Lee-Yang zero distribution of high temperature QCD and the Roberge-Weiss phase transition

Keitaro Nagata,^{1,*} Kouji Kashiwa,^{2,†} Atsushi Nakamura,^{3,‡} and Shinsuke M. Nishigaki^{4,§}

¹KEK Theory Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan ²Vukawa Institute for Theoratioal Physics, Kyota University

 2 Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,

Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan ³

 3 Research Institute for Information Science and Education, Hiroshima University,

Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan
⁴ Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Shimane University, Matsue 690-8504, Japan (Received 5 October 2014; published 18 May 2015)

Canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros of QCD at finite density and high temperature are studied. Recent lattice simulations confirm that the free energy of QCD is a quartic function of quark chemical potential at temperature slightly above pseudocritical temperature T_c , as in the case with a gas of free massless fermions. We present analytic derivation of the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros for this type of free energy using the saddle point approximation. We also perform lattice QCD simulation in a canonical approach using the fugacity expansion of the fermion determinant and carefully examine its reliability. By comparing the analytic and numerical results, we conclude that the canonical partition functions follow the Gaussian distribution of the baryon number, and the accumulation of Lee-Yang zeros of these canonical partition functions exhibit the first-order Roberge-Weiss phase transition. We discuss the validity and applicable range of the result and its implications both for theoretical and experimental studies.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094507](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094507) PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha, 12.38.Gc, 12.38.Mh

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) undergoes a phase transition from the hadronic phase to the quark gluon plasma (QGP) phase at high temperature. Recently, a beam energy scan (BES) program at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider has reported valuable data for the long-standing issue of identifying the phase boundary in the QCD phase diagram by using heavy ion collisions with different collision energies, centralities, etc. $[1-3]$. There, the probability distribution of conserved charges has been constructed by measuring them for each collision. Extensive efforts have been invested to understand event-by-event fluctuations of those charges, as they are expected to be useful observables for locating the critical end point [\[4](#page-10-1)–8].

The setup in BES experiments, where a part of the fireballs is accessible for measurements, resembles a grand canonical ensemble in statistical mechanics [9–[11\]](#page-10-2), and this parallelism enables us to study the probability distribution of the net baryon number n_B theoretically: Consider a grand canonical ensemble for a single particle species. The grand canonical partition function $Z(\mu)$ is expanded in terms of the number of particles *n* as $Z(\mu) = \sum_n Z_n e^{n\mu/T}$. Here, Z_n is a canonical partition function, which depends on temperature T but not on the chemical potential μ . For given μ and T, $Z_n e^{n\mu/T}$ is proportional to the probability of observing an *n*-particle state in the grand canonical system. The BES experiments have so far measured the net proton multiplicity and reported [\[2\]](#page-10-3) that it closely

follows the Skellam distribution for several collision energies and centralities. This observation is consistent with the hadron resonance gas (HRG) model, in which the net baryon multiplicity is approximately given by a Skellam distribution [\[9\]](#page-10-2).

On the other hand, in lattice QCD simulations the canonical approach has been proposed as a tool to circumvent the sign problem associated with a finite chemical potential [\[12](#page-10-4)–22]. In previous studies [\[21,22\]](#page-10-5), two of the authors (K. N. and A. N.) found that the canonical partition functions follow the Gaussian distribution of the baryon number at high temperatures and that the Lee-Yang zeros obtained from the canonical partition functions of the Gaussian type exhibit a behavior consistent with a Roberge-Weiss (RW) phase transition [\[23\]](#page-10-6). This result has several implications: The connection between the Gaussian behavior of the net baryon number distribution and the RW phase transition can be used as an experimental probe indicating the QGP phase, since the RW phase transition is a phenomenon specific to the QGP phase. The result is also interesting in the context of the Lee-Yang zero analysis [\[24,25\]](#page-10-7), since the distribution of Lee-Yang zeros is known for some limited cases, e.g., [\[25,26\].](#page-10-8)

Despite the aforementioned importance, the determination of Lee-Yang zeros in Monte Carlo simulations is a difficult task. Canonical partition functions suffer from a phase fluctuation configuration by configuration due to the sign problem. This problem may be reduced by using sophisticated approaches proposed in, e.g., [\[15,19\]](#page-10-9). However, the phase fluctuation becomes more severe as the baryon number increases, and the truncation of the fugacity polynomial at a certain order is inevitable. Such methodological artifacts might sensitively affect the thermodynamic behavior of the Lee-Yang zeros that are the roots of the truncated polynomial.

The purpose of the present work is to determine the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros in QCD at high temperatures and to reexamine their relationships. To this end, we present an alternative analytical calculation and assess the reliability of the lattice results in light of the former. Specifically, we first derive the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros at high temperature by utilizing the fact that the free energy is then given as a simple quartic function of the quark chemical potential. The canonical partition function is defined as a Fourier integral of the grand canonical partition function with pure imaginary chemical potential. At high temperature, this integral can be evaluated in a saddle point approximation yielding the Gaussian function. Accordingly, the grand canonical partition function is expressed as a Jacobi theta function. Using the property of the zeros of the theta function, we show that the Lee-Yang zeros are located on the negative real axis on the complex plane of the baryon fugacity. Because of the RW periodicity, these zeros are aligned on three radial lines on the complex plane of the quark fugacity. This elucidates the close connection between the Gaussian behavior of the canonical partition functions and the RW phase transition.

In reexamining the results from the lattice QCD simulations, some of which have been already presented in [\[22\]](#page-10-10), we newly address the issue of the convergence of the fugacity polynomial and Lee-Yang zeros and perform a bootstrap analysis for their distribution. We find that the Lee-Yang zeros related to the RW phase transition are not sensitive to the truncated part of the fugacity polynomial. We also find an agreement between the lattice data and analytic calculation.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we explain the canonical approach and Lee-Yang zero theorem. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0), we explain some features of QCD. Using those features, we derive the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros. In Sec. [IV,](#page-4-0) we compute the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros in lattice QCD simulation. We also discuss the implications and reliability of the results. The final section is devoted to a summary.

II. CANONICAL APPROACH AND LEE-YANG ZEROS

In this section, we explain the canonical approach and the Lee-Yang zero theorem. The grand canonical partition function is defined by

$$
Z(\mu) = \text{tr} e^{-(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N})/T}, \qquad (1)
$$

where \hat{H} and \hat{N} denote the Hamiltonian and the quark number operator in QCD, and T and μ the temperature and the quark chemical potential. We refer to V as the spatial volume of the system. Using the eigenstates of the number operator, $Z(\mu)$ can be expanded in powers of fugacity $\mathcal{E} = e^{\mu/T}$.

$$
Z(\mu) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} Z_n \xi^n.
$$
 (2)

Here, $Z_n = \text{tr}(e^{-\hat{H}/T} \delta_{\hat{N},n})$ is the canonical partition function at a fixed quark number n , which is the eigenvalue of \hat{N} . Z_n is real and positive for any *n*, and satisfies $Z_n = Z_{-n}$. N is the maximum number of quarks that can be supported on the system. The maximum number N is finite on the lattice and diverges in the thermodynamic limit. Z_n is related to the Helmholtz free energy density $f_H(n)$ as $Z_n = \exp(-Vf_H(n)/T)$ [\[16,18,27\]](#page-10-11) and converges in the thermodynamic limit. By extending μ to pure imaginary values, $\mu = i\mu_I, \mu_I \in \mathbb{R}$, Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is regarded as a Fourier expansion of $Z(\mu)$ with the Fourier coefficients Z_n . The latter can be expressed as the Fourier transformation

$$
Z_n = \int d\theta Z(\theta) e^{in\theta} = \int d\theta e^{-Vf(\theta)/T} e^{in\theta}, \qquad (3)
$$

where $\theta = \mu_I/T$, and we have used $f(\mu) =$ $-(T/V)\ln Z(\mu)$ to obtain the right-hand side [\[28\].](#page-10-12) Here, $f(\mu)$ denotes the Gibbs free energy density. The domain of the Fourier integral is usually 0 to 2π . In QCD, we need to take into account the Roberge-Weiss periodicity to determine the domain of the integral, as we elaborate in the next section.

For real μ (i.e., real and positive ξ), $Z(\mu)$ can never have zeros since its coefficient Z_n is real and positive for any *n*. However, $Z(\mu)$ can have zeros for complex μ . Using the roots ξ_i of $Z(\mu)$ in the complex ξ plane, it is expressed in a factorized form [\[24,25\]](#page-10-7)

$$
Z(\mu) = \lim_{N \to \infty} Z_{-N} \xi^{-N} \prod_{i=1}^{2N} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\xi_i} \right). \tag{4}
$$

The roots $\{\xi_i\}$ are referred to as Lee-Yang zeros. Because of the symmetry $Z_n = Z_{-n}$, any root ξ_i inside the unit circle is accompanied by another root $1/\xi_i$ outside.

In spite of its general importance, it is practically difficult to obtain Lee-Yang zeros for different models. Lee and Yang [\[25\]](#page-10-8) showed that Lee-Yang zeros in Ising models are distributed only on the unit circle on the complex plane of e^h ; see Fig. [7.](#page-8-0) To relate Lee-Yang zeros to thermodynamic singularities, it is useful to recall an electrostatic analogue proposed by the very founders [\[25\]](#page-10-8) and later used in the context of QCD in, e.g., [29–[31\].](#page-10-13) Considering the free energy as an analytic function on the complex ξ plane, we denote its real part by $\phi = \text{Re} f$, which is written as

LEE-YANG ZERO DISTRIBUTION OF HIGH ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 094507 (2015)

$$
\phi(\xi) = -\frac{T}{V} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \ln |\xi - \xi_i| - \frac{T}{V} \ln Z_N + \frac{NT}{V} \ln |\xi|. \tag{5}
$$

Here, the third term comes from a multiplicative factor of the grand canonical partition function and is irrelevant to Lee-Yang zeros. This also provides a constant contribution to the number density because $\ln \xi = \mu/T$ and is irrelevant to phase transitions. Therefore, we can safely ignore this term [\[29,30\]](#page-10-13). Taking the derivatives of ϕ with respect to ξ , we obtain

$$
\nabla_{\xi}^{2} \phi(\xi) = -2\pi \frac{T}{V} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \delta^{(2)}(\xi - \xi_{i}), \tag{6}
$$

where $\nabla_{\xi} \equiv (\partial/(\partial \text{Re}\xi), \partial/(\partial \text{Im}\xi))$. We have used

$$
\nabla^2 \ln|z| = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) \ln|x + iy| = 2\pi \delta(x)\delta(y). \tag{7}
$$

Equation [\(6\)](#page-2-1) is just the Poisson equation for a twodimensional electrostatic potential problem; the real part of the free energy ϕ is interpreted as the electrostatic potential, its derivative $\nabla_{\xi} \phi$ as the electric field, and Lee-Yang zeros as the location of charges. In an electrostatic problem of charges that accumulate, e.g., on a circle, the electric field is discontinuous across the circle, while the potential is continuous. Analogous to this problem, if Lee-Yang zeros accumulate on a curve in the thermodynamic limit, then the electric field $\nabla_{\xi} \phi$ is discontinuous across the curve. As $\nabla_{\xi} \phi$ is proportional to the (complexified) number density, the discontinuity in it signals the first-order phase transition taking place across the curve.

III. LEE-YANG ZEROS IN QCD AT HIGH **TEMPERATURE**

In this section, we derive the Lee-Yang zeros of QCD. We start by recapitulating some features of QCD at pure imaginary chemical potential and of its free energy at high temperature. Then we calculate canonical partition functions by using the saddle point approximation, leading to the analytical determination of Lee-Yang zeros.

A. QCD at pure imaginary chemical potential

Pure $SU(N_c)$ Yang-Mills theory, such as quenched QCD, has a center symmetry \mathbb{Z}_{N_c} , where N_c is the number of colors, and $N_c = 3$ in QCD. A transition from the hadronic phase to the QGP phase occurs at high temperature. The Polyakov loop defined by

$$
L(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{3} \text{tr} \left\{ \exp \left(ig \oint_0^{1/T} A_4(\vec{x}, \tau) d\tau \right) \right\} \tag{8}
$$

is related to the free energy of static quarks and can be used as an order parameter of the deconfinement transition. Here, P denotes the path ordering [\[32\]](#page-10-14), \vec{x} the spatial coordinate, τ the imaginary time, g the gauge coupling constant of QCD, and A_4 the $\mu = 4$ component of the gauge field A_{μ} in QCD. A_{μ} is an SU(3) matrix, and the trace is taken over color indices. Since the Polyakov loop is \mathbb{Z}_3 variant, nonzero values of the Polyakov loop $\langle L \rangle$ mean that the center symmetry is spontaneously broken, where the bracket $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the average over gauge fields. In the deconfinement phase Ω CD has three degenerate vacual - -deconfinement phase, QCD has three degenerate vacua according to the center symmetry, and one of them is favored to break the \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry spontaneously.

In the presence of quarks, the center symmetry is explicitly broken. The deconfinement phase transition turns into a smooth crossover without discontinuity in thermodynamic quantities. Nevertheless, the Polyakov loop is still used to distinguish the confinement and deconfinement phases.

Roberge and Weiss [\[23\]](#page-10-6) found that even in the presence of the quarks, the grand canonical partition function of $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory is invariant under the shift of $\mu_I =$ Imu as

$$
Z\left(\frac{\mu_I}{T}\right) = Z\left(\frac{\mu_I}{T} + \frac{2\pi}{N_c}\right). \tag{9}
$$

This states that the grand canonical partition function is periodic with respect to μ_I/T with the period of $2\pi/N_c$. This is referred to as the RW periodicity.

Roberge and Weiss [\[23\]](#page-10-6) also found a first-order phase transition at $\mu_I/T = (2k+1)\pi/N_c$, $(k = 1, 2, ..., N_c)$ under the increase of μ_I at high temperature. The argument of the Polyakov loop $\omega = \arg \langle L \rangle$ is often used as an order parameter of the phase transition. In the deconfinement phase and in the presence of quarks with $\mu = 0$, ω takes zero in the ground state, while the other two \mathbb{Z}_3 vacua are local minima. As μ_I is increased at high temperature, the Polyakov loop jumps from one of the \mathbb{Z}_3 vacua to another at $\mu_I/T = \pi/3, \pi, 5\pi/3$, and the argument of the Polyakov loop changes discontinuously,

$$
\omega = \begin{cases}\n0 & \left(0 \le \frac{\mu_I}{T} \le \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{3} \le \frac{\mu_I}{T} \le 2\pi\right), \\
\frac{4\pi}{3} & \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \le \frac{\mu_I}{T} \le \pi\right), \\
\frac{2\pi}{3} & \left(\pi \le \frac{\mu_I}{T} \le \frac{5\pi}{3}\right).\n\end{cases} \tag{10}
$$

This transition is referred to as the RW phase transition. Roberge and Weiss found the presence of the RW phase transition by using a perturbative analysis and its absence at low temperature using a strong coupling analysis. This was later confirmed nonperturbatively in lattice QCD simulations for several different setups [\[33](#page-10-15)–42]. It was also studied in effective models; see, e.g., [43–[45\].](#page-11-0)

A nonzero value of ω means that the gauge field A_4 acquires an expectation value $\omega T/q$. As we will show in the next subsection, this effect plays a crucial role in realizing the RW periodicity of the free energy at high temperature. In this work, we assume that the system is homogeneous and in equilibrium. We also assume a priori that the thermal fluctuation of ω and A_4 is negligibly small. Under this assumption, we fix A_4 at its classical value in a background field method [\[32\].](#page-10-14)

Using the RW periodicity, canonical partition functions Z_n are classified in terms of the value of n mod N_c , which is referred to as the triality for QCD. We also refer to ${n | n \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 3}$ as the triality sector and the other two sectors ${n | n \neq 0 \text{ mod } 3}$ as the nonzero triality sector. Using Eqs. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and [\(9\),](#page-2-2) we can show [\[46\]](#page-11-1) that

$$
Z_n = 0, \qquad n \neq 0 \text{ mod } 3. \tag{11}
$$

This means that only the triality sector contributes to $Z(\mu)$ due to the RW periodicity, while the nonzero triality sector does not. Using Eq. [\(11\),](#page-3-0) the fugacity polynomial Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is expressed in terms of the baryon number instead of the quark number as

$$
Z(\mu) = \sum_{n=0 \text{ mod } 3} Z_n \xi^n = \sum_{n_{\text{B}}} Z_{n_{\text{B}}} \xi^n_{\text{B}}, \tag{12}
$$

where $\xi_B = \exp(3\mu/T) = \xi^3$ and $n_B = n/3$. We rewrite the canonical partition functions Z_n for quark number $n = 3n_B$ as those for baryon number Z_{n_B} to obtain the righthand side.

B. Free energy at temperatures above T_c

At high temperature, the free energy density of QCD eventually approaches a quartic polynomial with even powers of μ/T [\[47,48\]](#page-11-2):

$$
-\frac{f(\mu)}{T^4} = c_0 + c_2 \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right)^2 + c_4 \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right)^4.
$$
 (13)

The minus sign is conventionally introduced.

The question arises as to whether higher-order coefficients survive in cases other than the Stefan-Boltzmann (SB) limit, such as in the presence of interaction or at moderate temperature, etc. Lattice QCD simulations suggested that the free energy, indeed, approaches Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-1) at a temperature slightly above the pseudocritical temperature T_c . The sixth-order term c_6 has been calculated in lattice QCD with different setups: the p4-improved staggered fermions with the bare quark mass $m/T = 0.4$ on a $16³ \times 4$ lattice [\[49\],](#page-11-3) at two different pion masses $m_\pi = 220$ and 770 MeV [\[50\],](#page-11-4) and the clover-improved Wilson fermions on $8^3 \times 4$ with the pion mass about 800–1000 MeV [\[51\]](#page-11-5). A common feature is that $c₆$ rapidly decreases with temperature for $T>T_c$ and vanishes at a certain temperature. The vanishing temperature of c_6 was estimated to be $T =$ $(1.1-1.2)T_c$ in [\[49](#page-11-3)–51]. Usually, Taylor coefficients are calculated using the so-called noise method [\[52\]](#page-11-6), which associates with a randomly generated vector. This method becomes less useful for higher-order terms due to the numerical uncertainty caused by the random noise vector. On the other hand, in [\[51\],](#page-11-5) we used a reduction formula [\[53\]](#page-11-7). The reduction formula provides a method to evaluate the Taylor coefficients without the random noise vector, although its applicability has been limited to small lattice volumes. Using the formula, the Taylor coefficients were calculated up to tenth order, and the values of c_8 and c_{10} were indeed consistent with zero at $T > 1.1T_c$ within error bars. Thus, the lattice QCD simulations are unanimous in supporting [49–[51\]](#page-11-3) that the free energy takes the quartic form at temperatures $(1.1-1.2)T_c$ or above.

Lattice QCD simulations also showed that c_2 is larger compared to c_4 at high temperature. Below, we will use a saddle point approximation, which requires c_2 to be sufficiently large compared to c_4 . Here, we estimate the validity range of this approximation. c_2 and c_4 have been well studied in lattice QCD simulations, e.g., [\[49](#page-11-3)–52]. They are comparable in magnitude at $T \sim T_c$, and c_2 (c_4) increases (decreases) monotonically and rapidly as the temperature is raised above T_c so that c_2 surpasses c_4 at a temperature, to some extent, higher than T_c . The lattice QCD simulations reported [\[49](#page-11-3)–52] that c_2 is about 10 times larger than c_4 at temperatures $T = (1.1-1.2)T_c$. As we will see below, this justifies the use of the saddle point approximation. Note that c_2 and c_4 deviate from those at the SB limit in lattice QCD simulations due to lattice artifacts. The discussion below is valid if the free energy satisfies the above two conditions, $c_6 = c_8 = \cdots = 0$ and $c_2 \gg c_4$, regardless of whether the SR limit is reached or not SB limit is reached or not.

1. Canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros

Having explained two features of the free energy of QCD at high temperature, we limit our discussion to the case where these conditions hold and study the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros. First, we extract Z_n by substituting Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-1) into Eq. [\(3\).](#page-1-1) However, the free energy [\(13\)](#page-3-1) is obtained for $\text{Im}\mu = 0$. As we have remarked, the argument of the Polyakov loop ω , i.e., the field A_4 , acquires a nonzero expectation value via the RW phase transition as μ_I is increased. We assume A_4 to be constant and absorb this condensate into the shift of the imaginary part of the chemical potential: $\mu + igA_4 = \mu + i\omega T$. By taking into account the contributions from the three domains, Z_n is given as

$$
Z_n = \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} e^{-Vf(\theta)/T} e^{in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi} e^{-Vf(\theta - 2\pi/3)/T} e^{in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}
$$

$$
+ \int_{\pi}^{5\pi/3} e^{-Vf(\theta - 4\pi/3)/T} e^{in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \qquad (14)
$$

where $\theta = \mu_I/T$. Using the RW periodicity [\[23\]](#page-10-6), this reads

$$
Z_n = \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} e^{-Vf(\theta)/T} e^{in\theta} (1 + e^{-i2\pi n/3} + e^{-i4\pi n/3}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.
$$
 (15)

This ensures $Z_n = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ mod 3, so that Eq. [\(15\)](#page-4-1) is expressed as

$$
Z_n = \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} d\theta e^{T^3 V g(\theta) + in\theta} \quad (n \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 3), \quad (16)
$$

where $g(\theta) = c_0 - c_2\theta^2 + c_4\theta^4$. The function $g(\theta)$ has one maximum at $\theta = 0$ and two minima at $\theta = \pm \sqrt{c_2/(2c_4)}$. At high temperature, those two minima are outside of the integration domain. As we have mentioned above, c_2 and c_4 are comparable at $T = T_c$, and c_2 rapidly increases and c_4 rapidly decreases above T_c . For instance, $\sqrt{c_2/(2c_4)} = \sqrt{2\pi}$ in the SB limit [47,48,52] and $\sqrt{c_2/(2c_4)} \sim \sqrt{5}$ for $\sqrt{2\pi}$ in the SB limit [\[47,48,52\]](#page-11-2) and $\sqrt{c_2/(2c_4)} \sim \sqrt{5}$ for $T = (1, 1, -1, 2)T$. [49,52] $q(\theta)$ is a concave function for $T = (1.1-1.2)T_c$ [49–[52\].](#page-11-3) $q(\theta)$ is a concave function for $\theta \in [-\pi/3, \pi/3]$ with the maximum at $\theta = 0$. It has a sharper peak for larger volume, and the integral in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-4-2) is dominated by $\theta = 0$ for large V. This allows for the use of the saddle point approximation to Eq. [\(16\)](#page-4-2) so that Z_n is reduced to

$$
Z_n = Ce^{-n^2/(4T^3Vc_2)} \quad (n \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 3), \tag{17}
$$

where $C = \frac{3}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T^3 V c_0}} e^{T^3 V c_0}$. The quartic term in $g(\theta)$ vanishes according to the saddle point approximation. In transition from Eq. (16) to (17) , we approximated an incomplete gamma function by a complete counterpart (see the Appendix). The validity of our approximation is estimated by the condition $c_2(\mu/T)^2 \gg c_4(\mu/T)^4$ so that the free energy is dominated by the second-order term. To evaluate the applicable range of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-4-4), we have plotted $(f(\mu) - f(0))/T^4$ for the saddle point approximation and the original one [\(13\)](#page-3-1) in Fig. [1.](#page-4-5) It indicates that the saddle point approximation is valid for small $\mu/T \lesssim 0.5$.

Assuming the validity of Eq. [\(17\)](#page-4-3), $Z(\mu)$ is reconstructed as

$$
Z(\mu) = C \sum_{n_{\rm B}=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-9n_{\rm B}^2/(4T^3V_{c2}) + 3n_{\rm B}\mu/T}.
$$
 (18)

The zeros of the grand canonical partition function are readily obtained by recognizing that Eq. [\(18\)](#page-4-4) is equal to the Jacobi theta function $\theta(z,\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z}$,

$$
Z(\mu) \propto \vartheta(z, \tau), \tag{19}
$$

where z and τ are given by

FIG. 1 (color online). Comparison of the saddle point approximation (SPA) and exact result for the free energy density. We use values of c_2 , c_4 , and VT^3 used in our simulations in the next section ($c_2 = 2.20$, $c_4 = 0.27$).

$$
2\pi i z = 3\frac{\mu}{T}, \qquad \pi i \tau = -\frac{9}{4T^3 V c_2}.
$$
 (20)

Thus, the Lee-Yang zeros of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-4-4) are given by the zeros of $\vartheta(z, \tau)$ located at

$$
\frac{\mu}{T} = \frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{3} - \frac{3(2\ell+1)}{4T^3Vc_2},\tag{21}
$$

where k and ℓ take all integer values as a consequence of the pseudodouble periodicity of the theta function.

On the complex plane of the baryon fugacity $\xi_B = \xi^3$,
zeros in Eq. (21) are located on the negative real axis all zeros in Eq. [\(21\)](#page-4-6) are located on the negative real axis. On the complex ξ plane, Eq. [\(21\)](#page-4-6) lies on three radial lines at arguments $\arg \xi = \text{Im}(\mu/T) = \pi/3$, π , and $5\pi/3$. The RW phase transition occurs at the points $(Re(\mu/T), Im(\mu/T)) = (0, (2k+1)\pi/3)$ [\[23\].](#page-10-6) The Lee-Yang zeros closest to these points are given by

$$
\frac{\mu}{T} = \frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{3} \pm \frac{3}{4T^3 V c_2}.
$$
 (22)

Each of them approaches the corresponding RW phase transition point in the thermodynamic limit as $1/V$. This explains the first-order nature of the RW phase transition according to the Lee-Yang zero theorem. In addition, Eq. [\(21\)](#page-4-6) also indicates that the RW phase transition occurs at $\mu_I/T = \pi/3$ even for Re $(\mu/T) \neq 0$, as long as the saddle point approximation is valid. We note that it is possible to obtain the Lee-Yang zeros of Eq. [\(22\)](#page-4-7) directly from the free energy by using a method presented in [\[26\].](#page-10-16)

IV. LATTICE QCD SIMULATIONS

A. Method and setup

In this section, we reexamine the data of our previous lattice QCD simulations in which three quantities, the RW phase transition [\[40\],](#page-10-17) Taylor coefficients of the free energy [\[51\]](#page-11-5), canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros [\[21,22\]](#page-10-5) were calculated in the same lattice setup. Below we recapitulate the calculation of Z_n and Lee-Yang zeros on the lattice and summarize the setup of the simulations to make the paper self-contained.

The grand canonical partition function of lattice QCD is given by

$$
Z(\mu) = \int \mathcal{D}U(\det \Delta(\mu))^{N_f} e^{-S_g}, \qquad (23)
$$

where U, $\Delta(\mu)$, and S_q denote link variables, fermion matrix, and gauge action, respectively. We employ a clover-improved Wilson fermion action with $N_f = 2$ and renormalization-group improved gauge action [\[54\]](#page-11-8).

We calculate Z_n using a Glasgow method [\[13,14\].](#page-10-18) We expand the fermion determinant in powers of ξ using a reduction formula of the Wilson fermion determinant [\[14,27,53,55](#page-10-19)–57],

$$
(\det \Delta(\mu))^{N_f} = \sum_{n=-2N_f N_s^3}^{2N_f N_s^3} d_n \xi^n, \tag{24}
$$

which provides the fugacity expansion of $Z(\mu)$. Since d_n is complex, it is not possible to use d_n as a measure for Monte Carlo simulations. Instead, we use Ferrenberg-Swendsen reweighting for the fermion determinant: det $\Delta(\mu) = (\det \Delta(\mu)/\det \Delta(0)) \det \Delta(0)$ and express $Z(\mu)$ as an expectation value of the operator $Z(\mu)$ as an expectation value of $\det \Delta(\mu) / \det \Delta(0)$ averaged over gauge configurations generated at $\mu = 0$. Then, Z_n is given by

$$
Z_n = \int \mathcal{D}U \frac{d_n}{(\det \Delta(0))^{N_f}} (\det \Delta(0))^{N_f} e^{-S_g},
$$

= $Z_0 \left\langle \frac{d_n}{(\det \Delta(0))^{N_f}} \right\rangle_0,$ (25)

where $Z_0 = \int \mathcal{D}U(\det \Delta(0))^{N_f} e^{-S_g}$, and $\langle \cdots \rangle_0$ denotes the expectation value obtained from gauge configurations expectation value obtained from gauge configurations generated at $\mu = 0$ with reweighting.

The simulation was performed in the following setup: The lattice volumes were $N_s^3 \times N_t = 8^3 \times 4$ and $10^3 \times 4$ with spatial and temporal lattice sizes N and N. The with spatial and temporal lattice sizes N_s and N_t . The simulation was performed along the line of constant physics with $m_{\pi}/m_{\rho} = 0.8$ [\[52\]](#page-11-6). We considered two temperatures $T/T_c = 0.99$ ($\beta = 1.85$) and 1.20(1.95), where $\beta = 6/q^2$ is the bare lattice coupling constant, and T_c is the pseudocritical temperature at $\mu = 0$. The RW phase transition point was estimated to be at $\beta = 1.92$ [\[40\]](#page-10-17) and 11,000 hybrid Monte Carlo trajectories were simulated for each parameter set. The observables were calculated using 400 configurations with 20-trajectory intervals after removing the initial 3000 trajectories for thermalization.

Lee-Yang zeros are obtained by using a method based on the Cauchy integral theorem with a divide-and-conquer algorithm and multiprecision arithmetic [\[22,58\].](#page-10-10)

We apply the RW periodicity to $Z(\mu)$ and express it in terms of the baryon number, as shown in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-2). We solve $Z(\mu) = 0$ for Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-2) and obtain Lee-Yang zeros for ξ_{B} . They are transformed into the zeros for ξ by using $\xi = \xi_B^{1/3}$.
Thus, the obtained Lee-Yang zeros automatically satisfy the Thus, the obtained Lee-Yang zeros automatically satisfy the \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry on the complex ξ plane. For further detail, see [\[21,22,51\]](#page-10-5).

B. Canonical partition functions

The T and V dependences of Taylor coefficients c_2 and c_4 are plotted in Fig. [2](#page-5-0). At $T/T_c = 0.99$, c_2 and c_4 are comparable in magnitude, while c_2 is several times larger than c_4 at $T/T_c = 1.20$. We observed in [\[51\]](#page-11-5) that higherorder coefficients c_6 , c_8 , and c_{10} are consistent with zero at $T/T_c = 1.20$ so that $f(\mu)$ approaches the quartic function of μ as expected in [\(13\)](#page-3-1). We also observe that the coefficients c_2 and c_4 are insensitive to the lattice volume. Thus, the conditions used in the saddle point approximation are satisfied at $T/T_c = 1.20$ or higher temperatures.

We plot the canonical partition functions Z_{n_B} ($n = 3n_B$) in Fig. [3](#page-6-0). The squares and circles indicate the values obtained from the canonical approach, Eq. [\(25\)](#page-5-1). Since the average of Z_n can be negative for large *n* due to the overlap problem, we plot the values of Z_{n_B} up to $\pm n_B$ below which the partition functions are all positive. The solid curves represent the Gaussian functions [\(17\)](#page-4-3) with c_2 obtained from the lattice simulation. We observe that Z_{n_B} with relatively small n_B follows the Gaussian function at $T/T_c = 1.20$ as expected, while they fail to match at $T/T_c = 0.99$.

In order to quantify the consistency, we plot the relative difference between the lattice data and Gaussian fit in Fig. [4.](#page-6-1) The data and Gaussian functions show better agreement for higher temperature and larger volume. However, the Gaussian function systematically deviates from the data for large n even at high T . This deviation is

FIG. 2 (color online). Second- and fourth-order coefficients of Taylor expansion of the free energy, c_2 and c_4 , for $N_s = 8$ and $N_s = 16$. The data for $N_s = 8$ and $N_s = 16$ are taken from [\[51,52\],](#page-11-5) respectively.

FIG. 3 (color online). Canonical partition function as a function of the baryon number for $T/T_c = 0.99$ (top) and 1.20 (bottom). The data are obtained from the canonical formalism, while the solid curves are obtained from the saddle point approximation. Note that only positive Z_n 's are shown.

partly caused by the smallness of the lattice volume, as a better agreement is observed for larger volume. It is likely that the deviation may originate from the breakdown of the saddle point approximation, as its validity is limited to small μ/T . Below, we shall examine how the deviation affects the distribution of Lee-Yang zeros. Kratochvila and de Forcrand [\[16\]](#page-10-11) showed the agreement of the free energy

FIG. 4 (color online). The difference of the canonical partition functions between the data $(Z_{n_B}^{(\text{lat})})$ and Gaussian fit $(Z_{n_B}^{(\text{fit})})$. Circle and square symbols denote results for $N_s = 8$ and 10, respectively. Open (red) and closed (blue) symbols are for $T/T_c = 1.20$ and 0.99, respectively.

obtained from the canonical approach and Taylor expansion using a staggered fermion action.

C. Lee-Yang zeros

In this work, we calculate Lee-Yang zeros for $T/T_c = 1.20$. Before proceeding to numerical results, we remark on the numerical instability of the canonical partition functions at large *n*. The fugacity coefficients d_n take complex values for each configuration. The phase of d_n fluctuates more rapidly for larger *n*, because its modulus is exponentially suppressed as n is increased. Beyond a certain value of n , Z_n becomes negative, and the inclusion of such Z_n would yield unphysical zeros of $Z(\mu)$ and cause unphysical nonanalyticity for the free energy, even in a finite volume. Accordingly, we are obliged to truncate the fugacity polynomial at $|n_B|=n_0$ so that all Z_{n_B} 's are positive for $|n_{\rm B}| \le n_0$. In the following, we will consider three different cases of truncation: (a) $n_0 = 37$ and $N_s = 10$, (b) $N_s = 8$ and $n_0 = 32$, and (c) $N_s = 8$ and $n_0 = 19$. For a larger spatial lattice of size $N_s = 10$, it is natural to take (a) the maximal permissible value $n_0 = 37$ as seen from Fig. [3.](#page-6-0) For a smaller spatial lattice $N_s = 8$, we try the following two alternatives: (b) maximal permissible value $n_0 = 32$ $n_0 = 32$ $n_0 = 32$ as seen from Fig. 3 and [\(c\)](#page-6-0) $n_0 = 19 \approx 37 \times$ $(8/10)^3$ so that the truncation order is proportional to the lattice volume as compared to the case with $N_s = 10$.

Below, we examine the convergence of the fugacity polynomial by comparing these two choices. Figure [5](#page-7-0) shows the distributions of the Lee-Yang zeros on the complex plane of the quark fugacity ξ corresponding to the cases (a) red, (b) green, and (c) blue, respectively. The distributions on the baryon fugacity plane are readily obtained by using the relation $\xi_B = \xi^3$. Near the unit circle, the Lee-Yang zeros are located on three radial lines with arguments arg $\xi = \pi/3$, π , and $5\pi/3$. This behavior is qualitatively consistent with the prediction in Eq. [\(21\)](#page-4-6) and indicative of the RW phase transition. As the origin is approached, each line branches to two curves. Barbour and Bell [\[13\]](#page-10-18) obtained this behavior of the Lee-Yang zeros in their pioneering study of finite density lattice QCD simulations. Specifically, they found the zeros on the 12 radial lines on the e^{μ} plane. In this work, we take a further step to confirm this interpretation by examining the volume scaling and the asymptotic convergence and by comparing them with the analytic calculation. The zeros near the unit circle $(0.6 < |\xi| < 1)$ are stable as n_0 is increased from 19 to 32 for $N_s = 8$, which indicates the convergence of the fugacity polynomial. On the other hand, the increment of n_0 affects the location of the zeros for large chemical potential (small ξ). We also observe that under a shift of n_0 as proportional to the volume ($n_0 = 19$ for $N_s = 8$ and $n_0 =$ 37 for $N_s = 10$), the Lee-Yang zeros are located on the common trajectories, and the density of the zeros are doubled.

FIG. 5 (color online). Lee-Yang zeros on the complex fugacity plane for $\beta = 1.95$, $T/T_c = 1.20$. Top panel: Zeros inside unit circle. Bottom panel: Zeros on or in the vicinity of the negative real axis. Red, green, and blue symbols denote Lee-Yang zeros for (a) $n_0 = 37$ and $N_s = 10$, (b) $N_s = 8$ and $n_0 = 32$, and (c) $N_s = 8$ and $n_0 = 19$, respectively. Note that zeros also exist outside the unit circle with symmetry $\xi \leftrightarrow 1/\xi$.

In order to make a quantitative comparison between lattice and analytic results, in Fig. [6](#page-7-1) we plot $|Re\xi|$ (top) and $\left|Re\xi\right|^{VT^3}$ (bottom) for several Lee-Yang zeros near the unit
circle on the negative real axis. Statistical errors of the Leecircle on the negative real axis. Statistical errors of the Lee-Yang zeros in the plot are estimated with a bootstrap method as follows: For each bootstrap sample, we calculate Z_n up to n_0 and locate the Lee-Yang zeros. Since we are interested in the zeros relevant to the RW phase transition, we pick up some zeros near the unit circle and label them as $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ in the order of modulus. For each label ℓ , statistical errors are estimated as the variance over 1000 bootstrap samples. Note that the zeros at $|\xi| \lesssim 1$ shown in Fig. [6](#page-7-1) indicate no fluctuation in the imaginary part, while the zeros with smaller $|\xi|$ < 0.6 fluctuate both in their real and imaginary parts. We observe that each Lee-Yang zero calculated in the simulation is systematically smaller in magnitude than the zero of the corresponding order predicted in the saddle point approximation. In principle, there could be two possible origins for this deviation: slow convergence of the fugacity expansion [\(24\)](#page-5-2) and/or deviation of the canonical partition functions from the

FIG. 6 (color online). Top: $\text{Re}\xi$ for zeros on the negative real axis near the unit circle, where $\xi = \exp(\mu/T)$. Red, green, and blue symbols denote Lee-Yang zeros for (a) $n_0 = 37$ and $N_s = 10$, (b) $N_s = 8$ and $n_0 = 32$, and (c) $N_s = 8$ and $n_0 = 19$, respectively. The curves represent predictions from the Jacobi theta function $\exp(-3(2\ell + 1)/(4T^3Vc_2))$ for $N_s = 10$ (red)
and $N_s = 8$ (green and blue). Bottom: Volume-(green and blue). Bottom: Volumeindependent combination $|Re\xi|^{VT^3}$. The curve represents
exp(-3(2e+1)/(4c)) $\exp(-3(2\ell+1)/(4c_2)).$

Gaussian function. As there is no systematic difference between the two choices of the truncation order $n_0 = 19$ and 32, we can exclude the former origin and safely conclude that the deviation is caused by the deviation of Z_n in the large-*n* sector from the Gaussian function. Despite this systematic deviation, the saddle point approximation well explains the features of the lattice data, such as trajectory of zeros, spacing between zeros, and volume dependence.

The result is indicative in the viewpoint of the Lee-Yang zero theorem. As we have discussed, if the canonical partition function is Gaussian, then zeros are located on the negative real axis (for the baryon fugacity). Thus, theories with the Gaussian type of canonical partition functions, such as a gas of free fermions at small chemical potential, are exceptional cases of the Lee-Yang zero circle theorem (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)). QCD is expected to be an exceptional case of the Lee-Yang zero circle theorem if it undergoes a phase transition at $\text{Re}\mu \neq 0$. This can be trivially proven for the case with even number of flavors: Then, the Boltzmann

FIG. 7 (color online). Schematic figures for the distribution of Lee-Yang zeros in several cases. (a) Ising models on the complex plane for e^h , where h is the external magnetic field in Ising models, (b) QCD on the complex plane for baryon fugacity, and (c) QCD on the complex quark fugacity plane. Dotted circles denote the unit circle. Case (b) can be generalized to free fermion theories.

weight is real and positive on the unit circle on the complex fugacity plane, and zeros cannot exist on the unit circle. A concrete example of the Lee-Yang zeros provided in the present work would help to deepen our understanding of the Lee-Yang zero theorem.

We obtained the Lee-Yang zeros as the roots of the fugacity polynomial. An alternative method would be to calculate zeros of the grand partition function with a reweighting method. However, the validity of the latter is controversial because Lee-Yang zeros appear when the average of a reweighting factor vanishes. This seems to imply the breakdown of the reweighting method. Moreover, this problem tends to become severer for larger volume, which makes it difficult to distinguish physical zeros from contamination of the sign problem [\[59\].](#page-11-9) The same problem does indeed occur even in the canonical approach; the sign problem sometimes makes Z_n negative, which allows $Z(\mu)$ to vanish for real quark chemical potentials even in a finite volume. Such zeros are unphysical ones originated from the sign problem. In this work, we have circumvented this problem by the truncation of the fugacity polynomial so that all Z_n 's are positive. Although the truncated large- n part of the canonical partition functions suffers from a severe sign problem, we confirmed that the zeros relevant to the RW phase transitions are insensitive to the truncated terms.

A further question still arises as to how the infinite sum of the truncated terms affects the location of Lee-Yang zeros or whether the fugacity polynomial really converges. We claim that Lee-Yang zeros near the unit circle are not affected even in the limit $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ in which the cutoff is removed. To support this claim, we estimate the magnitude of the truncated terms for a simple case where Z_n is well approximated by a Gaussian function $Z_n^{\text{(Gauss)}}$ up to N; namely, $\delta_n = Z_n - Z_n^{\text{(Gauss)}} \ll 1$ for $|n| < N$, and δ_n is not negligible for $|n| > N$ Denoting $Z - \sum Z_i^{\mu}$ and negligible for $|n| \ge N$. Denoting $Z = \sum_n Z_n \xi^n$ and $G = \sum_{n} Z_n^{\text{(Gauss)}} \xi^n$, the deviation is given by $Z - G \approx \sum_{|n| \ge N} (Z_n - Z_n^{\text{(Gauss)}}) \xi^n$. For ξ real and negative, ξ^n is positive for even *n* and negative for odd *n*. Our lattice data exhibited in Fig. [4](#page-6-1) suggest that δ_n is dominated by Z_n , which decreases monotonically as long as there is no phase transition at high temperature. Then the individual deviation δ_n monotonously decreases as *n* increases, so that the overall deviation is bounded as $|Z - G| \leq \delta_N |\xi|^N$
due to the cancellation between even and odd terms. The due to the cancellation between even and odd terms. The point is that the sum of the truncated terms is bounded by the deviation at $n = N$ and does not increase as N is increased.

D. Discussion

Our results have several implications for theoretical and experimental studies. The connection between the Gaussianity of the canonical partition functions and the RW phase transition is worth emphasizing; the former can be extracted from an experimentally measurable quantity, and the latter is a phenomenon specific to the QGP phase. Here, we emphasize that the Gaussianity of the canonical partition function is a sufficient condition but not a necessary one for the existence of the RW phase transition; if the canonical partition function is the Gaussian with regard to the baryon number, then it implies the existence of the RW phase transition. However, the converse is not always true; the Gaussian distribution is merely one type of realization of the RW phase transition. Although there have been many studies on QCD at the imaginary chemical potential and the RW phase transition [33–[45,60\],](#page-10-15) we are not aware of any literature presenting a way to relate the RW phase transition to the canonical partition functions, which can be constructed by measuring the number of baryons in the system. We predict that the canonical partition functions obtained from the probability distribution of the net baryon number inferred from the multiplicity of baryons (or three-quark states) created at high temperature be well approximated by the Gaussian function and associate the RW phase transition. Such measurements, however, may be difficult at present because observed hadrons in heavy ion collisions are generated at the freezeout temperature. This observation, together with HRG, might serve as a basis to interpret experimental data obtained in BES experiments and help to distinguish deviations driven by the critical end point.

The distribution of the Lee-Yang zeros indicates that the RW phase transition persists at $\mu_I/T = \pi/3$ even in the presence of the real part of the quark chemical potential. An analytic form of the canonical partition of the Lee-Yang zero distribution obtained in this work can be used as a reference for future finite density QCD studies.

Recently, the STAR Collaboration [\[2\]](#page-10-3) reported that the net proton multiplicity closely follows the Skellam distribution for several collision energies and centralities. The multiplicity of the net baryon number is also approximately given by a Skellam distribution in the HRG model [\[9\]](#page-10-2). In [\[22\]](#page-10-10), we applied the Lee-Yang zero theorem to the net proton multiplicity data in BES experiments, and found that they did not imply the RW phase transition. This is consistent with the common understanding that the freeze-out temperature is lower than the temperature at which the RW phase transition takes place. However, there may be several controversies in deriving the above conclusion, namely, the assumption of the equilibrium or the use of the net proton multiplicity as a substitute for net baryon multiplicity [\[5,61\].](#page-10-20) In addition, the probability distribution has so far been measured for a limited range of the net proton number.

One of the interesting topics is an end point of the RW phase transition [\[39,42,62\]](#page-10-21). The RW-like behavior appears when the free energy approaches the quartic function at high temperature, while it does not at $T \approx T_c$. In this sense, the RW phase transition is likely an indication of the completion of the deconfinement transition. It may be interesting to examine the relation between the RW end point and canonical partition functions, which may provide us with a possibility to study the latter experimentally. We leave this problem for future study.

Admittedly, we need to clarify the subtleties involved in numerical evaluation of canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros. The present QCD simulation inevitably contains several lattice artifacts originated from coarse lattices, large quark masses, and small lattice volumes. However, we consider that the present results are robust and are likely to hold for another lattice setup in general, since the RW-like behavior is based only on a few assumptions, namely, on the quartic form of the free energy.

Our numerical results suggest the deviation of the Lee-Yang zeros from the RW-like behavior at large μ , where the analytic calculation also breaks down. We do not understand if this deviation is physical or not as it lies beyond the applicable range of the present work. Since one ordinarily expects that there is no phase transition for the quark chemical potential in the QGP phase, we conjecture that the behavior smoothly changes from the RW-like one to a region in which the c_4 term in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-1) dominates. It may be interesting to investigate whether there is a nontrivial Lee-Yang zero structure at large μ .

V. SUMMARY

In summary, we studied the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros in QCD at high temperature. We analytically derived them from the free energy in the Stefan-Boltzmann limit using the saddle point approximation. The canonical partition functions in QCD follow the Gaussian function at high temperature and at small chemical potential. We pointed out that the grand canonical partition function is approximately expressed as a Jacobi theta function, which enables us to determine all Lee-Yang zeros analytically. These Lee-Yang zeros are located on the negative real axis on the complex plane of the baryon fugacity. They are translated into three radial lines on the complex plane of the quark fugacity owing to the RW periodicity. The zeros exhibit the first-order RW phase transition. We also performed lattice QCD simulations. To remove numerical subtleties, we examined the convergence of the fugacity polynomial and performed the bootstrap analysis of the distribution of Lee-Yang zeros. The analytic calculations well explain the results obtained from the lattice QCD simulations.

The novelties of the present study are the analytic solution of the canonical partition functions and Lee-Yang zeros, examination of the convergence of the fugacity polynomial, and bootstrap analysis for the distribution of Lee-Yang zeros. Additionally, we pointed out that the gas of free fermions provides an exceptional case of the Lee-Yang zero circle theorem.

We leave some problems for future studies: Namely, the confirmation of nontrivial behavior of Lee-Yang zeros observed at large quark chemical potentials and the determination of the RW end point in the canonical approach are worth pursuing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K. N. thanks Sinya Aoki, Teiji Kunihiro, and Akira Ohnishi for discussions, Etsuko Itou for advice on error analysis and analytic calculations, and Shoji Hashimoto for comments on an early version of the manuscript. This work is supported in part by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Kakenhi) Grants No. 00586901 (K. N.), No. 26- 1717 (K. K.), No. 24340054 and No. 26610072 (A. N.), and No. 25400259 (S. M. N.). K. N. is also supported by MEXT SPIRE and JICFuS. The lattice simulations were mainly performed on SX9 at the RCNP and CMC at Osaka University. The error analysis was done on the HPC system at RCNP. This work is also supported by the HPCI System Research project (hp130058) and RICC system at RIKEN.

APPENDIX: FOURIER INTEGRAL

A simple way to verify Eq. [\(17\)](#page-4-3) is to expand the Fourier integral for Z_n as

LEE-YANG ZERO DISTRIBUTION OF HIGH ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 094507 (2015)

$$
Z_n \propto \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} d\theta e^{-a\theta^2} \cos n\theta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k n^{2k}}{(2k)!} I_k.
$$
 (A1)

Here, $a = VT^3c_2$, and I_k is defined by

$$
I_k = \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} d\theta e^{-a\theta^2} \theta^{2k}, \tag{A2}
$$

which is expressed in terms of complete and incomplete gamma functions as

$$
I_k = \frac{1}{a^{k+1/2}} (\Gamma(k+1/2) - \Gamma(k+1/2, a\pi^2/9)).
$$
 (A3)

Since the incomplete gamma function $\Gamma(z, p) =$ $\int_{p}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt$ exponentially approaches zero as $p \propto V \rightarrow$ ∞ , I_k is expressed solely as a complete gamma function. By using an identity

$$
\frac{\Gamma(k+1/2)}{(2k)!} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4^k k!},\tag{A4}
$$

Eq. $(A1)$ sums up to the exponential in Eq. (17) .

- [1] M. Aggarwal et al. (STAR Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.022302) 105[, 022302 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.022302)
- [2] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.032302) 112[, 032302 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.032302)
- [3] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.092301) 113[, 092301 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.092301)
- [4] M. A. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal, and E. V. Shuryak, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4816) Rev. Lett. 81[, 4816 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4816).
- [5] Y. Hatta and M. Stephanov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.102003) 91, 102003 [\(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.102003)
- [6] M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102[, 032301 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.032301)
- [7] M. Asakawa, S. Ejiri, and M. Kitazawa, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.262301) 103[, 262301 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.262301)
- [8] M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**[, 052301 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.052301)
- [9] P. Braun-Munzinger, B. Friman, F. Karsch, K. Redlich, and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. C 84[, 064911 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.064911).
- [10] K. Morita, V. Skokov, B. Friman, and K. Redlich, [Eur. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2706-1) J. C 74[, 2706 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2706-1).
- [11] P. Garg, D. K. Mishra, P. K. Netrakanti, B. Mohanty, A. K. Mohanty, B. K. Singh, and N. Xu, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.019) 726, 691 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.019)
- [12] I. Barbour, C. Davies, and Z. Sabeur, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)91361-5) 215, 567 [\(1988\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)91361-5)
- [13] I.M. Barbour and A.J. Bell, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90324-5) **B372**, 385 [\(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90324-5)
- [14] A. Hasenfratz and D. Toussaint, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90247-9) B371, 539 [\(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90247-9)
- [15] P. de Forcrand and S. Kratochvila, [Nucl. Phys. B, Proc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2006.01.007) Suppl. 153[, 62 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2006.01.007).
- [16] S. Kratochvila and P. de Forcrand, *Proc. Sci.*, LATTICE2005 (2006) 167 [\[arXiv:hep-lat/0509143\].](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-lat/0509143)
- [17] S. Kratochvila and P. de Forcrand, [Nucl. Phys. B, Proc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2004.11.148) Suppl. 140[, 514 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2004.11.148).
- [18] S. Ejiri, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 074507 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074507)
- [19] A. Li, A. Alexandru, K.-F. Liu, and X. Meng, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054502) 82[, 054502 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054502)
- [20] J. Danzer and C. Gattringer, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.014502) 86, 014502 [\(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.014502)
- [21] K. Nagata, S. Motoki, Y. Nakagawa, A. Nakamura, and T. Saito (XQCD-J Collaboration), [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ptep/pts003) 2012[, 01A103 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ptep/pts003)
- [22] A. Nakamura and K. Nagata, [arXiv:1305.0760.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1305.0760)
- [23] A. Roberge and N. Weiss, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90582-1) B275, 734 [\(1986\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90582-1)
- [24] C.-N. Yang and T. Lee, Phys. Rev. 87[, 404 \(1952\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.87.404)
- [25] T. Lee and C.-N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 87[, 410 \(1952\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.87.410)
- [26] M. Biskup, C. Borgs, J. T. Chayes, L. J. Kleinwaks, and R. Kotecký, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4794) 84, 4794 (2000).
- [27] A. Alexandru and U. Wenger, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034502) 83, 034502 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034502)
- [28] We use Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-1) for analytic calculation, while we a fugacity expansion formula for numerical simulations. See Sec. [IVA](#page-4-8)
- [29] R. A. Blythe and M. R. Evans, [Braz. J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-97332003000300008) 33, 464 (2003).
- [30] M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D **73**[, 094508 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.094508).
- [31] S. Ejiri, Y. Shinno, and H. Yoneyama, [Prog. Theor. Exp.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptu108) Phys. 2014[, 083B02 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptu108).
- [32] M. Peskin and D. Schroeder, An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1995).
- [33] P. de Forcrand and O. Philipsen, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00626-0) **B642**, 290 [\(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00626-0)
- [34] M. D'Elia and M.-P. Lombardo, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.014505) 67, 014505 [\(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.014505)
- [35] M. D'Elia and M. P. Lombardo, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074509) 70, 074509 [\(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074509)
- [36] M. D'Elia, F. Di Renzo, and M. P. Lombardo, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.114509) 76[, 114509 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.114509)
- [37] M. D'Elia and F. Sanfilippo, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.014502) 80, 014502 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.014502)
- [38] P. Cea, L. Cosmai, M. D'Elia, and A. Papa, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.094502) 81, [094502 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.094502)
- [39] P. de Forcrand and O. Philipsen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.152001) 105, [152001 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.152001)
- [40] K. Nagata and A. Nakamura, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.114507) 83, 114507 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.114507)
- [41] P. Cea, L. Cosmai, M. D'Elia, A. Papa, and F. Sanfilippo, Phys. Rev. D 85[, 094512 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.094512).
- [42] C. Bonati, P. de Forcrand, M. D'Elia, O. Philipsen, and F. Sanfilippo, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 074030 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074030).
- [43] K. Kashiwa and R. D. Pisarski, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.096009) 87, 096009 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.096009)
- [44] Y. Sakai, K. Kashiwa, H. Kouno, and M. Yahiro, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.051901) D 77[, 051901 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.051901).
- [45] K. Morita, V. Skokov, B. Friman, and K. Redlich, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.074020) Rev. D 84[, 074020 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.074020).
- [46] S. Kratochvila and P. de Forcrand, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.114512) 73, 114512 [\(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.114512)
- [47] J. I. Kapusuta and C. Gale, Finite-Temperature Field Theory Principles and Applications, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, London, 2006).
- [48] C. Allton, S. Ejiri, S. J. Hands, O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, and C. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 68[, 014507 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.014507)
- [49] C. Allton, M. Döring, S. Ejiri, S. J. Hands, O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, and K. Redlich, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.054508) 71, [054508 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.054508)
- [50] C. Miao and C. Schmidt (RBC-Bielefeld Collaboration), Proc. Sci., LATTICE2008 (2008) 172 [\[arXiv:0810.0375\].](http://arXiv.org/abs/0810.0375)
- [51] K. Nagata and A. Nakamura, [J. High Energy Phys. 04](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2012)092) [\(2012\) 092.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2012)092)
- [52] S. Ejiri, Y. Maezawa, N. Ukita, S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda, N. Ishii, K. Kanaya, and T. Umeda (WHOT-QCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 82[, 014508 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.014508).
- [53] K. Nagata and A. Nakamura, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.094027) 82, 094027 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.094027)
- [54] A. Ali Khan et al. (CP-PACS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.034502) 63[, 034502 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.034502)
- [55] P. E. Gibbs, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(86)90215-7) 172, 53 (1986).
- [56] D. H. Adams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92[, 162002 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.162002).
- [57] A. Borici, [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.153.335) **153**, 335 (2004).
- [58] [http://myweb.lmu.edu/dmsmith/fmlib.html.](http://myweb.lmu.edu/dmsmith/fmlib.html)
- [59] S. Ejiri, Phys. Rev. D **73**[, 054502 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.054502)
- [60] M. D'Elia, Proc. Sci., LATTICE2014 (2015) 020 [[arXiv:1502.06047\].](http://arXiv.org/abs/1502.06047)
- [61] M. Kitazawa and M. Asakawa, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.024904) 86, 024904 [\(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.024904)
- [62] M. D'Elia and F. Sanfilippo, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.111501) 80, 111501 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.111501)