Parametric instability of classical Yang-Mills fields in a color magnetic background

Shoichiro Tsutsui,^{1,2,*} Hideaki Iida,¹ Teiji Kunihiro,¹ and Akira Ohnishi²

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

²Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Received 4 December 2014; revised manuscript received 25 February 2015; published 10 April 2015)

We investigate instabilities of classical Yang-Mills fields in a time-dependent spatially homogeneous color magnetic background field in a nonexpanding geometry for elucidating the earliest stage dynamics of ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions. The background field configuration considered in this article is spatially homogeneous and temporally periodic and is introduced by Berges-Scheffler-Schlichting-Sexty (BSSS). We discuss the whole structure of instability bands of fluctuations around the BSSS background gauge field on the basis of Floquet theory, which enables us to discuss the stability in a systematic way. We find various instability bands on the (p_z, p_T) plane. These instability bands are caused by parametric resonance despite the fact that the momentum dependence of the growth rate for $|\mathbf{p}| \le \sqrt{B}$ is similar to a Nielsen-Olesen instability. Moreover, some of the instability bands are found to emerge not only in the low-momentum but also in the high-momentum region, typically of the order of the saturation momentum as $|\mathbf{p}| \sim \sqrt{B} \sim Q_s$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.076003

PACS numbers: 03.50.-z, 11.15.Kc, 12.38.Mh

I. INTRODUCTION

Remarkable properties of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) have been revealed by the recent ultrarelativistic heavy-ion experiments at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider at Brookhaven National Laboratory and the Large Hadron Collider at CERN. Hydrodynamic models turned out to be successful in describing the transverse momentum (p_T) spectra and the anisotropic flows (v_n) of hadrons [1–3]. The observation of large elliptic flow parametrized by v_2 suggests two important features of QGP: nearly perfect fluidity and early thermalization. The initial spatial eccentricity of the participants seems to be efficiently converted to the final momentum anisotropy. This is only possible when the viscosity is small enough and the pressure is developed in the early stage. Hydrodynamic phenomenology suggests that shear viscosity of QGP is $\eta/s = (1-3)/4\pi$. Hydrodynamic analyses also require a short thermalization time, $\tau_{\rm th} = (0.6-1) \text{ fm/}c$, which is significantly shorter than that evaluated from transport theories [4,5]. There are no conclusive scenarios found yet to explain thermalization in the far-from-equilibrium stage of heavy-ion collisions.

Some of the promising mechanisms for early thermalization are instabilities which cause rapid growth of a classical Yang-Mills (CYM) field followed by its decay into particles. The CYM field theory is believed to be a good starting point for describing the earliest stage of heavy-ion collisions. In the high-energy limit, nuclear wave functions are well expressed by the color glass condensate (CGC) effective field theory [6,7]. In the framework of CGC, the classical solution gives transversely polarized color electromagnetic fields whose sources are valence partons in the large-x region. The contact of two nuclei converts CGC into the state with longitudinally polarized color electromagnetic fields called glasma [8]. Classical fields in glasma show instabilities, and some of classical gluon fields grow exponentially, show chaoticity and may decay into particles via field-particle conversions. Thus, instabilities of classical fields should play important roles in thermalization in heavy-ion collisions [9–17].

It has been known for a long time that an instability occurs in electromagnetic plasmas when anisotropy is present. When the particle momentum distribution is anisotropic, the particle current and the background magnetic field enhance each other. This is called the Weibel instability [18]. The Weibel instability of the color magnetic field is also expected to emerge in glasma and has been discussed as one of the triggers leading to early thermalization in heavy-ion collisions [10,11,19,20]. The system under a homogeneous and static color magnetic field shows a different instability. Under a homogeneous color magnetic field, the spin-magnetic field interaction makes the lowest Landau level negative for the spin one system. If this is the case, the resultant instability called the Nielsen-Olesen instability [21], is also expected as a triggering mechanism of the early thermalization in heavyion collisions [22–24].

This is not the end of the story. Yet another instability can occur under a homogeneous but time-dependent color magnetic field. This type of instability is alluded to by Berges, Scheffler, Schlichting and Sexty (BSSS) [25]. Their analysis based on the classical statistical simulation suggests that low-momentum modes become unstable

tsutsui@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

under the time-dependent color magnetic field. This instability is seemingly reminiscent of the Nielsen-Olesen instability, because it is caused by the homogeneous color magnetic field and the dominant growth rate has similar longitudinal momentum dependence to that of the Nielsen-Olesen instability. They also suggest that there exists a subdominant instability band in a high-momentum region. It is caused by the time dependence of the background field, and thus the underlying nature of the subdominant instability is thought to be induced by parametric resonance. The Nielsen-Olesen instability and the parametricresonance-induced instability seem to coexist in their study.

However, the analysis on the nature of the instability has some ambiguous points to be further elucidated. The Nielsen-Olesen gauge configuration and BSSS gauge configuration are not connected with each other by any gauge transformations. Moreover, gauge fluctuations do not form Landau orbit under the BSSS configuration since not only the color magnetic field but also the background gauge field is homogeneous. Consequently, one may wonder what is the genuine nature of the instability induced by the homogeneous but time-dependent color magnetic fields—parametric or Nielsen-Olesen instability? Or does the one induce the other?

In this article, we perform a systematic investigation of the instabilities of classical gluon fields under the homogeneous but time-dependent background color magnetic fields in the linear regime. Specifically, we consider the BSSS initial condition [25] shown in Eq. (3) for the background field, whose solution is known to be the Jacobi elliptic function. This setup may not be very realistic but highly idealized for heavy-ion collisions, where the gauge configuration is rapidity independent, there are no color flux tubes, and there is an absence of the longitudinal color electric fields. But at the same time, the configuration is similar to the glasma because the resultant color magnetic field is originated from the non-Abelian nature of QCD. Therefore, it should provide insight into the realistic situation by studying the time evolution from the BSSS initial condition as noted in Ref [25]. We analyze the stability of fluctuations around the BSSS background gauge field systematically on the basis of the Floquet theory, which consists of the basis of the Bloch theory. In this setup, we can precisely obtain growth rates of the fluctuations by solving the equations of motion for a given momentum during one period of the background field and by evaluating the eigenvalues of a $3(N_c^2 - 1) \times 3(N_c^2 - 1)$ matrix called a monodromy matrix for color $SU(N_c)$. As a result, we get the complete structure of the instability bands in the whole momentum region not only in the longitudinal but also in the transverse directions caused by parametric resonance.

Parametric resonance plays an important role in many fields of physics. For instance, it would contribute to preheating in the early universe in cosmic inflation [26–29]. Parametric resonance also might trigger thermalization in heavy-ion collisions because it can give rise to rapid particle production. Instability due to parametric resonance in the O(N) scalar field theory has been analyzed and an exponential growth of the particle number is demonstrated in numerical analyses [30–32]. The present analysis should give a general and lucid mathematical basis of the parametric resonance or *parametric instability* ubiquitous in many fields of physics.

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we explain our setup and the fluctuations of the CYM field around a homogeneous time-dependent color magnetic field. We also give basics of parametric instability and a brief overview of the Floquet theory, which is applied to analyze instability bands. In Sec. III, we show numerical results of instability bands of Yang-Mills fields. Finally, we give a summary and discuss the relevance of these instabilities to the thermalization in the early stage of heavy-ion collisions in Sec. IV.

II. INSTABILITIES UNDER A STRONG COLOR MAGNETIC FIELD

We discuss instabilities of fluctuations of the CYM field under the BSSS background field. In Sec. II A, we derive the linearized equation of motion (EOM) of the fluctuations and show that the EOM is a special case of a Hill's differential equation. It is well known that solutions of a Hill's differential equation show instabilities called parametric resonance. In Sec. II B, we review basics of parametric resonance according to concrete examples. In Sec. II C, we give a brief overview of the Floquet theory which is a general mathematical framework to determine instability bands for a given equation with a periodic coefficient. The reader already familiar with these topics can skip to Sec. II D where we apply the Floquet theory to CYM theory.

A. CYM equation under a homogeneous color magnetic field

We briefly summarize CYM equations for the background field and fluctuations under a homogeneous color magnetic field. Throughout this article, we take the temporal gauge $A_0^a = 0$ with a homogeneous background color magnetic field in a nonexpanding geometry.

In SU(N) pure Yang-Mills theory, color magnetic fields are defined by

$$B_i^a = \epsilon_{ijk} \left(\partial_j A_k^a - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} A_j^b A_k^c \right), \tag{1}$$

where A_i^a is a gauge field and f^{abc} is the structure constant. The superscripts a, b, ... and the subscripts i, j, ... denote color and Lorentz indices, respectively. The gauge coupling constant is included in the definition of the gauge fields. There are two types of gauge configurations to make homogeneous color magnetic fields. One is the Abelian configuration such as

$$A_x^3 = -\frac{1}{2}By, \qquad A_y^3 = \frac{1}{2}Bx.$$
 (2)

The Nielsen-Olesen instability is induced by the color magnetic field in the above configuration. Because of the spatial dependence of the background gauge field, the transverse motion of gluons is quantized to form Landau levels. The Nielsen-Olesen instability is caused by the particles in the lowest Landau level, whose eigenfrequency becomes complex due to the spin-magnetic field interaction.

The other configuration is the non-Abelian configuration given as

$$A_i^a = \tilde{A}(t)(\delta^{a2}\delta_{ix} + \delta^{a1}\delta_{iy}), \tag{3}$$

which depends on time but not on spatial coordinates. Therefore, both color magnetic and gauge fields are homogeneous. As a consequence, p_x and p_y are good quantum numbers. This point is completely different from the former case. Note that there also exist homogeneous color electric fields E_x^2 and E_y^1 , since color electric fields are defined by $E_i^a = \dot{A}_i^a$ in the temporal gauge.

The instability of a few low-momentum modes under the non-Abelian configuration was first discussed by BSSS [25]. The classical Yang-Mills equation is given by

$$\ddot{A}_{i}^{a} - (D_{j}F_{ji})^{a} = 0, (4)$$

which is reduced to

$$\ddot{\tilde{A}} + \tilde{A}^3 = 0, \tag{5}$$

by virtue of Eq. (3).

The solution for the background field is given by the Jacobi elliptic function cn(t;k) since it satisfies the following equation:

$$y'' + (1 - 2k^2)y + 2k^2y^3 = 0.$$
 (6)

Note that we use modulus k as the second argument of cn(t;k) [33], which is different from the notation in Ref [25].

For instance, with the initial condition as $\tilde{A}(t=0) = \sqrt{B_0}$ and $\dot{\tilde{A}}(t=0) = 0$, the solution of this equation is given by

$$\tilde{A}(t) = \sqrt{B_0} \operatorname{cn}(\sqrt{B_0}t; 1/\sqrt{2}).$$
(7)

The period of \tilde{A} is given by the complete elliptic integral of the first kind K(k): $T = 4K(1/\sqrt{2})/\sqrt{B_0} \approx 7.42/\sqrt{B_0}$. In this way, the background gauge field is a periodic function in time.

By the shift $A_i^a \to A_i^a + a_i^a$, we get the EOM of the fluctuations described by a_i^a . Since the background gauge field is homogeneous, we can work with the EOM for each Fourier component of fluctuations in the linear regime. The linearized EOM for a_i^a is given by

$$\ddot{a}_i^a = -\Omega^2 [\tilde{A}(t)]_{ij}^{ab} a_j^b, \tag{8}$$

where $\Omega^2[\tilde{A}]_{ij}^{ab}$ is a 9 × 9 matrix as

$$\Omega^{2}[\tilde{A}]_{ij}^{ab} = (-D_{k}D_{k}\delta_{ij} + D_{i}D_{j} + 2iF_{ij})^{ab}$$

$$= (p^{2}\delta_{ij} - p_{i}p_{j})\delta^{ab}$$

$$+ i\tilde{A}(-2p_{x}\delta_{ij} + p_{i}\delta_{jx} + p_{j}\delta_{ix})f^{a2b}$$

$$+ i\tilde{A}(-2p_{y}\delta_{ij} + p_{i}\delta_{jy} + p_{j}\delta_{iy})f^{a1b}$$

$$- \tilde{A}^{2}\delta_{ij}(f^{a2d}f^{d2b} + f^{a1d}f^{d1b})$$

$$+ \tilde{A}^{2}(f^{a2d}\delta_{ix} + f^{a1d}\delta_{iy})(f^{d2b}\delta_{jx} + f^{d1b}\delta_{jy})$$

$$+ 2\tilde{A}^{2}f^{a3b}(\delta_{ix}\delta_{jy} - \delta_{iy}\delta_{jx}).$$

$$(10)$$

 f^{abc} is the structure constant of SU(N). When the background field is given by Eq. (3), SU(2) components, namely, A_i^1 , A_i^2 and A_i^3 , are decoupled from other components. Hereafter, we concentrate on SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, where the structure constant is given by e^{abc} .

Without loss of generality, we can take $p_y = 0$ due to the rotational symmetry in the transverse direction. Then we can easily find that the coefficient matrix Ω^2 is a block diagonalized matrix as

$$\Omega^2 = \operatorname{diag}(\Omega_4^2, \Omega_5^2), \tag{11}$$

where Ω_4^2 and Ω_5^2 are 4 × 4 and 5 × 5 matrices, respectively. The explicit forms of the matrices are given in Appendix A. Thus, the linearized EOM for a_i^a is decomposed into two sectors:

$$\ddot{a}_{\alpha} = -\Omega_4^2 [\tilde{A}(t)]_{\alpha\beta} a_{\beta}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\ddot{a}_A = -\Omega_5^2 [\tilde{A}(t)]_{AB} a_B. \tag{13}$$

We use the following notation: $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = (1y, 2x, 2z, 3y)$ and $A, B, \ldots = (1x, 1z, 2y, 3x, 3z)$.

Equation (8) or Eqs. (12) and (13) are second-order linear ordinary differential equations with a periodic coefficient matrix. This type of ordinary differential equation is called a Hill's equation. In general, a Hill's equation has unstable solutions due to the periodicity of the coefficient matrix [34].

It should be noted that the physical solutions must satisfy Gauss's law. The background field, Eq. (3), satisfies $D_i \dot{A}_i^a = 0$. After shifting $A_i^a \rightarrow A_i^a + a_i^a$ and picking up $\mathcal{O}(a)$ terms from Gauss's law, $D_i (\dot{A}_i + \dot{a}_i)^a = 0$, we find

$$ip_i\dot{a}_i^a + \epsilon^{abc} [\delta^{b2} (\tilde{A}\dot{a}_x^c - \dot{\tilde{A}}a_x^c) + \delta^{b1} (\tilde{A}\dot{a}_y^c - \dot{\tilde{A}}a_y^c)] = 0, \quad (14)$$

which is to be imposed on a_i^a at the initial time.

B. Parametric instability

In this subsection, we give a brief account of general aspects of the instabilities under a periodic perturbation. These phenomena are well known as parametric resonances or parametric instabilities. First, we consider Mathieu's equation in order to see how parametric instabilities occur. Mathieu's equation is one of the simplest Hill's equation which has nontrivial instabilities:

$$\ddot{f} = -(\lambda + 2\epsilon \cos t)f. \tag{15}$$

For simplicity, we assume $\lambda > 0$ and $\epsilon \ll 1$. We can investigate the stability of the solutions of Eq. (15) in a perturbative way when the external force term, $2\epsilon \cos t$, can be regarded as small. When we expand f(t) as $f = f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + ...$, the lowest-order solution is given by $f_0 = A_0 e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}t} + c.c$, and f_1 follows

$$\ddot{f}_1 + \lambda f_1 = -A_0(e^{i(\sqrt{\lambda}+1)t} + e^{i(\sqrt{\lambda}-1)t}) + \text{c.c.}$$
 (16)

This is the EOM for a driven oscillator. Its eigenfrequency is $\sqrt{\lambda}$ and the frequencies of external forces are $\sqrt{\lambda} \pm 1$. If $\lambda = 1/4$, the oscillator resonates and becomes to be amplified. In general, such resonance occurs if $\lambda = n^2/4(n = 1, 2, ...)$. Moreover, there are more sophisticated perturbative techniques to determine instability boundaries $\lambda = \lambda(\epsilon)$ [35]. For example, the instability boundaries of Mathieu's equation passing through the point $(\lambda, \epsilon) =$ (1/4, 0) are given by $\lambda = 1/4 \pm \epsilon - \epsilon^2/2 + O(\epsilon^3)$.

For the purpose of analyzing instabilities of CYM fields, it is instructive to consider Lamé's equation. Lamé's equation is a little more complicated than Mathieu's equation, which has the elliptic function as an external force term instead of $\cos t$:

$$\ddot{f} = -(\lambda + \epsilon \operatorname{cn}^2(t;k))f.$$
(17)

In fact, we will see that Lamé's equations with $\epsilon = \pm 1, 3$ and $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$ are obtained for some momentum modes in the linearized EOM for fluctuations, Eqs. (12) and (13). In particular, Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = -1$ leads to the largest growth rate of the above three cases, and it also describes the CYM equation for the fluctuation mode having the maximum growth rate. We also mention that these equations have a good property in an analytical point of view. Lamé's equations with $\epsilon = 1, 3$ and $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$ are exactly solvable to get closed form solutions [28]. When $\epsilon = -1$, any closed form solution is not known, but its solution has been investigated analytically [25]. The perturbative approach mentioned above is also a general framework and it can be performed in a parallel way as the analysis for Mathieu's equation, but its applicability is still limited. It is valid only for $0 \le \epsilon < 1$. The details of perturbative calculations are presented in Appendix B.

Instead of these analytical techniques, we will use a more general framework to find unstable modes together with their growth rates utilizing numerical calculations.

C. Floquet theory

We can perform precise stability analyses of the linearized EOMs, Eqs. (12) and (13), by using the Floquet theory, even though it is difficult to obtain analytic solutions.

In this subsection, we give a brief overview of the Floquet theory (see also the Appendix of [36]).

Suppose an ordinary differential equation of order n has a T-periodic coefficient P(t), i.e.

$$\frac{df}{dt} = P(t)f, \qquad P(t+T) = P(t), \tag{18}$$

where *f* is an *n*-dimensional vector and P(t) is an $n \times n$ matrix. The fundamental matrix of Eq. (18) is defined by *n* independent solutions $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$:

$$\Phi(t) = (\mathbf{\phi}_1(t), \dots, \mathbf{\phi}_n(t)). \tag{19}$$

If $\Phi(t)$ is a fundamental matrix, $\Phi(t+T)$ is also a fundamental matrix due to the periodicity of the coefficient matrix, P(t). Then, there exists a constant matrix M such that $\Phi(t+T) = \Phi(t)M$. M is called a monodromy matrix. By construction, $\Phi(t)$ is a regular matrix, and we can get the monodromy matrix M as

$$M = \Phi(0)^{-1} \Phi(T).$$
 (20)

It should be noted that det $M \neq 0$ since Φ is regular. Specifically, it holds that det M = 1 in the case trP = 0, which is fulfilled in Hamiltonian systems. This fact follows from the Liouville's theorem since det M is equivalent to the Jacobian of phase space variables at t = 0 and t = T. The fundamental matrix is represented by the monodromy matrix as

$$\Phi(t) = F(t) \exp\left((\log M) \frac{t}{T}\right),\tag{21}$$

where F(t) is a *T*-periodic matrix. The specific form of F(t) is not relevant for our discussion. The eigenvalues of M are called characteristic multipliers and we denote them as μ_1, \ldots, μ_n . Characteristic multipliers determine the long-time behavior of the solutions. We can categorize the stability at t > 0 by using the characteristic multipliers as follows:

- (1) If $|\mu| > 1$, the solution exponentially diverges.
- (2) If $|\mu| = 1$, the solution is (anti)periodic or polynomially diverges.
- (3) If $|\mu| < 1$, the solution is bounded.

Thus, when $|\mu| > 1$, the solution is unstable and the growth rate of the unstable solution is given by the exponent $\log \mu/T$ according to Eq. (21). This exponent is sometimes called a characteristic exponent. When $|\mu| = 1$, the solution can be also unstable with a polynomial growth. This is caused by the degeneracy of M. In Hamiltonian systems, the maximum multiplier $|\mu|_{\text{max}}$ is bounded as $|\mu|_{\text{max}} \ge 1$ since det M = 1.

Let us take a single component Hill's equation,

$$\ddot{f} = -\omega^2(t)f,\tag{22}$$

where $\omega^2(t+T) = \omega^2(t)$. In general, a second-order equation can be transformed into a first-order equation with two components. In fact, by putting $f = (f, \dot{f})$, we have

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -\omega^2(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} f.$$
 (23)

This equation can be easily analyzed as we will see.

Because of the conservation of the Wronskian, det $\Phi(t) = f_1 \dot{f}_2 - \dot{f}_1 f_2$, we find det $M = \mu_1 \mu_2 = 1$ by taking the determinant of Eq. (20). Therefore, the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix follow the characteristic equation: $\mu^2 - (trM)\mu + 1 = 0$. Thus, the stability of the solution of a single component Hill's equation is governed by trM as

- (1) If |trM| > 2, the solution exponentially diverges.
- (2) If |trM| = 2, the solution is (anti)periodic or linearly diverges.
- (3) If |trM| < 2, the solution is bounded.

This fact simplifies the stability analysis of a single component Hill's equation because we can discuss the stability without diagonalizing M.

To see how the general Floquet analysis is performed, we shall go back to the stability analysis of Lamé's equation (17), where the periodic coefficient $\omega^2(t)$ is given by the elliptic function. Here, the parameters λ and ϵ are arbitrary. As we mentioned in the previous subsection, the linearized CYM equations, Eqs. (12) and (13), take a form of Lamé's equation for some momentum modes. For instance, Eq. (12) becomes block-diagonalized and is decomposed into two simultaneous equations for zero transverse momentum modes ($p_x = p_y = 0$) or zero longitudinal momentum modes ($p_z = 0$). The EOM for these modes in CYM theory is summarized in Appendix A.

We here consider the equation for (a_y^1, a_x^2) of zero transverse momentum $(p_x = p_y = 0)$ shown in Eq. (A7). We can decompose the EOM Eq. (A7) into two equations which give Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = -1, 3$. We also consider an equation for a_{2z} of zero longitudinal momentum $(p_z = 0)$ shown in Eq. (A18). This gives Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = 1$,

$$a_{+}^{\prime\prime}(\theta; p_{z}, p_{T}=0) = -(p_{z}^{2}/B_{0} + 3\mathrm{cn}^{2}(\theta))a_{+}, \quad (24)$$

$$a_{-}''(\theta; p_z, p_T = 0) = -(p_z^2/B_0 - \operatorname{cn}^2(\theta))a_{-}, \quad (25)$$

$$a_{2z}''(\theta; p_z = 0, p_T) = -(p_T^2/B_0 + \operatorname{cn}^2(\theta))a_{2z}, \qquad (26)$$

where $a_{\pm} = a_y^1 \pm a_x^2$ and $\theta = \sqrt{B_0}t$. Primes denote derivatives with respect to θ . Unless otherwise noted, the modulus of elliptic function is $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$. We note that the Floquet analysis can be done by a quite simple numerical calculation. In addition, there is no constraint for ϵ to apply Floquet theory to Lamé's equation.

Figure 1 shows tr*M* of Eqs. (24), (25) or (26) as a function of p_z^2/B_0 or p_T^2/B_0 . The instability bands are specified by $|\text{tr}M| \ge 2$. In this calculation, we set the initial fundamental matrix as a unit matrix, i.e. $\Phi(t = 0) = 1$. All we have to do is to solve Eqs. (24) and (25) for a period *T* numerically at a given momentum. After solving them, we easily get tr $M = \text{tr}\Phi(T)$ by Eq. (20) as a function of squared momentum normalized by the initial background magnetic field.



FIG. 1 (color online). Floquet analysis for Eqs. (24), (25) and (26). The shaded area denotes the instability bands specified by the p_z or p_T region with $|\text{tr}M| \ge 2$. These are the consequence of parametric resonance. The instability bands of a_- (Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = -1$) are $0 \le p_z^2/B_0 \le 0.41$ and $0.91 \le p_z^2/B_0 \le 1.42$. The instability bands of a_+ (Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = 3$) is $3/2 \le p_z^2/B_0 \le \sqrt{3}$. The instability bands of a_{2z} (Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = 1$) is $0 \le p_T^2/B_0 \le 1.42$. Note that exact instability boundaries are known for $\epsilon = 1, 3$ [28]. Our numerical calculation agrees with that.

TSUTSUI et al.

The emergence of the first instability band of a_- ($0 \le p_z^2/B_0 \le 0.41$) is easily expected from the form of Eq. (25). The eigenfrequency of a_- become complex for sufficiently small momentum $p_z^2 \ll B_0$ since it is approximately given by $\omega \sim \sqrt{p_z^2 - B(t)}$. On the other hand, the instability boundary is modified from the naively expected one $p_z^2/B_0 = 1$ to $p_z^2/B_0 = 0.41$ due to time dependence of the background field. The remarkable feature of this band is that the unstable modes in this region has quite large growth rate since tr $M \gg 2$. The same band structure appears in Yang-Mills theory, as we will see later.

We also find the instability band of a_+ ($3/2 \le p_z^2/B_0 \le \sqrt{3}$), the second band of a_- ($0.91 \le p_z^2/B_0 \le 1.42$) and the instability band of a_{2z} ($0 \le p_T^2/B_0 \le 1/2$). These instabilities are the consequence of parametric resonance and are not intuitively expected from the forms of Eqs. (24), (25) and (26).

D. Application to Yang-Mills theory

The Floquet analysis of a multicomponent Hill's equation can be done in much the same way as the singlecomponent analysis. In this subsection, we apply the Floquet theory to the CYM equation. Equation (8) is also transformed into the first-order equation by introducing color electric fields $e_i^a = \dot{a}_i^a$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} a_i^a \\ e_i^a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^{ab} \delta_{ij} \\ -[\Omega^2(t)]_{ij}^{ab} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_j^b \\ e_j^b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

The simplest way to calculate characteristic multipliers is to set the initial fundamental matrix as a unit matrix as we have done in the previous subsection. The solutions starting from the unit matrix initial condition constitute a complete set, and any solution of the equation of motion Eq. (27) is represented by a linear combination of these solutions.

For the Yang-Mills field, the situation is somewhat complicated because the initial condition $\Phi(0) = 1$ does not satisfy Gauss's law (14). As a consequence, we need to worry about picking up the instabilities of the unphysical channel. We shall show here how to extract physical instability bands in the framework of the Floquet theory. At t = 0, Gauss's law reads

$$ie_{i}^{a}p_{i}/\sqrt{B_{0}} + \epsilon^{abc}(\delta^{b2}e_{x}^{c} + \delta^{b1}e_{y}^{c}) = 0.$$
(28)

Here we have used $A(t = 0) = \sqrt{B_0}$ and $\partial_t A(t = 0) = 0$. To search for physical unstable modes, we must solve the EOM from a initial condition which is consistent with the Gauss's law, Eq. (28). In this case, the physical degrees of freedom are 9 + 9 - 3 = 15, and the physical fundamental matrix must be constructed by 15 independent physical solutions. The time evolution of an arbitrary physical mode is given by a linear combination of these solutions. So obtained growth rates do not depend on the choice of the initial fundamental matrix as long as it consists of physical independent solutions.

In the following we give an example with $p_x = p_y = 0$. The generalization to $p_x, p_y \neq 0$ is straightforward. Then, Eq. (28) becomes

$$ie_z^1 p_z / \sqrt{B_0} = -e_x^3,$$
 (29)

$$ie_z^2 p_z / \sqrt{B_0} = e_y^3,$$
 (30)

$$ie_z^3 p_z / \sqrt{B_0} = e_x^1 - e_y^2. \tag{31}$$

For simplicity, we concentrate on a_z^1 and a_x^3 which satisfy the following equations of motion:

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_z^1 \\ a_x^3 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}^2 & i\tilde{A}p_z \\ -i\tilde{A}p_z & p_z^2 + \tilde{A}^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_z^1 \\ a_x^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (32)

For $p_z \neq 0$, one initial condition which satisfies Eq. (29) is given by

$$\Psi(t=0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & i\sqrt{B_0}/p_z \\ 0 & 0 & -ip_z/\sqrt{B_0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(t=0) & * \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(33)

whose basis set is $\{a_z^1, a_x^3, e_z^1, e_x^3\}$. The 3×3 matrix Φ consists of three independent modes a_z^1, a_x^3 and e_z^1 . After solving Eqs. (32), we get $\Psi(T)$ and, hence, $\Phi(T)$. This is the way to construct the monodromy matrix for constraint systems.

In practice, the fluctuations in the unphysical channels are found to show no unstable behavior, and we can obtain the growth rate of the physical modes by using the initial condition $\Phi(0) = 1$. We will discuss this point later.

III. INSTABILITY BANDS OF YANG-MILLS EQUATION

A. Global band structure

We determine the characteristic multipliers of the classical Yang-Mills equation by numerical calculations. Due to the axial symmetry along the *z* direction, the characteristic multipliers are the function of p_z and $p_T = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}$. We calculate the maximum characteristic multiplier as a function of momentum (p_z, p_T) by solving Eqs. (12) and (13). In Fig. 2, we show the contour map of the instability bands, where both p_z and p_T are rescaled by the initial strength of the background color magnetic field B_0 . This contour map is obtained solely on the basis of



FIG. 2 (color online). The contour map of the instability bands of the classical Yang-Mills equation under oscillating color magnetic fields. The contour lines (white lines) stand for $|\mu|_{max} =$ 100, 50, 20, 10, 5, 2, 1.02 from top to bottom. The maximum characteristic multiplier is calculated as a function of both p_z , p_T , where $p_T = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}$ is a transverse momentum. Both p_z and p_T are rescaled by the initial strength of the background color magnetic field B_0 . The dominant instability band in the lowmomentum region has an anisotropy. Transverse momentum direction of the dominant band is broader than that of the p_z direction which extends up to $p_T^2/B_0 = 1.75$, while the p_z direction extends up to $p_z^2/B_0 = 0.81$.

Floquet theory, and thus the underlying nature of these instabilities is the parametric instability.

From Fig. 2, we find that the instability band in the lowmomentum region around $p^2/B_0 \sim 0$ has an anisotropic shape and the large growth rate. At $(p_z, p_T) = (0, 0)$, the characteristic multiplier takes the maximum value, $|\mu|_{\rm max} = 129$. There are also other bands whose peak multipliers are less than about 5. The broad instability region in the p_T direction extends up to $p_T^2/B_0 \simeq 1.75$, while the instability region in the p_z direction extends up to $p_z^2/B_0 = 0.81$. The unstable region with $|\mu|_{\rm max} > 5$ extends in the longitudinal and transverse momentum range, $p_z^2/B_0 < 0.37$ and $p_T^2/B_0 < 1.19$, respectively. It means that the fluctuations are amplified by a factor 5 after a period of background field in the region. If the strength of the background color magnetic field is scaled by the saturation momentum, the instability boundaries discussed here lie approximately at $p^2 \sim B \sim Q_s^2$. It is worth emphasizing that we find instabilities in both the low-momentum region $p \ll Q_s$ and high-momentum region $p \sim Q_s$. It should be noted that $|\mu|_{\text{max}} \ge 1$ is always satisfied as noted in Sec. II C.

B. Band structure for $p_T = 0$ and $p_z = 0$

Now let us try to understand the origin of the instabilities present in some other bands semianalytically. For this purpose, we consider two particular limits, $p_T = 0$ and $p_z = 0$, of linearized EOMs of fluctuations Eqs. (12) and (13), then we get simpler equations. For $p_T = 0$, Eqs. (12) and (13) are decomposed into two equations whose coefficients are Ω_B^2 , Ω_C^{*2} and Ω_A^2 , Ω_C^2 , respectively. Similarly, for $p_z = 0$, we can decompose Eqs. (12) and (13) into two independent equations whose coefficients are Ω_E^2 , Ω_G^2 and Ω_D^2 , Ω_F^2 , respectively. See Appendix A for the explicit forms of these matrices and their relations. These decomposed equations are easier to treat since their ranks are at most three so that they give insight into the whole band structure.

Figure 3 shows the band structure in $p_T = 0$ and $p_z = 0$ regions. Each line shows the maximum value of characteristic multipliers $|\mu|$ obtained from the decomposed equation with the matrix $\Omega_I^2(I = A, B, ...G)$.

For $p_T = 0$, the fluctuation fields $a_x^1, a_y^2, a_z^3, a_y^1$ and a_x^2 may be unstable and have larger growth rate than other components. Almost all of these modes are related to B_z^3



FIG. 3 (color online). (top) Instability bands for $p_T = 0$. The lowest instability band of Ω_A^2 system (red solid line) and Ω_B^2 system (blue dashed line) located at $0 \le p_z^2/B_0 \le 0.41$ have the largest growth rate. This dominant instability band is consistent with that found in [25]. (bottom) Instability bands for $p_z = 0$. The lowest instability band of Ω_D^2 system (red solid line) and Ω_E^2 system (blue dashed line) have the largest growth rate. They have broader band and reach $p_T^2/B_0 = 1.75$ and $p_T^2/B_0 = 0.88$, respectively. The magenta dotted line and the green dot-dashed line stand for the bands of Ω_F^2 and Ω_G^2 .

and may modify the background color magnetic field. The dominant instability bands of Ω_A^2 and Ω_B^2 systems range from $p_z^2/B_0 = 0$ to $p_z^2/B_0 = 0.81$ and to $p_z^2/B_0 = 0.41$, respectively. Their growth rates become larger in the smaller momentum region. This dominant instability band is consistent with that found in [25].

The lower panel of Fig. 3 shows the instability bands for $p_z = 0$. In this case, Ω_D^2 and Ω_E^2 systems show the largest growth rate where the corresponding fluctuation fields are a_x^a and a_y^a . The ranges of the dominant instability band of these systems are broader than that of Ω_A^2 and Ω_B^2 systems. The bands of Ω_D^2 and Ω_E^2 systems extends up to $p_T^2/B_0 = 1.75$ and $p_T^2/B_0 = 0.88$, respectively. The Ω_F^2 and Ω_G^2 systems also show instability, but with smaller growth rates and narrower ranges; the first and second instability bands of the Ω_F^2 system range from $p_T^2/B_0 = 0.18$ and $p_T^2/B_0 = 0.48$ to $p_T^2/B_0 = 0.68$. The instability band of the Ω_G^2 system is identical to that of Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = 1$, Eq. (26).

C. Effective reduction of EOMs

In this subsection, we discuss the mathematical origin of the band structure. Suppose that eigenvalues of a coefficient matrix $\Omega_I^2(I = A, B, ...G)$ are given by $\omega_{I1}^2, ..., \omega_{Im}^2$, and Ω_I^2 is diagonalized by a unitary matrix U_I ,

$$U_{I}^{-1}(t)\Omega_{I}^{2}(t)U_{I}(t) = \text{diag}(\omega_{I1}^{2},...,\omega_{Im}^{2}).$$
(34)

In general, U_I depends on time because Ω_I^2 includes the background gauge field $\tilde{A}(t)$. Multiplying an original EOM of fluctuations by $U_I^{-1}(t)$ from left, we get

$$U_{I}^{-1}(t)\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}}\boldsymbol{a} = -\text{diag}(\omega_{I1}^{2},...,\omega_{Im}^{2})U_{I}^{-1}(t)\boldsymbol{a}, \quad (35)$$

where *a* is a corresponding gauge field. For instance, $a = (a_x^1, a_y^2, a_z^3)$ for I = A. Therefore, if and only if U_I is constant, the equation is decomposed into decoupled ones characterized by the eigenvalues of Ω_I^2 :

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\boldsymbol{a}' = -\text{diag}(\omega_{I1}^2, \dots, \omega_{Im}^2)\boldsymbol{a}', \qquad (36)$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}' = \boldsymbol{U}_I^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}. \tag{37}$$

Only the Ω_B^2 system (EOM for a_y^1 and a_x^2) satisfies the above condition. In this case, corresponding unitary matrix is given by $U_B = 1/\sqrt{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and actually does not depend on time. Eigenvalues of Ω_B^2 are given by $\omega_{B1}^2 = p_z^2 + 3\tilde{A}^2$ and $\omega_{B2}^2 = p_z^2 - \tilde{A}^2$. As a result, we find two types of Lamé's equations, Eqs. (24) and (25), and hence some parts of instability bands for $p_T = 0$ are exactly described by Lamé's equations. Our numerical calculation confirms this point and the result is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4. The first and second instability bands of Ω_B^2 system (red solid line) are found in the momentum range of $0 \le p_z^2/B_0 = 0.41$ and $0.91 < p_z^2/B_0 < 1.42$, respectively.



FIG. 4 (color online). The comparison of instability bands of original EOMs (multicomponent Hill's equation with Ω_I^2) and single component Hill's equations (denoted by ω_{Im}^2). (top) Ω_A^2 system is effectively reduced to three single component Hill's equations and one of them is Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = -1$. The instability bands of the Lamé's equation are denoted by a blue dashed line. This result shows that most bands of the original EOM, including the first band, are well reproduced by Lamé's equation. The Ω_B^2 system is exactly reduced to two types of Lamé's equations. They also lead to quite unstable behavior in the low-momentum region. For the Ω_C^2 system, two Hill's equations fail to reproduce the original bands. (bottom) The change of instability bands is drastic in Ω_D^2 , Ω_E^2 systems. The time dependence of U_I makes instability regions considerably narrower for these equations.

They are identical to the instability bands of Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = -1$, Eq. (25) (blue dashed line). The third instability band ranges from $p_z^2/B_0 = 3/2$ to $p_z^2/B_0 = \sqrt{3}$, and this is identical to the band of Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = 3$, Eq. (24) (magenta dotted line). The instability bands of Lamé's equations are also depicted in Fig. 1.

If $U_I(t)$ varies slowly in time, the EOM of fluctuations are effectively reduced to a single component Hill's equation: $\ddot{a}_{Im} = -\omega_{Im}^2 a_{Im}$. For example, such an effective reduction seems to occur in the Ω_A^2 system (EOM for a_x^1, a_y^2 and a_z^3). In fact, the eigenvalues of Ω_A^2 are given by

$$\omega_{A1}^2 = p_z^2 - \tilde{A}^2, (38)$$

$$\omega_{A\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_z^2 + 2\tilde{A}^2 \pm \sqrt{p_z^4 + 6p_z^2\tilde{A}^2 + \tilde{A}^4} \right), \quad (39)$$

and one of the resultant single component equations has the form of Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = -1$. We compare the instability bands of the original EOM and three Hill's equations. The upper panel of Fig. 4 shows that the instability bands of Ω_A^2 system (red solid line) is well described by Lamé's equation (blue dashed line). The other two Hill's equations show a weaker instability than Lamé's equation. While three Hill's equations do not completely reproduce the band structure of Ω_A^2 system, the bulk structure is reproduced and the time dependence of $U_A(t)$ is considered to be small.

The dominant instability band in the $p_T = 0$ region is seemingly reminiscent of the Nielsen-Olesen instability as discussed in [25]. However, the dominant band is described by Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = -1$, Eq. (25), in both Ω_A and Ω_B systems. Therefore, we conclude that the Nielsen-Olesen instability-like behavior actually comes from parametric instability.

It should be noted that, in contrast to Ω_A^2 and Ω_B^2 systems, the other EOMs cannot be regarded as a set of single component Hill's equations. For the Ω_C^2 system, the two Hill's equations have some instability bands while the original EOM has no instability band. For $p_z = 0$, there is a substantial difference in the band structure between the original EOM and a set of single component Hill's equations. Actually, the lower panel of Fig. 4 shows that Hill's equations fail to reproduce qualitative behaviors of instability bands of the original EOMs. In this sense, even for the dominant instability band, the interpretation of band structure in terms of Lamé's equations is valid in very limited cases.

D. Implication to unphysical sector

In our calculation, we have constructed the physical initial condition which is consistent with the Gauss's law in order not to pick up the instabilities of unphysical modes. We present the construction of the physical initial condition introduced in Sec. II D. We have also confirmed that the



FIG. 5 (color online). Comparison of instability bands obtained with a physical initial condition and a unit matrix initial condition. The red solid line denotes the instability bands of Ω_A^2 system calculated by physical initial condition. The blue dashed line results from the unit matrix initial condition.

solution at t = T satisfies Gauss's law numerically. In practice, we can start our calculation from the simple initial conditions which do not necessarily fulfill the Gauss's law. We compare two calculations using the physical initial condition and unit matrix initial condition given by $\Phi(0) = 1$. Figure 5 shows that the maximum characteristic multipliers of the Ω_A^2 system using two different initial conditions. In principle, the calculation resulted from the unit matrix initial condition can contain the contributions from unphysical modes; however, the two results completely agree with each other. We have also confirmed that all unphysical modes only appears as stable modes. These results mean that the unphysical sector does not contain unstable modes, so all instabilities we get are physical ones. This property simplifies the Floquet analysis in a practical sense because we can set the initial fundamental matrix as a unit matrix which is simpler than the physical fundamental matrix. We also find this property for full band structure.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the nature of instabilities of the classical Yang-Mills equation under the time-dependent homogeneous color magnetic field in the linear regime. The background color magnetic field considered in this article is realized by the Berges-Scheffler-Schlichting-Sexty (BSSS) [25] gauge configuration which does not have spatial dependence, and thus a transverse momentum is well defined. This gauge configuration gives color electric fields in the x and y directions as well. It is known that there exists a dominant instability region at $p_z^2/B_0 < 1$ under the BSSS gauge field. It is also suggested that there is a subdominant instability band at $p_z^2/B_0 > 1$. The p_z dependence of the growth rate in the dominant instability region behaves as if it is caused by the Nielsen-Olesen instability. However, the growth rate must also depend on p_T , and the band structure in the (p_z, p_T) plane was not investigated so far. We have made a linear analysis in order to search for the complete band structure and to reveal the nature of the instabilities. The Floquet analysis is best suited to determine instability boundaries, and we have applied it to the Yang-Mills theory.

We have found that there is a broad instability band which has the maximum growth rate around the zeromomentum region in the (p_z, p_T) plane.

For $p_T = 0$, the band reaches $p_z^2/B_0 \simeq 0.41$ and this result is consistent with Ref. [25]. We have also found many other subdominant instability bands. Moreover, we have rediscovered the Nielsen-Olesen instability-like behavior of the dominant instability band in the small p_T region. For $p_z = 0$, the instability band which has the maximal growth rate locates around the zero-momentum region. The dominant instability band is broader than that of the $p_T = 0$ case, and it extends up to $p_T^2/B_0 \simeq 1.75$. However, in the system considered in this article, the background gauge field does not form Landau levels, and thus the present instability has nothing to do with the instability of the lowest Landau level, namely the Nielsen-Olesen instability.

We have investigated the mathematical origin of the seemingly Nielsen-Olesen-like behavior. As a result, the dominant instability band which is reminiscent of Nielsen-Olesen instability is dominated by Lame's equation whose dispersion relation is effectively given by $\omega = \sqrt{p_z^2 - \tilde{A}^2(t)}$, due to the effective reduction of the original EOMs. The present dominant instability band in the small p_T region shares the common feature as the Nielsen-Olesen instability in the sense that the negative eigenvalues of Ω_A^2 and Ω_B^2 appear from the combination of the polarization modes, $a_x^1 - a_y^2$ and $a_y^1 - a_x^2$. This feature comes from the anomalous Zeeman effect for the spin 1 systems. At the same time, the present instability is not caused by the instability of the lowest Landau level, but by bunch of unstable modes with a band structure extending to continuous transverse momenta, and it is not literally the Nielsen-Olesen instability. Therefore, we have concluded that the origin of all these instabilities considered here is regarded as the result of parametric instability.

It is instructive to consider whether the parametric instability considered in this article persists or not when the nonlinear interaction between gluons becomes important. When the background field loses its energy and the amplitude of gauge fluctuation becomes comparable to the background field $\sqrt{B_0}$, the nonlinear effects cannot be negligible, and thus the instability bands defined in the linear regime lose their validity. It is expected that the signal of the resonance bands in the high-momentum region may be quite weak, since their growth rates are much smaller than the growth rate around the zero momentum. However, for $p_z = 0$, the growth rate varies gradually as a function of p_T and the range of the instability band is broad. Even for $p_T^2/B_0 \sim 1$, the growth rate is not so small. Such a

momentum dependence of the growth rate is unique to the parametric instability, and the behavior of the growth rate is expected to be seen in full numerical calculations. These studies are in progress.

From a phenomenological point of view, it is also important to consider how the parametric instability is affected by the longitudinal expansion and inhomogeneity of the background field. In a longitudinal expanding geometry, strength of the background color magnetic field would damp and the growth rates of the unstable modes become small. Thus, longitudinal expansion would make the signal of the parametric instability weak. Spatial inhomogeneity of the background field is also expected to suppress the parametric instability. If the scale of inhomogeneity is given by the saturation scale Q_s , the relevant modes of the dynamics have $p^2 \gtrsim Q_s^2 \simeq B_0$. Indeed, the parametric instability in the region is weaker than that in the lower-momentum region as $p^2 \leq Q_s^2 \simeq B_0$. However, as we have mentioned above, the growth rates of unstable modes around $p^2 \sim Q_s^2 \simeq B_0$ are not so small, and they may affect the early stage dynamics. The qualitative discussions on these points are kept for a future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank J. Berges, K. Itakura, B. Müller, S. Schlichting and R. Venugopalan for useful discussions. The authors also would like to thank the participants of the Nishinomiya Yukawa Memorial and YIPOS workshop on "New Frontiers in QCD 2013" (YITP-T-13-05) for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Grantsin-Aid for Scientific Research from JSPS (No. 20540265, No. 23340067, No. 24340054, No. 24540271), the Grantsin-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas from MEXT (No. 2004: 23105713 and No. 2404: 24105001, 24105008), by the Yukawa International Program for Quark-Hadron Sciences, and by a Grant-in-Aid for the global COE program "The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence" from MEXT. S. T. is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows (No. 26-3462) and the JSPS Strategic Young Researcher Overseas Visits Program for Accelerating Brain Circulation (No. R2411). T. K. is supported by the Core Stage Back Up program in Kyoto University.

APPENDIX A: CYM EQUATION ON $p_T = 0$ AND $p_z = 0$

1. Notations

In this appendix, we give the specific form of the linearized equations for the fluctuation of gauge fields. The coefficient matrix of EOM for fluctuations a_i^a is denoted by Ω^2 as in Eq. (8). Without loss of generality, we can take $p_y = 0$ due to the rotational symmetry of transverse direction and the EOM is decomposed into two independent sectors,

$$\ddot{a}_{\alpha} = -[\Omega_4^2]_{\alpha\beta} a_{\beta}, \qquad a_{\alpha} = (a_y^1, a_x^2, a_z^2, a_y^3), \tag{A1}$$

$$\ddot{a}_A = -[\Omega_5^2]_{AB} a_B, \qquad a_A = (a_x^1, a_z^1, a_y^2, a_x^3, a_z^3), \tag{A2}$$

where each coefficient matrix is given by

$$\Omega_{4}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{x}^{2} + p_{z}^{2} + \tilde{A}^{2} & 2\tilde{A}^{2} & 0 & -2ip_{x}\tilde{A} \\ 2\tilde{A}^{2} & p_{z}^{2} + \tilde{A}^{2} & -p_{x}p_{z} & -ip_{x}\tilde{A} \\ 0 & -p_{x}p_{z} & p_{x}^{2} + \tilde{A}^{2} & -ip_{z}\tilde{A} \\ 2ip_{x}\tilde{A} & ip_{x}\tilde{A} & ip_{z}\tilde{A} & p_{x}^{2} + p_{z}^{2} + \tilde{A}^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{5}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{z}^{2} & -p_{x}p_{z} & -\tilde{A}^{2} & 0 & ip_{z}\tilde{A} \\ -p_{x}p_{z} & p_{x}^{2} + \tilde{A}^{2} & 0 & ip_{z}\tilde{A} & -2ip_{x}\tilde{A} \\ -\tilde{A}^{2} & 0 & p_{x}^{2} + p_{z}^{2} & -ip_{x}\tilde{A} & -ip_{z}\tilde{A} \\ 0 & -ip_{z}\tilde{A} & ip_{x}\tilde{A} & p_{z}^{2} + \tilde{A}^{2} & -p_{x}p_{z} \\ -ip_{z}\tilde{A} & 2ip_{x}\tilde{A} & ip_{z}\tilde{A} & -p_{x}p_{z} & p_{x}^{2} + 2\tilde{A}^{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A3)

When we consider certain limits, the EOM becomes simplified. For the case $p_T = 0$, the EOM is reduced to four equations,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_x^1 \\ a_y^2 \\ a_z^3 \end{pmatrix} = -\Omega_A^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_x^1 \\ a_y^2 \\ a_z^3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_y^1 \\ a_x^2 \end{pmatrix} = -\Omega_B^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_y^1 \\ a_x^2 \end{pmatrix},
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_z^1 \\ a_x^3 \end{pmatrix} = -\Omega_C^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_z^1 \\ a_x^3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_z^2 \\ a_y^3 \end{pmatrix} = -\Omega_C^{*2} \begin{pmatrix} a_z^2 \\ a_y^3 \end{pmatrix},$$
(A5)

where each of the coefficient matrices Ω_I^2 are given by

$$\Omega_A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_z^2 & -\tilde{A}^2 & i\tilde{A}p_z \\ -\tilde{A}^2 & p_z^2 & -i\tilde{A}p_z \\ -i\tilde{A}p_z & i\tilde{A}p_z & 2\tilde{A}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (A6)$$

$$\Omega_B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_z^2 + \tilde{A}^2 & 2\tilde{A}^2 \\ 2\tilde{A}^2 & p_z^2 + \tilde{A}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (A7)

$$\Omega_C^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}^2 & i\tilde{A}p_z \\ -i\tilde{A}p_z & p_z^2 + \tilde{A}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A8)

Their eigenvalues are given by

$$\omega_{A1}^2 = p_z^2 - \tilde{A}^2, \tag{A9}$$

$$\omega_{A\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_z^2 + 3\tilde{A}^2 \pm \sqrt{p_z^4 + 6p_z^2\tilde{A}^2 + \tilde{A}^4} \right), \quad (A10)$$

$$\omega_{B1}^2 = p_z^2 + 3\tilde{A}^2, \tag{A11}$$

$$\omega_{B2}^2 = p_z^2 - \tilde{A}^2, \qquad (A12)$$

$$\omega_{C\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_z^2 + 2\tilde{A}^2 \pm \sqrt{p_z^4 + 4p_z^2 \tilde{A}^2} \right), \qquad (A13)$$

where $\omega_{I1}^2, \ldots, \omega_{Im}^2$ stand for the eigenvalues of Ω_I^2 . We use the explicit form of them in order to calculate the instability bands of the single-component Hill's equations. Note that $\omega_{A1}^2, \omega_{B1}^2$ and ω_{B2}^2 correspond to Lamé's equations which are the special case of the Hill's equation.

For the case $p_y = p_z = 0$,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_x^1 \\ a_y^2 \\ a_x^3 \end{pmatrix} = -\Omega_D^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_x^1 \\ a_y^2 \\ a_x^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_y^1 \\ a_x^2 \\ a_y^3 \end{pmatrix} = -\Omega_E^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_y^1 \\ a_x^2 \\ a_y^3 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_z^1 \\ a_z^3 \end{pmatrix} = -\Omega_F^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_z^1 \\ a_z^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} a_z^2 = -\Omega_G^2 a_z^2, \quad (A14)$$

where each of the coefficient matrices Ω_I^2 are given by

$$\Omega_D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\tilde{A}^2 & 0\\ -\tilde{A}^2 & p_x^2 & -i\tilde{A}p_x\\ 0 & i\tilde{A}p_x & \tilde{A}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (A15)$$

$$\Omega_E^2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_x^2 + \tilde{A}^2 & 2\tilde{A}^2 & -2i\tilde{A}p_x \\ 2\tilde{A}^2 & \tilde{A}^2 & -i\tilde{A}p_x \\ 2i\tilde{A}p_x & i\tilde{A}p_x & p_x^2 + \tilde{A}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A16)$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 076003 (2015)

$$\Omega_F^2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_x^2 + \tilde{A}^2 & -2i\tilde{A}p_x \\ 2i\tilde{A}p_x & p_x^2 + 2\tilde{A}^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(A17)

$$\Omega_G^2 = (p_x^2 + \tilde{A}^2).$$
 (A18)

Their eigenvalues are given by

$$\omega_{Dm}^{6} - (\tilde{A}^{2} + p_{T}^{2})\omega_{Dm}^{4} - \tilde{A}^{4}\omega_{Dm}^{2} + \tilde{A}^{6} = 0,$$
(A19)

$$\omega_{Em}^{6} - (3\tilde{A}^{2} + 2p_{T}^{2})\omega_{Em}^{4} - (\tilde{A}^{4} + \tilde{A}^{2}p_{T}^{2} - p_{T}^{4})\omega_{Em}^{2} + 3\tilde{A}^{6} - \tilde{A}^{4}p_{T}^{2} = 0, \quad (A20)$$

$$\omega_{F\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\tilde{A}^2 + 2p_T^2 \pm \tilde{A} \sqrt{\tilde{A}^2 + 16p_T^2} \right).$$
(A21)

 $\omega_{Dm}^2, \omega_{Em}^2$ are given as the solutions of Eqs. (A19) and (A21), respectively. Of course, we can get the explicit form of them, but they are quite complicated. Note that the EOM for a_z^2 decouples from other components to be Lamé's equation.

In summary, the coefficient matrices Ω_I^2 hold the following relations:

$$\Omega^2 = \operatorname{diag}(\Omega_4^2, \Omega_5^2) \quad \text{(for all } p_z, p_T), \qquad (A22)$$

$$\Omega_4^2 = \begin{cases} \operatorname{diag}(\Omega_B^2, \Omega_C^{*2}) & (p_T = 0) \\ \operatorname{diag}(\Omega_E^2, \Omega_G^2) & (p_z = 0) \end{cases},$$
(A23)

$$\Omega_5^2 = \begin{cases} \operatorname{diag}(\Omega_A^2, \Omega_C^2) & (p_T = 0)\\ \operatorname{diag}(\Omega_D^2, \Omega_F^2) & (p_z = 0) \end{cases}.$$
(A24)

APPENDIX B: INSTABILITY BOUNDARIES OF LAMÉ'S EQUATION

1. Multiple-scale analysis

The instability boundaries of Lamé's equation are calculable in the perturbative way even when the closed form solutions are not available. The method discussed here is called multiple-scale analysis which is a kind of singular perturbation [35]. We consider the following equation with dimensionless parameter λ , ϵ :

$$\ddot{f} + (\lambda + \epsilon \operatorname{cn}^2(t; k))f = 0.$$
(B1)

If $\epsilon \ll 1$, we can treat the "external force term" as a perturbation. When k = 0, Lamé's equation comes down to Mathieu's equation. Lamé's equation with $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$ appears in scalar ϕ^4 theory and Yang-Mills theory. In this section, we perform the multiple-scale analysis for arbitrary *k*. Hereafter, we use abbreviations as, $K = K(k), K' = K(\sqrt{1 - k^2})$ and E = E(k). K(k) and E(k) are complete elliptic integrals of the first kind

and second kind, respectively. Their explicit forms are given by

$$K(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} d\phi \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \phi}},$$
 (B2)

$$E(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} d\phi \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \phi}.$$
 (B3)

By substituting the Fourier expansion for the elliptic function, Eq. (B1) becomes

$$\ddot{f} + \left(c + \frac{\epsilon}{k^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \cos\frac{m\pi}{K} t\right) f = 0, \qquad (B4)$$

$$c = \lambda + \frac{\epsilon b_0}{k^2}.$$
 (B5)

Here we use following formula,

$$k^{2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} u = \underbrace{k^{2} - 1 + \frac{E}{K}}_{b_{0}} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{\pi^{2}}{K^{2}} \frac{m}{\sinh m\pi K'/K}}_{b_{m}} \cos \frac{m\pi}{K} u.$$
(B6)

We can perform a multiple-scale analysis parallel to Mathieu's equation using Fourier expansion of the elliptic function. First, we simply expand f as $f = f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + ...$ in order to search for the starting points of instability boundaries. The equation of order ϵ becomes

$$\ddot{f}_1 + cf_1 = -A_0 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_m}{2k^2} e^{i\sqrt{c}} (e^{im\pi t/K} + e^{-im\pi t/K}) + \text{c.c.}$$
(B7)

If resonance occurs, the solution will be strongly amplified and unstable. The conditions for the resonance are given by

$$c = \left(\frac{m\pi}{2K}\right)^2 \quad m^2 = 1, 4, 9, \dots$$
 (B8)

This condition gives the starting point of the instability boundaries. Therefore, it is convenient to expand c as

$$c = \left(\frac{m\pi}{2K}\right)^2 + \epsilon c_1 + \dots \tag{B9}$$

and substitute it to Eq. (B4),

$$\ddot{f} + \left\{ \left(\frac{m\pi}{2K}\right)^2 + \left(c_1 + \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \cos\frac{m\pi}{K} t\right) \epsilon \right\} f = 0.$$
(B10)

Now we introduce a slow variable $\tau = \epsilon t$ and assume that *f* is a function of *t* and τ . This is the main idea of multiple-scale analysis. We expand *f* as

$$f = f_0(t,\tau) + \epsilon f_1(t,\tau) + \cdots .$$
 (B11)

In contrast to the naive perturbation theory, the leading-order solution has τ dependence. $f_0 = A(\tau) \exp(im\pi t/2K) + \text{c.c.}$ The equation of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ is also modified as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial t^2} + \left(\frac{m\pi}{2K}\right)^2 f_1 = -\left(c_1 A + \frac{b_m}{2k^2} A^* + i\frac{m\pi}{K}\frac{dA}{d\tau}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(i\frac{m\pi}{2K}t\right)$$

$$-\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{b_n}{2k^2} A^* \exp\left(i\frac{(n-m/2)\pi}{K}t\right)$$

$$-\sum_n \frac{b_n}{2k^2} A \exp\left(i\frac{(n+m/2)\pi}{K}t\right) + c.c.$$
(B12)

There is secular divergence due to the first term of the righthand side of Eq (B12). Thanks to the slow variable dependence of $A(\tau)$, we find the "renormalization equation" so as to remove the secular divergence, namely, $A(\tau)$ must satisfy the following relation:

$$c_1 A + \frac{b_m}{2k^2} A^* + i \frac{m\pi}{K} \frac{dA}{d\tau} = 0.$$
 (B13)

We can solve this equation by putting A = B + iC, then we get

$$B(\tau) = \text{const} \times \exp\left(\pm \frac{K}{m\pi} \sqrt{\frac{b_m^2}{4k^4} - c_1^2}\right) \tau. \quad (B14)$$

Thus, we find that if $|c_1| < b_m/2k^2$, the solution is unstable. This gives the instability boundaries in the accuracy of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$,

$$c = \left(\frac{m\pi}{2K}\right)^2 \pm \frac{\pi^2 m}{2k^2 K^2 \sinh m\pi K'/K} \epsilon + \cdots .$$
 (B15)

Now it is clear that the instability boundaries are calculable up to arbitrary order of ϵ in a systematic way. Here we note the explicit form of instability boundaries up to the order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ for the sake of completeness: PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 076003 (2015)

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \left(\frac{m\pi}{2K}\right)^2 \tag{B16}$$
$$+ \left[\frac{1}{k^2} - 1 - \frac{E}{k^2K} \pm \frac{\pi^2}{2k^2K^2} \frac{m}{\sinh m\pi K'/K}\right]\epsilon$$
$$+ \frac{\pi^2 B_{\pm}(m)}{2k^2K^2} + \mathcal{O}(3)$$

$$+\frac{1}{4k^{4}K^{2}}\epsilon^{2} + O(\epsilon^{3}),$$

$$B_{\mp}(m) = \sum_{n=1,(n\neq m)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sinh n\pi K'/K}$$

$$\times \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(n-m)\pi K'/K} \mp \frac{n}{n-m} \frac{1}{\sinh n\pi K'/K}\right].$$
(B17)

For the case $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and m = 1, we get

$$\lambda_{+} \simeq 0.72 - 0.21\epsilon, \tag{B18}$$

$$\lambda_{-} \simeq 0.72 - 0.71\epsilon. \tag{B19}$$

For the case m = 2,

$$\lambda_+ \simeq 2.87 - 0.44\epsilon, \tag{B20}$$

$$\lambda_{-} \simeq 2.87 - 0.48\epsilon - 0.04\epsilon^2.$$
 (B21)

2. Accuracy of multiple-scale analysis

In this subsection, we check the accuracy of the multiplescale analysis for Lamé's equation for $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Figure 6



FIG. 6 (color online). (In)stability boundaries of Lamé's equation. The modulus is $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Solutions are unstable if $|\text{tr}M| \ge 2$. The red dotted lines are determined instability boundaries in a basis of Floquet theory which are characterized by |trM| = 2. The gray solid lines are given by perturbative calculation (multiple-scale analysis) which is valid when $0 \le \epsilon < 1$.

shows the contour map of |trM| as a function of ϵ , λ where M is a monodromy matrix of Lamé's equation. |trM| > 2 means unstable region. |trM| is calculated based on Floquet analysis. Red dotted lines are contour lines characterized by |trM| = 2 and give *true* instability boundaries. The boundary lines given by the multiple-scale analysis are plotted with gray solid lines.

The results of the multiple-scale analysis are in good agreement with the numerically determined boundaries when ϵ is small enough. Let us concentrate on the

- [1] U. W. Heinz, AIP Conf. Proc. 739, 163 (2005).
- [2] D. A. Teaney, arXiv:0905.2433.
- [3] T. Hirano, P. Huovinen, K. Murase, and Y. Nara, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 70, 108 (2013).
- [4] R. Baier, A. H. Mueller, D. Schiff, and D. Son, Phys. Lett. B 502, 51 (2001).
- [5] R. Baier, A. H. Mueller, D. Schiff, and D. Son, Phys. Lett. B 539, 46 (2002).
- [6] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, and R. Venugopalan, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60, 463 (2010).
- [7] F. Gelis, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1330001 (2013).
- [8] T. Lappi and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A772, 200 (2006).
 [9] P. B. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, G. D. Moore, and L. G. Yaffe,
- Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 072302 (2005).
 [10] P. Romatschke and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 062302 (2006).
- [11] P. Romatschke and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 74, 045011 (2006).
- [12] J. Berges, S. Scheffler, and D. Sexty, Phys. Rev. D 77, 034504 (2008).
- [13] J. Berges, S. Scheffler, and D. Sexty, Phys. Lett. B 681, 362 (2009).
- [14] T. Kunihiro, B. Muller, A. Ohnishi, and A. Schafer, Prog. Theor. Phys. 121, 555 (2009).
- [15] T. Kunihiro, B. Muller, A. Ohnishi, A. Schafer, T. T. Takahashi, and A. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D 82, 114015 (2010).
- [16] H. Iida, T. Kunishiro, B. Muller, A. Ohnishi, A. Schafer, and T. T. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. D 88, 094006 (2013).
- [17] T. Epelbaum and F. Gelis, Nucl. Phys. A926, 122 (2014).
- [18] E. S. Weibel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 83 (1959).

 $\epsilon = \pm 1, 3$ cases, which are related to Yang-Mills dynamics. For $\epsilon = 1$, the multiple-scale analysis well reproduces the true boundaries. For $\epsilon = 3$, the perturbative approach begins to break down. According to Fig. 1, Lamé's equation with $\epsilon = 3$, Eq. (24), has only one continuous unstable band while the multiple-scale analysis indicates that there is an unstable band around $\lambda \sim 0$. For $\epsilon = -1$, the extrapolated boundaries seem to have good accuracy for the subdominant band (0.91 $\leq \lambda \leq 1.42$) although the $\epsilon < 0$ region is out of the validity range of the multiple-scale analysis.

- [19] S. Mrowczynski, Phys. Lett. B 214, 587 (1988).
- [20] P. B. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, and G. D. Moore, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2003) 002.
- [21] N. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. B144, 376 (1978).
- [22] H. Fujii and K. Itakura, Nucl. Phys. A809, 88 (2008).
- [23] H. Fujii, K. Itakura, and A. Iwazaki, Nucl. Phys. A828, 178 (2009).
- [24] A. Iwazaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 121, 809 (2009).
- [25] J. Berges, S. Scheffler, S. Schlichting, and D. Sexty, Phys. Rev. D 85, 034507 (2012).
- [26] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3258 (1997).
- [27] D. I. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. D 57, 702 (1998).
- [28] P.B. Greene, L. Kofman, A.D. Linde, and A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 56, 6175 (1997).
- [29] F. Finkel, A. Gonzalez-Lopez, A. L. Maroto, and M. A. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. D 62, 103515 (2000).
- [30] J. Berges and J. Serreau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 111601 (2003).
- [31] J. Berges, AIP Conf. Proc. 739, 3 (2005).
- [32] J. Berges, K. Boguslavski, and S. Schlichting, Phys. Rev. D 85, 076005 (2012).
- [33] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1927).
- [34] W. Magnus and S. Winkler, *Hill's Equation* (Dover, New York, 2004).
- [35] C. M. Bender and S. A. Orszag, Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978).
- [36] K. Dusling, T. Epelbaum, F. Gelis, and R. Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A850, 69 (2011).