Charged lepton corrections to scaling neutrino mixing

S. Dev, $1,2,*$ $1,2,*$ Radha Raman Gautam, $1,†$ $1,†$ and Lal Singh $1,‡$ $1,‡$

¹Department of Physics, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla 171005, India²Department of Physics, School of Sciences, HMPC Central University, Suite

 2 Department of Physics, School of Sciences, HNBG Central University, Srinagar,

Uttarakhand 246174, India

(Received 17 September 2013; published 13 January 2014)

Assuming the Majorana nature of neutrinos, a general expression for the charged lepton corrections to scaling neutrino mixing has been obtained in the context of three flavor neutrino oscillations. The nonzero value of the reactor mixing angle is nicely accommodated. It is noted that scaling in the effective neutrino mass matrix is equivalent to the presence of two vanishing minors corresponding to first row elements of the effective neutrino mass matrix. A value of the reactor mixing angle which is fairly close to the currently measured best fit is predicted for charged lepton corrections of the order of the Cabbibo angle. We also present symmetry realization of such texture structures in the framework of the type-I seesaw mechanism with a nondiagonal charged lepton mass matrix using discrete Abelian flavor symmetry.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.89.013006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.013006) PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 11.30.Hv, 14.60.St

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of neutrino oscillations [\[1\]](#page-6-0) is one of the major discoveries in particle physics which provided the first hint of nonzero neutrino masses and mixing leading to physics beyond the Standard Model (SM). Considerable progress has been made in the precise determination of neutrino masses and mixing during the recent past. Recently, a number of neutrino oscillation experiments [\[2](#page-6-1)–6] have established a nonvanishing and relatively large value of the reactor neutrino mixing angle (θ_{13}) with a best fit value around 9°. The relatively large value of θ_{13} has opened the possibilities to explore Dirac-type CP violation in the lepton sector, distinguishing neutrino mass hierarchy and identifying the octant of the atmospheric neutrino mixing angle (θ_{23}) . A nonzero value of θ_{13} has made it necessary to modify mixing schemes like Tribimaximal mixing [\[7\]](#page-6-2) and others resulting from some underlying flavor symmetries which predict $\theta_{13} = 0$. One of the ways to achieve a deviation of θ_{13} from zero is to consider charged lepton corrections and many attempts have been made in the past [\[8\]](#page-6-3) and, especially, very recently [\[9\]](#page-6-4) to achieve the deviation of θ_{13} from zero in terms of charged lepton corrections. In addition, other theoretical ideas such as texture zeroes [\[10\]](#page-6-5), vanishing minors [\[11,12\]](#page-6-6), hybrid textures [\[13\]](#page-6-7), and equalities between mass matrix ele-ments or cofactors [\[14\]](#page-6-8) have been proposed which, naturally, accommodate a nonzero θ_{13} .

One of the attempts to identify the structure of the effective neutrino mass matrix is the strong scaling Ansätze (SSA) proposed by Mohapatra *et al.* [\[15\].](#page-6-9) In SSA [\[16\]](#page-6-10), the elements of the effective neutrino mass matrix (M_{ν}) are related as

$$
\frac{m_{\mu e}}{m_{\tau e}} = \frac{m_{\mu \mu}}{m_{\tau \mu}} = \frac{m_{\mu \tau}}{m_{\tau \tau}} = s,
$$
\n(1)

where s is the scaling factor. The scaling neutrino mass matrix has the following form:

$$
M_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b/s \\ b & d & d/s \\ b/s & d/s & d/s^2 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (2)

which is singular and has a vanishing eigenvalue. The eigenvector associated with the vanishing eigenvalue is $(0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s^2}}, \frac{s}{\sqrt{1+s^2}})^T$. Hence, the above mass matrix is only compatible with the inverted neutrino mass hierarchy along with a vanishing mass eigenvalue and predicts a vanishing reactor mixing angle, implying the absence of Dirac-type CP violation in the lepton sector. However, in light of recent experimental results on θ_{13} one needs suitable modifications to the SSA to explain a nonzero value of θ_{13} . To accomplish this, deviations from SSA have been considered recently by adding some scaling breaking parameters to the effective neutrino mass matrix elements [\[17\]](#page-6-11). Equation [\(1\)](#page-0-3) implies the following relations between the neutrino mass matrix elements:

$$
m_{\mu\mu}m_{\tau\tau} - m_{\tau\mu}m_{\mu\tau} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
m_{\mu e}m_{\tau\tau} - m_{\tau e}m_{\mu\tau} = 0,
$$

\nand
$$
m_{\mu e}m_{\tau\mu} - m_{\tau e}m_{\mu\mu} = 0,
$$
 (3)

which correspond to vanishing minors of the first row elements of the neutrino mass matrix. These three relations are not independent and it can be easily seen that the vanishing of any set of two minors leads to a vanishing third minor. The interesting fact that has been completely overlooked in the literature is that scaling in the effective neutrino mass

[^{*}](#page-0-4) dev5703@yahoo.com

[[†]](#page-0-4) gautamrrg@gmail.com

[[‡]](#page-0-4) lalsingh96@yahoo.com

S. DEV, RADHA RAMAN GAUTAM, AND LAL SINGH PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 013006 (2014)

matrix is equivalent to two vanishing minors corresponding to the first row of the effective neutrino mass matrix. In the flavor basis, all possible cases of two vanishing minors in the neutrino mass matrix have been studied in [\[12\]](#page-6-12). The cases S_1 , S_2 , S_3 in the nomenclature of [\[12\]](#page-6-12) correspond to the SSA. In recent works, where deviations from the SSA are considered to accommodate the nonzero value of θ_{13} by introducing some scaling breaking parameter in the neutrino mass matrix elements, other predictions of scaling are also lost. For example, the mass eigenvalue $m₃$ becomes nonvanishing and one has to do away with the prediction that only the inverted hierarchy of neutrino masses is satisfied.

In the present work, we study charged lepton corrections to the scaling neutrino mixing in terms of two vanishing minors in the first row of the neutrino mass matrix, thereby, accommodating the nonzero value of θ_{13} with the advantage that such corrections will only affect the neutrino mixing and CP violation, whereas some scaling properties like the inverted hierarchy and vanishing m_3 remain intact. One of the most elegant mechanisms to understand the smallness of neutrino masses is the type-I seesaw mechanism [\[18\]](#page-6-13) in which one extends the SM by adding heavy right-handed neutrinos. In the framework of the type-I seesaw mechanism, the effective Majorana neutrino mass matrix is given by

$$
M_{\nu} \approx -M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T,\tag{4}
$$

where M_D is the Dirac neutrino mass matrix and M_R is the right-handed Majorana neutrino mass matrix. In the context of the type-I seesaw mechanism, scaling in the effective neutrino mass matrix can be obtained by considering a diagonal M_R with M_D having the form

$$
M_D = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & C \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (5)

which, by no means, is the only possibility. We present the symmetry realization of above M_D and M_R in the nondiagonal charged lepton basis using the discrete Abelian flavor symmetry Z_6 .

II. FORMALISM

A complex symmetric Majorana neutrino mass matrix is diagonalized by a unitary matrix U_{ν} as

$$
M_{\nu} = U_{\nu} M_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} U_{\nu}^{T}, \qquad (6)
$$

where $M_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$. The lepton mixing matrix (U_{PMNS}) can be written in terms of the product of two 3 \times 3 unitary matrices U_l and U_v arising from the diagonalization of the charged lepton mass matrix (M_l) and the neutrino mass matrix (M_{ν}) , respectively, [\[19\]](#page-7-0)

$$
U_{PMNS} = U_l^{\dagger} U_{\nu},\tag{7}
$$

which can be, further, parametrized as

$$
U_{PMNS} = \mathcal{P}V\hat{P},\tag{8}
$$

where [\[20\]](#page-7-1)

$$
V = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(9)

$$
M_l = U_l M_l^{\text{diag}} U_R^{\dagger}.
$$
 (11)

The Hermitian product $M_l M_l^{\dagger}$, therefore, becomes

$$
M_l M_l^{\dagger} = U_l M_l^{\text{diag}} U_R^{\dagger} U_R M_l^{\text{diag}} U_l^{\dagger} = U_l (M_l^{\text{diag}})^2 U_l^{\dagger}, \quad (12)
$$

where $U_l = P^l \tilde{U}^l$. For individual $i-j$ sectors $(i < j = 1, 2, 3)$, \tilde{U}^{l} becomes a real orthogonal rotation matrix corresponding to rotation in that particular sector. Using Eqs. [\(6\)](#page-1-1) and [\(7\),](#page-1-2) the effective neutrino mass matrix can be written as [\[23\]](#page-7-4)

$$
M_{\nu} = U_l U_{PMNS} M_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} U_{PMNS}^T U_l^T. \tag{13}
$$

The Dirac-type CP violation in neutrino oscillation experiments can be described through a rephasing invariant

with $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$ and $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$, $\mathcal{P} = \text{diag}(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2}, e^{i\phi_3})$, and $\hat{P} = \text{diag}(1, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i(\beta+\delta)})$, where the diagonal phase matrix P is physically unobservable. However, one needs to take into account the phase matrix P to avoid parameter mismatch [\[21\].](#page-7-2) \hat{P} is the diagonal phase matrix with one Dirac-type CP-violating phase δ and two Majorana-type CP-violating phases α , β. A general unitary 3 × 3 matrix can be parametrized in terms of three mixing angles and six phases as [\[22\]](#page-7-3)

$$
U = P\tilde{U}Q, \tag{10}
$$

where $P = \text{diag}(e^{i\gamma_1}, e^{i\gamma_2}, e^{i\gamma_3})$ and $Q = \text{diag}(1, e^{i\rho_1}, e^{i\rho_2})$ are diagonal phase matrices and \tilde{U} has the form similar to V as given in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-0). M_l can be diagonalized by a biunitary transformation:

quantity J_{CP} [\[24\]](#page-7-5) which, in the parametrization adopted here, is given by

$$
J_{CP} = s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}c_{12}c_{23}c_{13}^2 \sin \delta. \tag{14}
$$

III. CHARGED LEPTON CORRECTIONS AND SCALING NEUTRINO MASS MATRIX

In this section, we first study the effects of charged lepton corrections coming from $i-j$ $(i < j = 1, 2, 3)$ sectors individually along with scaling in the neutrino mass matrix. The analysis is done by considering two vanishing minors corresponding to the elements of the first row of the neutrino mass matrix and then substituting $m_3 = 0$. We then obtain the most general results for the combined contribution of the charged lepton sector to the reactor neutrino mixing angle θ_{13} and the Dirac-type CP-violating phase δ .

A. Contribution from the 2-3 sector

For contributions coming from individual charged lepton sectors, Eq. [\(13\)](#page-1-3) can be written as

$$
M_{\nu} = P^l O^l_{ij} U_{PMNS} M^{\text{diag}}_{\nu} U^T_{PMNS} O^l_{ij}{}^T P^{lT}, \qquad (15)
$$

where O_{ij}^l represents the real orthogonal mixing matrix of the $i-j$ sector

$$
O_{12}^l = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12}^l & \sin \theta_{12}^l & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{12}^l & \cos \theta_{12}^l & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
O_{13}^l = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{13}^l & 0 & \sin \theta_{13}^l \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{13}^l & 0 & \cos \theta_{13}^l \end{pmatrix},
$$
(16)
\n
$$
O_{23}^l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{23}^l & \sin \theta_{23}^l \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_{23}^l & \cos \theta_{23}^l \end{pmatrix}.
$$

For the contribution from the 2-3 sector of charged leptons, Eq. [\(15\)](#page-2-0) has the following form:

$$
M_{\nu} = P^{l} O_{23}^{l} U_{PMNS} M_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} U_{PMNS}^{T} O_{23}^{l} T P^{l}.
$$
 (17)

The simultaneous existence of two vanishing minors corresponding to the first row of the effective neutrino mass matrix with $m_3 = 0$ implies a common vanishing factor

$$
s_{13}e^{i(\delta + \phi_2 + \phi_3)} = 0 \tag{18}
$$

which is independent of the contribution from the 2-3 sector of charged leptons. The reactor mixing angle remains zero and there is no Dirac-type CP violation in this case as $J_{CP} = 0$. However, the atmospheric mixing angle θ_{23} gets affected because of the change in the scaling factor due to contribution from the charged lepton sector [\[15\].](#page-6-9)

B. Contribution from 1-2 sector

When only the 1-2 sector of charged leptons contributes to lepton mixing, the effective neutrino mass matrix can be written as

$$
M_{\nu} = P^{l} O_{12}^{l} U_{PMNS} M_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} U_{PMNS}^{T} O_{12}^{l} T P^{lT}.
$$
 (19)

Following the procedure outlined above, the condition of two vanishing minors corresponding to the first row of M_{ν} reduces to

$$
c_{12}^{l}s_{13}\cos(\delta+\phi_2+\phi_3)+c_{13}s_{12}^{l}s_{23}\cos(\phi_1+\phi_3)=0, (20)
$$

$$
c_{12}^{l}s_{13}\sin(\delta+\phi_2+\phi_3)+c_{13}s_{12}^{l}s_{23}\sin(\phi_1+\phi_3)=0,
$$
\n(21)

which implies

$$
\tan \delta = \tan(\phi_1 - \phi_2),\tag{22}
$$

$$
\sin \theta_{13} = \frac{\sin \theta_{23} s_{12}^l}{\sqrt{1 - (s_{12}^l \cos \theta_{23})^2}}.
$$
 (23)

From Eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-1), the phase difference ($\phi_1 - \phi_2$) can be identified as the Dirac-type CP-violating phase in the PDG repre-sentation [\[20\]](#page-7-1) and Eq. [\(23\)](#page-2-2) implies a nonzero θ_{13} resulting from the contribution from the 1-2 charged lepton sector. The correlation plot between θ_{13} and θ_{23} is given in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-3-0). The present experimental constraints [\[25\]](#page-7-6) limit the charged lepton contribution s_{12}^l to the range (0.15–0.29). The variation of θ_{13} with s_{12}^l has been depicted in Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-3-0). For a maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing angle, i.e., $s_{23} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and
charged lepton contribution $s^l = 0.223$, which is of the charged lepton contribution $s_{12}^l = 0.223$, which is of the order of the Cabbibo angle, we get a reactor neutrino mixing angle $\theta_{13} = 9.19^{\circ}$ close to the current experimental best fit value. The current best fit value of s_{23} with $s_{12}^l = 0.223$ predicts a reactor mixing angle $\theta_{13} = 10.05^{\circ}$ which is within the 3σ range of the current experimental data. The Jarlskog measure of Dirac-type CP violation J_{CP} is given by

$$
J_{CP} = -\frac{1 \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin \theta_{23}}{4 \left(1 - (s_{12}^l \cos \theta_{23})^2\right)^{3/2}} s_{12}^l (c_{12}^l)^2 \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2).
$$
\n(24)

C. Contribution from the 1-3 sector

The effective neutrino mass matrix for charged lepton corrections coming from the 1-3 sector can be written in the form

$$
M_{\nu} = P^{l} O_{13}^{l} U_{PMNS} M_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} U_{PMNS}^{T} O_{13}^{l} T P^{lT}.
$$
 (25)

FIG. 1 (color online). Correlation plots for the charged lepton correction from the 1-2 sector. The region between the dashed lines is the presently allowed 3σ range of θ_{13} .

The condition of two vanishing minors corresponding to the first row of M_{ν} implies

$$
c_{13}^l s_{13} \cos(\delta + \phi_2 + \phi_3) + c_{13} c_{23} s_{13}^l \cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = 0,
$$
\n(26)

$$
c_{13}^l s_{13} \sin(\delta + \phi_2 + \phi_3) + c_{13} c_{23} s_{13}^l \sin(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = 0,
$$
\n(27)

which gives

$$
\tan \delta = \tan(\phi_1 - \phi_3),\tag{28}
$$

$$
\sin \theta_{13} = \frac{\cos \theta_{23} s_{13}^1}{\sqrt{1 - (s_{13}^1 \sin \theta_{23})^2}}.
$$
 (29)

From Eq. [\(28\),](#page-3-1) one can see that the phase difference $(\phi_1 - \phi_3)$ is the same as the Dirac-type CP-violating phase in the PDG representation. The correlation plot between θ_{13} and θ_{23} for the charged lepton contribution coming from the 1-3 sector is given in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-3-2). The present experimental constraints limit the charged lepton contribution s_{13}^l to the range (0.15–0.326). The variation of θ_{13} with s_{13}^{l} has been depicted in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-3-2) For $s_{23} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and a charged

lepton contribution $s_{13}^l = 0.223$, which is of the order of the Cabbibo angle, we get a reactor neutrino mixing angle $\theta_{13} = 9.19^{\circ}$, which is fairly close to the current experimental best fit value. The current best fit value of s_{23} with $s_{13}^l = 0.223$ predicts $\theta_{13} = 8.24^\circ$ which is within the 2σ range of the current experimental data. The Jarlskog measure of Dirac-type CP violation J_{CP} is given by

$$
J_{CP} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos \theta_{23}}{(1 - (s_{13}^l \sin \theta_{23})^2)^{3/2}} s_{13}^l (c_{13}^l)^2 \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_3)
$$
\n(30)

D. General results

Now we discuss the general case when all $i-j$ $(i < j = 1, 2, 3)$ sectors contribute to lepton mixing. The presence of scaling or the simultaneous existence of two vanishing minors corresponding to the first row of the effective neutrino mass matrix with $m_3 = 0$ implies a common vanishing factor:

$$
c_{13}e^{i\phi_1}(c_{23}(\tilde{U}_{(22)}^l\tilde{U}_{(31)}^l - \tilde{U}_{(21)}^l\tilde{U}_{(32)}^l) + s_{23}e^{i\phi_3}(\tilde{U}_{(21)}^l\tilde{U}_{(33)}^l - \tilde{U}_{(23)}^l\tilde{U}_{(31)}^l)) + e^{i(\delta + \phi_2 + \phi_3)}(\tilde{U}_{(23)}^l\tilde{U}_{(32)}^l - \tilde{U}_{(22)}^l\tilde{U}_{(33)}^l)s_{13} = 0, \quad (31)
$$

FIG. 2 (color online). Correlation plots for the charged lepton correction from the 1-3 sector. The region between the dashed lines is the presently allowed 3σ range of θ_{13} .

where $\tilde{U}_{(ij)}^{l}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ denote the elements of \tilde{U}_l which on simplification yields

$$
c_{12}^{l}c_{13}^{l}s_{13}\cos(\delta+\phi_2+\phi_3)+c_{13}c_{13}^{l}s_{12}^{l}s_{23}\cos(\phi_1+\phi_3)+c_{13}c_{23}s_{13}^{l}\cos(\delta^l+\phi_1+\phi_2)=0,
$$
 (32)

$$
c_{12}^{l}c_{13}^{l}s_{13}\sin(\delta+\phi_2+\phi_3)+c_{13}c_{13}^{l}s_{12}^{l}s_{23}\sin(\phi_1+\phi_3)+c_{13}c_{23}s_{13}^{l}\sin(\delta^l+\phi_1+\phi_2)=0.
$$
 (33)

Equations [\(32\)](#page-4-0) and [\(33\)](#page-4-1) can also be reexpressed as

$$
as_{13} \cos(\delta + \phi_2 + \phi_3) + (b \cos(\phi_1 + \phi_3) + c \cos(\delta' + \phi_1 + \phi_2))c_{13} = 0,
$$
 (34)

$$
as_{13} \sin(\delta + \phi_2 + \phi_3) + (b \sin(\phi_1 + \phi_3) + c \sin(\delta^l + \phi_1 + \phi_2))c_{13} = 0,
$$
 (35)

where

$$
a = c_{12}^l c_{13}^l
$$
, $b = s_{23} c_{13}^l s_{12}^l$, and $c = c_{23} s_{13}^l$ (36)

are functions of charged lepton mixing angles and the atmospheric neutrino mixing angle. Solving Eqs. [\(34\)](#page-4-2) and [\(35\)](#page-4-3) leads to the following expressions for tan δ and $\sin^2\theta_{13}$:

$$
\tan \delta = \frac{x - \tan(\phi 2 + \phi 3)}{1 + x \tan(\phi 2 + \phi 3)},\tag{37}
$$

$$
\sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{b^2 + c^2 + 2bc \cos(\delta^l + \phi_2 - \phi_3)}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + 2bc \cos(\delta^l + \phi_2 - \phi_3)},
$$
 (38)

where

$$
x = \frac{b \sin(\phi_1 + \phi_3) + c \sin(\delta^1 + \phi_1 + \phi_2)}{b \cos(\phi_1 + \phi_3) + c \cos(\delta^1 + \phi_1 + \phi_2)}.
$$
 (39)

One can easily see that for the most general charged lepton corrections, θ_{13} is independent of the charged lepton contribution from the 2-3 sector (i.e., θ_{23}^l) which is also evident from Eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-3). The Jarlskog measure of Dirac-type CP violation J_{CP} is given by

$$
J_{CP} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} (b \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + c \sin(\delta^l + \phi_1 - \phi_3)) a^2}{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + 2bc \cos(\delta^l + \phi_2 - \phi_3))^{3/2}}.
$$
(40)

Г

For $s_{13}^l = s_{23}^l = 0$, Eqs. [\(37\),](#page-4-4) [\(38\)](#page-4-5), and [\(40\)](#page-4-6) reduce to the contributions coming from the 1-2 charged lepton sector whereas for $s_{12}^l = s_{23}^l = 0$ Eqs. [\(37\),](#page-4-4) [\(38\),](#page-4-5) and [\(40\)](#page-4-6) reduce to the contributions coming from the 1-3 charged lepton sector which have been discussed individually in this work. The observation of a nonzero value of the effective Majorana mass of electron neutrino (M_{ee}) in neutrinoless double beta (NDB) decay experiments will establish lepton number violation and the Majorana nature of neutrinos. The effective Majorana mass M_{ee} , which determines the rate of NDB decay, is given by

$$
M_{ee} = |m_1 c_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 + m_2 s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 e^{2i\alpha} + m_3 s_{13}^2 e^{2i\beta}|. \tag{41}
$$

A large number of projects [\[26\]](#page-7-7) aim to achieve a sensitivity up to 0.01 eV for M_{ee} . Since in scaling $m_3 = 0$, so only one Majorana phase is present and the expression for M_{ee} in terms of mass squared differences $(\Delta m_{ij}^2 \equiv m_i^2 - m_j^2)$ can be written as

$$
M_{ee} = |c_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 \sqrt{|\Delta m_{31}^2|} + s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 e^{2i\alpha} \sqrt{\Delta m_{21}^2 - \Delta m_{31}^2}|.
$$
\n(42)

The range of M_{ee} for scaling the neutrino mass matrix with charged lepton corrections, using the present experimental neutrino oscillation parameters [\[25\]](#page-7-6), is (0.011–0.052) eV which is well within the reach of forthcoming NDB decay experiments.

IV. SYMMETRY REALIZATION

The symmetry realization of SSA has been studied in the past by many authors[\[15,16\]](#page-6-9) using discrete non-Abelian flavor symmetries. Since scaling is equivalent to two vanishing minors in the first row of the neutrino mass matrix, it is possible to obtain SSA using discrete Abelian symmetries as two vanishing minors in M_{ν} can be realized using discrete Abelian symmetries in the context of the type-I seesaw mechanism. In the flavor basis, where the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal, similar texture structures have also been realized in Ref. [\[12\]](#page-6-12) using discrete Abelian flavor symmetries. We now present a type-I seesaw realization of the scaling neutrino mass matrix using the discrete Abelian flavor symmetry Z_6 in the nondiagonal charged lepton basis. To obtain scaling in the effective neutrino mass matrix, one of the simplest possibilities which by no means excludes others is to have the following structures for M_D and M_R :

S. DEV, RADHA RAMAN GAUTAM, AND LAL SINGH PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 013006 (2014)

$$
M_D = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & C \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_R = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (43)
$$

which lead to scaling neutrino mass matrix with a scaling factor equal to C/D . Here, M_D has zero textures in a basis where M_R is diagonal. In general, the underlying symmetries for obtaining zero textures in a mass matrix can be the Abelian discrete symmetries [\[27\]](#page-7-8).

In addition to the SM left-handed SU(2) lepton doublets D_{IL} ($l = e, \mu, \tau$) and the right-handed charged lepton SU (2) singlets l_R , we introduce three right-handed neutrinos ν_{lR} . In the scalar sector, we need three Higgs doublets Φ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and a scalar singlet χ . In case of nondiagonal charged lepton contributions from the 1-2 sector, we consider the following transformation properties of various fields under Z_6 :

$$
\bar{D}_{eL} \to \bar{D}_{eL}, \qquad e_R \to \omega e_R, \qquad \nu_{eR} \to \omega^2 \nu_{eR},
$$
\n
$$
\bar{D}_{\mu L} \to \omega \bar{D}_{\mu L}, \qquad \mu_R \to \omega \mu_R, \qquad \nu_{\mu R} \to \omega^3 \nu_{\mu R},
$$
\n
$$
\bar{D}_{\tau L} \to \omega^2 \bar{D}_{\tau L}, \qquad \tau_R \to \omega^4 \tau_R, \qquad \nu_{\tau R} \to \nu_{\tau R}, \qquad (44)
$$

where

$$
D_{lL} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{lL} \\ l_L \end{pmatrix}, \tag{45}
$$

with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/6}$ as the generator of Z_6 . The bilinears $\bar{D}_{IL}l_R$, $\bar{D}_{IL} \nu_{IR}$, and $\nu_{IR} \nu_{IR}$ relevant for M_L, M_D , and M_R , respectively, transform as

$$
\bar{D}_{IL}l_R \sim \begin{pmatrix} w & w & w^4 \\ w^2 & w^2 & w^5 \\ w^3 & w^3 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\bar{D}_{IL}v_{IR} \sim \begin{pmatrix} w^2 & w^3 & 1 \\ w^3 & w^4 & w \\ w^4 & w^5 & w^2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}
$$

$$
v_{IR}v_{IR} \sim \begin{pmatrix} w^4 & w^5 & w^2 \\ w^5 & 1 & w^3 \\ w^2 & w^3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (46)

For the contribution to neutrino mixing coming from the 1-2 charged lepton sector, M_l and M_D require the presence of three SU(2) scalar Higgs doublets which transform under Z_6 as

$$
\Phi_1 \to w^4 \Phi_1, \qquad \Phi_2 \to w^5 \Phi_2, \qquad \Phi_3 \to \Phi_3. \tag{47}
$$

These transformations give the desired singular Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D of the form given in Eq. [\(43\)](#page-4-7) with the following structure of M_i :

$$
M_l = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \times \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (48)

where \times represents nonvanishing mass matrix elements. To obtain a diagonal M_R , one requires a scalar singlet χ transforming as $\chi \to w^2 \chi$ which couples with the (1,1) element of M_R whereas (2,2) and (3,3) elements are the bare mass terms.

For the charged lepton contribution coming from the 1-3 sector only, we consider the following transformation properties of various fields under Z_6 :

$$
\bar{D}_{eL} \to \bar{D}_{eL}, \qquad \bar{D}_{\mu L} \to \omega^2 \bar{D}_{\mu L}, \qquad \bar{D}_{\tau L} \to \omega \bar{D}_{\tau L},
$$
\n
$$
e_R \to \omega e_R, \qquad \mu_R \to \omega^4 \mu_R, \qquad \tau_R \to \omega \tau_R, \tag{49}
$$

while keeping the transformations of right-handed neutrinos (ν_{IR}) , the Higgs doublets (Φ_i) , and the scalar singlet (χ) , the same as in the earlier case. These Z_6 assignments lead to the desired structures of M_D and M_R given in Eq. [\(43\)](#page-4-7) with M_l having the following structure:

$$
M_l = \begin{pmatrix} \times & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & 0 & \times \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (50)

Similarly, the symmetry realization for the most general contribution from the charged lepton sector can be achieved with an extended Higgs sector and some larger discrete Abelian flavor symmetry.

V. SUMMARY

To summarize, it is noted that scaling in the effective neutrino mass matrix is equivalent to the presence of two vanishing minors corresponding to the elements of the first row. We utilize this fact to explore the contributions from different sectors of charged leptons to the scaling neutrino mixing and find that the charged lepton contributions from the 1-2 and 1-3 sectors result in a nonzero reactor mixing angle and Dirac-type CP violation. For charged lepton contributions of the order of the Cabibbo angle from either 1-2 or 1-3 sectors, the reactor mixing angle is predicted to be fairly close to the current best fit value. The advantage of our approach is that such corrections will only affect neutrino mixing and CP violation whereas generic predictions of scaling such as an inverted hierarchy with a vanishing mass eigenvalue remain intact. The most general results for the reactor mixing angle and the Dirac-type CPviolating phase have been obtained in terms of charged lepton contributions to the scaling neutrino mixing. We also present symmetry realization of these texture structures in the context of the type-I seesaw mechanism using a discrete Abelian symmetry Z_6 where the charged lepton mass matrix is nondiagonal with contributions from either the 1-2 or 1-3 sectors and scaling in the neutrino mass matrix.

CHARGED LEPTON CORRECTIONS TO SCALING … PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 013006 (2014)

R. R. G. and L. S. gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and the University Grants Commission (UGC), Government of India, respectively.

- [1] M. H. Ahn et al. (K2K Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.041801) 90, [041801 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.041801); Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1562) 81, 1562 (1998); K. Eguchi et al. (KamLAND Collaboration), ibid. 90[, 021802 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.021802); T. Araki et al. (KamLAND Collaboration), ibid. 94[, 081801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.081801) [\(2005\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.081801) S. Abe et al. (KamLAND Collaboration), [ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.221803) 100, [221803 \(2008\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.221803) C. Arpesella et al. (Borexino Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.054) 658, 101 (2008); B. T. Cleveland, T. Daily, R. Davis, Jr., J. R. Distel, K. Lande, C. K. Lee, P. S. Wildenhain, and J. Ullman, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/305343) 496, 505 [\(1998\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/305343) J. N. Abdurashitov et al. (SAGE Collaboration), [J. Exp. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/1.1506424) 95, 181 (2002); W. Hampel et al. (GALLEX Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01579-2) 447, 127 [\(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01579-2)
- [2] K. Abe et al. (T2K Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.041801) 107, [041801 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.041801)
- [3] P. Adamson et al. (MINOS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.181802) 107[, 181802 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.181802)
- [4] Y. Abe et al. (Double Chooz Collaboration), [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.131801) Lett. 108[, 131801 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.131801).
- [5] F. P. An et al. (Daya Bay Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.171803) 108[, 171803 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.171803)
- [6] J. K. Ahn et al. (RENO Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.191802) 108, [191802 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.191802)
- [7] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01336-9) 530[, 167 \(2002\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01336-9) P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, *[ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01753-7)* 535, [163 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01753-7); Z.-z. Xing, ibid. 533[, 85 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01649-0).
- [8] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, and I. Masina, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.04.012) **B689**, 157 [\(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.04.012) P. H. Frampton, S. T. Petcov, and W. Rodejohann, [ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.03.014) B687[, 31 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.03.014) S. Antusch and S. F. King, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.09.075) 631, [42 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.09.075); K. A. Hochmuth, S. T. Petcov, andW. Rodejohann, ibid. 654[, 177 \(2007\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.08.072) S. Boudjemma and S. F. King, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.033001) Rev. D 79[, 033001 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.033001).
- [9] D. Meloni, F. Plentinger, and W. Winter, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.04.033) 699, [354 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.04.033); W. Chao and Y.-j. Zheng, [J. High Energy Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2013)044) 02 (2013[\) 044;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2013)044) S. Dev, S. Gupta, and R. R. Gautam, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.074) Lett. B 704[, 527 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.074) Y. H. Ahn, H.-Y. Cheng, and S. Oh, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 113007 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.113007) D. Meloni, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)090) [Phys. 02 \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)090)2012) 090; I. de Medeiros Varzielas, [ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)097) 01 (2012[\) 097;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)097) T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.05.050) 713[, 206 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.05.050); F. Bazzocchi and L. Merlo, [Fortschr. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prop.201200123) 61[, 571 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prop.201200123); A. Meroni, S. T. Petcov, and M. Spinrath, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 113003 \(2012\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.113003) C. Duarah, A. Das, and N. N. Singh, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.10.033) 718, 147 (2012); S. Roy and N. N. Singh, [arXiv:1211.7207;](http://arXiv.org/abs/1211.7207) D. Marzocca, S. T. Petcov, A. Romanino, and M. C. Sevilla, [J. High Energy Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)073) 05 (2013[\) 073;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)073) S. Gollu, K. N. Deepthi, and R. Mohanta, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732313501319) 28, 1350131 (2013); S. K. Garg and S. Gupta,[J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2013)128)2013) 128; T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.075) 726, 356 (2013).
- [10] P. H. Frampton, S. L. Glashow, and D. Marfatia, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01817-8) B 536[, 79 \(2002\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01817-8) Z.-z. Xing, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01354-0) 530, 159 (2002); B. R. Desai, D. P. Roy, and A. R. Vaucher, [Mod. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732303011071) A 18[, 1355 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732303011071); A. Merle and W. Rodejohann, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.073012) Rev. D 73[, 073012 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.073012); S. Dev, S. Kumar, S. Verma, and S. Gupta, Nucl. Phys. B784[, 103 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.06.030); S. Dev, S. Kumar, S. Verma, and S. Gupta, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.013002) 76, [013002 \(2007\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.013002) G. Ahuja, S. Kumar, M. Randhawa, M. Gupta, and S. Dev, ibid. 76[, 013006 \(2007\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.013006) S. Kumar, [ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.077301) 84[, 077301 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.077301) H. Fritzsch, Z.-z. Xing, and S. Zhou, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2011)083)2011) 083; P. O. Ludl, S. Morisi, and E. Peinado, Nucl. Phys. B857[, 411 \(2012\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.12.017) D. Meloni and G. Blankenburg, ibid. B867[, 749 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.10.011); W. Grimus and P. O. Ludl, J. Phys. G 40[, 055003 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/40/5/055003).
- [11] L. Lavoura, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.01.047) 609, 317 (2005); E. I. Lashin and N. Chamoun, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 093004 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.093004) S. Dev, S. Verma, S. Gupta, and R. R. Gautam, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.053010) 81, [053010 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.053010); S. Dev, S. Gupta, and R. R. Gautam, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732311034906) 26, 501 (2011); T. Araki, J. Heeck, and J. Kubo, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2012)083)2012) 083; S. Dev, S. Gupta, R. R. Gautam, and L. Singh, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.11.005) 706, [168 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.11.005) J. Liao, D. Marfatia, and K. Whisnant, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033011) Rev. D 88[, 033011 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033011).
- [12] E. I. Lashin and N. Chamoun, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.073002) 78, 073002 [\(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.073002)
- [13] S. Kaneko, H. Sawanaka, and M. Tanimoto,[J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/08/073) [Phys. 08 \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/08/073)2005) 073; S. Dev, S. Verma, and S. Gupta, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.02.055) Lett. B 687[, 53 \(2010\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.02.055) J.-Y. Liu and S. Zhou, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.093010) 87[, 093010 \(2013\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.093010) S. Dev, S. Gupta, and R. R. Gautam, ibid. 82[, 073015 \(2010\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.073015) W. Wang, [arXiv:1306.3556;](http://arXiv.org/abs/1306.3556) S. Dev, R. R. Gautam, and L. Singh, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033008) 88, [033008 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033008)
- [14] S. Dev, R. R. Gautam, and L. Singh, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.073011) 87, [073011 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.073011)
- [15] R. N. Mohapatra and W. Rodejohann, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.11.024) 644, 59 [\(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.11.024)
- [16] A. Blum, R. N. Mohapatra, and W. Rodejohann, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.053003) D 76[, 053003 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.053003); M. Obara, [arXiv:0712.2628;](http://arXiv.org/abs/0712.2628) A. S. Joshipura and W. Rodejohann, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.035) 678, 276 [\(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.035) W. Rodejohann, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2009.12.041) 64, 321 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2009.12.041)
- [17] B. Adhikary, M. Chakraborty, and A. Ghosal, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.013015) 86[, 013015 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.013015); S. Verma, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.06.076) 714, 92 (2012); M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 116011 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.116011)
- [18] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. 67B[, 421 \(1977\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(77)90435-X) T. Yanagida, in Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number in the Universe, edited by O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979), p. 95; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, Complex Spinors and Unified Theories in Supergravity, edited by

S. DEV, RADHA RAMAN GAUTAM, AND LAL SINGH PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 013006 (2014)

P. Van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), p. 315; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.912) 44, 912 (1980).

- [19] B. Pontecorvo, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 33, 549 (1957) [Sov. Phys. JETP 6, 429 (1957)]; Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTP.28.870) 28, 870 (1962).
- [20] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, and A. Palazzo, [Prog. Part.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.08.002) Nucl. Phys. 57[, 742 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.08.002)
- [21] Z.-z. Xing and Y.-L. Zhou, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.09.020) 693, 584 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.09.020)
- [22] S. Pascoli, S. T. Petcov, and W. Rodejohann, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.093007) 68[, 093007 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.093007)
- [23] S. Dev, S. Kumar, S. Verma, and S. Gupta, [Mod. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732309030680) A 24[, 2251 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732309030680)
- [24] C. Jarlskog, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.1039) **55**, 1039 (1985).
- [25] D. V. Forero, M. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.073012) 86, [073012 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.073012)
- [26] C. Arnaboldi et al. (CUORICINO Collaboration), [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.040) B 584[, 260 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.040); C. Arnaboldi et al., [Nucl. Instrum.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2003.07.067) [Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2003.07.067) 518, 775 (2004); I. Abt et al. (GERDACollaboration), [arXiv:hep-ex/0404039;R](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0404039). Gaitskell et al. (Majorana Collaboration), [arXiv:nucl-ex/0311013;](http://arXiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0311013) A. S. Barabash (NEMO Collaboration), [Czech. J. Phys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1015369612904) 52[, 567 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1015369612904); M. Danilov et al., [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00404-4) 480, 12 [\(2000\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00404-4) H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus et al., [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500170022) 12[, 147 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500170022)
- [27] W. Grimus, A. S. Joshipura, L. Lavoura, and M. Tanimoto, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2004-01896-y) 36, 227 (2004).