

Vacuum spacetimes with controlled singularities and without symmetries

Piotr T. Chruściel* and Paul Klinger†

Faculty of Physics and Erwin Schrödinger Institute Boltzmannngasse 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria

(Received 1 July 2015; published 7 August 2015)

We show the existence of a family of four-dimensional vacuum spacetimes with asymptotically velocity-dominated singularities and without symmetries. The solutions are obtained using Fuchsian methods and are parametrized by several free functions of all space coordinates which control their asymptotic expansion.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.041501

PACS numbers: 04.20.Dw

A key question in mathematical general relativity is the understanding of the dynamics of the gravitational field when singularities are approached. It has been conjectured [1] that the behavior of the metric will be rather involved, exhibiting a complicated “mixmaster” behavior reminiscent of that encountered in oscillating Bianchi models [2]. However, in spite of many interesting studies (cf., e.g., Refs. [3–6] and references therein), the issue remains wide open. In fact, except for the finite-dimensional families of Refs. [2,5], all remaining four-dimensional *vacuum* singularities rigorously constructed so far [7,8] exhibit “asymptotically velocity-dominated behavior.” Moreover, all four-dimensional vacuum examples with well-understood dynamical behavior near a singularity involve metrics with at least a one-dimensional isometry group. The purpose of this work is to point out that one can use the approach developed in Ref. [4] to construct a family of examples with velocity-dominated asymptotics and without any symmetries. (See Refs. [9–11] for nonvacuum four-dimensional examples and Ref. [12] for vacuum higher-dimensional ones. Further references can be found in Ref. [13].)

It is clear from the ansatz below that the solutions we construct are highly nongeneric. While they do not tell us anything about what happens in the generic case, they provide the largest class known so far of vacuum four-dimensional spacetimes with controlled behavior as the singularity is approached.

As such, we consider metrics of the form

$$g = -e^{-2\sum_{a=1}^3 \beta^a} d\tau^2 + \sum_{a=1}^3 e^{-2\beta^a} \mathcal{N}^a{}_i \mathcal{N}^a{}_j dx^i dx^j, \quad (1)$$

with β^a and $\mathcal{N}^a{}_i$, $i, a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, depending on all coordinates τ , x^i and behaving asymptotically as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^a &= \beta^a_0 + \tau p^a + O(e^{-\tau\nu}) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{N}^a{}_i &=: \delta^a_i + \mathcal{N}^a{}_s{}_i = \delta^a_i + O(e^{-\tau\nu}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where ν is a positive constant and $\mathcal{N}^a{}_s{}_i = 0$ for $a \geq i$, while the β^a_0 's and p^a_0 's depend only upon space coordinates. In fact, we have the more precise expansions

$$\mathcal{N}^s{}_1{}_2 = -\frac{P^2_0{}_1 e^{-2(\beta^2_0 - \beta^1_0)}}{2(p^2_0 - p^1_0)} e^{-\tau(2p^2_0 - 2p^1_0)} + O(e^{-\tau(2p^2_0 - 2p^1_0 + \nu)}), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{N}^s{}_2{}_3 = -\frac{P^3_0{}_2 e^{-2(\beta^3_0 - \beta^2_0)}}{2(p^3_0 - p^2_0)} e^{-\tau(2p^3_0 - 2p^2_0)} + O(e^{-\tau(2p^3_0 - 2p^2_0 + \nu)}), \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^s{}_1{}_3 &= e^{-2(\beta^3_0 - \beta^1_0)} \left(P^3_0{}_1 - \frac{P^2_0{}_1 P^3_0{}_2}{2p^3_0 - 2p^2_0} \right) \\ &\times \frac{1}{2p^3_0 - 2p^1_0} e^{-\tau(2p^3_0 - 2p^1_0)} + O(e^{-\tau(2p^3_0 - 2p^1_0 + \nu)}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the functions $\{P^i_0{}_a\}_{1 \leq a < i \leq 3}$ depend only on space coordinates.

Our solutions are parametrized by *freely* prescribable analytic functions β^2_0 , β^3_0 , and $P^2_0{}_1$ of all space coordinates as well as two analytic functions, p^2_0 and p^3_0 , depending on all space coordinates, which are *free except for the inequalities*

$$0 < p^2_0 < (\sqrt{2} - 1)p^3_0. \quad (6)$$

The remaining functions p^1_0 , β^1_0 , $P^3_0{}_2$, and $P^3_0{}_1$ are then determined by the asymptotic constraint equations:

$$p^1_0 = -\frac{P^2_0{}_1 p^3_0}{p^2_0 + p^3_0}, \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^1_0 &= -(p^2_0 + p^3_0)^{-1} (p^2_0 p^3_0 + p^1_0 p^3_0 + \beta^2_0 (p^1_0 + p^3_0) \\ &+ \beta^3_0 (p^1_0 + p^2_0)), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$P^3_0{}_2,3 = 2(G_{2c} p^c_0 + \beta^d_0 p^f_0 G_{df}), \quad (9)$$

$$P^3_0{}_1,3 = -P^2_0{}_1,2 + 2(G_{1c} p^c_0 + \beta^d_0 p^f_0 G_{df}). \quad (10)$$

*piotr.chrusciel@univie.ac.at; homepage.univie.ac.at/piotr.chrusciel
†paul.klinger@univie.ac.at

Here, the 3×3 matrix $G^{ab} = (2\delta^{ab} - 1)/2$ and its inverse $G_{ab} = -\sum_{c \neq a} \delta_a^c \delta_b^c$ can be explicitly written as

$$(G_{ab}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (G^{ab}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These solutions arise as follows: The vacuum Einstein equations for a metric of the form (1) can be encoded in the Hamiltonian [4]

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \frac{1}{4} G^{ab} \pi_a \pi_b + \sum_{a < b} \frac{1}{2} (P^j_a N^b_j)^2 e^{-2(\beta^b - \beta^a)} + \sum_{a \neq b \neq c \neq a} \frac{1}{4} (C^a_{bc})^2 e^{-4\beta^a} \\ & - \sum_a \left[-2(\beta^a_{,a})^2 - 2\beta^a_{,a} + \sum_b (-2(C^b_{ab})^2 - 4C^b_{ba} \beta^a_{,a} + 4\beta^b_{,a} \beta^a_{,a} - (\beta^b_{,a})^2 - 2C^b_{ab} \beta^b_{,a} + 2\beta^b_{,a} + 2C^b_{ab,a} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_c (C^b_{ba} C^c_{ac} - \beta^b_{,a} \beta^c_{,a} - C^b_{ac} C^c_{ab}/2 - 2C^b_{ab} \beta^c_{,a}) \right] e^{-2 \sum_{c \neq a} \beta^c}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with $C^a_{bc} = \sum_{i,k} 2\mathcal{N}^a_k (\mathcal{N}^{-1})^i_{[b} (\mathcal{N}^{-1})^k_{c],i}$, where the derivative operator “ $_{,a}$ ” is defined as $_{,a} = (\mathcal{N}^{-1})^i_a \partial_i$, and where the π_a ’s are canonically conjugate to the β^a ’s, while the P^j_a ’s are canonically conjugate to the \mathcal{N}^a_j ’s.

It is relatively straightforward, though somewhat tedious, to check that Hamilton’s evolution equations with the ansatz (2)–(7) verify the hypotheses of the “Fuchs theorem” of Choquet–Bruhat ([14][Appendix V, p. 636]). This gives the existence of the solution of the evolution equations.

To show that these also satisfy the constraint equations, a system of evolution equations for the constraints is derived which is homogeneous and also verifies the hypotheses of the Fuchs theorem. As the full constraints approach the asymptotic ones, which vanish, and the Fuchs theorem guarantees that the only asymptotically vanishing solution to a homogeneous Fuchsian system is identically zero, the constraints are satisfied. This establishes the existence of vacuum spacetimes as above.

The question then arises as to what are the isometries of the metrics just constructed. In Ref. [15], it is asserted that transformations mixing time and space coordinates are prohibited by the choices of lapse and shift and the assumption that the singularity is approached as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. While this is plausible, the assertion is not clear, and we have not been able to provide a proof. Assuming, nevertheless, that all isometries do indeed preserve the τ slicing, Killing vectors X of g should have a vanishing τ component: $X(\tau) = 0$. Under this last condition, a calculation shows that generic choices of the free functions above only lead to trivial Killing vector fields. More generally, one can show that generic metrics in our class do not have any isometries that preserve the τ slicing of the spacetime (\mathcal{M}, g) .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = & \left(\frac{16e^{4(\beta^1_0 + \beta^2_0 + \beta^3_0)} (p^2_0 p^3_0)^2}{(p^2_0 + p^3_0)^2} \right. \\ & \times ((p^2_0)^2 + p^2_0 p^3_0 + (p^3_0)^2) + O(e^{-\nu\tau}) \left. \right) \\ & \times e^{\tau 4(p^1_0 + p^2_0 + p^3_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the curvature tensor grows uniformly without bounds on all causal curves in the spacetimes constructed above, since the product $p^2_0 p^3_0$ has no zeros.

We note that our preliminary attempts to find an ansatz for higher-dimensional solutions that is compatible with the Fuchs theorem and has no symmetries have not been successful.

It should be pointed out that our considerations are unaffected by the presence of a cosmological constant. Indeed, all equations above remain unchanged, except for the addition of a term $2\Lambda e^{-2 \sum_a \beta^a}$ in (11), with Λ influencing only lower order terms in the asymptotic expansions of the solutions.

Details of the analysis outlined above can be found in Ref. [16].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P. T. C. acknowledges many useful discussions with Jim Isenberg. Supported in part by Narodowe Centrum Nauki under the Grant No. DEC-2011/03/B/ST1/02625.

- [1] V. Belinski, I. Khalatnikov, and E. Lifschitz, *Adv. Phys.* **19**, 525 (1970).
- [2] H. Ringström, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **2**, 405 (2001).
- [3] J. Heinzle, C. Uggla, and N. Rohr, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **13**, 293 (2009).
- [4] T. Damour and S. de Buyl, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 043520 (2008).
- [5] B. Berger and V. Moncrief, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 023509 (2000).
- [6] B. Berger, D. Garfinkle, J. Isenberg, V. Moncrief, and M. Weaver, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13**, 1565 (1998).
- [7] H. Ringström, *Ann. Math.* **170**, 1181 (2009).
- [8] J. Isenberg and V. Moncrief, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **19**, 5361 (2002).
- [9] L. Andersson and A. Rendall, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **218**, 479 (2001).
- [10] K. Anguige and K. P. Tod, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **276**, 257 (1999).
- [11] K. Anguige and K. P. Tod, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **276**, 294 (1999).
- [12] T. Damour, M. Henneaux, A. D. Rendall, and M. Weaver, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **3**, 1049 (2002).
- [13] J. Isenberg, [arXiv:1505.06390](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.06390).
- [14] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *General Relativity and the Einstein Equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs (Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 2009), p. xxvi+785.
- [15] T. Damour, M. Henneaux, and H. Nicolai, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **20**, R145 (2003).
- [16] P. Klinger, Master's Thesis, Vienna University, [arXiv:1507.04161](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.04161).