Evidence for a new SU(4) symmetry with J = 2 mesons

M. Denissenya,^{*} L. Ya. Glozman,[†] and M. Pak[‡]

Institut für Physik, FB Theoretische Physik, Universität Graz, Universitätsplatz 5, 8010 Graz, Austria

(Received 13 May 2015; published 29 June 2015)

Recently, a new symmetry of mesons has been found upon truncation of the quasizero modes of the overlap Dirac operator in lattice simulations. Namely, the ρ , ρ' , ω , ω' , a_1 , b_1 , h_1 , and possibly $f_1 J = 1$ mesons get degenerate after removal of the quasizero modes. This emergent symmetry has been established to be $SU(4) \supset SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_A$. It is higher than the symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian and provides not only a mixing of quarks of given chirality in the isospin space, but also the mixing of lefthanded and right-handed components. Here we study, with the overlap Dirac operator, the isovector J = 2 mesons upon the quasizero mode reduction and observe a similar degeneracy. This result further supports the SU(4) symmetry in mesons of given spin $J \ge 1$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.114512

PACS numbers: 12.38.Gc, 11.25.-w, 11.30.Rd

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent $N_{\rm f} = 2$ dynamical lattice simulations with the overlap Dirac operator, a large degeneracy of the spin J = 1 ρ , ρ' , ω , ω' , a_1 , b_1 , h_1 , and possibly f_1 mesons has been discovered upon removal of the lowest-lying eigenmodes of the Dirac operator from the valence quark propagators [1,2].¹ The correlators have demonstrated a clean exponential decay, suggesting that the mesons survive this truncation.

One expects *a priori* that upon elimination of the quasizero modes of the Dirac operator, the chiral symmetry should be restored, since the quark condensate of the vacuum is connected with the density of the quasizero modes via the Banks-Casher relation [5]. However, it has turned out that not only are degeneracy patterns from the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ and $U(1)_A$ symmetries observed, but also a larger degeneracy that includes all possible chiral multiplets for J = 1 mesons. This symmetry has been established to be $SU(4) \supset SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_A$ and includes both the isospin rotations of quarks of given chirality as well as the rotations of chirality itself (chiral-spin rotations) [6,7].

The SU(4) symmetry is higher than the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_A$ symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian and should be consequently considered as an emergent symmetry that reflects the QCD dynamics in J = 1 mesons without the quasizero modes of the Dirac operator. This symmetry implies the absence of the color-magnetic field in the system and might be interpreted as a manifestation of the dynamical QCD string.

In the present paper, we study the isovector J = 2 mesons upon the reduction of the lowest-lying eigenmodes

from the overlap valence quark propagators. We obtain the same symmetry patterns as for J = 1 mesons, which supports consequently the existence of the SU(4)symmetry in $J \ge 1$ mesons after the quasizero mode reduction.

The structure of the article is as follows: In Sec. II we discuss the parity-chiral, chiral-spin and SU(4) multiplets for the tensor mesons and respective interpolators and our expectations for the truncation of the lowest-lying Dirac eigenmodes. In Sec. III we describe the lattice technicalities. The results are presented in Sec. IV. Conclusions are given in Sec. V. Additional tables are provided in the Appendix.

II. THEORETICAL PREDICTIONS FOR THE LOW-MODE REMOVAL

We discuss the theoretical predictions for the tensor meson spectrum after low-mode removal, which come either from the $SU(2)_{\rm L} \times SU(2)_{\rm R}$ and $U(1)_{\rm A}$ restorations [8,9] or from the higher SU(4) symmetry [6,7]. We also present interpolators with the respective symmetry transformation properties.

A. Chiral symmetry predictions

In Fig. 1, we show the classification of tensor mesons according to the parity-chiral group $SU(2)_{L} \times SU(2)_{R} \times C_{i}$, with C_{i} denoting the parity group.

In a situation where the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ chiral symmetry is restored but no higher symmetry is present, only mesons within each parity-chiral multiplet *r* must be degenerate. For instance, in $(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$, the a_2 and ρ_2 states, which are related via $SU(2)_A$, should have the same mass.² If $U(1)_A$ is restored as well, all four mesons in the $(1/2, 1/2)_a$ and $(1/2, 1/2)_b$ representations should

mikhail.denissenya@uni-graz.at

leonid.glozman@uni-graz.at

^{*}markus.pak@uni-graz.at

¹A hint for this symmetry has been seen earlier with the chirally improved Dirac operator [3]; for a previous lattice study on the low-mode truncation, see Ref. [4].

 $^{{}^{2}}SU(2)_{\rm A}$ is the shorthand notation for the axial part of the $SU(2)_{\rm L} \times SU(2)_{\rm R}$ transformations.

M. DENISSENYA, L. YA. GLOZMAN, AND M. PAK

(0, 0)	$\omega_2(0, 2^{})$		$f_2(0, 2^{++})$
$(1/2, 1/2)_a$	$\pi_2(1,2^{-+})$	$\underset{\longleftrightarrow}{SU_{A}(2)}$	$f_2(0,2^{++})$
$(1/2, 1/2)_b$	$U_{\rm A}(1)$ $a_2(1,2^{++})$	$\underset{\longleftrightarrow}{SU_{A}(2)}$	$U_{A}(1)$ $\eta_{2}(0,2^{-+})$
$(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$	$a_2(1,2^{++})$	$\underset{\longleftrightarrow}{SU_{A}(2)}$	$ ho_2(1,2^{})$

FIG. 1 (color online). In the left column, the irreducible representations r of $SU(2)_{L} \times SU(2)_{R} \times C_{i}$ are given. Each meson is denoted as (I, J^{PC}) , with I isospin, J total angular momentum, P parity, and C charge conjugation. The $SU(2)_{A}$ and $U(1)_{A}$ connections are denoted by red and blue lines, respectively.

be mass degenerate, i.e., the $SU(2)_{L} \times SU(2)_{R} \times U(1)_{A}$ restoration requires the following degeneracies³:

$$\pi_2 \longleftrightarrow f_2 \longleftrightarrow a'_2 \longleftrightarrow \eta_2 \tag{1}$$

and

$$a_2 \longleftrightarrow \rho_2.$$
 (2)

The states from the singlet (0,0) representations are invariant with respect to both $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ and $U(1)_A$ transformations, and consequently these symmetries do not constrain their masses.

One of the most interesting features of the parity-chiral group (Fig. 1) is that two independent 2^{++} isovector (a_2, a'_2) and two independent 2^{++} isoscalar (f_2, f'_2) mesons must exist. They differ from each other by the content of left- and right-handed quarks, and therefore by the chiral representation r. They are not connected via a $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ or $U(1)_A$ transformation, so their masses should be different without additional symmetry constraints. If their masses are degenerate, then there is a symmetry connecting the states of Eq. (1) with the states of Eq. (2). Hence, in such a situation a larger symmetry than $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_A$ has to be present. This issue will be discussed in the next subsection.

In Table I, we classify the interpolators O_i used in our lattice study into the irreducible representations r of the parity-chiral group.

B. Predictions from SU(4)

The states and interpolators from the $(1/2, 1/2)_a$ and $(1/2, 1/2)_b$ representations have the $\bar{L}R \pm \bar{R}L$ chiral content, while the states (interpolators) from the (0,0) and $(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$ representations contain the $\bar{L}L \pm \bar{R}R$ quark

combinations. A symmetry that can connect these representations is the $SU(2)_{cs}$ (*chiral-spin*) rotation [6,7]. The rotations in an imaginary chiral-spin space mix leftand right-handed components of quarks of a given flavor. The $SU(2)_{cs}$ triplets and singlets are shown in Fig. 2. The $U(1)_A$ symmetry is a subgroup of the $SU(2)_{cs}$.

When we combine both $SU(2)_{L} \times SU(2)_{R}$ and $SU(2)_{cs}$ symmetries, we arrive at a SU(4) group with the fundamental vector [6,7]

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\rm L} \\ u_{\rm R} \\ d_{\rm L} \\ d_{\rm R} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3)

The SU(4) transformations mix both quarks of different flavors and quarks of different chiralities. The irreducible representations of SU(4) for $\bar{q}q$ systems are a singlet and a 15-plet. The 15-plet includes the following mesons:

$$f_2 \leftrightarrow f'_2 \leftrightarrow \pi_2 \leftrightarrow a_2 \leftrightarrow a'_2 \leftrightarrow \eta_2 \leftrightarrow \rho_2, \qquad (4)$$

and the singlet is ω_2 ; see Fig. 2. All the states from the 15plet must be mass degenerate. To observe the SU(4)symmetry, it is sufficient, however, to see at the same time the degeneracy of one of the chiral multiplets and of one of the $SU(2)_{cs}$ triplets. For this purpose it is sufficient to study, e.g., all possible isovector mesons ρ_2 , a_2 , a'_2 , π_2 .

III. LATTICE TECHNICALITIES

A. Gauge field configurations

We use two-flavor dynamical overlap fermion gauge field configurations on a $16^3 \times 32$ lattice with lattice spacing $a \sim 0.12$ fm generated and generously provided by the JLQCD Collaboration [11,12]. The pion mass is $M_{\pi} = 289(2)$ MeV [13]. The topological sector is fixed to $Q_{\rm T} = 0$. Our gauge ensemble consists of 83 gauge configurations.

B. Source smearing

In the previous studies of J = 1 mesons [1,2], we have used quark propagators with stochastic sources generated by the JLQCD Collaboration. The spin J = 2 mesons require quark propagators with derivatives, however. Given the JLQCD gauge configurations, we calculate the quark propagators using our standard techniques with different smearing widths of Gaussian type [14]. A set of different extended sources with different smearing widths allows for a larger operator basis in the variational method.

Gaussian smearing has two parameters: the hopping parameter κ and the number of smearing steps N. It produces Gaussian-shaped covariant sources of different

³The a_2 meson in $(1/2, 1/2)_b$ is here denoted as a'_2 to distinguish it from the a_2 meson in $(1, 0) \oplus (0, 1)$. The same is true for the f_2 meson, which in $(1/2, 1/2)_a$ is denoted as f'_2 to distinguish it from the f_2 in (0,0).

I, J^{PC}	Ø	r	O_h
$ \rho_2(1,2^{}) $	$\begin{array}{l} Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_n - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_n\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k} \\ Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_w - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_w\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k} \end{array}$	$(1,0) \oplus (0,1)$	Ε
	$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_n - \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_n\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k} \\ & \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_w - \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_w\gamma_j\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k} \end{aligned}$	$(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$	T_2
(1.211)	$\begin{array}{l} Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j b_n - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_n\gamma_j b_{\partial_k} \\ Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j b_w - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_w\gamma_j b_{\partial_k} \end{array}$	$(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$	Ε
	$\begin{array}{l} Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_t b_n - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_n\gamma_j\gamma_t \hat{b}_{\partial_k} \\ Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_t}\gamma_j\gamma_t b_w - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_w\gamma_j\gamma_t b_{\partial_k} \end{array}$	$(1/2, 1/2)_b$	
$a_2(1, 2^{++})$	$\begin{aligned} & \dot{\epsilon}_{ijk} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j b_n - \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_n\gamma_j b_{\partial_k} \\ & \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j b_w - \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_w\gamma_j b_{\partial_k} \end{aligned}$	$(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$	Т
	$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_l b_n - \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_n\gamma_j\gamma_l b_{\partial_k} \\ & \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_l b_w - \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_w\gamma_j\gamma_l b_{\partial_k} \end{aligned}$	$(1/2, 1/2)_b$	<i>I</i> ₂
$\pi_2(1, 2^{-+})$	$Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_n - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_n\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k}$ $Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_w - Q_{ijk}\bar{a}_w\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k}$	$(1/2, 1/2)_a$	Ε
	$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_n - \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{a}_n\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k} \\ \epsilon_{iik} \bar{a}_{\partial_k}\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_w - \epsilon_{iik} \bar{a}_w\gamma_j\gamma_t\gamma_5 b_{\partial_k} \end{aligned}$	$(1/2, 1/2)_a$	T_2
$ \rho(1, 1^{}) $	$\bar{a}_n \gamma_j b_n$ $\bar{a}_w \gamma_j b_w$	$(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$	
	$\bar{a}_n \gamma_j \gamma_t b_n$ $\bar{a}_w \gamma_i \gamma_t b_w$	$(1/2, 1/2)_b$	
$a_1(1, 1^{++})$	$\bar{a}_n \gamma_j \gamma_5 b_n$ $\bar{a}_n \gamma_i \gamma_5 b_n$	$(1,0) \oplus (0,1)$	T_1
$b_1(1, 1^{+-})$	$\bar{a}_n \gamma_j \gamma_t \gamma_5 b_n$ $\bar{a}_w \gamma_j \gamma_t \gamma_5 b_w$	$(1/2, 1/2)_a$	

TABLE I. List of tensor and vector meson interpolators O classified with respect to the chiral representations r and to the irreducible representations E, T_2 of the hypercubic group O_h . The interpolators are defined in accordance with Ref. [10]; n and w denote two different smearing widths of the quark sources.

widths. We choose the same parameters as in Ref. [10], namely "narrow" n ($\kappa = 0.21$, N = 18) and "wide" w ($\kappa = 0.191$, N = 41) sources. The derivative source is constructed by applying a covariant derivative to the wide source and is denoted as ∂_k , with k = 1, 2, 3.

C. Truncated quark propagator

We follow the procedure to remove an increasing amount of the lowest-lying Dirac eigenmodes and study the effect of this reduction on hadron masses. The truncated quark propagators take the form



FIG. 2 (color online). The $SU(2)_{cs}$ triplets are denoted by green lines; ω_2 and ρ_2 mesons are $SU(2)_{cs}$ singlets. The SU(4) 15-plet is indicated by purple lines; ω_2 is the SU(4) singlet.

$$S_k(x, y) = S_{\text{full}}(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_i} v_i(x) v_i^{\dagger}(y).$$
(5)

Here $S_{\text{full}}(x, y)$ denotes the untruncated propogator, $S_k(x, y)$ the truncated propagator, λ_i the low-lying eigenvalues, $v_i(x)$ the eigenvectors, and k the number of removed lowest modes. For instance, k = 10 means that ten low modes are removed from the quark propagator. We choose the truncation steps in the range k = 2-30, which corresponds to an eigenvalue cutoff between 8 and 180 MeV.

D. Meson spectroscopy

Our analysis is based on the variational method; see Refs. [15–17]. The J = 2 interpolators from Table I fall into the irreducible representation E or T_2 of the hypercubic group $O_{\rm h}$.⁴ In addition, our interpolators \mathcal{O}_i fall into different irreducible representations of the parity-chiral and SU(4) groups, as discussed in the previous chapter.

⁴For a mapping of the irreducible representations of $O_{\rm h}$ to the first few J numbers, see Ref. [18]. The interpolators in E and T_2 representations are orthogonal, thus masses can be extracted separately.

TABLE II. Results of fits to the eigenvalues at a truncation level k = 0, 16, 20, 30 for J = 1, 2 mesons. States are denoted by n = 1, 2, ... Corresponding mass values *am* are given in lattice units; *t* denotes the fit range, and *i* labels the interpolators used in the construction of the cross-correlation matrix in a given quantum channel according to Ref. [10].

k = 0				k = 16							
State	п	am	$\chi^2/d.o.f$	t	i	State	п	am	$\chi^2/d.o.f$	t	i
$a_2:T_2$	1	0.918 ± 0.056	6.28/5	3–9	2468	$a_2:T_2$	1	0.986 ± 0.044	0.84/3	4-8	2468
	2	1.292 ± 0.147	1.10/3	3–7			2	1.015 ± 0.059	0.64/2	4–7	
$\rho_2: T_2$	1	1.209 ± 0.040	1.24/2	2-5	24	ρ_2 : T_2	1	1.023 ± 0.063	0.82/2	4–7	24
$\pi_2:T_2$	1	0.979 ± 0.176	0.19/2	4–7	68	$\pi_2:T_2$	1	0.986 ± 0.049	0.69/3	4-8	68
a_2 : E	1	0.913 ± 0.078	0.37/2	4–7	28	a_2 : E	1	1.037 ± 0.039	4.02/3	4-8	28
ρ_2 : E	1	1.212 ± 0.034	2.80/3	2-6	8 10	ρ_2 : E	1	1.010 ± 0.031	1.84/4	3–8	8 10
ρ : T_1	1	0.519 ± 0.014	1.82/7	4-12	1458	ρ : T_1	1	0.606 ± 0.014	1.38/5	6-12	1458
	2	0.928 ± 0.151	1.61/4	4–9			2	0.609 ± 0.013	4.55/7	4-12	
$a_1:T_1$	1	0.689 ± 0.023	4.25/3	3–7	14	$a_1:T_1$	1	0.618 ± 0.012	1.50/4	5-10	14
$b_1:T_1$	1	0.688 ± 0.036	2.11/3	3–7	22 25	$b_1:T_1$	1	0.607 ± 0.015	3.70/5	4–10	22 25
		k = 20			k = 30						
State	п	am	$\chi^2/d.o.f$	t	i	State	п	am	$\chi^2/d.o.f$	t	i
$\overline{a_2:T_2}$	1	0.982 ± 0.040	1.04/3	4-8	2468	$a_2:T_2$	1	1.042 ± 0.023	0.80/4	3–8	2468
	2	0.987 ± 0.047	1.61/3	4-8			2	1.044 ± 0.028	0.93/3	3–7	
	3	1.456 ± 0.061	1.54/3	3–7			3	1.459 ± 0.046	0.50/3	3–7	
	4	1.550 ± 0.071	1.62/3	3–7			4	1.507 ± 0.048	1.97/3	3–7	
$\rho_2: T_2$	1	0.987 ± 0.052	3.31/3	4-8	24	ρ_2 : T_2	1	1.042 ± 0.026	2.00/4	3-8	24
	2	1.552 ± 0.072	1.72/3	3–7			2	1.502 ± 0.052	2.17/3	3–7	
$\pi_2:T_2$	1	0.990 ± 0.044	0.62/3	4-8	68	$\pi_2:T_2$	1	1.043 ± 0.024	0.86/4	3-8	68
	2	1.467 ± 0.065	1.74/3	3–7			2	1.477 ± 0.048	0.65/3	3–7	
a_2 : E	1	1.044 ± 0.040	9.25/3	4-8	28	$a_2:E$	1	1.053 ± 0.035	5.62/3	4-8	28
	2	1.102 ± 0.045	7.86/3	4-8			2	1.138 ± 0.037	3.61/3	4-8	
ρ_2 : E	1	1.029 ± 0.031	5.62/4	3–8	8 10	ρ_2 : E	1	1.047 ± 0.024	8.32/6	3-10	8 10
	2	1.470 ± 0.060	4.91/3	3–7			2	1.466 ± 0.042	4.59/3	3–7	
ρ : T_1	1	0.629 ± 0.011	4.87/5	5-11	1458	ρ : T_1	1	0.657 ± 0.008	8.82/6	4-11	1458
	2	0.611 ± 0.010	4.69/5	5-11			2	0.669 ± 0.007	8.85/6	4-11	
	3	1.216 ± 0.032	0.37/2	3–6			3	1.224 ± 0.030	2.55/2	3–6	
	4	1.278 ± 0.053	0.85/2	3–6			4	1.245 ± 0.044	2.63/2	3–6	
$a_1:T_1$	1	0.632 ± 0.011	1.41/5	5-11	14	$a_1:T_1$	1	0.668 ± 0.009	4.73/5	5-11	14
	2	1.215 ± 0.031	0.94/3	3–7			2	1.222 ± 0.029	2.59/3	3–7	
$b_1:T_1$	1	0.620 ± 0.010	11.77/6	4-11	22 25	$b_1:T_1$	1	0.657 ± 0.009	8.38/7	4-12	22 25
	2	1.287 ± 0.056	1.97/3	3–7			2	1.240 ± 0.045	3.94/4	3–8	

We construct the cross-correlation matrices

$$C_{ii}(t) = \langle \mathcal{O}_i(t)\mathcal{O}_i^{\dagger}(0) \rangle \tag{6}$$

and solve a generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$C(t)\vec{v}_n(t,t_0) = \lambda_n(t,t_0)C(t_0)\vec{v}_n(t,t_0),$$
(7)

where $t_0 = 1$ is chosen as a reference time slice in our analysis. From the solution of this eigenvalue problem, we determine the energy levels of a given quantum channel. We choose time ranges where the eigenvalues $\lambda(t, t_0)$ decay exponentially, i.e.,

$$\lambda^{(n)}(t, t_0) \mathrm{e}^{-E_n(t-t_0)} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta E_n(t-t_0)}), \tag{8}$$

and apply a one-exponential fit to extract masses E_n , where *n* labels the ground (n = 1) and excited (n > 1) states.

IV. RESULTS

As a consistency check, we first extract masses of the isovector J = 1 mesons (ρ , ρ' , a_1 , b_1 , Fig. 3), and compare them to the results shown in Refs. [1,2], where propagators obtained within the JLQCD Collaboration have been used. This comparison shows full agreement between both results.

In Fig. 4 we show the eigenvalues of the correlation matrix for all isovector tensor mesons before and after removal of the lowest 30 modes. While the eigenvalues are different for the different mesons in the untruncated case, they become identical in the truncated case. The





FIG. 3 (color online). Mass evolution of J = 1 isovector mesons.

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 114512 (2015)

degeneracy pattern is completely in line with the expectation from the SU(4) symmetry, as discussed in Sec. II. Namely, the a_2 (n = 1, 2), ρ_2 (n = 1), and π_2 (n = 1)eigenvalues of the meson cross-correlators in T_2 become identical. The same holds true for the excited states of a_2 (n = 3, 4), ρ_2 (n = 2), and π_2 (n = 2).

In Fig. 5 we show effective mass plots for all isovector mesons after truncation of the lowest Dirac modes. The quality of the plateau is worse than for the J = 1 states, where no derivative operators are used. This is why the error bars for the extracted masses are larger than for the J = 1 case. A comparison to the case of untruncated J = 2



FIG. 4 (color online). Eigenvalues of J = 2 tensor mesons: (a) Full case (k = 0). (b) After excluding k = 30 low modes in T_2 .



FIG. 5 (color online). ρ_2 , a_2 , a_2' , π_2 in T_2 : Effective masses after excluding (a) k = 16, (b) k = 30 low modes.



FIG. 6 (color online). Ground state and excited state mass evolutions of (a) J = 2 mesons in T_2 , (b) J = 1 and J = 2 mesons. The value k denotes the truncation step and σ the corresponding energy gap.

mesons shows that the quality of the signal essentially improves after truncation, in line with our previous observations in Refs. [1-3]. The fit ranges and the extracted masses are given in the Appendix.

The final results for the masses of the two lowest a_2 states and the lowest states of π_2 and ρ_2 as a function of the truncation level are given in Fig. 6. We observe a clear onset of the SU(4) symmetry after elimination of the lowest 10–20 modes (truncation energy 65 to 125 MeV), in agreement with the respective results for the J = 1 isovector mesons shown in Fig. 3. In both the J = 1 and J = 2 mesons we see the SU(4) [and consequently $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)$ and $SU(2)_{CS}$] symmetries upon elimination of the near-zero modes from the valence quark propagators.

Finally, we compare in Fig. 6(b) the lowest energy level for J = 2 mesons with the lowest J = 1 energy level and its first excitation. No degeneracy is observed between the first excited J = 1 level and the ground J = 2 level. This hints at the absence of some additional symmetry that would connect states with different J. However, to come to a definite conclusion, we need much larger volumes: our small volume could affect in a different manner the J = 1radially excited level and the ground J = 2 level.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the spin-2 isovector mesons upon removal of the lowest-lying Dirac eigenmodes from the valence quark propagators. Upon truncation of a small amount of the quasizero modes, we have found the same SU(4) symmetry pattern as in our previous study of J = 1 mesons. This supports the existence of the SU(4) symmetry in $J \ge 1$ mesons without the quasizero modes. This symmetry includes the rotations of right- and left-handed quarks in the isospin space as well as rotations in the chiral-spin space that mix the left- and right-handed components. This symmetry group implies the absence of the color-magnetic field in the system without the quasizero modes and might be interpreted as a manifestation of the dynamical color-electric string in QCD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to S. Aoki, S. Hashimoto, and T. Kaneko for their suggestion to use the JLQCD overlap gauge configurations and for their hospitality. We are very thankful to C. B. Lang for numerous discussions and help. Support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the Grant No. DK W1203-N16 and through the Grant No. P26627-N27 is acknowledged. The calculations have been performed on clusters at ZID at the University of Graz and at the Graz University of Technology.

APPENDIX: MASSES AND FITS

Single exponential effective mass fits and corresponding $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ are presented in Table II for truncations k = 0, 16, 20, 30. EVIDENCE FOR A NEW SU(4) SYMMETRY WITH ...

- M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman, and C. B. Lang, Symmetries of mesons after unbreaking of chiral symmetry and their string interpretation, Phys. Rev. D 89, 077502 (2014).
- [2] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman, and C. B. Lang, Isoscalar mesons upon unbreaking of chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 91, 034505 (2015).
- [3] L. Y. Glozman, C. B. Lang, and M. Schröck, Symmetries of hadrons after unbreaking the chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 86, 014507 (2012).
- [4] C. B. Lang and M. Schrock, Unbreaking chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 84, 087704 (2011).
- [5] T. Banks and A. Casher, Chiral symmetry breaking in confining theories, Nucl. Phys. B169, 103 (1980).
- [6] L. Y. Glozman, SU(4) symmetry of the dynamical QCD string and genesis of hadron spectra, Eur. Phys. J. A 51, 27 (2015).
- [7] L. Y. Glozman and M. Pak, Exploring a new SU(4) symmetry of meson interpolators, arXiv:1504.02323.
- [8] L. Y. Glozman, Chiral multiplets of excited mesons, Phys. Lett. B 587, 69 (2004).
- [9] L. Y. Glozman, Restoration of chiral and $U(1)_A$ symmetries in excited hadrons, Phys. Rep. 444, 1 (2007).
- [10] G. P. Engel, C. B. Lang, M. Limmer, D. Mohler, and A. Schafer, QCD with two light dynamical chirally improved quarks: Mesons, Phys. Rev. D 85, 034508 (2012).

- [11] S. Aoki *et al.* (JLQCD Collaboration), Two-flavor QCD simulation with exact chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 78, 014508 (2008).
- [12] S. Aoki *et al.*, Simulation of quantum chromodynamics on the lattice with exactly chiral lattice fermions, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2012**, 01A106 (2012).
- [13] J. Noaki *et al.* (JLQCD and TWQCD collaborations), Convergence of the Chiral Expansion in Two-Flavor Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 202004 (2008).
- [14] T. Burch, C. Gattringer, L. Y. Glozman, R. Kleindl, C. B. Lang, and A. Schäfer (Bern-Graz-Regensburg Collaboration), Spatially improved operators for excited hadrons on the lattice, Phys. Rev. D 70, 054502 (2004).
- [15] C. Michael, Adjoint sources in lattice gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B259, 58 (1985).
- [16] M. Lüscher and U. Wolff, How to calculate the elastic scattering matrix in two-dimensional quantum field theories by numerical simulation, Nucl. Phys. B339, 222 (1990).
- [17] B. Blossier *et al.*, On the generalized eigenvalue method for energies and matrix elements in lattice field theory, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2009) 094.
- [18] R. C. Johnson, Angular momentum on a lattice, Phys. Lett. 114B, 147 (1982).