Comprehensive study of the radiative decays of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ to pseudoscalar meson pairs, and search for glueballs

S. Dobbs, A. Tomaradze, T. Xiao, and Kamal K. Seth

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA (Received 3 December 2014; published 10 March 2015)

Using 53 pb⁻¹ of e^+e^- annihilation data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 3.686$ GeV, a comprehensive study has been made of the radiative decays of samples of 5.1 million J/ψ and 24.5 million $\psi(2S)$ into pairs of pseudoscalar mesons, $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0K_S^0$, and $\eta\eta$. Product branching fractions for the radiative decays of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ to scalar resonances f_0 (1370, 1500, 1710, 2100, and 2200), and tensor resonances f_2 (1270, 1525, and 2230) have been determined, and are discussed in relation to predicted glueballs. For $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays the search for glueballs has been extended to masses between 2.5 GeV and 3.3 GeV.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052006) PACS numbers: 14.40.Be, 13.20.Gd, 13.25.Jx, 14.40.Rt

I. INTRODUCTION

QCD is presently the accepted theory of strong interactions. Its predictions for hadronic phenomena at high energies have received remarkable experimental confirmation, and it is an integral part of the Standard Model of particle physics. In contrast, at lower energies the success of QCD has been comparatively limited. This is mainly due to the fact that while at high energies reliable predictions can be made in perturbative QCD (pQCD) models, at low energies pQCD predictions are unreliable, and lattice calculations with the required precision are in many cases not yet available.

In 1972, Fritzsch and Gell-Mann [\[1\]](#page-19-0) pointed out that "if the quark-gluon field theory indeed yields a correct description of strong interactions, there must exist glue states in the hadron spectrum," and Fritzsch and Minkowski [\[2\]](#page-19-1) presented a detailed discussion of the phenomenology of the spectrum of what they called "glue-states." The "glue-states," which we here call "glueballs," are bosons with baryon number and isospin equal to zero, and they are SU(3) flavor singlets "to the extent to which SU(3) breaking effect can be neglected" [\[2\]](#page-19-1).

Ever since 1985, when η (1440) was claimed as the first glueball discovered [\[3\]](#page-19-2), numerous experimental searches for glueballs have been reported, and numerous claims and counterclaims abound. Many reviews of QCD exotics, including glueballs, have been published. Two of the latest comprehensive reviews are by Klempt and Zaitsev [\[4\]](#page-19-3) and Ochs [\[5\]](#page-19-4).

Fritzsch and Minkowski [\[2\]](#page-19-1) have detailed color-singlet states of two and three gluons allowed by conservation rules, which are identical to those for states of two and three photons. The two-gluon states have charge conjugation, $C = +1$, while the three gluon states can have $C = +1$ or −1. The lowest-mass states have S-waves between the gluons, and are two-gluon states with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$, 2^{++} , and 0^{-+} , and three-gluon states with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$, 1^{+-} , 1^{--} , and 3^{--} .

Predictions for glueball spectra have been made in bag models [\[6\]](#page-19-5), QCD-based potential models [\[7\],](#page-19-6) QCD sum rules [\[8\]](#page-20-0), and lattice gauge calculations [9–[13\].](#page-20-1) Lattice gauge calculations have supplanted most other predictions. The lattice calculations of Refs. [10–[12\]](#page-20-2) were done in the quenched approximation with no quarks, and their predictions are in general in agreement with each other. The first unquenched lattice calculations with $2 + 1$ flavor sea quarks have been reported by the UKQCD Collaboration [\[13\]](#page-20-3). They obtained results for the masses of the 0^{++} , 0^{-+} , and 2^{++} glueballs which are in good agreement with the lattice predictions of Refs. [\[10\]](#page-20-2) and [\[12\]](#page-20-4) in the quenched approximation, and conclude that they "find no evidence of strong unquenching effects." Thus, the results of Ref. [\[12\]](#page-20-4), reproduced in Fig. [1](#page-1-0), can be considered as representative of lattice predictions so far. The order of the lowest-lying glueballs is as anticipated by Fritzsch and Minkowski [\[2\]](#page-19-1). The prediction for the first scalar (0^{++}) and tensor (2^{++}) glueball masses are $G(0^{++}) =$ $1710(50)(80)$ MeV, $G(2_1^{++}) = 2390(30)(120)$ MeV. These are followed by five heavier glueballs with masses less than $M(\psi(2S))$. These are $0^{-+}(2560(35)(120) \text{MeV})$,
1^{+–}(2980(30)(140) MeV), $2^{-+}(3040(40)(150) \text{MeV})$, $1^{+-}(2980(30)(140)$ MeV), $3^{+-}(3600(40)(170)$ MeV), and $3^{++}(3670(50)(150)$ MeV). In the present paper, our glueball search is limited to masses less than 3.3 GeV, below the masses of the χ_{cJ} resonances of charmonium.

Early searches for glueballs were misled by the wishful expectation of "pure" glueballs. With the realization that the predicted glueballs have masses close to those of normal $q\bar{q}$ mesons with the same quantum numbers with which they must mix, the more realistic searches aspire to identify states which contain substantial glueball content in their wave functions. Searches for such states have been based mainly on three expectations. These are as follows. (a) For a given J^{PC} , the glueball state is "supernumary," i.e., a state in excess of the expected number of $q\bar{q}$ states, e.g.,

FIG. 1 (color online). Quenched lattice predictions of glueball spectrum by Chen *et al.* [\[12\]](#page-20-4). The states are grouped by their parity and charge conjugation indicated in the abscissa.

the nonet of 0^{++} SU(3) states. (b) A pure glueball state does not decay preferentially to states containing up, down, or strange quarks, i.e., "pure" glueball decays to pseudoscalar pairs should have equal branching fractions for each available charged state. Thus decays to $\pi \pi$, KK, $\eta \eta$, $\eta' \eta'$ and $\eta\eta'$, should have branching fractions proportional to 3∶4∶1∶1∶0. (c) Certain decays are particularly favorable for populating glueballs, e.g., $p\bar{p}$ annihilation, and radiative decays of vector states of quarkonia, $J/\psi, \psi', \Upsilon$, to $\gamma gg...$, where g stands for gluons. Numerous experimental attempts have been made to exploit these expectations, but they have been generally limited in their scope.

An extensive review of searches for glueballs in $p\bar{p}$ annihilations, central production, and hadronic and radiative decays of vector states of charmonium and bottomonium has been made by Klempt and Zaitsev [\[4\]](#page-19-3). Radiative decays of J/ψ have been studied by Crystal Ball [\[14\],](#page-20-5) Mark III [\[15,16\]](#page-20-6), DM2 [\[17\],](#page-20-7) and BES [18–[20\].](#page-20-8) Among the latest of these are BES measurements of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-,\pi^0\pi^0)$ [\[18\]](#page-20-8) and $J/\psi \to \gamma(K^+K^-, K^0_S K^0_S)$ [\[20\]](#page-20-9) with 58 million directly produced J/ψ in $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$. The invariant mass spectra in the decays of these directly produced J/ψ contain large backgrounds due to initial-state radiation (ISR) production of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $K\bar{K}\pi^0$ with one missing photon which simulate $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ decays. More recently, BES III has reported a study of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$ with 2.28×10^8 directly produced J/ψ [\[21\]](#page-20-10). No studies of radiative decays of $\psi(2S)$ have been published, and only an unpublished report with 14 million $\psi(2S)$ by BES exists [\[22\]](#page-20-11).

In the present paper we present results of a study of the radiative decays of the charmonium resonances, 5.1 million J/ψ , and 24.5 million $\psi(2S)$ resonances populated in $e^+e^$ annihilation, and decaying into pairs of pseudoscalar, π , KK , and η . We determine the masses, widths, and product branching fractions of the resonances observed, and discuss their possible relations to glueballs. Since the J/ψ used in the present investigation are produced by the exclusive decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$, the invariant mass spectra we observe from the J/ψ radiative decays are free from the ISR-produced backgrounds. The invariant mass spectra for $\psi(2S)$ decays contain, of course, background contributions from ISR.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-1-1) we describe the event selection criteria. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0) we describe Monte Carlo event simulations and use them to determine instrumental resolutions and efficiencies. In Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) we present the Dalitz plots for J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays to pseudoscalar pairs. In Sec. [V. A](#page-6-0) we present the $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ invariant mass distributions for the radiative decays of J/ψ , and discuss the resonances observed in them. In Sec. [V. B](#page-11-0) we present the similar mass distributions and discussion for the radiative decays of $\psi(2S)$. In Sec. [V. C](#page-13-0) we present the results of our search for the putative tensor glueball $\xi(2230)$. In Sec. [VI](#page-14-0) we summarize and compare our results for J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays to pseudoscalar pairs for the observed resonances with masses below 2.5 GeV. In Sec. [VII. A](#page-15-0)we present the invariant mass spectra for $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays to $\pi \pi$, $K\bar{K}$, and $\eta \eta$ decays in search for potential glueball candidates in the mass range 2.5–3.3 GeV, and establish upper limits for any resonance enhancements. We observe strong decays of $\chi_{c0}(0^{++})$ and $\chi_{c2}(2^{++})$ resonances of charmonium in our data for $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays. Because the decays of these resonances are necessarily mediated by two gluons, just as the decays of two-gluon glueballs, it is instructive to examine and compare their characteristics. We do so in Sec. [VII. B](#page-15-1) in terms of the branching fractions measured using the same data as we use in the present paper, and published in Ref. [\[23\]](#page-20-12). In Sec. [VIII](#page-16-0) we discuss systematic uncertainties in our results. In Sec. [IX](#page-17-0) we summarize the results of the present investigation for the decays of known and proposed resonances into pseudoscalar pairs, and their implications for scalar and tensor glueball candidates.

II. EVENT SELECTIONS

We use 53 pb⁻¹ of e^+e^- annihilation data taken at \sqrt{s} = 3.686 GeV at the CESR e^+e^- collider at Cornell University. It corresponds to 24.5 million $\psi(2S)$ produced, and 5.1 million J/ψ tagged by the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$. We also use 21 pb⁻¹ of e^+e^- annihilation data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 3.672$ GeV to study backgrounds from off-resonance decays. The decay products were analyzed by the CLEO-c detector, which has been described elsewhere in detail [\[24\].](#page-20-13) Briefly, it consists of a CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter, an inner vertex drift chamber, a central drift chamber, and a ring imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detector, all inside a superconducting solenoid magnet providing a nominal 1.0 Tesla magnetic

TABLE I. Summary of the requirements for the number of reconstructed particles for the reactions investigated in this paper.

Decay mode		# tracks	# showers	Other
$\psi(2S) \rightarrow$		2	\geq 1	
	$_{\gamma K^+K^-}^{\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-}$	2	\geq 1	
	$\gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$	$\overline{0}$	≥ 5	2 π^{0}
	ynn	θ	≥ 5	2n
	$\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	\geq 4	\geq 1	2 $K_{\rm S}^0$
$J/\psi \rightarrow$	$\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	4	\geq 1	
	$\gamma K^+ K^-$	4	\geq 1	
	$\gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$	2	≥ 5	2 π^{0}
	ynn	2	≥ 5	2n
	$\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	>6	>1	2 $K_{\rm S}^0$

field. The acceptance for charged and neutral particles is $|\cos \theta|$ < 0.93. Charged-particle resolution is σ_p / $p=$ 0.6% @ 1 GeV/c. Photon resolution is $\sigma_E/E = 2.2\%$ @ 1 GeV, and 5% @ 100 MeV. The detector response was

FIG. 2 (color online). Two-photon invariant mass distributions for radiative decays of (top panel) J/ψ and (bottom panel) $\psi(2S)$, with at least five photons in the final state. In both panels, the top histograms show the invariant mass distribution for all twophoton combinations in the selected events. The middle histograms show distributions for all two-photon combinations in the events that pass the 4C energy-momentum kinematic fit with χ^2_{4C} < 25. The shaded solid histograms show the distributions of events which contain two π^0 or two η candidates which best-fit π^0 and η masses. Signals from $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$ decays are clearly visible in all three histograms.

studied using a GEANT-based Monte Carlo (MC) simulation including radiation corrections [\[25\]](#page-20-14).

The particle multiplicity requirements for the various decay modes are given in Table [I](#page-2-0). Charged-particle tracks were accepted in the full range of the drift chamber's acceptance, $|\cos \theta|$ < 0.93 (θ is the polar angle with respect to the positron beam), and were selected by standard CLEO quality requirements. The total charge in the event was required to be zero. Photon candidates were accepted in the "Good Barrel" and "Good End Cap" regions of the calorimeter ($|\cos \theta|$ < 0.81 and $|\cos \theta|$ = 0.85 – 0.93, respectively), and were required to contain none of the few noisy calorimeter cells, and to have a transverse energy deposition that was consistent with that of an electromagnetic shower.

The π^0 and η were reconstructed in their decay to two photons. Pairs of photon candidates were kinematically fitted to the known π^0 and η masses, and the pairs were accepted if they had a mass $\leq 3\sigma$ of the particle mass. Invariant mass distributions at different stages of these selections are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1)

FIG. 3 (color online). Two-pion invariant mass distributions for radiative decays of (top panel) J/ψ and (bottom panel) $\psi(2S)$ with at least two $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ candidates reconstructed. In both panels the top histograms show all $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ candidates in the selected events. The dashed histograms show distributions for all $K^0_S \to \pi^+ \pi^$ candidates in the events that pass the 4C energy-momentum kinematic fit with χ^2_{4C} < 25. The shaded histograms show the distribution for the best two K_S^0 candidates in the event, as determined by the kinematic fit. The mass peaks corresponding to the selected K^0_S candidates are clearly visible in all three histograms.

The K_S^0 were reconstructed in their decay to two charged pions. Pairs of oppositely charged pions were kinematically fitted to originate from a common vertex, and this common vertex was required to be displaced by $\geq 3\sigma$ from the $e^+e^$ interaction point. Invariant mass distributions at different stages of these selections are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-2-2)

Charged pions and kaons were identified using information from both dE/dx and RICH measurements. For the pion and kaon tracks with momenta > 600 MeV, the variable $\Delta L_{i,j}$ was constructed, combining information from dE/dx measurements, $\sigma_{i,j}^{dE/dx}$, and the RICH loglikelihood, $L_{i,j}^{\text{RICH}}$, for the particle hypotheses i and j

$$
\Delta L_{i,j} = (\sigma_i^{dE/dx})^2 - (\sigma_j^{dE/dx})^2 + L_i^{RICH} - L_j^{RICH}.
$$

To identify pions, it was required that $\Delta L_{\pi K} < 0$. For kaons, it was required that $\Delta L_{\pi,K} > 0$. For pion and kaon tracks with momenta < 600 MeV, for which RICH information was not available, it was required that the dE/dx of the track be consistent within 3σ of the respective mass

FIG. 4. Mass distribution of events recoiling against $\pi^+\pi^-$ in $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ decay (a) before and (b) after the 4C kinematic fit. The fitted mass of the J/ψ peak is found to be $M(J/\psi) = 3097.13 \pm 0.01$ (stat) MeV.

hypothesis, and that it be more consistent with its mass hypothesis than with the alternate mass hypothesis.

For the $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ final state, electron contamination was removed by rejecting events with $E_{CC}/p > 0.85$, and muon contamination was removed by rejecting events which had tracks matched to any hits in the muon chambers.

Since we study resonances with masses $\langle 2.8 \text{ GeV} \rangle$ for J/ψ decays and < 3.3 GeV for $\psi(2S)$ decays, we safely require $E_{\gamma} > 80$ MeV for the "radiative" photon candidate.

We obtain our J/ψ sample from $\psi(2S)$ by tagging events with two oppositely charged tracks, which were assumed to be pions, to have a recoil mass in the range $M(J/\psi) \pm 10$ MeV. The mass distribution of events recoiling against $\pi^+\pi^-$ in the decay $\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ is shown in Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-3-1) before the 4C kinematic fit, and Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-3-1) after the 4C fit. The strong peak corresponds to J/ψ events.

To reconstruct the full $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ events, we make a 4C energy/momentum kinematic fit, constraining the sum of the 4-vectors of all final-state particles to be equal to the 4-vector of the e^+e^- interaction. For J/ψ decays, the J/ψ decay products were additionally constrained to have $M(J/\psi)$. In all cases the final fit was required to have χ^2_{4C} < 25. When constructing the invariant masses from the radiative decays, the 4-vectors resulting from these fits were used.

III. MONTE CARLO SAMPLES AND EFFICIENCIES

Monte Carlo samples of simulated events were generated using EVTGEN [\[26\]](#page-20-15), and then passed through the standard detector simulation and reconstruction routines, to determine mass resolutions and experimental efficiencies. Monte Carlo samples of 200 000 events were generated for each pseudoscalar pair decay chain for the light-quark resonances seen in the data and the presumed glueball candidates in the mass region 2500–3000 MeV. To investigate background contributions from other decays, such as $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho \pi$, K^{*}K, Monte Carlo samples of 5 million events were generated. When considering radiative decays to a particular resonance (e.g., $\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_{0,2}$), the expected $1 + \alpha \cos^2 \theta$ angular distributions for the corresponding E1 transitions were used, with $\alpha = 1$ for decays to a 0⁺⁺ state and $\alpha = 1/13$ for decays to a 2^{++} state. The angular distributions for scalar and tensor mesons decaying into two pseudoscalars were simulated using the helicity amplitude formalism of EVTGEN. Figure [5](#page-4-1) illustrates excellent agreement between the data and simulated angular distribution for the well-separated resonances $f_2(1270)$ and $f_0(1710)$.

The efficiencies obtained for decays to individual res-onances are shown in Table [II](#page-4-2). For J/ψ decays to charged final states the efficiencies range from 15% to 20%, and for neutral final states they range form ∼13% to 16%.

FIG. 5 (color online). Distributions of $|\cos \theta(f_{0,2})|$ for $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $f_0(1710) \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays. The points show the distributions from data, and the shaded histograms show the results of MC simulations.

Corresponding efficiencies for $\psi(2S)$ decays are approximately a factor 2 larger.

Monte Carlo simulations give instrumental Gaussian resolution widths for masses <2500 MeV as $\sigma =$ 3–4 MeV, for $\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , and $K_S^0K_S^0$, 9–11 MeV for $\pi^0 \pi^0$, and 7–8 MeV for $\eta \eta$.

IV. DALITZ PLOTS FOR J/ψ AND $\psi(2S)$ RADIATIVE DECAYS

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$:

Dalitz plots for the radiative decays of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^$ and $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ are shown in the top two panels of Fig. [6.](#page-5-0) In the $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ plot there are horizontal and vertical bands corresponding to ρ (770). As confirmed by Monte Carlo simulations, these arise from $\rho^0 \pi^0$ decay of J/ψ with one photon from π^0 missing. In subsequent analysis we remove these by rejecting events with $M²(\pi^{\pm}\gamma) < 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$. We do not remove $\pi^+\pi^-$ events from ρ^0 decays which populate the diagonal band in the plot in order to be able to take the effect of their tails in subsequent fits to the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum. Figure [7\(a\)](#page-6-1) shows that the tail of the residual ρ peak does not contribute significantly to the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum in the $M(\pi^+\pi^-) > 1.2$ GeV.

TABLE II. MC-determined efficiencies for the reactions $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma R, R \rightarrow PS, PS, \text{ and } \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi, J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma R,$ $R \rightarrow PS$, PS, for selected intermediate resonances R.

Resonance		Efficiency (%)
X	$J/\psi \to \gamma X$	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
$X \to \pi^+ \pi^-$		
$f_2(1270)$	19.8	36.4
$f_0(1500)$	18.5	35.9
$f_0(1710)$	17.1	34.5
$f_0(2100)$	15.0	34.1
2500-3300		$37.5 - 29.4$
$X \to \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$		
$f_2(1270)$	13.1	24.5
$f_0(1500)$	13.2	25.3
$f_0(1710)$	13.8	25.7
$f_0(2100)$	14.8	26.9
2500-3300	.	$27.4 - 30.0$
$X \rightarrow K^+ K^-$		
$f_0(1370)$	23.3	36.1
f_2 '(1525)	23.2	35.2
$f_0(1710)$	22.4	35.6
$f_0(2200)$	18.6	36.5
2500-3300	.	$40.6 - 40.8$
$X \to K_S^0 K_S^0, K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$		
$f_0(1370)$	14.7	23.1
$f'_{2}(1525)$	15.0	23.0
$f_0(1710)$	15.0	23.6
$f_0(2200)$	15.9	24.1
2500-3300	.	$27.3 - 27.5$
$X \rightarrow \eta \eta, \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$		
$f_0(1710)$	11.3	18.2
2500-3300		$17.6 - 16.0$

As expected, the $\rho(770)$ contributions are absent in the $\pi^0 \pi^0$ Dalitz plot.

The only other feature which is identifiable in these Dalitz plots is the excitation of $f_2(1270)$ as a diagonal band with $J = 2$ characteristic angular distribution which produces enhancements near the maxima of $M^2(\pi\gamma)$.

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K K$:

Dalitz plots for the radiative decays of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^$ and $K_S^0 K_S^0$ are shown in the middle two panels of Fig. [6.](#page-5-0) The bands due to $\rho(770)$ excitation seen in the $\gamma \pi^+\pi^-$ plot are, of course, absent in these plots. Instead, there is evidence for contributions from the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^{-*}(892) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0(+c.c.),$ with one photon from π^0 missing. We remove these events in the horizontal and vertical bands by rejecting events with $M^2(K^{\pm}\gamma)$ < 1.0 GeV². Monte Carlo simulation, shown in Fig. [7\(b\)](#page-6-1), confirms that the residual background, which is too small to be discernible, is completely negligible. Excitation of $f'_{2}(1525)$ with its characteristic $J = 2$ angular distribution is identifiable as a diagonal band, followed by the nearly uniform population of $f_0(1710)$. A weakly

FIG. 6 (color online). Dalitz plots for (top two panels) $J/\psi \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$, and (bottom two panels) $J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^$ and $\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$. The dashed lines indicate the regions used to reject $J/\psi \to \rho \pi$ and K^*K decays in the $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ decays, respectively.

populated diagonal band corresponding to $f_0(2200)$ is also discernible.

The $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$ Dalitz plot is similar to the of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$ plot but has smaller yield.

 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi, \gamma K \bar{K}$:

The four Dalitz plots for the $\pi\pi$ and KK decays of $\psi(2S)$ are shown in Fig. [8](#page-7-0). As is well known, all hadronic and radiative decays of $\psi(2S)$ are suppressed by a factor ∼7 (the so-called 13% rule) compared to those of J/ψ . As a result all plots in Fig. [8](#page-7-0) have smaller yields than in the corresponding plots in Fig. [6](#page-5-0). Because of the well-known anomalously small branching fractions for the decays $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \rho \pi$ and K^*K , the ρ bands and the K^* bands seen in J/ψ decays are not seen in the corresponding $\psi(2S)$ Dalitz plots. However, there is a strong diagonal band for $\rho(770)$ in the $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^$ plot and a narrow band corresponding to $\phi(1020)$ in $\gamma K^{+} K^{-}$. These arise from ISR contributions as we show later.

The strong diagonal bands due to the population of χ_0 and χ_2 states of charmonium are visible in all four Dalitz plots for $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays.

V. INVARIANT MASS DISTRIBUTIONS FOR J/ψ AND $\psi(2S)$ RADIATIVE DECAYS

In order to draw quantitative conclusions from our measurements, we make projections from the Dalitz plots for the invariant masses for the $\pi\pi$, KK, and $\eta\eta$ final states. An overall presentation of these is made in Fig. [9.](#page-8-0) Several gross features are apparent in this figure. The first feature is the general similarity in the observed spectra for J/ψ radiative decays (left five panels) and $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays (right five panels). The yields for $\psi(2S)$ decays

FIG. 7 (color online). (a) Distributions of $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays for data (solid histogram) and $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho \pi$ MC decays (shaded histogram), illustrating the small residual non- ρ background from $\rho \pi$ decay. (b) Distributions of K^+K^- invariant mass for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$ decays for data (solid histogram) and $J/\psi \rightarrow K K \pi^0$ MC decays (shaded histogram).

are lower. As mentioned earlier, this is mainly the consequence of the well-known "13% rule" of pQCD which stipulates that the hadronic and radiative decays of $\psi(2S)$ have nearly a factor 7 smaller widths compared to those of J/ψ , a ratio which follows that for the leptonic decays. The second feature is the expected similarity between the corresponding charged and neutral final states, $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$, and $K^+ K^-$ and $K^0_S K^0_S$. We find that resonance masses determined from charged and neutral spectra are always in agreement within their statistical errors. However, the mass resolution and statistics is better for the charged final states, as expected. This leads to our decision to make resonance mass determinations from the charged decay spectra, and to fix them for the final analysis of the neutral decay spectra. The limited event statistics also does not allow us to make a partial-wave analysis of the data. One additional observation is that the yields for radiative decays to the $\eta\eta$ final states, though suggestive of the population of $f'_{2}(1525)$ and $f_0(1710)$, are too small to lead to any meaningful results for the branching fractions, and we do not attempt to analyze the $\eta\eta$ spectra.

We analyze the spectra of invariant mass of pseudoscalar pairs for the radiative decays of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ in terms of noninterfering relativistic Breit-Wigner resonances. The resonance masses, M, the counts, N, and the background are kept free. It was not found possible to also keep resonance widths free, and they were fixed at their Particle Data Group (PDG) values [\[27\]](#page-20-16). The instrumental resolution widths, as given in Table [II](#page-4-2), were convolved with the PDG widths to obtain the peak shapes used in the fits.

We use the following relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape:

$$
\mathbf{BW}(M) \propto \frac{[M\Gamma(M)]^2}{[M_0^2 - M^2]^2 + [M\Gamma(M)]^2}
$$
 (1)

where M_0 and Γ_0 are the nonrelativistic values for the mass and width of the resonance, respectively. The massdependent resonance width $\Gamma(M)$ is given by

$$
\Gamma(M) = \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{M_0}{M}\right) \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^{2l+1} \left[\frac{B(p)}{B(p_0)}\right] \tag{2}
$$

where Γ_0 is the nonrelativistic width, p and p_0 are the momenta of the resonance's decay products in its center-ofmass frame for masses M and M_0 , respectively. $B(p)$ is the L-dependent Blatt-Weisskopf barrier factor, defined as $B(p)=1, 1/\sqrt{1+(Rp)^2}$, and $1/\sqrt{1+(Rp)^2/3+(Rp)^4/9}$ for resonances with $L = 0, 1$, and 2, respectively, and $R = 3$ GeV⁻¹.

The product branching fractions $\mathcal{B}_1(J/\psi, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma R) \times$ $\mathcal{B}_2(R \rightarrow PS, PS)$ are obtained as

$$
\mathcal{B}_1(J/\psi, \psi(2S) \to \gamma R) \times \mathcal{B}_2(R \to PS, PS) = \frac{N_R/\epsilon_R}{N(J/\psi, \psi(2S))}
$$
\n(3)

where N_R is the number of events in the resonance R, for which the efficiency is ϵ_R as given in Table [II](#page-4-2), and $N(J/\psi, \psi(2S))$ are the number of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ in the data.

A. Resonances in J/ψ radiative decays to pseudoscalar pairs

The invariant mass spectra for J/ψ radiative decays and fits to the observed resonances are shown in Fig. [10](#page-9-0). Table [III](#page-10-0) lists the fit results for the resonances. We note that in general, the results shown in Table [III](#page-10-0) show that for both $\pi\pi$ and KK the masses determined for charged and neutral decays are consistent within their respective statistical errors, and the branching fractions are consistent with the isospin expectation of a factor of 2 between charged and neutral decays.

We now discuss the results for individual resonances separately.

FIG. 8. Dalitz plots for (top two panels) $\psi(2S) \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$, and (bottom two panels) $\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^-$ and $\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$.

The scalar $f_0(500)$ in J/ψ decay

The $\pi\pi$ spectrum: In the $\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum there is a nontrivial yield in a broad bump in the low-energy tail region of the strong peak of ρ (770). It is tempting to ascribe it to $f_0(500)$. However, such an assignment is unsupportable because we note that there is no evidence for a corresponding enhancement in the $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ spectrum which is cleaner in that mass region because of the absence of the ρ^0 peak.

The vector $\rho(770)$ in J/ψ decay

As mentioned in Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) the prominent peak for $\rho(770)$ arises in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum because of the decay with a large branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \rho^0 \pi^0) = 5.6(7) \times 10^{-3}$, $\rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, with one photon missing from the $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ decay. As expected, the peak is missing in the $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ spectrum. The tensor resonance $f_2(1270)$ in J/ψ decay

The $\pi\pi$ spectra: This is the strongest excited peak in both the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ spectra. Fitted separately we obtain for $\pi^+\pi^-$ decay the mass $M(\pi^+\pi^-)=1259(4)$ MeV, and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 = 108.8(39)$ and for $\pi^0\pi^0$ decay we obtain $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0 \pi^0) \times 10^5 = 65.6(34)$. The total branching fraction for $\pi \pi$ decay is therefore $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 =$ 174.4(52). These results compare with $M = 1262(8)$ MeV, $B_1\times B_2(\pi^+\pi^-)\times10^5=91.4(7)(148), B_1\times B_2(\pi^0\pi^0)\times10^5=$ 40.0(9)(58), and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 = 131.4(11)(159)$, obtained by BES II [\[19\]](#page-20-17) for directly produced J/ψ . We note that the BES spectra have much larger backgrounds which may account for the substantial difference from our results.

The K \overline{K} spectra: The $f_2(1270)$ is not strongly excited in K \overline{K} decays. In the K⁺K⁻ spectrum there is a prominent bump in the low-energy tail of the strong peak due to the excitation of the $f_2'(1525)$ resonance. It cannot be fitted with a single peak corresponding to $f_2(1270)$, and requires including another larger mass resonance, for which the best candidate is the controversial $f_0(1370)$, which we discuss below. Because of the isospin difference of a factor of 2, and the nearly factor 2 smaller efficiency, the statistics of the corresponding enhancement of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ spectrum is too small to make independent analysis. We do not fit for $f_2(1270) \rightarrow KK$,

FIG. 9 (color online). Two-particle invariant mass distributions for J/ψ , $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma PS$, PS (PS $\equiv \pi^{\pm}$, π^0 , K^{\pm} , K^0 , η) decays, for $M(PS, PS) < 3.0$ GeV.

FIG. 10 (color online). Illustrating fits to the invariant mass distributions for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$ and $\gamma K\bar{K}$.

but include its small contribution by appropriately scaling down $N_{\pi\pi}(f_2(1270))$ by the PDG [\[27\]](#page-20-16) ratio $\mathcal{B}(f_2(1270) \rightarrow KK)/\mathcal{B}(f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi) = 0.054(6)$, to $\mathcal{B}(f_2(1270) \to KK)/\mathcal{B}(f_2(1270) \to \pi\pi) = 0.054(6),$ obtain $N_{K^+K^-}(f_2(1270)) = 82$ and $N_{K^0_S K^0_S}(f_2(1270)) =$ 13. We do not, however, report $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K})$ for $f_2(1270)$. BES II reports that there is evidence for $f_0(1270)$ decays into $K\bar{K}$, but also does not report any numerical results [\[20\].](#page-20-9)

The scalars $f_0(1370)$ and $f_0(1500)$ in J/ψ decay

The $\pi\pi$ spectra: The resonance $f_0(1370)$ is considered to be the crucial ingredient in the search for scalar glueballs [\[4,5\].](#page-19-3) However, its mere existence is considered questionable. As a result, PDG (2014) estimates the mass of $f₀(1370)$ to be in the range of 1200–1500 MeV and its width to be between 300–500 MeV. In both the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ spectra a small, relatively narrow, "bump" is visible in the high-energy tail of the large $f_2(1270)$ peak. Since the well-known resonance $f_0(1500)$ is expected in the same tail region, it is difficult to assign the observed bump uniquely to either $f_0(1370)$ or $f_0(1500)$. This problem was also noted by Belle [\[28\].](#page-20-18) Our attempt to make the best possible choice is based on the following exercise.

We analyze our $\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum assuming that a relatively narrow resonance with a width between 100 and 200 MeV is responsible for the "bump" we observe in the high-energy tail region of $f_2(1270)$. As noted before, we do not have enough statistics to fit the observed structures keeping both the mass and width of this resonance free. We therefore assume five different values of the width, $\Gamma = 100, 125, 150, 175,$ and 200 MeV, and fit the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ spectrum for the best value of the mass corresponding to each assumed value of the width. The corresponding bestfit values are found to be, respectively, $M(MeV)$ = 1452ð13Þ, 1445(15), 1439(17), 1432(19), and 1424(23). These results indicate that the observed narrow "bump" can only be assigned to $f_0(1500)$ (for which many recent measurements report $M \approx 1470$ MeV [\[27\]](#page-20-16)), and not to $f_0(1370)$. This does not mean that a broad $f_0(1370)$ does not exist, but only that we have no evidence for its existence in our $\pi \pi$ spectra which are dominated by the $f_2(1270)$ resonance.

Our attribution of the "bump" to the excitation of $f_0(1500)$, assuming the PDG [\[27\]](#page-20-16) values of the width $\Gamma =$ 109 MeV [\[27\]](#page-20-16), leads to $M(f_0(1500)) = 1447(16)$ MeV, $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 = 11.0(24)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0\pi^0) \times 10^5 =$ 1.1(17). The summed branching fraction is $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times$ $10⁵ = 12.1(29)$. BES II has analyzed their data for radiative decays of directly produced J/ψ into pion pairs, and reported $M(1500) = 1466(21)$ MeV, $B_1 \times B_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times$ $10^5 = 6.7(2)(30)$, $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0 \pi^0) \times 10^5 = 3.4(3)(15)$, and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 = 10.1(4)(34)$ [\[20\]](#page-20-9).

TABLE III. Fit results for J/ψ decays to γR , R \rightarrow PS, PS. For each observed resonance R, results are presented for decays to $\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.36$), decays to $\pi^0\pi^0$ ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.00$), decays to K^+K^- ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.38$), and decays to $K_S^0 K_S^0 (\chi^2 / d.o.f. = 1.00)$. For fits to $\pi^+ \pi^-$ and $K^+ K^-$, the resonance masses were kept free. For all fits their widths were fixed at their PDG2014 values. The summed branching fractions are $B_1 \times B_2(\pi \pi)$ $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-) + \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0\pi^0)$, and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) = [\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K^+K^-) + \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K_S^0K_S^0)] \times (4/3)$.

$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma R$ R,			$R \rightarrow \pi \pi$	
$M(R), \Gamma(R), MeV$		M (MeV)	${\bf N}$	$\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2 \times 10^5$
$f_2(1270),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	1259(4)	1722(61)	108.8(39)
$1275(1), 185.0\binom{2.9}{2.4}$	$\pi^0\pi^0$		688(36)	65.6(34)
	ππ		2410(71)	174.4(52)
$f_0(1500),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	1447(16)	163(36)	11.0(24)
1505(6), 109(7)	$\pi^0\pi^0$		11(18)	1.1(17)
	$\pi\pi$		174(40)	12.1(29)
$f_0(1710),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	1744(7)	381(34)	27.9(25)
$1720(6)$, $135(8)$	$\pi^0\pi^0$		102(18)	9.3(16)
	$\pi\pi$		483(38)	37.2(30)
$f_0(2100),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	2090(10)	529(39)	44.3(33)
2103(8), 209(19)	$\pi^0\pi^0$		215(23)	18.1(19)
	$\pi\pi$		744(45)	62.4(48)
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma R$ R,			$R \rightarrow K\bar{K}$	
$M(R), \Gamma(R), MeV$		M (MeV)	$\mathbf N$	$\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2 \times 10^5$
$f_0(1370),$	K^+K^-	1360(31)	430(90)	23.1(48)
1350(150), 346(77)	$K_S^0 K_S^0$		48(15)	8.3(26)
	$K\overline{K}$		478(91)	41.9(73)
$f_2(1525),$	K^+K^-	1532(3)	644(47)	34.8(25)
$1525(5), 73\binom{6}{5}$	$K_S^0 K_S^0$		106(15)	18.4(24)
	$K\bar K$		750(49)	70.9(46)
$f_0(1710),$	K^+K^-	1706(4)	1004(47)	56.2(26)
$1720(6)$, $135(8)$	$K_S^0K_S^0$		185(18)	32.0(31)
	$K\bar K$		1189(50)	117.6(54)
$f_0(2200),$	K^+K^-	2206(12)	381(35)	26.1(19)
2189(13), 238(50)	$K_S^0 K_S^0$		109(19)	17.8(31)
	ΚĪ		490(40)	58.6(49)

The KK spectra: Both the K^+K^- and $K_S^0K_S^0$ spectra are dominated by the $f_2'(1525)$ and $f_0(1710)$ resonances. However, there is appreciable yield spread over more than 200 MeV in the K^+K^- spectrum in the region of the lowenergy tail of $f'_{2}(1525)$. To determine whether this yield should be assigned to the resonance $f_2(1270)$ or $f_0(1370)$, we have done the same exercise as described above for $f_0(1500)$. We fit the K⁺K[−] spectrum to determine values of masses in this region with assumed values of the width, $\Gamma = 200, 250, 300, 350,$ and 400 MeV. The best-fit masses are found to vary from 1330 to 1353 MeV. Allowing the width to be a free parameter results in $M =$ 1360(31) MeV, $\Gamma = 346(77)$ MeV. We therefore assign this enhancement to $f_0(1370)$. The K⁺K[−] spectrum leads to $B_1 \times B_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5 = 23.1(48)$, and the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ spectrum leads to $B_1 \times B_2(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times 10^5 = 8.3(26)$. The

summed result is $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 41.9(73)$, taking account of a factor 4/3 for the undetected $K^0_L K^0_L$ decay, as noted for all kaon decays in the Table [III](#page-10-0) caption. BES II also observed kaon yields in this general mass region, but did not quote any numerical results [\[19\]](#page-20-17).

The tensor $f'_{2}(1525)$ in J/ψ decay

There is no evidence for the excitation of $f'_{2}(1525)$ in the $\pi\pi$ decay spectra. In the $K\bar{K}$ spectra $f_2(1525)$ is prominently excited, with the K^+K^- spectrum leading to $M(f'_2(1525)) = 1532(3)$ MeV. The K^+K^- spectrum leads to $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5 = 34.8(25)$, and the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ spectrum leads to $B_1 \times B_2(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times 10^5 = 18.4(24)$. The summed result is $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 70.9(46)$. The result from BES II with large background in the invariant mass spectra is $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5$ = $34.2(15)(\substack{69\\65})(\substack{155\\0})$ [\[20\]](#page-20-9).

The scalar $f_0(1710)$ in J/ψ decay

The scalar $f_0(1710)$ is prominently excited in both $\pi\pi$ decays and KK decays (Fig. [10\)](#page-9-0). The $\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum leads to the mass $M(f_0(1710))=1744(7)$ MeV and $\mathcal{B}_1\times\mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-)\times$ $10^5 = 27.9(25)$, and the $\pi^0 \pi^0$ spectrum leads to $B_1 \times$ $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\pi^{0}\pi^{0}) \times 10^{5} = 9.3(16)$, with the sum $\mathcal{B}_{1} \times \mathcal{B}_{2}(\pi \pi) \times 10^{5} =$ 37.2(30). BES II has reported $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 =$ 26.4(4)(75) and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0 \pi^0) \times 10^5 = 13.3(5)(88)$, and therefore $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 = 39.7(6)(116)$ [\[19\]](#page-20-17).

The K \overline{K} spectra: The K^+K^- spectrum leads to $M(1710) = 1706(4)$ MeV, and $B_1 \times B_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5 =$ 56.2(26), the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ spectrum leads to $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times$ $10^5 = 32.0(31)$. Our summed result is $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times$ $10^5 = 117.6(54)$. The corresponding result of BES II is $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 96.2(29)(\substack{211\\186})(\substack{281\\0})$ [\[20\]](#page-20-9).

Of the seven resonances listed in Table [III,](#page-10-0) unambiguous results for both $\pi\pi$ and KK decays are observed for only one resonance, $f_0(1710)$. This is largely due to the fact that all the resonances have widths much larger than the experimental resolution widths, and they strongly overlap. As a result, as described in Sec. [IX](#page-17-0), only for $f_0(1710)$ are we able to test the flavor-independent character attributed to pure glueballs.

Both K^+K^- and $K^0_S K^0_S$ spectra are very well defined in their high-energy tail region, and show no evidence for enhancement in the $M = 1790$ region which has been occasionally reported.

The $M = 1800 - 2500$ MeV region in J/ ψ decay

The mass region above $f_0(1710)$ is complicated with several f_0 , and f_2 resonances reported [\[27\].](#page-20-16) In particular, radiative decays of J/ψ have been reported to $f_0(1800)$, $f_0(2200)$, $f_2(1910)$, $f_2(1950)$, and to the much talked about tensor $f_2(2220)$. In the $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ mass spectra in the present investigation a broad enhancement at $M \approx$ 2100 MeV is seen in the $\pi\pi$ decays, and another broad enhancement at $M \approx 2200$ MeV is seen in the $K\bar{K}$ decays. The background is generally much larger than in the lowermass regions. This makes resonance assignments in this mass region rather speculative. With this caveat we fit the $\pi\pi$ spectra in this region with $f_0(2100)$ with the PDG width of 209 MeV, and the $K\bar{K}$ spectra with $f_0(2200)$ with the PDG width of 238 MeV. Fits to the $\pi\pi$ spectra lead to $M(f_0(2100)) = 2090(10)$ MeV, $B_1 \times B_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 =$ 44.3(33), $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0 \pi^0) \times 10^5 = 18.1(19)$, and the sum $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 = 62.4(48)$. Fits to the K \overline{K} spectra lead to $M(f_0(2200)) = 2206(12)$ MeV, $B_1 \times B_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5$ 26.1(19), and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times 10^5 = 17.8(51)$, and the total $B_1 \times B_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 58.6(49)$.

B. Resonances in $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays to pseudoscalar pairs

While searches for glueballs in radiative decays of J/ψ have been reported before, similar searches in $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays have not been reported before. The only reports for $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays which exist are a BES II publication with a sample of 4.02 million $\psi(2S)$ [\[19\]](#page-20-17), and an unpublished report with 14 million $\psi(2S)$ [\[22\].](#page-20-11) We present in this paper our results for the radiative decays observed in a sample of 24.5 million $\psi(2S)$.

As pointed out in Secs. [IV](#page-4-0)and [V,](#page-5-1) and shown in Fig. [7,](#page-6-1) the results for $\psi(2S)$ decays are very similar to those of J/ψ decays. The event statistics is smaller, due primarily to the "13% rule," and the backgrounds are relatively larger. The fits to the invariant mass spectra, and the determination of the product branching fractions for the $\psi(2S)$ decays was done in the same manner as for J/ψ decays. The results are tabulated in Table [IV,](#page-12-0) and the fits to the charged and neutral decays for $\pi \pi$ and $K \bar{K}$ spectra are shown in Fig. [11](#page-13-1).

The vectors $\rho(770)$ and $\phi(1020)$ in $\psi(2S)$ decay

In the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and K^+K^- invariant mass spectra we note the presence of the $\rho(770)$ and $\phi(1020)$ peaks as also indicated by the diagonal enhancements in the corresponding Dalitz plots of Fig. [8.](#page-7-0) These arise due to the production of $\rho(770)$ and $\phi(1020)$ vector mesons via initial-state radiation. To confirm this, we have analyzed our off- $\psi(2S)$ resonance data at $\sqrt{s} = 3671$ MeV with an integrated luminosity of 20.6 pb⁻¹. To compare the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and K^+K^- yields observed in these data with those observed in $\psi(2S)$ data we multiply the yields by the relative luminosity factor $\mathcal{L}(\psi(2S))/\mathcal{L}(3671) = 53/20.6 = 2.57$, and the factor $(s'/s) = (3686^2/3671^2)^3 = 1.02$. The normalized yields are shown in Fig. [12](#page-14-1) superposed on the $\psi(2S)$ data. It is seen that the normalized contributions fully account for the yield of $\rho(770)$ in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum, and for the yield of $\phi(1020)$ in the K⁺K[−] spectrum. It is also seen that the background contribution due to this ISR yield is predicted to be negligible for masses > 1 GeV.

The tensor $f_2(1270)$ in $\psi(2S)$ decay

As in J/ψ decay, the $f_2(1270)$ is prominently excited in both $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ decays. On the high-energy shoulders in both spectra we again see enhancement which is well fitted with the narrow $f_0(1500)$ rather than the narrow or wide $f_0(1370)$. The fit to $f_2(1270)$ results in $M = 1267(4)$ MeV, $B_1 \times B_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 =$ 17.0(7), $B_1 \times B_2(\pi^0 \pi^0) \times 10^5 = 6.9(5)$, and the sum $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 = 23.9(9)$.

In the K^+K^- spectrum there is a broad enhancement below 1500 MeV which cannot be assigned to $f_2(1270)$. Instead, it is well fitted with a wide resonance with a mass around 1350 MeV, as discussed below.

The scalar $f_0(1370)$ in $\psi(2S)$ decay

In the J/ψ decay to $\pi\pi$ it was not possible to discern the possible excitation of $f_0(1370)$ because of the strong excitation of $f_2(1270)$. As described in Sec. [V. A](#page-6-0), the small shoulder seen on the high-energy tail of $f_2(1270)$ could be assigned to $f_0(1500)$ but not to $f_0(1370)$. In the KK spectra for $\psi(2S)$ the $f_2(1270)$ excitation is much weaker. This allows us the opportunity to fit the mass region below 1525 MeV. The $\psi(2S)$ spectra have much smaller statistics than the J/ψ spectra, which makes it

TABLE IV. Fit results for $\psi(2S)$ decays to γR , $R \to PS$, PS. For each observed resonance R, results are presented for decays to $\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.24$), decays to $\pi^0\pi^0$ ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.19$), decays to K^+K^- ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.29$), and decays to $K_S^0 K_S^0$ ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 0.94$). For fits to $\pi^+\pi^-$ and K^+K^- , the resonance masses were kept free. For all fits their widths were fixed at their PDG2014 values. The summed branching fractions are $B_1 \times B_2(\pi \pi)$ $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-) + \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0\pi^0)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) = [\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K^+K^-) + \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K_S^0K_S^0)] \times (4/3)$.

$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma R$ R,			$R \to \pi \pi$	
$M(R), \Gamma(R), MeV$		M (MeV)	${\bf N}$	$\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2 \times 10^5$
$f_2(1270),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	1267(4)	1509(63)	17.0(7)
$1275(1), 185.0\binom{2.9}{2.4}$	$\pi^0\pi^0$		415(30)	6.9(5)
	$\pi\pi$		1924(70)	23.9(9)
$f_0(1500),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	1442(9)	261(40)	3.0(5)
1505(6), 109(7)	$\pi^0\pi^0$		13(17)	0.2(3)
	$\pi\pi$		274(43)	3.2(6)
$f_0(1710),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	1705(11)	237(30)	2.8(4)
$1720(6)$, $135(8)$	$\pi^0\pi^0$		53(14)	0.8(2)
	$\pi\pi$		290(33)	3.6(4)
$f_0(2100),$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	2099(17)	283(35)	3.4(4)
2103(8), 209(19)	$\pi^0\pi^0$		90(17)	1.4(3)
	$\pi\pi$		373(39)	4.8(5)
$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma R$ R,			$R \to K\bar{K}$	
$M(R), \Gamma(R), MeV$		M (MeV)	$\mathbf N$	$\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2 \times 10^5$
$f_0(1370),$	K^+K^-	1350(48)	168(50)	1.9(6)
1350(150), 346(77)	$K_S^0 K_S^0$		7(12)	0.4(4)
	КĪ		175(51)	3.1(10)
$f_2(1525),$	K^+K^-	1552(9)	113(24)	1.3(3)
$1525(5), 73\binom{6}{5}$	$K_S^0 K_S^0$		23(8)	0.9(3)
	$K\bar K$		136(25)	2.9(6)
$f_0(1710),$	K^+K^-	1690(8)	349(30)	4.1(3)
$1720(6)$, $135(8)$	$K_S^0 K_S^0$		26(8)	0.9(3)
	$K\bar K$		375(31)	6.7(6)
$f_0(2200),$	K^+K^-	2188(17)	203(30)	2.3(3)
2189(11), 238(50)	$K_S^0K_S^0$		4(9)	0.1(3)
	$K\bar K$		207(31)	3.2(6)

difficult to determine the properties of a wide, weakly excited resonance like the $f_0(1370)$. To explore its possible excitation, we have fitted the K^+K^- spectrum with the width of $f_0(1370)$ fixed to $\Gamma = 250, 300, 350$ MeV. The resulting masses were $M = 1356(41), 1353(45)$, $1349(48)$ MeV, i.e., in essential agreement with the determination of $M = 1360(31)$ MeV and $\Gamma = 346$ MeV for J/ψ decay.

For our final fit, we therefore assume a state with a width of 346 MeV. The fit to the K^+K^- spectrum yields a mass of $M = 1350(48)$ MeV. We identify it with $f₀(1370)$. The fit results to $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5 = 1.9(6)$, $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K_S^0K_S^0) \times$ $10^5 = 0.4(4)$, and the total $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 3.1(10)$. This fit has been made excluding the two bins near $M \sim 1350$ MeV, which would correspond to a very narrow state of Γ < 50 MeV, for which no plausible candidate exists.

The scalar $f_0(1500)$ in $\psi(2S)$ decay

The absence of $f'_{2}(1525)$ in $\pi\pi$ decay allows us to fit for $f_0(1500)$ with the results $M(f_0(1500)) = 1442(9)$ MeV, $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 = 3.0(5)$, $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^0\pi^0) \times 10^5 =$ 0.2(3), and the sum $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 = 3.2(6)$.

The strong excitation of $f_2'(1525)$ in $K\bar{K}$ decays makes it impossible to say anything about the possible excitation of $f_0(1500)$ in $K\bar{K}$ decays.

The tensor $f'_{2}(1525)$ in $\psi(2S)$ decay

No evidence for the $\pi\pi$ decay of $f_2'(1525)$ was found in the radiative decay of J/ψ , and none is found in the radiative decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$, either. In K⁺K[−] decay the strong excitation of $f'_{2}(1525)$ is observed, and the fit to the K^+K^- spectrum leads to $M(f'_2(1525)) = 1552(9)$ MeV and $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5 = 1.3(3)$. The fit to the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ spectrum leads to $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times 10^5 = 0.9(3)$. The total branching fraction is $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 2.9(6)$.

FIG. 11 (color online). Illustrating fits to the invariant mass distributions for $\psi(2S) \to \gamma \pi \pi$ and $\gamma K K$.

The scalar $f_0(1710)$ in $\psi(2S)$ decay

The scalar $f_0(1710)$ is strongly excited in both $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ decays. Fits to the $\pi\pi$ spectra lead to $M(f_0(1710)) = 1705(11)$ MeV, $B_1 \times B_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 =$ 2.8(4), and $B_1 \times B_2(\pi^0 \pi^0) \times 10^5 = 0.8(2)$, and the sum $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi \pi) \times 10^5 = 3.6(4)$. Fits to the K \bar{K} spectra lead to $M(f_0(1710)) = 1690(8)$ MeV, $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5 =$ 4.1(3), $B_1 \times B_2(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times 10^5 = 0.9(3)$, and the total $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 6.7(6)$.

The $M = 1800-2500$ MeV region in $\psi(2S)$ decay

As mentioned for J/ψ decays, in this region backgrounds are large and only a large, wide peak is observed in both $\pi\pi$ and KK spectra. Each of them may be a composite of the excitation of more than one resonance. If analyzed as single resonances, fits to the $\pi\pi$ spectra lead to $M(f_0(2100)) = 2099(17)$ MeV, $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(\pi^+\pi^-) \times 10^5 =$ 3.4(4), $B_1 \times B_2(\pi^0 \pi^0) \times 10^5 = 1.4(3)$, and the sum $B_1 \times$ $\mathcal{B}_2(\pi\pi) \times 10^5 = 4.8(5)$. Anisovich and Uman have reported the observation of a resonance with this mass in $p\bar{p}$ annihilations [\[27\]](#page-20-16). Fits to the KK spectra lead to $M(f_0(2200)) = 2188(17)$ MeV, $B_1 \times B_2(K^+K^-) \times 10^5$ 2.3(3), $B_1 \times B_2(K_S^0 K_S^0) \times 10^5 = 0.1(3)$, and the total $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2(K\bar{K}) \times 10^5 = 3.2(6)$. Augustin [\[17\]](#page-20-7) has reported the excitation of a resonance with this mass in radiative decay of J/ψ .

C. The $\xi(2230)$ tensor glueball candidate

While the main interest in glueball searches has been in the scalars, special interest has existed in the claim for a narrow tensor state with mass ∼2230 MeV, and width $\Gamma \approx 20-30$ MeV. This claim has a checkered history. Originally claimed by Mark III [\[15\]](#page-20-6) in the radiative decay of J/ψ , and the decay of ξ (2230) into K^+K^- and $K^0_S K^0_S$, it has gone through several claimed observations [\[15,18,29\]](#page-20-6), and a number of nonobservations [\[17,21,30](#page-20-7)–32]. The latest of these consist of its observation by ITEP in $\pi^- p$ formation and decay into $K_S^0 K_S^0$ [\[29\]](#page-20-19), its nonobservation by BABAR in the radiative decay of J/ψ into K^+K^- and $K_S^0 K_S^0$ [\[32\],](#page-20-20) and its nonobservation by BES III in the radiative decay of J/ψ and decay into $\eta \eta$ [\[21\].](#page-20-10) The present measurement allows us to search for it in the radiative decays of both J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$, with its decays into $\pi \pi$, $K\bar{K}$, and $\eta\eta$ pseudoscalar pairs. In the analyses of the invariant mass spectra as described above, we add a resonance with $M = 2230$ MeV, and widths of 20 and 50 MeV, and determine upper limits at the 90% confidence level for the product branching fractions for the existence of $\xi(2230)$. The results are listed in Table [V.](#page-14-2) They can be summarized as upper limits of $\leq 5 \times 10^{-5}$ in J/ψ decays and < 6×10^{-6} in $\psi(2S)$ decays. Our limits in J/ψ decays

FIG. 12 (color online). Data for $\pi^+\pi^-$ and K^+K^- mass distributions with superposed continuum contributions (in blue) estimated by normalizing continuum yields observed in offresonance data taken at $\sqrt{s} \approx 3671$ MeV.

are consistent with $\leq 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ reported by DM2 [\[17\]](#page-20-7) for K^+K^- and $K_S^0K_S^0$ decays, and $\leq 4 \times 10^{-5}$ reported by *BABAR* [\[32\]](#page-20-20) in K^+K^- and $K_S^0K_S^0$ decays. No evidence for its existence was found by BES III in the radiative decay of a very large sample of 2.25×10^8 J/ ψ to $\eta \eta$ [\[21\]](#page-20-10).

VI. SUMMARY AND COMPARISON OF RESULTS FOR RADIATIVE DECAYS OF J/ψ AND $\psi(2S)$ TO RESONANCES WITH MASSES M < 2500 MeV

In Table [VI](#page-15-2) we compare the results for all resonances observed in the radiative decays of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ to pairs of charged and neutral pseudoscalars. Several features are notable in Table [VI](#page-15-2).

As mentioned in Sec. [V. B](#page-11-0), the results for J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ decays are very similar for both charged and neutral decays. The masses are generally in agreement well within their statistical errors. Branching fraction results from BES, when available, are generally not in good agreement with ours. The BES results are based on directly produced J/ψ , and as mentioned before, the BES invariant mass spectra contain large backgrounds due to ISR contributions.

The so-called 13% rule: The "13% rule" mentioned earlier is generally invoked for hadronic decays of vector resonances of charmonium J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$, … $\psi(nS)$ because both their leptonic decays via one photon and their hadronic decays via three gluons are proportional to the wave function at the origin. Actually, the radiative decays to hadrons, via a photon plus two gluons have the same proportionality to the wave function at the origin, so that we expect, for example, the ratio of radiative decays to pseudoscalar pairs

$$
\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \to \gamma \text{PS}, \text{PS})/\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma \text{PS}, \text{PS}) \n= \mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \to e^+e^-)/\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) \n= 0.789 \times 10^{-2}/5.971 \times 10^{-2} = 13.44(30)\%.
$$

			90% C.L. UL	
	$\Gamma(\xi)$, MeV	N	$\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2$ $\times 10^5$	$B_1 \times B_2$ [Ref.] \times 10 ⁵
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$	20/50	23.0/45.1	2.6/5.2	$5.6\binom{27}{26}$ [18]
$\rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0)$	20/50	16.0/23.2	1.3/1.9	.
$\rightarrow \gamma(K^+K^-)$	20/50	25.4/44.6	1.7/3.1	$3.3\binom{16}{13}$ [18], 4.2($\binom{19}{16}$ [15]
$\rightarrow \gamma(K_{S}^{0}K_{S}^{0})$	20/50	11.5/19.1	1.2/2.0	$< 2.3(95\% \text{ C.L.})$ [17] $3.1\binom{17}{15}$ [18], $2.7\binom{14}{12}$ [15] $< 1.6(95\%$ C.L.) [17]
$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$	20/50	22.9/31.1	0.32/0.43	.
$\rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$	20/50	15.7/23.6	0.26/0.40	.
$\rightarrow \gamma(K^+K^-)$	20/50	18.9/38.0	0.21/0.43	.
$\rightarrow \gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)$	20/50	10.7/15.6	0.37/0.55	\cdots

TABLE V. Upper limits at the 90% confidence level for the production of the presumed tensor glueball candidate ξ (2230) in the reactions J/ψ , ψ (2S) $\rightarrow \gamma$ R, R \rightarrow PS, PS.

TABLE VI. Summary of results for J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays to light-quark resonances. For entries with two uncertainties, the first is statistical and the second is systematic as described in Sec. [VIII](#page-16-0) and listed in Tables [VIII](#page-17-1) and [IX](#page-17-2).

		From J/ψ		From $\psi(2S)$		
	M (MeV)	$\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2 \times 10^5$	M (MeV)	$\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2 \times 10^5$	$B_2(J/\psi)$ $(\%)$	
$f_2(1270) \to \pi \pi$	1259(4)(4)	174.4(52)(122)	1267(4)(3)	23.9(9)(9)	13.7(7)(11)	
$f_0(1370) \to K\bar{K}$	1360(31)(28)	41.9(73)(134)	1350(48)(15)	3.1(10)(14)	7.4(27)(41)	
$f_0(1500) \to \pi \pi$	1447(16)(13)	12.1(29)(24)	1442(9)(4)	3.2(6)(2)	26.4(80)(55)	
$f'_{2}(1525) \to K\bar{K}$	1532(3)(6)	70.9(46)(67)	1557(9)(3)	2.9(6)(3)	4.1(9)(6)	
$f_0(1710) \to \pi \pi$	1744(7)(5)	37.2(30)(43)	1705(11)(5)	3.6(4)(5)	9.7(13)(18)	
$\rightarrow K K$	1706(4)(5)	117.6(54)(94)	1690(8)(3)	6.7(6)(6)	5.7(6)(7)	
$f_0(2100) \to \pi \pi$	2090(10)(6)	62.4(48)(87)	2099(17)(8)	4.8(5)(9)	7.7(10)(18)	
$f_0(2200) \rightarrow K\overline{K}$	2206(12)(8)	58.6(49)(120)	2188(17)(16)	3.2(6)(8)	5.5(11)(18)	

In Table [VI](#page-15-2) we list this ratio for the various resonances we observe. The ratio is found to vary between $4.1(11)\%$ and 26.4(97)%. This is similar to the variation which has been observed for the pure hadronic decays [\[33\]](#page-20-21).

VII. RESONANCES WITH MASSES > 2500 MeV

A. Search for glueballs with masses in the 2.5–3.3 GeV region

One of the main reasons for our study of $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays in addition to those of J/ψ was to extend our glueball searches to masses in the region 2.5–3.3 GeV. As stated earlier, and illustrated in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) lattice calculations predict a $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ glueball with mass $M(0^{-+}) =$ $2560(35)(120)$ MeV, and a $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$ glueball with mass $M(2^{-+}) = 3040(40)(150)$ MeV. These 0⁻⁺ and 2⁻⁺ positive-charge-conjugation states can be excited in radiative decays of $\psi(2S)$, and we have searched for them and any other enhancements in the entire region, $M =$ 2.5–3.3 GeV, in their decays into pseudoscalar pairs, assuming three different values of their widths, $\Gamma(X) = 50$ MeV, 100 MeV and 150 MeV. The results are displayed in Fig. [14.](#page-18-0) No notable enhancement is found anywhere in the mass region, and upper limits for their branching fractions at the 90% confidence level have been

B. The χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} resonances of charmonium

As shown in the Dalitz plot of Fig. [8](#page-7-0), and the invariant mass projections in Fig. [13](#page-16-1) for $\psi(2S)$ radiative decay, we observe strongly populated χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} states of charmonium in their decay into $\pi \pi$, $K\bar{K}$, and $\eta \eta$. The branching fractions for these decays were reported by us earlier in the CLEO publication of Ref. [\[23\].](#page-20-12) The $\mathcal{B}_2(\chi_{c0,c2} \to PS, PS)$ branching fractions reported there, multiplied by $\mathcal{B}_1(\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{c0}) = 9.22\%$ and $\mathcal{B}_2(\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{c2}) =$ 9.33% used there, are quoted as $B_1 \times B_2 \times 10^5$ in Table [VII.](#page-16-2)

Ochs [\[5\]](#page-19-4) has emphasized that while it is difficult to study the flavor-independent decay of two-gluon glueball candidates to pseudoscalar pairs, the corresponding decays of $\chi_{c0}(J=0)$ and $\chi_{c2}(J=2)$, which are mediated by two gluons, provide an excellent opportunity to test the flavor independence ansatz. The values for $\pi \pi$, KK, and $\eta \eta$ decays listed in Table [VII](#page-16-2) lead to the ratios below:

The branching fraction ratios for χ_{c0} decays are seen to agree within experimental uncertainties with the theoretical expectations for two-gluon decays. The χ_{c2} ratios also agree with these expectations, except for decays to $\eta'\eta'$.

FIG. 13 (color online). Two-particle invariant mass distributions for selected $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma PS, PS, (PS \equiv \pi^{\pm}, \pi^0, K^{\pm}, K_S^0, \eta)$ decays, for the region $M > 2.5$ GeV. The χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} states are clearly seen. No evidence for any other states is seen.

TABLE VII. Product branching fractions for $\chi_{c0,2} \rightarrow PS, PS$ decays (based on Ref. [\[23\]\)](#page-20-12).

$B_1 \times B_2 \times 10^5$	χ_{c0}	χ_{c2}	
$\chi_{cJ}\to\pi^+\pi^-$	58.8(30)	14.8(8)	
$\chi_{cJ}\rightarrow\pi^0\pi^0$	27.1(30)	6.3(7)	
$\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \pi \pi$	85.9(42)	21.1(11)	
$\chi_{cJ}\to K^+K^-$	58.5(32)	10.6(6)	
$\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	32.2(18)	4.9(4)	
$\chi_{cJ}\to K\bar K$	120.9(49)	20.7(10)	
$\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \eta \eta$	29.2(31)	4.8(7)	
$\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$	19.4(20)	0.53(31)	
$\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \eta \eta'$	1.46(56)	0.13(29)	

VIII. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

Our estimates of systematic uncertainties in product branching fractions $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$, $\gamma K\bar{K}$, and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$, $\gamma K\bar{K}$, are listed in Table [VIII](#page-17-1). We estimate uncertainties due to reconstruction and particle identification as follows. We find a reconstruction uncertainty of 1% per charged particle, with an additional 1% and 2% per identified π^{\pm} and K^{\pm} , respectively, for the use of dE/dx and RICH information. We find a $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ identification uncertainty of 1%. We also find uncertainties of 2% per π^0 and 2% for the radiative photon. We add the contributions for each mode in quadrature to obtain the systematic uncertainties for this source. We estimate the uncertainty in our requirement that the kinematic fit have χ^2 < 25 by analyzing data with the requirements χ^2 < 20 and χ^2 < 30, and we take the largest variations as the systematic uncertainty due to this source. In resonance fits, our fixed parameters are the Breit-Wigner widths of the resonances and the MC-determined mass resolution. To estimate the uncertainties due to the fixed resonance widths, we refit the data, varying the widths by $\pm 1\sigma$ of their PDG values [\[27\]](#page-20-16), and we take the largest variation for each resonance as the systematic uncertainty due to this source. We also refit the data with the MCdetermined mass resolution varied by $\pm 10\%$, and find that this has no measurable effect on our results, since the resonances in our fits are all much wider than the mass resolutions. The uncertainty due to the backgrounds in the fits is obtained by varying the background normalization by $\pm 1\sigma$ around the central value obtained from the fits. The uncertainty due to the 25 MeV binning of the invariant mass spectra is obtained by analyzing the data with 15 MeV and 5 MeV bins and taking the largest variation as the systematic uncertainty due to this source. The uncertainty in the number of $\psi(2S)$ is 2%.

We estimate the uncertainty in our mass calibration by fitting the χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} resonances observed in the $\psi(2S)$ data. We find that the χ_{c0} and χ_{c2} masses in all decays agree with their known values [\[27\]](#page-20-16) within ± 2 MeV, and we take ± 2 MeV as the systematic uncertainty in our mass calibration. Other contributions to uncertainties in mass

		$J/\psi \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- / \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$					$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- / \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$	
	$f_2(1270)$	$f_0(1500)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2100)$	$f_2(1270)$	$f_0(1500)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2100)$
Reconstruction & PID	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	4/5	4/5	4/5	4/5
Kinematic fit	1/2	10/6	1/8	4/12	1/1	5/29	3/3	23/2
B-W widths	1/1	6/8	3/3	7/5	1/1	4/10	10/4	7/8
Background	1/1	3/13	6/5	7/6	1/2	2/2	5/9	10/11
Binning	1/1	2/5	2/1	2/2	1/1	1/29	2/1	2/1
$\rho \pi$ rejection	$5/-$	16/	$8/-$	$5/-$
Number of $\psi(2S)$	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/1	2/2
Total	8/6	21/18	12/11	13/15	5/6	8/43	13/12	26/15
			$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- / \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- / \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$	
	$f_0(1370)$	$f_2(1525)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2200)$	$f_0(1370)$	$f_2(1525)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2200)$
Reconstruction & PID	6/5	6/5	6/5	6/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
Kinematic fit	28/3	4/5	2/3	5/2	10/7	8/4	2/10	1/30
B-W widths	20/11	5/4	3/3	8/16	35/24	7/6	4/4	13/3
Background	11/6	1/1	1/2	2/11	26/100	6/5	7/10	22/100
Binning	3/4	1/3	1/1	1/2	24/15	6/1	3/2	2/40
K^*K rejection	28/	$5/-$	$6/-$	18/	.	.	.	\cdots
Number of $\psi(2S)$	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2	2/2

TABLE VIII. Summary of systematic uncertainties on branching fractions (in units of percent).

determinations from the sources described above are also listed in Table [IX](#page-17-2).

The sums in quadrature of all systematic uncertainties are also listed in Tables [VIII](#page-17-1) and [IX](#page-17-2). For the upper limits on product branching fractions reported in Table [V,](#page-14-2) we take the largest upper limits obtained from these variations as our final upper limit results.

IX. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND **CONCLUSIONS**

A. Branching fractions for light-quark resonances

Our final results for light-quark scalar and tensor resonances in the mass region1100–2200 MeV are sum-marized in Table [VI](#page-15-2). As mentioned earlier, for J/ψ

TABLE IX. Summary of systematic uncertainties on resonance masses (in units of MeV).

			$J/\psi \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$				$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$	
	$f_2(1270)$	$f_0(1500)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2100)$	$f_2(1270)$	$f_0(1500)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2100)$
Mass calibration	◠							
Kinematic fit								
B-W widths								
Background								
$\rho\pi$ rejection								
Binning								
Total		13	5	6	3		5.	
			$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^-$			$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$		
	$f_0(1370)$	$f_2(1525)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2200)$	$f_0(1370)$	$f_2(1525)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(2200)$
Mass calibration	2				2			
Kinematic fit					10			
B-W widths	25							
Background								
K^*K rejection								
Binning								
Total	28	6	5	8	24	3	3	6

radiative decays, results from BES measurements often differ significantly from our results. The differences in the results can be attributed mainly to the intrinsic differences between the methods of analysis. Our analyses are based on Breit-Wigner resonance formalism. BES's results are based on partial-wave analyses, which leads to large uncertainties depending on the number of resonances used in the analysis. The large ISR backgrounds in the BES invariant mass spectra for J/ψ radiative decay also contribute to the differences, particularly for weakly excited states. Our spectra for J/ψ radiative decay are free from ISR background contributions.

FIG. 14 (color online). Upper limits at the 90% confidence level of $\mathcal{B}_1(\psi(2S) \to R) \times \mathcal{B}_2(R \to \text{PS}, \text{PS})$ as function of resonance mass, M. The differently colored curves, from top to bottom, correspond to upper limits for assumed widths $\Gamma(R) = 150, 100$ and 50 MeV. The two-pseudoscalar invariant mass spectra are shown as the shaded histograms, with the scale of the observed counts on the right ordinate. The thick horizontal lines illustrate the mass ranges predicated in Ref. [\[12\]](#page-20-4) for the glueball candidates with $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ and 1^{-+} .

A resonance with a mass ∼1800 MeV has been suggested in hadronic decays of J/ψ [\[4\]](#page-19-3). We do not find evidence for such a state in either J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ radiative decays.

Our results for $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ decays are generally in agreement. The ratios of $\psi(2S)/J/\psi$ branching fractions vary between ∼5% and ∼25%. Similar variations from the 13% pQCD rule have been observed before for other decays [\[33\]](#page-20-21).

B. Results relating to the glueballs

1. The 2^{++} glueball $\xi(2230)$

We find no evidence for the claimed narrow tensor state $\xi(2230)$, and establish limits for its existence for assumed widths of 20 and 50 MeV in the different decay modes in terms of the product branching fraction at the 90% confidence level of $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma \xi \to \gamma(PS, PS)) < 5 \times$ 10^{-5} and $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \to \gamma \xi \to \gamma(PS, PS)) < 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$.

2. The 0^{-+} and 1^{-+} glueballs

As shown in Fig. [14,](#page-18-0) we do not find any resonance enhancement in $\pi \pi$, $K\bar{K}$, or $\eta \eta$ decay in radiative decays $\psi(2S)$ in the mass region 2.5–3.3 GeV in which 0⁻⁺ and 1⁻⁺ glueballs are predicted by lattice calculations [\[12\].](#page-20-4) At the 90% confidence level, upper limits for the product branching fractions between 10^{-5} and 10^{-6} are obtained for the assumed widths 50–150 MeV.

3. The scalar $f_0(1370)$

We find convincing evidence for the existence of $f_0(1370)$, although we are able to identify it only in its decay to $K\bar{K}$. Our inability to observe it in its $\pi\pi$ decay is due to the strong excitation of $f_2(1270)$ in its vicinity. The existence of $f_0(1370)$ has been considered to be the crucial evidence for the supernumery nature of scalars in this mass region, and therefore of the existence of a scalar glueball, albeit mixed with other scalars.

4. Flavor-blind decays

As mentioned in the Introduction, the relative decay rates to pseudoscalar pairs $\pi \pi : K\overline{K} : \eta \eta : \eta' \eta'$ of 3:4:1:1 is considered to be the defining signature of a pure, unmixed glueball. Our measurements of the $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ decays, allows us to examine this conjecture only for $f_0(1710)$ which is the only resonance for which we have successfully measured branching fractions for both decays. We obtain

$$
R = \frac{\mathcal{B}(f_0(1710) \to K\bar{K})}{\mathcal{B}(f_0(1710) \to \pi\pi)} = \frac{117.6(98)}{37.2(40)} = 3.2(4). \tag{4}
$$

The corresponding BES result for $f_0(1710)$ is $R(BES) =$ 2.8 (9) [\[19,20\]](#page-20-17). As quoted in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-19-3), the Mark III reanalysis result is $R(\text{Mark III}) = 3.7(\frac{16}{23}).$

Our result for the ratio $R = 3.2(4)$ differs from 1.33 expected for a pure glueball by 4.3σ , and can be considered as clear evidence that $f_0(1710)$ is not a *pure* glueball, although it may well contain an appreciable mixture of a scalar glueball in its wave function, as many mixing models suggest. It has been also pointed out to us that our measured ratio, $R = 3.2 \pm 0.4$ agrees with the prediction of $R = 3.0$ (without phase space correction) and $R = 3.7$ (with phase space correction) for $f_0(1710)$ as a member of the SU(3) octet.

To summarize, our measurements have confirmed the supernumary nature of light-quark scalars, and we find that $f₀(1710)$ is not a "pure" scalar glueball. Further, we find no evidence for the tensor glueball candidate $\xi(2230)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Professors E. Klempt and W. Ochs for valuable comments. This investigation was done using CLEO data, and as members of the former CLEO Collaboration we thank it for this privilege. This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

- [1] H. Fritzsch and M. Gell-Mann, in *Proceedings of the* 16th International Conference On High-Energy Physics, Batavia, Illinois, 6–13 September, 1972, edited by J. D. Jackson and A. Roberts (Fermilab, Batavia, 1973), vol. 2, p. 135.
- [2] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, [Nuovo Cimento A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02730295) 30, 393 [\(1975\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02730295)
- [3] R. Armenteros et al., in Proceedings of the Sienna International International Conference on Elementary Particles, Sienna, Italy, 30 September–5 October, 1963 (Societa Italiana di Fisica, Bologna, 1963), vol. 1, p. 287.
- [4] A very comprehensive review, in which the authors admittedly present strong opinions is E. Klempt and A. Zaitsev, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2007.07.006) 454, 1 (2007).
- [5] For the latest review, mostly devoted to scalar glueballs, see W. Ochs, The status of glueballs, J. Phys. G 40[, 043001 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/40/4/043001)
- [6] For example, R. Jaffe and K. Johnson, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(76)90423-8) 60, 201 [\(1976\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(76)90423-8) T. Barnes, F. E. Close, and S. Monaghan, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90331-5) Phys. B198[, 380 \(1982\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90331-5)
- [7] For example, T. Barnes, Z. Phys C 10[, 275 \(1981\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01549736); J. Cornwall and A. Soni, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)90481-1) 120, 431 (1983); F. Brau and C. Semay, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 014017 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.014017).

COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE RADIATIVE DECAYS … PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 052006 (2015)

- [8] For example, M. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B147[, 448 \(1979\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(79)90023-3); S. Narison, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00562-2) Phys. B509[, 312 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00562-2)
- [9] For example, G. S. Bali, K. Schilling, A. Hulsebos, A. C. Irving, C. Michael, and P. W. Stephenson (UKQCD Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)90948-H) 309, 378 (1993); J. Sexton, A. Vaccarino, and D. Weingarten, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4563) 75, 4563 [\(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4563)
- [10] C. J. Morningstar and M. Peardon, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.034509) 60, 034509 [\(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.034509)
- [11] M. Loan, X.-Q. Luo, and Z.-H. Luo, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X06029454) 21, [2905 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X06029454).
- [12] Y. Chen et al., Phys. Rev. D 73[, 014516 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.014516)
- [13] C. M. Richards, A. C. Irving, E. B. Gregory, and C. McNeile (UKQCD Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.034501) 82, 034501 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.034501)
- [14] C. Edwards et al. (Crystal Ball Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.25.3065) 25[, 3065 \(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.25.3065).
- [15] R. M. Baltrusaitis et al. (Mark III Collaboration), [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.35.2077) D 35[, 2077 \(1987\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.35.2077)
- [16] R. M. Baltrusaitis et al. (Mark III Collaboration), [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.107) Lett. 56[, 107 \(1986\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.107).
- [17] J. E. Augustin et al. (DM2 Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.2238) 60[, 2238 \(1988\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.2238).
- [18] J. Z. Bai et al. (BES II Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.3502) 76, [3502 \(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.3502).
- [19] M. Ablikim et al. (BES II Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.10.004) 642, [441 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.10.004).
- [20] J. Z. Bai et al. (BES II Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.052003) 68, [052003 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.052003)
- [21] M. Ablikim et al. (BES III Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.092009) 87, [092009 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.092009)
- [22] M. Ablikim et al. (BES II Collaboration), [arXiv:0710.2324.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0710.2324)
- [23] D. M. Asner et al. (CLEO Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.072007) 79, [072007 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.072007)
- [24] See, for example, S. Dobbs et al. (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 76[, 112001 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.112001).
- [25] R. Brun et al., CERN Long Writeup W5013 (1994) (unpublished).
- [26] D. J. Lange, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4) 462[, 152 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4).
- [27] K. A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), [Chin. Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001) 38, [090001 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001)
- [28] S. Uehara et al. (Belle Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.052004) 78, [052004 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.052004)
- [29] E. Fadeeva et al. (ITEP Collaboration), Proc. Sci., HEP (2005) 83.
- [30] C. Amsler et al. (Crystal Barrel Collaboration), [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01161-3) B 520[, 175 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01161-3).
- [31] S. B. Athar et al. (CLEO Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.032001) 73, [032001 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.032001)
- [32] P. del Amo Sanchez et al. (BABAR Collaboration), [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.172001) Rev. Lett. 105[, 172001 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.172001)
- [33] T. A. Appelquist and H. D. Politzer, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.34.43) 34, 43 [\(1975\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.34.43) see also Kamal K. Seth, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/9/1/004) 9, 32 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/9/1/004)