#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 101502(R) (2014)

### Negative mass bubbles in de Sitter spacetime

Saoussen Mbarek<sup>\*</sup> and M. B. Paranjape<sup>†</sup>

Groupe de physique des particules, Département de physique, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, succursale centre-ville, Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada (Received 5 July 2014; revised manuscript received 26 August 2014; published 14 November 2014)

We study the possibility of the existence of negative mass bubbles within a de Sitter spacetime background with matter content corresponding to a perfect fluid. It is shown that there exist configurations of the perfect fluid that satisfy everywhere the dominant energy condition, the Einstein equations and the equations of hydrostatic equilibrium which asymptotically approach the exact solution of Schwarzschild— de Sitter spacetime with a negative mass.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.101502

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.40.Nr

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Schwarzschild solution [1] is an exact vacuum solution of the Einstein equations which contains one free parameter, the mass. The solution is singular at Schwarzschild coordinate r = 0. For a positive mass, the solution is called a black hole, and the singularity is hidden behind a null surface called the event horizon. The singularity, rather than being concentrated at a point, actually occurs on a future spacelike hypersurface. If an observer stays outside the event horizon, he is safe from the singularity. However, if he crosses over the null surface and enters the black hole he cannot avoid the singularity, just as he cannot avoid aging, and in finite time he is ripped apart by the infinite gravitational stresses that occur at the singularity. On the other hand, for a negative mass, the singularity is naked as it has no event horizon cloaking it. However, it is pointlike, and occurs at a fixed spatial position. An observer can view the singularity from a distance, and in principle, every observer who chooses not to impinge on the singularity can avoid it forever. Indeed, the singularity of the negative mass Schwarzschild solution appears to be relatively benign compared to the one of a positive mass.

We are commonly exposed to singularities of the type exhibited by the negative mass Schwarzschild geometry. For example consider a point charge in electrodynamics. The corresponding electric field is in principle, singular at the location of the point charge, and the total energy is infinite. We are content with the understanding that from a large distance, a singular point charge is harmless, we can simply avoid it, and at close distance we expect that the singular nature of the charge will be smoothed out by a concentrated but nonsingular charge density. The analogous situation in the context of general relativity and the negative mass Schwarzschild solution is to ask if there is a nonsingular distribution of energy-momentum density that smooths out its singularity. This question was analyzed in [2] and it was concluded that for asymptotically Minkowski spacetime, it is actually easy to remove the singularity in the metric, but only at the expense of introducing other pathologies in the system that are untenable.

The physical definition of the mass is subjective. It can mean the total Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass [3] or the Bondi mass [4] or the Komar mass [5], depending on the symmetries and asymptotics of the metrics involved. We take the very physical viewpoint of defining the mass as that parameter which would appear in the Newton dynamical equations in the limit of slowly moving sources and weak gravitational forces. This mass is exactly the Schwarzschild-like mass parameter that appears in the metric, as shown by Bondi in [6] and Luttinger [7].

A nonsingular matter distribution that is physically reasonable and not pathological must correspond to an energy momentum tensor that is nonsingular but additionally, satisfies everywhere the dominant energy condition [8]. This condition is equivalent to the statement that no Lorentz observer can observe the energy-momentum to be moving out of the future directed light cone. It was observed in [2] that any nonsingular matter distribution that asymptotically approaches the negative mass Schwarzschild metric must violate the dominant energy condition. The reason is because of the positive energy theorem [9], which states that in an asymptotically Minkowski spacetime, any energy momentum tensor that everywhere satisfies the dominant energy condition must give rise to a metric that has positive ADM mass [3]. The negative mass Schwarzschild metric evidently has a negative ADM mass. Therefore, there cannot exist a smooth configuration of energy-momentum that everywhere satisfies the dominant energy condition and asymptotically approaches the negative mass Schwarzschild metric. Thus the negative mass Schwarzschild solution remains an unphysical solution and the question of its meaning is still unanswered.

However, it is possible to evade the positive energy theorem by relaxing any of the assumptions that it requires.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Present address: Department of Physics, University of Waterloo.

smbarek@uwaterloo.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>paranj@lps.umontreal.ca

#### SAOUSSEN MBAREK AND M. B. PARANJAPE

In [2], it was pointed out that removing the condition that the spacetime be asymptotically Minkowski is a useful reduction. In this paper we consider asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes. Positive energy theorems and the analysis of the positivity of the mass have been done in such spacetimes [10–14], but our analysis avoids their conclusions. The papers [10] prove the positivity of the mass associated with the conformal time translation Killing vector. Although positive, this mass is time dependent as far as the static time coordinate is concerned, and thus not what we would like to consider as the mass. The papers [11] and [13] prove the positivity of the Abbot-Deser (AD) mass as defined by [12], but only if it is assumed to be positive on an initial surface. We do not make that assumption. The paper [14] makes stringent conditions on the asymptotic spacetime, which also guarantees the positivity of the mass, however we do not impose the same conditions. Finally the paper [15] gives a nice counterexample to the conjecture that locally asymptotically flat spacetimes must also have positive mass. But none of these papers consider our specific, physical problem of a perfect fluid in an asymptotically de Sitter spacetime.

The Schwarzschild–de Sitter solutions are exact solutions of the Einstein equations in the presence of a constant, background energy-momentum density (or equivalently with cosmological constant), which correspond to an exponentially evolving spacetime geometry with a singular, pointlike mass. Explicitly the metric is given by

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2(\Lambda r^{3}/6 + M)}{r}\right) dt^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2(\Lambda r^{3}/6 + M)}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega^{2}.$$
 (1)

The solutions contain two parameters, the value of the mass M, and the value of the constant energy density (or cosmological constant),  $\Lambda$ . Either can be positive or negative. Negative  $\Lambda$  gives rise to anti-de Sitter spacetime, which, although of great interest recently [16], already on its own does not satisfy the dominant energy condition. Positive  $\Lambda$  gives rise to de Sitter spacetime. The mass parameter, M, as expected describes a positive or negative pointlike mass depending on its sign. The positive mass is hidden behind an event horizon, while the negative mass singularity is naked, and occurs at a fixed spatial point. The positive energy theorem [9] is not applicable since the spacetime is not asymptotically Minkowski. The negative mass singularity can be smoothed out in a de Sitter background while maintaining the dominant energy condition everywhere, as was shown in [2], however, no attempt was made to find any type of energy-momentum which could give rise to the deformation that is required. In this paper we show that energy-momentum corresponding to a perfect fluid can be used to provide a suitable deformation. We find bubblelike configurations which

# PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 101502(R) (2014)

are nonsingular, satisfy the dominant energy condition everywhere and give rise to a metric that asymptotically approaches the negative mass Schwarzschild–de Sitter geometry.

#### **II. EQUATIONS OF MOTION**

The metric of a spherically symmetric spacetime can be taken as

$$ds^{2} = B(r)dt^{2} - A(r)dr^{2} - r^{2}d\theta^{2} - r^{2}sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}.$$
 (2)

This metric is required to be a solution of the Einstein field equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} \tag{3}$$

where we take that c = G = 1. It has been commonly understood that the left-hand side of Eq. (3) depends on the geometry of the spacetime and the right-hand side depends on the matter content of the Universe and its distribution. We wish to solve the Einstein field equations for matter corresponding to a perfect fluid, where the metric asymptotically approaches the exact solution corresponding to a negative mass Schwarzschild–de Sitter geometry. A perfect fluid is described by a stress-energy tensor of the form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -pg_{\mu\nu} + (p+\rho)U_{\mu}U_{\nu}$$
(4)

where p and  $\rho$  are respectively the pressure and the density of the perfect fluid when  $U_{\mu}$  is its four vector velocity. We choose a frame of reference where the fluid is at rest, then this four vector velocity is defined by  $U_{\mu} = (\sqrt{B}, 0, 0, 0)$ , so that it obeys  $U_{\mu}U^{\mu} = 1$ . This means that the only nonzero terms of the stress-energy tensor are

$$T_{00} = B\rho \quad T_{11} = Ap \quad T_{22} = Apr^2 \quad T_{33} = \sin^2\theta T_{22}.$$
 (5)

The Einstein equations in the presence of a perfect fluid are well known, for example in [17], or in any other standard reference on general relativity, however, we record them here for completeness. We get three independent equations:

$$\frac{B''}{2A} - \frac{B'}{4A} \left[ \frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A} \right] + \frac{B'}{rA} = 4\pi (3p + \rho)B, \qquad (6)$$

$$-\frac{B''}{2B} + \frac{B'}{4B} \left[ \frac{B'}{B} + \frac{A'}{A} \right] + \frac{A'}{rA} = 4\pi(\rho - p)A,$$
(7)

$$1 - \frac{r}{2A} \left[ \frac{B'}{B} - \frac{A'}{A} \right] - \frac{1}{A} = 4\pi (\rho - p) r^2.$$
 (8)

These equations are underdetermined as they correspond to three equations among four fields in one independent variable, however, we will use them to determine the pressure p, the density  $\rho$ , and the time component of the

## NEGATIVE MASS BUBBLES IN DE SITTER SPACETIME

metric *B* as a function of the gravitational effective mass function m(r). A fourth equation corresponding to the condition of hydrostatic equilibrium is actually not an independent equation, and in fact a consequence of the covariant conservation of the energy-momentum tensor, which in turn is equivalent to the consistency requirement of the Einstein equation with the Bianchi identities. It corresponds to

$$\frac{B'}{B} = \frac{-2p}{p+\rho}.$$
(9)

Usually, to render the system of equations deterministic, a further equation is introduced corresponding to the equation of state,  $p = p(\rho)$ , which then picks out a unique solution. However we will not impose such an equation, we will instead parametrize

$$A = \left(1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}\right)^{-1},\tag{10}$$

and choose m(r) explicitly, which has the interpretation as the mass inside the radius r. We then find the solution (numerically) for p(r),  $\rho(r)$  and B(r) dependent on our choice of m(r). It will be clear from our solution that an equation of state connecting p(r) and  $\rho(r)$  must exist implicitly.

We can calculate the expression for the density through the operation  $\frac{\text{Eq.}(6)}{2B} + \frac{\text{Eq.}(7)}{2A} + \frac{\text{Eq.}(8)}{r^2}$  to obtain

$$\rho(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{m'(r)}{r^2} \right) \tag{11}$$

and we can also get an equation for the time component of the metric *B* using the operation  $\frac{\text{Eq.}(6)}{2B} + \frac{\text{Eq.}(7)}{2A} - \frac{\text{Eq.}(8)}{r^2}$  to obtain

$$\frac{B'}{B} = \frac{2}{r^2} [m(r) + 4\pi r^3 p] A.$$
(12)

Finally, we can combine Eq. (12) with Eqs. (6)–(8) to get

$$p'(r) = \frac{4\pi r^2}{2m(r) - r} p^2(r) + \frac{m(r) + rm'(r)}{r(2m(r) - r)} p(r) + \frac{m'(r)m(r)}{4\pi r^3(2m(r) - r)}.$$
(13)

To be able to numerically solve the equations, we need to define the gravitational effective mass function m(r). We obtain a smooth function through the following steps. We start with the function

# PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 101502(R) (2014)

$$\tilde{m}(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < x \\ a(r-x)^3 & \text{if } x < r < y \\ \frac{\Lambda r^3}{6} - M & \text{if } r > y \end{cases}$$
(14)

where *x*, *y* and *a* are parameters which are constrained by imposing that the interpolation be  $C^2$  at *x* and *y*, and we have redefined  $M \rightarrow -M$ , see [18]. This implies

$$y = \sqrt{\frac{6M}{\Lambda x}} \quad a = \frac{\Lambda y^2}{6(y-x)^2}.$$
 (15)

In the region r > y the spacetime becomes the exact negative mass Schwarzschild–de Sitter spacetime. Then we define a  $C^{\infty}$  interpolation by

$$m(r) = \left(\frac{1 + \tanh\omega(r-x)}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1 - \tanh\omega(r-y)}{2}\right) a(r-x)^{3} + \left(\frac{1 + \tanh\omega(r-y)}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\Lambda r^{3}}{6} - M\right)$$
(16)

for a suitable value of  $\omega$ , as shown in Fig. 1.

Our choice for  $\tilde{m}(r)$  is motivated by the analysis done in [2] where it was shown that the dominant energy condition is satisfied if

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{\tilde{m}'(r)}{r^2}\right) \le 0 \quad \frac{d}{dr}(\tilde{m}'(r)r^2) \ge 0.$$
(17)

Although the analysis done in [2] does not exactly apply since there it was further assumed that  $B = 1 - 2\tilde{m}(r)/r$ , which we do not assume here,  $\tilde{m}(r)$  satisfies the conditions of Eq. (17). The dominant energy condition for energy-momentum described by an ideal fluid simply requires

$$\rho(r) \ge 0 \quad \rho(r) \ge |p(r)|, \tag{18}$$

which we will find are respected by our solution of the field equations.

The de Sitter horizon is given by

$$2m(r) - r = 0, (19)$$

which must be at a much larger radius than the size of the bubble given by *y* for a sensible configuration. The solution of Eq. (19) must occur when *r* is in the Schwarzschild–de Sitter region of the spacetime,  $r \gg y$ . Neglecting *M* then gives

$$2\left(\frac{\Lambda r^3}{6} - M\right) - r = 0 \Rightarrow r \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}.$$
 (20)

Comparing this with the expression for y from Eq. (15) yields

SAOUSSEN MBAREK AND M. B. PARANJAPE

$$\sqrt{\frac{6M}{\Lambda x}} \ll \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}.$$
 (21)

Using these conditions combined with the expression of m(r), we get the numerical solution for the density and the pressure of the perfect fluid,  $\rho(r)$  and p(r), given respectively in Figs. 2 and 3.

We note that the pressure is negative and interpolates from p(r) = 0 in the interior where the spacetime is Minkowski to  $p(r) = -\rho(r)$ , which is the normal solution



FIG. 1 (color online). m(r) for the parameters x = .1,  $\Lambda = .001$ , M = .01,  $y \approx 245$ .



FIG. 2 (color online). Density  $\rho(r)$  for the parameters x = .1G = 1,  $\Lambda = .001$ , M = .01,  $y \approx 245$ .



FIG. 3 (color online). Pressure p(r) for the parameters x = .1G = 1,  $\Lambda = .001$ , M = .01,  $y \approx 245$ .



FIG. 4 (color online).  $\ln AB$  for the parameters x = .1 G = 1,  $\Lambda = .001$ , M = .01,  $y \approx 245$ .

for de Sitter spacetime. The numerical solution for B(r) is not particularly simple to obtain because of numerical instability. Instead of directly numerically integrating Eq. (9), or Eq. (12), it is better to find an expression for AB, which comes straightforwardly from adding  $\frac{Eq.(6)}{A} + \frac{Eq.(7)}{B}$ :

$$(\ln AB)' = 8\pi G(\rho(r) + p(r))rA.$$
 (22)

Numerically integrating Eq. (22) yields the curve in Fig. 4.

Thus our solution for the metric is given by Eq. (10) for A(r), where m(r) is explicitly chosen as in Eq. (16) and B(r) obtained from numerically integrating Eq. (22) for  $\ln(AB)$  and then trivially solving  $B(r) = e^{\ln(AB)}/A$ . To perform this integration, we need to first find  $\rho(r)$ , which is given by Eq. (11) and p(r), which is obtained by numerically integrating Eq. (13) and shown in Fig. 3.

From Figs. 3 and 2 we can see the combination  $(\rho(r) + p(r))$  vanishes as *r* becomes large. Thus the expectation is that *AB* becomes a constant for large *r* as can be seen from Fig. 4. This constant can be taken to be 1, although it is not so in Fig. 4 as the integration of Eq. (22) is only determined up to a constant. Notice that the Einstein equations, Eqs. (6)–(9), all are homogeneous in *B*. If *B* is a solution



FIG. 5 (color online).  $p(r)/\rho(r)$  for the parameters x = .1G = 1,  $\Lambda = .001$ , M = .01,  $y \approx 245$ .

## NEGATIVE MASS BUBBLES IN DE SITTER SPACETIME

then so is  $\alpha B$  for any constant (positive)  $\alpha$ . Thus asymptotically, B = 1/A and the solution approaches the exact negative mass Schwarzschild–de Sitter solution.

If we plot  $p(r)/\rho(r)$  we find the curve given by Fig. 5, which is bounded between 0 and -1. It is clear then, within the limits of numerical accuracy, that the constraints of the dominant energy condition, Eq. (18) are satisfied.

# **III. CONCLUSIONS**

We have shown that there exist very physical configurations of an ideal fluid which give rise to solutions of the Einstein equations that correspond asymptotically to negative mass Schwarzschild–de Sitter spacetimes. The energy-momentum tensor that gives rise to such spacetimes is perfectly physical, it satisfies everywhere the dominant energy condition. Since the spacetime is not asymptotically

# PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 101502(R) (2014)

flat, we evade the positive energy theorems which would not allow for negative mass. Negative mass configurations therefore can exist in de Sitter backgrounds, exactly as have been proposed for the inflationary phase of the early Universe. If a mechanism for production of pairs of particles with positive and negative mass can be determined, in the early Universe there would be a plasma of positive and negative mass particles. Such a plasma would in principle cause an effective screening of gravitational waves, being essentially opaque for frequencies below the plasma frequency.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and Mission Universitaire de Tunisie en Amérique du Nord of Tunisia for financial support.

- K. Schwarzschild, Sitzung. König. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 7, 189 (1916).
- [2] J. Belletête and M. B. Paranjape, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 22, 1341017 (2013).
- [3] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. Misner, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 11, 116 (1960).
- [4] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van de Burg, and A. W. K. Metzner, Proc. R. Soc. A 269, 21 (1962).
- [5] A. Komar, Phys. Rev. 113, 934 (1959).
- [6] H. Bondi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 423 (1957).
- [7] J. M. Luttinger, http://www.gravityresearchfoundation.org/ pdf/awarded/1951/luttinger.pdf (1951).
- [8] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973), p. 91.
- [9] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, Commun. Math. Phys. 65, 45 (1979); 79, 231 (1981); E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 80, 381 (1981).

- [10] D. Kastor and J. Traschen, Classical Quantum Gravity 19, 5901 (2002); T. Shiromizu, D. Ida, and T. Torii, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2001) 010.
- [11] T. Shiromizu, Phys. Rev. D 49, 5026 (1994).
- [12] L.F. Abbot and S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. **B195**, 76 (1982).
- [13] K. Nakao, T. Shiromizu, and K. Maeda, Classical Quantum Gravity 11, 2059 (1994).
- [14] P. T. Chrusciel, J. Jezierski, and J. Kijowski, Phys. Rev. D 87, 124015 (2013).
- [15] C. Le Brun, Commun. Math. Phys. 118, 591 (1988).
- [16] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998).
- [17] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley, New York, 1972), p. 299.
- [18] We have replaced M in Eq. (1) with -M here and from now on, as we are interested in a negative mass configuration.