# Distorted stationary rotating black holes

Andrey A. Shoom<sup>\*</sup>

Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2E1 (Received 26 January 2015; published 11 March 2015)

<span id="page-0-1"></span>We study the interior of distorted stationary rotating black holes on the example of a Kerr black hole distorted by external static and axisymmetric mass distribution. We show that there is a duality transformation between the outer and inner horizons of the black hole, which is different from that of an electrically charged static distorted black hole. The duality transformation is directly related to the discrete symmetry of the space-time. The black hole horizon areas, surface gravity, and angular momentum satisfy the Smarr formula constructed for both the horizons. We formulate the zeroth, the first, and the second laws of black hole thermodynamics for both the horizons of the black hole and show the correspondence between the local and the global forms of the first law. The Smarr formula and the laws of thermodynamics formulated for both the horizons are related by the duality transformation. The distortion is illustrated on the example of a quadrupole and octupole fields. The distortion fields noticeably affect the proper time of a free fall from the outer to the inner horizon of the black hole along the symmetry semiaxes. There is some minimal nonzero value of the quadrupole and octupole moments when the time becomes minimal. The minimal proper time indicates the closest approach of the horizons due to the distortion.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.91.064030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.064030) PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.70.-s, 04.70.Bw

### I. INTRODUCTION

Electrically neutral astrophysical black holes, stellar or supermassive, located in the centers of most, if not all, galaxies, can (to some extent) be represented by the Kerr black hole solution. However, realistic black holes strongly interact with surrounding matter and fields. Thus, the Kerr black hole solution is highly idealized. However, to consider the complex dynamical processes around a realistic black hole is a formidable problem, which requires advanced numerical computations. In this paper, following the previous works [\[1,2\]](#page-14-0), we consider the static and axisymmetric type of distortion due to matter located outside a stationary rotating (Kerr) black hole. This type of distortion was considered by Tomimatsu [\[3\],](#page-14-1) who constructed an implicit form of the exact solution representing a stationary rotating black hole distorted by external static and axisymmetric mass distribution. An explicit form of the solution was found later by Breton, Denisova, Manko, and Garcia [\[4,5\]](#page-14-2). The distortion matter is located at the asymptotic infinity and not included into the solution. As a result, the solution is not asymptotically flat and represents a space-time region in the vicinity of such a black hole. Following Geroch and Hartle, who studied static distorted vacuum black holes [\[6\],](#page-14-3) we call such a solution a local black hole.

One of the intriguing issues related to the interior of a stationary rotating black hole is the stability of its Cauchy horizon. A Cauchy horizon is a null hypersurface which represents a boundary of the region beyond which predictability based on the initial data defined on a spacelike

hypersurface outside the black hole breaks down. An analytic continuation of a Kerr black hole beyond its horizons results in an infinite set of other "universes" located behind its Cauchy horizon. Whether these new worlds are accessible to an adventurer who dares to sink behind the black hole horizon depends on the stability of the Cauchy horizon. Such an observer traveling along a timelike world line receives an infinitely blueshifted radiation at the instant of crossing the Cauchy horizon. Penrose argued that this may imply an infinite growth of small perturbations at the Cauchy horizon [\[7\],](#page-14-4) which was confirmed in the following works [8–[10\].](#page-14-5) There, results are not so trivial, however. For example, neglecting radiation backscattered from the Cauchy horizon results in a socalled whimper singularity, which is weak in the sense that the Kretschmann scalar (the trace of the square of the Riemann tensor) is finite. This singularity implies that, despite detecting an infinite energy density, a freely falling observer will experience finite tidal forces while crossing the Cauchy horizon [\[11,12\]](#page-14-6). However, the backscattered radiation is present. To analyze its effect, Israel and Poisson [\[13\]](#page-14-7) considered a model of two noninteracting ingoing and outgoing (backscattered) null beams and showed that the presence of the outgoing beam produces a separation between the Cauchy and the inner apparent horizons which results in an infinite growth of the black hole internal mass parameter, the phenomenon which they called mass infla*tion*, and divergency of the Weyl scalar  $\Psi_2$  which represents the "Coulomb" component of the local curvature. The mass inflation was later analyzed on the example of an exact and simplified solution by Ori [\[14\]](#page-14-8), who showed that, despite the tidal forces calculated in the frame of a freely falling observer are diverging at the Cauchy horizon, their integral [\\*](#page-0-1)

<span id="page-0-0"></span>ashoom@ualberta.ca

along the observer's world line remains finite. This implies that the mass inflation singularity is not strong enough and it might be possible to cross the Cauchy horizon (for details, see [15–[19\]\)](#page-14-9). The following numerical analysis showed instability of the Cauchy horizon [\[20\].](#page-14-10) However, the subsequent analytical [\[21,22\]](#page-14-11) and numerical results [\[23\]](#page-14-12) did not confirm that. In the later work [\[24\],](#page-14-13) Marolf and Ori found that, in the late-time limit after the perturbation, the inner (Cauchy) horizon ingoing section is replaced by a null, weak curvature singularity (the mass inflation singularity) and the outgoing section of the inner horizon is replaced by a more violent, outgoing shockwave singularity. The space-time metric is continuous, but not differentiable, across the weak curvature singularity. In the case of the outgoing shockwave singularity, late-infall-time observers experience a metric discontinuity across the shock, which is at least of the order of unity.

The purpose of this paper is to consider the interior of a distorted, stationary rotating, and axisymmetric black hole. The distortion is due to external static and axisymmetric distribution of matter. However idealized is the situation when compared to real involved dynamical processes around realistic astrophysical black holes, it still gives important information about the black hole interior and, in particular, about the effect of distortion on its Cauchy horizon. This is because the external matter distribution notably affects the black hole interior. As was found in the previous work [\[2\]](#page-14-14), the Cauchy horizon of an electrically charged distorted black hole remains regular for such a distribution of matter. This is due to the special duality relations between the metrics on the outer and inner horizons of the black hole. As we shall see, in the case of a distorted stationary rotating black hole, there is different duality relation between the black hole horizons. Beside the effect of the distortion on the Cauchy horizon, we shall be interested in thermodynamics of the horizons and study how the distortion affects the black hole interior, in particular, the region between its outer and inner horizons.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we present the metric of a distorted stationary rotating black hole. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0), we calculate the area, angular velocity, surface gravity, local mass, and angular momentum and construct Smarr's formula for both the black hole horizons. From the metric on the black hole horizons, we derive a duality transformation between them. In Sec. [IV,](#page-5-0) we formulate the zeroth, the first, and the second laws of black hole thermodynamics for both the black hole horizons and find the correspondence between the local and the global forms of the first law. Using the laws of thermodynamics, we formulate our morel representing a stationary rotating black hole which is adiabatically distorted by external static and axisymmetric distribution of masses generating a gravitational field. In Sec. [V,](#page-7-0) we study the geometry of the distorted horizons. We calculate the Gaussian curvature of

the horizon two-dimensional surfaces and construct their isometric embedding into a flat three-dimensional space. In Sec. [VI,](#page-9-0) we study how the distortion fields affect the proper time of a free fall from the outer to the inner horizon of the black hole along the symmetry semiaxes. In Sec. [VII,](#page-11-0) we construct the space-time discrete symmetry from which the duality transformation follows and find a relation between the space-time curvature invariants calculated on the black hole horizons. In addition, we analyze the space-time curvature singularities. We summarize our conclusions in Sec. [VIII.](#page-13-0)

In this paper, we use the following convention of units:  $G = c = \hbar = k_B = 1$ , and the sign conventions adopted in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-15-0).

# II. METRIC OF A DISTORTED KERR BLACK HOLE

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Let us begin with the metric representing a *local* stationary rotating distorted black hole. An implicit form of such a metric describing a stationary rotating and axisymmetric black hole distorted by external static matter was first presented in Ref. [\[3\],](#page-14-1) where the inverse scattering problem technique was applied to derive a stationary axisymmetric solution representing a Kerr black hole in the Weyl background gravitational field of the distorting matter. The solution was given in terms of the Legendre polynomials and differential equations for the corresponding metric functions. An explicit exact solution of the Einstein equations for the metric functions was derived in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-14-2) by using a representation of the external distorting field in a different form. These results were generalized to the case of distorted Kerr-Newman black hole [\[5\]](#page-14-15). Here we present the metric in a slightly different form (with different signs of  $\alpha$  and  $g_{td}$ ) than that given in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-14-2):

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = -\frac{A}{B}e^{2U}dt^{2} + \frac{2}{B}(c_{2}Ae^{2U} - 2mC)dtd\phi
$$

$$
+ m^{2}c_{1}Be^{2(V-U)}\left(\frac{dx^{2}}{x^{2} - 1} + \frac{dy^{2}}{1 - y^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{e^{-2U}}{AB}(m^{2}B^{2}[x^{2} - 1][1 - y^{2}]
$$

$$
- [c_{2}Ae^{2U} - 2mC]^{2})d\phi^{2}, \qquad (1)
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>where t is the time coordinate,  $(x, y)$  are the prolate spheroidal coordinates, and  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angular coordinate. The metric functions read

$$
A = (x2 - 1)(1 + fh)2 - (1 - y2)(f - h)2,
$$
 (2)

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
B = (1 + x + fh[x - 1])^{2} + ([1 + y]f + [1 - y]h)^{2},
$$
 (3)

$$
C = (x2 - 1)(1 + fh)(f - h + y[f + h])
$$
  
+ (1 - y<sup>2</sup>)(f - h)(1 + fh + x[1 - fh]), (4)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>and

$$
f = \alpha \exp\left(2\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n(x-y)\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} R^l P_l\right),\tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
h = -\alpha \exp\left(2\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n(x+y)\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-l} R^l P_l\right), \quad (6)
$$

where

$$
U = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n R^n P_n,\tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
V = \sum_{n,k \ge 1} \frac{nk a_n a_k}{(n+k)} R^{n+k} (P_n P_k - P_{n-1} P_{k-1})
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{n \ge 1} a_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} [(-1)^{n-l+1} (x+y) - x+y] R^l P_l.
$$
 (8)

These functions are given in terms of the Legendre polynomials of the first kind:

$$
P_n = P_n(xy/R), \qquad R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 1},
$$
 (9)

$$
P_n(-x) = (-1)^n P_n(x), \qquad P_n(1) = 1, \qquad (10)
$$

<span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
P_{2k}(0) = (-1)^k \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k)!!}, \qquad P_{2k+1}(0) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...,
$$
  
\n
$$
(2k-1)!! = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot ... \cdot (2k-1), \qquad (-1)!! = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
(2k)!! = 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot ... \cdot (2k), \qquad 0!! = 1.
$$
 (11)

The constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are expressed in terms of the metric parameters  $m$  and  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$
c_1 = \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha^2)^2}, \qquad c_2 = \frac{4m\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2} e^{-2u_0}, \qquad (12)
$$

where

$$
u_0 = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_{2n}.\tag{13}
$$

The multipole moments  $a_n$ 's define the distortion.<sup>1</sup> Such distortions result from approximation of a gravitational

field in the region far away from its sources. According to the terminology used in the Newtonian gravitational theory and electromagnetism, coefficients in the corresponding multipole expansion of the distortion gravitational field are called interior multipole moments. The distortion fields  $U$  and  $V$  defined by the interior multipole moments are regular and smooth at the black hole horizons. $\alpha$ <sup>2</sup> The exterior multipole moments correspond to the sources located inside the black hole, and the corresponding distortion field is given in terms of the Legendre polynomials of the second kind [\[5\]](#page-14-15). According to the Kerr black hole uniqueness theorem (see, e.g., [36–[38\]\)](#page-15-1), the Kerr black hole is the only stationary, asymptotically flat, vacuum black hole with regular horizons. Thus, such sources make the black hole horizons singular [\[5,39\].](#page-14-15)

The dominant term in the expansion of the distortion field is the monopole, and in our case it represents background distortion defined by a monopole moment  $a_0$ . The next term is the dipole defined by a dipole moment  $a_1$ , which according to the black hole equilibrium condition [see Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0) below], is related to the higher-order multipole moments. The next term is the quadrupole, which is defined by a quadrupole moment  $a_2$  and which is followed by the octupole defined by an octupole moment  $a_3$ . Here we shall consider only these subleading terms in the multipole expansion of the distortion field.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>To have the horizons free of conical singularities on the symmetry axis  $y = \pm 1$ , the multipole moments have to satisfy the following condition:

$$
\sum_{n\geq 0} a_{2n+1} = 0. \tag{14}
$$

This condition is sometimes called the black hole equilibrium condition [\[40\]](#page-15-2).

According to the way the solution is constructed (see [\[3](#page-14-1)–5]), the sources of the distorting field, regardless of the generated solution representing a nonstationary rotating (static) or stationary rotating (stationary) distorted black hole, satisfy the strong energy condition (see, e.g., [\[6\]\)](#page-14-3)

$$
U \le 0,\tag{15}
$$

which implies

$$
u_0 \le 0. \tag{16}
$$

The expressions above define a *local stationary rotating* distorted black hole. The solution represents a distorted nonextremal Kerr black hole with  $|\alpha| \in (0, 1)$ . Without the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These multipole moments sometimes are called the Weyl multipole moments. A relation of the Weyl multipole moments to their relativistic analogues was discussed in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-15-3) for the Schwarzschild black hole distorted by an external field. The general formalism, which includes both the Thorne [\[27\]](#page-15-4) and the Geroch-Hansen [28–[31\]](#page-15-5) relativistic multipole moments, is presented in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-15-6). A relation between the Thorne and the Geroch-Hansen relativistic multipole moments is given in Refs. [\[33,34\].](#page-15-7)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that, despite U satisfying the Laplace equation, it is a relativistic field. In order to construct the corresponding Newtonian analogue of the field, one has to take the nonrelativistic limit  $\lim_{c^2 \to \infty} c^2 U(x, y, c^2)$ , where c is the speed of light (see, e.g., [\[31,35\]](#page-15-8)).

loss of generality, we shall consider non-negative values of  $\alpha$ . The case  $\alpha = 0$  corresponds to a distorted static (Schwarzschild) black hole. We shall study properties of the local distorted stationary rotating black hole in the following sections.

Using the coordinate transformations

$$
x = \frac{r}{m} - \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{1 - \alpha^2}, \qquad y = \cos \theta, \tag{17}
$$

and removing the distortion by making all the multipole moments  $a_n$ 's vanish, we derive the Kerr metric solution given in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (see, e.g., [\[41\]\)](#page-15-9):

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right)dt^{2} - \frac{4Mar\sin^{2}\theta}{\Sigma}dt d\phi
$$

$$
+ \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}dr^{2} + \Sigma d\theta^{2}
$$

$$
+ \left(r^{2} + a^{2} + \frac{2Ma^{2}r\sin^{2}\theta}{\Sigma}\right)\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2},
$$

$$
\Sigma = r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta, \qquad \Delta = r^{2} - 2Mr + a^{2}, \qquad (18)
$$

<span id="page-3-9"></span>where the mass  $M$  and the angular momentum per unit mass,  $a = J/M$ , of an undistorted (Kerr) black hole are related to the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $m$  as follows:

$$
M = m\left(\frac{1+\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2}\right), \qquad a = \frac{2m\alpha}{1-\alpha^2}.
$$
 (19)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>The quantities  $M$  and  $J$  are defined as

$$
M = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{S_{\infty}} d^2 \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\mu} \xi^{\nu}_{(t)},
$$
 (20)

$$
J = \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_{S_{\infty}} d^2 \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\mu} \xi^{\nu}_{(\phi)},
$$
 (21)

<span id="page-3-6"></span><span id="page-3-2"></span>where  $\xi_{(t)}^{\mu} = \delta_t^{\mu}$  is a timelike Killing vector normalized at the asymptotic infinity,  $\xi_{(t)}^2 = -1$ ,  $\xi_{(\phi)}^\mu = \delta_{\phi}^\mu$  is a spacelike rotational Killing vector, and

$$
d^2 \Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2!} \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} dx^{\lambda} \wedge dx^{\sigma}, \qquad \varepsilon_{tr\theta\phi} = +1, \quad (22)
$$

<span id="page-3-8"></span>is the area element of a two-dimensional closed spacelike surface at the spatial infinity  $S_{\infty}$ . The mass [\(20\)](#page-3-1) and the angular momentum [\(21\)](#page-3-2) can be presented as follows (see, e.g., [\[41,42\]\)](#page-15-9):

$$
M = \int_{\Sigma} (2T^{\mu}_{\nu} - T^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}) \xi^{\nu}_{(t)} d\Sigma_{\mu} + M_{H}, \tag{23}
$$

$$
J = \int_{\Sigma} T^{\mu}_{\nu} \xi^{\nu}_{(\phi)} d\Sigma_{\mu} + J_{H}, \qquad (24)
$$

where  $T^{\mu}_{\nu}$  is the energy-momentum tensor which defines matter and fields outside the black hole,  $M_H$  and  $J_H$  are the local mass and angular momentum, respectively, of the black hole alone, which are defined by the integrals [\(20\)](#page-3-1) and [\(21\)](#page-3-2) over the two-dimensional horizon surface  $S_H$ . In the absence of the external matter and fields, i.e., in the case of the Kerr black hole, we have

$$
M = M_H, \qquad J = J_H. \tag{25}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>In what follows, we shall drop the subscript  $H$ .

# III. HORIZONS, SMARR'S FORMULA, AND THE DUALITY TRANSFORMATION

In this section, we define the outer and inner horizons of a distorted stationary rotating black hole, calculate the area of the horizon two-dimensional spacelike surface, angular velocity, surface gravity, local mass, and angular momentum for each of the horizons, which allow one to construct Smarr's formula for both the horizons. We construct metrics on the horizons and find a duality transformation connecting these metrics.

### A. Horizons and Smarr's formula

<span id="page-3-3"></span>The black hole horizons, which are Killing horizons of the space-time, are at

$$
x = \pm 1,\tag{26}
$$

where the upper sign stands for the event (outer) horizon and the lower sign stands for the Cauchy (inner) horizon. In what follows (except for Sec. [VI\)](#page-9-0), to indicate that a quantity (…) is calculated at the black hole horizons, we shall use the subscripts  $\pm$  and denote such a quantity as  $(...)_+$ . Accordingly, the upper sign stands for the outer horizon, and the lower one stands for the inner horizon.

<span id="page-3-4"></span>The horizon surface areas can be calculated from the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-1) by taking  $t =$  const and using the property of the Legendre polynomials [\(10\)](#page-2-1). Substituting [\(26\)](#page-3-3) into the metric functions, we derive the following useful relations:

$$
f_{\pm} = \alpha e^{\mp 2u_{\pm}(y)}, \qquad h_{\pm} = -f_{\pm},
$$
 (27)

$$
U_{\pm} = u_{\pm}(y) + u_0, \qquad V_{\pm} = \pm 2u_{\pm}(y), \qquad (28)
$$

<span id="page-3-7"></span>where

$$
u_{\pm}(y) = \sum_{n\geq 0} (\pm 1)^n a_n y^n - u_0.
$$
 (29)

<span id="page-3-5"></span>The function  $u_{+}(y)$  has the symmetry properties

$$
u_{\pm}(y; a_{2n+1}) = u_{\pm}(-y; -a_{2n+1}). \tag{30}
$$

Taking  $t =$  const and  $x = \pm 1$  in the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and using the expressions  $(27)$ – $(30)$  for the metric functions on the

black hole horizons, we derive the metrics on the horizon surfaces:

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
d\Sigma_{\pm}^2 = 4m^2 e^{-2u_0} \frac{(1+\alpha^{\pm 2})}{(1-\alpha^{\pm 2})^2} \left[ \frac{dy^2}{F_{\pm}(y)} + F_{\pm}(y) d\phi^2 \right], \quad (31)
$$

$$
F_{\pm}(y) = \frac{(1 + \alpha^{\pm 2})(1 - y^2)}{\alpha^{\pm 2}y^2 + e^{4u_{\pm}(y)}} e^{2u_{\pm}(y)}.
$$
 (32)

<span id="page-4-5"></span>Using these metrics, one can derive the horizon surface areas:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\pm} = 16\pi m^2 e^{-2u_0} \frac{(1+\alpha^{\pm 2})}{(1-\alpha^{\pm 2})^2}.
$$
 (33)

The angular velocity of the black hole horizons is defined as follows:

$$
\Omega_{\pm} = -\frac{g_{t\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}}\bigg|_{x=\pm 1},\tag{34}
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>and we have

$$
\Omega_{\pm} = \frac{\alpha^{\pm 1} (1 - \alpha^2)}{2m(1 + \alpha^2)} e^{2u_0}.
$$
 (35)

<span id="page-4-2"></span>The surface gravity is defined as follows:

$$
\kappa_{\pm}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla^{\alpha} \chi_{\pm}^{\beta}) (\nabla_{\alpha} \chi_{\pm \beta})|_{x=\pm 1}, \tag{36}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>where

$$
\chi_{\pm} = \xi_{(t)} + \Omega_{\pm} \xi_{(\phi)}.
$$
 (37)

<span id="page-4-6"></span>Using the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and the Killing vector [\(37\)](#page-4-0) together with [\(35\)](#page-4-1), one can calculate the covariant derivatives on the black hole horizons appearing in [\(36\)](#page-4-2) and derive the following expression for the surface gravity on the black hole horizons:

$$
\kappa_{\pm} = \frac{(1 - \alpha^{\pm 2})^2}{4m(1 + \alpha^{\pm 2})} e^{2u_0}.
$$
 (38)

<span id="page-4-7"></span>The local values of the mass and angular momentum are calculated from [\(20\)](#page-3-1) and [\(21\),](#page-3-2) respectively, where integration is performed over the horizon surface  $S_H: \{y \in [-1,1], \phi \in [0,2\pi)\}\.$  For the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and the Killing vectors  $\beta^{\mu} = \beta^{\mu} \beta^{\mu} = \beta^{\mu}$  with the use of the Killing vectors  $\xi_{(t)}^{\mu} = \delta_t^{\mu}$ ,  $\xi_{(\phi)}^{\mu} = \delta_{\phi}^{\mu}$  with the use of [\(22\)](#page-3-6) we derive

$$
M_{\pm} = M = m \left( \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{1 - \alpha^2} \right),\tag{39}
$$

<span id="page-4-8"></span>
$$
J_{\pm} = J = 2m^2 e^{-2u_0} \frac{\alpha (1 + \alpha^2)}{(1 - \alpha^2)^2}.
$$
 (40)

Note that the values of the local mass and angular momentum are the same for both the horizons.

<span id="page-4-9"></span>The area, angular velocity, surface gravity, local mass, and angular momentum satisfy Smarr's formula:

$$
M = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi} \kappa_{\pm} \mathcal{A}_{\pm} + 2\Omega_{\pm} J,\tag{41}
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>and the horizon areas satisfy the area relations [\[43](#page-15-10)–45]:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{-} < \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_{-}\mathcal{A}_{+}} < \mathcal{A}_{+}, \qquad \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_{-}\mathcal{A}_{+}} = 8\pi J. \tag{42}
$$

#### B. Duality transformation

In the previous works  $[1,2]$ , a duality transformation between the horizon and the stretched singularity of a Schwarzschild distorted black hole and between the outer and inner horizons of a distorted electrically charged (Reissner-Nordström) black hole was established. An analogous duality transformation exists for five-dimensional generalizations of these distorted black holes [\[46](#page-15-11)–48]. Here we shall establish a duality transformation between the outer and inner horizons of a distorted stationary rotating black hole. As we shall see, the duality transformation is different from that of the static distorted black holes.

To establish a duality transformation, we consider the metrics on the horizons of the black hole. Using the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-1), we derive the metrics on the horizons:

$$
d\sigma_{\pm}^{2} = F_{\pm}(y) \left( \frac{\alpha^{\pm 2} e^{2u_{0}}}{1 + \alpha^{\pm 2}} dt^{2} \mp \frac{4m\alpha^{\pm 1}}{1 - \alpha^{\pm 2}} dt d\phi \right) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\pm}}{4\pi} \left( \frac{dy^{2}}{F_{\pm}(y)} + F_{\pm}(y) d\phi^{2} \right),
$$
(43)

where  $F_{+}(y)$  is given by [\(32\).](#page-4-3) Using these expressions we can construct the duality transformation which relates the metrics on the horizons:

$$
u_{\pm} \to u_{\mp}, \qquad \alpha \to \alpha^{-1}.
$$
 (44)

<span id="page-4-10"></span>This transformation corresponds to a "switch" between the outer and the inner horizon of a distorted stationary rotating black hole. Using the expression [\(29\)](#page-3-7), we can present the duality transformation in terms of the Weyl multipole moments:

$$
a_{2n} \to a_{2n}
$$
,  $a_{2n+1} \to -a_{2n+1}$ ,  $\alpha \to \alpha^{-1}$ . (45)

<span id="page-4-11"></span>Alternatively, one can present this transformation as an exchange between the symmetry semiaxes  $y = -1$ ,  $y = 1$ , while keeping the values of the multipole moments unchanged:

$$
y \to -y, \qquad \alpha \to \alpha^{-1}.
$$
 (46)

Note that this duality transformation is different from the duality transformation between the outer and the inner horizon of an electrically charged distorted black hole [\[2\]](#page-14-14) or the duality transformation between the horizon and the stretched singularity of a distorted Schwarzschild black hole [\[1\],](#page-14-0) where it corresponds to the reversal of signs of even multipole moments. Let us note as well that an explicit relation for the metric on the inner horizon in terms of that on the outer horizon was derived for the Ernst potential in the works by Ansorg and Hennig [\[43](#page-15-10)–45], without having an explicit solution. It allowed the authors to construct the area relations [\(42\)](#page-4-4) for axisymmetric and stationary distorted black holes.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>The expressions for the horizon surface areas [\(33\)](#page-4-5), surface gravity [\(38\),](#page-4-6) angular velocity [\(35\),](#page-4-1) local mass [\(39\)](#page-4-7), and angular momentum [\(40\)](#page-4-8) are related through the duality transformation as follows:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\pm} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mp}, \qquad \kappa_{\pm} \to \kappa_{\mp}, \qquad \Omega_{\pm} \to -\Omega_{\mp}, \nM \to -M, \qquad J \to J.
$$
\n(47)

These relations transform Smarr's formula [\(41\)](#page-4-9) for the outer and inner horizons into each other.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>IV. THERMODYNAMICS OF THE DISTORTED BLACK HOLE

In this section, we present the laws of thermodynamics for a distorted stationary rotating black hole. The laws of thermodynamics for a static distorted black hole, Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström ones, were presented by Geroch and Hartle [\[6\]](#page-14-3) and by Fairhurst and Krishnan [\[49\],](#page-15-12) respectively. Black hole thermodynamic variables, such as energy, entropy, temperature, etc., are related to the black hole "mechanical" variables (see [\[42\]](#page-15-13)), such as mass, horizon surface area, surface gravity, etc., respectively. Such a relation was originally conjectured by Bekenstein [\[50\]](#page-15-14) and established by Hawking [\[51\]](#page-15-15).

#### A. The zeroth law

According to the zeroth law of black hole thermodynamics, the black hole temperature is constant over the black hole horizon. The temperature is defined in terms of surface gravity as

$$
T_{\pm} = \frac{\kappa_{\pm}}{2\pi}.\tag{48}
$$

The surface gravity is defined up to an arbitrary constant which depends on the normalization of the timelike Killing vector  $\xi(t)$ . This definition requires a proper normalization of the Killing vector at the spatial infinity. As far as only a normalization constant is involved, the normalization does not affect the zeroth law.

One can see from the expression [\(38\)](#page-4-6) that the zeroth law holds for both the horizons of the distorted stationary rotating black hole. The temperature  $T_{+}$  is associated with the black hole outer horizon, while the temperature  $T_$  is associated with the black hole inner (Cauchy) horizon. One may think that  $T_$  is rather a dubious thermodynamic variable. However, according to the description of black holes within the string theory [\[52\],](#page-15-16) the outer horizon thermodynamics is considered as the sum of the thermodynamics corresponding to the left- and right-moving string excitations. According to such a duplicate nature of the horizon thermodynamics, one can view the inner horizon thermodynamics as the difference of the thermodynamics corresponding to the right- and left-moving excitations of the string [53–[55\].](#page-15-17) In this picture, the thermodynamic variables corresponding to the outer horizon are mapped to the thermodynamic variables corresponding to the inner horizon, and vice versa. In our case, such a map is represented by the duality transformation, Eqs. [\(45\)](#page-4-10)–[\(47\).](#page-5-1) In what follows, we shall adopt this picture of thermodynamics of the distorted black hole and consider the thermodynamics of both horizons.

# B. The first law

The first law of black hole thermodynamics defines a relation between two nearby equilibrium states of a thermodynamic system which includes a black hole. These states are related by a change in the system's energy, entropy, and other parameters, e.g., angular momentum. There are different forms of the first law, which are defined according to the system in question. Here we shall consider global and local forms of the first law.

# $1.6 \times 2.4 \times 1.1 \times 1.1$

The global form of the first law takes into account the effects of the external matter on the black hole. The solution [\(1\)](#page-1-1) represents a local black hole, for it does not include the external matter, which distorts it. Assuming that the solution can be analytically extended to achieve asymptotic flatness, one can include sources of the external matter into the solution. An analytic extension is achieved by requiring that the distortion fields  $U$  and  $V$  vanish at the asymptotic infinity and by extending the space-time manifold [\[6\]](#page-14-3). Because of the energy-momentum tensor of the sources, the extended solution will not satisfy the vacuum Einstein equations in the region where the external matter is located. As a result of the extension, we have a vacuum region containing the local black hole, the external to it region containing the sources, and the asymptotically flat region outside the sources. The extension allows one to normalize the timelike Killing vector  $\xi$ <sub>(t)</sub> at the spatial infinity as  $\xi_{(t)}^2 = -1$ . Then, the Komar mass M of the distorted<br>stationary ratation block hole (without the autumnal matter) stationary rotating black hole (without the external matter) [\(20\)](#page-3-1) equals its local mass [cf. Eq. [\(23\)](#page-3-8)].

# DISTORTED STATIONARY ROTATING BLACK HOLES PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 064030 (2015)

To derive the global form of the first law, one can use the expression for the black hole horizon area [\(33\)](#page-4-5) and the definition of the black hole entropy:

$$
S_{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\pm}}{4}.
$$
\n(49)

Taking the differential of [\(33\)](#page-4-5) and using the expressions for the surface gravity [\(38\),](#page-4-6) angular velocity [\(35\),](#page-4-1) local mass [\(39\)](#page-4-7), and angular momentum [\(40\)](#page-4-8), we derive the global form of the first law:

$$
\delta M = \pm T_{\pm} \delta S_{\pm} + \Omega_{\pm} \delta J + M \delta u_0. \tag{50}
$$

The term  $M\delta u_0$  represents the work done on the black hole by the variation of the external potential  $u_0$  due to the distorting matter. If the distortion field changes adiabatically, i.e., if  $\delta S_+ = 0$ , such that neither matter nor gravitational waves cross the black hole outer horizon [\[6\],](#page-14-3) and, in addition, the black hole angular momentum  $J$  does not change, which implies that  $\delta \alpha = 0$ , so that  $\delta S_ = 0$ , then the work  $M\delta u_0$  results in the change of the black hole mass  $\delta M$ , i.e.,

$$
\delta M = M \delta u_0. \tag{51}
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Integrating this relation we derive

$$
M = M_0 e^{u_0},\tag{52}
$$

where  $M_0$  is the local mass in the absence of the distortion. The factor  $e^{u_0}$  can be considered as a redshift factor due to the distortion.

# 2. The local form of the first law

The local form of the first law does not take into account the effects of the external matter on the black hole. It can be defined by observers who live near the black hole and attribute the local gravitational field to the black hole alone. These observers consider the black hole as an isolated, undistorted object. They assume that there is no other matter present and the space-time is asymptotically flat. Such observers define the outer horizon area  $A_{+}$ , the angular velocity  $\Omega_{+}$ , the surface gravity  $\tilde{\kappa}_{+}$ , the black hole local mass  $\tilde{M}$ , and the angular momentum  $\tilde{J}$  such that they satisfy Smarr's formula for the Kerr black hole:

$$
\tilde{M} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\tilde{\kappa}_{+}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{+} + 2\tilde{\Omega}_{+}\tilde{J}.
$$
\n(53)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>We shall use the sign  $\tilde{ }$  for the quantities defined by the local observers. According to the observer's measurement of the local quantities, one has

$$
\mathcal{A}_{+} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{+}, \qquad J = \tilde{J}.
$$
 (54)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>These relations together with [\(33\)](#page-4-5) and [\(40\)](#page-4-8) give

$$
\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}, \qquad m = \tilde{m}e^{u_0}.
$$
 (55)

Smarr's formula for the black hole inner horizon reads  $[cf. Eq. (41)]$  $[cf. Eq. (41)]$ 

$$
\tilde{M} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\tilde{\kappa}_{-}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-} + 2\tilde{\Omega}_{-}\tilde{J}.
$$
 (56)

<span id="page-6-2"></span>Then, the expressions [\(33\),](#page-4-5) [\(38\),](#page-4-6) [\(35\)](#page-4-1), [\(39\)](#page-4-7), and [\(40\)](#page-4-8) give

$$
\mathcal{A}_{-} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}, \qquad \kappa_{\pm} = \tilde{\kappa}_{\pm} e^{u_0}, \qquad \Omega_{\pm} = \tilde{\Omega}_{\pm} e^{u_0}, \nM = \tilde{M} e^{u_0}, \qquad J = \tilde{J}.
$$
\n(57)

Accordingly, the local form of the first law of black hole thermodynamics reads

$$
\delta \tilde{M} = \pm \tilde{T}_{\pm} \delta \tilde{S}_{\pm} + \tilde{\Omega}_{\pm} \delta \tilde{J}.
$$
 (58)

The relations [\(54\)](#page-6-0), [\(55\)](#page-6-1), and [\(57\)](#page-6-2) establish the correspondence between the local and the global forms of the first law. A comparison of the relation [\(52\)](#page-6-3) and the relation between M and  $\tilde{M}$  given in the expression [\(57\)](#page-6-2) justifies that the local mass, as it is measured by the observers, corresponds to an undistorted stationary rotating black hole.

#### C. The second law and the model

The second law of black hole thermodynamics implies that if a thermodynamic system consists of a black hole alone, its entropy, and thus the outer (event) horizon area, classically never decreases:

$$
\delta S_+ \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow \delta \mathcal{A}_+ \ge 0. \tag{59}
$$

Let us discuss a model of a stationary rotating black hole distorted by external, static, and axisymmetric distribution of masses which generate a gravitational field. This distribution is defined by the Weyl multipole moments  $a_n$ 's. A change in the matter distribution causes a change in their values. For example, we can bring the masses closer to the black hole or move them further from it. Let us now consider an idealistic situation, such that the change is infinitesimally slow, so that no gravitational waves, which may enter the black hole, are generated (see [\[6\]](#page-14-3)). In this case, the distortion is adiabatic, and, as a result, the black hole outer horizon area, which is a measure of its entropy, remains constant. Assume now that at the beginning there is no distortion—i.e., all  $a_n$ 's vanish—and let the correspond-ing parameters of the solution [\(1\)](#page-1-1) be  $\alpha'$  and  $m'$ . Then, the local quantities such as  $\mathcal{A}'_+$  and J' can be expressed in terms<br>of  $\alpha'$  and  $m'$  as follows [cf. (33) and (40)]. of  $\alpha'$  and  $m'$  as follows [cf. [\(33\)](#page-4-5) and [\(40\)\]](#page-4-8):

$$
\mathcal{A}'_{+} = 16\pi m'^{2} \frac{(1+\alpha'^{2})}{(1-\alpha'^{2})^{2}}, \qquad J' = 2m'^{2} \frac{\alpha'(1+\alpha'^{2})}{(1-\alpha'^{2})}.
$$
\n(60)

An adiabatic change (which preserves the axial symmetry) in the values of  $a_n$ 's does not change  $A_+$  and J [cf. [\(54\)](#page-6-0)]. During the adiabatic change of the distortion field, they remain equal to those corresponding to an undistorted stationary rotating black hole:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{+} = \mathcal{A}'_{+}, \qquad J = J'. \tag{61}
$$

<span id="page-7-4"></span>These equalities imply the following relation between the parameters:

$$
\alpha = \alpha', \qquad m = m'e^{u_0}.
$$
 (62)

This relation is identical to the relation [\(55\)](#page-6-1), which implies that in the case of adiabatic distortion the local observers discussed in the previous subsection detect the actual values of the black hole area and angular momentum, regardless of the fact that they completely ignore the distortion effects. In what follows, to study stationary rotating distorted black holes we shall consider this model and use these relations.

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>V. GEOMETRY OF DISTORTED HORIZONS

In this section, we discuss the geometry of the distorted horizon two-dimensional surfaces. We calculate the Gaussian curvature of the horizon surfaces and present their isometric embeddings into a flat three-dimensional space.

#### A. Gaussian curvature

<span id="page-7-1"></span>The two-dimensional horizon surfaces are defined by  $t =$ const and  $x = \pm 1$ . The metrics on the horizon surfaces are the following:

$$
d\Sigma_{\pm}^2 = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\pm}}{4\pi} \left( \frac{dy^2}{F_{\pm}(y)} + F_{\pm}(y) d\phi^2 \right),\tag{63}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span>where  $F_{\pm}(y)$  is given by [\(32\).](#page-4-3) Gaussian curvature  $K_{\pm}$  is a natural measure of intrinsic curvature of a two-dimensional surface. The Gaussian curvature for the metrics [\(63\)](#page-7-1) reads

$$
K_{\pm} = -\frac{4\pi}{\mathcal{A}_{\pm}} F_{\pm, \text{yy}}.\tag{64}
$$

<span id="page-7-3"></span>Here and in what follows,  $(...)_{y} = \partial(...)/\partial y$ . For an undistorted (Kerr) black hole, the Gaussian curvature of the horizon surfaces is $3$ 

ANDREY A. SHOOM **PHYSICAL REVIEW D** 91, 064030 (2015)

$$
K'_{\pm} = \frac{8\pi}{\mathcal{A}'_{\pm}} \frac{(1+\alpha^{\pm 2})^2 (1-3\alpha^{\pm 2}y^2)}{(1+\alpha^{\pm 2}y^2)^3}.
$$
 (65)

It is negative at the poles  $(y = \pm 1)$  of the outer horizon surface for  $\alpha \in (1/\sqrt{3}, 1)$  (see, e.g., [\[56,57\]](#page-15-18)) and of the inner horizon surface and for the whole the rage of inner horizon surface and for the whole the rage of  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Such surfaces cannot be isometrically embedded into a three-dimensional Euclidean space (see, e.g., [\[58\]\)](#page-15-19).

We shall study how the distortion fields change the Gaussian curvature of the horizon surfaces on the example of quadrupole and octupole distortions. The functions  $u_{+}(y)$  corresponding to the quadrupole and octuple distortion fields read [cf. Eq. [\(29\)](#page-3-7)]

$$
u_{\pm}(y) = -a_2(1 - y^2) \tag{66}
$$

and

$$
u_{\pm}(y) = \mp a_3 y (1 - y^2). \tag{67}
$$

Here  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  are the quadrupole and the octupole moments, respectively.

Here and in the following section, to study the horizon surfaces we consider the specific angular momentum parameter  $a_* = a/M$  of an undistorted stationary rotating (Kerr) black hole, which, according to the expressions [\(19\)](#page-3-9), is related to the parameter  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$
\alpha = \frac{a_*}{1 + \sqrt{1 - a_*^2}}.\tag{68}
$$

According to our model discussed in the previous section,  $\alpha$ and so  $a_{\ast}$  do not change when we turn on adiabatically the distortion field. This allows us to observe an effect of the distortion on the geometry of the horizon surfaces. We shall consider the almost extremal value  $a_* = 0.99$ , which is consistent with the measurements based on the astronomical observations giving  $a_* > 0.95$  for some black hole candidates (see [\[59\]](#page-15-20) and references therein), the value corresponding to zero Gaussian curvature at the poles of the embedded surface,  $a_* = \sqrt{3}/2$  (see [\[56\]\)](#page-15-18), and the smaller value  $a_* = 0.3$ value  $a_* = 0.3$ .

To illustrate the effect of the distortion we plot the Gaussian curvature of distorted and undistorted horizon surfaces [\(64\)](#page-7-2) and [\(65\)](#page-7-3) as a function of y for a given value of  $a<sub>*</sub>$  and different values of  $a<sub>2</sub>$  and  $a<sub>3</sub>$  (see Fig. [1](#page-8-0)). Note that, according to the symmetry properties of the function  $u_+(y)$ [see [\(30\)\]](#page-3-5), the lines of  $K_{\pm}$  for  $a_3 = 1/3$  can be obtained from the lines corresponding to  $a_3 = -1/3$  with the aid of the reflection  $y \rightarrow -y$ . One can see from the plots that for a distorted black hole the Gaussian curvature of the outer horizon surface is finite. The Gaussian curvature of the inner horizon surface can be arbitrarily large but finite for <sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note that, according to the expression [\(62\)](#page-7-4), one has  $\alpha' = \alpha$ .

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Gaussian curvature of the horizon surfaces. Dashed line 1 is for undistorted horizons, line 2 is for the quadrupole distortion of  $a_2 = -2/3$ , line 3 is for the quadrupole distortion of  $a_2 = 2/3$ , and line 4 is for the octupole distortion of  $a_3 = -1/3$ . (a) and (b) are for  $a_* = 0.99$  and  $\alpha \approx 0.868$ . (c) and (d) are for  $a_* = \sqrt{3}/2 \approx 0.866$  and  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3} \approx 0.577$ . (e) and (f) are for  $a_* = 0.3$  and  $\alpha \approx 0.154$ . To make the illustration better, we cut out some portions of line 3 in (f) near the maximal value  $K_-\approx 2.02 \times 10^5$  at y = 0 and the minimal ones  $K_-\approx -5.05\times 10^4$  at  $y \approx \pm 0.04$ .

 $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and it diverges for  $\alpha \to 0$ , when the inner horizon shrinks down to a static black hole singularity.

### B. Embedding

<span id="page-9-1"></span>The horizon surface is a two-dimensional axisymmetric surface. It can be visualized by constructing its isometric embedding into a flat three-dimensional space. To construct the embedding, we parametrize the surface as follows:

$$
\rho = \rho(y), \qquad z = z(y). \tag{69}
$$

<span id="page-9-2"></span>Let us consider the metric of a flat three-dimensional space in the cylindrical coordinates  $(z, \rho, \phi)$ :

$$
dl^2 = \epsilon dz^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2.
$$
 (70)

Here  $\epsilon = -1$  corresponds to a pseudo-Euclidean space and  $\epsilon = 1$  corresponds to a Euclidean one. We shall consider an isometric embedding of the surface into a pseudo-Euclidean space, if such a surface cannot be isometrically embedded into a Euclidean one.<sup>4</sup> Using the expressions [\(69\)](#page-9-1) and [\(70\),](#page-9-2) we have the surface metric induced by the embedding geometry

$$
dl^2 = (\epsilon z_{,y}^2 + \rho_{,y}^2) dy^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2.
$$
 (71)

<span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span>Let us consider dimensionless metrics of the horizon surfaces  $d\overline{\Sigma}_{\pm}^2$  defined as follows [cf. Eq. [\(63\)\]](#page-7-1):

$$
d\Sigma_{\pm}^{2} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\pm}}{4\pi} d\bar{\Sigma}_{\pm}^{2}, \qquad d\bar{\Sigma}_{\pm}^{2} = \frac{dy^{2}}{F_{\pm}} + F_{\pm} d\phi^{2}.
$$
 (72)

<span id="page-9-5"></span>Matching the metrics [\(71\)](#page-9-3) and [\(72\)](#page-9-4), we derive the embedding map

$$
\rho_{\pm} = \sqrt{F_{\pm}}, \qquad z_{\pm} = \int_0^y \mathcal{Z}_{\pm} dy, \n\mathcal{Z}_{\pm}^2 = \frac{\epsilon}{4F_{\pm}} (4 - F_{\pm, y}^2).
$$
\n(73)

According to this map, for  $|F_{+,y}| > 2$  an isometric embedding of the horizon surfaces into a three-dimensional Euclidean space  $(\epsilon = 1)$  is not possible, and we have to take  $\epsilon = -1$ .

Because of the space-time axial symmetry, to illustrate the embeddings it is enough to consider a line in the  $(z, \rho)$ plane given by the embedding map [\(73\)](#page-9-5). Then, the embedding is represented by a two-dimensional surface generated by a revolution of the line about the vertical  $\zeta$  axis, which passes through the center (0,0) of each plot. Isometric embeddings of the horizon surfaces are illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-10-0). Note that, according to the symmetry properties of the function  $u_{\pm}(y)$  [see [\(30\)\]](#page-3-5), embeddings for  $a_3 = 1/3$  can be obtained by the reflection of the lines corresponding to  $a_3 =$  $-1/3$  with respect to the horizontal axis  $z = 0$ . One can see that for the undistorted case, for the quadrupole distortion of negative quadrupole moment  $a_2$ , and for the octupole distortion the outer horizon surface has a bigger relative portion which is embeddable into Euclidean space than that of the inner horizon surface. The situation is opposite in the case of the quadrupole distortion of positive  $a_2$ .

# <span id="page-9-0"></span>VI. FREE FALL FROM THE OUTER TO THE INNER HORIZON

Let us now consider how the distortion changes the black hole interior region between its horizons. We shall be interested in whether the horizons can come closer to each other or move away due to the distortion. To do it, we shall calculate the maximal proper time of a test particle dropped from the outer horizon surface and freely falling to the inner horizon along the symmetry semiaxes  $y = \pm 1$ . This maximal proper time corresponds to a timelike geodesics of zero energy and azimuthal angular momentum, which are defined as follows:

$$
E = -u^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta}_{(t)} g_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad L_{\phi} = u^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta}_{(\phi)} g_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (74)
$$

where  $u^{\alpha}$  is the 4-velocity of the particle. This implies that the coordinates  $(t, y, \phi)$  remain constant along the particle's geodesic, and the coordinate x changes from 1 to  $-1$  during the fall. Substituting  $y = \pm 1$  into the metric functions, we derive the following useful relations:

$$
f_{\pm} = \alpha e^{\pm 2u_{\pm}(x)}, \qquad h_{\pm} = -\alpha^2/f_{\pm},
$$
 (75)

$$
U_{\pm} = u_{\pm}(x) + u_0, \qquad V_{\pm} = 0, \tag{76}
$$

where  $u_{\pm}(x)$  is given by [\(29\),](#page-3-7) where y should be replaced with x. The upper sign stands for the upper semiaxis  $y = +1$ , which corresponds to the fall from the black hole's "north pole," and the lower sign stands for the lower semiaxis  $y = -1$ , which corresponds to the fall from the black hole's "south pole." Using the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-1), we derive the expression for the proper time in the units of the outer horizon surface radius  $R_+ = \sqrt{A_+/(4\pi)}$ :

$$
\tau_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2(1+\alpha^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{T_{\pm} dx}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{T}_{\pm} = [(1+x+\alpha^2[1-x])^2 e^{-2u_{\pm}(x)} + 4\alpha^2 e^{2u_{\pm}(x)}]^{1/2}.
$$
 (77)

Let us remind the reader that, according to our model, the distortion field changes adiabatically, so the horizon surface

<sup>4</sup> As was already mentioned in the previous subsection, a two-dimensional axisymmetric surface cannot be isometrically embedded into a three-dimensional Euclidean space if its Gaussian curvature is negative in the vicinity of the symmetry axis (see, e.g., [\[58\]\)](#page-15-19). The reverse is not generally true. A failure to construct such an embedding does not necessarily imply that the Gaussian curvature is negative.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

FIG. 2 (color online). Isometric embedding of the horizon surfaces. EH stands for the outer (event) horizon, and CH stands for the inner (Cauchy) horizon. Line 1 is for undistorted horizons, line 2 is for the quadrupole distortion of  $a_2 = -2/3$ , line 3 is for the quadrupole distortion of  $a_2 = 2/3$ , and line 4 is for the octupole distortion of  $a_3 = -1/3$ . Thick (black) portions of the lines represent isometric embedding into a Euclidean space, and thin ones (red) represent isometric embedding into a pseudo-Euclidean space. (a) and (b) are for  $a_* = 0.99$  and  $\alpha \approx 0.868$ . (c) and (d) are for  $a_* = \sqrt{3}/2 \approx 0.866$  and  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3} \approx 0.577$ . (e) and (f) are for  $a_* = 0.3$  and  $\alpha \approx 0.154$ . The black dots located at  $z = 0$  represent small portions of the h  $\alpha \approx 0.154$ . The black dots located at  $z = 0$  represent small portions of the horizon surfaces embedded into Euclidean space. Because of the limited resolution, the lines there look broken; however, by the construction, all the lines are smooth. (f) has almost merging lines 1 and 4. However, an isometric embedding into a Euclidean space near the equatorial plane  $z = 0$  exists only for the undistorted inner horizon (line 1).

area  $A_+$  and so  $R_+$  remain constant and equal to those of the undistorted (Kerr) black hole. We illustrate in Fig. [3](#page-11-1) how the proper time depends on the distortion field, in particular, on the quadrupole  $a_2$  and the octupole  $a_3$ 

moments, for different values of the specific angular momentum parameter  $a_{\ast}$ . The behavior of the maximal proper time is generic. Namely, for a given  $a_* \in (0, 1)$  there is a characteristic minimum  $\tau_{\pm \text{min}}$  corresponding to  $a_{2 \text{min}}$ 

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

FIG. 3. The maximal proper time of a free fall from the outer to the inner horizon along the symmetry semiaxes. (a) illustrates the maximal proper time for the quadrupole distortion, which is the same for both the semiaxes,  $y = \pm 1$ . (b) illustrates the maximal proper time along the semiaxis  $y = +1$  for the octupole distortion. The maximal proper time along the semiaxis  $y = -1$  for the octupole distortion can be inferred from (b) by the reflection  $a_3 \rightarrow -a_3$ . Line 1 is for  $a_* = 0.99$  and  $\alpha \approx 0.868$ , line 2 is for  $a_* = \sqrt{3}/2 \approx 0.866$ and  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3} \approx 0.577$ , and line 3 is for  $a_* = 0.3$  and  $\alpha \approx 0.154$ .

and  $a_{3\text{min}}$  which indicates the closest approach of the horizons due to the distortion field. One can calculate numerically how the minimal proper time  $\tau_{\text{min}}$  depends on the corresponding "minimal" values of the octupole  $a_{2\text{min}}$ and the quadrupole  $a_{3\text{min}}$  moments and find the corresponding values of the specific angular momentum parameter  $a_{\ast}$ . The results are presented in Fig. [4.](#page-12-0) We see that both  $\tau_{\text{min}}$  and  $a_*$  are monotonically increasing with the increase of  $a_{2\text{min}}$  and  $a_{3\text{min}}$ . As a summary, one can say that the minimal value of the maximal proper time is largely controlled by the specific angular momentum parameter  $a<sub>*</sub>$ , and a distortion field can bring the horizons close to each other but they do not merge. This situation is different for a free fall from the outer horizon to the singularity of a static (Schwarzschild) black hole distorted by a quadrupole field, when  $\tau_{\min}$  can be arbitrarily small for an arbitrary large negative quadrupole moment [\[1\]](#page-14-0). But the situation is qualitatively the same for an octupole distortion of a static black hole where there is a minimal finite value of  $\tau$ .

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>VII. THE SPACE-TIME CURVATURE INVARIANTS AND SINGULARITIES

In this section, we discuss some general properties of the space-time [\(1\)](#page-1-1). We establish a discrete symmetry of the space-time from which the duality transformation between the black hole horizons directly follows. Such a symmetry is an inherent property of the metric and lies in its very construction. This discrete symmetry allows one to relate the space-time curvature invariants calculated on the black hole horizons. We also analyze the space-time curvature singularities and discuss their location.

# A. The space-time discrete symmetry and curvature invariants

<span id="page-11-3"></span>A closer look at the duality transformation between the black hole horizons [\(46\)](#page-4-11) suggests that it may originate from some general property of the space-time. Indeed, the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-1) reveals that it has a discrete symmetry:

$$
t \to -t
$$
,  $x \to -x$ ,  $y \to -y$ ,  $\alpha \to \alpha^{-1}$ . (78)

<span id="page-11-2"></span>On the horizon surfaces, this symmetry reduces to the duality transformation [\(46\).](#page-4-11) The symmetry implies that a space-time curvature invariant  $I(x, y, \alpha, m, a_n)$  has the following symmetry property:

$$
I(x, y, \alpha, m, a_n) = I(-x, -y, \alpha^{-1}, m, a_n).
$$
 (79)

Let us define the values of the invariant on the black hole horizons as follows:

$$
I_{\pm}(y, \alpha, m, a_n) = I(x = \pm 1, y, \alpha, m, a_n).
$$
 (80)

Then, the symmetry property [\(79\)](#page-11-2) implies that on the horizons we have the following duality relation:

$$
I_{\pm}(y, \alpha, m, a_n) = I_{\mp}(-y, \alpha^{-1}, m, a_n).
$$
 (81)

This duality relation implies that if the metric is regular on the outer horizon for all  $y \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\alpha$  replaced with  $\alpha^{-1}$  then it is requier on the inner (Cauchy) horizon, and  $\alpha^{-1}$ , then it is regular on the inner (Cauchy) horizon, and vice versa.

The location of the space-time curvature singularities is defined by  $B = 0$  (see [\[3,4\]\)](#page-14-1). Indeed, the Kretschmann and

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

FIG. 4. The minimal value of the maximal proper time. There are 100 points corresponding to the increment 0.01 of  $a<sub>*</sub>$  generated on each of the plots.

the Chern-Pontryagin invariants, as well as the metric determinant, diverge at  $B = 0$ . According to the expression for the metric function  $B(x, y)$  [see [\(3\)\]](#page-1-2), we have  $B \neq 0$  at the outer horizon for the whole range of  $y \in [-1, 1]$  and vice  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , as well as for  $\alpha$  replaced with  $\alpha^{-1}$ , and vice versa. Thus, the space-time is regular at the distorted black hole horizons.

#### B. Singularities

Let us now find the location of the space-time singularities. To begin with, let us present the metric functions  $f$ and  $h$  [see Eqs. [\(5\)](#page-2-2) and [\(6\)](#page-2-3)] in the following convenient form:

$$
f = \alpha e^p
$$
,  $h = -\alpha e^q$ ,  $fh = -\alpha^2 e^{-2V_1}$ , (82)

where p and q are functions of x and y, and  $V_1$  is the linear in  $a_n$ 's part of V [see Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-4)]. Then, the equation for the <span id="page-12-1"></span>space-time singularities  $B = 0$  [see Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-2)] is equivalent to the following set of equations:

$$
x = -\frac{1 + \alpha^2 e^{-2V_1}}{1 - \alpha^2 e^{-2V_1}}, \qquad y = -\frac{e^p - e^q}{e^p + e^q}.
$$
 (83)

<span id="page-12-2"></span>For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the first equation implies that  $|x| > 1$ , which means that the space-time singularities are located behind the inner horizon and, if any, outside of the outer horizon; i.e., there are no space-time singularities located in between the horizons. The second equation implies that  $0 \le |y| < 1$ , which means that there are no space-time singularities on the symmetry axis. Let us now formulate a sufficient condition that there are no singularities located outside the outer horizon, i.e., in the region  $x > 1$ . Using the positivity of the exponential function and the first equation, we derive the sufficient condition

$$
V_1|_{x>1} \ge 0. \tag{84}
$$

Let us note that the sufficient condition  $a_{2n-1} = 0$ ,  $a_{2n} \le 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , for the absence of the singularities in the region outside the outer horizon proposed in [\[4,5\]](#page-14-2) is not valid. To illustrate this, let us consider a hexadecapole distortion field defined by the multipole moments  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 = -a_1, a_4)$ . For this field the function  $V_1$ takes the form

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
V_1 = \{a_4x(x^2[1-5y^2]-3[1-y^2]) - a_3y[3x^2-1] - 2a_2x\}(1-y^2).
$$
 (85)

Let us now take  $a_3 = 0$  and consider the second equation in the system [\(83\).](#page-12-1) Using the properties of the Legendre polynomials [\(11\)](#page-2-5) and the expressions [\(5\)](#page-2-2) and [\(6\)](#page-2-3), one can see that  $y = 0$  is a solution of the second equation in the system [\(83\).](#page-12-1) Note that  $y = 0$  is a solution for any type of distortion defined by even multipole moments. Substituting this solution into the first equation in the system [\(83\),](#page-12-1) we derive

$$
a_4 = \frac{2a_2}{x^2 - 3} + \frac{1}{2x(x^2 - 3)} \ln\left(\frac{\alpha^2[x - 1]}{[x + 1]}\right).
$$
 (86)

Taking, for example,  $x = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3}$ , and  $a_2 = -1$ , we find  $a_3 = -2 - \ln(3)/2$ . Thus, for these negative values of find  $a_4 = -2 - \ln(3)/2$ . Thus, for these negative values of  $a_2$  and  $a_4$  there is a singularity located at the intersection of the lines  $x = 2$  and  $y = 0$  in the  $(x, y)$  plane. This is a counterexample to the sufficient condition proposed in [\[4,5\].](#page-14-2) However, as was illustrated in [\[4,5\]](#page-14-2), for a pure quadrupole distortion defined by  $a_2 < 0$  there are no singularities located outside of the outer horizon. This can be confirmed by the sufficient condition [\(84\)](#page-12-2) for  $V_1$ given in [\(85\)](#page-13-1) with  $a_3 = a_4 = 0$ .

The example of the hexadecapole distortion illustrates that it is rather impossible to satisfy the sufficient condition for a general type of distortion. Thus, in general, there are space-time curvature singularities outside the black hole outer horizon. They are represented by a discrete set of points in the  $(x, y)$  plane, which are solutions to the system [\(83\)](#page-12-1). These points represent rings in the space-time around the black hole. The singular points lie on the curves defined by the equation  $A = 0$ . Indeed, using the expression [\(2\)](#page-1-3), one can see that solutions of the system [\(83\)](#page-12-1) are as well solutions of the equation  $A = 0$ . Note that equation  $A = 0$ . defines stationary limit surfaces. These surfaces are represented by lines in the  $(x, y)$  plane. On the other side, the distortion field is static. Thus, one may assume that the singularities outside the outer horizon originate from the static nature of the distortion field which is "incompatible" with a stationary limit surface, where nothing can be held at rest. However, such singularities are absent for a quadrupole distortion field of  $a_2 < 0$ , for which the sufficient condition [\(84\)](#page-12-2) is satisfied. Thus, the situation is not trivial, and an additional analysis of the origin of these singularities, which is beyond of scope of this paper, is necessary. Using these results, one can say that the local stationary rotating distorted black hole solution should be defined in the region near the outer horizon which does not include these singularities.

#### VIII. CONCLUSION

<span id="page-13-0"></span>The main goal of this work was to study how a static and axisymmetric distortion field affects a stationary rotating black hole's horizons and interior region. It was found that there is a duality transformation [see Eqs. [\(45\)](#page-4-10) and [\(46\)\]](#page-4-11) between the inner and outer horizons of the black hole. This duality transformation differs from the duality transformation between the inner and outer horizons of a distorted, static, and electrically charged black hole [\[2\]](#page-14-14) and from the duality transformation between the horizon and stretched singularity of a distorted, static, vacuum black hole [\[1\].](#page-14-0) It turns out that the duality transformation is directly related to the discrete symmetry [see Eq. [\(78\)\]](#page-11-3) of the space-time. This symmetry implies a relation between space-time curvature invariants calculated on the black hole horizons. We constructed Smarr's formulas and formulated the laws of thermodynamics for both the horizons. The constructed Smarr's formulas and the laws of thermodynamics are related by the duality transformation as well.

To analyze the effect of distortion field on a stationary rotating black hole, we restricted ourselves to two types of the distortion, a quadrupole and an octupole one, defined by quadrupole and octupole moments, respectively. It was found that such distortion fields strongly affect the Gaussian curvature of the horizon surfaces, which takes both positive and negative values. The curvature is finite on both the horizons. The maximal absolute values of the Gaussian curvature of the inner horizon surface are greater than those of the outer horizon surface. The curvature can become arbitrarily large but finite for an arbitrarily small but nonvanishing specific angular momentum parameter. This is quite expected, because, for very small values of the parameter, the inner horizon comes closer to the black hole singularity. To illustrate the effect of the distortion on the black hole horizon geometry, we constructed isometric embeddings of the horizon surfaces into a flat threedimensional space. The embeddings show that the inner horizon has larger portions which cannot be isometrically embedded into a three-dimensional Euclidean space than the outer one. The regions not isometrically embeddable into a three-dimensional Euclidean space growth with the increase of the specific angular momentum parameter and, generally, with the increase of the distortion field. An analysis of the maximal proper time of a free fall from the outer to the inner horizon along the symmetry semiaxes showed that the distortion field noticeably affects the black hole interior. There is some minimal nonzero value of the quadrupole and octupole moments when the time

#### DISTORTED STATIONARY ROTATING BLACK HOLES PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 064030 (2015)

becomes minimal. It indicates the closest approach of the horizons due to the distortion. The minimal value of the maximal proper time monotonically increases with an increase of the specific angular momentum parameter for both types of distortion. Finally, we analyzed the spacetime curvature singularities and formulated the sufficient condition for their absence outside the black hole outer horizon. We found that the region between the black hole horizons is regular, while the region behind the inner horizon and outside the outer one generally has curvature singularities. These singularities represent rings around the symmetry axis. The singularities behind the inner horizon are natural, while the singularities outside the outer horizon are generally unavoidable. They appear on a stationary limit surface. This result suggests that the local stationary rotating distorted black hole solution should be considered up to the region containing these singularities.

The duality transformation and the discrete symmetry of the space-time seem to be an inherent property of the Weyltype solution. The corresponding Einstein equations are equivalent to two complex Ernst equations which can be considered as an integrability condition for an associated linear problem (see, e.g., [\[44,45\]\)](#page-15-21). The linear problem can be formulated by means of a Lax pair construction for fourand higher-dimensional models of gravity (see, e.g., [\[60,61\]](#page-15-22)). A Lax pair is directly related to a generation of an infinite number of soliton-type solutions, starting from a known one. This leads to a complete integrability of the dynamical system (see, e.g., [\[62,63\]](#page-15-23)). Thus, one may expect that a certain duality transformation may exist between horizons of some solutions of these models,

which possess a certain group of isometries. Recently, Abdolrahimi, Kunz, and Nedkova constructed a new exact solution within the Weyl formalism which represent a fivedimensional Myers-Perry black hole with a single angular momentum in an external gravitational field [\[64\]](#page-15-24). It is a higher-dimensional generalization of the four-dimensional distorted stationary rotating black hole studied in this work. The solution has many interesting features related to its inherent symmetries. Some of them were already explored in Ref. [\[64\]](#page-15-24). Moreover, as was recently shown by Frolov and Frolov [\[65\],](#page-15-25) the Weyl-type solutions admit a construction of the so-called hybrid black holes obtained by gluing the external Kerr space-time to the internal static Weyl metric, which represents a distorted axisymmetric, static, vacuum black hole. The multipole moments of the distortion field are defined by the Kerr black hole's mass and angular momentum. It would be interesting as well to investigate a relation between the black hole horizons as isolated horizons, the future outer trapping horizons, and marginally trapped surfaces, as was done for a distorted static (Schwarzschild) black hole by Pilkington, Melanson, Fitzgerald, and Booth in Ref. [\[66\]](#page-15-26). Apparently, the rich structure of the Weyl-type solutions is far from completely explored.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The author is thankful to Professor Don N. Page for bringing to his attention the work [\[24\]](#page-14-13) and many useful discussions and comments.

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[1] V. P. Frolov and A. A. Shoom, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.064037) **76**, 064037 [\(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.064037)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>[2] S. Abdolrahimi, V. P. Frolov, and A. A. Shoom, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.024011) D **80**[, 024011 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.024011).
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[3] A. Tomimatsu, Phys. Lett. **103A**[, 374 \(1984\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(84)90134-8)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[4] N. Breton, T. E. Denisova, and V. S. Manko, [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(97)00227-2) 230[, 7 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(97)00227-2)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>[5] N. Breton, A. A. Garcia, V. S. Manko, and T. E. Denisova, Phys. Rev. D 57[, 3382 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.3382)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[6] R. Geroch and J. B. Hartle, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.525384) 23, 680 [\(1982\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.525384)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[7] R. Penrose, in Structure of Space-Time, edited by C. M. DeWitt and J. A. Wheeler (Benjamin, New York, 1968).
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[8] J. M. McNamara, [Proc. R. Soc. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1978.0024) 358, 499 (1978).
- [9] R. A. Matzner, N. Zamorana, and V. D. Sandberg, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.2821) Rev. D 19[, 2821 \(1979\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.2821)
- [10] S. Chandrasekhar and J. B. Hartle, [Proc. R. Soc. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1982.0160) 384, 301 [\(1982\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1982.0160)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[11] G. F. R. Ellis and A. R. King, [Commun. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01651508) 38, 119 [\(1974\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01651508)
- [12] A. R. King, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.11.763)* **11**, 763 (1975).
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[13] E. Poisson and W. Israel, Phys. Rev. D 41[, 1796 \(1990\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.41.1796).
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[14] A. Ori, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.789) **67**, 789 (1991).
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[15] L. M. Burko, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S021827180200289X) 11, 1561 (2002).
- [16] L. M. Burko, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**[, 024046 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.024046)
- [17] L. M. Burko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90[, 121101 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.121101)
- [18] L. M. Burko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90[, 249902\(E\) \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.249902).
- [19] A. J. S. Hamilton and P. P. Avelino, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2010.06.002) 495, 1 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2010.06.002)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[20] M. L. Gnedin and N. Y. Gnedin, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/6/006) 10[, 1083 \(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/6/006).
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[21] A. Bonanno, S. Dros, W. Israel, and S. Morsink, [Can. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/p94-099) Phys. 72[, 755 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/p94-099)
- [22] E. Flanagan and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. D 54[, 6233 \(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.6233).
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[23] P. Brady and J. Smith, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1256) 75, 1256 [\(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1256)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>[24] D. Marolf and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 124026 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.124026).
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>[25] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>[26] W. Suen, Phys. Rev. D 34[, 3633 \(1986\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.34.3633).
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>[27] K. S. Thorne, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.52.299) **52**, 299 (1980).
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>[28] R. Geroch, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1665348) 11, 1955 (1970).
- [29] R. Geroch, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1665427) 11, 2580 (1970).
- [30] R. O. Hansen, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1666501) **15**, 46 (1974).
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>[31] H. Quevedo, *Phys. Rev. D* **39**[, 2904 \(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.39.2904).
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>[32] W. Suen, Phys. Rev. D 34[, 3617 \(1986\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.34.3617).
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>[33] R. Beig and W. Simon, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.525846) 24, 1163 (1983).
- [34] Y. Gürsel, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01031881) 15, 737 (1983).
- [35] J. Ehlers, in Grundlagenprobleme der Modernen Physik, edited by J. Nitsch et al. (BI Hochschultaschenbücher, Mannheim, 1981), p. 65.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[36] B. Carter, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.26.331) **26**, 331 (1971).
- [37] B. Carter, in Black Holes: Proceedings of Les Houches 1972, edited by C. DeWitt and B. S. DeWitt (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973).
- [38] P. T. Chruściel and J. L. Costa, Asterisque 321, 195 (2008).
- [39] V. S. Manko and I. D. Novikov, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/11/013) 9[, 2477 \(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/11/013)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>[40] S. Chandrasekhar, The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes (Clarendon, Oxford, 1983).
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>[41] V. P. Frolov and I. D. Novikov, *Black Hole Physics: Basic* Concepts and Recent Developments (Kluwer Academic, Dodrecht, 1998).
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>[42] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking, [Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01645742) Math. Phys. 31[, 161 \(1973\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01645742).
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>[43] M. Ansorg and J. Hennig, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/22/222001) 25, [222001 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/22/222001)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>[44] M. Ansorg and J. Hennig, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.221102) **102**, 221102 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.221102)
- [45] J. Hennig and M. Ansorg, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 10, 1075 (2009).
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>[46] S. Abdolrahimi, A. A. Shoom, and D. N. Page, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.124039) 82[, 124039 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.124039)
- [47] S. Abdolrahimi and A. A. Shoom, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.024040) 89, 024040 [\(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.024040)
- [48] S. Abdolrahimi, Report No. C13-07-22.2; [arXiv:1407.0652.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1407.0652)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>[49] S. Fairhurst and B. Krishnan, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271801001086) 10, 691 [\(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271801001086)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>[50] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 7[, 2333 \(1973\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333).
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>[51] S. W. Hawking, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.13.191) 13, 191 (1976).
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>[52] G. Horowitz, in Black Holes and Relativistic Stars, edited by R. M. Wald (University of Chicago, Chicago, 1999), p. 241.
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>[53] F. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D **56**[, 1005 \(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.1005).
- [54] M. Cvetič and F. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D 56[, 4994 \(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.4994).
- [55] M. Cvetič and F. Larsen, Nucl. Phys. **B506**[, 107 \(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00541-5).
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>[56] L. Smarr, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.289) 7, 289 (1973).
- [57] N. Pelavas, N. Neary, and K. Lake, [Classical Quantum](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/18/7/314) Gravity 18[, 1319 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/18/7/314).
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>[58] V.P. Frolov, Phys. Rev. D **73**[, 064021 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.064021).
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>[59] R. Narayan and J. E. McClintock, in General Relativity and Gravitation: A Centennial Perspective, edited by A. Ashtekar, B. Berger, J. Isenberg, and M. A. H. MacCallum (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, to be published); [arXiv:1312.6698v2.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1312.6698v2)
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>[60] D. V. Gal'tsov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.2863) **74**, 2863 (1995).
- [61] D. V. Gal'tsov and O. A. Rytchkov, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.122001) 58, [122001 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.122001)
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>[62] V. Belinski and E. Verdaguer, Gravitational Solitons, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2001).
- [63] V. A. Belinski and V. E. Zakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP 48, 985 (1978).
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>[64] S. Abdolrahimi, J. Kunz, and P. Nedkova, [arXiv:](http://arXiv.org/abs/1412.5416v1) [1412.5416v1.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1412.5416v1)
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>[65] V. P. Frolov and A. V. Frolov, [arXiv:1412.8740 \[Phys. Rev.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1412.8740) [D \(to be published\)\].](http://arXiv.org/abs/1412.8740)
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>[66] T. Pilkington, A. Melanson, J. Fitzgerald, and I. Booth, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/12/125018) 28, 125018 (2011).