Quantum field theoretic properties of Lorentz-violating operators of nonrenormalizable dimension in the photon sector

M. Schreck^{*}

Indiana University Center for Spacetime Symmetries, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405-7105, USA (Received 20 December 2013; published 21 May 2014)

In the context of the nonminimal Standard Model extension a special subset of the *CPT*-even higherdimensional operators in the photon sector is discussed from a quantum field theoretical point of view. The modified dispersion laws, photon polarization vectors and the gauge field propagator are obtained and their properties are analyzed. It is demonstrated that for certain sectors of the modified theory a puzzle arises for the optical theorem at tree level. This is followed by a discussion of how it can be interpreted and resolved at first order Lorentz violation. Furthermore the commutator of two gauge fields that are evaluated at different spacetime points is obtained and discussed. The structure of the theory is shown to resemble the structure of the modification based on the corresponding dimension-4 operator. However some properties are altered due to the nonrenormalizable nature of the theory considered. The results provide more insight into the characteristics of Lorentz-violating quantum field theories that rest upon contributions of nonrenormalizable dimension.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.105019

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 14.70.Bh, 03.70.+k, 11.15.Bt

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past 15 years the study of Lorentz invariance violation both in theory and experiment has become an important field. The foundations were laid by the seminal papers [1–3] in which it was shown that a violation of Lorentz symmetry can emerge in certain scenarios of string theory. In addition, Lorentz violation may arise in many other interesting contexts such as a nontrivial structure of spacetime (spacetime foam) [4–7], noncommutative field theories [8], loop quantum gravity [9,10], and quantum field theories on spacetimes with a nontrivial topological structure [11,12].

In principle it is assumed that a low-energy effective description of quantum gravity phenomena can be considered as an expansion in energy over a mass scale, which is probably related to the Planck scale. The leading order term in such an expansion comprises the ordinary Standard Model of elementary particle physics plus general relativity. The next-to-leading order term is the minimal Standard Model extension (SME) [13], which is a framework for studying and testing Lorentz violation at energies much smaller than the Planck scale. The minimal SME includes all Lorentz-violating operators that are power-counting renormalizable and invariant under the gauge group of the Standard Model. Since gravity itself is nonrenormalizable one may expect higher order terms in the expansion to be made up of operators of nonrenormalizable dimension. These are included in the nonminimal SME.

A special sector of the nonminimal SME forms the basis of the current paper. A necessary (but not sufficient) criterion for a renormalizable, interacting quantum field theory is that it only contains products of field operators that have a mass dimension of 4 or less. Operators with mass dimension of at least 5 are called higher dimensional. In the early days of the development and understanding of renormalization many theorists considered such quantum field theories with antipathy. However, this point of view has changed. Nowadays nonrenormalizable quantum field theories are powerful tools in both high-energy and condensed matter physics. Such theories have significance as long as they are considered as effective theories only valid within a certain energy range [14,15].

There are many examples for effective theories: Fermi's theory of the weak interaction [16], Euler-Heisenberg theory [17], chiral perturbation theory, heavy quark effective theory, etc. (for the latter two cf. the review [15]). Even the Standard Model of elementary particle physics can be considered as an effective (though renormalizable) theory and practically all condensed matter theories are effective ones. To illustrate why these are successful we consider Euler-Heisenberg theory as an example for an effective theory in the photon sector.

Let us assume that we only knew about classical physics, e.g., the Lagrange density of classical electrodynamics, which is proportional to a bilinear combination of electromagnetic field strength tensors, F^2 , and leads to Maxwell's equations. Based on Lorentz invariance, nothing would forbid us to add higher-dimensional terms to this Lagrange density. Two of the possible terms are F^4 and $(F\tilde{F})^2$ with the dual field strength tensor \tilde{F} , where each of them is multiplied with an unknown coefficient of mass dimension -4. These would then lead to nonlinear versions of Maxwell's equations describing light-by-light scattering.

mschreck@indiana.edu

The latter is certainly not a classical but a quantum theoretical phenomenon. Hence even without any knowledge of quantum theory we could gain an understanding of it if we were able to determine the unknown coefficients by experiment. However, since we know about quantum theory, these coefficients can be calculated in perturbative quantum electrodynamics (QED) and they are inversely proportional to the fourth power of the electron mass. Although Euler-Heisenberg theory is nonrenormalizable, it gives a good description for quantum effects in electrodynamics as long as the photon energy is much smaller than the electron mass. The nonrenormalizable nature of the theory is revealed since the cutoff dependence of scattering quantities cannot be removed by a redefinition of the theory parameters. When the cutoff reaches the electron mass the higher-dimensional terms can be as large as the renormalizable ones and the validity of the theory breaks down.

The example given shall demonstrate how useful nonrenormalizable field theories can still be. This was certainly one motivation for Kostelecký and Mewes to include higher-dimensional operators in the photon [18], neutrino [19], and the fermion sector [20] of the minimal SME, which leads us to the nonminimal SME. Since Lorentz violation is supposed to originate from physics at the Planck scale, these terms of nonrenormalizable dimension are probably suppressed by the Planck mass. The theory is applicable as long as particle momenta do not lie in the order of magnitude of this scale.

The current paper shall provide a better insight on the quantum field theoretical properties of such Lorentzviolating nonrenormalizable theories. Here the focus is on the nonminimal, CPT-even photon sector whose terms are classified in [18]. In Sec. II the action of the theory considered will be introduced and its general properties will be discussed. The framework is then restricted to a particular subset of Lorentz-violating parameters. Based upon this modification, the modified dispersion relations of electromagnetic waves are obtained and investigated in Sec. III, which is followed by the calculation of the polarization vectors and the photon propagator in Sec. IV. Using these results the optical theorem at tree level will be checked in Sec. V. It is demonstrated that a puzzle arises for certain sectors of the theory and how to resolve it at leading order Lorentz violation. Section VI is dedicated to studying the properties of the gauge potential commutator of the theory with the goal to get some understanding of its causal structure. The results are concluded in Sec. VII.

Calculational details are relegated to Appendixes A and B. Natural units with $\hbar = c = 1$ will be used throughout the paper unless stated otherwise.

II. CPT-EVEN PHOTON SECTOR OF THE NONMINIMAL STANDARD MODEL EXTENSION

Within this paper modified Maxwell theory shall be considered, which is the *CPT*-even modification of the SME photon sector. Including the dimension-4 and all higher-dimensional contributions this theory is defined by the following action:

$$S_{\text{modMax}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4 x \mathcal{L}_{\text{modMax}}(x), \qquad (2.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{modMax}}(x) = -\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\rho\sigma}(x) -\frac{1}{4} (\hat{k}_F)^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\rho\sigma}(x), \qquad (2.1b)$$

$$(\hat{k}_F)^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma} = \sum_{\substack{d \, \text{even} \\ d \geq 4}} (k_F^{(d)})^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{(d-4)}} \partial_{\alpha_1}\dots\partial_{\alpha_{(d-4)}}.$$
(2.1c)

The action is written in terms of the electromagnetic field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}(x) - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}(x)$ of the U(1) gauge field $A_{\mu}(x)$. The fields are defined on Minkowski spacetime with coordinates $(x^{\mu}) = (x^0, \mathbf{x}) = (ct, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ and metric $(g_{\mu\nu}(x)) = (\eta_{\mu\nu}) \equiv \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. The Lagrange density of Eq. (2.1b) is decomposed into the standard Maxwell term and the Lorentz-violating modification. The latter involves the background coefficients $(\hat{k}_F)^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, which transform covariantly with respect to observer Lorentz transformations and are fixed with respect to particle Lorentz transformations.

Besides the dimension-4 modified Maxwell term (cf. Refs. [13,21,22]) the background coefficients include all higher-dimensional operators with even operator dimension d > 4. The terms of nonrenormalizable dimension are characterized by additional derivatives. Each increase of the operator dimension by 2 involves two additional derivatives that are contracted with appropriate Lorentz-violating coefficients with two additional indices. The mass dimension of these coefficients is decreased by 2 to compensate the mass dimensions of the derivatives. Transforming these coefficients to momentum space with the four-momentum k_{α} yields

$$(k_F^{(d)})^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma\alpha_1\alpha_2}\partial_{\alpha_1}\dots\partial_{\alpha_{(d-4)}} \xrightarrow[momentum space]{} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\alpha}\mapsto -ik_{\alpha}} (-1)^{d/2-2}(k_F^{(d)})^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma\alpha_1\alpha_2}k_{\alpha_1}\dots k_{\alpha_{(d-4)}}.$$
(2.2)

Hence the scales of the individual contributions in the expansion of $(\hat{k}_F)^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ can be made more transparent with the following symbolic notation:

$$|(\hat{k}_F)^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}| = |\kappa^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|(1+\zeta^{(2)}k^2+\zeta^{(4)}k^4+\ldots),$$
(2.3)

where $| \cdot |$ denotes the order of magnitude of the Lorentzviolating coefficients and $\kappa^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ are the coefficients associated with the dimension-4 operator, $(k_F^{(4)})^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \equiv \kappa^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. The quantities $\zeta^{(d-4)}$ (for even d > 4) have mass dimension 4 - d and the variable k denotes a momentum scale. A necessary condition for this expansion to be well defined is that $\zeta^{(d-4)}k^{d-4} \ll 1$. The leading dimension-4 operator is often called "marginal" and the subleading terms are named "irrelevant."

Equation (2.3) means that the marginal operator is dominant as long as $\zeta^{(d-4)}k^{d-4} \ll 1$. However, the larger the momentum of a photon the more important are the higher-dimensional operators, which is why the expression "irrelevant" can be misleading in this case. Keep in mind that the validity of the effective theory breaks down when k approaches an order of magnitude such that $\zeta^{(d-4)}k^{d-4} = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then all terms in the expansion above become equally important and it is not supposed to converge any more.

The properties of the quantum field theory based on the marginal operator and all the irrelevant ones set to zero have been investigated in the series of papers [23-27]. The current goal is to understand the implications of including some of the higher-dimensional ones. To keep the calculations feasible we restrict the analysis to a particular subset of operators. It is natural to consider the first of the higher-dimensional operators that have mass dimension 6 where all remaining ones are set to 0:

$$(\hat{k}_F)^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma} = (k_F^{(6)})^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma\alpha_1\alpha_2}\partial_{\alpha_1}\partial_{\alpha_2}$$

$$\xrightarrow[momentum space]{} - (k_F^{(6)})^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma\alpha_1\alpha_2}k_{\alpha_1}k_{\alpha_2}. \qquad (2.4)$$

There is a generalization of the nonbirefringent *Ansatz* of the dimension-4 operator [28,29]. In particular for the dimension-6 operator it is given by [18]

$$(k_{F}^{(6)})^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}k_{\alpha_{1}}k_{\alpha_{2}} = \frac{1}{2}[\eta^{\mu\rho}(c_{F})^{\nu\sigma\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} - \eta^{\nu\rho}(c_{F})^{\mu\sigma\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} + \eta^{\nu\sigma}(c_{F})^{\mu\rho\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} - \eta^{\mu\sigma}(c_{F})^{\nu\rho\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}]k_{\alpha_{1}}k_{\alpha_{2}},$$
(2.5)

with the Minkowski metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ and a four-tensor $(c_F)^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. Now we want to restrict ourselves to the case that is equivalent to the isotropic sector of the dimension-4 operator. The corresponding $(c_F)^{\mu\nu\alpha_1\alpha_2}k_{\alpha_1}k_{\alpha_2}$ is a (4×4) matrix that has the same form as the respective matrix [24,30] of the isotropic sector mentioned. The isotropic dimensionless coefficient $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ of the dimension-4 operator is replaced by the combination $\kappa_{tr-}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}k_{\alpha_1}k_{\alpha_2}$ that now appears in each entry of the matrix:

$$(c_F)^{\mu\nu\alpha_1\alpha_2}k_{\alpha_1}k_{\alpha_2} = \left(2\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} - \frac{\xi^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}k_{\alpha_1}k_{\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{2}{\rm diag}(3,1,1,1)^{\mu\nu}\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}k_{\alpha_1}k_{\alpha_2}, \quad (2.6)$$

with the timelike four-vector $(\xi^{\mu}) = (1, 0, 0, 0)^T$. All remaining Lorentz-violating coefficients are assumed to vanish. The coefficients $\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu}$ have mass dimension –2. The minus sign in their index was added to distinguish them from the set of related parameters, κ_{tr+} . In the context of the coefficients $\kappa^{\mu\nu} \rho^{\sigma}$, which are contained in the dimension-4 operator, κ_{tr+} corresponds to the double trace $\kappa^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu\nu}$. Since the latter can be removed by a field redefinition [13], κ_{tr+} does not describe any physics when the theory is restricted to the marginal operator. On the contrary, it can lead to physical effects for the higher-dimensional operators [18], but it is discarded here for simplicity.

In what follows, the Lorentz-violating nonminimal SME sector characterized by Eqs. (2.1), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) shall be studied. Instead of only the coefficient $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ for the marginal operator there now exists a (4×4) matrix $(\kappa_{tr}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2})$ that makes up this sector. Since this matrix is combined with the symmetric two-tensor $k_{\alpha_1}k_{\alpha_2}$, its antisymmetric part can be discarded. Therefore, $(\kappa_{tr-}^{\alpha_1 \tilde{\alpha}_2})$ is assumed to be completely symmetric. Furthermore, antisymmetrization on any triple of indices of $(k_F^{(6)})^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha_1\alpha_2}$ produces zero [18], which reduces the number of independent coefficients further. However these additional restrictions are not important for the current paper, and they are not considered. The physical reason why the framework is restricted to this particular subset of coefficients is that it is supposed to make up the simplest higher-dimensional Lorentz-violating extension of the photon sector. It is reasonable to understand the properties of this family of coefficients before looking at more sophisticated frameworks.

Certain properties of the modified electrodynamics cannot be investigated without a coupling to matter. Therefore, the modified free theory is minimally coupled to a standard Dirac theory of spin-1/2 fermions with charge e and mass m. This results in a Lorentz-violating extended QED that is defined by the following action:

$$S_{\text{modQED}}^{\text{isotropic } d=6}[\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{\mu\nu}, e, m] = S_{\text{modMax}}^{\text{isotropic } d=6}[\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{\mu\nu}] + S_{\text{Dirac}}[e, m].$$
(2.7)

Note that the action carries the superscript "isotropic," since the coefficients $\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu}$ form a higher-dimensional extension of the isotropic *CPT*-even SME extension of the photon sector. However the theory based on Eq. (2.7) is not isotropic any more, which will become evident below. Nevertheless certain characteristics of the isotropic dimension-4 operator will be taken over by the higherdimensional contribution. The Lorentz-violating *CPT*-even modification of the gauge field $A_{\mu}(x)$ is given by Eqs. (2.1), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6). The standard Dirac term for the spinor field $\psi(x)$ reads

$$S_{\text{Dirac}}[e,m] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4 x \bar{\psi}(x) \left[\gamma^{\mu} \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_{\mu}} - eA_{\mu}(x) \right) - m \right] \psi(x),$$
(2.8a)

$$A\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_{\mu}}B \equiv A\partial_{\mu}B - (\partial_{\mu}A)B.$$
 (2.8b)

The latter action contains the standard Dirac matrices γ^{μ} with the Clifford algebra $\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$ and it is written such that the respective Lagrange density is Hermitian.

III. DISPERSION RELATIONS

The first step is to obtain the modified dispersion relations of electromagnetic waves. The field equations for the theory based on the higher-dimensional operators have the same form as those of the dimension-4 *CPT*-even extension [13,22,28]. They are given by

$$M^{\mu\nu}A_{\nu} = 0, \qquad M^{\mu\nu} \equiv k^{\rho}k_{\rho}\eta^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu}k^{\nu} - 2(\hat{k}_{F})^{\mu\rho\sigma\nu}k_{\rho}k_{\sigma},$$
(3.1)

with the four-momentum k^{μ} . The modified dispersion relations are the conditions that have to be fulfilled by the four-momentum such that Eq. (3.1) has nontrivial solutions for A_{ν} . They follow from det(M) = 0 with the matrix M given in Eq. (3.1). To obtain the dispersion laws it is convenient to divide the matrix $(\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu})$ into three parts:

$$(\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{\mu\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{00} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{01} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{02} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{03} \\ \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{01} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{11} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{12} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{13} \\ \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{02} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{12} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{22} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{23} \\ \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{03} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{13} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{23} & \kappa_{\rm tr-}^{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.2)

The first contains the single coefficient κ_{tr-}^{00} that appears together with two time derivatives. For this reason it will be denoted as the "temporal part." The second sector is characterized by the three mixed coefficients κ_{tr-}^{0i} for i = 1, 2, 3 that are combined with one time and one spatial derivative. Hence we call it the "mixed part." Finally, the third sector is made up of the six spatial coefficients κ_{tr-}^{ij} for $i \le j, j = 1, 2, 3$, whereby it is named the "spatial part." The following investigations will be performed for these three sectors separately.

A. Temporal part

In this case all coefficients are set to 0 except for κ_{tr-}^{00} . The determinant of *M* involves a biquadratic polynomial whose solutions with respect to k^0 correspond to the two physical dispersion relations that are given as follows:

$$\omega_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}}} \sqrt{1 - \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2} \mp \sqrt{1 - \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2 (6 - \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2)}.$$
(3.3)

Note that κ_{tr-}^{00} has mass dimension -2 whereby it always occurs in combination with \mathbf{k}^2 to produce a dimensionless quantity. Since the second square root appears with two different signs there are two distinct dispersion relations with different phase velocities. To get a better insight into this issue the following expansions for both dispersion laws are given for $\kappa_{tr-}^{00}\mathbf{k}^2 \ll 1$:

$$\omega_1(\mathbf{k}) = |\mathbf{k}| + (\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00})|\mathbf{k}|^3 + \frac{5}{2}(\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00})^2|\mathbf{k}|^5 + \dots, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\omega_2(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}}} - \sqrt{\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}} \mathbf{k}^2 - \frac{3}{2} (\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00})^{3/2} \mathbf{k}^4 + \dots$$
(3.4b)

The first dispersion law is a perturbation of the standard dispersion relation $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = |\mathbf{k}|$, whereas the second does not have an existing limit for $\kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mapsto 0$. There is an energy gap that grows inversely proportional to the square root of the Lorentz-violating parameter. Such a behavior does not occur for the sectors of the dimension-4 operators that were considered in [23–27]. The existence of ω_2 traces back to the treatment of the Lorentz-violating extension as an effective field theory. According to [18] such dispersion laws are neglected since they do not arise as a small perturbation from the standard relations. They must be considered as Planck scale effects. If κ_{tr-}^{00} is indeed nonzero in nature, modes that are associated with ω_2 may become especially important if the momentum $|\mathbf{k}|$ approaches the Planck scale. However keep in mind that we are dealing with an effective field theory whose applicability is expected to break down for momenta in the vicinity of the Planck scale [see also the discussion at the end of Sec. (IIc) in [18]]. Besides, note that if we took Eq. (3.4b) into account κ_{tr-}^{00} would be restricted to positive values.

For the reasons mentioned, modified dispersion relations that are a perturbation of the standard one will be called "perturbed" and the others, which are not a perturbation, will be referred to as "spurious." Note that the spurious dispersion relation of Eq. (3.4b) is, in fact, associated with one of the transverse, propagating modes. This can be shown with the modified Coulomb and Ampère law according to [13]. Using the latter procedure unphysical dispersion laws being associated to the scalar and longitudinal modes can be identified and discarded. This procedure cannot be applied to remove the spurious dispersion law, though.

B. Mixed part

For the mixed part the determinant of M is more complicated and contains a third order polynomial in k_0 .

TABLE I. Regions of Lorentz-violating coefficients and momentum components leading to non-negative q, p, and d, respectively.

	$q \ge 0$	$p \ge 0$	$d \ge 0$
$\overline{\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{0i}k^i \ge 0}$	$\kappa_{\mathrm{tr}-}^{0i}k^{i} \leq (6\sqrt{2} \mathbf{k})^{-1}$	$\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{0i}k^i \ge (2\sqrt{3} \mathbf{k})^{-1}$	$\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{0i}k^i \ge \sqrt{5\sqrt{5}-11}(2\sqrt{2} \mathbf{k})^{-1}$
$\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{0i}k^i < 0$	$\kappa_{\mathrm{tr}-}^{0i}k^i \leq -(6\sqrt{2} \mathbf{k})^{-1}$	$\kappa_{\mathrm{tr}-}^{0i}k^i \leq -(2\sqrt{3} \mathbf{k})^{-1}$	$\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{0i}k^i \le -\sqrt{5\sqrt{5}-11}(2\sqrt{2} {\bf k})^{-1}$

Note that this is the first of all sectors of modified Maxwell theory studied so far where the physical dispersion laws result from a polynomial of this degree. This renders the calculation of the dispersion relations more complicated in comparison to the aforementioned sectors. With the transformation

$$k_0 = k'_0 - \frac{a}{3}, \qquad a = a(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{0i}k^i},$$
 (3.5)

and an additional multiplication with -a the third order polynomial can be recast in the form $k_0^{\prime 3} + pk_0^{\prime} + 2q$ with

$$p = p(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^2 - \frac{1}{12(\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{0i}k^i)^2},$$

$$q = q(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1 - 72\mathbf{k}^2(\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{0i}k^i)^2}{216(\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{0i}k^i)^3},$$
(3.6a)

$$d = d(\mathbf{k}) = q^2 + \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^3,$$
 (3.6b)

where a summation over i = 1, 2, 3 is understood and d will be needed below. Two of the three zeros of this polynomial with respect to k'_0 that are transformed back to k_0 via Eq. (3.5) correspond to the dispersion laws of the transverse degrees of freedom. They are given by

$$\omega_1(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{-\frac{4}{3}p} \cos\left[\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(-q\sqrt{-\frac{27}{p^3}}\right)\right] - \frac{a}{3}, \quad (3.7a)$$

$$\omega_2(\mathbf{k}) = -\sqrt{-\frac{4}{3}p} \cos\left[\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(-q\sqrt{-\frac{27}{p^3}}\right) + \frac{\pi}{3}\right] - \frac{a}{3},$$
(3.7b)

with *p* and *q* defined by Eq. (3.6a). Depending on the sign of the functions *a*, *q*, *p*, and *d* one of these solutions is a perturbation of the standard dispersion law and the other one is a spurious dispersion relation similar to Eq. (3.4b). For a > 0 and q > 0 (where *p* is negative for this choice) or a > 0, q < 0, and p < 0 the only dispersion relation being a perturbation of the standard dispersion law is $\omega_1(\mathbf{k})$, which can then be rewritten as follows:

$$\omega_1(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt[3]{u} + \sqrt[3]{v} - \frac{a}{3},$$
 (3.8a)

$$u = u(\mathbf{k}) = -q + \sqrt{d}, \qquad v = v(\mathbf{k}) = -q - \sqrt{d}.$$
 (3.8b)

For a > 0, q < 0, and p > 0 or a < 0, q < 0 (where p is negative in this case) or a < 0, q > 0, and d < 0 only $\omega_2(\mathbf{k})$ is such a perturbation, which is rearranged to give

$$\omega_2(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\operatorname{sign}(q)}{2} \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}p} \left[f(x)^{1/6} - \frac{1}{f(x)^{1/6}} \right] - \frac{a}{3}, \quad (3.9a)$$

$$f(\psi) = \psi + \sqrt{\psi + 1}\sqrt{\psi - 1}, \qquad x = 1 + \frac{54q^2}{p^3}.$$
 (3.9b)

Both ω_1 and ω_2 have been recast, from which it can be shown that they both are real quantities. The cubic roots in Eq. (3.8a) give opposite imaginary parts that cancel in the sum. The second dispersion law of Eq. (3.9a) is manifestly real for p > 0. For p < 0 the real parts arising in each of the two terms in the square brackets cancel, which gives a purely imaginary result. Combining it with the imaginary result from the square root outside of the brackets leads to a real quantity. These properties are not directly evident from Eqs. (3.7a), (3.7b).

For a < 0, q > 0, and d > 0 the polynomial does not have a real and positive zero. Hence this choice does not lead to a modified dispersion law. Finally, Table. I shows the regions of $\kappa_{tr}^{0i} k^i$ that result in non-negative q, p, and d.

C. Spatial part

Finally, the case is considered where all coefficients are assumed to vanish if they contain at least one Lorentz index that is equal to 0. The physical dispersion law then results from a polynomial of second degree and can be cast in the following form:

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \kappa_{\text{tr}}^{ij} k^i k^j}{1 - \kappa_{\text{tr}}^{ij} k^i k^j}} |\mathbf{k}|.$$
(3.10)

Note the similarity to the isotropic dispersion relation when considering a nonvanishing dimension-4 operator with the isotropic coefficient $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$:

$$\omega(\mathbf{k})|_{\text{modMax}}^{\text{isotropic } d=4} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \tilde{\kappa}_{\text{tr}}}{1 + \tilde{\kappa}_{\text{tr}}}} |\mathbf{k}|.$$
(3.11)

Contrary to the previous cases, there only exists a single dispersion law, which is a Lorentz-violating perturbation of the standard dispersion relation. Hence for the spatial part of the dimension-6 operator the modified dispersion law has the same form as for the isotropic dimension-4 operator with $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ replaced by $-\kappa_{tr}^{ij}k^ik^j$. The physical dispersion relations found for the spatial and the mixed sector are no longer isotropic. This shows that higher-dimensional operators of the isotropic *CPT*-even modification of the photon sector can deliver anisotropic contributions to the dispersion relations.

A last comment concerns the degeneracy of the transverse dispersion relations for all three sectors previously considered. Both the perturbed and the spurious dispersion laws have a twofold degeneracy, i.e., they appear as a double zero of the determinant of the matrix M in Eq. (3.1). The latter degeneracy reflects the degeneracy of the quantum-mechanical photon state. It is important that the degeneracy of the perturbed dispersion law is still twofold despite the occurrence of the spurious dispersion relations. The reason is that the photon state degeneracy goes in many physical quantities, e.g., Planck's radiation law. Hence if it were modified, Planck's law would change as well and the limit of vanishing Lorentz-violating coefficients would not describe the experimental measurements correctly.

IV. POLARIZATION VECTORS AND THE PROPAGATOR

The *CPT*-even Lorentz-violating modification considered is based on a higher-dimensional operator. Due to the additional derivatives that are combined with this operator it is interesting to examine the quantum-field theoretic properties of the modification. To do so, the modified polarization vectors and the propagator are needed and they are obtained as follows.

The propagator of a quantized field is an important object for studying the properties of the underlying quantum field theory. It is the Green's function of the free field equations, i.e., the inverse of the differential operator that appears in these equations. However, due to the infinite number of gauge degrees of freedom of the photon field an inverse does not exist as long as no gauge fixing condition is imposed. For all cases of the *CPT*-even dimension-4 operator considered so far [23–27], Feynman gauge [31–33] has proven to be a convenient gauge choice. Hence, this gauge choice will be implemented here as well. In practice this is done by adding the following gauge-fixing term to the Lagrange density:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gf}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}(x))^2.$$
 (4.1)

By partial integration the action of the modified photon sector can be written as follows:

$$S_{\text{modMax}}^{\text{Feynman}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4 x A_{\mu} (G^{-1})^{\mu\nu} A_{\nu}, \qquad (4.2a)$$

with the differential operator

$$(G^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial^2 - 2(k_F)^{\mu\rho\sigma\nu}\partial_{\rho}\partial_{\sigma}.$$
 (4.2b)

Transforming $(G^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$ to momentum space leads to

$$(\hat{G}^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = -k^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} + 2(k_F)^{\mu\rho\sigma\nu} k_{\rho} k_{\sigma}.$$
 (4.3)

Now the system of equations $(\hat{G}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\hat{G}_{\nu\lambda} = i\delta^{\mu}{}_{\lambda}$ must be solved where $\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}$ is the propagator in momentum space. To understand the structure of the propagator it must be expressed in a covariant form using the four-vectors and two-tensors that are available in this context. This is the metric tensor $\eta^{\mu\nu}$, the four-vector k^{μ} , and the preferred spacetime direction ξ^{μ} , which appears in Eq. (2.6). The isotropic case based on the dimension-4 operator is characterized by ξ^{μ} . It is assumed that ξ^{μ} is the only preferred direction that plays a role for the dimension-6 operator as well. For this reason the following *Ansatz* is made for the propagator:

$$\hat{G}^{\mu\nu}|^{\text{Feynman}} = -i\{\hat{a}\eta^{\mu\nu} + \hat{b}k^{\mu}k^{\nu} + \hat{c}(k^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} + \xi^{\mu}k^{\nu}) + \hat{d}\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}\}\hat{K}.$$
(4.4)

The propagator coefficients $\hat{a}, ..., \hat{d}$ plus the scalar part \hat{K} depend on the four-momentum components: $\hat{a} = \hat{a}(k^0, \mathbf{k})$, etc. Since the propagator is a symmetric two-tensor, the *Ansatz* has to respect this property. This can be checked to be the case in Eq. (4.4). Due to this symmetry, from the original 16 equations only 10 have to be solved to obtain the propagator coefficients plus the scalar part.

The propagator of a quantum field describes its off-shell properties. To understand its on-shell characteristics the dispersion relations are needed plus—in case of the photon field—the corresponding polarization vectors. The modified dispersion laws were already obtained in Sec. III. The polarization vectors will be determined as follows. These form a set of four four-vectors that is a basis of Minkowski spacetime. Only two of them describe physical, i.e., transverse photon polarization states where the remaining two correspond to scalar and longitudinal degrees of freedom. The transverse photon polarization vectors are solutions of the field equations (3.1) with k_0 to be replaced by the physical dispersion laws. For the temporal, the mixed, and the spatial sector they can be chosen as follows where $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ is a general three-momentum:

$$\varepsilon^{(1)\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^{(6)}}\sqrt{k_2^2 + k_3^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\-k_3\\k_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\varepsilon^{(2)\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^{(6)}}|\mathbf{k}|} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\-\sqrt{k_2^2 + k_3^2}\\k_1k_2/\sqrt{k_2^2 + k_3^2}\\k_1k_3/\sqrt{k_2^2 + k_3^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

with a normalization $N^{(6)}$. The latter is an additional normalization, which is not related to the requirement that the scalar product of a polarization vector with itself is equal to 1. On the contrary, it has to be determined from the 00 component of the energy-momentum tensor [given by Eq. (36) in [13]] whose expectation value must correspond to the modified physical photon dispersion law. The procedure is described in Appendix A in detail. Note that besides the appearance of $N^{(6)}$, the polarization vectors are completely standard. It can be checked that they are orthogonal to each other and each is orthogonal to the momentum three-vector. So they are interpreted as the physical transverse polarizations.

Equation (4.5) provides the polarization vectors of both the perturbed and the spurious dispersion relation. The reason is that the degeneracy of each dispersion law is still twofold as in the standard theory (cf. the discussion at the end of Sec. III).

As a next step the polarization sum

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} \equiv \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \bar{\varepsilon}^{(\lambda)\mu} \varepsilon^{(\lambda)\nu}, \qquad (4.6)$$

is computed where the bar means complex conjugation. To investigate the properties of the theory it is reasonable to write $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ in a covariant form similar to the *Ansatz* of Eq. (4.4), which was made for the propagator:

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N^{(6)}} \{ a\eta^{\mu\nu} + bk^{\mu}k^{\nu} + c(k^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} + \xi^{\mu}k^{\nu}) + d\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} \}|_{k_0 = \omega}.$$
(4.7)

Here a, b, c, and d are unknown coefficients to be determined by comparing the *Ansatz* to the explicit expression of Eq. (4.6) that is constructed with the polarization vectors of Eq. (4.5). Since the polarization sum is symmetric such as the propagator, this leads to ten equations that must be fulfilled.

A. General results

The propagator coefficients plus its scalar part can be computed for all ten Lorentz-violating coefficients $\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu}$ at once. Introducing the shorthand notation $Q^{(6)} \equiv -\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu} k_{\nu}$ one obtains

$$\hat{K} = \frac{1}{k_0^2 (1 + Q^{(6)}) - \mathbf{k}^2 (1 - Q^{(6)})},$$
(4.8a)

$$\hat{a} = 1,$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{-Q^{(6)}[k_0^2(1 - Q^{(6)}) - \mathbf{k}^2(1 + Q^{(6)})]}{k^4(1 + Q^{(6)})},$$
(4.8b)

$$\hat{c} = \frac{2Q^{(6)}k_0}{k^2(1+Q^{(6)})}, \qquad \hat{d} = -\frac{2Q^{(6)}}{1+Q^{(6)}}.$$
 (4.8c)

Note that this propagator has the same structure as the propagator that is based on the isotropic *CPT*-even dimension-4 operator with the replacement $Q^{(6)} \mapsto Q^{(4)} \equiv \tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$. The minus sign in the definition of $Q^{(6)}$ above originates from the minus sign that emerges when transforming the two additional derivatives of the dimension-6 operator to momentum space [cf. Eq. (2.2) for the general case of the dimension-*d* operator and Eq. (2.4) for the dimension-6 operator]. I anticipate that the propagator for the full dimensional expansion has exactly this form with $Q^{(6)}$ to be replaced by

$$Q^{(6)} \mapsto Q^{(\text{full})} \equiv \sum_{\substack{d \text{ even} \\ d \ge 4}} Q^{(d)} \equiv \sum_{\substack{d \text{ even} \\ d \ge 4}} (-1)^{d/2 - 2} \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{(d-4)}} k_{\alpha_1} \dots k_{\alpha_{(d-4)}} = \tilde{\kappa}_{\text{tr}} - \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} k_{\alpha_1} k_{\alpha_2} + \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4} k_{\alpha_1} k_{\alpha_2} k_{\alpha_3} k_{\alpha_4} \mp \dots$$
(4.9)

The coefficients of the polarization sum of Eq. (4.7) can be stated as

$$a = -1,$$
 $b = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2},$ $c = \frac{k_0}{\mathbf{k}^2},$ $d = 1 - \frac{k_0^2}{\mathbf{k}^2},$
(4.10)

where k_0 has to be replaced by the respective physical dispersion law. Furthermore, the normalization of the polarization vectors is given by the following general expression:

 $N^{(6)} = \frac{1}{2\omega^2} [\omega^2 (1 + Q^{(6)}) + \mathbf{k}^2 (1 - Q^{(6)})].$ (4.11)

Three remarks are in order. First, the polarization sum of Eq. (4.7) together with the coefficients of Eq. (4.10) and the normalization of Eq. (4.11) completely resembles the polarization sum of isotropic modified Maxwell theory based on the dimension-4 operator with the replacement $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr} \mapsto -\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu} k_{\nu}$. Therefore, I suspect that for the *CPT*-even

Lorentz-violating photon sector including $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ and all higherdimensional operators the polarization sum for each transverse mode has the same structure where $Q^{(6)}$ is replaced by the general expansion of Eq. (4.9) and k_0 by the corresponding modified dispersion relation. For vanishing Lorentz violation $Q^{(6)}$ vanishes as well and $\omega = |\mathbf{k}|$. Then $N^{(6)} = 1$, which shows that in the standard case the normalization condition involving the 00 component of the energy-momentum tensor is fulfilled automatically.

Second, the terms with the coefficients b and c do not play a role when $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ is contracted with a gauge-invariant quantity. This holds due to the Ward identity, which is still valid since the Lorentz-violating modification respects gauge invariance and no anomalies are expected to occur. Third, for vanishing Lorentz violation we have $k_0 = |\mathbf{k}|$ and, therefore, $\delta = 0$. The truncated polarization sum (meaning that all terms proportional to k^{μ} are dropped) then corresponds to the standard result [33]

$$\lim_{\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{\mu\nu}\mapsto 0} \Pi^{\mu\nu}\big|_{\rm truncated} = -\eta^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (4.12)

Since the *Ansätze* given by Eqs. (4.4), (4.7) are sufficient to describe the structure of the propagator and the polarization vectors, respectively, it is justified to take into account the timelike preferred spacetime direction ξ^{μ} only. There may be more directions, which are defined by the matrix ($\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu}$). However they are not needed to understand the structure of the Lorentz-violating modification.

Finally the results stated in this section shall be compared to the literature. For this purpose the two recent articles [34,35] will be consulted. Both articles deal with Lorentz-violating *CPT*-even extensions of the Standard Model photon sector including higher-dimensional operators. Certain processes such as vacuum Cherenkov radiation and photon decay are considered to set bounds on the coefficients associated with the higher-dimensional operators. These operators are to some extent isotropic subsets of the dimension-6 contribution considered in the current paper. The authors derive the Feynman rules for their theories studied, which include the modified photon propagator and the polarization sum. Hence it is reasonable to compare the results obtained here to theirs.

First of all, in [34] the Lorentz-violating vacuum is considered as a medium with permittivity ε and permeability μ . The energy density ϱ_E of the electromagnetic field is then given by the first two terms of their Eq. (2.5):

$$\varrho_E = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbf{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \mathbf{B}^2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} F_{0i}^2 - \frac{1}{4\mu} F_{ij}^2.$$
(4.13)

In the first parts of the paper the authors investigate the *CPT*-even dimension-4 extension of the photon sector. Comparing q_E to the Lagrange density of the framework based on the dimension-4 operator,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{modMax}}^{\text{isotropic } d=4} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \tilde{\kappa}_{\text{tr}}) F_{0i}^2 - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \tilde{\kappa}_{\text{tr}}) F_{ij}^2, \quad (4.14)$$

leads to $\varepsilon = 1 + \tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ and $\mu = 1/(1 - \tilde{\kappa}_{tr})$. With the refraction index

$$n \equiv \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \tilde{\kappa}_{\rm tr}}{1 - \tilde{\kappa}_{\rm tr}}},\tag{4.15}$$

resulting from Eq. (3.11), the propagator given by the first part of the unnumbered equation directly above Eq. (3.1) in [34] corresponds to Eqs. (4.4), (4.8) with $Q^{(6)} \mapsto Q^{(4)} =$ $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ when the gauge-dependent terms proportional to the four-momentum are dropped. Furthermore the polarization sum given by the first part of Eq. (3.1) in [34] is equal to Eq. (4.7) with the coefficients of Eq. (4.10) and the normalization (4.11) where the terms proportional to k^{μ} are dropped as well. Concerning the nonminimal isotropic sector considered in the latter reference, the authors take into account the spatial part of the dimension-8 operator and write the Lorentz-violating coefficient as τ_0/Λ_L^4 . Here τ_0 is a dimensionless coupling constant and Λ_L an energy scale, which is regarded as the scale of Lorentz violation associated with the operator considered. Then the corresponding polarization sum is given by the first part of their Eq. (5.1). In our paper the dimension-8 operator is not considered directly. However if we take into account Eq. (4.9) and assume the dispersion relation of the theory based on the spatial part of the dimension-8 operator to have an analogous form as Eq. (3.10), the polarization sum will correspond to our result neglecting the Lorentzviolating part of the normalization (4.11). This completes the comparisons with the results of [34].

The photon part of the Lagrange density in Eq. (1) of [35] describes an isotropic subset of the framework considered in the current paper. The modified photon dispersion relation is given by Eq. (2) in the latter reference. The strength of Lorentz violation is characterized by their ξ/M^2 where ξ is a dimensionless parameter and M a mass scale. With the identification $\xi/M^2 = \kappa_{tr-}^{11} = \kappa_{tr-}^{22} = \kappa_{tr-}^{33}$ their dispersion relation is a first order expansion of Eq. (3.10) of the spatial sector. Their polarization sum of Eq. (4) is equal to our result except that they use their first order approximation of the dispersion relation and the Lorentz-violating part of the normalization (4.11) has been neglected in their expression. The photon propagator, which is given by the unnumbered equation below their Eq. (7), equals Eqs. (4.4), (4.8) when dropping the gaugedependent terms and neglecting the Lorentz-violating part in the prefactor of k_0^2 in the denominator.

To summarize, under certain assumptions the results of the present paper coincide with the results of [34,35], which fortifies our calculations.

B. Temporal part

Some of the general results presented above can be stated explicitly for the temporal part since they are not too lengthy. For this special case there are two isotropic dispersion laws [see Eq. (3.3)]; i.e., they only depend on $|\mathbf{k}|$. Without loss of generality, the momentum three-vector can be chosen to point along the *z* axis. Then the transverse polarization vectors of Eq. (4.5) can be simplified to give (where one of the two possible signs is picked)

$$\varepsilon^{(1)\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^{(6)}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \varepsilon^{(2)\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^{(6)}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.16)

Both the perturbed and the spurious mode have different normalization factors that follow from Eq. (4.11) by inserting the corresponding dispersion law:

$$N_{1}^{\prime(6)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^{2} + \sqrt{1 - \kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^{2} (6 - \kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^{2})} \right],$$

$$(4.17a)$$

$$N_{1}^{\prime\prime(6)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^{2} - \sqrt{1 - \kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^{2} (6 - \kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^{2})} \right].$$

$$(4.17b)$$

Note that the signs in front of the two square roots in Eq. (4.17) are opposite to the signs of the square roots in the dispersion relations given by Eq. (3.3).

V. OPTICAL THEOREM AT TREE LEVEL

The occurrence of spurious photon modes in the temporal and the mixed sector of the *CPT*-even modification based on the dimension-6 operator makes us curious about the validity of the optical theorem. The latter shall be studied in the current section where first of all the spatial case is considered. The optical theorem will be investigated based on a particular process: the scattering of a left-handed electron and a right-handed positron at tree level (see Fig. 1). The calculation will be performed according to [26,27].

As long as no problems occur in the context of the optical theorem, the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{M}(e_L^-e_R^+ \rightarrow e_L^-e_R^+)$ must be related to the production cross section of a modified photon $\tilde{\gamma}$ from a left-handed electron and a right-handed positron. We will denote the matrix element of the latter process as $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \equiv \mathcal{M}(e_L^-e_R^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma})$. Note that it is not important which process at tree level is considered. In the proof no relationships will be employed that exclusively hold for this particular process. The only property, which is assumed, is the validity of the Ward identity. This is reasonable as the axial anomaly, which is linked to the chiral structure of quantum field theories, occurs at higher order of the electromagnetic coupling constant.

Now the forward scattering amplitude (left-hand side of the equation in Fig. 1) can be obtained with the standard Feynman rules for the fermion sector and the modified photon propagator. It reads as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \delta^{(4)}(k_1 + k_2 - k) e^2 \bar{u}(k_1) \gamma^\lambda \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} v(k_2) \bar{v}(k_2) \gamma^\nu \\ \times \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} u(k_1) \frac{1}{\hat{K}^{-1} + \mathrm{i}\epsilon} \\ \times [\hat{a}\eta_{\nu\lambda} + \hat{b}k_\nu k_\lambda + \hat{c}(k_\nu \xi_\lambda + \xi_\nu k_\lambda) + \hat{d}\xi_\nu \xi_\lambda].$$
(5.1)

Here *e* is the elementary charge; u, v, \bar{u} , and \bar{v} are standard Dirac spinors; $\gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ with the standard Dirac matrices γ^{μ} (for $\mu \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$); and 1 is the unit matrix in spinor space. The kinematical variables used are shown in Fig. 1. The four-dimensional δ function ensures total four-momentum conservation. The photon propagator with the propagator coefficients is taken from Eq. (4.8). The physical poles that appear in the scalar propagator part are treated with the ordinary *ic* procedure. This means that the positive pole is shifted to the lower complex half-plane (meaning that an integration contour runs above the pole) and the negative one is shifted to the upper complex halfplane (where a contour runs below this pole).



FIG. 1. Forward scattering amplitude of the process $e_L^- e_R^+ \rightarrow e_L^- e_R^+$ (left-hand side) that is equal to the total cross section of $e_L^- e_R^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}$ (right-hand side) if the optical theorem is valid. Here $\tilde{\gamma}$ denotes a modified photon. The kinematic variables used are stated next to the particle symbols. The infinitesimal one-particle phase space element for the process on the right-hand side of the equation is called $d\Pi_1$.

A. Spatial part

For the spatial part of the modified theory considered the procedure used in [26,27] does not fundamentally change. The denominator of the scalar propagator part is factorized with respect to the propagator poles. Terms of quadratic and higher order in the infinitesimal parameter ϵ are not taken into account. The photon propagator has two physical poles, where

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{1 - Q_3^{(6)}}{1 + Q_3^{(6)}}} |\mathbf{k}|, \qquad Q_3^{(6)} \equiv -\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{ij} k^i k^j, \qquad (5.2)$$

is the positive one and $-\omega < 0$ corresponds to its negative counterpart. The scalar part \hat{K} of the propagator is then written in the following form:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{k}^{-1} + i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{k_0^2 (1 + Q_3^{(6)}) - \mathbf{k}^2 (1 - Q_3^{(6)}) + i\epsilon}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(1 + Q_3^{(6)})(k^0 - \omega + i\epsilon)(k^0 + \omega - i\epsilon)}.$$
 (5.3)

Due to the $i\epsilon$ prescription the relation

$$\frac{1}{k^0 - \omega + i\epsilon} = \mathcal{P}\frac{1}{k^0 - \omega} - i\pi\delta(k^0 - \omega), \qquad (5.4)$$

with the principal value \mathcal{P} , holds for the physical pole. The first part of Eq. (5.4) is purely real. The second part is imaginary and due to the δ function it forces the zeroth four-momentum component to be equal to the respective physical photon frequency. The negative pole does not contribute to the imaginary part because of total four-momentum conservation. Furthermore

$$\frac{1}{(1+Q_3^{(6)})(k^0+\omega+i\epsilon)} \bigg|_{k^0=\omega} = \frac{1}{2\omega N_3^{(6)}},$$

$$N_3^{(6)} \equiv 1 - \kappa_{tr}^{ij} k^i k^j,$$
(5.5)

where $N_3^{(6)}$ follows from Eq. (4.11) by inserting the dispersion relation of Eq. (5.2). In principle, the procedure used corresponds to an application of the cutting rules by Cutkosky [36] in a Lorentz-violating theory. Using these results, the k^0 integration in Eq. (5.1) can be done. Since the interest lies in the imaginary part, terms involving the principal value are not considered and k^0 is replaced by the photon frequency ω :

$$2\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{M}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}2\omega} \delta^{(4)}(k_{1} + k_{2} - k)e^{2}\bar{u}(k_{1})\gamma^{\nu} \frac{1 - \gamma_{5}}{2}v(k_{2})\bar{v}(k_{2})\gamma^{\mu} \frac{1 - \gamma_{5}}{2}u(k_{1})\frac{1}{N_{3}^{(6)}}(-\eta_{\mu\nu} + d\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\nu})$$

$$= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}2\omega} \delta^{(4)}(k_{1} + k_{2} - k)(\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger})^{\nu}(\hat{\mathcal{M}})^{\mu}\Pi_{\mu\nu}$$

$$= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}2\omega} \delta^{(4)}(k_{1} + k_{2} - k)|\hat{\mathcal{M}}|^{2},$$

with

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} \equiv \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda)}(k) (\hat{\mathcal{M}})^{\mu}(k).$$
 (5.6b)

Terms that involve at least one four-momentum in the tensor structure of the propagator can be dropped, if the Ward identity is taken into account. Hence, the optical theorem at tree level is valid for the spatial sector as expected.

B. Temporal part

The temporal part is characterized by two distinct dispersion relations according to Eq. (3.3). To make the following calculations more transparent they will be written as follows:

$$\omega_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}}} \sqrt{a \mp \sqrt{b}}, \qquad a = 1 - \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2,$$
$$b = 1 - \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2 (6 - \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2). \tag{5.7}$$

The first of these is a perturbation of the standard dispersion law, whereas this is not the case for the second. Therefore the second can be considered as spurious for momenta that are much smaller than the Planck scale. Nevertheless there is no reason why it formally should not be taken into account in the optical theorem. Although it is considered as spurious it is, indeed, a transverse dispersion law (see the discussion in Sec. III A). When ω_2 is not discarded, the structure of the temporal sector is reminiscent of the structure of a birefringent theory. In [27] a birefringent sector of modified Maxwell theory was considered that is based on the dimension-4 operator. If both ω_1 and ω_2 are assumed to contribute to the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude the calculation can be performed analogously to how this was done in the latter reference. The only difference is that each transverse dispersion relation is linked to a separate polarization sum according to Eq. (4.6) and not only to one of the two contributions of the sum. This has to do with the twofold degeneracy of each dispersion law (cf. the last paragraph of Sec. III).

(5.6a)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 105019 (2014)

The scalar part of the modified photon propagator then has four different poles. Two of them are given by Eq. (5.7) and the other two by their respective negative counterparts. The denominator of the scalar part is factorized with respect to these poles and the i ϵ prescription is applied again:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{K}^{-1} + i\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{\kappa_{tr-}^{00}(k^0 - \omega_1 + i\epsilon)(k^0 + \omega_1 - i\epsilon)(k^0 - \omega_2 + i\epsilon)(k^0 + \omega_2 - i\epsilon)}.$$
(5.8)

Then Eq. (5.4) can be used for each of the positive poles. The negative ones do not play a role due to four-momentum conservation. This results in the following contributions to the imaginary part, where a factor of $-i\pi$ is omitted:

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{00}(k^0+\omega_1)(k^0-\omega_2)(k^0+\omega_2)}\Big|_{k^0=\omega_1} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{00}\omega_1(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2)} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{00}}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a-\sqrt{b}}\sqrt{b}},\tag{5.9a}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}(k^0-\omega_1)(k^0+\omega_1)(k^0+\omega_2)}\Big|_{k^0=\omega_2} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}\omega_2(\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2)} = -\frac{\sqrt{\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a+\sqrt{b}}\sqrt{b}}.$$
(5.9b)

Note that the residue of the second pole given by Eq. (5.9b) is negative indicating unphysical behavior, which is analogous to what occurs for ghosts. According to the previous section and the discussion in [27], for the optical theorem to be valid these expressions have to correspond to the following results where $N'_1^{(6)}$ and $N''_1^{(6)}$ are the normalizations of the temporal case taken from Eq. (4.17):

$$\frac{1}{2\omega_1 N_1^{\prime (6)}} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00}}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}} \frac{4}{2 - a + \sqrt{b} + 2[1 + 2/(a - \sqrt{b})]\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2},$$
(5.10a)

$$\frac{1}{2\omega_2 N_1^{\prime\prime}{}^{(6)}_1} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{00}}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a+\sqrt{b}}} \frac{4}{2-a-\sqrt{b}+2[1+2/(a+\sqrt{b})]\kappa_{\rm tr-}^{00}{\bf k}^2}.$$
(5.10b)

However, Eqs. (5.9a), (5.10a) and Eqs. (5.9b), (5.10b) can evidently not be equal to each other. Therefore the optical theorem at tree level appears to be violated for the temporal sector of the dimension-6 operator. The reason is the occurrence of a spurious dispersion law, which renders the structure of the theory birefringent.

C. Mixed case

Also the mixed case has two distinct dispersion relations that are given by Eqs. (3.8), (3.9) where only one of them is a perturbation of the standard dispersion law. Contrary to the temporal sector, they change their roles depending on the choice of the Lorentz-violating coefficients and momentum components (see the discussion in Sec. III B). Without loss of generality in what follows we assume that ω_1 is the perturbation and $\omega_2 > 0$ is spurious.

The scalar propagator part has three poles: ω_1 , ω_2 , and a third negative pole ω_3 . The latter is neither a negative counterpart of ω_1 nor of ω_2 . The denominator of the scalar propagator is then factorized as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{k}^{-1} + i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{a^{-1}k_0^3 + k_0^2 + a^{-1}\mathbf{k}^2k_0 - \mathbf{k}^2 + i\epsilon}$$
$$= \frac{1}{a^{-1}(k^0 - \omega_1 + i\epsilon)(k^0 - \omega_2 + i\epsilon)(k^0 + \omega_3 - i\epsilon)}.$$
(5.11)

Here $a^{-1} = 2\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{0i}k^i$ is the inverse of *a* defined in Eq. (3.5). According to Eq. (5.4) the poles $k^0 = \omega_1$ and $k^0 = \omega_2$ deliver the following contributions to the imaginary part where a factor of $-i\pi$ has again been dropped:

$$\frac{1}{a^{-1}(k^0 - \omega_2)(k^0 + \omega_3)}\Big|_{k^0 = \omega_1} = \frac{1}{a^{-1}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)(\omega_1 + \omega_3)},$$
(5.12a)

$$\frac{1}{a^{-1}(k^0 - \omega_1)(k^0 + \omega_3)}\Big|_{k^0 = \omega_2} = \frac{1}{a^{-1}(\omega_2 - \omega_1)(\omega_2 + \omega_3)}.$$
(5.12b)

If the optical theorem is valid, each of these expressions must correspond to the respective following contribution:

$$\frac{1}{2\omega_1 N_2^{\prime(6)}} = \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_1^2 (1 + \omega_1/a) + \mathbf{k}^2 (1 - \omega_1/a)}, \quad (5.13a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\omega_2 N''_2^{(6)}} = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_2^2 (1 + \omega_2/a) + \mathbf{k}^2 (1 - \omega_2/a)}.$$
 (5.13b)

There are again two different normalization factors such as in the temporal case, which are denoted as $N'_2^{(6)}$ and $N''_2^{(6)}$. They result from Eq. (4.11) by inserting the appropriate dispersion law ω_1 or ω_2 . Since Eqs. (5.12a),

(5.12b) depend on ω_3 , which does not appear in Eqs. (5.13a), (5.13b), these results cannot correspond to each other. This can also be shown explicitly by inserting the modified dispersion relations directly. Therefore the optical theorem at tree level seems to be invalid for the mixed sector as well.

D. Interpretation

In the last section it was demonstrated that the optical theorem at tree level appears to be violated for the temporal and the mixed case of the Lorentz-violating modification based on the *CPT*-even dimension-6 operator in the photon sector. However a violation of the optical theorem does not necessarily indicate that unitarity is violated as well.¹

Since the optical theorem is perfectly valid for the spatial case, its violation for the other two sectors must be linked to the additional time derivatives that appear in the higherdimensional operator. These time derivatives may spoil the Hamiltonian of the system, which renders the time evolution of the states unconventional. This was pointed out to happen in the fermion sector of the SME [38]. The observation made here is supposed to be the analogue in the nonminimal photon sector. In the latter reference it was shown that the issues arising can be solved at first order Lorentz violation by a field redefinition.

Here we will go on an alternative path. The field is not redefined but the additional time derivatives (or the k_0 components in momentum space) can be eliminated from the field equations at first order Lorentz violation by taking into account the on-shell result

$$k^{2} = k_{0}^{2} - \mathbf{k}^{2} = \mathcal{O}(\kappa_{\text{tr}}^{\mu\nu} k_{\mu} k_{\nu}), \qquad (5.14)$$

where $\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu}k_{\mu}k_{\nu}$ contains a particular subset of Lorentzviolating coefficients. Each additional k_0 , which appears in the dimension-6 operator, is multiplied with a Lorentzviolating coefficient. Hence, replacing these k_0 by $|\mathbf{k}|$ for on-shell quantities leads to an error at *second* order Lorentz violation whereas all expressions remain valid at first order.

1. Temporal case

For this case the replacement $k_0^2 \kappa_{tr-}^{00} \mapsto \mathbf{k}^2 \kappa_{tr-}^{00}$ is performed in the matrix M of Eq. (3.1). Notice that no spurious dispersion law appears any more after this replacement has been made. One obtains a single isotropic modified dispersion relation that is given by PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 105019 (2014)

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - Q_1^{(6)}}{1 + Q_1^{(6)}}} |\mathbf{k}|, \qquad Q_1^{(6)} \equiv -\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{00} \mathbf{k}^2.$$
(5.15)

With the modified dispersion law in this form the optical theorem can be shown to be valid in a completely analogous way as this was done for the spatial case in Sec. VA. The only thing to do is to replace $Q_3^{(6)}$ by $Q_1^{(6)}$ defined above. The caveat is that this calculation is only applicable at first order Lorentz violation due to the performed replacement.

2. Mixed case

For the mixed case the replacement $k_0 \kappa_{tr-}^{0i} \mapsto |\mathbf{k}| \kappa_{tr-}^{0i}$ is done in the matrix M of Eq. (3.1). Also here the spurious dispersion law disappears and one ends up with a single modified dispersion relation:

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - Q_2^{(6)}}{1 + Q_2^{(6)}}} |\mathbf{k}|, \qquad Q_2^{(6)} \equiv 2\kappa_{\mathrm{tr}-}^{0i} |\mathbf{k}| k^i.$$
(5.16)

In this case the optical theorem can be shown to be valid with the transformed dispersion law following the lines of Sec. VA with $Q_3^{(6)}$ replaced by $Q_2^{(6)}$. Therefore the validity of the optical theorem at tree level has been demonstrated for this sector at first order Lorentz violation as well.

VI. GAUGE FIELD COMMUTATOR

In the current section the interest lies in the commutator $K^{\mu\nu}(y,z) \equiv [A^{\mu}(y), A^{\nu}(z)]$ of two gauge fields where both are evaluated at different spacetime points y and z. This commutator is closely linked to the causal structure of the theory. If it vanishes for two distinct spacetime points the physical fields can be measured exactly at the respective points. A nonvanishing commutator indicates that measurements of physical observables at the corresponding spacetime points can influence each other. In this case they cannot be simultaneously measured with arbitrary precision because of the uncertainty relation.

Due to translational invariance of the theory, a coordinate transformation $w \mapsto w' = w - z$ can be performed such that it suffices to consider $K^{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv K^{\mu\nu}(x, 0) = [A^{\mu}(x), A^{\nu}(0)]$ with $x \equiv y - z$ instead. The goal is to gain some understanding in the commutator without too much calculational effort. For this reason the general case with ten Lorentz-violating coefficients is reduced to the spatial case with the equal coefficients $\kappa_{tr-}^{11} = \kappa_{tr-}^{22} = \kappa_{tr-}^{33} \equiv \bar{\kappa}_{tr}$ and all others set to zero. With

$$Q_3^{(6)} = -(\kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{11}k_1^2 + \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{22}k_2^2 + \kappa_{\text{tr}-}^{33}k_3^2) = -\bar{\kappa}_{\text{tr}}\mathbf{k}^2, \quad (6.1)$$

the following isotropic dispersion relation is obtained:

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \bar{\kappa}_{\rm tr} \mathbf{k}^2}{1 - \bar{\kappa}_{\rm tr} \mathbf{k}^2}} |\mathbf{k}|. \tag{6.2}$$

¹For example, see [37] and references therein for an argumentation why the optical theorem could, indeed, be violated for processes mediated by the strong interaction, whereas unitarity of the S matrix is still granted. However this requires the construction of a scattering matrix *T* and this method differs from using the usual decomposition S = 1 + iT with the identity 1 and the S matrix *S*.

The commutator can be written in the form $K^{\mu\nu}(x) = i\theta^{\mu\nu}(x)D(x)$ where $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ respects its tensor structure and D(x) is the scalar commutator function. In Appendix B it is demonstrated that $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is related to the polarization sum and D(x) involves the scalar propagator function \hat{K} of Eq. (4.8a). The scalar commutator function can then be

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 105019 (2014)

computed as a contour integral in the complex k^0 plane followed by an integration over the three-dimensional momentum space. The contour *C* has to be chosen such that all poles of the integrand are encircled in a counterclockwise direction. The k^0 integral can then be performed with the residue theorem:

$$D(x) = \oint_C \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \hat{K} \exp(-ikx) = \oint_C \frac{dk^0}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\exp(-ik^0x^0 + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})}{(1 - \bar{\kappa}_{tr}\mathbf{k}^2)(k^0 - \omega)(k^0 + \omega)}$$

= $\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\kappa}_{tr}\mathbf{k}^2} \frac{i}{2\omega} [\exp(-i\omega x^0) - \exp(i\omega x^0)] \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}).$ (6.3)

Note that the sign convention in the complex exponential function differs from the convention used in [25,26] and it is in accordance with [27]. At this point it is reasonable to introduce spherical coordinates due to the isotropy of the case considered. This leads to

$$D(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{\pi} d\vartheta \sin\vartheta \int_0^{\infty} d|\mathbf{k}| \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\kappa}_{tr} |\mathbf{k}|^2} \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{\omega} \sin(\omega x^0) \exp(i|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{x}|\cos\vartheta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2 |\mathbf{x}|} \int_0^{\infty} d|\mathbf{k}| \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\kappa}_{tr} |\mathbf{k}|^2} \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{\omega} \sin(\omega x^0) \sin(|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{x}|)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2 |\mathbf{x}|} \int_0^{\infty} d|\mathbf{k}| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\kappa}_{tr}^2 |\mathbf{k}|^4}} \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1 + \bar{\kappa}_{tr} |\mathbf{k}|^2}{1 - \bar{\kappa}_{tr} |\mathbf{k}|^2}} |\mathbf{k}| x^0\right) \sin(|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{x}|).$$
(6.4)

For $\bar{\kappa}_{tr} = 0$ the integral can be computed to give the standard result [39,40]

$$\Delta(x) \equiv \lim_{\bar{\kappa}_{\rm tr} \mapsto 0} D(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(x^0) \delta[(x^0)^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2], \qquad \operatorname{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases}$$
(6.5)

The computation of the integral in Eq. (6.4) for nonvanishing $\bar{\kappa}_{tr}$ is prohibitively difficult. However note that an evaluation of this integral is not necessarily reasonable. This is because the theory considered is effective and assumed to break down when $|\mathbf{k}|$ lies in the vicinity of the Planck scale. Thus the form of the integrand cannot be assumed to be valid for arbitrarily large integration momentum $|\mathbf{k}|$. This is directly evident from the properties of the dispersion law and the integrand. For $|\mathbf{k}| > 1/\sqrt{\bar{\kappa}_{tr}}$ the square roots have branch cuts and the dispersion relation is complex valued. Photons can then not propagate any more and the physical meaning of D(x) is lost. Therefore the integration should be cut off at some value $|\mathbf{k}| = \Lambda \ll 1/\sqrt{\bar{\kappa}_{tr}}$.

Nevertheless even if the integral is not evaluated some of its properties can be deduced. The full result for the commutator given by Eq. (B.4) is a solution of the modified free field equations (3.1) of the photon sector. Furthermore it holds that D(x) vanishes for $x^0 = 0$ and that D(x) = D(-x). These properties are valid for the standard result $\Delta(x)$ as well. However, many of the remaining properties that hold for the standard theory are spoiled for the effective theory considered here due to the singularity of the integrand for $|\mathbf{k}| = 1/\sqrt{\bar{\kappa}_{tr}}$. The latter changes the small-distance behavior of the theory. If the integration is done to the cutoff $\Lambda \ll 1/\sqrt{\bar{\kappa}_{tr}}$ then $\bar{\kappa}_{tr}|\mathbf{k}|^2 \ll 1$ can be exploited. Under this assumption the integrand is expanded where terms of second and higher order in $\bar{\kappa}_{tr}$ are discarded:

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 |\mathbf{x}|} \int_0^{\Lambda} d|\mathbf{k}| [\sin(|\mathbf{k}|x^0) \sin(|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{x}|) + \bar{\kappa}_{\rm tr} |\mathbf{k}|^3 x^0 \cos(|\mathbf{k}|x^0) \sin(|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{x}|)] + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\kappa}_{\rm tr}^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 |\mathbf{x}|} \left(f(\Lambda) - \bar{\kappa}_{\rm tr} x^0 \frac{d^3 f(\Lambda)}{d(x^0)^3} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\kappa}_{\rm tr}^2) \right), \quad (6.6a)$$

$$f(|\mathbf{k}|) = \frac{x^0 \sin[|\mathbf{k}|(x^0 - |\mathbf{x}|)]}{(x^0)^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2} - \frac{\sin(|\mathbf{k}|x^0)\cos(|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{x}|)}{x^0 + |\mathbf{x}|}.$$
(6.6b)

The standard scalar commutator function $\Delta(x)$, which is given by Eq. (6.5), is a distribution. Therefore, D(x)is interpreted as a distribution as well. Note that the trigonometric functions occurring in Eq. (6.6) oscillate very rapidly since they are evaluated at the cutoff Λ . If D(x) is multiplied with a sufficiently smooth function g(x) and the product is integrated over x, the main contribution to the result comes from the regions $x^0 =$ $|\mathbf{x}|$ and $x^0 = -|\mathbf{x}|$. These define the standard nullcone in configuration space. This is exactly the behavior that one would expect from a Lorentz-violating theory where Lorentz violation is assumed to be a small perturbation.

Contrary to the power-counting renormalizable cases of modified Maxwell theory previously considered in [23–27] the modified nullcones in configuration space cannot be determined from the scalar commutator function. The reason is that the theory of nonrenormalizable dimension, which is considered in this paper, is expected to be valid only for distances much larger than $\sqrt{\bar{\kappa}_{tr}}$. Such distances correspond to small momenta and deviations from the standard dispersion relation are small according to Eq. (6.2). That is why only the standard nullcone structure is encoded in Eq. (6.6).

One last comment shall be added with respect to the commutator of two vector potentials considered. Since the gauge field is not gauge invariant, this commutator does not have a direct physical meaning. Instead, $K^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(y,z) \equiv [F^{\mu\nu}(y), F^{\rho\sigma}(z)]$ should be considered essentially containing the commutators of the physical electric and magnetic fields. However note that

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma}(y,z) &= \left[\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}(y), \partial^{\varrho}A^{\sigma}(z)\right] - \left[\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}(y), \partial^{\sigma}A^{\varrho}(z)\right] \\ &- \left[\partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}(y), \partial^{\varrho}A^{\sigma}(z)\right] + \left[\partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}(y), \partial^{\sigma}A^{\varrho}(z)\right] \\ &= \partial^{\mu}_{y}\partial^{\varrho}_{z}K^{\nu\sigma}(y,z) - \partial^{\mu}_{y}\partial^{\sigma}_{z}K^{\nu\varrho}(y,z) \\ &- \partial^{\nu}_{y}\partial^{\varrho}_{z}K^{\mu\sigma}(y,z) + \partial^{\nu}_{y}\partial^{\sigma}_{z}K^{\mu\varrho}(y,z). \end{aligned}$$
(6.7)

Hence the commutator of two field strength tensors can be expressed by the commutators of the vector potentials. As a result, the commutator of two field strength tensors and the commutator of two vector potentials differ in their tensor structure, but they both will involve the scalar function D(x) of Eq. (6.4). The tensor structure of the theory, which is a nonminimal extension of the isotropic sector of modified Maxwell theory, is completely analogous to the tensor structure of the quantum field theory based on the isotropic dimension-4 operator, cf., for example, Eqs. (4.4) and (4.7). As a result, the index structure of the commutator of the physical fields, i.e., $[E_i(y), E_i(z)], [E_i(y), B_i(z)],$ and $[B_i(y), B_i(z)]$ will be equal to the results of Eqs. (4.4a)-(4.4c) in [25]. What is different, though, is the functional behavior of the scalar commutator function D(x). So it is sufficient to restrict the analysis of microcausality solely to D(x).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In the current article the Lorentz-violating extended QED based on the dimension-6 operator of the *CPT*-even modified Maxwell term was investigated. This extension can be considered as an effective quantum field theory of nonrenormalizable dimension that is predictive as long as the photon momentum is much smaller than the Planck scale. The original ten Lorentz-violating coefficients $\kappa_{tr-}^{\mu\nu}$ were grouped into three different sectors: the temporal one with the only coefficient κ_{tr-}^{00} , the mixed case with the three coefficients κ_{tr-}^{0i} for i = 1, 2, 3 and the spatial one with the remaining six coefficients.

Apart from the modified photon dispersion relation being a perturbation of the standard dispersion law, both the temporal and the mixed sectors are characterized by additional spurious dispersion relations. These are not perturbations, but for small Lorentz violation they contain terms proportional to some negative power of the Lorentzviolating coefficient. If they are taken into account the modifications show characteristics of birefringent photon theories.

Having obtained the modified dispersion laws, the goal was to test the validity of the optical theorem due to the peculiar properties of the Lorentz-violating modifications. The upshot is that the optical theorem at tree level was found to hold for the spatial case whereas it seemed to be violated for the remaining two cases. The violation was traced back to the additional time derivatives that occur in the dimension-6 operator. After removing these time derivatives by a replacement rule that is valid on shell at first order Lorentz violation, it was demonstrated that both the spurious modes are removed and the validity of the optical theorem at tree level is restored.

Furthermore for a particular case of Lorentz-violating coefficients it was shown that the commutator of two gauge fields evaluated at different spacetime points can be reduced to a one-dimensional integral. The integrand becomes singular for momenta lying in the order of magnitude of the inverse square root of the Lorentzviolating coefficient, which may probably be associated with the Planck scale. Hence the nonrenormalizable theory breaks down for such momentum scales and, therefore, the short-distance behavior of the modified nullcone in configuration space cannot be determined. However, for distances much larger than the Planck length the nullcone can be considered as standard.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank V. A. Kostelecký for very helpful comments and suggestions on the first version of the manuscript. Also, the author is indebted to the anonymous referee for useful comments. This work was performed with financial support from the *Deutsche Akademie der*

Naturforscher Leopoldina within Grant No. LPDS 2012-17.

Appendix A: Normalization of polarization vectors

The normalization of the photon polarization vectors of Eq. (4.5) does not follow from the field equations but from the condition

$$\langle \mathbf{k}, \sigma | : P^0 : | \mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle = \langle \mathbf{k}, \sigma | \int d^3 x : T^{00} : | \mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \omega(\mathbf{k}).$$
(A1)

Here $|\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle$ is a state describing a single photon with threemomentum \mathbf{k} and transverse polarization σ . The integration is performed over three-dimensional configuration space. Furthermore, $:T^{00}$: is the normal ordered 00 component of the energy-momentum tensor, which in modified Maxwell theory reads as follows [13]:

$$T^{00} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2) - (k_F)^{0j0k} E^j E^k + \frac{1}{4} (k_F)^{jklm} \varepsilon^{jkp} \varepsilon^{lmq} B^p B^q, \qquad (A2)$$

where $\mathbf{E} = (E^1, E^2, E^3)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B^1, B^2, B^3)$ are the electric and magnetic field strength vectors, respectively. The symbol ε^{ijk} denotes the totally antisymmetric Levi-Cività tensor. The electric and magnetic fields can be obtained from the vector potential A^{μ} . We write the latter as a Fourier decomposition with annihilation operators $a(\mathbf{k})$ and creation operators $a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\mu}(x) &= \sum_{r=1,2} \int \tilde{dk} [a^{(r)}(k) \varepsilon^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\times \exp(-ikx) + a^{(r)\dagger}(k) \bar{\varepsilon}^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(ikx)], \end{aligned}$$
(A3a)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{d}k} = \frac{\mathbf{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{k})},\tag{A3b}$$

where $k^0 = \omega(\mathbf{k})$. The bar denotes complex conjugation and the summation runs over the physical polarizations r = 1, 2. The **E** and **B** fields follow from the vector potential in the usual manner:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla A^0 = \sum_{r=1,2} \int \tilde{\mathbf{d}k} \{ a^{(r)}(k) \mathbf{f}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(-\mathbf{i}kx) - a^{(r)\dagger}(k) \mathbf{f}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(\mathbf{i}kx) \},$$
(A4a)

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \sum_{r=1,2} \int \tilde{dk} \{ a^{(r)}(k) \mathbf{b}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(-ikx) - a^{(r)\dagger}(k) \mathbf{b}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(ikx) \},$$
(A4b)

with the vector coefficients

$$\mathbf{f}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \mathbf{g}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) - \mathbf{h}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}), \qquad \mathbf{g}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \mathrm{i}\omega(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{\epsilon}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}), \qquad \mathbf{h}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \mathrm{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{\epsilon}^{(r)0}(\mathbf{k}), \tag{A5a}$$

$$\mathbf{b}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{\epsilon}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}). \tag{A5b}$$

With these ingredients the expectation value of a bilinear combination of the electric field strength components, which is integrated over configuration space, is computed. To simplify the notation, the spatial indices are set as lower ones:

$$\begin{split} E_{ij} &\equiv \langle \mathbf{k}, \sigma | \int d^{3}x : E_{i}(x)E_{j}(x) : |\mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle \\ &= \sum_{r,s=1,2} \langle \mathbf{k}, \sigma | \int d^{3}x \tilde{d}k' \tilde{d}k'' : [a^{(r)}(k')f_{i}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}') \exp(-ik'x) - a^{(r)\dagger}(k')f_{i}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}') \exp(ik'x)] \\ &\times [a^{(s)}(k'')f_{j}^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}'') \exp(-ik''x) - a^{(s)\dagger}(k'')f_{j}^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}'') \exp(ik''x)] : |\mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle \\ &= -\sum_{r,s=1,2} \langle \mathbf{k}, \sigma | \int \tilde{d}k' : \frac{1}{2\omega(\mathbf{k}')} [a^{(r)}(k')a^{(s)\dagger}(k')f_{i}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}')f_{j}^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}') \\ &+ a^{(r)\dagger}(k')a^{(s)}(k')f_{i}^{(r)}(\mathbf{k}')f_{j}^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}')] : |\mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle \end{split}$$
(A6)

Normal ordering moves all creation operators to the left, hence $:a^{(r)}(k')a^{(s)\dagger}(k'):=a^{(s)\dagger}(k')a^{(r)}(k')$. Using $\langle \mathbf{k}, \sigma | a^{(s)\dagger}(k')a^{(r)}(k') | \mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle = (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{k})\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')\delta_{r\sigma}\delta_{s\sigma}$ leads to

$$E_{ij} = -\frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} f_i^{(\sigma)}(\mathbf{k}) f_j^{(\sigma)}(\mathbf{k}).$$
(A7)

An analogous calculation for the **B** field with f_i replaced by b_i results in

$$B_{ij} \equiv \langle \mathbf{k}, \sigma | \int d^3 x : B_i(x) B_j(x) : |\mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle$$

= $-\frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} b_i^{(\sigma)}(\mathbf{k}) b_j^{(\sigma)}(\mathbf{k}).$ (A8)

Now Eqs. (A6), (A8) can be used to obtain the normalization of the polarization vectors by inserting these expressions into Eqs. (A1), (A2).

Appendix B: Computation of the gauge field commutator

The commutator $K^{\mu\nu}(x)$ of two vector potentials (one evaluated at a generic spacetime point $x_1 = x$ and the other at $x_2 = 0$) can be calculated with Eq. (A3). It is written as an integral over a commutator $\hat{K}^{\mu\nu}$ in momentum space:

$$K^{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv [A^{\mu}(x), A^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_{r,s=1,2} \int \tilde{dk} \tilde{dk'} \hat{K}^{\mu\nu}(k,k'),$$
(B1a)

with

$$\hat{K}^{\mu\nu}(k,k') = [K^{\mu}(k), K^{\nu}(k')],$$
 (B1b)

$$K^{\mu}(k) = a^{(r)}(k)\varepsilon^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k})\exp(-\mathbf{i}kx) + a^{(r)\dagger}(k)\overline{\varepsilon}^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k})\exp(\mathbf{i}kx), \qquad (B1c)$$

$$K^{\nu}(k') = a^{(s)}(k')\varepsilon^{(s)\nu}(\mathbf{k}') + a^{(s)\dagger}(k')\bar{\varepsilon}^{(s)\nu}(\mathbf{k}').$$
(B1d)

Now the evaluation of the aforementioned commutator in momentum space yields

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}^{\mu\nu}(k,k') &= [a^{(r)}(k), a^{(s)\dagger}(k')]\varepsilon^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k})\overline{\varepsilon}^{(s)\nu}(\mathbf{k}')\exp(-\mathbf{i}kx) + [a^{(r)\dagger}(k), a^{(s)}(k')]\overline{\varepsilon}^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k})\varepsilon^{(s)\nu}(\mathbf{k}')\exp(\mathbf{i}kx) \\ &= (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{k})\delta_{rs}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')[\varepsilon^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k})\overline{\varepsilon}^{(s)\nu}(\mathbf{k}')\exp(-\mathbf{i}kx) - \overline{\varepsilon}^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k})\varepsilon^{(s)\nu}(\mathbf{k}')\exp(\mathbf{i}kx)] \\ &= (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{k})\delta_{rs}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\varepsilon^{(r)\mu}(\mathbf{k})\varepsilon^{(s)\nu}(\mathbf{k})[\exp(-\mathbf{i}kx) - \exp(\mathbf{i}kx)]. \end{aligned}$$
(B2)

In the last step it was used that the corresponding polarization vectors were chosen as real in Sec. IV. Employing the definition of the polarization sum $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ of Eq. (4.6) the commutator in configuration space can be obtained as

$$K^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3} 2\omega(\mathbf{k})} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) [\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) - \exp(\mathrm{i}kx)] = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) \left[\frac{\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx)}{2\omega(\mathbf{k})} \right|_{k^{0} = \omega(\mathbf{k})} + \frac{\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx)}{-2\omega(\mathbf{k})} \Big|_{k^{0} = -\omega(\mathbf{k})} \right]$$

$$= -\mathrm{i} \oint_{C} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{k}0}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) B(k) \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) = -\mathrm{i} \oint_{C} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) B(k) \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx), \tag{B3a}$$

$$B(k) = \frac{1}{[k^0 - \omega(\mathbf{k})][k^0 + \omega(\mathbf{k})]}.$$
 (B3b)

Here the contour *C* is chosen such that it encircles all poles of B(k) in a counterclockwise direction. Finally the normalization of the polarization vectors is put into B(k)to get the scalar propagator part \hat{K} . The result then reads

$$K^{\mu\nu}(x) = \mathrm{i}\theta^{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{i}\partial^{\mu},\mathrm{i}\partial^{\nu}) \oint_C \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \hat{K} \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx), \quad (\mathrm{B4a})$$

$$\theta^{\mu\nu}(k^{\mu},k^{\nu}) = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{2}}k^{\mu}k^{\nu} - \frac{k^{0}}{\mathbf{k}^{2}}(k^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} + \xi^{\mu}k^{\nu}) - \left(1 - \frac{(k^{0})^{2}}{\mathbf{k}^{2}}\right)\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}.$$
 (B4b)

Here the second-rank tensor $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is expressed via derivatives to reproduce the structure of the polarization tensor $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ when acting on the complex exponential function. This shows that the scalar properties

of the commutator are encoded in the four-dimensional contour integral over the scalar propagator part \hat{K} .

Note that this derivation is only valid for the spatial sector. That is why in Sec. VI a special case of the spatial sector is considered. The computation of the scalar commutator function has to be modified for the temporal and the mixed sectors. This is not within the scope of the current article, but it is an interesting open problem for future studies.

- V. A. Kostelecký and S. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D 39, 683 (1989).
- [2] V. A. Kostelecký and R. Potting, Nucl. Phys. B359, 545 (1991).
- [3] V. A. Kostelecký and R. Potting, Phys. Rev. D 51, 3923 (1995).
- [4] J.A. Wheeler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 2, 604 (1957).
- [5] S. W. Hawking, Nucl. Phys. B144, 349 (1978).
- [6] S. Bernadotte and F. R. Klinkhamer, Phys. Rev. D 75, 024028 (2007).
- [7] F. R. Klinkhamer and C. Rupp, Phys. Rev. D 70, 045020 (2004).
- [8] S. M. Carroll, J. A. Harvey, V. A. Kostelecký, C. D. Lane, and T. Okamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 141601 (2001).
- [9] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. D 59, 124021 (1999).
- [10] M. Bojowald, H. A. Morales-Técotl, and H. Sahlmann, Phys. Rev. D 71, 084012 (2005).
- [11] F. R. Klinkhamer, Nucl. Phys. B578, 277 (2000).
- [12] F. R. Klinkhamer, Nucl. Phys. B535, 233 (1998).
- [13] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D 58, 116002 (1998).
- [14] H. Georgi, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 43, 209 (1993).
- [15] A. Pich, arXiv:hep-ph/9806303.
- [16] E. Fermi, Nuovo Cimento 11, 1 (1934); E. Fermi, Z. Phys. 88, 161 (1934); F. L. Wilson, Am. J. Phys. 36, 1150 (1968).
- W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. 98, 714 (1936);
 W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, arXiv:physics/0605038, translated by W. Korolevski and H. Kleinert.
- [18] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D 80, 015020 (2009).
- [19] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D 85, 096005 (2012).
- [20] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D 88, 096006 (2013).
- [21] S. Chadha and H. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B217, 125 (1983).

- [22] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D 66, 056005 (2002).
- [23] R. Casana, M. M. Ferreira, A. R. Gomes, and P. R. D. Pinheiro, Phys. Rev. D 80, 125040 (2009).
- [24] R. Casana, M. M. Ferreira, A. R. Gomes, and F. E. P. dos Santos, Phys. Rev. D 82, 125006 (2010).
- [25] F. R. Klinkhamer and M. Schreck, Nucl. Phys. B848, 90 (2011); F. R. Klinkhamer and M. Schreck, Nucl. Phys. B856, 666 (2012).
- [26] M. Schreck, Phys. Rev. D 86, 065038 (2012).
- [27] M. Schreck, Phys. Rev. D 89, 085013 (2014).
- [28] Q. G. Bailey and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D 70, 076006 (2004).
- [29] B. Altschul, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 041603 (2007).
- [30] C. Kaufhold and F. R. Klinkhamer, Phys. Rev. D 76, 025024 (2007).
- [31] M. J. G. Veltman, *Diagrammatica: The Path to Feynman Rules* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1994).
- [32] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, New York, USA, 1980).
- [33] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1995).
- [34] D. Anselmi and M. Taiuti, Phys. Rev. D 83, 056010 (2011).
- [35] G. Rubtsov, P. Satunin, and S. Sibiryakov, Phys. Rev. D 86, 085012 (2012).
- [36] R. E. Cutkosky, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 1, 429 (1960).
- [37] M. Kupczynski, arXiv:1308.1447 [Phys. Scr. (to be published)].
- [38] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Lett. B 511, 209 (2001).
- [39] P. Jordan and W. Pauli, Z. Phys. 47, 151 (1928).
- [40] W. Heitler, *The Quantum Theory of Radiation* (Oxford University Press, London, 1954), 3rd ed.