Recursive solutions of Lagrangian perturbation theory

Takahiko Matsubara

Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan (Received 6 May 2015; published 30 July 2015)

In the standard perturbation theory (SPT) of self-gravitating Newtonian fluid in an expanding universe, recurrence relations for higher-order solutions are well known and play an important role both in practical applications and in theoretical investigations. The recurrence relations in Lagrangian perturbation theory (LPT), however, have not been known for a long time. Recently, two different kinds of recurrence relations in LPT have been proposed in limited cases. In this paper, we generalize those methods, and most generally derive the recurrence relations, which are capable of including any initial condition in general models of cosmology. The fastest-growing modes in the general relations are identified, and simplified recurrence relations with accurate approximation for the time dependence are obtained.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.023534

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 04.25.Nx, 98.65.-r

I. INTRODUCTION

The large-scale structure (LSS) of the Universe is an important source of information about our Universe. Theoretically understanding physical origins and statistical properties of LSS is quite important in cosmology. The driving force for the evolution of LSS is gravitational instability. Initial density fluctuations are amplified by the attractive force of gravity and cause the origin of the present structure. The evolution of LSS is complicated for its nonlinear nature of dynamics.

The nonlinear structure formation is complicated, and the nonlinear dynamics in general is quite hard to analytically understand. When the nonlinearity is considered weak, one can apply the perturbation theory. The linear theory of gravitational instability describes the evolution at sufficiently early stages or on sufficiently large scales of LSS. The linear theory has been quite successful in cosmology. Most observational signals from cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation are understood by the linear theory, because the CMB radiation is emitted at a sufficiently early time, when the amplitude of density fluctuations are extremely small. While the amplitudes of density fluctuations in the present Universe are large on small scales, they are still small on large scales.

The linear theory of density fluctuations is relatively simple to analyze, as each Fourier mode independently evolves with time. However, complicated couplings of mode take place when the fluctuations becomes nonlinear. Early stages of the mode coupling can be analytically described by higher-order perturbation theory beyond the linear approximation [1]. As recent observations of LSS are large enough, precise descriptions of a weakly nonlinear regime are important for cosmological analyses. In this respect, the higher-order perturbation theory attracts much attention these days.

A straightforward way of describing nonlinear perturbations is the standard perturbation theory (SPT), in which all the perturbation variables are expanded in Eulerian space [2]. Along with recent interests in cosmological perturbation theory, theoretically various ways of improving the SPT have been proposed, such as the renormalized perturbation theory (RPT) [3,4], effective field theory of large-scale structure (EFTofLSS) [5,6], and many others [7]. The SPT and its extensions fall into a category of Eulerian perturbation theory. An alternative to the Eulerian perturbation theory is provided by the Lagrangian perturbation theory (LPT), in which all the perturbation variables are expanded in Lagrangian space [8-15]. The first-order LPT corresponds to the classic Zel'dovich approximation [16], which is a generalization of an exact solution in onedimensional space [17]. Although the Lagrangian variables are not directly observable, there exists a systematic way of predicting observable quantities from LPT [18–24].

As expected, there are merits and demerits in the SPT and LPT (see, e.g., Refs. [25,26]), and they are complementary to each other. While LPT cannot be extrapolated into a strongly nonlinear regime beyond the shell-crossing phase, there are several advantages over the SPT in a weakly nonlinear regime. In cosmological N-body simulations, it is convenient and customary to use the LPT to set up the initial conditions. Including the effects of redshift-space distortions into the higher-order perturbation theory is straightforward and natural in LPT [18,27]. Most of the physical models of bias, such as the halo model [28], peaks model [29], excursion set peaks [30], etc. are Lagrangian bias, in which the bias relations are defined in Lagrangian space. It is natural to use LPT to describe the evolution of biased objects in those models. In the formalism of integrated perturbation theory (iPT) [19,20,24,31], the

taka@kmi.nagoya-u.ac.jp

redshift-space distortions and Lagrangian bias naturally fit into LPT.

One of the striking advantages of SPT over LPT is that there are well-known recursive solutions of SPT for orderby-order expressions [32]. Those solutions are exact in the Einstein–de Sitter (EdS) model of cosmology, and approximate in most of the realistic models. The recursive solutions are practically crucial to give predictions of higher-order perturbation theory by numerical integrations. Evaluating two- or higher-loop corrections to the power spectrum fully utilizes the recursive solutions of SPT [3,33–35].

Until recently, the recursive solutions of LPT had been considered difficult to obtain, because the displacement fields in LPT are not irrotational in general, even though the Eulerian velocity fields are irrotational in the fastest-growing mode [2]. However, progress has been made by a pioneering work [36] that describes how recursive solutions for the transverse part of displacement field are shown to be derived from the irrotational condition for the Eulerian velocity field. In the same reference, a hybrid procedure is proposed to derive the recursive solutions for the longitudinal part from the known recursive solutions of SPT, by using the SPT/LPT correspondence of perturbation kernels [20,21].

Most recently, in a somehow different context, recurrence relations of LPT are derived without resorting to SPT [37,38]. Partly because the main target of the last formalism is to obtain exact solutions to the nonlinear fluid equations, the expansion parameter is a time parameter such as the scale factor, instead of the field values as in the usual LPT. This expansion scheme agrees with that of usual LPT for the fastest-growing mode in the Einstein–de Sitter (EdS) universe, but not for other modes and cosmological models. While the new expansion scheme of LPT based on a time parameter should be useful for solving particular problems, it is fair to say that recurrence relations in the usual expansion scheme of LPT are still lacking for general models of cosmology.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the above previous work and seek the recurrence relations within the usual framework of LPT. We show that they actually exist for any initial condition in general cosmology, and recursive solutions are explicitly derived. Besides, irrotational flows in Eulerian space do not need to be assumed to begin with, and it is explicitly shown that the fastest-growing modes of LPT automatically result in irrotational flows in Eulerian space.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the framework of LPT is reviewed, and fundamental equations are derived and summarized in convenient forms for our purpose. In Sec. III, the most general forms of recurrence relations of LPT are derived both in configuration space and in Fourier space. In Sec. IV, recurrence relations with a simple and accurate approximation of time dependence are presented. Conclusions are given in Sec. V.

In Appendix A, an alternative form of recurrence relations for the longitudinal part is presented. In Appendix B, recursive solutions up to seventh order in perturbations are explicitly given.

II. THE LAGRANGIAN PERTURBATION THEORY

For a pressureless Newtonian self-gravitating fluid, the equation of motion of the Eulerian comoving coordinates $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of a fluid element is given by [1]

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} + 2H\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = -\frac{1}{a^2}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \qquad (1)$$

where a dot represents a Lagrangian derivative of time, $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the time-dependent Hubble parameter, $\nabla_x = \partial/\partial x$ is a spatial derivative in Eulerian space, and $\phi(x, t)$ is the gravitational potential. The gravitational potential is related to the density contrast $\delta(x, t) = \rho(x, t)/\bar{\rho} - 1$ through a Poisson equation,

$$\Delta_x \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 4\pi G \bar{\rho} a^2 \delta(\mathbf{x}, t), \qquad (2)$$

where $\Delta_x = \nabla_x^2$ is the Laplacian operator.

Independent field variables in LPT are the displacement field $\Psi(q, t)$, which corresponds to the position difference of a fluid element between Lagrangian coordinates q and Eulerian coordinates x at a given time t, i.e.,

$$\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{q},t). \tag{3}$$

As mass elements are distributed homogeneously in Lagrangian coordinates, the Eulerian density field $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is given by a continuity relation $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)d^3x = \bar{\rho}d^3q$, or $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \bar{\rho}/J(\mathbf{q}, t)$, where

$$J(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \det(\partial \boldsymbol{x}/\partial \boldsymbol{q}) \tag{4}$$

is a Jacobian. Taking the divergence and rotation with respect to Eulerian coordinates, Eq. (1) is equivalent to a set of equations,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \left(\ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} + 2H\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \right) = 4\pi G\bar{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1}{J} \right), \tag{5}$$

$$\nabla_x \times (\ddot{x} + 2H\dot{x}) = 0. \tag{6}$$

We define Jacobian matrix elements,

$$J_{ij}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} = \delta_{ij} + \Psi_{i,j}(\boldsymbol{q},t), \qquad (7)$$

where the comma denotes spatial derivatives with respect to Lagrangian coordinates, i.e., $\Psi_{i,j} = \partial \Psi_i / \partial q_j$. Eulerian spatial derivatives are given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = (J^{-1})_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i},\tag{8}$$

in terms of Lagrangian spatial derivatives, where $(J^{-1})_{ij}$ represents matrix elements of the inverse Jacobian matrix. In terms of the Levi-Cività symbol ε_{ijk} , the determinant and inverse matrix are generally given by

$$J = \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{pqr} J_{ip} J_{jq} J_{kr}, \tag{9}$$

$$(J^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{1}{2J} \varepsilon_{jkp} \varepsilon_{iqr} J_{kq} J_{pr}.$$
 (10)

The second equation is derived from the first equation and a standard formula $(J^{-1})_{ij} = J_{ji}^c/J$, where $J_{ij}^c = \partial J/\partial J_{ij}$ are cofactors of the Jacobian. The above formulas are quite useful in LPT [21].

In the following, we frequently use a differential operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 2H\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\tag{11}$$

where the partial derivative is taken with fixed Lagrangian coordinates, $\partial/\partial t = \partial/\partial t|_q$. One should notice that this is *not* a first-order differential operator. For any functions of time, A(t), B(t), C(t), the product rules of the above operator are given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}(AB) = \hat{\mathcal{T}}(A)B + A\hat{\mathcal{T}}(B) + 2\dot{A}\dot{B}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}(ABC) = \hat{\mathcal{T}}(A)BC + A\hat{\mathcal{T}}(B)C + AB\hat{\mathcal{T}}(C) + 2A\dot{B}\dot{C} + 2\dot{A}B\dot{C} + 2\dot{A}\dot{B}C, \qquad (13)$$

and so forth. Substituting Eqs. (3), (8) into Eqs. (5), (6), and using the above formulas, we have

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{pqr}J_{ip}J_{jq}\left(\hat{\mathcal{T}}-\frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}}{3}\right)J_{kr}+8\pi G\bar{\rho}=0,\quad(14)$$

$$J_{ij}\varepsilon_{jkp}J_{qk}\hat{\mathcal{T}}J_{qp} = 0, \qquad (15)$$

where a contraction identity $\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ipq} = \delta_{jp}\delta_{kq} - \delta_{jq}\delta_{kp}$ is used to derive the second equation. With Eq. (7), the above set of equations fully describes the dynamical evolution of the displacement field Ψ . Essentially equivalent equations are given in Refs. [13,21], although they assume the irrotational condition for the velocity field, $\nabla_x \times v = 0$, which is *not* assumed here. It can be shown that the irrotational condition is compatible to the dynamical equation, Eq. (15), and it is a consequence of the fastest-growing mode of LPT, as we will explicitly see in the following sections.

The evolution equations above are nonlinear and it is hopeless to analytically find a general solution. When the scales of interest λ are sufficiently larger than the typical scales of the displacement, $|\Psi| \ll \lambda$, it is useful to solve the nonlinear equations of motion by applying the perturbation theory, assuming the absolute values of displacement field are small enough. We expand the displacement field by a perturbation series,

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(n)} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(2)} + \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(3)} + \cdots, \qquad (16)$$

where $\Psi^{(n)}$ has the order of $(\Psi^{(1)})^n$.

III. RECURRENCE RELATIONS

According to an identity $\nabla \times (\nabla \times A) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) - \Delta A$ in the standard vector calculus, the displacement field is represented in a form,

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\nabla} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\Psi})], \quad (17)$$

where $\nabla = \partial/\partial q$ is the spatial derivative in Lagrangian coordinates, and Δ^{-1} is the inverse operator of the Laplacian $\Delta = \nabla \cdot \nabla$. Specifically, the inverse Laplacian in configuration space, operating on a given function F(q), is represented by

$$\Delta^{-1}F(\boldsymbol{q}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3 q' \frac{F(\boldsymbol{q}')}{|\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{q}'|}.$$
 (18)

From two kinds of spatial derivatives $\nabla \cdot \Psi$ and $\nabla \times \Psi$, one can reconstruct the displacement field by Eq. (17). Solutions of the Laplace's equation, $\Delta \Psi = 0$, should not be added to Eq. (17), as we impose the statistical zero mean to the displacement field, $\langle \Psi \rangle = 0$. We call the first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (17) the longitudinal part, and the second term the transverse part. In Lagrangian dynamics, these two parts are generally coupled to each other.

A. Longitudinal part

Substituting Eq. (7) into Eqs. (14), we have

$$(\hat{T} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})\Psi_{i,i} = -\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ipq}\Psi_{j,p}(\hat{T} - 2\pi G\bar{\rho})\Psi_{k,q} -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{pqr}\Psi_{i,p}\Psi_{j,q}\left(\hat{T} - \frac{4\pi G}{3}\bar{\rho}\right)\Psi_{k,r}.$$
(19)

While the left-hand side linearly depends on the displacement field, the right-hand side consists of higher-order terms. The structure of this equation is the basis of the recurrence relations. Regarding the right-hand side as a source function, Eq. (19) has a form of inhomogeneous linear differential equation,

$$(\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})g(t) = F(t).$$
⁽²⁰⁾

TAKAHIKO MATSUBARA

The general solution of Eq. (20) is found by a standard method of ordinary differential equations as follows. The homogeneous equation of Eq. (20) is exactly the same as the linearized evolution equation of the Eulerian density contrast, $\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0$, and thus the two independent solutions of the homogeneous equation are the growing mode solution $D_+(t)$ and decaying mode solution $D_-(t)$ [1]. For example, $D_+(t) \propto t^{2/3}$, $D_-(t) \propto t^{-1}$ in the EdS model, and some analytic solutions are known for several cosmological models. The growing mode solution $D_+(t)$ is also known as the linear growth factor. The general solution of the inhomogeneous differential equation, Eq. (20), is given by

$$g(t) = C_1 D_+(t) + C_2 D_-(t) + \int_{t_{\rm in}}^t G(t, t') F(t') dt', \quad (21)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are integration constants, t_{in} is the initial time, and

$$G(t,t') \equiv \frac{D_{+}(t)D_{-}(t') - D_{-}(t)D_{+}(t')}{\dot{D}_{+}(t')D_{-}(t') - \dot{D}_{-}(t')D_{+}(t')}.$$
 (22)

The last term of Eq. (21) is a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation. We denote this particular solution as an inverse of the differential operator,

$$(\hat{T} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1}F(t) \equiv \int_{t_{\rm in}}^{t} G(t, t')F(t')dt', \qquad (23)$$

which is a linear operator.

Using the notations above, a formal solution of Eq. (19) is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \Psi = D_{+}(t)A_{+} + D_{-}(t)A_{-} - (\hat{T} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1} \\ \times \left[\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ipq}\Psi_{j,p}(\hat{T} - 2\pi G\bar{\rho})\Psi_{k,q} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{pqr}\Psi_{i,p}\Psi_{j,q}\left(\hat{T} - \frac{4\pi G}{3}\bar{\rho}\right)\Psi_{k,r} \right], \qquad (24)$$

where A_{\pm} depends only on Lagrangian coordinates. The first two terms of the right-hand side give the linear solution of the longitudinal part,

$$\nabla \cdot \Psi^{(1)} = D_{+}(t)A_{+}(q) + D_{-}(t)A_{-}(q).$$
(25)

The functions $A_{\pm}(q)$ are determined by initial conditions. Substituting the perturbation series of Eq. (16) into Eq. (24) and extracting a component of particular order $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\nabla \cdot \Psi^{(n)} = -\sum_{m_1+m_2=n} (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1} [\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ipq} \Psi^{(m_1)}_{j,p} (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 2\pi G\bar{\rho}) \Psi^{(m_2)}_{k,q}] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1+m_2+m_3=n} (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1} \bigg[\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{pqr} \Psi^{(m_1)}_{i,p} \Psi^{(m_2)}_{j,q} \bigg(\hat{\mathcal{T}} - \frac{4\pi G}{3} \bar{\rho} \bigg) \Psi^{(m_3)}_{k,r} \bigg].$$
(26)

The right-hand side consists of only lower-order perturbations up to order n - 1. When the lower-order solutions $\Psi^{(1)}, \ldots, \Psi^{(n-1)}$ are known, the longitudinal part of *n*th order displacement field $\Psi^{(n)}$ is obtained from this equation. The above Eq. (26) alone is not sufficient to determine the displacement field, because it lacks the transverse part. On the right-hand side, both longitudinal and transverse parts of lower-order perturbations enter, and thus both parts of displacement field are coupled to each other. We need a similar equation for the transverse part, which will be derived in the next subsection. There is an alternative but equivalent representation of Eq. (26), which is described in Appendix A.

Because products of Levi-Cività symbols can be represented by products of the Kronecker delta, Eq. (26) can be equivalently expressed without Levi-Cività symbols. Specifically, the identities

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ipq} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{jp} & \delta_{jq} \\ \delta_{kp} & \delta_{kq} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{pqr} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{ip} & \delta_{iq} & \delta_{ir} \\ \delta_{jp} & \delta_{jq} & \delta_{jr} \\ \delta_{kp} & \delta_{kq} & \delta_{kr} \end{vmatrix}$$
(27)

imply

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ipq}A_{jp}B_{kq} = \text{Tr}A\text{Tr}B - \text{Tr}(AB),$$
 (28)

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{pqr}A_{ip}B_{jq}C_{kr} = \text{Tr}A\text{Tr}B\text{Tr}C - \text{Tr}A\text{Tr}(BC)$$
$$- \text{Tr}B\text{Tr}(CA) - \text{Tr}C\text{Tr}(AB)$$
$$+ \text{Tr}(ABC) + \text{Tr}(ACB). \tag{29}$$

Substituting these identities into Eq. (26), Levi-Cività symbols can be eliminated in the expression.

B. Transverse part

Noting Eq. (15) has the form of $J_{ij}X_j = 0$, and multiplying the inverse Jacobian matrix from the left, we have $X_i = 0$. Therefore Eq. (15) is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}J_{pj}\hat{T}J_{pk} = 0. \tag{30}$$

Substituting Eq. (7) into the above equation, we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} \nabla \times \Psi = \nabla \Psi_i \times \hat{\mathcal{T}} \nabla \Psi_i. \tag{31}$$

An apparent solution of the homogeneous equation $\hat{T}g(t) = 0$ is a constant, and another solution is

$$E_{-}(t) \equiv \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a^2} = \int_{a(t)}^{\infty} \frac{da}{a^3 H},$$
(32)

which is a decaying function of time. In the EdS universe, $E_{-}(t) \propto t^{-1/3}$. From the above solutions of the homogeneous equation, an inverse operator of \hat{T} is constructed as

$$\hat{T}^{-1}F(t) = \int_{t_{\rm in}}^{t} a^2(t') [E_{-}(t) - E_{-}(t')]F(t')dt'$$
$$= \int_{t_{\rm in}}^{t} a^2(t') \left[\int_{t}^{t'} \frac{dt''}{a^2(t'')}\right]F(t')dt'.$$
(33)

The formal solution of Eq. (31) is then given by

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\Psi} = \boldsymbol{B}_0 + E_-(t)\boldsymbol{B}_- + \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Psi_i \times \hat{\mathcal{T}}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Psi_i), \quad (34)$$

where $B_{0,-}(q)$ are integration constants which depend only on Lagrangian coordinates and are divergence-free, $\nabla \cdot B_{0,-} = 0$. The first two terms of the right-hand side give the linear solution of the transverse part,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{B}_0(\boldsymbol{q}) + \boldsymbol{E}_-(t)\boldsymbol{B}_-(\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{35}$$

The divergence-free vectors $B_{0,-}(q)$ are determined by initial conditions. Substituting the perturbation series of Eq. (16) and extracting a component of particular order $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\varPsi}^{(n)} = \sum_{m_1 + m_2 = n} \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \Psi_i^{(m_1)} \times \hat{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Psi_i^{(m_2)}).$$
(36)

The right-hand side consists of only lower-order perturbations up to order n - 1, and thus *n*th-order perturbations of the transverse part are given only by lower-order perturbations. As in the case of Eq. (26), both the longitudinal and transverse parts of lower-order perturbations enter in the right-hand side.

Eqs. (26) and (36), together with Eq. (17) of each order,

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(n)} = \Delta^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\nabla} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(n)}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(n)})], \qquad (37)$$

are the closed set of general recurrence relations from which recursive solutions of LPT can be derived.

C. Seed values: First-order solution and initial condition

The seed values for the recursive solutions are given by the first-order solutions, Eqs. (25), (35). From those equations and Eq. (37) with n = 1, the general solution of first order is given by

$$\Psi^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \Delta^{-1} \{ \nabla [D_{+}(t)A_{+}(\boldsymbol{q}) + D_{-}(t)A_{-}(\boldsymbol{q})] + \nabla \times [\boldsymbol{B}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q}) + E_{-}(t)\boldsymbol{B}_{-}(\boldsymbol{q})] \}.$$
(38)

As the functions $B_{0,-}(q)$ are divergence free, the set of functions $A_{\pm}(q)$, $B_{0,-}(q)$ has 6 degrees of freedom at each Lagrangian point. They are completely determined by the initial condition of displacement field, $\Psi_{in} = \Psi^{(1)}(q, t_{in})$ and $\dot{\Psi}_{in} = \dot{\Psi}^{(1)}(q, t_{in})$. However, physical degrees of freedom in an initial condition for a fluid element are just 4, instead of 6. They are the initial values of density contrast $\delta_{in}(q) \equiv \delta(q, t_{in})$ and peculiar velocity $v_{in}(q) \equiv v(q, t_{in})$ at an initial time t_{in} . Thus the initial conditions for the displacement field have physically redundant degrees of freedom.

To resolve the redundancy, we note that the initial density contrast and peculiar velocity are given by

$$\delta_{\rm in} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\rm in}, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_{\rm in} = a_{\rm in} \dot{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{\rm in}, \qquad (39)$$

where $a_{in} = a(t_{in})$ is the value of the scale factor at the initial time, and we assume that the initial time is sufficiently early and the density contrast is well within the linear regime. It is obvious that only the functions $A_{\pm}(q)$ and $B_{-}(q)$ can be determined by δ_{in} and v_{in} , and the function $B_0(q)$ remains undetermined. Therefore, the initial conditions for the constant-transverse mode B_0 cannot be associated with any physical quantity. This is natural, because a time-invariant rotation of displacement field is just a relabeling of the Lagrangian coordinates of fluid elements, without changing the density and velocity; only the time-varying rotation of displacement field is physically relevant to the vorticity. Thus the function B_0 can be considered as the "gauge" degrees of freedom in the initial condition, and we can freely choose this function without affecting any physical quantity (essentially the same argument is found in Ref. [39] in nonexpanding background space). This function B_0 has 2 degrees of freedom because of the divergence-free condition, and accounts for the redundancy of the initial conditions described above. The simplest and most natural choice is obviously $B_0 = 0$. The arbitrariness of this gauge mode does not affect the fastest-growing mode of displacement field.

The expansion of the Universe at the initial time is well described by the EdS universe, so we have $D_+(t_{\rm in}) \propto t_{\rm in}^{2/3}$, $D_-(t_{\rm in}) \propto t_{\rm in}^{-1}$, $E_-(t_{\rm in}) \propto t_{\rm in}^{-1/3}$, and $\dot{D}_+(t_{\rm in})/D_+(t_{\rm in}) = 2/3t_{\rm in}$, $\dot{D}_-(t_{\rm in})/D_-(t_{\rm in}) = -1/t_{\rm in}$, $\dot{E}_+(t_{\rm in})/E_+(t_{\rm in}) = -1/3t_{\rm in}$. Using these relations, Eq. (39) completely determines the functions $A_{\pm}(q)$, $B_-(q)$. As a result, Eq. (38) can be represented by

$$\Psi^{(1)} = -\Delta^{-1} \left[\frac{3}{5} \frac{D_{+}(t)}{D_{+}(t_{\rm in})} \nabla \left(\delta_{\rm in} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\rm in}}{a_{\rm in} H_{\rm in}} \right) + \frac{2}{5} \frac{D_{-}(t)}{D_{-}(t_{\rm in})} \nabla \left(\delta_{\rm in} + \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\rm in}}{a_{\rm in} H_{\rm in}} \right) - 2 \frac{E_{-}(t)}{E_{-}(t_{\rm in})} \frac{\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}_{\rm in})}{a_{\rm in} H_{\rm in}} \right],$$

$$(40)$$

where $H_{in} = 2/3t_{in}$ is the Hubble parameter at the initial time, and we choose $B_0 = 0$ as described above. The Eulerian counterpart of Eq. (40) can be found in Sec. 15 of Ref. [1]. The first term with a linear growth factor D_+ is the fastest-growing mode. In the most general case, Eq. (40) can be used as seed values for the recurrence relations, Eqs. (26), (36), and (37). Keeping only the fastest-growing mode is sufficiently accurate in most practical applications. Denoting

$$\delta_{\rm L}(\boldsymbol{q},t) \equiv \frac{3}{5} \frac{D_+(t)}{D_+(t_{\rm in})} \left[\delta_{\rm in}(\boldsymbol{q}) - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\rm in}(\boldsymbol{q})}{a_{\rm in} H_{\rm in}} \right], \qquad (41)$$

and keeping only the fastest-growing mode, the Zel'dovich approximation [16], $\Psi^{(1)} = -\nabla \Delta^{-1} \delta_{\rm L}$, is recovered. Adopting the Zel'dovich approximation as the seed values for the recurrence relations, Eqs. (26), (36), (37) of $n \ge 2$, the resultant recursive solutions are also the fastest-growing mode of higher-order perturbations.

D. Representations in Fourier space

Applying the Fourier transform to the displacement field,

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{q}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}(\boldsymbol{k},t), \qquad (42)$$

Eq. (26), (36), and (37) are transformed to

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}) = -i \sum_{m_1+m_2=n} \int_{\mathbf{k}_{12}=\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2) \cdot (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G \bar{\rho})^{-1} [\tilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{(m_1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \times (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 2\pi G \bar{\rho}) \tilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{(m_2)}(\mathbf{k}_2)] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1+m_2+m_3=n} \int_{\mathbf{k}_{123}=\mathbf{k}} [\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 \times \mathbf{k}_3)] (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G \bar{\rho})^{-1} \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{(m_1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \cdot \left[\tilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{(m_2)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \times \left(\hat{\mathcal{T}} - \frac{4\pi G}{3} \bar{\rho} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{(m_3)}(\mathbf{k}_3) \right] \right\}]$$

$$(43)$$

$$\boldsymbol{k} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = i \sum_{m_1+m_2=n} \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_{12}=\boldsymbol{k}} (\boldsymbol{k}_1 \times \boldsymbol{k}_2) \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1} [\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(m_1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{T}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(m_2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2)],$$
(44)

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{k^2} \{ \boldsymbol{k} [\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k})] - \boldsymbol{k} \times [\boldsymbol{k} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k})] \},$$
(45)

where we adopt notations,

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_1 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{k}_n, \qquad \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} = \boldsymbol{k}} \cdots \equiv \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \cdots \frac{d^3 k_n}{(2\pi)^3} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^3(\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} - \boldsymbol{k}) \cdots.$$
(46)

The seed values for the above recurrence relations are given by the Fourier transform of Eq. (37), i.e.,

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{i}{k^2} \left[\frac{3}{5} \frac{D_+(t)}{D_+(t_{\rm in})} \boldsymbol{k} \left(\tilde{\delta}_{\rm in} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm in}}{a_{\rm in} H_{\rm in}} \right) + \frac{2}{5} \frac{D_-(t)}{D_-(t_{\rm in})} \boldsymbol{k} \left(\tilde{\delta}_{\rm in} + \frac{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm in}}{a_{\rm in} H_{\rm in}} \right) - 2 \frac{E_-(t)}{E_-(t_{\rm in})} \frac{i \boldsymbol{k} \times (\boldsymbol{k} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm in})}{a_{\rm in} H_{\rm in}} \right].$$
(47)

Although the time dependence is dropped from the argument of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(n)}$ for notational simplicity, it actually does depend on the time variable.

The above equations are the most general form of recurrence relations in Fourier space. When only the fastest-growing mode is considered, the first-order solution is given by

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{i\boldsymbol{k}}{k^2} D(t)\delta_0(\boldsymbol{k}), \qquad (48)$$

where $D(t) = D_+(t)/D_+(t_0)$ is the linear growth factor normalized at the present time as $D(t_0) = 1$, and $\delta_0(\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{\delta}_L(\mathbf{k}, t_0)$ is the linear density contrast at the present time. Considering only the fastest-growing mode, it is possible to represent the displacement field of each order as

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \frac{i}{N!} \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1\dots n} = \boldsymbol{k}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\dots,\boldsymbol{k}_{n};t) \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{k}_{n}),$$
(49)

 $\tilde{S}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_{n},t)$

where \tilde{L}_n are time-dependent Fourier kernels. For n = 1, we have $\tilde{L}(k; t) = D(t)k/k^2$.

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 023534 (2015)

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_n(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_n;t) \equiv -\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}_n(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_n;t).$$
(51)

In the following, we define and use the functions,

$$\tilde{S}_n(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_n;t) \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}_n(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_n;t), \quad (50)$$

Substituting Eq. (49) into Eqs. (43)–(45), the recurrence relations for the fastest-growing mode of Fourier kernels are obtained as

$$= \sum_{m_1+m_2=n} \frac{n!}{m_1!m_2!} (\mathbf{k}_{1\cdots m_1} \times \mathbf{k}_{(m_1+1)\cdots n}) \cdot (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1} [\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{m_1}(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{m_1}; t) \times (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 2\pi G\bar{\rho}) \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{m_2}(\mathbf{k}_{m_1+1}, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{n}; t)] \\ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1+m_2+m_3=n} \frac{n!}{m_1!m_2!m_3!} [\mathbf{k}_{1\cdots m_1} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_{m_1\cdots (m_1+m_2)} \times \mathbf{k}_{(m_1+m_2+1)\cdots n})] \\ \times (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1} \bigg\{ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{m_1}(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{m_1}; t) \cdot \bigg[\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{m_2}(\mathbf{k}_{m_1+1}, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{m_1+m_2}; t) \times (\hat{\mathcal{T}} - \frac{4\pi G}{3}\bar{\rho}) \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{m_3}(\mathbf{k}_{m_1+m_2+1}, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{n}; t) \bigg] \bigg\},$$
(52)

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n};t) = \sum_{m_{1}+m_{2}=n} \frac{n!}{m_{1}!m_{2}!} (\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots m_{1}} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{(m_{1}+1)\cdots n}) \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1} [\tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}_{m_{1}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}};t) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{T}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}_{m_{2}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}+1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n};t)], \quad (53)$$

$$\tilde{L}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n};t) = \frac{1}{k_{1\cdots n}^{2}} [\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} \tilde{S}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n};t) + \boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n};t)].$$
(54)

The right-hand sides of the above equations are not symmetric with respect to their wave vectors $k_1, ..., k_n$, and so are the perturbation kernels obtained by the recurrence relations. Those wave vectors are interchangeable in Eq. (49), and thus only symmetrized kernels are physically relevant. The symmetrized kernels are obtained from unsymmetric kernels by a symmetrization procedure

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}_{n}^{\text{sym.}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n};t) \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{p(1)},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{p(n)};t), \quad (55)$$

where the summation is taken for all the possible permutations S_n of the arguments. Corresponding to the relations of Eqs. (27)–(29), there are vector identities

$$(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L}') = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{L}' \\ \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{L}' \end{vmatrix},$$
(56)

$$[\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{k}' \times \mathbf{k}'')][\mathbf{L} \cdot (\mathbf{L}' \times \mathbf{L}'')] = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{L}' & \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{L}'' \\ \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{L}' & \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{L}'' \\ \mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{L}' & \mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{L}'' \end{vmatrix},$$
(57)

which provide an alternative expression for Eq. (52).

IV. RECURRENCE RELATIONS WITH APPROXIMATE TIME DEPENDENCE

The recurrence relations derived above, Eqs. (26), (36), (37), (43)–(45), are completely general, and are applicable to any background cosmology. One can consider any initial conditions, and the resulting expressions contain every growing, nongrowing and decaying mode in general. In practical applications, however, one is interested in the fastest-growing mode. It is also known that the time dependence of the fastest-growing mode in higher-order perturbations is approximately given by $\Psi^{(n)} \propto D^n$. This relation is exact for the EdS universe, in which $\Omega_m = 1$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0, D = a$. There are residual time dependencies in general cosmology, although they are quite small for reasonable models [12,40–44]. The reason that the residual time dependencies are small is explained by the structure of the evolution equation in SPT [45,46]. A similar argument also applies in the case of LPT as shown below.

Instead of the proper time t, we can use the logarithm of linear growth factor

$$\tau \equiv \ln D(t),\tag{58}$$

as a time variable. In terms of the new variable, the operator of the type $\hat{T} - \alpha \pi G \bar{\rho}$, which appears in the recurrence relations, Eqs. (26) and (36) with $\alpha = 0, 4/3, 2, 4$, is given by

$$\hat{T} - \alpha \pi G \bar{\rho} = H^2 f^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{\Omega_{\rm m}}{f^2} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{3\alpha}{8} \frac{\Omega_{\rm m}}{f^2} \right],\tag{59}$$

where $f = d \ln D/d \ln a$ is the linear growth rate and $\Omega_{\rm m} = 8\pi G\bar{\rho}/3H^2$ is the time-dependent density parameter. The linear growth rate is approximately given by $f \simeq \Omega_{\rm m}^{0.55}$ for flat models [47] and $f \simeq \Omega_{\rm m}^{0.6}$ for Friedman models [1]. If the growth rate is approximated by $f = \Omega_{\rm m}^{1/2}$ in Eq. (59), all the coefficients in the recurrence relations become independent of time; *n*th-order components of Eqs. (19) and (31) approximately reduce to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \nabla \cdot \Psi^{(n)}$$

$$= -\sum_{m_1 + m_2 = n} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ipq} \Psi^{(m_1)}_{j,p} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{3}{4} \right] \Psi^{(m_2)}_{k,q}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = n} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{pqr} \Psi^{(m_1)}_{i,p} \Psi^{(m_2)}_{j,q}$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \Psi^{(m_3)}_{k,r}, \qquad (60)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \end{bmatrix} \nabla \times \Psi^{(n)}$$
$$= \sum_{m_1 + m_2 = n} \nabla \Psi^{(m_1)}_i \times \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right] \nabla \Psi^{(m_2)}_i. \quad (61)$$

The linear equations with n = 1 are homogeneous, and the general solutions are given by a superposition of independent solutions $e^{\tau} = D$, $e^{-3\tau/2} = D^{-3/2}$ for the longitudinal part, and $e^0 = 1$, $e^{-\tau/2} = D^{-1/2}$ for the transverse part. As a consequence, decaying mode functions in the present approximation are replaced by $D_{-}(t) \rightarrow e^{-3\tau/2} = D^{-3/2}$, $E_{-}(t) \rightarrow e^{-\tau/2} = D^{-1/2}$. The general solution for the first-order displacement field is given by Eq. (40) with these replacements. In general, the differential equations of Eqs. (60) and (61) can be recursively solved by standard methods, e.g., using the Laplace transform, including all the modes of time dependence.

When we are interested in the fastest-growing mode, a simple logic of induction shows that the fastest-growing solutions of the above equations are given by $\Psi^{(n)} \propto e^{n\tau} = D^n$. This conclusion is exact in the EdS model, because $\Omega_m = f = 1$. In general cosmology, even if approximation $f \approx \Omega_m^{1/2}$ is not so accurate at the present time, it is much more accurate in most of the time evolution. Thus the ratio $\Psi^{(n)}/D^n$ in exact solutions is extremely insensitive to background cosmology, even more than what the approximation $f \approx \Omega_m^{1/2}$ would suggest [2].

Substituting the inferred time dependence $\Psi^{(n)} \propto D^n$ of the fastest-growing mode into Eqs. (60), (61), the recurrence relations for $n \ge 2$ with approximate time dependence reduce to

$$\nabla \cdot \Psi^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m_1 + m_2 = n \\ m_1 \le m_2}} M_{m_1 m_2}^{(2)} \\ \times \left[1 - \frac{4m_1 m_2}{(2n+3)(n-1)} \right] \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ipq} \Psi_{j,p}^{(m_1)} \Psi_{k,q}^{(m_2)} \\ - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\substack{m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = n \\ m_1 \le m_2 \le m_3}} M_{m_1 m_2 m_3}^{(3)} \\ \times \left[1 - \frac{4(m_1 m_2 + m_2 m_3 + m_3 m_1)}{(2n+3)(n-1)} \right] \\ \times \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{pqr} \Psi_{i,p}^{(m_1)} \Psi_{j,q}^{(m_2)} \Psi_{k,r}^{(m_3)},$$
(62)

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\varPsi}^{(n)} = \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=n\\m_1 < m_2}} \frac{m_2 - m_1}{n} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^{(m_1)} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^{(m_2)}, \quad (63)$$

where $M_{m_1m_2}^{(2)}$ and $M_{m_1m_2m_3}^{(3)}$ are multiplicity factors defined by

$$M_{m_1m_2}^{(2)} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & (m_1 = m_2) \\ 2 & (m_1 < m_2) \end{cases},$$

$$M_{m_1m_2m_3}^{(3)} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & (m_1 = m_2 = m_3) \\ 3 & (m_1 = m_2 < m_3) \\ 3 & (m_1 < m_2 = m_3) \end{cases},$$

$$6 & (m_1 < m_2 < m_3) \end{cases}.$$
 (64)

The seed values for the above recurrence relation are given by the fastest-growing mode of Eq. (40), i.e., the Zel'dovich approximation,

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(1)} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Delta^{-1}\delta_{\mathrm{L}}.$$
 (65)

Eq. (62) can also be derived from Eq. (A4), an alternative expression of longitudinal recurrence relations.

The form of Eq. (63) is equivalent to the recurrence relations of Ref. [36] in the EdS limit which are derived from the irrotational condition of velocity field in Eulerian space, $\nabla_x \times v = 0$, while our derivation does *not* assume the irrotational condition from the beginning. It is *a consequence* of selecting the fastest-growing mode in LPT that the Eulerian velocity field is irrotational. In fact, the Eulerian irrotational condition is equivalent to $\nabla \times \dot{\Psi} = \nabla \Psi_i \times \nabla \dot{\Psi}_i$, which is satisfied by Eq. (63) with the fastest-growing mode, $\Psi^{(n)} \propto D^n$. Therefore, unlike most treatments of LPT in the literature, there is no need for imposing the irrotational condition $\nabla_x \times v = 0$ to begin with. Solutions with irrotational Eulerian flow form a

subclass of general solutions of LPT, and the fastestgrowing mode is in this subclass. Nevertheless, rotational flows are present in more general solutions [10].

The form of Eq. (62) is also equivalent to the recurrence relations of Ref. [37] in the EdS limit, which are derived from the Taylor series in the scale factor. Even though the last expansion scheme is not the same as the one here, they agree with each other in the special case of the fastestgrowing mode in the EdS model. This agreement does not apply to other cosmological models, because the linear growth factor is not proportional to the scale factor in general. In fact, the recurrence relations in the Λ CDM model in the last expansion scheme are different from ours [38]. In Fourier space, the *n*th-order kernel \tilde{L}_n defined by Eq. (49) is proportional to D^n in the present approximation. It is natural to separate this simple time dependence from the kernels as $\tilde{L}_n = D^n L_n$, and the newly defined kernel L_n is extremely insensitive to background cosmology. Eq. (49) in this case is represented by

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \frac{iD^n}{n!} \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} = \boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{L}_n(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{k}_n) \delta_0(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \cdots \delta_0(\boldsymbol{k}_n).$$
(66)

Defining $S_n = \mathbf{k}_{1\dots n} \cdot \mathbf{L}_n$ and $T_n = -\mathbf{k}_{1\dots n} \times \mathbf{L}_n$, the recurrence relations of Eqs. (52)–(54) reduce to

$$S_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) = \frac{n!}{2} \sum_{\substack{m_{1}+m_{2}=n\\m_{1}\leq m_{2}}} \frac{M_{m_{1}m_{2}}}{m_{1}!m_{2}!} \left[1 - \frac{4m_{1}m_{2}}{(2n+3)(n-1)} \right] (\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots m_{1}} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{(m_{1}+1)\cdots n}) \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{L}_{m_{1}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}}) \times \boldsymbol{L}_{m_{2}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}+1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \right] \\ - \frac{n!}{6} \sum_{\substack{m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}=n\\m_{1}\leq m_{2}\leq m_{3}}} \frac{M_{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}}}{m_{1}!m_{2}!m_{3}!} \left[1 - \frac{4(m_{1}m_{2}+m_{2}m_{3}+m_{3}m_{1})}{(2n+3)(n-1)} \right] [\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots m_{1}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{k}_{(m_{1}+1)\cdots(m_{1}+m_{2})} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{(m_{1}+m_{2}+1)\cdots n})] \\ \times \left\{ \boldsymbol{L}_{m_{1}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}}) \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{L}_{m_{2}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}+1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}+m_{2}}) \times \boldsymbol{L}_{m_{3}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}+m_{2}+1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \right] \right\},$$

$$(67)$$

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) = (n-1)! \sum_{\substack{m_{1}+m_{2}=n\\m_{1}< m_{2}}} \frac{m_{2}-m_{1}}{m_{1}!m_{2}!} (\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots m_{1}} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{(m_{1}+1)\cdots n}) [\boldsymbol{L}_{m_{1}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{L}_{m_{2}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{m_{1}+1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n})],$$
(68)

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) = \frac{1}{k_{1...n}^{2}} [\boldsymbol{k}_{1...n} S_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n})) + \boldsymbol{k}_{1...n} \times \boldsymbol{T}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}))].$$
(69)

The seed values are given by $L_1(k) = ik/k^2$, i.e., $S_1 = 1$, $T_1 = 0$. Practically, the above equations should be quite useful. The recurrence relations above give unsymmetric kernels, and symmetric kernels

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{n}^{\text{sym}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \boldsymbol{L}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{p(1)},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{p(n)})$$
(70)

are physically relevant quantities. The vector identities of Eq. (56) and (57) provide an alternative expression for Eq. (67). For readers' convenience, recursive solutions up to seventh order are explicitly given in Appendix B.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the recurrence relations in the usual framework of LPT are derived, generalizing the previously known recurrence relations in LPT. The newly derived relations are self-contained within the usual framework of LPT, and applicable to any initial condition in a general cosmological model. The most general recurrence relations are given by Eqs. (26), (36), (37) in configuration space,

and Eqs. (43)–(45) in Fourier space. The resultant recursive solutions contain not only the fastest-growing mode but also all the other modes, with arbitrary initial conditions. In Fourier space, the perturbation kernels of the fastest-growing mode satisfy the recurrence relations of Eqs. (52)–(54).

The above recurrence relations are the most ich is very accurate for realistic models of cosmology, we find simplified recurrence relations for the fastest-growing mode of LPT. They are given by Eqs. (62), (63), (37) in configuration space, and Eqs. (67)–(69) in Fourier space. The time dependence is explicitly solved in the last cases, and the corresponding recurrence relations are purely algebraic. Practically, these relations would be the most handy ones for future applications. Explicit recursive solutions up to seventh order are given in Appendix B.

Unlike most of the previous work, the irrotational condition of Eulerian velocity field, $\nabla_x \times v = 0$, is *not assumed* throughout this work. However, the recurrence relations for the transverse part of the fastest-growing mode, Eqs. (63) and (68), coincide with those derived from the irrotational condition. This means that the

TAKAHIKO MATSUBARA

irrotationality of Eulerian flow is *a consequence* of selecting the fastest-growing mode in LPT, and there is no need to impose the condition from the beginning of LPT. Our results for the fastest-growing mode in the limit of the EdS model are fully consistent with the previously known recurrence relations.

A straightforward application of this work is to use them for numerical evaluations of higher-order corrections to statistical measures of the large-scale structure, such as the power spectrum, bispectrum, trispectrum, etc. With the machinery of iPT [20] and/or convolution Lagrangian perturbation theory (CLPT) [22], there is a systematic way of calculating such kinds of statistics from the higherorder LPT, including the effects of redshift-space distortions, nonlocal bias, and primordial non-Gaussianity [20,24,31,48-50]. While two- or higher-loop nonlinear corrections have been investigated with Eulerian perturbation theory such as SPT, the applications of LPT have been limited to one-loop corrections (except Ref. [33] in which the SPT/LPT correspondence [20] is used), apparently because of the lack of recursive solutions in LPT. The recurrence relations derived in this paper, especially the simplest versions of Eqs. (67)-(69), should change the situation in this respect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Cornelius Rampf for his valuable comments on the manuscript. I acknowledge support from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Program, Grants No. 24540267 (2012) and No. 15K05074 (2015).

APPENDIX A: AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF RECURRENCE RELATIONS FOR THE LONGITUDINAL PART

There is an alternative, but equivalent form of recurrence relations for the longitudinal part. Using Eq. (9) and the product rule of Eq. (13), one sees Eq. (14) is equivalent to

$$(\hat{T} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})(J-1) = \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{lmn}J_{il}\dot{J}_{jm}\dot{J}_{kn}.$$
 (A1)

The general solution is formally given by

$$J - 1 = A_{+}D_{+} + A_{-}D_{-}$$
$$+ \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{lmn}(\hat{T} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1}J_{il}\dot{J}_{jm}\dot{J}_{kn}, \qquad (A2)$$

where $A_{\pm}(q)$ are integration constants of time. Substituting Eqs. (7), (9) into this equation, we have

$$\nabla \cdot \Psi = A_{+}D_{+} + A_{-}D_{-} - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ilm}\Psi_{j,l}\Psi_{k,m}$$
$$- \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{lmn}\Psi_{i,l}\Psi_{j,m}\Psi_{k,n}$$
$$+ (\hat{T} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1}[\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{ilm}\dot{\Psi}_{jl}\dot{\Psi}_{km}$$
$$+ \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon_{lmn}\Psi_{il}\dot{\Psi}_{jm}\dot{\Psi}_{kn}].$$
(A3)

The linear solution is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varPsi}^{(1)} = A_+ D_+ + A_- D_-, \tag{A4}$$

and extracting the particular order $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\nabla \cdot \Psi^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1+m_2=n} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ilm} \Psi^{(m_1)}_{j,l} \Psi^{(m_2)}_{k,m} -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{m_1+m_2+m_3=n} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{lmn} \Psi^{(m_1)}_{i,l} \Psi^{(m_2)}_{j,m} \Psi^{(m_3)}_{k,n} + (\hat{T} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho})^{-1} \bigg[\sum_{m_1+m_2=n} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ilm} \dot{\Psi}^{(m_1)}_{j,l} \dot{\Psi}^{(m_2)}_{k,m} + \sum_{m_1+m_2+m_3=n} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{lmn} \Psi^{(m_1)}_{i,l} \dot{\Psi}^{(m_2)}_{j,m} \dot{\Psi}^{(m_3)}_{k,n} \bigg].$$
(A5)

The above equation is equivalent to Eq. (26), as straightforwardly confirmed by using the product rules of Eqs. (12) and (13).

APPENDIX B: EXPLICIT SOLUTIONS UP TO SEVENTH ORDER

Explicitly writing down the perturbation kernel of each order is straightforward by applying the recurrence relations derived in the main text. For readers' convenience, we manifestly show the explicit kernel functions of the fastestgrowing mode with approximate time dependence, up to seventh order in this Appendix. The seventh-order perturbation theory is required in calculating, e.g., three-loop corrections to the power spectrum, etc. As in the main text, we apply notations

$$S_n(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_n) \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}_n(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_n), \qquad (B1)$$

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \equiv -\boldsymbol{k}_{1\cdots n} \times \boldsymbol{L}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{n}), \quad (B2)$$

and results of these functions are presented below. The total kernel is given by

$$L_{n}(k_{1},...,k_{n}) = \frac{1}{k_{1...n}^{2}} [k_{1...n}S_{n}(k_{1},...,k_{n}) + k_{1...n} \times T_{n}(k_{1},...,k_{n})].$$
(B3)

from the above functions. For simplicity, the following results are unsymmetric with respect to their arguments.

The symmetrization procedure, Eq. (70), should be applied to obtain symmetric kernels.

To present the results, it is convenient to define the following functions,

$$U(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{|\mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2|^2}{{k_1}^2 {k_2}^2} = 1 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{{k_1} {k_2}}\right)^2, \quad (B4)$$

$$V(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{k}_{3}) = \frac{|\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_{2} \times \mathbf{k}_{3})|^{2}}{k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2} k_{2}^{3}}$$

= $1 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2}}{k_{1} k_{2}}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{3}}{k_{2} k_{3}}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1}}{k_{3} k_{1}}\right)^{2}$
+ $2 \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})(\mathbf{k}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{3})(\mathbf{k}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1})}{k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2} k_{3}^{2}},$ (B5)

$$W(k_1, k_2) = \frac{(k_1 \times k_2)(k_1 \cdot k_2)}{k_1^2 k_2^2}.$$
 (B6)

The recurrence relations enable us to deduce perturbation kernels of arbitrary order, once the first-order solution is given. As we are interested in the fastest-growing solution, the first-order solution is given by the Zel'dovich approximation, $L_1(k) = k/k^2$, i.e.,

$$S_1(k) = 1, \qquad T_1(k) = 0.$$
 (B7)

The higher-order solutions are recursively derived from Eqs. (67) and (68). The second-order solution is given by

$$S_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{3}{7}U(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2), \qquad T_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (B8)

The third-order solution is given by

$$S_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \frac{5}{3}U(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_{23})S_2(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) - \frac{1}{3}V(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3),$$
(B9)

$$T_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) = W(k_1, k_{23})S_2(k_2, k_3).$$
 (B10)

Transverse parts T_n appear only from the third order $(n \ge 3)$ in the fastest-growing-mode solutions. This property is a consequence of the fastest-growing mode with approximate time dependence and does not apply in general solutions [11], as seen from Eqs. (35) and (36). The fourth-order solution is given by

$$S_{4}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{4}) = \frac{28}{11} [U(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{234})S_{3}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4}) - \boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{234}) \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{3}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4})] + \frac{17}{11} U(\boldsymbol{k}_{12},\boldsymbol{k}_{34})S_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{2})S_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4}) - \frac{26}{11} V(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{2},\boldsymbol{k}_{34})S_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4}),$$
(B11)

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{4}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{4}) = 2 \left[\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{234}) S_{3}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{234}}{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}_{234}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{234}) \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{3}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4}) \right].$$
(B12)

Substituting Eqs. (B7)–(B10) into the above equation, explicit solutions in the EdS universe derived in Ref. [21] are exactly reproduced.

Solutions of LPT for fifth or even higher orders are not found in the literature. The fifth-order solution from the recurrence relations is given by

$$S_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{1},...,\mathbf{k}_{5}) = \frac{45}{13} [U(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2345})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{5}) - W(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2345}) \cdot T_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{5})] + \frac{70}{13}S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2})[U(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{345})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{3},\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5}) - W(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{345}) \cdot T_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{3},\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5})] - \frac{60}{13} \left\{ V(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{345})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{3},\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5}) + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1}\times\mathbf{k}_{2})\cdot\mathbf{k}_{345}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{345}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{1}\times\mathbf{k}_{2})\times\mathbf{k}_{345}] \cdot T_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{3},\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5}) \right\} - \frac{75}{13}V(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{23},\mathbf{k}_{45})S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5}),$$
(B13)

$$T_{5}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{5}) = 3 \left[W(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{2345}) S_{4}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{5}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{2345}}{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}_{2345}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{2345}) \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{4}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{5}) \right] \\ + 2 S_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{2}) \left[W(\boldsymbol{k}_{12},\boldsymbol{k}_{345}) S_{3}(\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4},\boldsymbol{k}_{5}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{12} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{345}}{\boldsymbol{k}_{12}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}_{345}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{k}_{12} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{345}) \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{3}(\boldsymbol{k}_{3},\boldsymbol{k}_{4},\boldsymbol{k}_{5}) \right].$$
(B14)

The sixth-order solution is given by

$$S_{6}(\mathbf{k}_{1},...,\mathbf{k}_{6}) = \frac{22}{5} [U(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{23456})S_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{6}) - \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{23456}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{6})] \\ + \frac{43}{5} S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2})[U(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{3456})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{6}) - \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{3456}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{6})] \\ + \frac{26}{5} \left[S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})[U(\mathbf{k}_{123},\mathbf{k}_{456})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6}) - 2\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{k}_{123},\mathbf{k}_{456}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6})] \\ + \frac{\mathbf{k}_{123} \times \mathbf{k}_{456}}{\mathbf{k}_{123}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{456}^{2}} \cdot \{ [\mathbf{k}_{123} \times \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})] \times [\mathbf{k}_{456} \times \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6})] \} \right] \\ - \frac{39}{5} \{ V(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3456})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{6}) + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{2}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{3456}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{3456}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{2}) \times \mathbf{k}_{3456}] \cdot \mathbf{T}_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{6}) \} \\ - \frac{124}{5} S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3}) \{ V(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{23},\mathbf{k}_{456})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6}) + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{23}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{456}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{456}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{23}) \times \mathbf{k}_{456}] \cdot \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{4},\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6})] \} \\ - \frac{27}{5} V(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{34},\mathbf{k}_{56})S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2})S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{3},\mathbf{k}_{4})S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6}),$$
(B15)

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{6}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{6}) = 4 \left[\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{23456}) S_{5}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{6}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{23456}}{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}_{23456}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{23456}) \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{5}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{6}) \right] \\ + 5 S_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{2}) \left[\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{k}_{12},\boldsymbol{k}_{3456}) S_{4}(\boldsymbol{k}_{3},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{6}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{12} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{3456}}{\boldsymbol{k}_{12}^{2} \boldsymbol{k}_{3456}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{k}_{12} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{3456}) \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{4}(\boldsymbol{k}_{3},...,\boldsymbol{k}_{6}) \right].$$
(B16)

The seventh-order solution is given by

$$\begin{split} S_{7}(\mathbf{k}_{1},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) &= \frac{91}{17} [U(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{234567})S_{6}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) - W(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{234567}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{6}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{7})] \\ &+ \frac{217}{17}S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2})[U(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{34567})S_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) - W(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{34567}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{7})] \\ &+ \frac{315}{17} \left[U(\mathbf{k}_{123},\mathbf{k}_{4567})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})\mathbf{T}_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) \\ &- W(\mathbf{k}_{123},\mathbf{k}_{4567}) \cdot [S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})\mathbf{T}_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) - \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{5},...,\mathbf{k}_{7})] \\ &+ \frac{k_{123} \times \mathbf{k}_{4567}}{\mathbf{k}_{122}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{4567}^{2}} \cdot \left\{ [\mathbf{k}_{123} \times \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})] \times [\mathbf{k}_{4567} \times \mathbf{T}_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7})] \right\} \\ &- \frac{203}{17} \left\{ V(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{34567})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{2}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{34567}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{34567}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{2}) \times \mathbf{k}_{34567}] \cdot \mathbf{T}_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) \right\} \\ &- \frac{805}{17} S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3}) \left\{ V(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{23},\mathbf{k}_{4567})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{23}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{4567}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{4567}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{23}) \times \mathbf{k}_{4567}] \cdot \mathbf{T}_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7})] \right\} \\ &- \frac{490}{17} \left[V(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{234},\mathbf{k}_{567})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3},\mathbf{k}_{4})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6},\mathbf{k}_{7}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{234}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{567}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{234}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{2567}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{23}) \times \mathbf{k}_{567}] [(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{34},\mathbf{k}_{567})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6},\mathbf{k}_{7})] \right] \\ &+ \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{234}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{567}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{234}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{567}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{34}) \times \mathbf{k}_{567}] [\mathbf{k}_{1}(\mathbf{k}_{2} \times \mathbf{k}_{34}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{567}] (\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{34}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{567}] \cdot \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6},\mathbf{k}_{7})] \\ &+ \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{234}) \cdot \mathbf{k}_{567}^{2}} [(\mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{34}) \times \mathbf{k}_{567}] \cdot \mathbf{T}_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{5},\mathbf{k}_{6},\mathbf{k}_{7})] \right\}, \tag{B17}$$

$$T_{7}(\mathbf{k}_{1},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) = 5 \left[W(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{234567})S_{6}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) + \frac{\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{234567}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{234567}^{2}}(\mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{234567}) \cdot T_{6}(\mathbf{k}_{2},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) \right] \\ + 9S_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}) \left[W(\mathbf{k}_{12},\mathbf{k}_{34567})S_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) + \frac{\mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{34567}}{\mathbf{k}_{12}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{34567}^{2}}(\mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{34567}) \cdot T_{5}(\mathbf{k}_{3},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) \right] \\ + 5 \left[W(\mathbf{k}_{123},\mathbf{k}_{4567})S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) + \frac{\mathbf{k}_{123} \times \mathbf{k}_{4567}}{\mathbf{k}_{123}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{4567}^{2}} \left\{ (\mathbf{k}_{123} \times \mathbf{k}_{4567}) \cdot [S_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})T_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) - T_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})S_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) \right] \\ + \left[\mathbf{k}_{123} \times T_{3}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3}) \right] \cdot \left[\mathbf{k}_{4567} \times T_{4}(\mathbf{k}_{4},...,\mathbf{k}_{7}) \right] \right\} \right].$$
(B18)

Continuing this kind of calculation and writing down similar expressions for $n \ge 8$ is straightforward and not difficult thanks to the recurrence relations.

- [1] P. J. E. Peebles, *The Large-Scale Structure of the Universe* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1980).
- [2] F. Bernardeau, S. Colombi, E. Gaztañaga, and R. Scoccimarro, Phys. Rep. 367, 1 (2002).
- [3] M. Crocce and R. Scoccimarro, Phys. Rev. D 73, 063519 (2006).
- [4] M. Crocce and R. Scoccimarro, Phys. Rev. D 73, 063520 (2006).
- [5] D. Baumann, A. Nicolis, L. Senatore, and M. Zaldarriaga, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 07 (2012) 051.
- [6] J. J. M. Carrasco, M. P. Hertzberg, and L. Senatore, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2012) 082.
- [7] F. Bernardeau, in *Post-Planck Cosmology*, Proceedings of the Les Houches Summer School, Session C, edited by C. Deffayet, P. Peter, B. Wandelt, M. Zaldarriaga, L. F. Cugliandolo (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2015).
- [8] T. Buchert, Astron. Astrophys. 223, 9 (1989).
- [9] F. Moutarde, J.-M. Alimi, F. R. Bouchet, R. Pellat, and A. Ramani, Astrophys. J. 382, 377 (1991).
- [10] T. Buchert, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 254, 729 (1992).
- [11] T. Buchert and J. Ehlers, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 264, 375 (1993).
- [12] E. Hivon, F. R. Bouchet, S. Colombi, and R. Juszkiewicz, Astron. Astrophys. 298, 643 (1995).
- [13] P. Catelan, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 276, 115 (1995).
- [14] C. Rampf and Y. Y. Y. Wong, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 6 (2012) 018.
- [15] T. Tatekawa, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2013) 013E03.
- [16] Y. Zel'dovich, Astron. Astrophys. 5, 84 (1970).
- [17] E. A. Novikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 57, 938 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP 30, 512 (1970)].
- [18] T. Matsubara, Phys. Rev. D 77, 063530 (2008).
- [19] T. Matsubara, Phys. Rev. D 78, 083519 (2008); Phys. Rev. D 78, 109901(E) (2008).
- [20] T. Matsubara, Phys. Rev. D 83, 083518 (2011).
- [21] C. Rampf and T. Buchert, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 6 (2012) 021.

- [22] J. Carlson, B. Reid, and M. White, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 429, 1674 (2013).
- [23] R. A. Porto, L. Senatore, and M. Zaldarriaga, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 5 (2014) 022.
- [24] T. Matsubara, Phys. Rev. D 90, 043537 (2014).
- [25] J. Carlson, M. White, and N. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D 80, 043531 (2009).
- [26] Z. Vlah, U. Seljak, and T. Baldauf, Phys. Rev. D 91, 023508 (2015).
- [27] A. N. Taylor and A. J. S. Hamilton, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 282, 767 (1996).
- [28] H. J. Mo and S. D. M. White, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 282, 347 (1996).
- [29] J. M. Bardeen, J. R. Bond, N. Kaiser, and A. S. Szalay, Astrophys. J. 304, 15 (1986).
- [30] V. Desjacques, Phys. Rev. D 87, 043505 (2013).
- [31] T. Matsubara, Phys. Rev. D 86, 063518 (2012).
- [32] M. H. Goroff, B. Grinstein, S.-J. Rey, and M. B. Wise, Astrophys. J. **311**, 6 (1986).
- [33] T. Okamura, A. Taruya, and T. Matsubara, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 (2011) 012.
- [34] A. Taruya, F. Bernardeau, T. Nishimichi, and S. Codis, Phys. Rev. D 86, 103528 (2012).
- [35] D. Blas, M. Garny, and T. Konstandin, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1 (2014) 010.
- [36] C. Rampf, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 12 (2012) 004.
- [37] V. Zheligovsky and U. Frisch, J. Fluid Mech. 749, 404 (2014).
- [38] C. Rampf, B. Villone, and U. Frisch, arXiv:1504.00032.
- [39] J. L. Friedman and B. F. Schutz, Astrophys. J. 221, 937 (1978).
- [40] F. Bernardeau, Astrophys. J. 433, 1 (1994).
- [41] T. Matsubara, Prog. Theor. Phys. 94, 1151 (1995).
- [42] P. Catelan, F. Lucchin, S. Matarrese, and L. Moscardini, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 276, 39 (1995).
- [43] R. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 120, 549 (2008).
- [44] S. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 89, 084017 (2014).

- [45] H. Martel and W. Freudling, Astrophys. J. **371**, 1 (1991).
- [46] R. Scoccimarro, S. Colombi, J. N. Fry, J. A. Frieman, E. Hivon, and A. Melott, Astrophys. J. 496, 586 (1998).
- [47] F. R. Bouchet, S. Colombi, E. Hivon, and R. Juszkiewicz, Astron. Astrophys. **296**, 575 (1995).
- [48] S. Yokoyama and T. Matsubara, Phys. Rev. D 87, 023525 (2013).
- [49] S. Yokoyama, T. Matsubara, and A. Taruya, Phys. Rev. D 89, 043524 (2014).
- [50] L. Wang, B. Reid, and M. White, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 437, 588 (2014).