2} \alpha_{3}' \alpha_{3}'' + \frac{12}{35} \alpha_{4}' \alpha_{2}'' + \frac{8}{7} \alpha_{2}' \alpha_{4}'' \\ &+ \frac{13}{168} \alpha_{2}' \alpha_{2}'^{0} + \frac{256}{35} \alpha_{2}^{3} \alpha_{2}' + \frac{256}{35} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4}' - \frac{272}{5} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}' \\ &+ \frac{32}{35} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2}' + \frac{62}{63} \alpha_{2}'^{3} - 32 \alpha_{3}^{2} \alpha_{2}' + \frac{576}{5} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{4}' \\ &- \frac{144}{5} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{5}' + \frac{47}{360} \alpha_{2}'' \alpha_{2}''' + \frac{244}{315} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{2}''' + \frac{4}{7} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}'''' \\ &- \frac{19}{10} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{3}''' - \frac{38}{35} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{2}''' + \frac{29 \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2}^{(5)}}{1260} + \frac{1}{140} \alpha_{4}^{(5)} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{2}^{(7)}}{5040}. \end{split}$$
(C15)

**4.** *N* = **6** 

CS-KdV map:

$$u_{2} = 35\alpha_{2},$$

$$u_{3} = 70\alpha'_{2} - 224\alpha_{3},$$

$$u_{4} = -336\alpha'_{3} + 63\alpha''_{2} + 259\alpha_{2}^{2} + 1296\alpha_{4},$$

$$u_{5} = 518\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} + 1296\alpha'_{4} - 192\alpha''_{3} + 28\alpha'''_{2}$$

$$- 1760\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3} - 5760\alpha_{5},$$

$$u_{6} = -880\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} + 130\alpha'_{2}^{2} - 880\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} - 2880\alpha'_{5}$$

$$+ 155\alpha_{2}\alpha''_{2} + 360\alpha''_{4} - 40\alpha'''_{3} + 5\alpha'^{(4)}_{2} + 225\alpha_{2}^{3}$$

$$+ 3600\alpha_{4}\alpha_{2} + 1600\alpha_{3}^{2} + 14400\alpha_{6}.$$
 (C16)

CS equations of motion at z = 4:

KdV equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= 2u'_{3} - 4u''_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{3} &= -\frac{4}{3}u_{2}u'_{2} + 2u'_{4} + u''_{3} - \frac{20}{3}u'''_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{4} &= -u_{3}u'_{2} + 2u'_{5} - 2u_{2}u''_{2} + u''_{4} - 5u^{(4)}_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{5} &= -\frac{2}{3}u_{4}u'_{2} + 2u6' - u_{3}u''_{2} + u''_{5} - \frac{4}{3}u_{2}u'''_{2} - 2u^{(5)}_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{6} &= -\frac{1}{3}u_{5}u'_{2} - \frac{1}{3}u_{4}u''_{2} + u6'' - \frac{1}{3}u_{3}u'''_{2} - \frac{1}{3}u_{2}u^{(4)}_{2} - \frac{1}{3}u^{(6)}_{2}. \end{split}$$
(C17)

CS equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= -\frac{64}{5}\alpha'_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= \frac{8}{3}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{81}{7}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{1}{6}\alpha'''_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= \frac{10}{3}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{12}{5}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{80}{9}\alpha'_{5} + \frac{1}{15}\alpha'''_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{5} &= \frac{14}{5}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} + 4\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{16}{7}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{4} - 5\alpha'_{6} + \frac{1}{28}\alpha'''_{4}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{6} &= \frac{16}{5}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{18}{7}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{14}{3}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{20}{9}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{5} + \frac{1}{45}\alpha'''_{5}. \end{aligned}$$
(C18)

KdV equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= -\frac{3}{2}u_{2}u_{2}' + 3u_{4}' - \frac{9}{2}u_{3}'' + \frac{9}{4}u_{2}''', \dot{u}_{3} = -\frac{3}{2}u_{3}u_{2}' - \frac{3}{2}u_{2}u_{3}' + 3u_{5}' + 3u_{4}'' - 9u_{3}''' + \frac{15}{2}u_{2}^{(4)}, \dot{u}_{4} \\ &= -\frac{3}{2}u_{3}u_{3}' - u_{4}u_{2}' + \frac{1}{2}u_{2}u_{4}' + 3u6' + \frac{3}{4}u_{3}u_{2}'' - 3u_{2}u_{3}'' + 3u_{5}'' + \frac{5}{2}u_{2}u_{2}''' + u_{4}''' - \frac{15}{2}u_{3}^{(4)} + \frac{33}{4}u_{2}^{(5)}, \dot{u}_{5} \\ &= -u_{4}u_{3}' - \frac{1}{2}u_{5}u_{2}' + \frac{1}{2}u_{2}u_{5}' - \frac{3}{2}u_{3}u_{3}'' + u_{4}u_{2}'' + 3u6'' + \frac{7}{4}u_{3}u_{2}''' - 2u_{2}u_{3}''' + u_{4}''' + \frac{5}{2}u_{2}u_{2}^{(4)} - 3u_{3}^{(5)} + 4u_{2}^{(6)}, \dot{u}_{6} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}u_{2}u6' - \frac{1}{2}u_{5}u_{3}' - \frac{1}{2}u_{4}u_{3}'' + \frac{3}{4}u_{5}u_{2}'' - \frac{1}{2}u_{3}u_{3}''' + \frac{3}{4}u_{4}u_{2}''' + u6''' + \frac{3}{4}u_{3}u_{2}^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}u_{2}u_{3}^{(4)} + \frac{3}{4}u_{2}u_{2}^{(5)} - \frac{1}{2}u_{3}^{(6)} + \frac{3}{4}u_{2}^{(7)}. \end{split}$$
(C19)

CS equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= -\frac{81}{10}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{3888}{35}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{27}{20}\alpha'''_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= -\frac{405}{14}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{405}{14}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{540}{7}\alpha'_{5} - \frac{27}{14}\alpha'''_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= \frac{59}{60}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{24}{5}\alpha_{2}^{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{557}{30}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{152}{3}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{80}{3}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{100}{3}\alpha'_{6} + \frac{13}{30}\alpha_{2}\alpha'''_{2} - \frac{17}{30}\alpha''_{4} + \frac{1}{120}\alpha_{2}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{5} &= \frac{97}{140}\alpha'_{3}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{29}{56}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{3} + \frac{144}{35}\alpha_{2}^{2}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{396}{35}\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{85}{14}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{5} - \frac{252}{5}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{1188}{35}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{35}{2}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{5}{28}\alpha_{2}\alpha'''_{3} \\ &\quad + \frac{123}{280}\alpha_{3}\alpha'''_{2} - \frac{1}{14}\alpha'''_{3} + \frac{1}{560}\alpha_{3}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{6} &= \frac{9}{25}\alpha'_{3}\alpha''_{3} + \frac{79}{140}\alpha'_{4}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{19}{56}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{4} + \frac{80}{21}\alpha_{2}^{2}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{976}{105}\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{196}{15}\alpha_{4}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{55}{6}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{6} + \frac{45}{7}\alpha_{3}^{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{972}{35}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{4} \\ &\quad - \frac{224}{5}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{120}{7}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{5} + \frac{41}{420}\alpha_{2}\alpha'''_{4} + \frac{92}{525}\alpha_{3}\alpha'''_{3} + \frac{7}{15}\alpha_{4}\alpha'''_{2} + \frac{1}{6}\alpha'''_{6} + \frac{\alpha_{4}^{(5)}}{1680}. \end{split}$$
(C20)

KdV equations of motion at z = 4:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= \frac{8}{3}u_{2}^{\prime 2} - \frac{4}{3}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{3}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime} + 4u_{5}^{\prime} + \frac{8}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - 4u_{4}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} + \frac{8}{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \\ \dot{u}_{3} &= \frac{40}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{8}{9}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{3}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{3}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{3}u_{4}u_{2}^{\prime} + 4u_{6}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + 6u_{5}^{\prime} + \frac{16}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} - \frac{28}{3}u_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{13}{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{34}{9}u_{2}^{\prime \prime 5}, \\ \dot{u}_{4} &= \frac{40}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{3}u_{4}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{4}{3}u_{3}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{2}^{\prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{2}^{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{10}{3}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime \prime} + 10u_{2}^{\prime \prime 2} \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}u_{4}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + 6u_{6}^{\prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} + 4u_{5}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{14}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - 9u_{4}^{\prime \prime} + 6u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{2}^{\prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{4}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{5}^{\prime} + \frac{40}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}u_{4}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + 2u_{4}^{\prime}u_{3}^{\prime} - 2u_{3}u_{4}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{2}^{\prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{4}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{5}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}u_{4}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} - 2u_{4}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{8}{9}u_{2}^{\prime}u_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} - \frac{8}{3}u_{2}u_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{3}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{9}u_{4}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + 4u_{6}^{\prime \prime} + 2u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + 4u_{6}^{\prime \prime} + 2u_{2}u_{3}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{1}{3}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}u_{4}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{4}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} + \frac{2}{9}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{4}^{\prime} - \frac{2}{3}u_{5}u_{4}^{\prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{3}u_{6}^{\prime} + \frac{1}{3}u_{3}u_{4}^{\prime} + \frac{2}{3}u_{2}u_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\ &+ \frac{1}$$

CS equations of motion at z = 4:

$$\begin{split} \dot{a}_{2} &= \frac{2048}{21} a_{3}a'_{2} + \frac{2048}{21} a_{2}a'_{3} - \frac{4608}{7} a'_{5} + \frac{256}{21} a'''_{3}, \\ \dot{a}_{3} &= -\frac{160}{21} a'_{2}a''_{2} - \frac{1280}{63} a_{2}^{2}a'_{2} + \frac{1440}{7} a_{2}a'_{4} + \frac{5072}{21} a_{3}a'_{3} + \frac{1440}{7} a_{4}a'_{2} - \frac{1800}{7} a'_{6} - \frac{80}{21} a_{2}a'''_{2} + \frac{90}{7} a''_{4} - \frac{10}{63} a'^{(5)}_{2}, \\ \dot{a}_{4} &= -\frac{272}{27} a'_{3}a''_{2} - \frac{244}{27} a'_{2}a''_{3} - \frac{128}{3} a_{2}^{2}a'_{3} - \frac{832}{9} a_{3}a_{2}a'_{2} + \frac{1504}{27} a_{2}a'_{5} + \frac{896}{3} a_{4}a'_{3} + \frac{896}{3} a_{3}a'_{4} + \frac{2800}{27} a_{5}a'_{2} - \frac{104}{27} a_{2}a'''_{3} \\ &- \frac{52}{9} a_{3}a'''_{2} + \frac{8}{9}a'''_{3} - \frac{2}{27}a'^{(5)}_{3}, \\ \dot{a}_{5} &= \frac{1108}{315} a_{2}a'_{2}a''_{2} - \frac{884}{105} a'_{3}a''_{3} - \frac{549}{140} a'_{4}a''_{2} - \frac{51}{28} a'_{2}a'_{4} + \frac{13}{168} a'_{2}a'^{(4)}_{2} + \frac{256}{35} a_{2}^{3}a'_{2} - \frac{1504}{105} a_{2}^{2}a'_{4} - \frac{2720}{21} a_{3}a_{2}a'_{3} \\ &- \frac{6208}{105} a_{4}a_{2}a'_{2} - \frac{800}{21} a_{2}a'_{6} + \frac{62}{63} a'_{2}^{3} - \frac{528}{78} a_{3}^{2}a'_{2} + \frac{1944}{5} a_{4}a'_{4} + \frac{448}{3} a_{5}a'_{3} + \frac{1088}{21} a_{3}a'_{5} + \frac{47}{360} a''_{2}a'''_{2} + \frac{244}{315} a_{2}^{2}a'''_{2} \\ &- \frac{19}{42} a_{2}a'''_{4} - \frac{156}{35} a_{3}a'''_{3} - \frac{156}{35} a_{4}a'''_{2} - \frac{10}{7} a'''_{6} + \frac{29a_{2}a_{2}^{(5)}}{1260} - \frac{1}{280} a_{4}^{(5)} + \frac{a'^{(2)}}{3040}, \\ \dot{a}_{6} &= \frac{11828a_{2}a'_{3}a'}{4725} + \frac{8902a_{2}a'_{2}a'_{3}}{175} a_{4}a'''_{2} - \frac{104}{7} a''_{4}a''_{3} - \frac{56}{25}a'_{3}a''_{4} + \frac{32}{3}} a'_{5}a''_{2} + \frac{116}{3} a'_{2}a''_{3} + \frac{451a'_{3}a'_{2}a''_{2}}{9450} \\ &+ \frac{41a'_{2}a'^{(4)}_{3}}{1890} + \frac{128}{21} a_{3}a'_{3} + \frac{2624}{105} a_{3}a_{2}a'_{2} + \frac{1280}{189} a_{2}^{2}a'_{5} - \frac{2080}{210} a_{4}a_{2}a'_{3} - \frac{1824}{35} a_{3}a_{2}a'_{4} + \frac{448}{135} a_{5}a_{2}a'_{4} \\ &+ \frac{6577a'_{2}a'_{3}}_{3} - \frac{608}{7} a_{3}^{2}a'_{3} - \frac{752}{7} a_{3}a_{4}a'_{2} + \frac{1728}{5} a_{5}a'_{4} + \frac{115}{7} a_{4}a'_{5} - \frac{1936}{21} a_{3}a'_{6} + \frac{559a''_{3}a'''_{2}}{9450} + \frac{8}{175} a''_{2}a''''_{3} \\ &+ \frac{6577a'_{2}a''_{3}}_{3} - \frac{608}{7$$

5. *N* = 7

CS-KdV map:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2} &= 56\alpha_{2}, \\ u_{3} &= 140\alpha_{2}^{\prime} - 504\alpha_{3}, \\ u_{4} &= -1008\alpha_{3}^{\prime} + 168\alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime} + 784\alpha_{2}^{\,2} + 4320\alpha_{4}, \\ u_{5} &= 2352\alpha_{2}\alpha_{2}^{\prime} + 6480\alpha_{4}^{\prime} - 864\alpha_{3}^{\prime\prime} + 112\alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime\prime} - 8928\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3} - 31680\alpha_{5}, \\ u_{6} &= -8928\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}^{\prime} + 1180\alpha_{2}^{\prime\,2} - 8928\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}^{\prime} - 31680\alpha_{5}^{\prime} + 1408\alpha_{2}\alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime} + 3600\alpha_{4}^{\prime\prime} - 360\alpha_{3}^{\prime\prime\prime} + 40\alpha_{2}^{(4)} + 2304\alpha_{2}^{\,3} \\ &+ 40320\alpha_{4}\alpha_{2} + 18000\alpha_{3}^{\,2} + 172800\alpha_{6}, \\ u_{7} &= 708\alpha_{2}^{\prime}\alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime} + 3456\alpha_{2}^{\,2}\alpha_{2}^{\prime} + 20160\alpha_{2}\alpha_{4}^{\prime} - 4488\alpha_{2}^{\prime}\alpha_{3}^{\prime} + 18000\alpha_{3}\alpha_{3}^{\prime} + 20160\alpha_{4}\alpha_{2}^{\prime} + 86400\alpha_{6}^{\prime} - 2544\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}^{\prime\prime} \\ &- 2664\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime} - 8640\alpha_{5}^{\prime\prime} + 312\alpha_{2}\alpha_{2}^{\prime\prime\prime} + 720\alpha_{4}^{\prime\prime\prime} - 60\alpha_{3}^{(4)} + 6\alpha_{2}^{(5)} - 13824\alpha_{3}\alpha_{2}^{\,2} - 103680\alpha_{5}\alpha_{2} \\ &- 86400\alpha_{3}\alpha_{4} - 518400\alpha_{7}. \end{aligned}$$
(C23)

KdV equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= 2u'_{3} - 5u''_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{3} &= -\frac{10}{7}u_{2}u'_{2} + 2u'_{4} + u''_{3} - 10u'''_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{4} &= -\frac{8}{7}u_{3}u'_{2} + 2u'_{5} - \frac{20}{7}u_{2}u''_{2} + u''_{4} - 10u^{(4)}_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{5} &= -\frac{6}{7}u_{4}u'_{2} + 2u'_{6} - \frac{12}{7}u_{3}u''_{2} + u''_{5} - \frac{20}{7}u_{2}u'''_{2} - 6u^{(5)}_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{6} &= -\frac{4}{7}u_{5}u'_{2} + 2u'_{7} - \frac{6}{7}u_{4}u''_{2} + u''_{6} - \frac{8}{7}u_{3}u'''_{2} - \frac{10}{7}u_{2}u^{(4)}_{2} - 2u^{(6)}_{2}, \\ \dot{u}_{7} &= -\frac{2}{7}u_{6}u'_{2} - \frac{2}{7}u_{5}u''_{2} + u''_{7} - \frac{2}{7}u_{4}u'''_{2} - \frac{2}{7}u_{3}u^{(4)}_{2} - \frac{2}{7}u_{2}u^{(5)}_{2} - \frac{2}{7}u^{(7)}_{2}. \end{split}$$
(C24)

CS equations of motion at z = 2:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= -18\alpha'_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= \frac{8}{3}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{120}{7}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{1}{6}\alpha'''_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= \frac{10}{3}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{12}{5}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{44}{3}\alpha'_{5} + \frac{1}{15}\alpha'''_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{5} &= \frac{14}{5}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} + 4\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{16}{7}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{120}{11}\alpha'_{6} + \frac{1}{28}\alpha'''_{4}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{6} &= \frac{16}{5}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{18}{7}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{14}{3}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{20}{9}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{5} - 6\alpha'_{7} + \frac{1}{45}\alpha''_{5}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{7} &= \frac{20}{7}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{18}{5}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{22}{9}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{5} + \frac{16}{3}\alpha_{6}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{24}{11}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{6} + \frac{1}{66}\alpha'''_{6}. \end{split}$$
(C25)

KdV equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_{2} &= -\frac{12}{7} u_{2} u_{2}' + 3 u_{4}' - 6 u_{3}'' + 4 u_{2}'', \\ \dot{u}_{3} &= -\frac{12}{7} u_{3} u_{2}' - \frac{12}{7} u_{2} u_{3}' + 3 u_{5}' + 3 u_{4}'' - 14 u_{3}''' + 15 u_{2}^{(4)}, \\ \dot{u}_{4} &= -\frac{12}{7} u_{3} u_{3}' - \frac{9}{7} u_{4} u_{2}' + \frac{3}{7} u_{2} u_{4}' + 3 u_{6}' + \frac{6}{7} u_{3} u_{2}'' - \frac{30}{7} u_{2} u_{3}'' + 3 u_{5}'' + \frac{30}{7} u_{2} u_{2}''' + u_{4}''' - 15 u_{3}^{(4)} + 21 u_{2}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{u}_{5} &= -\frac{9}{7} u_{4} u_{3}' - \frac{6}{7} u_{5} u_{2}' + \frac{3}{7} u_{2} u_{5}' + 3 u_{7}' - \frac{18}{7} u_{3} u_{3}'' + \frac{9}{7} u_{4} u_{2}'' + 3 u_{6}'' + \frac{24}{7} u_{3} u_{2}''' - \frac{30}{7} u_{2} u_{3}''' + u_{5}''' + \frac{45}{7} u_{2} u_{2}^{(4)} - 9 u_{3}^{(5)} + 15 u_{2}^{(6)}, \\ \dot{u}_{6} &= -\frac{6}{7} u_{5} u_{3}' - \frac{3}{7} u_{6} u_{2}' + \frac{3}{7} u_{2} u_{6}' - \frac{9}{7} u_{4} u_{3}'' + \frac{9}{7} u_{5} u_{2}'' + 3 u_{7}'' - \frac{12}{7} u_{3} u_{3}''' + \frac{15}{7} u_{4} u_{2}''' + u_{6}''' + 3 u_{3} u_{2}^{(4)} - \frac{15}{7} u_{2} u_{3}^{(4)} \\ &\quad + \frac{27}{7} u_{2} u_{2}^{(5)} - 3 u_{3}^{(6)} + \frac{39}{7} u_{2}^{(7)}, \\ \dot{u}_{7} &= -\frac{3}{7} u_{6} u_{3}' + \frac{3}{7} u_{2} u_{7}' - \frac{3}{7} u_{5} u_{3}'' + \frac{6}{7} u_{6} u_{2}'' - \frac{3}{7} u_{4} u_{3}''' + \frac{6}{7} u_{5} u_{2}''' + u_{7}''' - \frac{3}{7} u_{3} u_{3}^{(4)} + \frac{6}{7} u_{4} u_{2}^{(4)} + \frac{6}{7} u_{3} u_{2}^{(5)} - \frac{3}{7} u_{2} u_{3}^{(5)} \\ &\quad + \frac{6}{7} u_{2} u_{2}^{(6)} - \frac{3}{7} u_{3}^{(7)} + \frac{6}{7} u_{2}^{(8)}. \end{split}$$
(C26)

CS equations of motion at z = 3:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\alpha}_{2} &= -12\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{1620}{7}\alpha'_{4} - 2\alpha''_{2}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{3} &= -\frac{300}{7}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{300}{7}\alpha_{2}\alpha'_{3} + \frac{1320}{7}\alpha'_{5} - \frac{20}{7}\alpha''_{3}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{4} &= \frac{24}{5}\alpha'_{2}\alpha^{2} - 32\alpha'_{4}\alpha_{2} + \frac{13}{30}\alpha''_{2}\alpha_{2} - 44\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{379}{5}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3} + 120\alpha'_{6} + \frac{59}{60}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{2} - \alpha''_{4} + \frac{1}{120}\alpha_{2}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{5} &= \frac{144}{35}\alpha'_{3}\alpha_{2}^{2} + \frac{396}{35}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{2}\alpha_{2} - \frac{1488}{77}\alpha'_{5}\alpha_{2} + \frac{5}{28}\alpha''_{3}\alpha_{2} - \frac{420}{11}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{882}{11}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{4392}{77}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{4} + \frac{540}{11}\alpha'_{7} \\ &\quad + \frac{97}{140}\alpha'_{3}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{29}{56}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{3} + \frac{123}{280}\alpha_{3}\alpha''_{2} - \frac{27}{77}\alpha''_{5} + \frac{1}{560}\alpha_{3}^{(5)}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{6} &= \frac{80}{21}\alpha'_{4}\alpha_{2}^{2} + \frac{196}{15}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2}\alpha_{2} + \frac{976}{105}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{3}\alpha_{2} - 4\alpha'_{6}\alpha_{2} + \frac{41}{420}\alpha''_{4}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{45}{7}\alpha_{3}^{2}\alpha'_{2} - 24\alpha_{6}\alpha'_{2} - \frac{396}{5}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{3} - 54\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{4} \\ &\quad - \frac{275}{7}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{5} + \frac{79}{140}\alpha'_{4}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{9}{25}\alpha'_{3}\alpha''_{3} + \frac{19}{156}\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{4} + \frac{7}{15}\alpha_{4}\alpha'''_{2} + \frac{92}{525}\alpha_{3}\alpha'''_{3} + \frac{44}{160}\alpha'_{3}\alpha''_{3} + \frac{24}{1680}, \\ \dot{\alpha}_{7} &= \frac{40}{11}\alpha'_{5}\alpha_{2}^{2} + \frac{816}{55}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{2}\alpha_{2} + \frac{3996}{385}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{3}\alpha_{2} + \frac{1940}{231}\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{4}\alpha_{2} + \frac{156}{11}\alpha'_{7}\alpha_{2} + \frac{61}{990}\alpha''_{5}\alpha_{2} + \frac{304}{21}\alpha_{3}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{2} + \frac{77}{15}\alpha_{3}^{2}\alpha'_{3} \\ &\quad - 72\alpha_{6}\alpha'_{3} - \frac{324}{7}\alpha_{5}\alpha'_{4} - \frac{220}{7}\alpha_{4}\alpha'_{5} - 20\alpha_{3}\alpha'_{6} + \frac{65}{132}\alpha'_{5}\alpha''_{2} + \frac{443\alpha'_{4}\alpha''_{3}}{1540} + \frac{103}{440}\alpha'_{3}\alpha''_{4} + \frac{491\alpha'_{2}\alpha''_{5}}{1980} + \frac{83}{165}\alpha_{5}\alpha''_{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{69}{385}\alpha_{4}\alpha'''_{3} + \frac{25}{264}\alpha_{3}\alpha'''_{4} + \frac{2}{11}\alpha'''_{7} + \frac{\alpha''_{5}}{3960}. \end{split}$$

- M. A. Vasiliev, Higher spin symmetries, star product and relativistic equations in AdS space, arXiv:hep-th/0002183.
- [2] M. A. Vasiliev, Higher spin gauge theories in various dimensions, Fortschr. Phys. 52, 702 (2004); Proc. Sci. 2003, 003 (2003).
- [3] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, and M. A. Vasiliev, Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions, arXiv: hep-th/0503128.
- [4] V. E. Didenko and E. D. Skvortsov, Elements of Vasiliev theory, arXiv:1401.2975.

- [5] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, AdS dual of the critical O(N) vector model, Phys. Lett. B 550, 213 (2002).
- [6] S. Giombi and X. Yin, Higher spin gauge theory and holography: The three-point functions, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2010) 115.
- [7] M. P. Blencowe, A consistent interacting massless higher spin field theory in D = (2 + 1), Classical Quantum Gravity **6**, 443 (1989).
- [8] E. Bergshoeff, M. P. Blencowe, and K. S. Stelle, Area preserving diffeomorphisms and higher spin algebra, Commun. Math. Phys. **128**, 213 (1990).
- [9] M. Henneaux and S. J. Rey, Nonlinear  $W_{\text{infinity}}$  as asymptotic symmetry of three-dimensional higher spin anti–de Sitter gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2010) 007.
- [10] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger, and S. Theisen, Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2010) 007.
- [11] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, An AdS<sub>3</sub> dual for minimal model CFTs, Phys. Rev. D 83, 066007 (2011).
- [12] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, Minimal model holography, J. Phys. A 46, 214002 (2013).
- [13] M. Gary, D. Grumiller, and R. Rashkov, Towards non-AdS holography in 3-dimensional higher spin gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2012) 022.
- [14] H. Afshar, M. Gary, D. Grumiller, R. Rashkov, and M. Riegler, Non-AdS holography in 3-dimensional higher spin gravity—General recipe and example, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2012) 099.
- [15] H. A. Gonzalez, J. Matulich, M. Pino, and R. Troncoso, Asymptotically flat spacetimes in three-dimensional higher spin gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2013) 016.
- [16] M. Gary, D. Grumiller, S. Prohazka, and S. J. Rey, Lifshitz holography with isotropic scale invariance, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2014) 001.
- [17] M. Gutperle, E. Hijano, and J. Samani, Lifshitz black holes in higher spin gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2014) 020.
- [18] S. Kachru, X. Liu, and M. Mulligan, Gravity duals of Lifshitz-like fixed points, Phys. Rev. D 78, 106005 (2008).
- [19] M. Gutperle and Y. Li, Higher spin Lifshitz theory and integrable systems, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 046012 (2015).
- [20] V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov, Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg–de Vries type, J. Sov. Math. 30, 1975 (1985).
- [21] M. Ammon, M. Gutperle, P. Kraus, and E. Perlmutter, Black holes in three dimensional higher spin gravity: A review, J. Phys. A 46, 214001 (2013).
- [22] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger, and S. Theisen, Towards metric-like higher-spin gauge theories in three dimensions, J. Phys. A 46, 214017 (2013).

- [23] A. Campoleoni and M. Henneaux, Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional higher-spin gravity: The metric approach, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2015) 143.
- [24] S. F. Ross, Holography for asymptotically locally Lifshitz spacetimes, Classical Quantum Gravity 28, 215019 (2011).
- [25] J. Balog, L. Feher, L. O'Raifeartaigh, P. Forgacsm, and A. Wipf, Toda theory and W algebra from a gauged WZNW point of view, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 203, 76 (1990).
- [26] L. A. Dickey, Lectures on classical W-algebras, Acta Appl. Math. 47, 243 (1997).
- [27] C. Battle, Lecture notes on KdV hierarchies and pseudodifferential operators, http://www-ma4.upc.edu/ carles/ fitxers/kdv.pdf.
- [28] G. Compere and W. Song, W symmetry and integrability of higher spin black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2013) 144.
- [29] P. Mathieu and W. Oevel, The W(3)(2) conformal algebra and the Boussinesq hierarchy, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 06, 2397 (1991).
- [30] B. A. Kupershmidt and P. Mathieu, Quantum Korteweg–de Vries like equations and perturbed conformal field theories, Phys. Lett. B 227, 245 (1989).
- [31] B. B. Kadomtsev and V. I. Petviashvili, On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 15, 539 (1970).
- [32] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, J. Mas, and E. Ramos, A one parameter family of Hamiltonian structures for the KP hierarchy and a continuous deformation of the nonlinear W(KP) algebra, Commun. Math. Phys. 158, 17 (1993).
- [33] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, J. Mas, and E. Ramos, The topography of W(infinity) type algebras, Phys. Lett. B 299, 41 (1993).
- [34] B. Khesin and I. Zakharevich, Poisson Lie group of pseudodifferential symbols and fractional KP-KdV hierarchies, arXiv:hep-th/9311125.
- [35] B. Khesin and I. Zakharevich, Poisson-Lie group of pseudodifferential symbols, Commun. Math. Phys. 171, 475 (1995).
- [36] F. Yu and Y.S. Wu, Nonlinearly deformed W(infinity) algebra and second Hamiltonian structure of KP hierarchy, Nucl. Phys. B373, 713 (1992).
- [37] F. Yu and Y. S. Wu, Hamiltonian structure, (anti)self-adjoint flows in KP hierarchy and the W(1 + infinity) and W (infinity) algebras, Phys. Lett. B **263**, 220 (1991).
- [38] C. N. Pope, L. J. Romans, and X. Shen, W(infinity) and the Racah-Wigner algebra, Nucl. Phys. B339, 191 (1990).