Radiative and dilepton decays of the hadronic molecule $Z_c^+(3900)$

Thomas Gutsche,¹ Matthias Kesenheimer,¹ and Valery E. Lyubovitskij^{1,2,3}

¹Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen, Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics,

Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

²Department of Physics, Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia

³Mathematical Physics Department, Tomsk Polytechnic University,

Lenin Avenue 30, 634050 Tomsk, Russia

(Received 2 October 2014; published 13 November 2014)

The newly observed hidden-charm meson $Z_c^+(3900)$ with quantum numbers $J^P = 1^+$ is considered as a hadronic molecule composed of $\bar{D}D^*$. We give detailed predictions for the decay modes $Z_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and $Z_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) in a phenomenological Lagrangian approach.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.094013

PACS numbers: 13.20.Gd, 13.25.Gv, 14.40.Rt, 36.10.Gv

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently the three experimental collaborations BESIII [1], Belle [2], and CLEO-c [3] reported on the observation of a new charged resonance $Z_c(3900)$ detected via the decay channel $J/\psi \pi^{\pm}$. This observation of a charged, hidden charm state embedded in the charmonium spectrum presents clear evidence for an exotic meson resonance. Interpretations of this unusual state were immediately presented, dominantly focusing on either a compact tetraquark configuration [4–6] or a molecular state [7–11].

One of the tools in identifying the underlying structure rests on the study of the decay patterns of the Z_c in addition to the discovery decay mode $J/\psi \pi^{\pm}$. For example, predictions for the strong two-body transitions $Z_c^+ \rightarrow H + \pi^+$ with $H = \Psi(nS), h_c(mP)$ were already worked out in the context of a hadronic molecule interpretation [11] (see also extension on bottom sector Z_h [12]). In the present work we extend to the radiative and dilepton decays of the hadronic molecule $Z_c^+(3900)$ proceeding as $Z_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and $Z_c^+ \to$ $J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^- (\ell = e, \mu)$. Radiative and dilepton decays can shed light on the composite structure of the $Z_c^+(3900)$: decay patterns and decay widths will depend on the structure assumption such as a hadronic molecule, a tetraquark configuration, or even a mixed state of these components. Although radiative decays are suppressed due to the strength of the interaction particular final states such as $J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ are relatively easy to identify experimentally. The analysis is based on a phenomenological Lagrangian approach [12–14] together with the compositeness condition [15-17], which is a powerful tool to formulate hadrons as bound states of their constituents using methods of quantum field theory.

When treating the Z_c^+ as a hadronic molecule we assume that this state together with the negative $Z_c(3900)^-$ and the neutral $Z_c(3900)^0$ partners form an isospin triplet with spin and parity quantum numbers $J^P = 1^+$ (as for example briefly discussed in Ref. [13]). Therefore the charged hidden-charm meson resonance Z_c^+ is set up as a superposition of the molecular configurations $\bar{D}D^*$ as

$$|Z_c^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |D^{*+}\bar{D}^0 + \bar{D}^{*0}D^+\rangle.$$
 (1)

Note that analogous states in the bottom sector (Z_b^+) and Z_b^{+} have also been considered previously Ref. [12].

To evaluate the radiative and dilepton decays of the molecular state Z_c^+ we proceed in the present paper as follows. In Sec. II we briefly review the basic ideas of our approach. We set up the new resonance Z_c^+ as a $\overline{D}D^*$ molecular state and specify the relevant interaction Lagrangians for the description of the three- and four-body decays $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^- (\ell^- e, \mu)$. In Sec. III we introduce and discuss the kinematics of the many-body transitions. In Sec. IV we present numerical results and the discussion.

II. FRAMEWORK

Our approach to the molecular Z_c^+ state is based on an interaction Lagrangian describing its coupling to the constituents as

$$\mathcal{L}^{0}_{Z_{c}DD^{*}}(x) = Z^{+\mu}_{c}(x)\bar{J}^{\mu}_{Z_{c}}(x) + Z^{-\mu}_{c}(x)J^{\mu}_{Z_{c}}(x), J^{\mu}_{Z_{c}}(x)$$

$$= \frac{g_{Z_{c}}}{\sqrt{2}}M_{Z_{c}}\int d^{4}y\Phi_{Z_{c}}(y^{2})$$

$$\times (D^{+}(x_{+})\bar{D}^{*0}_{\mu}(x_{-}) + D^{*+}_{\mu}(x_{+})\bar{D}^{0}(x_{-})), \quad (2)$$

where $x_+ \equiv x + w_1 y$, $x_- \equiv x - w_2 y$. Here x is the centerof-mass coordinate, y is the relative (Jacobi) coordinate of the constituents and $w_1 = m_D/(m_D + m_{D^*})$ and $w_2 = m_{D^*}/(m_D + m_{D^*})$ are the fractions of the masses of the constituents. The dimensionless constant g_{Z_c} describes the coupling strength of the Z_c^+ to the molecular $\overline{D}D^*$ components. $\Phi_{Z_c}(y^2)$ is a correlation function which describes the distribution of the constituent mesons in the bound state. A basic requirement for the choice of an explicit form of the correlation function $\Phi_{Z_c}(y^2)$ is that its Fourier transform vanishes sufficiently fast in the ultraviolet region of Euclidean space to render the Feynman diagrams ultraviolet finite. We adopt a Gaussian form for the correlation function. The Fourier transform of this vertex function is given by $\Phi_{Z_c}(p_E^2/\Lambda^2) \equiv \exp(-p_E^2/\Lambda^2)$, where p_E is the Euclidean Jacobi momentum. A is a size parameter characterizing the distribution of the two constituent mesons in the Z_c^+ system. For a molecular system where the binding energy is negligible in comparison with the constituent masses this size parameter is expected to be smaller than 1 GeV. From our previous analyses of the strong two-body decays of the X, Y, Z meson resonances and of the $\Lambda_c(2940)$ and $\Sigma_c(2880)$ baryon states we deduced a value of maximally $\Lambda \sim 1$ GeV [18]. For a very loosely bound system like the X(3872) a size parameter of $\Lambda \sim 0.5$ GeV [19] is more suitable. Here we choose values for Λ in the range of 0.5–0.75 GeV which reflect a weakly bound heavy meson system. Once Λ is fixed the coupling constant q_{7} is then determined by the compositeness condition [11, 14, 17]. It implies that the renormalization constant of the hadron wave function is set equal to zero with:

$$Z_{Z_c} = 1 - \Sigma'_{Z_c}(M_{Z_c}^2) = 0.$$
(3)

 Σ'_{Z_c} is the derivative of the transverse part of the mass operator $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}_{Z_c}$ of the molecular states [see Fig. 1], which is defined as

$$\Sigma_{Z_c}^{\mu\nu}(p) = \left(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{p^2}\right)\Sigma_{Z_c}(p) + \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{p^2}\Sigma_{Z_c}^L(p).$$
 (4)

We would like to stress again, that the size effects of the constituent D mesons in the $Z_c^+(3900)$ are taken into account by the dimensional parameter Λ and the coupling constant g_{Z_c} . These parameters are constrained by the compositeness condition (4)—the key condition for a study of bound states in quantum field theory. It is equivalent to the normalization of the wave function in Bethe-Salpeter approaches and to the Ward identity, relating hadronic electromagnetic vertex functions to the derivative of their mass operators on the mass-shell (see detailed discussion in Refs. [15–17]).

An analytical expression for the coupling g_{Z_c} is given in Appendix A. In the calculation the mass of the Z_c is expressed in terms of the constituent masses and the binding energy ϵ (a variable quantity in our calculations): $m_{Z_c} = m_D + m_{D^*} - \epsilon$ where ϵ is the binding energy. In



FIG. 1. Mass operator for the Z_c .

order to calculate the three- and four-body decays $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^- (\ell^- e, \mu)$ we need to specify additional phenomenological Lagrangians. Their interaction vertices occur in meson-loop diagrams which generate the decay modes. For this purpose the lowest-order Lagrangian \mathcal{L} is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{Z_c}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{Z_cDD^*}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{DD^*J/\psi\pi}(x) + \mathcal{L}_D(x) + \mathcal{L}_{D^*}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\pi}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{J/\psi}(x), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{V}(x) = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}(x)G^{\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{2}M_{V}^{2}V_{\mu}(x)V^{\mu}(x),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{S}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(D^{\mu}S(x))^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m_{S}^{2}S^{2}(x)$$
(6)

are the free Lagrangians of the spin-1 mesons $V = Z_c$, D^* , J/ψ and spin-0 mesons $S = \pi$, D, respectively; $G^{\mu\nu} = \nabla^{\mu}V^{\nu} - \nabla^{\nu}V^{\mu}$ is the stress tensor of vector/axial mesons, $\nabla^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} - ieA^{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative including the electromagnetic field in case of charged states and e is the corresponding electric charge of hadron H. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{Z_cDD^*}(x)$ is the gauge-invariant extension of the strong $Z_c^+DD^*$ interaction Lagrangian (2). It includes photons by gauging with the path integral $I(x, y) = \int_x^y A_{\mu}dw^{\mu}$ resulting in

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z_c D D^*}(x) = \frac{g_{Z_c}}{\sqrt{2}} M_{Z_c} Z_c^{-\mu}(x) \int d^4 y \Phi_{Z_c}(y^2) e^{-ieI(x_+, x)} \times (D^+(x_+) \bar{D}_{\mu}^{*0}(x_-) + D_{\mu}^{*+}(x_+) \bar{D}^0(x_-)) + \text{H.c.}$$
(7)

This Lagrangian is manifestly gauge-invariant. As discussed in detail in Refs. [20–25], the presence of the vertex form factor in the interaction Lagrangian (like the strong-interaction Lagrangian describing the coupling of Z_c to its constituents [Eq. (2)]) requires special care in establishing gauge invariance. One of the possibilities is provided by a modification of the charged fields which are multiplied by an exponential containing the electromagnetic field and the electrical charge *e*. This procedure was first suggested in Ref. [26] and applied in Refs. [14,27–30]. In doing so the fields of the charged $\mathcal{D} = D, D^*$ mesons are modified as

$$\mathcal{D}^+(x_+) \to e^{-ieI(x_+, x, P)} \mathcal{D}^+(x_+), \tag{8}$$

which leads to the electromagnetic gauge-invariant Lagrangian (7). The interacting terms up to first order in A^{μ} are obtained by expanding $\mathcal{L}_{Z_cDD^*}(x)$ in terms of $I(x_+, x)$. Diagrammatically the first order term gives rise to a nonlocal vertex with an additional photon line attached [see Fig. 2].



FIG. 2. Photon attached to the nonlocal vertex.

In the calculation of the three- and four-body decays $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^- (\ell^- = e, \mu)$ we also need the four-particle $DD^*J/\psi \pi$ vertex generated by a phenomenological Lagrangian proposed in Ref. [11]

$$\mathcal{L}_{DD^*J/\psi\pi}(x) = -g_{DD^*J/\psi\pi}J^{\mu\nu}(x)\bar{D}^*_{\nu}(x)\nabla_{\mu}\hat{\pi}(x)D(x) + \text{H.c.}$$
(9)

where ∇_{μ} is the covariant derivative. The coupling constant $g_{DD^*J/\psi\pi}$ is given by

$$g_{DD^*J/\psi\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_{JDD}g_{D^*D\pi}}{(m_{Z_c}^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)\left(1 + \frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{2m_{Z_c}^2}\right)},$$
 (10)

as defined in Ref. [11]. The numerical value for $g_{JDD}g_{D^*D\pi}/2\sqrt{2}$ was evaluated in Ref. [11] and is expressed through $g_{JDD}g_{D^*D\pi}/2\sqrt{2} = 47.08$. $\hat{\pi}$ is the pion matrix

$$\hat{\pi} = \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^0 & \pi^+ \sqrt{2} \\ \pi^- \sqrt{2} & -\pi^0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

and $J^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}J^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}J^{\mu}$ is the stress tensor of the J/ψ state. $D = (D^+, D^0), D^* = (D^{*+}, D^{*0})$ are the doublets of pseudoscalar and vector charmed D mesons, respectively. To simplify the calculations we neglect the transverse part of the vector propagators and of all vertex factors where the vector fields are involved. This is justified by the fact that the transverse parts only give a minor contribution to the transition amplitude. For a better and compact overview the external momenta of the three- and four-body decays are summarized in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. To summarize we also indicate the respective Feynman rules in Appendix B.

The graphs governing the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The diagrams are evaluated using the Schwinger representation for the propagators:

$$\frac{1}{m^2 - k^2} = \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-\alpha (m^2 - k^2)}.$$
 (12)

The resulting matrix element for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ is gauge invariant as shown in Appendix C. It can be decomposed into the following Lorentz structures:



FIG. 3. Notation for the kinematics of the process $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$.

$$\mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta\rho} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta\rho}_{i} = (g^{\alpha\beta}p_{2}^{\rho} - p_{2}^{\alpha}g^{\beta\rho})F_{1} + (p_{2} \cdot p_{1}g^{\alpha\beta} - p_{2}^{\alpha}p_{1}^{\beta})p^{\rho}F_{235} + ((p - p_{2}) \cdot p_{2}g^{\alpha\beta} - p_{2}^{\alpha}p^{\beta})p_{1}^{\rho}F_{4}, \quad (13)$$

where with F_1 , $F_{235} = F_2 + F_3 + F_5$ and F_4 we denote structure integrals collected in Appendix D. Since the diagrams (2,3,5) in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 have the same Lorentz structure they can be summed up together.

The matrix element $\mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta}$ for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ can be simply deduced from the matrix element for the three-body decay. We assume that only the photon contributes through conversion to the dilepton final state. Hence the matrix element for the four-body decay factorizes into a three-body part \mathcal{T} of the $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ transition and a leptonic part ℓ corresponding to the dilepton production $\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$:

$$\mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{e^2}{t} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta\rho} \mathscr{C}_{\rho},\tag{14}$$

where $t = (k_3 + k_4)^2$, $\ell^{\rho} = \bar{u}(k_3)\gamma^{\rho}v(k_4)$ is the leptonic current and $\bar{u}(k_3)$, $v(k_4)$ denote the spinors of the lepton and antilepton in the final state, respectively.



FIG. 4. Notation for the kinematics of the process $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma [\rightarrow e^+ e^-]$.





FIG. 5. $\bar{D}^{*0}D^+$ meson loop diagrams contributing to the threebody decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$.

In the final state we sum over all polarizations. The polarization sum factorizes into three different parts, one for the Z_c , one for the J/ψ , and one for the photon:

$$D_{Z_c}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{3} \epsilon_{\rho}^{\alpha_1}(p) \epsilon_{\rho}^{\alpha_2}(p) = -g^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} + \frac{p^{\alpha_1} p^{\alpha_2}}{m_{Z_c}^2}, \quad (15)$$

$$D_{J/\psi}^{\beta_1\beta_2} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^3 \epsilon_{\sigma}^{\beta_1}(p_2)\epsilon_{\sigma}^{\beta_2}(p_2) = -g^{\beta_1\beta_2} + \frac{p_2^{\beta_1}p_2^{\beta_2}}{m_{J/\psi}^2}, \quad (16)$$

$$D_{\gamma}^{\rho_{1}\rho_{2}} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \epsilon_{\lambda}^{\rho_{1}}(q) \epsilon_{\lambda}^{\rho_{2}}(q) = -g^{\rho_{1}\rho_{2}}, \qquad (17)$$

where ϵ_{ρ}^{α} denotes the polarization vector. Using these, for the spin-averaged square of the $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ amplitude we write:

$$\sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{T}|^2 = D_{\gamma}^{\rho_1 \rho_2} D_{Z_c}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} D_{J/\psi}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1 \beta_1 \rho_1} (\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_2 \beta_2 \rho_2})^{\dagger}.$$
 (18)

The leptonic $L^{\rho_1\rho_2}$ and hadronic $H^{\rho_1\rho_2}$ tensor contributing to the $Z_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay rate are

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rho_{1}\rho_{2}} &= D_{J_{\psi}}^{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}} D_{Z_{c}}^{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}\rho_{1}} (\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}\rho_{2}})^{\dagger}, \\ L^{\rho_{1}\rho_{2}} &= \sum_{r,s} \bar{u}^{(r)}(k_{3})\gamma^{\rho_{1}}v^{(s)}(k_{4})\bar{v}^{(s)}(k_{4})\gamma^{\rho_{2}}u^{(r)}(k_{3}) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}[(k_{3}+m)\gamma^{\rho_{1}}(k_{4}-m)\gamma^{\rho_{2}}] \\ &= 4[k_{3}^{\rho_{1}}k_{4}^{\rho_{2}} + k_{3}^{\rho_{2}}k_{4}^{\rho_{1}} - g^{\rho_{1}\rho_{2}}(m_{\ell}^{2} + k_{3}k_{4})], \end{aligned}$$
(19)

FIG. 6. $\overline{D}^0 D^{*+}$ meson loop diagrams contributing to the threebody decay $Z_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$.

where m_{ℓ} is the lepton mass. The spin-averaged square of the amplitude for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ in terms of leptonic and hadronic tensors is finally written as

$$\sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = H^{\rho_1 \rho_2} L_{\rho_1 \rho_2}.$$
 (20)

In the next step the invariant matrix element squared, $\sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{M}|^2$, will be expressed in terms of Lorentz scalar products of the five momenta p_1 , p_2 , k_3 , k_4 and p. For the sake of simplicity we do not display the explicit, complicated result for $\sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{M}|^2$.

III. KINEMATICS

To calculate the decay rates we have to specify two independent kinematical variables for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and five independent ones for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$. For the three-body decay we choose the invariant Mandelstam variables as

$$s_{12} = (p_1 + p_2)^2,$$

$$s_{31} = (p_1 + q)^2,$$

$$s_{32} = (p_2 + q)^2,$$

$$s_{12} + s_{31} + s_{32} = m_{Z_c}^2 + m_{J/\psi}^2 + m_{\pi}^2 + \delta m_{\gamma}^2,$$
 (21)

where δm_{γ}^2 is a cutoff parameter for the phase-space integration to avoid the infrared bremsstrahlung singularity for $s_{31} \rightarrow m_{\pi}^2$ and $s_{12} \rightarrow m_{Z_c}^2$ [see Sec. IV for further discussion].

With these definitions we can express the scalar products between the momenta p_1 , p_2 , and q as

$$p_{1} \cdot p_{2} = \frac{1}{2} (s_{12} - m_{\pi}^{2} - m_{J/\psi}^{2}),$$

$$p_{1} \cdot q = \frac{1}{2} (s_{31} - m_{\pi}^{2} - \delta m_{\gamma}^{2}),$$

$$p_{2} \cdot q = \frac{1}{2} (m_{Z_{c}}^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2} - s_{12} - s_{31}).$$
(22)

The scalar products between p and one outgoing momentum can be eliminated due to momentum conservation. Now the phase space region of the three-body decay can be expressed through the following ranges for the kinematical variables [31]:

$$(m_{\pi} + \delta m_{\gamma})^2 \le s_{31} \le (m_{Z_c} - m_{J/\psi})^2,$$

$$s_{12}^- \le s_{12} \le s_{12}^+,$$
(23)

where

$$s_{12}^{\pm} = m_{J/\psi}^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2s_{31}} [(s_{31} - m_{Z_{c}}^{2} + m_{J/\psi}^{2})(s_{31} + m_{\pi}^{2} - \delta m_{\gamma}^{2})$$

$$\mp \lambda^{1/2} (s_{31}, m_{Z_{c}}^{2}, m_{J/\psi}^{2}) \lambda^{1/2} (s_{31}, m_{\pi}^{2}, \delta m_{\gamma}^{2})] \qquad (24)$$

and $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2(xy + xz + yz)$ is the Källen triangle kinematical function.

To calculate the scalar products between the momentum vectors $p_1 p_2$, k_3 , and k_4 we will consider three reference frames for the four particle phase space: the rest frame Σ_{Z_c} of the Z_c meson, the dilepton center-of-mass frame Σ_l , and the center-of-mass frame $\Sigma_{J/\psi\pi}$ of the $J/\psi\pi$ -pair [see Fig. 7]. For the four-body decay we choose the kinematical variables suggested in Ref. [32] and extensively used, e.g., in Refs. [33–36]:

- (i) s_{12} , the invariant mass squared of the $J/\psi\pi$ -pair
- (ii) s_{34} , the invariant mass squared of the lepton pair



FIG. 7. Choice of kinematical variables in the four-particle decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$.

- (iii) θ , the angle of the antilepton ℓ^+ in Σ_{ℓ} with momentum k_3 and with respect to the dilepton line of flight in $\Sigma_{Z_{\ell}}$
- (iv) ξ , the angle of the pion π^+ in $\Sigma_{J/\psi\pi}$ with momentum p_1 and with respect to the dimeson line of flight in $\Sigma_{Z_{\pi}}$
- (v) ϕ , the angle between the plane formed by the mesons J/ψ , π in Σ_{Z_c} and the corresponding plane formed by the dileptons.

It proves to be very helpful to introduce linear combinations of the momenta p, p_1 , p_2 , k_3 , and k_4 . One of these momenta can always be eliminated due to momentum conservation:

$$K = p_1 + p_2,$$
 $L = p_1 - p_2,$
 $Q = k_3 + k_4,$ $R = k_3 - k_4.$

In order to express the Lorentz scalar products in terms of the kinematical variables specified above, we need the following expressions with the general masses M, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 (note that these expressions will hold for any four-body decay with the frames specified in Fig. 7)

$$K \cdot K = s_{12},$$

$$Q \cdot Q = s_{34},$$

$$K \cdot Q = \frac{1}{2} (M^2 - s_{12} - s_{34}),$$

$$K \cdot L = m_1^2 - m_2^2,$$

$$Q \cdot R = m_3^2 - m_4^2,$$

$$Q \cdot L = \frac{(K \cdot L)}{(K \cdot K)} (K \cdot Q) + x \sigma_{12} \cos \xi,$$

$$K \cdot R = \frac{(Q \cdot R)}{(Q \cdot Q)} (K \cdot Q) + x \sigma_{34} \cos \theta,$$

$$R \cdot L = \frac{(Q \cdot R)}{(Q \cdot Q)} x \sigma_{12} \cos \xi + \frac{(K \cdot L)}{(K \cdot K)} x \sigma_{34} \cos \theta$$

$$+ (K \cdot Q) \sigma_{12} \sigma_{34} \cos \xi \cos \theta + \frac{(Q \cdot R)}{(Q \cdot Q)} \frac{(K \cdot L)}{(K \cdot K)} (K \cdot Q)$$

$$- \sqrt{s_{12} s_{34}} \sigma_{12} \sigma_{34} \sin \xi \sin \theta \cos \phi$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\lambda^{1/2} (s_{ij}, m_i^2, m_j^2)}{s_{ij}},$$

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{1/2} (M^2, s_{12}, s_{34}).$$
(25)

These relations are obtained by calculating the Lorentz boosts between the different frames Σ_l , $\Sigma_{J/\psi\pi}$, and Σ_{Z_c} as done in [34]. Now all scalar products between the outgoing momenta occurring in the spin-averaged amplitude $\sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{M}|^2$ for the four-body decay can be written as

$$p_{1} \cdot p_{2} = \frac{1}{2} (s_{12} - m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}),$$

$$k_{3} \cdot k_{4} = \frac{1}{2} (s_{34} - m_{3}^{2} - m_{4}^{2}),$$

$$p_{1} \cdot k_{3} = \frac{1}{4} (Q \cdot K + Q \cdot L + R \cdot K + R \cdot L),$$

$$p_{2} \cdot k_{3} = \frac{1}{4} (Q \cdot K - Q \cdot L + R \cdot K - R \cdot L),$$

$$p_{1} \cdot k_{4} = \frac{1}{4} (Q \cdot K + Q \cdot L - R \cdot K - R \cdot L),$$

$$p_{2} \cdot k_{4} = \frac{1}{4} (Q \cdot K - Q \cdot L - R \cdot K + R \cdot L).$$
(26)

The ranges of the variables, which define the limits of the phase-space integration, are

$$(m_{3} + m_{4})^{2} \leq s_{34} \leq (M - m_{1} - m_{2})^{2},$$

$$(m_{1} + m_{2})^{2} \leq s_{12} \leq (M - \sqrt{s_{34}})^{2},$$

$$0 \leq \theta, \qquad \xi \leq \pi,$$

$$-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi.$$
(27)

In our case we set $M = m_{Z_c}$, $m_1 = m_{\pi}$, $m_2 = m_{J/\psi}$, and $m_3 = m_4 = m_l$. The decay rates can then be written as (see, e.g., [31])

$$\Gamma_{3}(Z_{c}^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^{+}\gamma) = \frac{1}{N_{3}} \int ds_{31} \int ds_{12} \sum_{\text{pol}} |T|^{2},$$

$$\Gamma_{4}(Z_{c}^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^{+}\ell^{+}\ell^{-})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{4}} \int ds_{34} \int ds_{12}$$

$$\times \int d\cos\theta d\cos\xi d\phi xs\sigma_{12}\sigma_{34} \sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{M}|^{2}, \qquad (28)$$

where $N_3 = 3 \times 2^8 \pi^3 m_{Z_c}^3$ and $N_4 = 3 \times 2^{15} \pi^6 m_{Z_c}^3$.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

With the phenomenological Lagrangians, kinematics, and partial evaluation of the transition amplitudes introduced we now can proceed to determine the widths of the three- and four-body decays numerically.

A full list of the results for the coupling g_{Z_c} and the decay widths is tabulated in Tables I–IV. Table I contains the values for the coupling g_{Z_c} . In Tables II–IV we display the predictions for the three- and four-body decay rates for different values of the binding energy with $\epsilon = 0.5$ MeV to 5 MeV and of the cutoff in the vertex function with $\Lambda = 500$ MeV to 800 MeV. A substantial increase of the size parameter Λ , more suitable for a compact bound state, would lead to a sizable increase in the decay rates. Therefore, if experiment will deliver larger values for the

TABLE I. Numerical values for the dimensionless phenomenological coupling constant g_{Z_c} as a function of ϵ (column 1) and Λ (row 1).

| $\epsilon \setminus \Lambda$ [MeV] | 500 | 550 | 600 | 650 | 700 | 750 | 800 |
|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0.5 | 6.23 | 5.95 | 5.71 | 5.52 | 5.35 | 5.21 | 5.09 |
| 1.0 | 6.36 | 6.07 | 5.83 | 5.62 | 5.45 | 5.31 | 5.18 |
| 1.5 | 6.49 | 6.19 | 5.94 | 5.73 | 5.55 | 5.40 | 5.27 |
| 2.0 | 6.62 | 6.31 | 6.05 | 5.83 | 5.65 | 5.49 | 5.36 |
| 2.5 | 6.75 | 6.43 | 6.16 | 5.93 | 5.74 | 5.58 | 5.44 |
| 3.0 | 6.88 | 6.54 | 6.27 | 6.03 | 5.84 | 5.67 | 5.53 |
| 3.5 | 7.01 | 6.66 | 6.37 | 6.13 | 5.93 | 5.76 | 5.61 |
| 4.0 | 7.13 | 6.77 | 6.48 | 6.23 | 6.03 | 5.85 | 5.70 |
| 4.5 | 7.26 | 6.89 | 6.58 | 6.33 | 6.12 | 5.94 | 5.78 |
| 5.0 | 7.38 | 7.00 | 6.69 | 6.43 | 6.21 | 6.02 | 5.86 |

decay rates than predicted in our approach, this could signal that the Z_c^+ is probably not a molecular state.

Let us first discuss the Dalitz plot $d^2\Gamma/ds_{12}ds_{31}$ for the three-body transition $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$. The contour plot in

TABLE II. Numerical values for the decay rate Γ_3 in keV for the transition $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ for $\delta m_{\gamma} = 150$ MeV as a function of ϵ (column 1) and Λ (row 1).

| $\epsilon \Lambda [MeV]$ | 500 | 550 | 600 | 650 | 700 | 750 | 800 |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 0.5 | 61.1 | 67.4 | 73.9 | 80.5 | 87.5 | 94.7 | 101.9 |
| 1.0 | 58.1 | 64.2 | 70.8 | 77.5 | 84.5 | 91.7 | 99.2 |
| 1.5 | 56.1 | 62.5 | 69.0 | 75.7 | 82.9 | 90.2 | 97.7 |
| 2.0 | 54.9 | 61.3 | 67.9 | 74.8 | 81.8 | 89.3 | 96.8 |
| 2.5 | 54.0 | 60.4 | 67.1 | 74.1 | 81.2 | 88.6 | 96.3 |
| 3.0 | 53.3 | 59.8 | 66.5 | 73.6 | 80.8 | 88.3 | 96.1 |
| 3.5 | 52.8 | 59.3 | 66.2 | 73.3 | 80.6 | 88.3 | 96.0 |
| 4.0 | 52.4 | 59.1 | 65.9 | 73.1 | 80.6 | 88.1 | 96.1 |
| 4.5 | 52.1 | 58.8 | 65.8 | 73.0 | 80.5 | 88.3 | 96.3 |
| 5.0 | 51.9 | 58.7 | 65.7 | 73.0 | 80.6 | 88.4 | 96.5 |

TABLE III. Numerical values for the decay rate Γ_4 in keV for the transition $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ as a function of ϵ (column 1) and Λ (row 1).

| $\epsilon \setminus \Lambda$ [MeV] | 500 | 550 | 600 | 650 | 700 | 750 | 800 |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0.5 | 5.770 | 6.440 | 7.070 | 7.239 | 7.620 | 8.575 | 9.230 |
| 1.0 | 4.802 | 5.118 | 5.725 | 6.079 | 6.664 | 7.149 | 7.597 |
| 1.5 | 4.308 | 4.704 | 5.208 | 5.678 | 6.332 | 6.853 | 7.207 |
| 2.0 | 4.123 | 4.531 | 4.970 | 5.484 | 5.995 | 6.536 | 6.999 |
| 2.5 | 3.933 | 4.418 | 4.911 | 5.303 | 5.843 | 6.331 | 6.931 |
| 3.0 | 3.826 | 4.308 | 4.782 | 5.321 | 5.859 | 6.293 | 6.885 |
| 3.5 | 3.778 | 4.253 | 4.719 | 5.202 | 5.774 | 6.279 | 6.824 |
| 4.0 | 3.726 | 4.174 | 4.673 | 5.174 | 5.704 | 6.246 | 6.812 |
| 4.5 | 3.690 | 4.150 | 4.636 | 5.142 | 5.703 | 6.265 | 6.804 |
| 5.0 | 3.661 | 4.141 | 4.623 | 5.151 | 5.699 | 6.278 | 6.854 |

TABLE IV. Numerical values for the decay rate Γ_4 in eV for the transition $Z_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ as a function of ϵ (column 1) and Λ (row 1).

| $\epsilon \setminus \Lambda$ [MeV] | 500 | 550 | 600 | 650 | 700 | 750 | 800 |
|------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.5 | 9.593 | 10.559 | 11.574 | 12.621 | 13.711 | 14.826 | 15.986 |
| 1.0 | 9.080 | 10.064 | 11.079 | 12.130 | 13.216 | 14.352 | 15.515 |
| 1.5 | 8.779 | 9.7613 | 10.789 | 11.848 | 12.951 | 14.082 | 15.252 |
| 2.0 | 8.572 | 9.5626 | 10.587 | 11.664 | 12.775 | 13.922 | 15.105 |
| 2.5 | 8.415 | 9.4161 | 10.461 | 11.541 | 12.656 | 13.810 | 15.006 |
| 3.0 | 8.297 | 9.3083 | 10.360 | 11.453 | 12.584 | 13.750 | 14.955 |
| 3.5 | 8.206 | 9.2270 | 10.294 | 11.391 | 12.528 | 13.708 | 14.918 |
| 4.0 | 8.136 | 9.1672 | 10.237 | 11.349 | 12.493 | 13.683 | 14.906 |
| 4.5 | 8.084 | 9.1159 | 10.196 | 11.316 | 12.478 | 13.673 | 14.906 |
| 5.0 | 8.035 | 9.0827 | 10.165 | 11.300 | 12.466 | 13.675 | 14.920 |

Fig. 8 shows an infrared bremsstrahlung singularity for the limits $s_{31} \rightarrow m_{\pi}^2$ and $s_{12} \rightarrow m_{Z_c}^2$. For these values of s_{31} and s_{12} the bremsstrahlung diagrams 4a, 4b, 5a, and 5b in Figs. 5 and 6 will generate a divergence in the double differential decay rate $d^2\Gamma/ds_{12}ds_{31}$.

A measurement of the partial or differential decay rate depends on the minimum photon energy detectable in the experimental facility. Hence, both in experiment and in theory, it is only possible to determine the partial or differential decay rate as a function of an energy cut δm_{γ} . To handle the bremsstrahlung singularity for $s_{31} \rightarrow m_{\pi}^2$ and $s_{12} \rightarrow m_{Z_c}^2$ we will use an energy cut at $\delta m_{\gamma} =$ 150 MeV which holds for most facilities. When we apply the energy cut to the Dalitz plot in Fig. 8 we obtain Fig. 9 which has no bremsstrahlung singularity any more. In Fig. 10 we show the partial decay rate for the three-body transition $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ as a function of δm_{γ} . In Fig. 11 we give the differential decay rate $d\Gamma_3/ds_{31}$ which has been evaluated from $d^2\Gamma_3/ds_{12}ds_{31}$ by integration over s_{12} for an energy cut at $\delta m_{\gamma} = 150$ MeV.

In Fig. 12 we demonstrate the sensitivity of the decay rate Γ_3 on variations of the free parameters ϵ and Λ for an energy cut at $\delta m_{\gamma} = 150$ MeV. We note that the dependence is rather flat, the decay rate does not change significantly under the considered variations of ϵ and Λ .

To avoid the bremsstrahlung singularity for $s_{31} \rightarrow m_{\pi}^2$ and $s_{12} \rightarrow m_{Z_c}^2$, another physics possibility is available: a lepton-antilepton pair can be attached to the photon line as described in Sec. II. Although the phase-space treatment for the four-body decays $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ and $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ gets more complicated, now an energy cut



FIG. 8 (color online). Double differential decay rate $d^2\Gamma_3/ds_{12}ds_{31}$ in GeV⁻³ for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ ($\epsilon = 3$ MeV and $\Lambda = 650$ MeV).



FIG. 9 (color online). Double differential decay rate $d^2\Gamma_3/ds_{12}ds_{31}$ in GeV⁻³ for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\gamma$ ($\epsilon = 3$ MeV and $\Lambda = 650$ MeV). The contour is nearly the same as in Fig. 8 except for $s_{31} \rightarrow m_{\pi}^2$ and $s_{12} \rightarrow m_{Z_c}^2$ where the bremsstrahlung singularity is located. This area is now excluded with an energy cut at $\delta m_{\gamma} = 150$ MeV.



FIG. 10 (color online). Partial decay rate Γ_3 in MeV⁻¹ as a function of δm_{γ} for selected values of ϵ and Λ for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$.



FIG. 11 (color online). Differential decay rate $d\Gamma_3/ds_{31}$ in GeV⁻¹ for selected values of ϵ and Λ for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ with $\delta m_{\gamma} = 150$ MeV.



FIG. 12 (color online). Partial decay rate Γ_3 in keV in dependence on ϵ and Λ for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ with $\delta m_{\gamma} = 150$ MeV.



FIG. 13 (color online). Double differential decay rate $d^2\Gamma_4/ds_{12}ds_{34}$ in GeV⁻³ for $\epsilon = 3$ MeV and $\Lambda = 650$ MeV for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ e^+ e^-$.

 δm_{γ} is not needed, the differential decay rates do not diverge. For the typical values of $\epsilon = 3$ MeV and $\Lambda = 650$ MeV we obtain the Dalitz plots $d^2\Gamma_4/ds_{12}ds_{34}$ depicted in Figs. 13 and 14 for the four-body decays $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ and $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$.

The differential decay rates $d\Gamma_4/ds_{34}$, which have been evaluated from $d^2\Gamma_4/ds_{12}ds_{34}$ by integration over s_{12} , are displayed in Figs. 15 and 16. We demonstrate the sensitivity of the decay rates Γ_4 on variations of the free parameters ϵ and Λ in Figs. 17 and 18. Here again, the decay rates do not change significantly under variations of ϵ and Λ .



FIG. 14 (color online). Double differential decay rate $d^2\Gamma_4/ds_{12}ds_{34}$ in GeV⁻³ for $\epsilon = 3$ MeV and $\Lambda = 650$ MeV for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$.



FIG. 15 (color online). Differential decay rate $d\Gamma_4/ds_{34}$ in GeV⁻¹ for selected values of ϵ and Λ for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ e^+ e^-$.



FIG. 16 (color online). Differential decay rate $d\Gamma_4/ds_{34}$ in GeV⁻¹ for selected values of ϵ and Λ for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$.



FIG. 17 (color online). Partial decay rate Γ_4 in keV as a function of ϵ and Λ for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ e^+ e^-$.



FIG. 18 (color online). Partial decay rate Γ_4 in keV as a function of ϵ and Λ for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$.

V. SUMMARY

We have discussed the three- and four-body decays $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^- \ell^-$ of the Z_c^+ (3900) considered as a hadronic $\overline{D}D^*$ molecule in a phenomenological Lagrangian approach. Our approach is manifestly Lorentz and gauge invariant and is based on the use of the compositeness condition. We have only two model parameters: the binding energy ϵ and Λ , which is related to the size of the $\overline{D}D^*$ distribution in the Z_c^+ -meson and, therefore, controls finite-size effects. The detailed results given for these decays are typical for a molecular state. A naive estimate for a compact configuration would correspond to considerably larger values of Λ leading to a sizable enhancement of these decay rates. But this effect should be confirmed by an explicit calculation of the decay modes for a tetraquark interpretation of the Z_c^+ .

To summarize, when interpreting the Z_c^+ as a DD^* molecule the resulting values for the decay widths are 50–100 keV for the transition $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$, 4–10 keV for $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ and 8–16 eV for the decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$. The predictions given here can add to the understanding of the Z_c^+ structure once the decay modes become accessible experimentally.

To elaborate further on a possible molecular structure of the $Z_c^+(3900)$ in future we plan to examine the transition $Z_c'^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$ and $Z_c'^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ for the possible partner state $Z_c'^+(3900)$, which is treated as a \bar{D}^*D^* molecule [11]. As another possible continuation of this work Z_c^+ decays can also be studied in the tetraquark model [37]. This approach is also based on the compositeness condition and was successfully applied to the study of the X(3872) as a possible tetraquark state. A full treatment of these observables for various structure interpretations can possibly help to understand the nature of these unusual meson states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the DFG under Contract No. LY 114/2-1 and by Tomsk State University Competitiveness Improvement Program.

APPENDIX A: MASS OPERATOR AND COUPLING CONSTANT

The expressions for the coupling constant g_{Z_c} is

$$g_{Z_c}^{-2} = \Sigma'_{\perp}(m_{Z_c}^2) = \frac{m_{Z_c}^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dx dy \frac{l}{a^3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m_{D^*}^2 a}\right) \\ \times \exp\left(m_{Z_c}^2 \frac{l}{2a} - m_D^2 x - m_{D^*}^2 y\right), \tag{A1}$$

where a = 2s + x + y, l = sx + sy + 2xy, $s = \Lambda^{-2}$. The numerical values are given in Table I.

APPENDIX B: FEYNMAN RULES

Since nonlocal gauge theories are not so common, we will briefly indicate the relevant Feynman rules in this appendix. In our calculations we use

$$D^{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{i\left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\mu}k^{\nu}}{M_V^2}\right)}{k^2 - M_V^2} \approx -\frac{ig^{\mu\nu}}{k^2 - M_V^2}$$
$$S(k) = \frac{i}{k^2 - M_S^2}$$
(B1)

for the vector and scalar propagators, respectively. The previously discussed arbitrary parameters w_1 and w_2 are now constrained to $w_1 = w_2 = 1/2$. The vertex factors can be easily found by calculating the derivative of the Fourier transformed action of the corresponding diagram with respect to the fields which are attached to the vertex



FIG. 19. Vertices contributing to the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$.

$$i\Gamma_n(p_1, p_2, ..., p_n) = \frac{i\delta^n S_I[\Phi]}{\delta\tilde{\Phi}_1(p_1)\delta\tilde{\Phi}_2(p_2)...\delta\tilde{\Phi}_n(p_n)}\Big|_{\tilde{\Phi}_i=0}.$$
(B2)

The relevant vertices are denoted in Fig. 19. One finds for the vertex factors by dropping the usual factor $(2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (\sum P_{\rm in} - \sum P_{\rm out})$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{V1})\colon i\Gamma = \frac{-ig_{Z_c}}{\sqrt{2}} m_{Z_c} \Phi(-z_1) g^{\alpha\mu} \\ &(\mathrm{V2})\colon i\Gamma = \frac{ig_{Z_c}}{2\sqrt{2}} m_{Z_c} e(p_1 + p_2 + q/2)^{\rho} g^{\alpha\mu} \int_0^1 dt \Phi'(-z_2 t - z_1(1-t)) \\ &(\mathrm{V3})\colon i\Gamma = ie[g^{\mu\nu}(p_1 + p_2)^{\rho} - g^{\mu\rho} p_1^{\nu} - g^{\nu\rho} p_2^{\mu}] \approx ie(p_1 + p_2)^{\rho} g^{\mu\nu} \\ &(\mathrm{V4})\colon i\Gamma = -ie(p_1 + p_2)^{\rho} \\ &(\mathrm{V5})\colon i\Gamma = -ig_{DD^*J/\psi\pi} \sqrt{2}(p_2 \cdot p_1 g^{\mu\beta} - p_2^{\mu} p_1^{\beta}) \\ &(\mathrm{V6})\colon i\Gamma = ig_{DD^*J/\psi\pi} e\sqrt{2}(g^{\mu\beta} p_2^{\rho} - p_2^{\mu} g^{\beta\rho}), \end{aligned}$$

where $z_1 = (p_1/2 + p_2/2)^2$, $z_2 = (q/2 + p_1/2 + p_2/2)^2$.

APPENDIX C: GAUGE INVARIANCE

In this appendix we demonstrate that gauge invariance is fulfilled for the transition amplitude of the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \gamma$. The $\bar{D}^{0*}D^+$ -meson loop integrals corresponding to the diagrams in Fig. 5 are given by (we drop the general constant $c = -\frac{i}{16\pi^2}g_{Z_c}m_{Z_c}g_{DD^*J/\psi\pi}e$)

RADIATIVE AND DILEPTON DECAYS OF THE HADRONIC ...

$$\begin{split} iI_{1a} &= -(g^{\alpha\beta}p_2^{\rho} - p_2^{\alpha}g^{\beta\rho}) \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2)\tilde{S}(k+p/2)\tilde{D}(k-p/2) \\ iI_{2a} &= -(p_2 \cdot p_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha}p_1^{\beta}) \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2)\tilde{S}(k+p/2)\tilde{S}(k+p/2-q)\tilde{D}(k-p/2)(2k+p-q)^{\rho} \\ iI_{3a} &= (p_2 \cdot p_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha}p_1^{\beta}) \int_0^1 dt \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} (k+3/4q)^{\rho} \Phi'(-(k+q)^2 t - (k+q/2)^2(1-t))\tilde{D}(k-p/2+q)\tilde{S}(k+p/2) \\ iI_{4a} &= -((p-p_2) \cdot p_2 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha}(p-p_2)^{\beta})(2p_1+q)^{\rho} \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2)\tilde{S}(k+p/2)\tilde{D}(k-p/2)\tilde{S}_{\pi}(p-p_2) \\ iI_{5a} &= -(p_2 \cdot p_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha}p_1^{\beta})(2p-q)^{\rho} \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2)\tilde{S}(k+p/2-q/2)\tilde{D}(k-p/2+q/2)\tilde{D}_{Z_c}(p-q), \end{split}$$

where k is the loop momentum and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{S}_{\pi}(k) &= \frac{1}{m_{\pi}^2 - k^2}, \qquad \tilde{D}_{Z_c}(k) = \frac{1}{m_{Z_c}^2 - k^2}, \\ \tilde{S}(k) &= \frac{1}{m_D^2 - k^2}, \qquad \tilde{D}(k) = \frac{1}{m_{D^*}^2 - k^2}, \end{split}$$

are the propagators of the scalar and vector fields, respectively. As was already mentioned, the transverse parts of the vector propagators are neglected.

To test for gauge invariance every loop diagram is contracted with the photon momentum q. In the following it will be helpful to establish the following relations by taking advantage of momentum conservation $p = p_1 + p_2 + q$:

$$q \cdot (2k + p - q) = (k + p/2)^2 - (k + p/2 - q)^2$$
 (C1)

$$= S^{-1}(k + p/2 - q) - \tilde{S}^{-1}(k + p/2)$$
(C2)

$$q \cdot (2p_1 + q) = -\tilde{S}_{\pi}^{-1}(p - p_2)$$
(C3)

$$q \cdot (2p - q) = \tilde{D}_{Z_c}^{-1}(p_1 + p_2).$$
 (C4)

Multiplying diagram iI_{1a} with q we get

$$\begin{split} qiI_{1a} &= -(g^{\alpha\beta}q \cdot p_2 - p_2^{\alpha}q^{\beta}) \\ &\times \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2) \tilde{S}(k+p/2) \tilde{D}(k-p/2). \end{split} \tag{C5}$$

For the contraction of iI_{2a} with q and using (C2) we obtain

$$qiI_{2a} = -(p_2 \cdot p_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha} p_1^{\beta}) \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2) \\ \times \tilde{D}(k-p/2) [\tilde{S}(k+p/2) - \tilde{S}(k+p/2-q)].$$
(C6)

Diagram iI_{3a} multiplied with q reads as

$$qiI_{3a} = (p_2 \cdot p_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha} p_1^{\beta}) \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2) \\ \times [\tilde{D}(k - p/2 + q/2)\tilde{S}(k + p/2 - q/2) \\ - \tilde{D}(k - p/2)\tilde{S}(k + p/2 - q)],$$
(C7)

where we have used

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^1 dt q \cdot (k+3/4q) \Phi'(-(k+q)^2 t - (k+q/2)^2(1-t)) \\ &= -\Phi(-(k+q)^2) + \Phi(-(k+q/2)^2). \end{split}$$

Furthermore we have shifted the first term of the integral containing the latter expression by $k \rightarrow k - q$ and the second term by $k \rightarrow k - q/2$. When multiplying iI_{4a} with q we obtain with the help of (C3) and using momentum conservation

$$qiI_{4a} = ((p_1 + q) \cdot p_2 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha} (p_1 + q)^{\beta})$$
$$\times \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2) \tilde{S}(k + p/2) \tilde{D}(k - p/2).$$
(C8)

We get the last expression with (C4) and by multiplying iI_{5a} with q

$$qiI_{5a} = -(p_2 \cdot p_1 g^{\alpha\beta} - p_2^{\alpha} p_1^{\beta}) \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i} \Phi(-k^2) \\ \times \tilde{S}(k+p/2-q/2) \tilde{D}(k-p/2+q/2).$$
(C9)

Now it is easy to show that the expressions (C5) to (C9) cancel

$$q(iI_{1a} + iI_{2a} + iI_{3a} + iI_{4a} + iI_{5a}) = 0.$$
 (C10)

Thus gauge invariance for the $\bar{D}^{0*}D^+$ -meson loop integrals of Fig. 5 is shown. The proof of gauge invariance for the \bar{D}^0D^{*+} loop diagrams of Fig. 6 proceeds exactly the same way as for the previous case of the $\bar{D}^{0*}D^+$ -meson loop integrals by inserting the replacements $\tilde{S}(k) \leftrightarrow \tilde{D}(k)$.

APPENDIX D: STRUCTURE INTEGRALS

In this appendix the structure integrals F_1 , $F_{235} \equiv F_2 + F_3 + F_5$ and F_4 are explicitly listed. We define $c \equiv ig_{Z_c}m_{Z_c}g_{DD^*J/\psi\pi}e/(16\pi^2)$, $s \equiv \Lambda^{-2}$ and $Q \equiv q$ for the three-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\gamma$ and $Q \equiv k_3 + k_4$ for the four-body decay $Z_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\ell^+\ell^-$.

$$\begin{split} iF_1 &= 2c \int_0^\infty dx dy \frac{e^{-r_1^2/a_1 - M_1^2}}{a_1^2} & r_1 = p(s/2 + y) \\ a_1 &= s + x + y \\ M_1^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + y) + m_D^2 y + m_D^2 x \\ r_2 &= p(s/2 + z) + Qy \\ a_2 &= s + x + y + z \\ M_{2a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + z) + m_D^2(x + y) + m_D^2 z - Q^2 y \\ M_{2b}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + z) + m_D^2(x + y) + m_D^2 z - Q^2 y \\ M_{2b}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + z) + m_D^2(x + y) + m_D^2 z - Q^2 y \\ M_{2b}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + z) + m_D^2(x + y) + m_D^2 z - Q^2 y \\ m_{2b}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + z) + m_D^2(x + y) + m_D^2 z - Q^2 y \\ r_3 &= \frac{1}{4}Q(s(2t - 1) - 3x + y) + \frac{1}{2}p(x - y) \\ a_3 &= s + x + y \\ H_{3a}^2 &= (4p \cdot Q(3x + y) - Q^2(s + 9x + y)) \\ + 4(4m_D^2 x + 4m_D^2 y - p^2(x + y)))/16 \\ M_{3b}^2 &= (4p \cdot Q(3x + y) - Q^2(s + 9x + y)) \\ + 4(4m_D^2 x + 4m_D^2 y - p^2(x + y)))/16 \\ m_{3b}^2 &= (4p \cdot Q(3x + y) - Q^2(s + 9x + y)) \\ + 4(4m_D^2 x + 4m_D^2 y - p^2(x + y)))/16 \\ m_{3b}^2 &= (4p \cdot Q(3x + y) - Q^2(s + 9x + y)) \\ + 4(4m_D^2 x + 4m_D^2 y - p^2(x + y)))/16 \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + y) + m_D^2 y + m_D^2 x \\ r_5 &= p(s/2 + x) + Q(s/2 + y) \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}^2 &= -m_{Z_c}^2(s/4 + x) + m_D^2 x + m_D^2 y \\ m_{4a}$$

- M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 252001 (2013).
- [2] Z. Q. Liu *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 252002 (2013).
- [3] T. Xiao, S. Dobbs, A. Tomaradze, and K. K. Seth, Phys. Lett. B 727, 366 (2013).
- [4] L. Maiani, V. Riquer, R. Faccini, F. Piccinini, A. Pilloni, and A. D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. D 87, 111102 (2013).
- [5] J. M. Dias, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, and C. M. Zanetti, Phys. Rev. D 88, 016004 (2013).
- [6] E. Braaten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 162003 (2013).

- [7] Q. Wang, C. Hanhart, and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 132003 (2013).
- [8] C. Y. Cui, Y. L. Liu, W. B. Chen, and M. Q. Huang, J. Phys. G 41, 075003 (2014).
- [9] J. R. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 87, 116004 (2013).

 $-p \cdot Qs/2 - Q^2(s/4 + y)$

- [10] H. W. Ke, Z. T. Wei, and X. Q. Li, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2561 (2013).
- [11] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 88, 014030 (2013).
- [12] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, J. Phys. G 40, 015002 (2013).

- [13] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 89, 034018 (2014).
- [14] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and Y.-L. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 76, 014005 (2007); T. Branz, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 80, 054019 (2009); 82, 054025 (2010); 78, 114004 (2008); Y. B. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 77, 094013 (2008); Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, arXiv:1404.6161 [Journal (to be published)].
- [15] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 130, 776 (1963).
- [16] G. V. Efimov and M. A. Ivanov, *The Quark Confinement Model of Hadrons*, (IOP Publishing, Bristol & Philadelphia, 1993)
- [17] T. Branz, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 81, 034010 (2010).
- [18] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 81, 014006 (2010).
- [19] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, J. Phys. G 38, 015001 (2011).
- [20] I. V. Anikin, M. A. Ivanov, N. B. Kulimanova, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Z. Phys. C 65, 681 (1995).
- [21] M. A. Ivanov, M. P. Locher, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Few-Body Syst. 21, 131 (1996).
- [22] M. A. Ivanov, V. E. Lyubovitskij, J. G. Korner, and P. Kroll, Phys. Rev. D 56, 348 (1997).
- [23] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Lett. B 518, 55 (2001).
- [24] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, D. Nicmorus, and K. Pumsa-ard, Phys. Rev. D 73, 094013 (2006).

- [25] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, B. R. Holstein, V. E. Lyubovitskij, D. Nicmorus, and K. Pumsa-ard, Phys. Rev. D 74, 074010 (2006).
- [26] S. Mandelstam, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 19, 1 (1962).
- [27] J. Terning, Phys. Rev. D 44, 887 (1991).
- [28] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and P. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 68, 014011 (2003).
- [29] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and Y.-L. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 76, 114008 (2007).
- [30] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, S. Kovalenko, and V.E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 76, 014003 (2007).
- [31] E. Byckling and K. Kajantie, *Particle Kinematics* (Wiley, New York, 1973), p. 319.
- [32] N. Cabibbo and A. Maksymowicz, Phys. Rev. 137, B438 (1965); 168, 1926 (1968).
- [33] J. Bijnens, G. Ecker, and J. Gasser, in *The DAΦNE Physics Handbook*, edited by L. Maiani, G. Pancheri, and N. Paver (Servizio Documentazione dei Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, 1992), Vol. I.
- [34] V. S. Demidov and E. Shabalin, in *The DAΦNE Physics Handbook*, edited by L. Maiani, G. Pancheri, and N. Paver (Servizio Documentazione dei Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, 1992), Vol. I.
- [35] J. Bijnens, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 08, 3045 (1993).
- [36] G. Knochlein, S. Scherer, and D. Drechsel, Phys. Rev. D 53, 3634 (1996).
- [37] S. Dubnicka, A. Z. Dubnickova, M. A. Ivanov, and J. G. Korner, Phys. Rev. D 81, 114007 (2010); S. Dubnicka, A. Z. Dubnickova, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, P. Santorelli, and G. G. Saidullaeva, Phys. Rev. D 84, 014006 (2011).