

Measuring CP violation and mixing in charm with inclusive self-conjugate multibody decay modes

 S. Malde,¹ C. Thomas,¹ and G. Wilkinson^{1,2}
¹*University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, OX1 3RH Oxford, United Kingdom*
²*European Organisation for Nuclear Research (CERN), CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

(Received 18 February 2015; published 28 May 2015)

Time-dependent studies of inclusive charm decays to multibody self-conjugate final states can be used to determine the indirect CP -violating observable A_Γ and the mixing observable y_{CP} , provided that the fractional CP -even content of the final state, F_+ , is known. This approach can yield significantly improved sensitivity compared with the conventional method that relies on decays to CP eigenstates. In particular, $D \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ appears to be an especially powerful channel, given its relatively large branching fraction and the high value of F_+ that has recently been measured at charm threshold.

 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094032](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094032)

PACS numbers: 13.25.Ft, 11.30.Er

It is of great interest to search for effects of indirect CP violation in time-dependent studies of neutral charm-meson decays. In the Standard Model indirect CP violation is expected to be well below the current level of experimental precision [1], but many models of new physics predict enhancements [2]. A very important CP -violating observable is A_Γ , which is measured from the difference in lifetimes of the decays of D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons to a CP eigenstate. In this paper it is shown how inclusive self-conjugate multibody decays that are not CP eigenstates can also be harnessed for the measurement of A_Γ , provided that their fractional CP -even content, F_+ , is known. This new approach has the potential to improve significantly the knowledge of A_Γ and has become possible thanks to measurements of F_+ that have recently begun to emerge from analyses of coherent charm-meson pairs produced at the $\psi(3770)$ resonance [3,4]. Furthermore, it is explained how exploiting these decays can also provide a corresponding improvement in the precision on y_{CP} , which is an important observable that describes $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ oscillations. For the purpose of concreteness the discussion is presented for the example decay $D \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, although the results are valid for all self-conjugate multibody modes. Here and throughout the discussion D indicates a neutral charm meson; this notation is used when it is either unnecessary or not meaningful to specify a flavor eigenstate.

I. MEASUREMENTS WITH CP EIGENSTATES

In the D -meson system the mass eigenstates, $D_{1,2}$, are related to the flavor eigenstates D^0 and \bar{D}^0 as follows:

$$|D_{1,2}\rangle = p|D^0\rangle \pm q|\bar{D}^0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where the coefficients satisfy $|p|^2 + |q|^2 = 1$ and

$$r_{CP} e^{i\phi_{CP}} \equiv \frac{q}{p}. \quad (2)$$

The phase convention $CP|D^0\rangle = |\bar{D}^0\rangle$ is adopted. Indirect CP violation occurs if $r_{CP} \neq 1$ and/or $\phi_{CP} \neq 0$. Charm mixing is conventionally parametrized by the quantities x and y , defined as

$$x \equiv \frac{M_1 - M_2}{\Gamma}, \quad y \equiv \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{2\Gamma}, \quad (3)$$

where $M_{1,2}$ and $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are the mass and width of the two neutral meson mass eigenstates, and Γ the mean decay width of the mass eigenstates. In the chosen convention D_1 is almost CP even. The average of currently available measurements gives $x = (0.41_{-0.15}^{+0.14})\%$ and $y = (0.63_{-0.08}^{+0.07})\%$ [5].

Consider an environment where charm mesons are produced incoherently, such as the LHC or an $e^+e^- B$ factory, and are observed through their decay into a CP eigenstate of eigenvalue η_{CP} . Time-dependent measurements allow the decay widths $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\hat{\bar{\Gamma}}$ to be determined for mesons produced in the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 flavor states, respectively. From these quantities the CP -violating observable A_Γ and mixing observable y_{CP} may be constructed:

$$A_\Gamma \equiv \eta_{CP} \frac{\hat{\Gamma} - \hat{\bar{\Gamma}}}{\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{\bar{\Gamma}}}, \quad y_{CP} \equiv \eta_{CP} \left(\frac{\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{\bar{\Gamma}}}{2\Gamma} - 1 \right). \quad (4)$$

Assuming x , y , $(r_{CP} - 1/r_{CP})$ and ϕ_{CP} to be small, and assuming direct CP violation to be negligible, it can be shown [6] that these observables have the following dependence on the underlying physics parameters:

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

$$A_{\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2}y \cos \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) - \frac{1}{2}x \sin \phi_{CP} \left(\frac{1}{r_{CP}} + r_{CP} \right), \quad (5)$$

$$y_{CP} \approx \frac{1}{2}y \cos \phi_{CP} \left(\frac{1}{r_{CP}} + r_{CP} \right) - \frac{1}{2}x \sin \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right). \quad (6)$$

Expressions that also allow for the contribution of direct CP violation can be found in Ref. [7]. Thus in the limit of CP conservation A_{Γ} vanishes and $y_{CP} \rightarrow y$. The average of currently available measurements, dominated by studies based on the CP -even eigenstates K^+K^- and $\pi^+\pi^-$, yields $A_{\Gamma} = (-0.058 \pm 0.040)\%$ and $y_{CP} = (0.866 \pm 0.155)\%$ [5]. (Here the A_{Γ} average includes new measurements from the LHCb [8] and CDF [9] Collaborations, in addition to the older set of results from LHCb [10], *BABAR* [11] and *Belle* [12] that are considered in Ref. [5].)

II. INTRODUCING SELF-CONJUGATE MULTIBODY DECAYS AND THE CP -EVEN FRACTION F_+

The CP content of an inclusive self-conjugate multibody decay, for example $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, can be measured with a sample of coherently produced $D\bar{D}$ pairs at the $\psi(3770)$ resonance, such as that collected by the CLEO-c and BESIII experiments. A double-tag technique is employed in which one D meson is reconstructed in the signal decay of interest, and the other in its decay to a CP eigenstate. In such an event, and neglecting any CP violation, the quantum numbers of the $\psi(3770)$ meson means that the CP eigenvalue of the signal decay is fixed. The CP -even fraction of the signal decay is given by $F_+ = N^+/(N^+ + N^-)$, where N^+ (N^-) designates the number of decays tagged as CP even (odd), after correction for detector inefficiencies and the specific branching fractions of the CP eigenstate tags employed. In this manner F_+ has been measured for the decay $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and found to be 0.973 ± 0.017 , indicating the mode to be almost fully CP even [3,4].

Although CP violation is neglected in the currently available measurements of F_+ this assumption introduces negligible bias in the result. Both the Standard Model and theories of new physics expect direct CP violation in charm decays to be $\leq 10^{-3}$ [13], a prediction which is compatible with existing experimental results [14]. Any effects will therefore be small alongside the measurement precision attainable with the CLEO-c and current BESIII data sets. Furthermore, the double-tag analyses performed at these experiments have no sensitivity to indirect CP violation at leading order in (x, y) , as the $D\bar{D}$ system is produced at rest. For the specific case of $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, a

recent time-integrated high precision analysis by LHCb has revealed no evidence of any direct CP -violating effects [15].

There is a simple relationship between F_+ and the parameters that describe the intensity and strong-phase variation over the phase space of the decay. The amplitude of a multibody decay such as $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ is dependent on the final-state kinematics, which can be uniquely defined by the Dalitz plot coordinates $s_{12} = m^2(\pi^+\pi^0)$ and $s_{13} = m^2(\pi^-\pi^0)$. The amplitude of a D^0 decay to a specific final state is given by $\mathcal{A}_{D^0}(s_{12}, s_{13}) = a_{12,13}e^{i\delta_{12,13}}$, where the integral of $|\mathcal{A}_{D^0}(s_{12}, s_{13})|^2$ over the full Dalitz plot is normalized to unity. Consider the situation where the Dalitz plot is divided into two bins by the line $s_{12} = s_{13}$. The bin for which $s_{12} > s_{13}$ is labeled -1 and the opposite bin is labeled $+1$. The parameter K_i (\bar{K}_i) is the flavor-tagged fractional intensity, being the proportion of decays to fall in bin i in the case that the mother particle is known to be a D^0 (\bar{D}^0) meson:

$$K_i \equiv \int_i |a_{12,13}|^2 ds_{12} ds_{13}. \quad (7)$$

The parameter c_i is the cosine of the strong-phase difference between D^0 and \bar{D}^0 decays averaged in bin i and weighted by the absolute decay rate:

$$c_i \equiv \int_i \frac{a_{12,13}\bar{a}_{12,13} \cos(\delta_{12,13} - \bar{\delta}_{12,13})}{\sqrt{K_i\bar{K}_i}} ds_{12} ds_{13}. \quad (8)$$

A parameter s_i is defined in an analogous manner for the sine of the strong-phase difference.

The CP -tagged populations of these bins, N_i^{\pm} , normalized by the corresponding single CP -tag yields, is given by [16]

$$N_i^{\pm} = h_D \left(K_i \pm 2c_i \sqrt{K_i\bar{K}_i} + \bar{K}_i \right). \quad (9)$$

Here h_D is a normalization factor independent of bin number and CP tag. When there is no direct CP violation in the decay $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{D}^0}(s_{12}, s_{13}) = \bar{a}_{12,13}e^{i\delta_{12,13}} \equiv a_{13,12}e^{i\delta_{13,12}}$ and so

$$\bar{K}_i = K_{-i}, \quad c_i = c_{-i} \quad \text{and} \quad s_i = -s_{-i}. \quad (10)$$

Under this assumption, and the identities $N^{\pm} = \sum_i N_i^{\pm}$, and $\sum_i K_i = 1$, it follows that in the two-bin case

$$F_+ = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2c_1 \sqrt{K_1\bar{K}_{-1}}). \quad (11)$$

III. MEASUREMENTS WITH INCLUSIVE SELF-CONJUGATE MULTIBODY DECAYS

Now consider, for an incoherently produced D meson, the time dependence of a self-conjugate multibody decay.

The time evolution of the D^0 to the point (s_{12}, s_{13}) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{D^0}(t, s_{12}, s_{13}) = a_{12,13}e^{i\delta_{12,13}}g_+(t) + r_{CP}e^{i\phi_{CP}}a_{13,12}e^{i\delta_{13,12}}g_-(t), \quad (12)$$

where $g_{\pm}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[e^{-i(M_1 - i\Gamma_1/2)t} \pm e^{-i(M_2 - i\Gamma_2/2)t}]$. Ignoring terms of $\mathcal{O}(x^2, y^2, xy)$ or higher, the rate of decay to that point is proportional to

$$|\mathcal{A}_{D^0}(t, s_{12}, s_{13})|^2 = e^{-\Gamma t} \{a_{12,13}^2 - a_{12,13}a_{13,12}r_{CP}\Gamma t \times [y \cos(\delta_{12,13} - \delta_{13,12} - \phi_{CP}) + x \sin(\delta_{12,13} - \delta_{13,12} - \phi_{CP})]\}. \quad (13)$$

Integrating this over the two bins of the full Dalitz plot leads to the time-dependent decay probability

$$\mathcal{P}(D^0(t)) = \int_{+1} | \mathcal{A}_{D^0}(t, s_{12}, s_{13}) |^2 ds_{12} ds_{13} + \int_{-1} | \mathcal{A}_{D^0}(t, s_{12}, s_{13}) |^2 ds_{12} ds_{13} \quad (14)$$

$$= \exp(-\Gamma t) [1 - r_{CP}(2F_+ - 1) \times (y \cos \phi_{CP} - x \sin \phi_{CP}) \Gamma t], \quad (15)$$

where use is made of the definitions of c_i, s_i and the relations given in Eqs. (10) and (11). Hence the width of the decay is approximated at first order in x and y by

$$\hat{\Gamma} \approx \Gamma [1 + r_{CP}(2F_+ - 1) \times (y \cos \phi_{CP} - x \sin \phi_{CP})]. \quad (16)$$

The time evolution for the \bar{D}^0 decay to the point (s_{12}, s_{13}) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{D}^0}(t, s_{12}, s_{13}) = \frac{1}{r_{CP}} e^{-i\phi_{CP}} a_{12,13} e^{i\delta_{12,13}} g_-(t) + a_{13,12} e^{i\delta_{13,12}} g_+(t), \quad (17)$$

and thus the width of the \bar{D}^0 decay is approximated by

$$\hat{\Gamma} \approx \Gamma \left[1 + \frac{1}{r_{CP}} (2F_+ - 1) \times (y \cos \phi_{CP} + x \sin \phi_{CP}) \right]. \quad (18)$$

Defining $A_{\Gamma}^{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{\hat{\Gamma} - \Gamma}{\hat{\Gamma} + \Gamma}$ and $y_{CP}^{\text{eff}} \equiv \left(\frac{\hat{\Gamma} + \Gamma}{2\Gamma} - 1 \right)$ it follows that

$$A_{\Gamma}^{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{1}{2} (2F_+ - 1) y \cos \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (2F_+ - 1) x \sin \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} + \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right), \quad (19)$$

$$y_{CP}^{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{1}{2} (2F_+ - 1) y \cos \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} + \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (2F_+ - 1) x \sin \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right). \quad (20)$$

These expressions contain an additional dilution factor of $(2F_+ - 1)$ in comparison to the CP -eigenstate relations of Eqs. (5) and (6) and are identical in the case when $F = 0$ or 1. In the limit $F_+ \rightarrow 0.5$ then both observables vanish. It is interesting to note that a similar relationship between the two classes of D decays was found in Ref. [3] when considering the determination of the unitarity triangle angle γ using $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$ decays.

Equations (19) and (20) may be modified to allow for the possible contribution of direct CP violation. In this case the relations in Eq. (10) no longer apply. Direct CP violation adds an additional magnitude and weak phase difference when considering the relations between the amplitude of the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 decay, and this additional magnitude and phase varies as a function of position in phase space.

With the inclusion of direct CP violation the expression for A_{Γ}^{eff} becomes

$$A_{\Gamma}^{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[(2F'_+ - 1) y \cos \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) - (2F'_+ - 1) x \sin \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} + \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) + y \Delta \sin \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) + x \Delta \cos \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} + \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) \right], \quad (21)$$

where r_{CP} and ϕ_{CP} are unchanged in their meaning and relate only to indirect CP violation, $(2F'_+ - 1) \equiv c_1 \sqrt{K_1 \bar{K}_1} + c_{-1} \sqrt{K_{-1} \bar{K}_{-1}}$ and $\Delta \equiv s_1 \sqrt{K_1 \bar{K}_1} + s_{-1} \sqrt{K_{-1} \bar{K}_{-1}}$. Hence the effect of the additional amplitudes due to direct CP violation is contained within the terms F'_+ and Δ . In the limit of no direct CP violation $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, and $F'_+ \rightarrow F_+$. Since Δ must be small the third term in Eq. (21) is negligible in comparison to the others.

The expression for y_{CP}^{eff} becomes

$$y_{CP}^{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[(2F'_+ - 1) y \cos \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} + \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) - (2F'_+ - 1) x \sin \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) + y \Delta \sin \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} + \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) + x \Delta \cos \phi_{CP} \left(r_{CP} - \frac{1}{r_{CP}} \right) \right]. \quad (22)$$

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Measurements of A_{Γ}^{eff} and y_{CP}^{eff} performed with any self-conjugate multibody decay can be used to determine A_{Γ} and y_{CP} , respectively, provided that the CP content of the decay is known. The mode $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ is a very promising candidate for this purpose since the dilution effects arising from the factor $(2F_+ - 1)$ in Eqs. (19) and (20) are $<10\%$, and it possesses a branching fraction that is around 3.5 times higher than that of $D \rightarrow K^+K^-$, the most common CP -eigenstate mode used for these measurements. Therefore this channel offers an opportunity to improve the knowledge of A_{Γ} and y_{CP} significantly, particularly at e^+e^- experiments such as Belle-II, where the π^0 reconstruction efficiency is good. The relatively abundant four-body decay $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$, which has a CP -even fraction of 0.737 ± 0.028 [4], also has the potential to be a high impact channel. The sensitivities of these channels are compared to those of the established CP -eigenstate decays, $D \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, in Table I, assuming the same trigger and reconstruction efficiency for all.

Another mode of potential interest is $D \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, which has a branching fraction of over 5% and comprises the CP -odd eigenstates $K_S^0\eta$ and $K_S^0\omega$ as submodes, although its sensitivity cannot be assessed until its CP content is measured. This channel also has the feature of being Cabibbo favored, which means that it is extremely robust against any pollution from direct CP violation. The extensively studied decay $D \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ is not suitable for an inclusive treatment, since it has a CP content of $F_+ \sim 0.5$, as is evident from examining the relative proportion of CP -even and CP -odd double-tagged events reported in a CLEO analysis performed to measure the c_i and s_i parameters [17].

The Belle Collaboration has reported a model-dependent analysis of the mode $D \rightarrow K_S^0K^+K^-$ that measures y_{CP} through comparing the CP -odd and CP -even regions of the Dalitz plot [18]. Studies also exist that fit time-dependent amplitude models to the Dalitz plots of the decays $D \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ and $D \rightarrow K_S^0K^+K^-$ in order to determine the mixing and CP -violation parameters [19–21]. Furthermore, proposals have been made of how to perform model-independent analyses of self-conjugate decays binned in phase space [22,23]. The method advocated in this paper is novel because it is inclusive, model independent and suitable for those decays which are dominated by a single CP eigenstate, such as $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$. Inclusive analyses are experimentally more straightforward since there is no need to account for the position in phase space of each decay, provided that the acceptance is relatively uniform.

As explained in Ref. [3], self-conjugate multibody modes can also be used to measure the unitarity triangle

TABLE I. Relative uncertainties on A_{Γ} and y_{CP} for the multibody modes $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ compared with those of the CP eigenstate modes $D \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, assuming the measured central values of the branching fractions (BF) [14] and CP -even fractions (F_+) [4]. The uncertainties are all normalized to that of $D \rightarrow K^+K^-$.

	K^+K^-	$\pi^+\pi^-$	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
$BF [\times 10^{-2}]$	0.396	0.1402	1.43	0.742
F_+	1	1	0.973	0.737
Uncertainty	1	1.68	0.56	1.54

angle γ with $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$ decays as long as F_+ is known for the mode under consideration. In cases where no measurement of F_+ exists from the charm threshold it is possible to obtain this information from a comparison of a measurement of y_{CP}^{eff} and the value of y_{CP} obtained from CP eigenstates, or indeed that of y itself, assuming negligible CP violation in the charm system. This strategy of using charm-mixing observables to help provide input for the γ determination is similar to that already proposed for quasiflavor specific states [24].

In summary, inclusive measurements of the time evolution of multibody self-conjugate charm decays offer the possibility to obtain significantly improved sensitivity to CP violation and mixing in the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ system. The observables A_{Γ}^{eff} and y_{CP}^{eff} are simply related to those of the CP eigenstate case, A_{Γ} and y_{CP} , by a dilution factor $(2F_+ - 1)$, where F_+ is the fractional CP -even content of the decay. This parameter may be measured in coherently produced $D\bar{D}$ decays at the $\psi(3770)$. One of the modes for which F_+ is known, $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, has the potential to yield a more precise determination of A_{Γ} and y_{CP} than is possible with CP eigenstate decays, and another, $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$, also offers good sensitivity. Other promising channels exist with relatively high branching fractions and should also be exploited, provided that analyses at the $\psi(3770)$ show them to be dominated by a single CP eigenstate. Alternatively, measurements of y_{CP}^{eff} using these latter channels will allow their CP content to be determined, which is valuable input for the program to measure the unitarity angle γ . First results using this class of decays are eagerly awaited.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jim Libby for useful discussions out of which the idea for this paper emerged. We are grateful to Tim Gershon and Marco Gersabeck for valuable suggestions. We acknowledge support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council and the UK-India Education and Research Initiative.

- [1] M. Bobrowski, A. Lenz, J. Riedl, and J. Rohrwild, How large can the SM contribution to CP violation in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing be?, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2010) 009.
- [2] M. Ciuchini, E. Franco, D. Guadagnoli, V. Lubicz, M. Pierini, V. Porretti, and L. Silvestrini, $D - \bar{D}$ mixing and new physics: general considerations and constraints on the MSSM, *Phys. Lett. B* **655**, 162 (2007).
- [3] M. Nayak, J. Libby, S. Malde, C. Thomas, G. Wilkinson, R. A. Briere, P. Naik, T. Gershon, and G. Bonvicini, First determination of the CP content of $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $D \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$, *Phys. Lett. B* **740**, 1 (2015).
- [4] S. Malde, C. Thomas, G. Wilkinson, P. Naik, C. Prouve, J. Rademacker, J. Libby, M. Nayak, T. Gershon, and R. A. Briere, First determination of the CP content of $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and updated determination of the CP contents of $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $D \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$, [arXiv:1504.05878](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.05878).
- [5] Y. Amhis *et al.* (HFAG), Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of summer 2014, [arXiv:1412.7515](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.7515), online updates at <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag>.
- [6] S. Bergmann, Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, Y. Nir, and A. A. Petrov, Lessons from CLEO and FOCUS measurements of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing parameters, *Phys. Lett. B* **486**, 418 (2000).
- [7] M. Gersabeck, M. Alexander, S. Borghi, V. V. Gligorov, and C. Parkes, On the interplay of direct and indirect CP violation in the charm sector, *J. Phys. G* **39**, 045005 (2012).
- [8] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Measurement of indirect CP asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-K^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 043.
- [9] T. A. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Measurements of indirect CP -violating asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decays at CDF, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 111103 (2014).
- [10] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Measurements of Indirect CP Asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-K^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 041801 (2014).
- [11] J. P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Measurement of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing and CP violation in two-body D^0 decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 012004 (2013).
- [12] M. Staric (Belle Collaboration), New Belle results on $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, [arXiv:1212.3478](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.3478).
- [13] Y. Grossman, A. L. Kagan, and Y. Nir, New physics and CP violation in singly Cabibbo suppressed D decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 036008 (2007).
- [14] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, *Chin. Phys. C* **38**, 090001 (2014).
- [15] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Search for CP violation in $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^0$ decays with the energy test, *Phys. Lett. B* **740**, 158 (2015).
- [16] A. Bondar and A. Poluektov, The use of quantum correlated D^0 decays for ϕ_3 measurement, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **55**, 51 (2008).
- [17] J. Libby *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Model-independent determination of the strong-phase difference between the decays D^0 and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K_{S,L}^0 h^+ h^-$ ($h = \pi, K$) and its impact on the measurement of the CKM angle γ , *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 112006 (2010).
- [18] A. Zupanc *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Measurement of y_{CP} in D^0 meson decays to the $K_S^0 K^+ K^-$ final state, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 052006 (2009).
- [19] P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Measurement of the $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ Mixing Parameters Using $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ K^-$ Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 081803 (2010).
- [20] T. Peng *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Measurement of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing and search for indirect CP violation using $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 091103(R) (2014).
- [21] D. M. Asner *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Search for $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ mixing in the Dalitz plot analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 012001 (2005).
- [22] A. Bondar, A. Poluektov, and V. Vorobiev, Charm mixing in the model-independent analysis of correlated $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 034033 (2010).
- [23] C. Thomas and G. Wilkinson, Model-independent $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing and CP violation studies with $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ K^-$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2012) 185.
- [24] S. Harnew and J. Rademacker, Charm mixing as input for model-independent determinations of the CKM phase γ , *Phys. Lett. B* **728**, 296 (2014).