

GUT-inspired supersymmetric model for $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and the muon $g - 2$ M. Adeel Ajaib,^{1,*} Ilia Gogoladze,^{2,†} and Qaisar Shafi^{2,‡}¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ursinus College, Collegeville, Pennsylvania 19426, USA*²*Bartol Research Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, USA*

(Received 1 February 2015; published 6 May 2015)

We study a grand unified theories inspired supersymmetric model with nonuniversal gaugino masses that can explain the observed muon $g - 2$ anomaly while simultaneously accommodating an enhancement or suppression in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channel. In order to accommodate these observations and $m_h \approx 125$ to 126 GeV, the model requires a spectrum consisting of relatively light sleptons whereas the colored sparticles are heavy. The predicted stau mass range corresponding to $R_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 1.1$ is $100 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_{\tilde{\tau}} \lesssim 200 \text{ GeV}$. The constraint on the slepton masses, particularly on the smuons, arising from considerations of muon $g - 2$ is somewhat milder. The slepton masses in this case are predicted to lie in the few hundred GeV range. The colored sparticles turn out to be considerably heavier with $m_{\tilde{g}} \gtrsim 4.5 \text{ TeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \gtrsim 3.5 \text{ TeV}$, which makes it challenging for these to be observed at the 14 TeV LHC.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.095005

PACS numbers: 12.60.Jv

I. INTRODUCTION

The ATLAS and CMS experiments at the LHC have independently reported the discovery [1,2] of a Standard Model (SM)-like Higgs boson of mass $m_h \approx 125$ to 126 GeV using the combined 7 and 8 TeV data. This discovery is compatible with low (TeV) scale supersymmetry [3]. At the same time, after the first LHC run we have the following lower bounds on the gluino and squark masses [4,5]:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\tilde{g}} &\gtrsim 1.4 \text{ TeV (for } m_{\tilde{g}} \sim m_{\tilde{q}}) \quad \text{and} \\ m_{\tilde{g}} &\gtrsim 0.9 \text{ TeV (for } m_{\tilde{g}} \ll m_{\tilde{q}}). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In some well motivated supersymmetry (SUSY) models the gluino is the next-to-lightest supersymmetric particle in which case $m_{\tilde{g}} \gtrsim 400 \text{ GeV}$ [6]. These bounds combined with the bound of 125 GeV on the lightest CP even Higgs boson mass place stringent constraints on the slepton and gaugino (bino or wino) mass spectrum in several well studied scenarios such as constrained minimal supersymmetric Standard Model (CMSSM) [7], NUHM1 [8] and NUHM2 [9]. In particular, as we shall show later, in the above mentioned models, the first two generation sleptons are predicted to be more than 1 TeV in order to accommodate the light CP even Higgs with 125 GeV mass. The stau leptons can still be relatively light due to a relatively large trilinear soft supersymmetry breaking (SSB) A -term.

There are several motivations to study models that allow for the sleptons to be as light as $\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$. For instance,

the SM prediction for the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, $a_\mu = (g - 2)_\mu/2$ (muon $g - 2$) [10], shows a discrepancy with the experimental results [11]:

$$\Delta a_\mu \equiv a_\mu(\text{exp}) - a_\mu(\text{SM}) = (28.6 \pm 8.0) \times 10^{-10}. \quad (2)$$

If supersymmetry is to offer a solution to this discrepancy, the smuon and gaugino (bino or wino) SSB masses should be $\mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GeV}$ or so [12]. Thus, it is hard to simultaneously explain the observed Higgs boson mass and resolve the muon $g - 2$ anomaly if we consider CMSSM, NUHM1 or NUHM2, since in all these cases, the slepton masses are larger than 1 TeV.

Recently, there have been several attempts to reconcile this apparent tension between the muon $g - 2$ and the Higgs boson mass within the MSSM framework by assuming nonuniversal SSB mass terms for the gauginos [13,14] or the sfermions [15,16] at the GUT scale. Indeed, a simultaneous explanation of m_h and muon $g - 2$ is possible [17] in the presence of the $t - b - \tau$ Yukawa coupling unification condition [18]. It has been shown [19] that constraints from flavor changing neutral current processes are very mild and easily satisfied for the case in which the third generation sfermion masses are split from those of the first two generations. However, if the muon $g - 2$ anomaly and the Higgs boson mass are simultaneously explained with nonuniversal gaugino and/or sfermion masses, the correct relic abundance of neutralino dark matter is typically not obtained [16]. Consistency with the observed dark matter abundance would further constrain the SUSY parameter space.

The Higgs decay channel $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in recent times attracted a fair amount of attention [20] because of the apparent deviation compared to the SM prediction.

*adeel@udel.edu

†On leave from Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, 0177 Tbilisi, Georgia.

ilia@bartol.udel.edu

‡shafi@bartol.udel.edu

Currently, the deviation from the SM prediction has been significantly reduced but has not completely disappeared. For example, the ATLAS Collaboration reported $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.17 \pm 0.27$ [21], where $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = [\sigma(pp \rightarrow h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) / \sigma(pp \rightarrow h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)^{SM}]$. The CMS Collaboration reported a best-fit signal strength in their main analysis $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.14^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$ [22]. On the other hand, a cut-based analysis by CMS produced $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.29^{+0.29}_{-0.26}$, which is a slightly different value. This enhancement or suppression in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel with respect to the SM may provide a clue for physics beyond the SM if it is confirmed in the second LHC run. It is known that in order to accommodate an enhancement or suppression in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channel in the framework of MSSM, the stau is one of the best candidates, and its mass has to be around 200 GeV or so. It is problematic to accommodate an enhancement or suppression in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channel in the framework of the CMSSM, NUHM1 or NUHM2 models. In this paper we present a GUT-inspired model which explains the observed $g-2$ anomaly while simultaneously accommodating an enhancement or suppression in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we describe the phenomenological constraints and the scanning procedure we implement in our analysis. In Sec. III we provide motivations for the model used in this paper by briefly reviewing the status of the muon $g-2$ anomaly and $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in CMSSM and NUHM2. Our results for the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel in the proposed model are presented in Sec. IV and for the muon $g-2$ anomaly in Sec. V. Our conclusions are outlined in Sec. VI.

II. PHENOMENOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS AND SCANNING PROCEDURE

We employ ISAJET 7.84 [23] interfaced with micrOMEGAs 2.4 [24] and FeynHiggs 2.10.0 [25] to perform random scans over the parameter space. In ISAJET, the weak scale values of gauge and third generation Yukawa couplings are evolved to M_{GUT} via the MSSM renormalization group equations (RGEs) in the \overline{DR} regularization scheme. We do not strictly enforce the unification condition $g_3 = g_1 = g_2$ at M_{GUT} , since a few percent deviation from unification can be assigned to unknown GUT-scale threshold corrections [26]. With the boundary conditions given at M_{GUT} , the SSB parameters, along with the gauge and third family Yukawa couplings, are evolved back to the weak scale M_Z .

In evaluating the Yukawa couplings the SUSY threshold corrections [27] are taken into account at a common scale $M_S = \sqrt{\overline{m}_{\tilde{L}} \overline{m}_{\tilde{R}}}$. The entire parameter set is iteratively run between M_Z and M_{GUT} using the full two-loop RGEs until a stable solution is obtained. To better account for the leading-log corrections, one-loop step-beta functions are adopted for the gauge and Yukawa

couplings, and the SSB scalar mass parameters m_i are extracted from RGEs at appropriate scales $m_i = m_i(m_i)$. The RGE-improved one-loop effective potential is minimized at an optimized scale M_S , which effectively accounts for the leading two-loop corrections. Full one-loop radiative corrections are incorporated for all sparticle masses.

We implement the following random scanning procedure: A uniform and logarithmic distribution of random points is first generated in the given parameter space. The function RNORMX [28] is then employed to generate a Gaussian distribution around each point in the parameter space. The data points collected all satisfy the requirement of radiative electroweak symmetry breaking (REWSB), with the neutralino in each case being the LSP.

We use micrOMEGAs to calculate the relic density and $BR(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$. The diphoton ratio $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ is calculated using FeynHiggs. After collecting the data, we impose the mass bounds on all the particles [29] and use the ISA Tools package [30] to implement the various phenomenological constraints. We successively apply the following experimental constraints on the data that we acquire from ISAJET 7.84:

$$123 \text{ GeV} \leq m_h \leq 127 \text{ GeV} \quad [1, 2]$$

$$0.8 \times 10^{-9} \leq BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \leq 6.2 \times 10^{-9} (2\sigma) \quad [31]$$

$$2.99 \times 10^{-4} \leq BR(b \rightarrow s\gamma) \leq 3.87 \times 10^{-4} (2\sigma) \quad [32]$$

$$0.15 \leq \frac{BR(B_u \rightarrow \tau\nu_\tau)_{\text{MSSM}}}{BR(B_u \rightarrow \tau\nu_\tau)_{\text{SM}}} \leq 2.41 (3\sigma). \quad [32]$$

III. SLEPTON MASSES IN CMSSM AND NUHM2

Before discussing the scenarios where we address the muon $g-2$ anomaly and the decay rate $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, we first present the relationship between the light CP even Higgs boson and slepton masses in two well studied models, namely CMSSM and NUHM2. While it is true that radiative corrections to the light CP even Higgs boson mass from the first two family sleptons are negligible, in the following section we show that relations among SSB mass terms from GUT-scale boundary conditions in the CMSSM and NUHM2 models yield a strong correlation between them. We do not consider the NUHM1 model since it is an intermediate step between CMSSM and NUHM2 in terms of the independent SSB parameters. Therefore, the light CP even Higgs boson mass dependence on slepton masses in NUHM1 can be inferred, more or less, from the CMSSM and NUHM2 models.

We have performed random scans in the fundamental parameter space of CMSSM and NUHM2 with ranges of the parameters given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq m_{16} \leq 5 \text{ TeV} \\
& 0 \leq M_{1/2} \leq 3 \text{ TeV} \\
& -3 \leq A_0/m_3 \leq 3 \\
& 35 \leq \tan \beta \leq 55; \\
\text{for CMSSM: } & m_{16} = M_{H_u} = M_{H_d} \\
\text{for NUHM2: } & 0 \leq M_{H_u} \neq M_{H_d} \leq 5 \text{ TeV}. \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Here m_{16} is the universal SSB mass parameter for sfermions, and $M_{1/2}$ denotes the universal SSB gaugino masses. A_0 is the SSB trilinear scalar interaction coupling, $\tan \beta$ is the ratio of the MSSM Higgs vacuum expectation values, and M_{H_u}, M_{H_d} stand for the SSB mass terms for the MSSM up and down Higgs doublets. Since the masses of the light CP even Higgs boson and sleptons do not change significantly for $\tan \beta < 35$, we used data from our former analysis for $35 \leq \tan \beta \leq 55$ to generate Fig. 1.

In Fig. 1 we display our results in the $m_h - m_{\tilde{l}}$ plane for CMSSM (left panel) and NUHM2 (right panel). Here $m_{\tilde{l}}$ stands for the left-handed slepton masses for the first two families. We observe that in the CMSSM and NUHM2 models there is a fairly strong correlation between the Higgs boson mass (m_h) and the first two generation slepton masses ($m_{\tilde{l}}$). Note that the bounds for the right-handed slepton masses are very similar and are therefore not displayed. The gray points are consistent with REWSB and neutralino LSP, and the green points form a subset of the gray points and satisfy the sparticle and Higgs mass bounds, as well as all other constraints described in Sec. II.

We see from Fig. 1 that for both the CMSSM and NUHM2 models, compatibility with the measurement $123 \text{ GeV} \leq m_h \leq 127 \text{ GeV}$ requires that the slepton masses lie above 1 TeV. The salient features of the results in Fig. 1 can be understood by noting that in order for the stop quark mass to be more than 1 TeV [33] (which is necessary to achieve $m_h \approx 125 \text{ GeV}$), with universal SSB

parameters $M_{1/2}$ and m_0 , the first and second generation squark masses acquire masses in the multi-TeV range, and the corresponding smuon masses lie around the TeV scale. On the other hand, as mentioned above, in order to have an enhancement in muon $g-2$ and in the decay rate of $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, the sleptons need to be much lighter than 1 TeV. Overall, we learn from Fig. 1 that in the CMSSM, NUHM1 and NUHM2 scenarios, it is not possible to have enhancement in muon $g-2$ and the decay rate of $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ relative to the Standard Model. This conclusion motivates us to explore alternative scenarios which can simultaneously accommodate an enhancement or suppression of $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and an enhancement in muon $g-2$.

IV. $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ DECAY AND PARTICLE SPECTRA

One of the most promising Higgs boson decay channels is the $\gamma\gamma$ final state which, at leading order, proceeds through a loop containing charged particles, including the charged Higgs, sfermions and charginos. In the SM, the leading contribution to $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay comes from the W boson loop, the top loop being the next dominant one. The decay width is given by (see [34,35] and references therein)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = & \frac{G_F \alpha^2 m_h^3}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi} |N_c Q_t^2 g_{htt} A_{1/2}^h(\tau_t) \\
& + g_{hWW} A_1^h(\tau_W) + \mathcal{A}_{\text{SUSY}}^{\gamma\gamma}|^2, \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

where g_{hWW} is the coupling of h to the W boson. The supersymmetric contribution $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SUSY}}^{\gamma\gamma}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\text{SUSY}}^{\gamma\gamma} = & g_{hH^+H^-} \frac{m_W^2}{m_{H^\pm}^2} A_0^h(\tau_{H^\pm}) + \sum_f N_c Q_f^2 g_{h\tilde{f}\tilde{f}} \frac{m_Z^2}{m_{\tilde{f}}^2} A_0^h(\tau_{\tilde{f}}) \\
& + \sum_i g_{h\tilde{\chi}_i^+ \tilde{\chi}_i^-} \frac{m_W}{m_{\tilde{\chi}_i}} A_{\frac{1}{2}}^h(\tau_{\tilde{\chi}_i}), \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$




FIG. 1 (color online). Plots in the m_h vs $m_{\tilde{l}}$ plane for CMSSM (left panel) and NUHM2 (right panel). Gray points are consistent with REWSB and neutralino LSP. Green points form a subset of the gray points and satisfy the sparticle and Higgs mass bounds, as well as all other constraints described in Sec. II.

where g_{hXX} is the coupling of h to the particle X ($= H^\pm, \tilde{f}, \chi_i^\pm$).

The stop and sbottom loop factors have similar contributions as the gluon fusion case. In this case, however, the stau can also contribute to enhancing the decay width without changing the gluon fusion cross section. The chargino contribution to the decay width is known to be less than 10% for $m_{\chi_i^\pm} \gtrsim 100$ GeV. The charged Higgs contribution is even smaller since its coupling to the CP even Higgs is not proportional to its mass and also due to the loop suppression $m_W^2/m_{H^\pm}^2$.

In the MSSM framework it was shown [20] that only a light stau can give significant enhancement/suppression in the process $gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, while keeping the lightest CP even Higgs boson mass in the interval $123 \text{ GeV} \leq m_h \leq 127 \text{ GeV}$.

In this paper we discuss the scenario with nonuniversal and opposite sign gaugino masses at M_{GUT} , while the sfermion masses at M_{GUT} are assumed to be universal. This is a follow-up of the work presented in Ref. [13], where it was shown that the muon $g-2$ anomaly can be explained in this model, but the decay rate for $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ was not




FIG. 2 (color online). Plots in the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_1/M_2$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_1/M_3$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_2/M_3$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_1$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_2$ and $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_3$ planes. Gray points are consistent with REWSB and neutralino LSP. Green points form a subset of the gray points and satisfy the sparticle and Higgs mass bounds, as well as all other constraints described in Sec. II. Brown points belong to a subset of green points and satisfy the following bound on the LSP neutralino relic abundance, $0.001 \leq \Omega h^2 \leq 1$.

analyzed. It was shown in Ref. [13] that the sleptons can be as light as 100 GeV in this model. This observation motivated us to investigate the decay rate for $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and study the parameter space which yields enhancement or suppression for this process.

We perform random scans for the following ranges of the parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq m_{16} \leq 3 \text{ TeV} \\
0 &\leq M_1 \leq 5 \text{ TeV} \\
0 &\leq M_2 \leq 5 \text{ TeV} \\
-5 &\leq M_3 \leq 0 \text{ TeV} \\
-3 &\leq A_0/m_{16} \leq 3 \\
2 &\leq \tan\beta \leq 60 \\
0 &\leq m_{10} \leq 5 \text{ TeV} \\
\mu &> 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Here M_1 , M_2 and M_3 denote the SSB gaugino masses for $U(1)_Y$, $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(3)_c$, respectively. We choose a different sign for gauginos, which was again motivated from the work presented in Ref. [13], where it was shown that an opposite sign nonuniversal gaugino mass case from the muon $g-2$ point of view is preferable to the same sign nonuniversal gaugino case.

The main message of Sec. III is that with universal SSB mass terms for the gaugino and sfermion sectors, it is impossible to have significant SUSY contributions to the decay $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and the muon $g-2$. On the other hand, as shown in Ref. [13], nonuniversal gaugino masses allow for sufficiently light sleptons while keeping the colored sparticles in the multi-TeV region. Because of this observation, we investigate the extent to which nonuniversality is allowed in the gaugino sector to enhance or suppress the decay channel $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. The color coding in Fig. 2 is given as follows. Gray points are consistent with REWSB and neutralino LSP. Green points form a subset of the gray points and satisfy the sparticle and Higgs mass bounds, as well as all other constraints described in Sec. II. Brown points belong to a subset of green points and satisfy the constraint $0.001 \leq \Omega h^2 \leq 1$ on the LSP neutralino relic abundance. We have chosen to display our results for a wider range of Ωh^2 , keeping in mind that one can always find points compatible with the current WMAP range for relic abundance with dedicated scans within the brown regions.

The results from the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_1/M_2$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_1/M_3$ and $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_2/M_3$ planes show that a significant deviation from the universality of gaugino masses in order to have a sizable SUSY contribution to the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay is necessary. For instance, the ratio M_1/M_3 needs to be more than 5, while $M_2/M_3 > 3$ and $M_1/M_2 > 2$. Not only do we observe a strict prediction of gaugino mass ratios, but also a precise

prediction of their values. In particular, from the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_1$ panel we can see that it is difficult to have an enhancement of $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ if $M_1 \gtrsim 300$ GeV. At the same time, the upper bound on M_2 is less stringent and enhancement of $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ occurs even with M_2 around 1 TeV.

We observe from the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - M_3$ panel that the parameter $M_3 \gtrsim 2.5$ TeV. The reason for such a large value of M_3 is the following. Since we assume universality in sfermion masses and seek solutions with sleptons not heavier than a few hundred GeV, m_{16} is required to be around a few hundred GeV. Moreover, with a tau slepton mass of around a hundred GeV, in order to avoid breaking the charge symmetry, A_τ needs to be around a hundred GeV. This places a constraint on A_t because we assume a universal trilinear SSB A_0 term. Consequently, a relatively small value of A_t is obtained at low scale. On the other hand, it was shown in [33] that the stop mass needs to be more than 3 TeV if A_t is not the dominant contributor to the radiative corrections of the light CP even Higgs boson mass. In order to obtain such a heavy stop quark, when m_{16} is of the order of a hundred GeV, a fairly large M_3 is required. This tendency can be observed from the following semianalytic expressions for stop quark masses [36]:

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{Q_t}^2 &\approx 5.41M_3^2 + 0.392M_2^2 + 0.64m_{16}^2 + 0.115M_3A_t \\
&\quad - 0.072M_3M_2 + \dots, \\
m_{U_t}^2 &\approx 4.52M_3^2 - 0.188M_2^2 + 0.273m_{16}^2 - 0.066A_t^2 \\
&\quad - 0.145M_3M_2 + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

It is clear from Eq. (7) that if m_{16} , M_2 and A_t are of the order of a hundred GeV or so, the way to obtain several TeV stop quark masses is to also have M_3 around several TeV.

In Fig. 3 we display our results for the fundamental parameters in the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{16}$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - \mu$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{10}$ and $R_{\gamma\gamma} - \tan\beta$ planes. We can see that an enhancement in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel constrains the parameters in this model. The fundamental parameter m_{16} is restricted to a narrow range, $200 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_{16} \lesssim 600 \text{ GeV}$, for $R_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 1.1$. Similarly, the range for the other parameters for a corresponding enhancement in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel are $2.5 \text{ TeV} \lesssim \mu \lesssim 5.5 \text{ TeV}$, $m_{10} \lesssim 2 \text{ TeV}$, $10 \lesssim \tan\beta \lesssim 20$.

Figure 4 shows our results for the sparticle masses in the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{\tau}}$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{g}}$ and $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ planes. For $R_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 1.1$, the stau and the neutralino are both relatively light with mass ranges $100 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_{\tilde{\tau}} \lesssim 200 \text{ GeV}$ and $50 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \lesssim 200 \text{ GeV}$. From the lower panels of Fig. 4 we can see that the colored sparticles corresponding to $R_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 1.1$ are heavy with $m_{\tilde{g}} \gtrsim 4.5 \text{ TeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \gtrsim 3.5 \text{ TeV}$. The reason for such heavy stop and gluino masses has been discussed above. Testing squarks and gluinos with this mass would be challenging at 14 TeV LHC.




FIG. 3 (color online). Plots in the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{16}$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - \mu$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{10}$ and $R_{\gamma\gamma} - \tan\beta$ planes. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 2.




FIG. 4 (color online). Plots in the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{\tau}}$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$, $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{g}}$ and $R_{\gamma\gamma} - m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ planes. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 2.




FIG. 5 (color online). Plots in the $\Delta a_\mu - m_{\tilde{g}}$ and $\Delta a_\mu \times 10^{10} - m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ planes. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 2.

V. THE MUON ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENT

The leading contribution from low scale supersymmetry to the muon anomalous magnetic moment [12] depends on the following parameters:

$$M_1, M_2, \mu, \tan\beta, m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}, m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}. \quad (8)$$

Since we assume as universal the trilinear SSB term A_0 , it follows that $A_\mu < \mu \tan\beta$ and we therefore do not consider the trilinear SSB-term contribution here.

The colored particles do not directly provide a significant contribution to the muon $g-2$ calculation but are still constrained from the bound on the light CP even Higgs boson mass and the muon $g-2$ calculation. Figure 5 shows our results in the $\Delta a_\mu \times 10^{10} - m_{\tilde{g}}$ and $\Delta a_\mu \times 10^{10} - m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ planes. We can see that the above mentioned constraint yields a stringent lower bound for the gluino and stop masses. Both these sparticles have to be heavier than 3 TeV, which makes it very hard to see them in the second LHC run. However, there is hope that these sparticles can be observed at a future 100 TeV collider.

In Fig. 6 we display results in the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - \Delta a_\mu$ plane. The plot shows that there is a considerable region of the




FIG. 6 (color online). Plot in the $R_{\gamma\gamma} - \Delta a_\mu$ plane. The color coding is the same as in Fig. 2.

TABLE I. Four benchmark points from our analysis. The first point has $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.2$ with the central value of $g-2$. The second point has $(g-2)_\mu$ consistent with the SM and $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.1$. The third point also has the central value of $(g-2)_\mu$ but with no enhancement in the diphoton channel. The fourth point shows a suppression in the diphoton channel with $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.74$. For all these points the sleptons are relatively light but the colored sparticles are considerably heavier.

	Point 1	Point 2	Point 3	Point 4
m_{16}	351	438	561	392
m_{10}	451	32	478	2.7
A_0/m_{16}	-2.6	1.6	0.3	2.6
$\tan\beta$	12	26	42	43
M_1	88	5	344	749
M_2	714	1051	124	722
M_3	-4913	-4550	-4420	-4524
μ	471	747	680	811
m_h	123	124	124	125
m_H	4847	4074	1607	591
m_A	4815	4047	1596	587
m_{H^\pm}	4847	4075	1610	600
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0}$	75, 720	33, 999	184, 198	367, 712
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{3,4}^0}$	4774, 4774	4570, 4570	4512, 4513	4628, 4628
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^\pm}$	726, 4729	1004, 4528	199, 4470	714, 4585
$m_{\tilde{g}}$	9709	9029	8857	9008
$m_{\tilde{u}_{L,R}}$	8247, 8262	7703, 7697	7553, 7582	7674, 7689
$m_{\tilde{t}_{1,2}}$	7217, 7800	6664, 7146	6573, 6707	6625, 6754
$m_{\tilde{d}_{L,R}}$	8247, 8269	7704, 7703	7553, 7588	7675, 7692
$m_{\tilde{b}_{1,2}}$	7756, 8208	7107, 7432	6613, 6750	6608, 6750
$m_{\tilde{\nu}_1}$	410	727	446	543
$m_{\tilde{\nu}_3}$	419	728	677	813
$m_{\tilde{e}_{L,R}}$	561, 150	787, 354	469, 549	552, 449
$m_{\tilde{\tau}_{1,2}}$	176, 519	160, 786	368, 892	526, 979
$\Delta(g-2)_\mu$	29.5×10^{-10}	4.67×10^{-10}	29.3×10^{-10}	29.4×10^{-10}
$R_{\gamma\gamma}$	1.2	1.1	1	0.74

parameter space that allows for simultaneous enhancement in the decay channel $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and the muon $g-2$. In this model we can have the correct neutralino dark matter relic abundance through the slepton coannihilation channel. It is also interesting that this model connects the parameter space relevant to two different experiments. If the enhancement in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channel is excluded, then a considerable region of the parameter space that explains the $g-2$ anomaly will also be excluded.

Finally, in Table I we display four benchmark points from our analysis. All these points satisfy the constraints described in Sec. II. The first and third point yield a muon $g-2$ around the central measured value with $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.2$ and $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.0$, respectively. The second point has $(g-2)_\mu$ consistent with the SM and $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.1$. The fourth point shows a suppression in the diphoton channel with $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.74$. For all these points the sleptons are light while the colored sparticles are considerably heavier.

VI. CONCLUSION

We studied a supersymmetric model with nonuniversal gaugino masses at M_{GUT} that accommodates enhancement

or suppression in the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channel while simultaneously explaining the muon $g-2$ anomaly. The parameter space we obtain is consistent with the current bounds on the sparticle masses and constraints from B physics. The desired neutralino dark matter relic abundance is achieved in this model through a slepton coannihilation channel. We find that the parameter space with $R_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 1.1$ predicts relatively light sleptons with a stau mass range of $100 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_{\tilde{\tau}} \lesssim 200 \text{ GeV}$. The colored sparticles corresponding to $R_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 1.1$ are heavy with $m_{\tilde{g}} \gtrsim 4.5 \text{ TeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \gtrsim 3.5 \text{ TeV}$, which makes it very challenging to observe them in the second run of LHC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported in part by DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-91ER40626. This work used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. OCI-1053575. I. G. acknowledges support from Rustaveli National Science Foundation Grant No. 03/79.

-
- [1] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* **716**, 1 (2012).
 - [2] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* **716**, 30 (2012).
 - [3] See, for instance, S. P. Martin, A supersymmetry primer, *Adv. Ser. Dir. High Energy Phys.* **21**, 1 (2010) and references therein.
 - [4] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for squarks and gluinos with the ATLAS detector in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum using 4.7 fb⁻¹ of $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ proton-proton collision data, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 012008 (2013).
 - [5] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for supersymmetry in hadronic final states using MT2 in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2012) 018.
 - [6] I. Gogoladze, R. Khalid, and Q. Shafi, Coannihilation scenarios and particle spectroscopy in $SU(4)(c) \times SU(2)(L) \times SU(2)(R)$, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 095016 (2009); M. A. Ajaib, T. Li, and Q. Shafi, LHC constraints on NLSP gluino and dark matter neutralino in Yukawa unified models, *Phys. Lett. B* **705**, 87 (2011); S. Raza, Q. Shafi, and C. S. Ün, NLSP gluino and NLSP stop scenarios from $b - \tau$ Yukawa unification, [arXiv:1412.7672](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.7672).
 - [7] G. L. Kane, C. F. Kolda, L. Roszkowski, and J. D. Wells, Study of constrained minimal supersymmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 6173 (1994).
 - [8] H. Baer, A. Mustafayev, S. Profumo, A. Belyaev, and X. Tata, Neutralino cold dark matter in a one parameter extension of the minimal supergravity model, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 095008 (2005).
 - [9] J. Ellis, K. Olive, and Y. Santoso, The MSSM parameter space with non-universal Higgs masses, *Phys. Lett. B* **539**, 107 (2002); J. Ellis, T. Falk, K. Olive, and Y. Santoso, Exploration of the MSSM with non-universal Higgs masses, *Nucl. Phys.* **B652**, 259 (2003); H. Baer, A. Mustafayev, S. Profumo, A. Belyaev, and X. Tata, Direct, indirect and collider detection of neutralino dark matter in SUSY models with non-universal Higgs masses, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2005) 065.
 - [10] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, Reevaluation of the hadronic contributions to the muon $g-2$ and to $\alpha_{M_Z^2}$, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1515 (2011); **72**, 1874 (E) (2012); Reevaluation of the Hadronic Contributions to the Muon $g-2$ and to $\alpha(M_Z)$, [arXiv:1010.4180](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.4180).
 - [11] G. W. Bennett *et al.* (Muon ($g-2$) Collaboration), Final report of the muon E821 anomalous magnetic moment measurement at BNL, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 072003 (2006); Improved limit on the muon electric dipole moment, *Phys. Rev. D*, **80**, 052008 (2009).
 - [12] T. Moroi, Muon anomalous magnetic dipole moment in the minimal supersymmetric standard model, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 6565 (1996); **56**, 4424(E) (1997); S. P. Martin and J. D. Wells, Muon anomalous magnetic dipole moment in supersymmetric theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 035003 (2001); G. F. Giudice, P. Paradisi, A. Strumia, and A. Strumia, Correlation

- between the Higgs decay rate to two photons and the muon $g-2$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2012) 186.
- [13] I. Gogoladze, F. Nasir, Q. Shafi, and C. S. Un, Nonuniversal gaugino masses and muon $g-2$, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 035008 (2014).
- [14] S. Mohanty, S. Rao, and D. P. Roy, Reconciling the muon $g-2$ and dark matter relic density with the LHC results in nonuniversal gaugino mass models, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2013) 027; S. Akula and P. Nath, Gluino-driven radiative breaking, Higgs boson mass, muon $g-2$, and the Higgs diphoton decay in SUGRA unification, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 115022 (2013); J. Chakraborty, S. Mohanty, and S. Rao, Non-universal gaugino mass GUT models in the light of dark matter and LHC constraints, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2014) 074.
- [15] K. S. Babu, I. Gogoladze, S. Raza, and Q. Shafi, Flavor symmetry based MSSM (sMSSM): Theoretical models and phenomenological analysis, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 056001 (2014).
- [16] M. Ibe, T. T. Yanagida, and N. Yokozaki, Muon $g-2$ and 125 GeV Higgs in split-family supersymmetry, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2013) 067.
- [17] M. A. Ajaib, I. Gogoladze, Q. Shafi, and C. S. Un, Split sfermion families, Yukawa unification and muon $g-2$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2014) 079.
- [18] B. Ananthanarayan, G. Lazarides, and Q. Shafi, Top-quark-mass prediction from supersymmetric grand unified theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 1613 (1991); Radiative electroweak breaking and sparticle spectroscopy with $\tan\beta \approx m_t/m_b$, *Phys. Lett. B* **300**, 245 (1993); Q. Shafi and B. Ananthanarayan, in *Proceedings of the 1991 Summer School in High Energy Physics and Cosmology, Trieste, Italy*, edited by E. Gava, K. Narain, S. Randjbar-Daemi, E. Sezgin, and Q. Shafi, ICTP Series in Theoretical Physics Vol. 8 (World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1992).
- [19] H. Baer, A. Belyaev, T. Krupovnickas, and A. Mustafayev, SUSY normal scalar mass hierarchy reconciles $(g-2)_\mu$, $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ and relic density, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2004) 044; K. S. Babu, I. Gogoladze, Q. Shafi, and C. S. Un, Muon $g-2$, 125 GeV Higgs, and neutralino dark matter in a flavor symmetry-based MSSM, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 116002 (2014).
- [20] M. Carena, I. Low, and C. E. M. Wagner, Implications of a modified Higgs to diphoton decay width, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2012) 060; K. Schmidt-Hoberg, F. Staub, and M. W. Winkler, Enhanced diphoton rates at Fermi and the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2013) 124; M. Carena, S. Gori, N. R. Shah, and C. E. M. Wagner, A 125 GeV SM-like Higgs in the MSSM and the $\gamma\gamma$ rate, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2012) 014; M. A. Ajaib, I. Gogoladze, and Q. Shafi, Higgs boson production and decay: Effects from light third generation and vectorlike matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 095028 (2012); N. Maru and N. Okada, Diphoton decay excess and 125 GeV Higgs boson in gauge-Higgs unification, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 095019 (2013); J. Guo, Z. Kang, J. Li, and T. Li, Implications of Higgs sterility for the Higgs and stop sectors, [arXiv:1308.3075](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.3075); M. Hemeda, S. Khalil, and S. Moretti, Light chargino effects onto $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in the MSSM, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 011701 (2014); A. Chakraborty, B. Das, J. L. Diaz-Cruz, D. K. Ghosh, S. Moretti, and P. Poulouse, 125 GeV Higgs signal at the LHC in the CP -violating MSSM, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 055005 (2014); S. Chakraborty, A. Datta, and S. Roy, $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in $U(1)_R$ -lepton number model with a right-handed neutrino, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2015) 124. M. Badziak, M. Olechowski, and S. Pokorski, Light staus and enhanced Higgs diphoton rate with non-universal gaugino masses and $SO(10)$ Yukawa unification, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2013) 088.
- [21] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Measurement of Higgs boson production in the diphoton decay channel in pp collisions at center-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 112015 (2014).
- [22] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Observation of the diphoton decay of the Higgs boson and measurement of its properties, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 3076 (2014).
- [23] F. E. Paige, S. D. Protopopescu, H. Baer, and X. Tata, ISAJET 7.69: A Monte Carlo event generator for pp , $\bar{p}p$, and e^+e^- reactions, [arXiv:hep-ph/0312045](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0312045).
- [24] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, Dark matter direct detection rate in a generic model with micrOMEGAs 2.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180**, 747 (2009).
- [25] M. Frank, T. Hahn, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, H. Rzehak, and G. Weiglein, The Higgs boson masses and mixings of the complex MSSM in the Feynman-diagrammatic approach, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2007) 047; G. Degrassi, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, P. Slavich, and G. Weiglein, Towards high precision predictions for the MSSM Higgs sector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **28**, 133 (2003); S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, and G. Weiglein, The masses of the neutral CP -even Higgs bosons in the MSSM: Accurate analysis at the two loop level, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **9**, 343 (1999); S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, and G. Weiglein, FeynHiggs: A program for the calculation of the masses of the neutral CP even Higgs bosons in the MSSM, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **124**, 76 (2000).
- [26] J. Hisano, H. Murayama, and T. Yanagida, Nucleon decay in the minimal supersymmetric $SU(5)$ grand unification, *Nucl. Phys.* **B402**, 46 (1993); Y. Yamada, SUSY and GUT threshold effects in SUSY $SU(5)$ models, *Z. Phys. C* **60**, 83 (1993); J. L. Chkareuli and I. G. Gogoladze, Unification picture in minimal supersymmetric $SU(5)$ model with string remnants, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 055011 (1998).
- [27] D. M. Pierce, J. A. Bagger, K. T. Matchev, and R.-j. Zhang, Precision corrections in the minimal supersymmetric standard model, *Nucl. Phys.* **B491**, 3 (1997).
- [28] J. L. Leva, A fast normal random number generator, *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **18**, 449 (1992); Algorithm 712. A normal random number generator, *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **18**, 454 (1992).
- [29] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Review of particle physics (RPP), *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 010001 (2012).
- [30] H. Baer, C. Balazs, and A. Belyaev, Neutralino relic density in minimal supergravity with co-annihilations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2002) 042; H. Baer, C. Balazs, J. Ferrandis, and X. Tata, Impact of muon anomalous magnetic moment on supersymmetric models, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 035004 (2001).
- [31] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Search for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_d \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ Decays with 2 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ Collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 101802 (2008).
- [32] E. Barberio *et al.* (Heavy Flavor Averaging Group), Averages of b -hadron and c -hadron properties at the end of 2007, [arXiv:0808.1297](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1297).

- [33] M. A. Ajaib, I. Gogoladze, F. Nasir, and Q. Shafi, Revisiting mGMSB in light of a 125 GeV Higgs, *Phys. Lett. B* **713**, 462 (2012).
- [34] A. Djouadi, The anatomy of electro-weak symmetry breaking. II. The Higgs bosons in the minimal supersymmetric model, *Phys. Rep.* **459**, 1 (2008).
- [35] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane, and S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990).
- [36] I. Gogoladze, M. U. Rehman, and Q. Shafi, Amelioration of the little hierarchy problem in $SU(4)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 105002 (2009).