Cosmological model with variable vacuum pressure

L. L. Jenkovszky,^{1,*} V. I. Zhdanov,^{2,†} and E. J. Stukalo³

¹Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev 03680, Ukraine

²Astronomical Observatory, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kiev 04053, Ukraine

³Physical Faculty, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kiev 03022, Ukraine

(Received 10 February 2014; published 22 July 2014)

Scenarios of the cosmological evolution are studied by using an equation of state (EoS) having points where the specific enthalpy of the cosmological fluid vanishes. A large class of barotropic equations of state admits, depending upon initial conditions, analogues of the "Big Rip" [R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, and N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 071301 (2003)], as well as solutions describing exponential inflation followed by usual matter dominance; their classification is proposed. We discuss extensions to a more general two-parametric EoS dealing with a preinflationary evolution and yielding stages with both increasing and decreasing energy density as a function of time. Possible cosmological scenarios with transitions from collapse to an expanding Universe or a closed oscillating one, without reaching a singularity, are included.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.023529

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

The standard cold dark matter cosmological model with $\Lambda \neq 0$ (Λ CDM model) describes a huge amount of observational data [1,2] that determine the cosmological fraction of the baryonic matter, the cold dark matter, and the dark energy. On the other hand, the Λ CDM model leads to the well-known problems of horizon and of spatial flatness (see, e.g., [3,4]). These problems are currently resolved by introducing the inflationary period [5] in the early Universe, leading to a high degree of isotropy of the cosmic microwave background and spatial flatness. This can be achieved either within modifications of general relativity or by taking into account some additional physical fields [4,6], which are manifest at cosmological scales.

Traditionally, the bulk of matter in the Universe is represented by a sum of baryonic matter, unknown dark matter (DM) with zero (or very small) pressure, and dark energy (DE). In the hydrodynamical picture, the total pressure is a sum $p = p_B + p_{DM} + p_{DE}$. This picture can be complemented by introducing a cosmological (e.g., scalar) field. On the other hand, at present there are no theoretical arguments that could single out a unique scalar field potential, a Lagrangian of a modified gravitation theory, etc. In this situation, qualitative considerations of cosmological scenarios often use a phenomenological equation of state (EoS) describing on the average the whole matter in terms of the local frame energy density e and other thermodynamical parameters (see [7] and references therein). A widely accepted model for the dark energy is a homogeneous perfect fluid with p = we. Cosmic acceleration requires that w < -1/3; recent WMAP and Planck

results [1,2] are consistent with the value $w \approx -1$; however, "phantom DE," for which w < -1 [8], is not completely ruled out [9].

A number of generalizations exist dealing with either explicit forms of EoS or having a parametric form [7]. In this paper, we use an EoS containing a contribution from ordinary matter with a linear part p = we, w = const(e.g., due to "hot" matter with w = 1/3) and a nonlinear term depending on the total energy density e. This EoS is formally analogous to the well-known bag models of the quark-hadron (de)confinement phase transition when quarks and gluons coagulate to form hadrons (see, e.g., [10–12]). These models involve the so-called "bag" pressure constant \bar{B} that can be interpreted as the effect of the quantumchromodynamical vacuum. A generalization in which the constant \bar{B} is replaced by an increasing function of temperature T was suggested by Källman [13]. This modification of the EoS was rederived and its hydrodynamical and cosmological consequences have been discussed in a number of papers (see [11,12,14] and references therein). The possibility that a small fraction of colored objects escape hadronization, surviving as islands of free colored particles called quark nuggets, was studied, e.g., in Ref. [15].

Various modifications of the cosmological constant depending on temperature T or energy density e were discussed, e.g., in Ref. [16]. Of interest is the connection of these modifications with an additional term to the EoS, which may be interpreted as a state-dependent vacuum contribution. Below we extend the idea of the variable vacuum pressure B to very early times of the Universe, although we do not exclude that the nonlinear part of the equation of state can have a different origin. We assume that B may be a function of e. This is applied to equations of the homogeneous isotropic Universe (Sec. II) dealing with a barotropic EoS. In Sec. III we discuss more general

jenk@bitp.kiev.ua

valeryzhdanov@gmail.com

equations of state that involve two thermodynamical parameters, namely, the energy density and the specific volume. The results are summarized in Sec. IV.

II. HYDRODYNAMICAL MODELS OF COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTION

A. Preliminaries: Homogeneous isotropic cosmology

Let us write the Friedmann equations for a homogeneous and isotropic Universe for the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t)[d\chi^{2} + F^{2}(\chi)dO^{2}], \qquad (1)$$

where a(t) is the scale factor, dO is the distance element on the unit sphere, $F(x) = \sin(x)$ for the closed Universe, $F(x) = \sinh(x)$ for the open one, and F(x) = x for spatially flat models; correspondingly, in what follows, k = 1, -1, 0.

The Friedmann equations for the scale factor are

$$\frac{d^2a}{dt^2} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}a(e+3p),$$
 (2)

where in the case of hydrodynamical cosmological models, e stands for the energy density of all kinds of matter in the Universe and p is the effective pressure,¹

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}e - \frac{k}{a^2},$$
 (3)

where $H = a^{-1}da/dt$. Here we do not introduce explicitly the cosmological constant, because it can be incorporated into *e* and *p* as "dark energy" with the EoS $p_{DE} = -e_{DE}$; we recall that the present-day value of Λ (from the Λ CDM cosmological model) can be neglected for the redshifts $z \sim 10$ or greater. For our purposes it is sufficient to use only Eq. (3) as we further use the hydrodynamical equation

$$\frac{de}{dt} + 3(e+p)H = 0 \tag{4}$$

or

$$\frac{de}{dX} = -3h = 0, (5)$$

where $X = \ln a$ and h = e + p is the specific enthalpy. While accounting for (3), we have

$$\frac{de}{dt} = -3S(e+p)\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{3}e - \frac{k}{a^2}},\tag{6}$$

where S = 1 in the case of the expanding Universe and S = -1 in the case of the contracting one. In what follows, we assume S = 1 for k = 0, -1.

B. Barotropic equation of state

The above equations must be complemented by an EoS. We use the analogy with the quark bag model, where the bag pressure can be interpreted as the effect of the quantumchromodynamical vacuum. Similarly, for energy densities at very early stages of the cosmological evolution, we assume that there was a strong negative bag pressure inherent of the cosmological vacuum for all physical interactions.

In generalizations of the quark bag model [11,13], the bag constant \overline{B} is replaced by a function of temperature T, so that $p(T) = A_{qg}T^4 - B(T)$, where A_{qg} is related to the number of degrees of freedom of some quasiparticle excitations. In the standard bag model, the first term of this equation describes the ultrarelativistic gas. Introduction of temperature as an independent variable is preferable in the case of thermodynamical equilibrium, because it enables us to characterize different components of the cosmological fluid by the same parameter T. On the other hand, in qualitative considerations of cosmological problems it is more convenient to introduce an effective EoS in terms of energy density e.

Let us start with the barotropic EoS

$$p(e) = we - B(e), \tag{7}$$

where we assume that w > -1 and the corresponding term represents an input of an "ordinary" matter, e.g., w = 1/3for the hot matter (ultrarelativistic gas). We write the "vacuum pressure" as B(e) = f(e)e, and we assume that f(e) is a monotonically increasing function. We suppose, for simplicity, that f(0) = 0, so that for small densities the effect of the vacuum pressure is negligible.² Equation (4) then assumes the form

$$\frac{de}{dX} = -3e[1+w-f(e)], \quad a > 0, \quad X = \ln a.$$
(8)

The crucial point is the existence of some value e_0 where the specific enthalpy vanishes, i.e., $e_0: f(e_0) = 1 + w$; this will be assumed in what follows. We see from (8) that the behavior of the trajectories in the *a*-*e* plane near e_0 do not depend on the choice of *k*. However, contrary to the cases of k = 0 and k = -1, for k = 1 the trajectories cannot always be extended to all positive values of *a*, and this requires additional considerations (see below).

By using (4) and (3), we get the equation

$$\frac{de}{dt} = -3Se[1 + w - f(e)]\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{3}e - \frac{k}{a^2}}.$$
 (9)

We now describe types of the qualitative behavior of solutions e(t), a(t) of Eq. (8) in more detail. For k = 0, they are illustrated in Fig. 1 in the case of the expansion (S = 1) of the spatially flat Universe.

¹We use the system of units in which G = 1 and c = 1.

²Consistency with the Λ CDM cosmological model for small densities (e.g., for the present era) requires the addition of a positive constant to f(e).



FIG. 1. Qualitative behavior of solutions of Eq. (8) for k = 0 and k = -1; $X = \ln a$, S = +1, and monotonically increasing f(e). The trivial solution $e = e_0$ is shown by a dashed line. The types of qualitative behavior from bottom to top are A1, A2, A3.

A1: Decreasing solutions. These solutions are represented by the lower curve in Fig. 1 lying completely in the domain of variables (e, X) such that $e \in (0, e_0)$. For $X \to -\infty$ $(a \to 0)$, we have $e \to e_0$, $H \approx \text{const} > 0$, and this corresponds to a long period of exponential inflation. As *t* increases, the energy density decreases, and the contribution of the vacuum pressure becomes negligible. This is, however, the consequence of condition f(0) = 0, and it can be easily corrected if we want to take into account the present value of $\Lambda \neq 0$.

A2: Increasing solutions. The solutions are represented by the curve lying in the second region, $e > e_0$, between the other curves in Fig. 1. For such a solution, e(X) is defined for all X; this function is monotonically increasing up to infinity, and a(t) and e(t) are defined for all t > 0. This scenario takes place, e.g., in the case of a bounded f(e).

A3: Big Rip solutions. The solutions are represented by the top curve in Fig. 1 lying in the region $e > e_0$, with a "runaway" behavior like that of the Big Rip [8]. A solution of this type blows up at some finite time, and it cannot be extended for all X and/or all t. Such a behavior³ occurs, e.g., if we suppose that f(y) grows faster than $\sim y^{\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$ for large y.

An example with explicit EoS and analytic solutions is given in Appendix A.

For k = -1 we always have $8\pi e/3 - k/a^2 > 0$; therefore, the qualitative situation is analogous to the previous case. For $X = \ln a \to -\infty$ $(a \to 0)$ we have the same dependence e(X) described by Fig. 1 because Eqs. (5) and (8) do not contain k. A1, A2, or A3 can be possible cases for e(X), though they can yield different asymptotical behavior for a(t) and e(t) for $t \to 0$ or $t \to \infty$.

It is easy to see, in virtue of (3), that since $e \to e_0$ as $t \to 0$, we have $a(t) \approx t$. In the region $e \in (0, e_0)$, all the solutions $e(t) \to 0$ are monotonically decreasing functions and $a(t) \to \infty$ for $t \to \infty$. In the case of a finetuning, if at some t_0 we have $e(t_0)$ sufficiently close to e_0 , this closeness will remain during a long time; then in virtue of (3) $\dot{X} > q$, where $q \sim \sqrt{8\pi e_0/3}$, whence a(t) > $a(t_0) \exp[q(t-t_0)]$. In the second region, $e > e_0$, the situation is also the same as for k = 0: we have either the runaway solutions **A3** or solutions **A2**.

In both cases, k = 0 and k = -1, the line $e = e_0$ is a solution; other solutions (either from the region $e < e_0$ or from the region $e > e_0$) cannot cross this line.⁴

k = 1: Since the right-hand side of Eq. (3) must be positive, the trajectories are located to the right of the curve $\Xi(e, X) \equiv 8\pi e/3 - \exp(-2X) = 0$. The intersections of solutions e(X) of Eq. (8) with this curve typically correspond to simple zeros⁵ of function $\Xi(e(X), X)$; therefore, they describe turning points of solutions a(t) to Eq. (3) that can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{dX}{dt}\right)^2 = \Xi(e(X), X), \qquad X = \ln a. \tag{10}$$

Any turning point in its neighborhood relates two branches of the cosmological evolution with different signs of S: from a contracting (S = -1) to an expanding (S = 1)Universe. The mutual arrangement of the trajectories of (8) in the *e*-X plane (Fig. 2) shows that there must be at least one intersection of any trajectory with the curve of turning points $\Xi(e, X) = 0$. Correspondingly, possible types of solutions are as follows.

A4: Oscillating X(t). These solutions pass in domain $e < e_0$ for w > -1/3. On account of $f(e) \to 0$ as $a \to \infty$, due to Eq. (8), we have $e \sim a^{-3(1+w)}$. Therefore, for a solution that starts, e.g., at the turning point b of the solution X(t), there is necessarily another turning point (a) (see Fig. 2). There is then an oscillating solution of $(S = \pm 1)$ described by the curve between points a and b; in this model, e(t) oscillates without reaching any singularity.

For $w \in (-1, -1/3)$, depending on initial conditions, one can also have an oscillatory behavior like A4. On the other hand, in this case an alternative version with an ever-expanding Universe is possible.

A5: Decreasing e(X) with a turning point for X(t). There may be situations with monotonically decreasing solutions e(X), such as those starting from the turning point c in Fig. 2 and existing for all X to the right of the turning point c. Here a contraction (S = -1) is followed by expansion $[S=1, X(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$]; the singularity is not reached and e remains finite for any time.

³To save space, we show the trajectories corresponding to different kinds of f(e) in the same figure.

⁴It would contradict the uniqueness of the solution of (8) with initial condition $e = e_0$ at some initial point.

⁵This is a simple zero unless at this point e + 3p = 0, i.e., $d^2a/dt^2 = 0$ simultaneously with da/dt = 0. In the latter case, the solution spends an infinite time near this point.



FIG. 2. Qualitative behavior of solutions of (8) for k = 1, $w \in (-1, -1/3)$, with monotonically increasing f(e). The dashed line corresponds to solution $e = e_0$; the dotted curve is defined by equation $\Xi(e, X) = 0$. The possible types of trajectories are (from the bottom to the top) A4 (oscillating between *a*, *b*), and A5, A6, A7 with turning points *c*, *d*, *f* of X(t), correspondingly. The arrows near the trajectories starting at *c*, *d*, *f* indicate two possible directions of motion along these trajectories; here, contraction (S = -1) is followed by expansion (S = +1).

In the region $e > e_0$ we have a monotonically increasing solution e(X) of Eq. (8). Similarly to A2 and A3, we have two possibilities.

A6: Increasing e(X) for unbound X with a turning point for X(t). These solutions are represented by trajectories that have a turning point (like *d* in Fig. 2) and tend to infinity as $X \to \infty$. For e(t) and a(t), as functions of *t*, we have transition from contraction to expansion at *d*.

A7: Big Rip solutions that correspond to increasing e(X) with a turning point for X(t). These solutions describe a transition from contraction to expansion at some turning point (*f* in Fig. 2, upper curve); these solutions blow up at some finite *X*.

Ending this section, we note that if we have two zero points of enthalpy,⁶ i.e., e_0 and e_1 such that $f(e_0) = 1 + w$ and $f(e_1) = 1 + w$, consequently we get a family of solutions with $e \to e_0$ for $a \to 0$ and $e \to e_1$ for $a \to \infty$. In this case e_1 can be interpreted as a modern value of the dark energy density described by $\Lambda \neq 0$.

C. Monotonically decreasing f(e)

For completeness, we consider also the case of monotonically decreasing function f(e) with the same relation for h = e[1 + w - f(e)]; we suppose that there exists e_0 such that $f(e_0) = 1 + w$ and therefore $h(e_0) = 0$. Then in the domain $\{(e,X): e > e_0\}$, we have de/dX < 0 along the trajectories, and for $e \in (0, e_0)$ we have de/dX > 0. The behavior of the trajectories is shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The qualitative types of the solutions of (8) are as follows.



FIG. 3. Types of solutions of (8) for k = 0 and k = -1; $X = \ln a$, S = +1, monotonically decreasing f(e). The dashed line is $e = e_0$. From bottom to top, we have solutions **B1**, **B2**, **B3**.



FIG. 4. Types of solutions of (8) for k = 1; $X = \ln a$, monotonically decreasing f(e). The dashed line corresponds to $e = e_0$; the dotted curve is defined by relation $\Xi(e, X) = 0$. The left panel represents trajectories (**B4–B6**) with bounded energy density, and the right panel those with unbounded ones (**B7–B9**).

B1: *Increasing* e(X) *for all* X (k = 0, -1). These solutions pass in the domain $\{(e, X) : e < e_0\}$: e(X), e(X) is defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$, $e(X) \to 0$ for $X \to -\infty$, and $e(X) \to e_0$ for $X \to \infty$.

B2: Decreasing e(X) (k = 0, -1). These solutions are defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and we have $e(X) \to \infty$ for $X \to -\infty$, and $e(X) \to e_0$ for $X \to \infty$. This is possible, e.g., in case of a bounded f(e); for $3[1 + w - f(\infty)] > 2$ we have the same asymptotic behavior of $a(t), t \to 0$ both for k = 0 and $k = \pm 1$. This kind of behavior is appropriate for the classical Λ CDM cosmological models with p = 0 or p = e/3 (see, e.g., [3,17]).

B3: Decreasing e(X) with the Big Rip in the past (k = 0, -1). Here e(X) is defined for $\infty < X_1 < X < \infty$, where $e(X) \to \infty$ as $X \to X_1 + 0$ (Big Rip in the past, upper curve in Fig. 3). For k = 0, -1 this type is possible, e.g., if f(y) < 0 for $y \to \infty$ and |f(y)| grows faster than $\sim y^e$, $\epsilon > 0$. For $X \to \infty$ we have $e(X) \to e_0$.

The next six types of solutions of (8), shown in Fig. 4, deal with the closed Universe (k = 1); **B4**, **B5**, **B6** describe solutions with bounded energy density (Fig. 4, left panel)

⁶Provided we do not assume f(e) to be monotonic.

and **B7**, **B8**, **B9** are solutions with unbounded *e* (Fig. 4, right panel).

B4: Increasing e(X) with a turning point of X(t). (k = 1). There is monotonically increasing e(X) in the domain $e < e_0$ with turning point (a).

B5: Oscillating X(t) (k = 1). It is possible to have oscillating X(t) between two turning points (b and c, the left panel of Fig. 4).

B6: Decreasing e(X) with a turning point for X(t) (k = 1). There is monotonically decreasing e(X) in the domain $e > e_0$ with turning point (*d*).

In Fig. 4, right panel, we have the following.

B7: Decreasing e(X) with the turning point (k = 1). There exist a type of solutions describing unbounded monotonically decreasing e(X) in the domain $e > e_0$ with turning point a; $e(X) \to \infty$ as $X \to -\infty$. The Universe starts with infinite e, whereupon expansion is replaced by contraction after passing the turning point a.

B8: *Decreasing* e(X) *for all* X (k = 1). In this case unbounded monotonically decreasing e(X) in the domain $e > e_0$, is defined for all X; $e(X) \to \infty$ as $X \to -\infty$; $e(X) \to e_0$ as $X \to \infty$.

B9: Unbounded e(X) with the Big Rip in the past (k = 1). In this case monotonically decreasing e(X) passes in the domain $e > e_0$, is defined for $X > X_1 > -\infty$; $e(X) \rightarrow \infty$ as $X \rightarrow X_1 + 0$; $e(X) \rightarrow e_0$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that one can easily extend the results of Secs. II B and II C to the case of negative f(e) and 1+w<0. In this case we have the same types of trajectories as those shown in Figs. 1–4.

III. GENERALIZATION: TWO-PARAMETRIC EOS

In the preceding section we have used the fact that the solution e(x) of the first order differential equation (8) cannot cross the line $e \equiv e_0$, since this is also a solution of (8). However, it is interesting to consider scenarios when the sign of de/da = 0 may change during the cosmological evolution. To this end we relax the conditions of the preceding section and assume that the EoS depends on two independent thermodynamical variables. On the other hand, one can expect that, if the dependence of the pressure on the additional variable, besides e, is weak, the cosmological evolution will be very close to that of the preceding section and a solution that crosses zero points of h will spend a considerable time near these points (and thus can invoke a kind of the exponential inflation).

In this section, instead of Eq. (8), we prefer to deal directly with Eq. (5), where p = p(e, v), h = h(e, v), v = 1/n, and n is the proper frame baryon number density. Due to baryon conservation, in the case of metric (1), we have

$$v = v_0 (a/a_0)^3,$$
 (11)

where v_0, a_0 are the values at some t_0 .

Instead of the straight line $e = e_0$, we suppose that there is (only) one curve e = E(X) > 0 where the specific enthalpy h(e, X) vanishes. Obviously, in the general case, the function e = E(X) does not satisfy (5) and some trajectories of solutions can cross this curve. The most simple extension of the corresponding assumptions of Sec. II B is that the function E(X) is defined for all X and it is a monotonic function. The trajectories slightly differ for different signs of monotonicity. There are four possibilities: (C1) E(X) is monotonically increasing, h(e, X) < 0 for e > E(X); (C2) we have the same sign of monotonicity, but h(e, X) > 0 for e > E(X); (C3) E(X)is monotonically decreasing, h(e, X) < 0 for e > E(X); (C4) we have the same sign of monotonicity as in (C3), but h(e, X) > 0 for e > E(X).

Possible trajectories of the system (3)–(5) that do not cross the curve e = E(X) are qualitatively the same as those of Sec. II, so we concentrate on types of trajectories for which the sign of de/dX changes, as shown schematically in Fig. 5. These scenarios of cosmological evolution differ considerably from the standard pictures described in the textbooks (see, e.g., [17], Fig. 23.1). The trajectories that do not cross the curve h = 0 are not shown in Fig. 5; for example, in the case of C1, k = 0, -1 there may be infinite solutions that move to the area above the curve h = 0.

In any case, for k = 0, -1 the initially expanding Universe will expand forever. In the case of the closed Universe (k = 1), for some solutions, there is a possibility of return to a contraction; there can be also periodic solutions and solutions with return from contraction to expansion.

It is interesting to note that there are scenarios when e(t) increases and then decreases either to zero or to some constant value (see Fig. 5, C1 and C4). Any more complete description may be obtained only if more information about h(e, X) is provided. Two concrete examples with types C1 and C4 are presented in Appendix B.



FIG. 5. Solutions of Eq. (5), that change the sign of de/dX: possible qualitative behavior in e - X plane. On dashed curve, de/dX = 0. On the upper panel trajectories in case of k = 0, -1, S = +1 are shown. On the lower panel (k = 1, closed Universe), small circles on the dotted curve indicate turning points $\Xi(e, X) = 0$ similar to those of the previous section.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated a class of nonlinear equations of state that have points of zero enthalpy; to do so, we used general qualitative properties known from the theory of ordinary differential equations. In the case of the barotropic EoS occurrence, such a point leads to the possibility of a period of rapid expansion with almost constant energy density. Under appropriate initial conditions, this period can last as long as needed to provide the necessary size of the causally connected regions in future, ensuring, e.g., an adequate solution to the well-known problem of horizon, etc.

Under general assumptions, we have classified possible cosmological scenarios, including a number of those without the cosmological singularity. In the case of open and spatially flat metrics, the Universe expands either forever or undergoes a Big Rip. In case of the closed Universe there can be also transitions from collapse to expansion or vice versa. A closed oscillating Universe without reaching a singularity is also possible.

Our findings deal with simple EoS, allowing us to discuss different scenarios of the early cosmological evolution. Nevertheless, if we assume that these considerations have relevance to reality, one can speculate on why our Universe starts with small deviations from the state with zero enthalpy, for example, due to quantum fluctuations near this state. These fluctuations can start either "phantom" cosmological evolution, leading to a kind of the Big Rip, or "normal" expansion with a transition to the standard Λ CDM model described in textbooks (see, e.g., [3]). To have a sufficiently long period of inflation, a kind of fine-tuning is required (e.g., approach to the point h = 0), compelling us to invoke a sort of the anthropic principle. However, such a tuning is not more restrictive than, e.g., the use of equation of state p = we with w = -1.

The above-mentioned requirement of the fine-tuning is relaxed if we consider a two-parametric EoS. In this case, an infinity of solutions crossing states with zero enthalpy may exist; and there is an immense freedom in choosing the evolution as the scale factor $a \rightarrow 0$. In the case of a sufficiently weak dependence of p(e, v) on v, it is natural to assume that the behavior of trajectories near points of zero enthalpy will be similar to that of Sec. II B. In this case there is a sufficiently long period of inflation.

Furthermore, one may speculate about a multicomponent fluid. We know that at present some form of DE dominates, but moving back in time its fraction becomes negligible (within the framework of the Λ CDM model). On the other hand, for very early (post-Planckian) times, the other form of DE must have dominated to provide inflation. Within such a scenario, one may have different inflation epochs corresponding to the domination of different DE components (cf. multiple stages of inflation in Ref. [18]). This is consonant with the idea of the existence of a "mini-inflation" due to the existence of metastable states in strongly interacting matter [11,12]; see also [10]. However, any smooth interpolation between these different inflation epochs remains a challenge for the theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the referee for her/his helpful comments. We are grateful to G. Stelmakh for his help in preparing our figures. L. J. was supported by the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Department of Astronomy and Physics programme "Matter Under Extreme Conditions." V. I. Z. was supported by the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, scientific program "Astronomy and Space Physics."

APPENDIX A: "EQUIVALENT" SCALAR FIELD POTENTIAL

It is important to have a scalar field analogue of the hydrodynamical models in question. Here we present an analytic example dealing with a special form of the EoS and an "equivalent" scalar field description yielding the same evolution of the scaling factor.

It is well known that in the case of homogeneous isotropic cosmology, one can find a scalar field Lagrangian that mimics the hydrodynamical evolution (see, e.g., [19–21] and references therein). Here we consider the scalar field equations giving the same evolution of the "observable" space-time geometry, i.e., the same dependence a(t), as that following from EoS p = p(e, v). Consider the scalar field Lagrangian with the standard kinetic term

$$L = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\varphi_{\mu}\varphi_{\nu} - V(\varphi).$$
 (A1)

The corresponding energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \varphi_{\mu}\varphi_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}L(\varphi). \tag{A2}$$

In case of a homogeneous isotropic cosmology with the metric (1), it has the form of the hydrodynamical energymomentum tensor with the following energy density and pressure:

$$e = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V, \qquad p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V, \quad \to \quad \dot{\phi}^2 = e + p,$$

 $V = \frac{1}{2}(e - p).$ (A3)

Combining Eqs. (A3) with Eqs. (2), (3), we obtain a parametric representation of the equivalent scalar field potential:

$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[H^2 + \frac{1}{2a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} + \frac{k}{a^2} \right],$$
 (A4)

COSMOLOGICAL MODEL WITH VARIABLE VACUUM PRESSURE

$$\varphi = \pm \int \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[H^2 - \frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} + \frac{k}{a^2} \right] \right\}^{1/2} dt.$$
 (A5)

In the case of barotropic EoS, such as (7), and a spatially flat Universe (k = 0), it is more convenient to look for a parametric representation of the form V = V(e), $\varphi = \varphi(e)$. Combining $d\varphi/dt$ with Eq. (4), we get $d\varphi/de$ whence

$$\varphi = \pm \int \frac{de}{\sqrt{24\pi e(e+p)}},\tag{A6}$$

yielding the potential $V(\varphi)$ in a parametric form.

There is a number of analytic examples describing the cosmological evolution in various dynamical DE models (see, e.g., [7,19,20]). Below we use particular examples to illustrate the general statements of the previous sections.

In the case of $f(\xi) \sim \xi^{\mu}$, $\mu > 0$, we have an EoS of the form

$$p(e) = e[w - (1 + w)(e/e_0)^{\mu}].$$
 (A7)

In this case, Eq. (8) with the initial condition $e = e_1$ for $a = a_1$ can be easily integrated:

$$e = e_0 \left[1 + A \left(\frac{a}{a_1} \right)^{3\mu(1+w)} \right]^{-1/\mu}, \quad A = \left(\frac{e_0}{e_1} \right)^{\mu} - 1.$$
 (A8)

It is also possible to find an analytic form of $V(\varphi)$. Equation (A6) yields

$$\begin{split} \varphi &= \pm \frac{1}{[6\pi(1+w)]^{1/2}\mu} \ln\left(\xi + \sqrt{\xi^2 - 1}\right) + \varphi_0, \\ \xi &= (e_0/e)^{\mu/2} \end{split} \tag{A9}$$

where φ_0 is an integration constant. The inverse function $e = e(\varphi)$ is

$$e = e_0 [\cosh(\pm \Phi)]^{-2/\mu}, \qquad \Phi = \mu \sqrt{6\pi (1+w)} (\varphi - \varphi_0).$$

Substitution into (A3) yields

$$V(\phi) = \frac{e_0}{(\cosh \Phi)^{2(1+1/\mu)}} \left(1 + \frac{1-w}{2} \sinh^2 \Phi \right).$$
 (A10)

This is the potential that ensures the same dependence of the scale factor a(t) as the EoS (A7).

APPENDIX B: TWO EXAMPLES

Lacking reliable knowledge about the equation of state in the very early epoch, below we consider, for illustration purposes, simple examples of **C1** and **C4** types, k = 0, -1. The solutions for k = 1 can be easily analyzed using these pictures by superimposing the line $\Xi(e, X) = 0$ onto the graph and taking into account the turning points.

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 023529 (2014)

Assuming Eq. (7), we set $B(e, v) = b_0(e) + b_1(e)/v$. Then $h(e, X) = (1 + w)e - b_0(e) - b_1(e) \exp(-3X)$. The solutions e(X) of Eq. (5) are calculated for most simple cases: (i) $f_0 = \text{const} > 0$, $b_1 = \beta e, \beta = \text{const} > 0$ (Fig. 6); (ii) $b_0 = 0$, $b_1(e) = \beta e^{3/2}$ (Fig. 7). On the figures we show only those trajectories that cross the line h(e, X) = 0 where de/dX changes its sign.

In the case of (i), all solutions e(X) crossing the curve E(X) tend to $E(\infty) = b_0/(1+w)$ for $X \to \infty$. Besides, there are monotonically increasing solutions (not shown in Fig. 6) below the curve with the same asymptotic behavior for $X \to \infty$. For large negative X, the solutions lead to nonphysical values e < 0.

In the case of (ii), all solutions e(X) after crossing the curve E(X) tend to zero for $X \to \pm \infty$. However, there are also solutions (not shown in Fig. 7) to the left of the curve tending to infinity for $X \to X_1 - 0$ at some finite X_1 .



FIG. 6. Solutions of (5) corresponding to (i) crossing the line de/dX = 0. The choice of the constants is w = 1/3, $f_0 = 1$, $\beta = 0.01$. The dashed line is $E(X) = b_0/[1 + w - \beta \exp(-3X)]$.



FIG. 7. Solutions of (5) corresponding to (ii), crossing the line de/dX = 0. The dashed line is $E(X) = (1 + w)^2/\beta^2 \cdot \exp(6X)$, $\beta = 1$, w = 1/3.

- L. L. JENKOVSZKY, V. I. ZHDANOV, AND E. J. STUKALO
- G. Hinshaw, D. Larson, E. Komatsu *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **208**, 19 (2013).
- [2] Planck Collaboration, arXiv:1303.5076 [Astron. Astrophys. (to be published)].
- [3] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, New York, 2008).
- [4] A. Linde, Contemp. Concepts Phys. 5, 1 (2005); Lect. Notes Phys. 738, 1 (2008).
- [5] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. **91B**, 99 (1980); A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981); A. Albrecht and P. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982); A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **108B**, 389 (1982).
- [6] V. Sahni, Classical Quantum Gravity 19, 3435 (2002).
- [7] E. V. Linder and R. J. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. D 80, 023008 (2009); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D 72, 023003 (2005); Phys. Lett. B 639, 144 (2006); S. Capozziello, V. Cardone, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D 73, 043512 (2006); L. Xu and Y. Chang, Phys. Rev. D 88, 127301 (2013); M. Li, X.-D. Li, Y.-Zh. Ma, X. Zhang, and Zh. Zhang, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 09 (2013) 021; P.-H. Chavanis, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 129, 38 (2014).
- [8] R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, and N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 071301 (2003).
- [9] B. Novosyadlyj, O. Sergijenko, R. Durrer, and V. Pelykh, Phys. Rev. D 86, 083008 (2012).
- [10] L. Jenkovszky and A. A. Trushevsky, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. **34A**, 369 (1976); A. I. Bugrij and

A. A. Trushevsky, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **73**, 3 (1977); L. L. Jenkovszky and A. N. Shelkovenko, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. **101A**, 137 (1989).

- [11] L. Jenkovszky, B. Kämpfer, and V. Sysoev, Yad. Fiz. 50, 1747 (1989) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 50, 1085 (1989)].
- [12] L. Jenkovszky, B. Kämpfer, and V. Sysoev, Z. Phys. C 48, 147 (1990).
- [13] C.G. Källman, Phys. Lett. 134B, 363 (1984).
- [14] V. Boyko, L. Jenkovszky, and V. Sysoev, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 22, 675 (1991).
- [15] M. Brilenkov, M. Eingorn, L. Jenkovszky, and A. Zhuk, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 (2013) 002.
- [16] J. E. Felten, Rev. Mod. Phys. 58, 689 (1986); J. M. Overduin and F. I. Cooperstock, Phys. Rev. D 58, 043506 (1998); A. Silbergleit, arXiv:astro-ph/0208465; U. Mukhopadhyay and S. Ray, N. B. U. Math. J. II, 51 (2009); S. Ray, U. Mukhopadhyay, and X.-H. Meng, Gravitation Cosmol. 13, 142 (2007); Zh. Li-juan, M. Wei-xing, and L. Kisslinger, J. Mod. Phys. 03, 1172 (2012).
- [17] R. D'Inverno, *Introducing Einstein's Relativity* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1998).
- [18] A. Ijjas, P.J. Steinhardt, and A. Loeb, arXiv:1402.6980.
- [19] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Astrophys. Space Sci. 342, 155 (2012).
- [20] P.-H. Chavanis, arXiv:1309.5784.
- [21] V. I. Zhdanov and G. Yu. Ivashchenko, Kinematics and Physics of Celestial Bodies **25**, 73 (2009).