Scalar cylinder-plate and cylinder-cylinder Casimir interaction in higher dimensional spacetime

Lee-Peng Teo*

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Jalan Broga, 43500 Semenyih, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia (Received 29 May 2015; published 16 July 2015)

We study the cylinder-plate and the cylinder-cylinder Casimir interaction in the (D + 1)-dimensional Minkowski spacetime due to the vacuum fluctuations of massless scalar fields. Different combinations of Dirichlet (D) and Neumann (N) boundary conditions are imposed on the two interacting objects. For the cylinder-cylinder interaction, we consider the case where one cylinder is inside the other and the case where the two cylinders are outside each other. By computing the transition matrices of the objects and the translation matrices that relate different coordinate systems, the explicit formulas for the Casimir interaction energies are derived. From these formulas, we compute the large separation and small separation asymptotic behaviors of the Casimir interaction. For the cylinder-plate interaction with $R \ll L$, where R is the radius of the cylinder and L is the distance from the center of the cylinder to the plate, the order of decay of the Casimir interaction only depends on the boundary conditions imposed on the cylinder. The orders are $L^{-D+1}/\ln(L)$ and $L^{-D-1}/\ln L$, respectively, for the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions on the cylinder. For two cylinders with radii R_1 and R_2 lying parallelly outside each other, the orders of decay of the Casimir interaction energies when $R_1 + R_2 \ll L$ are $L^{-D+1}/(\ln L)^2$, $L^{-D-1}/\ln L$, and L^{-D-3} , respectively, for DD, DN/ND, and NN boundary conditions, where L is the distance between the centers of the cylinders. The more interesting and important characteristic of Casimir interaction appears at small separation. Using the perturbation technique, we compute the small separation asymptotic expansions of the Casimir interaction energies up to the next-to-leading-order terms. The leading terms coincide with the respective results obtained using the proximity force approximation, which is of order $d^{-D+1/2}$, where d is the distance between the two objects. The results on the next-to-leading-order terms are more interesting and important. We find some universal behaviors. It is also noticed that for the case of Dirichlet-Dirichlet cylinder-plate interaction the next-to-leading-order term agrees with that obtained using the derivative expansion. Hence, based on our results on other boundary conditions and on the cylindercylinder interaction, we postulate a formula for the derivative expansion to expand the Casimir interaction energy up to the next-to-leading-order terms for DD, DN, ND, and NN boundary conditions, for the interaction between two curved surfaces in (D + 1)-dimensional Minkowski spacetime. It is found that the postulate agrees with our previous results on the sphere-sphere interactions except when D = 4.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.025023

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k, 11.10.Kk, 12.20.Ds

I. INTRODUCTION

In the pioneering work [1], Casimir proposed the existence of a force between two parallel perfectly conducting plates due to the vacuum fluctuations of electromagnetic fields. This gives rise to the concept of vacuum energy, which was referred to as Casimir energy. In subsequent years, the Casimir effect has been generalized to any quantum fields, and it is a purely quantum effect. The idea of this Casimir energy is quite simple. The ground state energy of a quantum harmonic oscillator is not zero, but it is equal to $\hbar\omega/2$, where ω is the frequency. A quantum field can be considered as the superposition of an infinite number of quantum harmonic oscillators, each with a different ground state energy.

Casimir defined the Casimir energy as the sum of the ground state energies:

$$E_{\rm Cas} = \sum \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}.$$
 (1)

This sum is divergent, and regularization is required. However, in the existence of two objects (boundaries), one can obtain a *finite* Casimir interaction energy after subtracting away the Casimir self-energies of each of the objects.

The Casimir self-energy of an object is of its own interest, and it has been under active investigation (see Ref. [2] for a review). On the one hand, it is closely related to the one-loop effective action [3], and on the other hand, it has been proposed to be a candidate for the dark energy [4–6]. Since the advent of string theory, studying physics in higher dimensional spacetime has become the norm rather

LeePeng.Teo@nottingham.edu.my

than an exception. There has been quite a number of works that explored the Casimir energies of rectangular cavities, spheres, and cylinders in higher dimensional spacetimes [7-17].

The Casimir effect is more interesting when there exist two interacting objects. In the last century, theoretical computations of Casimir interaction were limited to the configuration of two parallel plates. However, the advancement in nanotechnology and Casimir experiments at the end of the last century have called for a theoretical understanding of the Casimir interaction between any nonflat objects. About ten years ago, a major breakthrough in Casimir research was brought by a few groups of researchers [18-41], which have shed new light on the research of Casimir interaction between two objects. Using worldline numerics, multiple-scattering method, or mode summation method, exact formulas for the Casimir interactions of cylinder-plate, cylinder-cylinder, sphere-plate, and sphere-sphere configurations have been computed. This has enabled the more precise analytical and numerical studies of the nature and the strength of the Casimir force. Nonetheless, these works have been limited to the (3 + 1)dimensional Minkowski spacetime.

Last year, we took the first step to understand the Casimir interactions between nonflat objects in higher dimensional spacetime [42–45]. We considered the sphere-plate and sphere-sphere interactions due to the vacuum fluctuations of massless scalar fields in (D + 1)-dimensional Minkowski spacetime and studied the dependence of the Casimir interaction on the dimension of spacetime. Since cylindrical objects played an equally important role in physics as spherical objects, we explore the Casimir interaction between a cylinder and a plate and between two cylinders in (D + 1)-dimensional Minkowski spacetime in this work.

We generalize the formalism established in Ref. [41] to compute the Casimir interaction energy between a cylinder and a plate, between two parallel cylinders where one lies inside the other, and between two parallel cylinders exterior to each other. We consider a massless scalar field with combinations of Dirichlet (D) and Neumann (N) boundary conditions. The generic formula for the Casimir interaction energy between two objects can be written in the form

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\xi \ln \text{Tr}(1 - \mathbb{T}^1 \mathbb{G}^{12} \mathbb{T}^2 \mathbb{G}^{21}) \qquad (2)$$

and is thus known as the TGTG formula. Here, \mathbb{T}^i is related to the scattering matrix of object *i* and can be computed by matching the boundary conditions on the object. The matrix \mathbb{G}^{ij} is the translation matrix that relates the wave functions of object *i* to the wave functions of object *j*. The nontrivial problem is to compute these \mathbb{T}^i and \mathbb{G}^{ij} matrices.

After deriving the TGTG formulas for the cylinder-plate and the cylinder-cylinder interactions, we derive the large separation and small separation asymptotic behaviors of the Casimir interactions. The large separation asymptotic behavior is easy to compute since it only depends on a few entries in each of the \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{G} matrices. To compute the small separation asymptotic behavior beyond the leading term is a tedious task [32,33,42–52]. As a confirmation of the correctness of the TGTG formula, the leading term of the small separation expansion of the Casimir interaction energy is found to agree with that derived using the proximity force approximation. One of the major contributions of the present work is the result of the next-toleading-order term of the small separation expansion. For the cylinder-plate configuration with DD boundary conditions, we find that our result agrees with that computed using a derivative expansion in Ref. [53]. Inspired by the work [54], we use our results on the cylinder-cylinder interaction to postulate a derivative expansion formula for the Casimir interaction energy in (D+1)-dimensional spacetime, up to the second-order term, for the interaction between any two objects with combinations of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. This ansatz is found to agree with the results we derive for the sphere-sphere interaction in Ref. [43] except when D = 4.

This work will be interesting to those that wish to understand quantum field theory in higher dimensional spacetime.

II. CASIMIR INTERACTION ENERGY

In this work, we consider the vacuum fluctuations of a massless scalar field in (D + 1)-dimensional Minkowski spacetime with the metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dx_1^2 - \dots - dx_D^2.$$

The equation of motion of the scalar field $\varphi(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\omega t}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_D)$ is

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_D^2}\right)\varphi = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\varphi.$$
 (3)

We will consider the following three problems:

- (i) the Casimir interaction between a cylinder and a plate;
- (ii) the Casimir interaction between two parallel cylinders, one being inside the other;
- (iii) the Casimir interaction between two parallel cylinders exterior to each other.

The boundary conditions on the cylinder and the plate are either the Dirichlet boundary condition $\varphi|_{\text{boundary}} = 0$ or the Neumann boundary condition $\partial_{\mathbf{n}}\varphi|_{\text{boundary}} = 0$, where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

We will take a cylinder to be

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R^2,$$

where R is the radius of the cylinder. Therefore, it will be convenient to work with the cylindrical coordinates where

$$x_1 = r\cos\theta, \qquad x_2 = r\sin\theta,$$
 (4)

so that the cylinder $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R^2$ is given by r = R. In the cylindrical coordinates, the equation of motion (3) reads as

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_D^2}\right)\varphi = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\varphi.$$
(5)

Solving this equation of motion, we find that the cylindrical waves can be parametrized by $(n, k_3, ..., k_D) = (n, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$, where *n* is an integer, $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = (k_3, ..., k_D) \in \mathbb{R}^{D-2}$, and they can also be divided into regular and outgoing waves. The explicit formulas for these cylindrical waves are given by

$$\varphi_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{*}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{C}_{n}^{*} Z_{n}^{*}(\lambda r) e^{in\theta + ik_{3}x_{3} + \dots + ik_{D}x_{D}}, \qquad (6)$$

where * = reg or out for regular or outgoing waves,

$$\mathcal{C}_n^{\mathrm{reg}} = i^{-n}, \qquad \mathcal{C}_n^{\mathrm{out}} = \frac{\pi}{2} i^{n+1},$$

are normalization constants, and

$$Z_n^{\text{reg}}(z) = J_n(z), \qquad Z_n^{\text{out}}(z) = H_n^{(1)}(z).$$

A. Casimir interaction energy between a cylinder and a plate

For the Casimir interaction between a cylinder and a plate, we will take the cylinder to be

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R^2$$
, $-\frac{H_i}{2} \le x_i \le \frac{H_i}{2}$ for $3 \le i \le D$,

and the plate to be

$$x_1 = L, \qquad -\frac{H_i}{2} \le x_i \le \frac{H_i}{2} \quad \text{for } 2 \le i \le D.$$

Here, L > R, and d = L - R is the distance between the cylinder and the plate.

For the plate $x_1 = L$, we will parametrize the plate waves by the momenta perpendicular to the plate $(k_2, k_3, ..., k_D) = (k_2, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$. Solving the equation of motion (3) gives the plate wave basis

$$\varphi_{k_2,\mathbf{k}_\perp}^*(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-i\mathrm{sgn}_*k_1x_1 + ik_2x_2 + ik_3x_3 + \dots + ik_Dx_D}, \qquad (7)$$

where

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 025023 (2015)

$$k_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - k_2^2 - \dots - k_D^2},$$

and

S

$$sgn_{reg} = 1$$
, $sgn_{out} = -1$.

Let $H = H_3...H_D$. In the region between the cylinder and the plate, we can write the scalar field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in terms of the cylindrical coordinate system centered at the origin,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = H \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_D}{2\pi} \times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a^{n,k_\perp} \varphi_{n,k_\perp}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}) + b^{n,k_\perp} \varphi_{n,k_\perp}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x})) e^{-i\omega t},$$
(8)

or in terms of the rectangular coordinate system centered at $\mathbf{O}' = L\mathbf{e}_1$:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}',t) = H_2 H \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \dots$$
$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_D}{2\pi} (c^{k_2,k} \varphi_{k_2,k}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}') + d^{k_2,k} \varphi_{k_2,k}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x}')) e^{-i\omega t}.$$
(9)

Here, $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - L\mathbf{e}_1$.

Using the representation (8), we find that the boundary condition on the cylinder r = R gives rise to a relation of the form

$$b^{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}} = -T_1^n a^{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}.\tag{10}$$

For D and N boundary conditions, T_1^n is given by

$$T_1^{n,\mathrm{D}}(i\xi) = \frac{I_n(\gamma R)}{K_n(\gamma R)}, \qquad T_1^{n,\mathrm{N}}(i\xi) = \frac{I'_n(\gamma R)}{K'_n(\gamma R)}, \qquad (11)$$

respectively. Here, ξ is the imaginary frequency so that $i\xi = \omega$,

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + k_3^2 + \dots + k_D^2},$$

$$\kappa = \frac{\xi}{c}.$$

Under the representation (9), the boundary condition on the plate $x'_1 = 0$ gives rise to a relation of the form

$$c^{k_2, \mathbf{k}_\perp} = -\tilde{T}_2^{k_2} d^{k_2, \mathbf{k}_\perp}.$$
 (12)

For D and N boundary conditions, $\tilde{T}_2^{k_2}$ is given by

$$\tilde{T}_{2}^{k_{2},\mathrm{D}}(i\xi) = 1, \qquad \tilde{T}_{2}^{k_{2},\mathrm{D}}(i\xi) = -1,$$
 (13)

respectively.

The two representations (8) and (9) are related by translation matrices \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} :

$$\varphi_{k_{2},\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}') = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V_{n,k_{2}} \varphi_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}),$$
$$\varphi_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x}) = H \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_{2}}{2\pi} W_{k_{2},n} \varphi_{k_{2},\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x}').$$
(14)

It is easy to see that the matrices \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} are diagonal in \mathbf{k}_{\perp} . In fact, canceling out $e^{ik_3x_3+\cdots+ik_Dx_D}$ from both sides, we obtain exactly the same equation as in the D = 3 dimension. Hence, quoting the result from dimension D = 3 (see, for example, Ref. [41]), we have

$$V_{n,k_2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2} + k_2}{\gamma}\right)^n e^{-\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2}L},$$
$$W_{k_2,n} = \frac{\pi}{H\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2} + k_2}{\gamma}\right)^n e^{-\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2}L}.$$

Notice that (14) implies that

$$a^{n,k_{\perp}} = H_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} V_{n,k_2} c^{k_2,k_{\perp}},$$

$$d^{k_2,k_{\perp}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} W_{k_2,n} b^{n,k_{\perp}}.$$
 (15)

From (10), (12), and (15), we find that

$$b^{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}} = -T_1^n a^{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}$$

= $T_1^n H_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} V_{n,k_2} \tilde{T}_2^{k_2} \sum_{n'=-\infty}^{\infty} W_{k_2,n'} b^{n',\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}.$

This is a relation of the form

$$(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{M})\mathbb{B} = 0, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{T}_1 \mathbb{V} \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_2 \mathbb{W},$$

and \mathbb{B} is the column matrix with components $b^{n,k_{\perp}}$.

The matrix \mathbb{B} must be a nontrivial solution of (16). Hence, we obtain the dispersion relation

$$\det\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{M}\right)=0.$$

Using the standard contour integration technique, and the fact that all the matrices \mathbb{T}_1 , \mathbb{V} , $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_2$, and \mathbb{W} are diagonal in

 k_{\perp} , we then find that the Casimir interaction energy is given by

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = \frac{\hbar c H}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \dots \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dk_D}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr} \ln\left(1 - \mathbb{M}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{split} M_{n,n'} &= T_1^n H_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} V_{n,k_2} \tilde{T}_2^{k_2} W_{k_2,n'} \\ &= T_1^n \tilde{T}_2^{k_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_2}{2\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2} + k_2}{\gamma}\right)^{n+n'} e^{-2\sqrt{\gamma^2 + k_2^2} H_2} \\ &= T_1^n \tilde{T}_2^{k_2} K_{n+n'}(2\gamma L). \end{split}$$

Recall that

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + k_\perp^2}, \qquad k_\perp = \sqrt{k_3^2 + \dots + k_D^2}.$$

Since the dependence of $M_{n,n'}$ on $(k_3, ..., k_D)$ is only through k_{\perp} , we have

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = \frac{\hbar cH}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D-2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{D-2}\Gamma(\frac{D-2}{2})} \\ \times \int_0^\infty d\kappa \int_0^\infty dk_\perp k_\perp^{D-3} \text{Tr} \ln (1 - \mathbb{M}) \\ = \hbar cH A_D \int_0^\infty d\gamma \gamma^{D-2} \text{Tr} \ln (1 - \mathbb{M}), \qquad (17)$$

where A_D is the constant

$$A_D = \frac{1}{2^{D-1} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})}.$$

B. Casimir interaction energy of one cylinder inside the other

For the Casimir interaction between two cylinders, one inside the other, we take the smaller cylinder to be

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R_1^2, \qquad -\frac{H_i}{2} \le x_i \le \frac{H_i}{2} \quad \text{for } 3 \le i \le D,$$

the center of which is at the origin **O**, and the larger cylinder is taken to be

$$(x_1 - L)^2 + x_2^2 = R_2^2, \qquad -\frac{H_i}{2} \le x_i \le \frac{H_i}{2} \text{ for } 3 \le i \le D,$$

the center of which is at $\mathbf{O}' = L\mathbf{e}_1$. Notice that $L < R_2 - R_1$ and $d = R_2 - R_1 - L$ is the distance between the two cylinders.

As in the cylinder-plate case, we can represent the scalar field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the region between the two cylinders in two different ways, one with respect to the cylindrical coordinate system centered at **O**,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) = H \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_D}{2\pi} \times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a^{n, k_\perp} \varphi_{n, k_\perp}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}) + b^{n, k_\perp} \varphi_{n, k_\perp}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x})) e^{-i\omega t},$$
(18)

and one is in terms of the cylindrical coordinate system centered at $\mathbf{O}' = L\mathbf{e}_1$:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}',t) = H \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_D}{2\pi} \times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (c^{n,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}} \varphi_{n,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}') + d^{n,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}} \varphi_{n,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x}')) e^{-i\omega t}.$$
(19)

The boundary conditions on the cylinders give

$$b^{n,k_{\perp}} = -T_1^n a^{n,k_{\perp}}, \qquad c^{n,k_{\perp}} = -\tilde{T}_2^n d^{n,k_{\perp}}, \qquad (20)$$

with

$$T_{1}^{n,D}(i\xi) = \frac{I_{n}(\gamma R_{1})}{K_{n}(\gamma R_{1})}, \qquad T_{1}^{n,N}(i\xi) = \frac{I'_{n}(\gamma R_{1})}{K'_{n}(\gamma R_{1})},$$
$$\tilde{T}_{2}^{n,D}(i\xi) = \frac{K_{n}(\gamma R_{2})}{I_{n}(\gamma R_{2})}, \qquad \tilde{T}_{2}^{n,N}(i\xi) = \frac{K'_{n}(\gamma R_{2})}{I'_{n}(\gamma R_{2})}.$$
(21)

The two representations (18) and (19) are related by

$$\varphi_{n',\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbf{x}') = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V_{n,n'} \varphi_{n,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbf{x}),$$
$$\varphi_{n,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}^{\mathrm{out}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n'=-\infty} W_{n',n} \varphi_{n',\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}^{\mathrm{out}}(\mathbf{x}').$$
(22)

Compare to the D = 3 case (see, e.g., Ref. [41]), we find that

$$V_{n,n'} = W_{n',n} = (-1)^{n-n'} I_{n'-n}(\gamma L).$$
(23)

As in the cylinder-plate case, we then find that the Casimir interaction energy is given by

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = \hbar c H A_D \int_0^\infty d\gamma \gamma^{D-2} \text{Tr} \ln (1 - \mathbb{M}), \qquad (24)$$

where

$$M_{n,n'} = T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} V_{n,n''} \tilde{T}_2^{n''} W_{n'',n'}$$
$$= T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n''-n} T_2^{n''} I_{n''-n'}.$$
(25)

C. Casimir interaction energy of two parallel cylinders outside each other

For the Casimir interaction between two parallel cylinders exterior to each other, we take one cylinder to be

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R_1^2, \qquad -\frac{H_i}{2} \le x_i \le \frac{H_i}{2} \quad \text{for } 3 \le i \le D,$$

the center of which is at the origin **O**, and the second cylinder is taken to be

$$(x_1 - L)^2 + x_2^2 = R_2^2, \quad -\frac{H_i}{2} \le x_i \le \frac{H_i}{2} \text{ for } 3 \le i \le D,$$

the center of which is at $\mathbf{O}' = L\mathbf{e}_1$. In this case, $L > R_1 + R_2$, and $d = L - R_1 - R_2$ is the distance between the two cylinders.

In the region between the two cylinders, the scalar field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ can be represented by (18) using the cylindrical coordinate system centered at **O**, or by (19) using the cylindrical coordinate system centered at **O**'.

The boundary conditions on the cylinders give

$$b^{n,k_{\perp}} = -T_1^n a^{n,k_{\perp}}, \qquad d^{n,k_{\perp}} = -T_2^n c^{n,k_{\perp}}, \qquad (26)$$

with

$$T_i^{n,\mathrm{D}}(i\xi) = \frac{I_n(\gamma R_i)}{K_n(\gamma R_i)}, \qquad T_i^{n,\mathrm{N}}(i\xi) = \frac{I_n'(\gamma R_i)}{K_n'(\gamma R_i)}.$$
 (27)

In the present case, the two representations (18) and (19) are related by

$$\varphi_{n',\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x}') = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{n,n'}^{12} \varphi_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}),$$
$$\varphi_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n'=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{n',n}^{21} \varphi_{n',\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{x}').$$
(28)

In other words,

$$a^{n,k_{\perp}} = \sum_{n'=-\infty}^{\infty} U^{12}_{n,n'} d^{n',k_{\perp}},$$

$$c^{n',k_{\perp}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} U^{21}_{n',n} b^{n,k_{\perp}}.$$
(29)

Compared to the D = 3 case (see, e.g., Ref. [41]), we find that

$$U_{n,n'}^{12} = U_{n',n}^{21} = (-1)^{n'} K_{n-n'}(\gamma L).$$
(30)

From (26) and (29), we find that

$$b^{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}} = -T_1^n a^{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}$$

= $T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{n,n''}^{12} T_2^{n''} \sum_{n'=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{n'',n'}^{21} b^{n',\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}.$

Then, as in the cylinder-plate case, we find that the Casimir interaction energy is given by

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = \hbar c H A_D \int_0^\infty d\gamma \gamma^{D-2} \text{Tr} \ln (1 - \mathbb{M}), \quad (31)$$

where

$$M_{n,n'} = T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{n,n''}^{12} T_2^{n''} U_{n'',n'}^{21}$$
$$= T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} K_{n-n''} T_2^{n''} K_{n'-n''}.$$
(32)

Using the fact that $I_{-n}(z) = I_n(z)$, $K_{-n}(z) = K_n(z)$, we find that $T_2^{-n} = T_2^n$. Hence, Eq. (32) can be rewritten as

$$M_{n,n'} = T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{n,n''}^{12} T_2^{n''} U_{n'',n'}^{21}$$
$$= T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} K_{n+n''} T_2^{n''} K_{n'+n''}.$$
(33)

III. LARGE SEPARATION ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

In this section, we compute the asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction energy when $L \gg R$ and $L \gg R_1 + R_2$, for the cylinder-plate interaction and the cylinder-cylinder interaction when the two cylinders are outside each other.

First, notice that by making a change of variables $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}/L$ and expanding the logarithm we have

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = \frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} \text{Tr} \ln (1 - \mathbb{M})$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \sum_{s=0}^\infty \frac{1}{s+1} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} \sum_{n_0=-\infty}^\infty \dots$$

$$\times \sum_{n_s=-\infty}^\infty M_{n_0,n_1} \dots M_{n_s,n_0}.$$
(34)

For the cylinder-plate case,

$$M_{n,n'}^{\mathrm{cp}} = T_1^n \tilde{T}_2^{k_2} K_{n+n'}(2\tilde{\gamma}).$$

For the case of two cylinders exterior to each other,

$$M_{n,n'}^{cc} = T_1^n \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} K_{n+n''}(\tilde{\gamma}) T_2^{n''} K_{n'+n''}(\tilde{\gamma}) = \sum_{n''=-\infty}^{\infty} N_{n,n''}^1 N_{n'',n'}^2.$$

Here,

$$T_i^{n,\mathrm{D}} = \frac{I_n(\tilde{\gamma}R_i/L)}{K_n(\tilde{\gamma}R_i/L)}, \qquad T_i^{n,\mathrm{N}} = \frac{I'_n(\tilde{\gamma}R_i/L)}{K'_n(\tilde{\gamma}R_i/L)},$$

and

$$N_{n,n''}^i = T_i^n K_{n+n''}(\tilde{\gamma}).$$

From these, we see that to determine the large separation asymptotic behavior (i.e., $L \gg R$ in the cylinder-plate case and $L \gg R_1 + R_2$ in the cylinder-cylinder case) of the Casimir interaction energy we need to know the small *z* asymptotic behavior of $I_n(z)/K_n(z)$ and $I'_n(z)/K'_n(z)$, which can be obtained from any standard textbook of special functions.

For the cylinder-plate case, when the cylinder is imposed with Dirichlet boundary conditions, the leading term of the large separation asymptotic expansion comes from the terms s = 0 and $n_0 = 0$; whereas when the cylinder is imposed with the Neumann boundary conditions, the leading term of the large separation asymptotic expansion comes from the terms s = 0 and $n_0 = 0, \pm 1$, namely,

$$\begin{split} E^{\rm DD}_{\rm Cas} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} M^{\rm cp,DD}_{0,0}, \\ E^{\rm DN}_{\rm Cas} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} M^{\rm cp,DN}_{0,0}, \\ E^{\rm ND}_{\rm Cas} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} (M^{\rm cp,ND}_{0,0} + M^{\rm cp,ND}_{1,1} + M^{\rm cp,ND}_{-1,-1}), \\ E^{\rm NN}_{\rm Cas} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} (M^{\rm cp,NN}_{0,0} + M^{\rm cp,NN}_{1,1} + M^{\rm cp,NN}_{-1,-1}). \end{split}$$

Straightforward computation then gives

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c H \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})}{2^{D+1} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} L^{D-1} \ln(L/R)},$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN}} \sim \frac{\hbar c H \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})}{2^{D+1} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} L^{D-1} \ln(L/R)},$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{ND}} \sim \frac{\hbar c H (3D+1) \Gamma(\frac{D+1}{2}) R^2}{2^{D+3} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} L^{D+1}},$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c H (3D+1) \Gamma(\frac{D+1}{2}) R^2}{2^{D+3} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} L^{D+1}}.$$
(35)

Notice that if the Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed on the cylinder the leading term is of order $L^{-D+1}/\ln(L)$; whereas if the Neumann boundary condition is imposed on the cylinder, the leading term is of order L^{-D-1} .

When D = 3, Eq. (35) reads as

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c H}{16\pi L^2 \ln(L/R)}, \qquad E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN}} \sim -\frac{5\hbar c H R^2}{32\pi L^4}.$$
 (36)

These agree with the results obtained in Ref. [25].

For two cylinders that are exterior to each other, we rewrite (34) as

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+1} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} \sum_{n_0=-\infty}^\infty \dots \sum_{n_s=-\infty}^\infty \sum_{n_0'=-\infty}^\infty \dots \sum_{n_s'=-\infty}^\infty N^1_{n_0,n_0'} N^2_{n_0',n_1} \dots N^1_{n_s,n_s'} N^2_{n_s',n_0}.$$
 (37)

As in the cylinder-plate case, we find that the leading terms of the large separation asymptotic expansions are given by

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD}} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} N_{0,0}^{1,\text{D}} N_{0,0}^{2,\text{D}}, \\ E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN}} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} (N_{0,0}^{1,\text{D}} N_{0,0}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{0,1}^{1,\text{D}} N_{1,0}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{0,-1}^{1,\text{D}} N_{-1,0}^{2,\text{N}}), \\ E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN}} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H A_D}{L^{D-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}^{D-2} (N_{0,0}^{1,\text{N}} N_{0,0}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{0,1}^{1,\text{N}} N_{1,0}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{0,-1}^{1,\text{N}} N_{-1,0}^{2,\text{N}}), \\ &+ N_{-1,0}^{1,\text{N}} N_{0,-1}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{1,0}^{1,\text{N}} N_{0,1}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{1,1}^{1,\text{N}} N_{1,1}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{-1,-1}^{1,\text{N}} N_{-1,-1}^{2,\text{N}} + N_{1,-1}^{1,\text{N}} N_{1,-1}^{2,\text{N}}), \end{split}$$

and $E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{ND}}$ is obtained from $E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN}}$ by interchanging R_1 and R_2 . Straightforward computation gives

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c H \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})^2}{2^{D+1} \pi^{\frac{D-2}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}) L^{D-1} \ln(L/R_1) \ln(L/R_2)},$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN}} \sim \frac{\hbar c \Gamma(\frac{D+1}{2})^2 (3D+1) H R_2^2}{2^{D+3} \pi^{\frac{D-2}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D+2}{2}) L^{D+1} \ln(L/R_1)},$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN}} \sim -\frac{3\hbar c (D+1) (3D+5) \Gamma(\frac{D+3}{2})^2 H R_1^2 R_2^2}{2^{D+5} \pi^{\frac{D-2}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D+4}{2}) L^{D+3}}.$$
(38)

Notice that the leading term of the Casimir interaction is of orders $L^{-D+1}/(\ln L)^2$, $L^{-D-1}/(\ln L)$, and L^{-D-3} respectively for DD, DN, and NN boundary conditions.

From above, we see that at large separation, the decay of the Casimir interaction is slower when the Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed on the cylinder, and the decay is faster when the Neumann boundary conditions is imposed on the cylinder. For the same boundary conditions, the decay is faster in higher dimensions.

IV. SMALL SEPARATION ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

The small separation asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction is of much more interest since the Casimir force is inversely proportional to some power of the distance between the objects. It is always expected that the leading term of the Casimir interaction should agree with that derived using the proximity force approximation. A subject of much more interest is the next-to-leading-order term because the ratio of the next-to-leading-order term to the leading-order term is experimentally measurable. For the cylinder-plate configuration in (3 + 1) dimensions, the small separation asymptotic behavior has been derived in Ref. [32] up to the next-to-leading-order term. The idea of the derivation is similar to the perturbation in the quantum field theory. Later, the method was generalized to compute the small separation asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction energy in various settings [33,42,43,45–52].

In Sec. II, we have seen that for the cylinder-plate or cylinder-cylinder configurations the Casimir interaction energy is given by

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = \hbar c H A_D \int_0^\infty d\gamma \gamma^{D-2} \text{Tr} \ln (1 - \mathbb{M}), \qquad (39)$$

with different matrix \mathbb{M} for different scenarios. The first step in deriving the small separation asymptotic expansion is to expand the logarithm in (39), which gives

$$E_{\text{Cas}} = -\hbar c H A_D \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+1} \int_0^{\infty} d\gamma \gamma^{D-2} \sum_{n_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots$$
$$\times \sum_{n_s=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{n_0,n_1} \dots M_{n_s,n_0}.$$
 (40)

In the following, we will discuss the different scenarios separately.

A. Cylinder-plate case

In this case, define

$$\varepsilon = \frac{d}{R}, \qquad n = n_0, \qquad \omega = \gamma R,$$

and make a change of variables,

$$\begin{split} n_i &= n + \tilde{n}_i, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq s, \\ \omega &= \frac{n\sqrt{1 - \tau^2}}{\tau}. \end{split}$$

Approximating the summation by corresponding integrations, we have

$$E_{\text{Cas}} \sim -\frac{2\hbar c H A_D}{R^{D-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+1} \int_0^{\infty} dn n^{D-1} \\ \times \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{(1-\tau^2)^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\tau^D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{n}_1 \dots \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{n}_s M_{n_0,n_1} \dots M_{n_s,n_0},$$
(41)

where

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}}^{XY} = (-1)^{\alpha_{Y}} T_{1}^{n_{i},X} K_{n_{i}+n_{i+1}}(2\omega(1+\varepsilon)).$$

Here, X = D or N is the boundary condition on the sphere, and Y = D or N is the boundary condition on the plate, $\alpha_D = 0, \alpha_N = 1$,

$$T_1^{n_i,\mathrm{D}} = \frac{I_{n_i}(\omega)}{K_{n_i}(\omega)}, \qquad T_1^{n_i,\mathrm{N}} = \frac{I'_{n_i}(\omega)}{K'_{n_i}(\omega)}.$$

Now, we need to find the asymptotic expansion $M_{n_i,n_{i+1}}$, keeping in mind that $n \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$, $\tilde{n}_i \sim \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. We also need the Debye asymptotic expansions of the modified Bessel functions which can be found in standard handbooks such as Ref. [55]. We find that

$$\frac{I_{\nu}(\nu z)}{K_{\nu}(\nu z)} \sim \frac{1}{\pi} e^{2\nu\eta(z)} \left(1 + \frac{2u_1(t(z))}{\nu} + \cdots \right),$$

$$\frac{I_{\nu}'(\nu z)}{K_{\nu}'(\nu z)} \sim -\frac{1}{\pi} e^{2\nu\eta(z)} \left(1 + \frac{2v_1(t(z))}{\nu} + \cdots \right),$$

where

$$\eta(z) = \sqrt{1+z^2} + \log \frac{z}{1+\sqrt{1+z^2}}, \qquad t(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z^2}},$$
(42)

$$u_1(t) = \frac{t}{8} - \frac{5t^3}{24}, \qquad v_1(t) = -\frac{3t}{8} + \frac{7t^3}{24}.$$
 (43)

Therefore,

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}}^{XY} \sim (-1)^{\alpha_{X}+\alpha_{Y}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu_{2}}} \frac{e^{2\nu_{1}\eta(z_{1})-\nu_{2}\eta(z_{2})}}{(1+z_{2}^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}}} (1+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{X}),$$

where

$$u_1 = n_i, \qquad
u_2 = n_i + n_{i+1}$$
 $z_1 = \frac{\omega}{n_i}, \qquad z_2 = \frac{2\omega(1+\varepsilon)}{n_i + n_{i+1}}$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2^{\mathrm{D}} &= \frac{1}{n} \left(2u_1(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}u_1(\tau) \right), \\ \mathcal{A}_2^{\mathrm{N}} &= \frac{1}{n} \left(2v_1(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}u_1(\tau) \right) \end{aligned}$$

are of order ε . With the help of a computer symbolic math package, we find that

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}}^{XY} \sim (-1)^{\alpha_{X}+\alpha_{Y}} C^{\tilde{n}_{i}-\tilde{n}_{i+1}} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\pi n}} (1+\mathcal{B}_{i,1}+\mathcal{B}_{i,2}) \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon n}{\tau} - \frac{\tau(\tilde{n}_{i}-\tilde{n}_{i+1})^{2}}{4n}\right) (1+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{X})$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{i,1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{i,2}$ are, respectively, terms of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and ε . Substituting into (41), we find that

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY}} &\sim -\frac{\hbar c H}{2^{D-1} \pi^{\frac{D}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2}) R^{D-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{(\alpha_{\text{X}} + \alpha_{\text{Y}})(s+1)}}{s+1} \frac{1}{2^{s} \pi^{\frac{s}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dn n^{D-1-\frac{s+1}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} d\tau \frac{(1-\tau^{2})^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\tau^{D-\frac{s+1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{n}_{1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{n}_{s} \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon(s+1)n}{\tau} - \sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{\tau(\tilde{n}_{i} - \tilde{n}_{i+1})^{2}}{4n}\right) (1 + (s+1)\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\text{X}}) \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{B}_{i,1} + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{s} \mathcal{B}_{i,1}\mathcal{B}_{j,1} + \sum_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{B}_{i,2}\right). \end{split}$$

The integration over \tilde{n}_i is Gaussian, and it has been explained in Ref. [32] (see also Ref. [50]). One finds that the terms of order $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ would not contribute since it is odd in one of the \tilde{n}_i . After the integration, one is left with an expression of the form

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 025023 (2015)

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c H}{2^{D-1} \pi^{\frac{D}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2}) R^{D-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{(a_{\text{X}}+a_{\text{Y}})(s+1)}}{(s+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{0}^{1} d\tau \frac{(1-\tau^{2})^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\tau^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dn n^{D-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon(s+1)n}{\tau}\right) (1+\mathcal{F}^{\text{X}}),$$

where \mathcal{F} is a term of order ε . The integration over *n* is straightforward using the definition of the gamma function. One obtains

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{3}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D - 1}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{(\alpha_{\text{X}} + \alpha_{\text{Y}})(s+1)}}{(s+1)^{D+1}} \int_{0}^{1} d\tau (1 - \tau^{2})^{\frac{D - 3}{2}} (1 + \mathcal{G}^{\text{X}}),$$

Г

where \mathcal{G}^X is a term of order ε and is a polynomial of degree 2 in τ^2 . Using

$$\int_0^1 d\tau \tau^{\alpha} (1-\tau^2)^{\frac{D-3}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{D+\alpha}{2})},$$

we find that

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D - 1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{(a_{\text{X}} + a_{\text{Y}})(s+1)}}{(s+1)^{D+1}} (1 + \mathcal{H}^{\text{X}}),$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{D}} = \left(\frac{4D-5}{12(2D-3)} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{3D(2D-3)}(s+1)^2\right)\frac{d}{R},$$
$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{N}} = \left(\frac{4D-5}{12(2D-3)} - \frac{D^2+7D-6}{3D(2D-3)}(s+1)^2\right)\frac{d}{R}.$$

Finally, using the fact that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+1)^k} = \zeta(k), \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{(s+1)^k} = -(1-2^{-k+1})\zeta(k),$$

where

we have

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + \left[\frac{4D - 5}{12(2D - 3)} - \frac{(D - 2)(D - 3)}{3D(2D - 3)} \frac{\zeta (D - 1)}{\zeta (D + 1)} \right] \frac{d}{R} + \cdots \right),$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN}} \sim (1 - 2^{-D}) \frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + \left[\frac{4D - 5}{12(2D - 3)} - \frac{(D - 2)(D - 3)}{3D(2D - 3)} \frac{2^D - 4 \zeta (D - 1)}{2^D - 1 \zeta (D + 1)} \right] \frac{d}{R} + \cdots \right),$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{ND}} \sim (1 - 2^{-D}) \frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + \left[\frac{4D - 5}{12(2D - 3)} - \frac{D^2 + 7D - 6}{3D(2D - 3)} \frac{2^D - 4 \zeta (D - 1)}{2^D - 1 \zeta (D + 1)} \right] \frac{d}{R} + \cdots \right),$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \zeta (D + 1) \left(1 + \left[\frac{4D - 5}{12(2D - 3)} - \frac{D^2 + 7D - 6}{3D(2D - 3)} \frac{\zeta (D - 1)}{2^D - 1 \zeta (D + 1)} \right] \frac{d}{R} + \cdots \right).$$

$$(44)$$

It is easy to check that the respective leading terms coincide with the result of the proximity force approximation (see Sec. V). Hence, we can write

$$\frac{E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY}}}{E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{PFA,XY}}} = \left\{ 1 + \vartheta^{\text{XY}} \frac{d}{R} + o\left(\frac{d}{R}\right) \right\},\,$$

where

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{PFA,DD}} &= E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{PFA,NN}} = -\frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D - 1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}},\\ E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{PFA,DN}} &= E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{PFA,ND}} = (1 - 2^{-D}) \frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D - 1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}},\\ \vartheta^{\text{XY}} &= \varkappa^{\text{XY}}. \end{split}$$



FIG. 1 (color online). The dependence of ϑ on dimension D for different combinations of the boundary conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa^{\text{DD}} &= \frac{4D-5}{12(2D-3)} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{3D(2D-3)} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)}, \\ \varkappa^{\text{DN}} &= \frac{4D-5}{12(2D-3)} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{3D(2D-3)} \frac{2^D-4}{2^D-1} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)}, \\ \varkappa^{\text{ND}} &= \frac{4D-5}{12(2D-3)} - \frac{D^2+7D-6}{3D(2D-3)} \frac{2^D-4}{2^D-1} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)}, \\ \varkappa^{\text{NN}} &= \frac{4D-5}{12(2D-3)} - \frac{D^2+7D-6}{3D(2D-3)} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)}. \end{aligned}$$
(45)

The values of \varkappa^{XY} are tabulated in Table I of Appendix A for $3 \le D \le 6$. ϑ measures the correction to the proximity force approximation. The dependence of ϑ on *D* is plotted in Fig. 1.

One observes some interesting phenomena. ϑ is positive when the cylinder is imposed with the Dirichlet boundary conditions, which indicates a positive correction to the proximity force approximation, and ϑ is negative when the cylinder is imposed with the Neumann boundary conditions, which indicates a negative correction. The correction is larger in the latter case. However, for all combinations of boundary conditions, we find that the magnitude of the correction decreases with dimension *D*. In fact, from (45), we find that when $D \gg 1$

$$\vartheta^{\rm DY} \sim \frac{5}{8} \frac{1}{D}, \qquad \vartheta^{\rm NY} \sim -\frac{11}{8} \frac{1}{D},$$
(46)

which is inversely proportional to *D*. This is in big contrast to the sphere-plate interaction [42], where it is found that $\vartheta \sim -D/4$ regardless of the boundary conditions and thus becomes negative when *D* is large. In the sphere-plate case, the correction to the proximity force approximation becomes large when the dimension of spacetime is increased. However, for the cylinder-plate case, the correction to the proximity force approximation becomes smaller when the dimension of spacetime is increased.

B. Case where one cylinder lies parallelly inside the other

In this case,

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}}^{XY} = T_{1}^{n_{i},X} \sum_{n_{i}'=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n_{i}'-n_{i}}(\gamma L) \tilde{T}_{2}^{n_{i}',Y} I_{n_{i}'-n_{i+1}}(\gamma L).$$

Define

$$a = \frac{R_1}{R_2 - R_1}, \qquad b = \frac{R_2}{R_2 - R_1}, \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{d}{R_2 - R_1},$$

 $n = n_0, \qquad \omega = \gamma (R_2 - R_1),$

and make a change of variables,

$$n_i = n + \tilde{n}_i, \qquad 1 \le i \le s,$$

$$n_i' = \frac{b}{a}n + \frac{b}{2a}(\tilde{n}_i + \tilde{n}_{i+1}) + q_i,$$

$$\omega = \frac{n\sqrt{1 - \tau^2}}{a\tau}.$$

Approximating summations by integrations, we find that

$$E_{\text{Cas}} \sim -\frac{2\hbar c H A_D}{R_1^{D-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+1} \\ \times \int_0^{\infty} dn n^{D-1} \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{(1-\tau^2)^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\tau^D} \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{n}_1 \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{n}_s M_{n_0,n_1} \dots M_{n_s,n_0}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\begin{split} M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}}^{XY} &= T_{1}^{n_{i},X} \sum_{n_{i}'=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n_{i}'-n_{i}}(\omega(1-\varepsilon)) \tilde{T}_{2}^{n_{i}',Y} I_{n_{i}'-n_{i+1}}(\omega(1-\varepsilon)), \\ T_{1}^{n_{i},D} &= \frac{I_{n_{i}}(a\omega)}{K_{n_{i}}(a\omega)}, \quad T_{1}^{n_{i},N} = \frac{I'_{n_{i}}(a\omega)}{K'_{n_{i}}(a\omega)}, \\ \tilde{T}_{2}^{n_{i}',D} &= \frac{K_{n_{i}'}(b\omega)}{I_{n_{i}'}(b\omega)}, \quad \tilde{T}_{2}^{n_{i}',N} = \frac{K'_{n_{i}'}(b\omega)}{I'_{n_{i}'}(b\omega)}. \end{split}$$
(48)

Using the Debye asymptotic behavior of the modified Bessel functions, we find that

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}} \sim (-1)^{\alpha_{X}+\alpha_{Y}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_{i} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\nu_{2}\nu_{4}}} \\ \times \frac{e^{2\nu_{1}\eta(z_{1})+\nu_{2}\eta(z_{2})-2\nu_{3}\eta(z_{3})+\nu_{4}\eta(z_{4})}}{(1+z_{2}^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}}(1+z_{4}^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\ \times (1+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{X}+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{Y}+\mathcal{C}_{2}), \qquad (49)$$

where

$$\nu_{1} = n_{i}, \quad \nu_{2} = n'_{i} - n_{i}, \quad \nu_{3} = n'_{i}, \quad \nu_{4} = n'_{i} - n_{i+1}, \\
z_{1} = \frac{a\omega}{\nu_{1}}, \quad z_{2} = \frac{\omega(1-\varepsilon)}{\nu_{2}}, \quad z_{3} = \frac{b\omega}{\nu_{3}}, \quad z_{4} = \frac{\omega(1-\varepsilon)}{\nu_{4}}; \\
\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{2}{n}u_{1}(\tau), \quad \mathcal{A}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}} = \frac{2}{n}v_{1}(\tau), \\
\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\mathrm{D}} = -\frac{2a}{bn}u_{1}(\tau), \quad \mathcal{B}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}} = -\frac{2a}{bn}v_{1}(\tau), \\
\mathcal{C}_{2} = \frac{2a}{n}u_{1}(\tau). \quad (50)$$

 \mathcal{A}_2 , \mathcal{B}_2 , and \mathcal{C}_2 are terms of order ε . As in the cylinder-plate case, expanding each term keeping in mind that *n* has order ε^{-1} and \tilde{n}_i and q_i have order $\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}} \sim C^{i_{1}-i_{2}}(-1)^{\alpha_{X}+\alpha_{Y}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_{i} \frac{a\tau}{2\pi n} (1+\mathcal{D}_{i,1}+\mathcal{D}_{i,2}) \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon n}{a\tau} - \frac{b\tau}{4n} (n_{i}-n_{i+1})^{2} - \frac{a^{2}\tau}{bn} q_{i}^{2}\right) (1+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{X}+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{Y}+\mathcal{C}_{2}),$$
(51)

where $\mathcal{D}_{i,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{i,2}$ are, respectively, terms of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and ε . The integration over q_i is straightforward and gives an expansion of the form

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}} \sim C^{i_{1}-i_{2}}(-1)^{\alpha_{X}+\alpha_{Y}} \frac{\sqrt{b\tau}}{2\sqrt{\pi n}} (1 + \mathcal{G}_{i,1} + \mathcal{G}_{i,2}) \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon n}{a\tau} - \frac{b\tau}{4n}(n_{i} - n_{i+1})^{2}\right) (1 + \mathcal{A}_{2}^{X} + \mathcal{B}_{2}^{Y} + \mathcal{C}_{2}).$$
(52)

The rest is similar to the cylinder-plate case. We find that the up to the next-to-leading-order term the Casimir interaction energy can be written as

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD}} &= E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD,PFA}} \bigg(1 + \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_2 - R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{DD}} \frac{d}{R_1} - \varkappa^{\text{DD}} \frac{d}{R_2} \bigg), \\ E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN}} &= E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN,PFA}} \bigg(1 + \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_2 - R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{DN}} \frac{d}{R_1} - \varkappa^{\text{ND}} \frac{d}{R_2} \bigg), \\ E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{ND}} &= E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{ND,PFA}} \bigg(1 + \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_2 - R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{ND}} \frac{d}{R_1} - \varkappa^{\text{DN}} \frac{d}{R_2} \bigg), \\ E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN}} &= E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN,PFA}} \bigg(1 + \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_2 - R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{NN}} \frac{d}{R_1} - \varkappa^{\text{NN}} \frac{d}{R_2} \bigg). \end{split}$$

Here, x^{XY} are defined in (45) and are equal to the ϑ^{XY} for the cylinder-plate case, and $E_{Cas}^{XY,PFA}$ is the leading term that coincides with the proximity force approximation. It is given explicitly by

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{Cas,PFA}}^{\text{DD}} &= E_{\text{Cas,PFA}}^{\text{NN}} = -\frac{\hbar c H \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1)}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D - 1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_2 - R_1}}, \\ E_{\text{Cas,PFA}}^{\text{DN}} &= E_{\text{Cas,PFA}}^{\text{ND}} = (1 - 2^{-D}) \frac{\hbar c H \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1)}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D - 1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_2 - R_1}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY}}}{E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{PFA,XY}}} = \left\{ 1 + \vartheta^{\text{XY}} \frac{d}{R_2 - R_1} + o\left(\frac{d}{R_2 - R_1}\right) \right\},\,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \vartheta^{\rm DD} &= \frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm DD} \frac{1}{a} - \varkappa^{\rm DD} \frac{1}{b}, \\ \vartheta^{\rm DN} &= \frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm DN} \frac{1}{a} - \varkappa^{\rm ND} \frac{1}{b}, \\ \vartheta^{\rm ND} &= \frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm ND} \frac{1}{a} - \varkappa^{\rm DN} \frac{1}{b}, \\ \vartheta^{\rm NN} &= \frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm NN} \frac{1}{a} - \varkappa^{\rm NN} \frac{1}{b}. \end{split}$$
(53)

Recall that b = a + 1. Hence, we can regard ϑ as depending on dimension *D* and $\beta = b/a = R_2/R_1$ —the ratio of the radius of the larger cylinder to the radius of the smaller cylinder. Then,

$$a = \frac{1}{\beta - 1}, \qquad b = \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}.$$

In Fig. 2, we plot the dependence of ϑ on dimension *D* and the radii ratio β for different boundary conditions.

We observe that ϑ is always positive when the inner cylinder is imposed with the Dirichlet boundary conditions.

When the inner cylinder is imposed with the Neumann boundary conditions, ϑ can be positive or negative depending on dimension *D* and the ratio of the radii of the cylinders. When *D* is large, we observe some universal behavior. In fact, from (53) and the asymptotic behavior of \varkappa^{XY} obtained in (46), we find that when $D \gg 1$

$$\vartheta^{\rm XY} \sim \frac{1}{2},$$

regardless of the boundary conditions. This agrees with the graphs we obtained in Fig. 2. The dominating term actually comes from

$$\frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)},$$

which is universal for all boundary conditions.

C. Case where two parallel cylinders are exterior to each other

In this case,

$$M_{n_i,n_{i+1}}^{XY} = T_1^{n_i,X} \sum_{n'_i = -\infty}^{\infty} K_{n'_i + n_i}(\gamma L) T_2^{n'_i,Y} K_{n'_i + n_{i+1}}(\gamma L).$$



FIG. 2 (color online). The dependence of ϑ on dimension D and the radii ratio β for different combinations of boundary conditions.

Define

$$a = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2}, \qquad b = \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}, \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2}$$

 $n = n_0, \qquad \omega = \gamma(R_1 + R_2),$

and make a change of variables

$$n_i = n + \tilde{n}_i, \qquad 1 \le i \le s,$$

$$n'_i = \frac{b}{a}n + \frac{b}{2a}(\tilde{n}_i + \tilde{n}_{i+1}) + q_i,$$

$$\omega = \frac{n\sqrt{1 - \tau^2}}{a\tau}.$$

As in the previous case, we find that

$$E_{\text{Cas}} \sim -\frac{2\hbar c H A_D}{R_1^{D-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+1} \int_0^\infty dn n^{D-1} \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{(1-\tau^2)^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\tau^D} \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\tilde{n}_1 \dots \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\tilde{n}_s M_{n_0,n_1} \dots M_{n_s,n_0},$$
(54)

where

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}}^{XY} = T_{1}^{n_{i},X} \sum_{\substack{n'_{i}=-\infty \\ n'_{i}+n_{i}}}^{\infty} K_{n'_{i}+n_{i}}(\omega(1+\varepsilon))T_{2}^{n'_{i},Y} \times K_{n'_{i}+n_{i+1}}(\omega(1+\varepsilon)),$$

$$T_{1}^{n_{i},D} = \frac{I_{n_{i}}(a\omega)}{K_{n_{i}}(a\omega)}, \qquad T_{1}^{n_{i},N} = \frac{I'_{n_{i}}(a\omega)}{K'_{n_{i}}(a\omega)},$$

$$\tilde{T}_{2}^{n'_{i},D} = \frac{I_{n'_{i}}(b\omega)}{K_{n'_{i}}(b\omega)}, \qquad \tilde{T}_{2}^{n'_{i},N} = \frac{I'_{n'_{i}}(b\omega)}{K'_{n'_{i}}(b\omega)}.$$
(55)

Using the Debye asymptotic behavior of the modified Bessel functions, we find that

$$\begin{split} M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}} &\sim (-1)^{\alpha_{\rm X}+\alpha_{\rm Y}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_{i} \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{\nu_{2}\nu_{4}}} \\ &\times \frac{e^{2\nu_{1}\eta(z_{1})-\nu_{2}\eta(z_{2})+2\nu_{3}\eta(z_{3})-\nu_{4}\eta(z_{4})}}{(1+z_{2}^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}}(1+z_{4}^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\ &\times (1+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\rm X}+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\rm Y}+\mathcal{C}_{2}), \end{split}$$
(56)

where

$$\nu_{1} = n_{i}, \quad \nu_{2} = n'_{i} + n_{i}, \quad \nu_{3} = n'_{i}, \quad \nu_{4} = n'_{i} + n_{i+1},$$

$$z_{1} = \frac{a\omega}{\nu_{1}}, \quad z_{2} = \frac{\omega(1+\varepsilon)}{\nu_{2}}, \quad z_{3} = \frac{b\omega}{\nu_{3}}, \quad z_{4} = \frac{\omega(1+\varepsilon)}{\nu_{4}};$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{2}^{D} = \frac{2}{n}u_{1}(\tau), \quad \mathcal{A}_{2}^{N} = \frac{2}{n}v_{1}(\tau),$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}^{D} = \frac{2a}{bn}u_{1}(\tau), \quad \mathcal{B}_{2}^{N} = \frac{2a}{bn}v_{1}(\tau),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{2} = -\frac{2a}{n}u_{1}(\tau). \quad (57)$$

As before, expanding each term according to orders of ε gives

$$M_{n_{i},n_{i+1}} \sim C^{i_{1}-i_{2}}(-1)^{\alpha_{X}+\alpha_{Y}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_{i} \frac{a\tau}{2\pi n} (1+\mathcal{D}_{i,1}+\mathcal{D}_{i,2}) \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon n}{a\tau} - \frac{b\tau}{4n} (n_{i}-n_{i+1})^{2} - \frac{a^{2}\tau}{bn} q_{i}^{2}\right) (1+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{X}+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{Y}+\mathcal{C}_{2}),$$
(58)

where $\mathcal{D}_{i,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{i,2}$ are, respectively, terms of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and ε . The rest is similar to the case where one cylinder is inside the other. We find that, up to the next-to-leading-order term, the Casimir interaction energy can be written as

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD}} = E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DD,PFA}} \left(1 - \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \varkappa^{\text{DD}} \frac{d}{R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{DD}} \frac{d}{R_2} \right),$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN}} = E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DN,PFA}} \left(1 - \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \varkappa^{\text{DN}} \frac{d}{R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{ND}} \frac{d}{R_2} \right),$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{ND}} = E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{ND,PFA}} \left(1 - \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \varkappa^{\text{ND}} \frac{d}{R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{DN}} \frac{d}{R_2} \right),$$

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN}} = E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{NN,PFA}} \left(1 - \frac{4D - 5}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \varkappa^{\text{NN}} \frac{d}{R_1} + \varkappa^{\text{NN}} \frac{d}{R_2} \right).$$
(59)

Here, $E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY,PFA}}$ is the leading term that coincides with the proximity force approximation. It is given explicitly by

$$\begin{split} E^{\rm DD}_{\rm Cas,PFA} = & E^{\rm NN}_{\rm Cas,PFA} = -\frac{\hbar c H \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1)}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D - 1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}}, \\ E^{\rm DN}_{\rm Cas,PFA} = & E^{\rm ND}_{\rm Cas,PFA} = (1 - 2^{-D}) \frac{\hbar c H \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1)}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D - 1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \\ & \times \sqrt{\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{XY}}}{E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{PFA,XY}}} = \left\{ 1 + \vartheta^{\text{XY}} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + o\left(\frac{d}{R_1 + R_2}\right) \right\},$$

where

1

$$\begin{split} \vartheta^{\rm DD} &= -\frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm DD}\frac{1}{a} + \varkappa^{\rm DD}\frac{1}{b}, \\ \vartheta^{\rm DN} &= -\frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm DN}\frac{1}{a} + \varkappa^{\rm ND}\frac{1}{b}, \\ \vartheta^{\rm ND} &= -\frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm ND}\frac{1}{a} + \varkappa^{\rm DN}\frac{1}{b}, \\ \vartheta^{\rm NN} &= -\frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)} + \varkappa^{\rm NN}\frac{1}{a} + \varkappa^{\rm NN}\frac{1}{b}. \end{split}$$
(60)

Recall that b = 1 - a. Hence, we can regard ϑ as depending on dimension D and $\Delta = b/a = R_2/R_1$ —the ratio of the radii of the cylinders. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $R_2 \ge R_1$. Then, $\Delta \ge 1$,

$$a = \frac{1}{\Delta + 1}, \qquad b = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + 1}.$$

In Fig. 3, we plot the dependence of ϑ on dimension *D* and the radii ratio Δ for the different boundary conditions.

We observe that ϑ is always negative for the DN, ND, and NN boundary conditions. When both cylinders are imposed with the Dirichlet boundary conditions, ϑ can be positive or negative depending on dimension *D* and the ratio of the radii of the cylinders. When *D* is large, we observe some universal behavior. In fact, from (60) and the



FIG. 3 (color online). The dependence of ϑ on dimension *D* and the radii ratio Δ for different combinations of the boundary conditions.

asymptotic behavior of x^{XY} obtained in (46), we find that when $D \gg 1$

$$\vartheta^{\rm XY} \sim -\frac{1}{2},$$

regardless of the boundary conditions. This agrees with the graphs we obtained in Fig. 3. The dominating term actually comes from

$$-\frac{4D-5}{4(2D-3)},$$

which is universal for all boundary conditions.

 $\Gamma(\underline{D+1})\mathcal{E}(D+1)$

V. POSTULATE FOR DERIVATIVE EXPANSION FORMULA

In a series of papers [53,56,57], Fosco, Lombardo, and Mazzitelli used derivative expansion to compute the

Casimir interaction energy between a curved surface and a plate with the Dirichlet boundary conditions up to the next-to-leading-order term. In Ref. [53], they showed that the derivative expansion of the Casimir interaction energy is given by

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DE}} = \hbar c \int_{S} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \\ \times \left(b_0(D) \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})|^D} + b_2(D) \frac{\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\psi}\|^2}{|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})|^D} + \cdots \right).$$
(61)

Here, $\mathbf{x}_{\perp} = (x_2, ..., x_D)$, $x_1 = \psi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$, $\mathbf{x}_{\perp} \in S$ defines the position of the curved surface with respect to the plate at $x_1 = 0$, and

$$b_{0}(D) = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{2})\zeta(D+1)}{2^{D+1}\pi^{\frac{D+1}{2}}},$$

$$b_{2}(D) = -\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{D+2}\pi^{\frac{D+1}{2}}} \left\{ -\frac{(D-3)(D-1)(D-2)}{2D} \Gamma\left(\frac{D-1}{2}\right)\zeta(D-1) + (D+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{D+1}{2}\right)\zeta(D+1) \right\}$$

$$= b_{0}(D) \left(\frac{D+1}{6} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{6D}\frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)}\right).$$
(62)

Consider the cylinder-plate interaction. We can take the cylinder to be $(x_1 - L)^2 + x_2^2 = R^2$. Then,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \psi(x_2) = L - \sqrt{R^2 - x_2^2}, \qquad \nabla \psi = \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{R^2 - x_2^2}} \mathbf{e}_2.$$

Some computations give

$$\int_{S} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{1}{|\psi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})|^{D}} = 2H \int_{0}^{R} dx_{2} \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R^{2} - x_{2}^{2}})^{D}} = \frac{2H\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{2}d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\pi\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{2^{D-1}\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})\Gamma(\frac{D+1}{2})} \left(1 - \frac{3d}{4R} \frac{1}{2D - 3} + \cdots\right),$$

$$\int_{S} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{1}{|\psi(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})|^{D}} = 2H \int_{0}^{R} dx_{2} \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R^{2} - x_{2}^{2}})^{D}} \frac{x_{2}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2} - x_{2}^{2}} = \frac{2H\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{2}d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\pi\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{2^{D-1}\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})\Gamma(\frac{D+1}{2})} \frac{2}{2D - 3} \frac{d}{R} + \cdots.$$

Hence, derivative expansion gives

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DE,DD}} \sim -\frac{\hbar c \Gamma (D - \frac{1}{2}) \zeta (D + 1) H \sqrt{R}}{2^{2D - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma (\frac{D}{2}) d^{D - \frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + \left[\frac{4D - 5}{12(2D - 3)} - \frac{(D - 2)(D - 3)}{3D(2D - 3)} \frac{\zeta (D - 1)}{\zeta (D + 1)} \right] \frac{d}{R} + \cdots \right), \tag{63}$$

agreeing with the result we obtained in the first formula of (44).

Encouraged by this, we would like to give a postulate for the result of derivative expansion for the DN, ND, and NN boundary conditions, in the case where the two interacting objects are both curved. Inspired by Ref. [54], let us formulate the following ansatz for the small separation asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction energy between the two curved objects in (D + 1)-dimensional Minkowski spacetime:

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DE}} = \int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cas}}^{\parallel}(H) (1 + \beta_1(H) \nabla H_1 \cdot \nabla H_1 + \beta_2(H) \nabla H_2 \cdot \nabla H_2 + \beta_{\times}(H) \nabla H_1 \cdot \nabla H_2 + \cdots),$$
(64)

where $\mathcal{E}_{Cas}^{\parallel}$ is the Casimir energy density between two parallel plates for a conformally coupled massless scalar field, Σ can be taken to be the $x_1 = 0$ plate parametrized by $\mathbf{x}_{\perp} = (x_2, ..., x_D), x_1 = H_1(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$ and $x_2 = H_2(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$ are the height profiles of the two objects with respect to Σ , and $H = H_1 - H_2$ is the height difference. When the second object is a plate, we can take it as the plate $x_1 = 0$, and then $H_2 = 0$.

Notice that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{Cas}}^{\parallel,\text{XY}}(H) = \frac{b_0^{\text{XY}}(D)}{H^D},\tag{65}$$

where

$$b_0^{\text{DD}}(D) = b_0^{\text{NN}}(D) = -\frac{\hbar c \Gamma(\frac{D+1}{2})\zeta(D+1)}{2^{D+1}\pi^{\frac{D+1}{2}}},$$

$$b_0^{\text{DN}}(D) = b_0^{\text{ND}}(D) = (1-2^{-D})\frac{\hbar c \Gamma(\frac{D+1}{2})\zeta(D+1)}{2^{D+1}\pi^{\frac{D+1}{2}}}.$$
 (66)

According to the result of Ref. [53] we mentioned above,

$$\beta_1^{\rm DD} = \beta_2^{\rm DD} = \frac{D+1}{6} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{6D} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)}.$$
 (67)

We are going to determine the values of β_1 , β_2 , and β_{\times} for DD, DN, ND, and NN boundary conditions based on our results on the small separation asymptotic expansions of the Casimir interaction energies between two cylinders exterior to each other.

We can take the two cylinders to have height profiles

$$H_1 = L_1 - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x_2^2}, \qquad H_2 = -L_2 + \sqrt{R_2^2 - x_2^2}.$$

Then, $L = L_1 + L_2$ is the distance between the centers of the cylinders. Assuming that $R_1 < R_2$, some tedious computations give

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{1}{H^{D}} = 2H \int_{0}^{R_{1}} dx \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_{1}^{2} - x^{2}} - \sqrt{R_{2}^{2} - x^{2}})^{D}} = \frac{H}{d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi R_{1}R_{2}}{R_{1} + R_{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(D)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{9}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_{1} + R_{2}} - \frac{3}{4(2D - 3)} \left(\frac{d}{R_{1}} + \frac{d}{R_{2}}\right) + \cdots \right\}, \quad (68)$$

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{\nabla H_1 \cdot \nabla H_1}{H^D} = 2H \int_0^{R_1} dx \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x^2} - \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2})^D} \frac{x^2}{R_1^2 - x^2} \\ = \frac{H}{d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}} \frac{\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(D)} \frac{2}{2D - 3} \left(\frac{d}{R_1} - \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots\right),$$
(69)

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{\nabla H_2 \cdot \nabla H_2}{H^D} = 2H \int_0^{R_1} dx \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x^2} - \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2})^D} \frac{x^2}{R_2^2 - x^2} \\ = \frac{H}{d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}} \frac{\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(D)} \frac{2}{2D - 3} \left(\frac{d}{R_2} - \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots\right),$$
(70)

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{\nabla H_1 \cdot \nabla H_2}{H^D} = -2H \int_0^{R_1} dx \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x^2} - \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2})^D} \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{R_1^2 - x^2} \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2}} \\ = -\frac{H}{d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}} \frac{\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(D)} \frac{2}{2D - 3} \left(\frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots\right).$$
(71)

Substituting into (64), we find that for the interaction of two cylinders

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DE,XY}} = b_0^{\text{XY}} \frac{H}{d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}} \frac{\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(D)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{9}{4(2D - 3)} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} - \frac{3}{4(2D - 3)} \left(\frac{d}{R_1} + \frac{d}{R_2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2\beta_1^{\text{XY}}(D)}{2D - 3} \left(\frac{d}{R_1} - \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} \right) + \frac{2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D)}{2D - 3} \left(\frac{d}{R_2} - \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} \right) - \frac{2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D)}{2D - 3} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots \right\} \\ \left. = b_0^{\text{XY}} \frac{H}{d^{D-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}} \frac{\Gamma(D - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(D)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2D - 3} \left(\frac{9}{4} - 2\beta_1^{\text{XY}}(D) - 2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D) - 2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D) \right) \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2D - 3} \left(2\beta_1^{\text{XY}}(D) - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{d}{R_1} + \frac{1}{2D - 3} \left(2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D) - \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{d}{R_2} + \cdots \right\}.$$
(72)

Comparing to our results (59), we find that the leading terms do agree, and the next-to-leading-order terms give

$$\frac{9}{4} - 2\beta_{1}^{XY}(D) - 2\beta_{2}^{XY}(D) - 2\beta_{\times}^{XY}(D) = -\frac{4D - 5}{4},$$

$$2\beta_{1}^{DD} - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{4D - 5}{12} - \frac{(D - 2)(D - 3)}{3D} \frac{\zeta(D - 1)}{\zeta(D + 1)}, \qquad \beta_{2}^{DD}(D) = \beta_{1}^{DD}(D),$$

$$2\beta_{1}^{DN} - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{4D - 5}{12} - \frac{(D - 2)(D - 3)}{3D} \frac{\zeta(D - 1)}{\zeta(D + 1)} \frac{2^{D} - 4}{2^{D} - 1}, \qquad \beta_{2}^{ND}(D) = \beta_{1}^{DN}(D),$$

$$2\beta_{1}^{ND} - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{4D - 5}{12} - \frac{D^{2} + 7D - 6}{3D} \frac{\zeta(D - 1)}{\zeta(D + 1)} \frac{2^{D} - 4}{2^{D} - 1}, \qquad \beta_{2}^{DN}(D) = \beta_{1}^{ND}(D),$$

$$2\beta_{1}^{NN} - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{4D - 5}{12} - \frac{D^{2} + 7D - 6}{3D} \frac{\zeta(D - 1)}{\zeta(D + 1)} \frac{2^{D} - 4}{2^{D} - 1}, \qquad \beta_{2}^{DN}(D) = \beta_{1}^{ND}(D),$$
(73)

From these, we obtain

$$\beta_{\times}^{XY}(D) = \frac{D+1}{2} - \beta_1^{XY}(D) - \beta_2^{XY}(D), \tag{74}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \beta_{1}^{\text{DD}}(D) &= \beta_{2}^{\text{DD}}(D) = \frac{D+1}{6} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{6D} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)},\\ \beta_{1}^{\text{DN}}(D) &= \beta_{2}^{\text{ND}}(D) = \frac{D+1}{6} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{6D} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)} \frac{2^{D}-4}{2^{D}-1},\\ \beta_{1}^{\text{ND}}(D) &= \beta_{2}^{\text{DN}}(D) = \frac{D+1}{6} - \frac{D^{2}+7D-6}{6D} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)} \frac{2^{D}-4}{2^{D}-1},\\ \beta_{1}^{\text{NN}}(D) &= \beta_{2}^{\text{NN}}(D) = \frac{D+1}{6} - \frac{D^{2}+7D-6}{6D} \frac{\zeta(D-1)}{\zeta(D+1)}. \end{split}$$
(75)

When D = 3, these agree with the results obtained in Ref. [54].

Now, let us compare these results to the results of two spheres we obtained in Ref. [43]. In this case, we take the height profiles of the spheres with radii R_1 and R_2 to be

$$H_1 = L_1 - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x_\perp^2}, \qquad H_2 = -L_2 + \sqrt{R_2^2 - x_\perp^2},$$

where $x_{\perp} = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \cdots + x_D^2}$. $L_1 + L_2 = L$ is the distance between the centers of the spheres.

Now, assuming $R_1 < R_2$, some tedious computations give

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{1}{H^{D}} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})} \int_{0}^{R_{1}} dx_{\perp} x_{\perp}^{D-2} \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_{1}^{2} - x_{\perp}^{2}} - \sqrt{R_{2}^{2} - x_{\perp}^{2}})^{D}} \\ = \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{2^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}) d^{\frac{D+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{R_{1}R_{2}}{R_{1} + R_{2}}\right)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \left\{1 + \frac{3(D+1)}{4} \frac{d}{R_{1} + R_{2}} - \frac{D+1}{4} \left(\frac{d}{R_{1}} + \frac{d}{R_{2}}\right) + \cdots\right\},$$
(76)

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{\nabla H_1 \cdot \nabla H_1}{H^D} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})} \int_0^{R_1} dx_{\perp} x_{\perp}^{D-2} \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x_{\perp}^2} - \sqrt{R_2^2 - x_{\perp}^2})^D} \frac{x_{\perp}^2}{R_1^2 - x_{\perp}^2} \\ = \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{2^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}) d^{\frac{D+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}\right)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \left(\frac{2d}{R_1} - \frac{2d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots\right),$$
(77)

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{\nabla H_2 \cdot \nabla H_2}{H^D} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})} \int_0^{R_1} dx_{\perp} x_{\perp}^{D-2} \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x_{\perp}^2} - \sqrt{R_2^2 - x_{\perp}^2})^D} \frac{x_{\perp}^2}{R_2^2 - x_{\perp}^2} \\ = \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{2^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}) d^{\frac{D+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}\right)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \left(\frac{2d}{R_2} - \frac{2d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots\right),$$
(78)

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \frac{\nabla H_1 \cdot \nabla H_2}{H^D} = -\frac{2\pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})} \int_0^{R_1} dx_{\perp} x_{\perp}^{D-2} \frac{1}{(L - \sqrt{R_1^2 - x_{\perp}^2} - \sqrt{R_2^2 - x_{\perp}^2})^D} \frac{x_{\perp}^2}{\sqrt{R_1^2 - x_{\perp}^2} \sqrt{R_2^2 - x^2}} \\ = -\frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{2^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}) d^{\frac{D+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}\right)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \left(\frac{2d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots\right).$$
(79)

Hence, for the interaction of two spheres, our ansatz (64) gives

$$E_{\text{Cas}}^{\text{DE,XY}} = b_0^{\text{XY}} \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{2^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}) d^{\frac{D+1}{2}}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3(D+1)}{4} \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} - \frac{D+1}{4} \left(\frac{d}{R_1} + \frac{d}{R_2} \right) + 2\beta_1^{\text{XY}}(D) \left(\frac{d}{R_1} - \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} \right) + 2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D) \left(\frac{d}{R_2} - \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} \right) - 2\beta_x^{\text{XY}}(D) \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \cdots \right\} \\ = b_0^{\text{XY}} \frac{\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{2^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}) d^{\frac{D+1}{2}}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{3(D+1)}{4} - 2\beta_1^{\text{XY}}(D) - 2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D) - 2\beta_x^{\text{XY}}(D) \right) \frac{d}{R_1 + R_2} + \left(2\beta_1^{\text{XY}}(D) - \frac{D+1}{4} \right) \frac{d}{R_1} + \left(2\beta_2^{\text{XY}}(D) - \frac{D+1}{4} \right) \frac{d}{R_2} + \cdots \right\}.$$

$$(80)$$

With the values of β_1 and β_2 given by (75), this agrees perfectly with the result we obtained in Ref. [43] for two spheres when $D \neq 4$.

Now, some explanations are in order. The derivative expansion technique is a formal and nonrigorous method to obtain the small separation asymptotic behavior of the Casimir interaction energy. The result might not be correct due to some unobserved singularities in the formal derivation. Therefore, the ansatz (64) can only be used as a reference for the small separation asymptotic expansion of the Casimir interaction energy, but it needs to be checked against actual computations.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we considered the Casimir interaction in (D + 1)-dimensional spacetime due to the vacuum fluctuations of massless scalar fields between a cylinder and a plate, between two parallel cylinders where one is inside the other, and between two parallel cylinders exterior to each other. We derived the explicit integral representations for the Casimir interaction energies and use them to study the large separation and small separation asymptotic behaviors of the Casimir interactions. The large separation asymptotic behaviors were easy to compute, and the order

of decay was the smallest in the Dirichlet-Dirichlet case and largest in the Neumann-Neumann case. The computations of the small separation asymptotic behaviors were more complicated. The leading terms were found to agree with the proximity force approximation. The results on the next-to-leading-order terms are important, and they exhibit some universal behaviors. In particular, we found that for the cylinder-plate case the ratio of the next-to-leading-order term to the leading-order term was inversely proportional to D. For the case where one cylinder was inside the other, the ratio of the next-to-leading-order term to the leading-order term approached the limiting value 1/2 when D was large. For the case where the two cylinders were outside each other, the ratio of the next-to-leading-order term to the leading-order term approached the limiting value -1/2when D was large. Hence, we found that the ratio was bounded in dimensions for all cases we considered. Therefore, the corrections to the proximity force approximation will not get larger in higher dimensions, in contrast to the sphere-plate and sphere-sphere interactions, where it was found that the ratio of the next-to-leading-order term to the leading-order term is proportional to D when D is large [42,43].

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 025023 (2015)

An interesting thing to note is that our small separation asymptotic expansion for the case of the Dirichlet-Dirichlet cylinder-plate interaction agreed with the result derived using derivative expansion in Ref. [53]. Generalizing the D = 3 case in Ref. [54], we postulated a general form of the derivative expansion for the small separation asymptotic expansion of the scalar Casimir interaction energy in (D+1)-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, for two curved surfaces with combinations of the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, based on our results on the cylinder-cylinder interaction. We also checked our postulate with the results we obtained for the sphere-sphere interaction in Ref. [43] and found that the postulate gives correct expansion except when D = 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia under FRGS Grant No. FRGS/1/ 2013/ST02/UNIM/02/2. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for the valuable comments that helped to improve the paper.

Numerical

-1.1565

-0.5509

-0.3686

-0.2811

APPENDIX: TABULATION OF CONSTANTS

see Table I.

TABLE I. The values of \varkappa^{XY} for $3 \le D \le 6$.								
	$\varkappa^{ m DD}$		$\varkappa^{ m DN}$		$\kappa^{ m ND}$		× ^{NN}	
D	Exact	Numerical	Exact	Numerical	Exact	Numerical	Exact	
3	$\frac{7}{36}$	0.1944	$\frac{7}{36}$	0.1944	$\frac{7}{36} - \frac{160}{21\pi^2}$	-0.5775	$\frac{7}{36} - \frac{40}{3\pi^2}$	
4	$\frac{11}{60} - \frac{1}{30} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(5)}$	0.1447	$\frac{11}{60} - \frac{2}{75} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(5)}$	0.1524	$\frac{11}{60} - \frac{38}{75} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(5)}$	-0.4040	$\frac{11}{60} - \frac{19}{30}\frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(5)}$	
5	$\frac{5}{28} - \frac{3}{5\pi^2}$	0.1178	$\frac{5}{28} - \frac{84}{155\pi^2}$	0.1237	$\frac{5}{28} - \frac{756}{155\pi^2}$	-0.3156	$\frac{5}{28} - \frac{27}{5\pi^2}$	
6	$\frac{19}{108} - \frac{2}{27} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\zeta(7)}$	0.0998	$\frac{19}{108} - \frac{40}{567} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\zeta(7)}$	0.1034	$\frac{19}{108} - \frac{80}{189} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\zeta(7)}$	-0.2594	$\frac{19}{108} - \frac{4}{9} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\zeta(7)}$	

- [1] H. B. G. Casimir, Proc. Kon. Nederland. Akad. Wetensch. B 51, 793 (1948).
- [2] M. Bordag, G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Advances in The Casimir Effect (Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 2009).
- [3] S. K. Blau and M. Visser, Nucl. Phys. B310, 163 (1988).
- [4] E. Elizalde, Phys. Lett. B 516, 143 (2001).
- [5] K. A. Milton, Gravitation Cosmol. 9, 66 (2003).
- [6] G. Mahajan, S. Sarkar, and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Lett. B **641**, 6 (2006).
- [7] J. Ambjrn and S. Wolfram, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 147, 1 (1983).
- [8] E. Elizalde, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 35, 3308 (1994).

- [9] C. M. Bender and K. A. Milton, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6547 (1994).
- [10] K. A. Milton, Phys. Rev. D 55, 4940 (1997).
- [11] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, and K. Kirsten, J. Phys. A 34, 7311 (2001).
- [12] A. Romeo and A. A. Saharian, Phys. Rev. D 63, 105019 (2001).
- [13] A. A. Saharian, Phys. Rev. D 63, 125007 (2001).
- [14] A. A. Saharian and A. S. Tarloyan, J. Phys. A 39, 13371 (2006).
- [15] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D 82, 085009 (2010).
- [16] L. P. Teo, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 54, 103505 (2013).

- [17] S. Bellucci and A. A. Saharian, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 3047 (2014).
- [18] H. Gies, K. Langfeld, and L. Moyaerts, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2003) 018.
- [19] H. Gies, K. Langfeld, and L. Moyaerts, J. Phys. A 39, 6415 (2006).
- [20] H. Gies and K. Klingmüller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 220401 (2006).
- [21] H. Gies and K. Klingmüller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 220405 (2006).
- [22] H. Gies and K. Klingmüller, Phys. Rev. D 74, 045002 (2006).
- [23] A. Lambrecht, P. A. Maia-Neto, and S. Reynaud, New J. Phys. 8, 243 (2006).
- [24] A. Bulgac, P. Magierski, and A. Wirzba, Phys. Rev. D 73, 025007 (2006).
- [25] T. Emig, R. L. Jaffe, M. Kadar, and A. Scardicchio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 080403 (2006).
- [26] S. J. Rahi, T. Emig, R. L. Jaffe, and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. A 78, 012104 (2008).
- [27] T. Emig, N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe, and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 170403 (2007).
- [28] T. Emig, N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe, and M. Kadar, Phys. Rev. D 77, 025005 (2008).
- [29] T. Emig and R. L. Jaffe, J. Phys. A 41, 164001 (2008).
- [30] T. Emig, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P04007.
- [31] S. J. Rahi, T. Emig, N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe, and M. Kadar, Phys. Rev. D 80, 085021 (2009).
- [32] M. Bordag, Phys. Rev. D 73, 125018 (2006).
- [33] M. Bordag, Phys. Rev. D 75, 065003 (2007).
- [34] O. Kenneth and I. Klich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 160401 (2006).
- [35] O. Kenneth and I. Klich, Phys. Rev. B 78, 014103 (2008).

- [36] K. A. Milton and J. Wagner, J. Phys. A 41, 155402 (2008).
- [37] K. Milton and J. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D 77, 045005 (2008).
- [38] D. A. R. Dalvit, F. C. Lombardo, F. D. Mazzitelli, and R. Onofrio, Phys. Rev. A 74, 020101(R) (2006).
- [39] F.D. Mazzitelli, D.A.R. Dalvit, and F.C. Lombardo, New J. Phys. 8, 240 (2006).
- [40] F. C. Lombardo, F. D. Mazzitelli, P. I. Villar, and D. A. R. Dalvit, Phys. Rev. A 82, 042509 (2010).
- [41] L. P. Teo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 27, 1230021 (2012).
- [42] L. P. Teo, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 55, 043508 (2014).
- [43] L. P. Teo, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2014) 016.
- [44] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D 89, 105033 (2014).
- [45] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D 90, 045012 (2014).
- [46] M. Bordag and V. Nikolaev, J. Phys. A 41, 164002 (2008).
- [47] M. Bordag and V. Nikolaev, Phys. Rev. D 81, 065011 (2010).
- [48] L. P. Teo, M. Bordag, and V. Nikolaev, Phys. Rev. D 84, 125037 (2011).
- [49] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D 84, 025022 (2011).
- [50] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D 84, 065027 (2011).
- [51] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D 85, 045027 (2012).
- [52] L. P. Teo, Phys. Rev. D 88, 045019 (2013).
- [53] C. D. Fosco, F. C. Lombardo, and F. D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. D 86, 045021 (2012).
- [54] G. Bimonte, T. Emig, R. L. Jaffe, and M. Kadar, Europhys. Lett. 97, 50001 (2012).
- [55] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1972).
- [56] C. D. Fosco, F. C. Lombardo, and F. D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. D 84, 105031 (2011).
- [57] C. D. Fosco, F. C. Lombardo, and F. D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. A 89, 062120 (2014).