Cosmological perturbations in inflationary models with anisotropic space-time scaling in a Lifshitz background

Mohsen Alishahiha,^{1[,*](#page-0-0)} Hassan Firouzjahi,^{2[,†](#page-0-1)} Kazuya Koyama,^{3[,‡](#page-0-2)} and Mohammad Hossein Namjoo^{1[,§](#page-0-3)}

¹School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran 2school of Astronomy Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran ²School of Astronomy, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran 3 Institute of Cosmology & Gravitation, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 3FX, United Kingdom

(Received 8 October 2013; published 11 November 2013)

Models of inflation in a gravitational background with an anisotropic space-time scaling are studied. The background is a higher-dimensional Lifshitz throat with the anisotropy scaling $z \neq 1$. After the dimensional reduction, the four-dimensional general covariance is explicitly broken to a threedimensional spatial diffeomorphism. As a result the cosmological perturbation theory in this setup with less symmetries have to be formulated. We present the consistent cosmological perturbation theory for this setup. We find that the effective four-dimensional gravitational wave perturbations propagate with a different speed than the higher dimensional gravitational excitations. Depending on the model parameters, for an observer inside the throat, the four-dimensional gravitational wave propagation can be superluminal. We also find that the Bardeen potential and the Newtonian potential are different. This can have interesting observational consequences for lensing and cosmic microwave background fluctuations. Furthermore, we show that at the linearized level the inflaton field excitations vanish.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.88.103512](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.103512) PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation has emerged as the leading theory for early universe and structure formation [\[1\]](#page-13-0) which is strongly supported by recent Planck observations [\[2,](#page-13-1)[3\]](#page-13-2). Simple models of inflation predict almost scale-invariant, almost Gaussian, and almost adiabatic perturbations inn cosmic microwave background which are very consistent with cosmological observations. However, despite these observational successes, the inflationary paradigm is at the phenomenological level and there is no deep theoretical understanding of the mechanism behind inflation and the nature of the inflaton field.

There have been many works to embed inflation in the context of high energy physics such as string theory; for a review see [\[4–](#page-13-3)[9](#page-13-4)]. In particular, brane inflation is an interesting model of inflation in string theory $[10-13]$ $[10-13]$ $[10-13]$ $[10-13]$ $[10-13]$. In these scenarios a mobile brane is moving inside the string compactification in the presence of background branes, antibranes, and fluxes. In some of these scenarios, the interaction between the mobile branes and the background antibranes is the driving source for inflation [[10](#page-13-5)[–19\]](#page-13-7). Inflation in this picture ends when the brane and antibrane annihilate each other resulting in copious productions of cosmic (super)strings which can be detected observationally [[20](#page-13-8)–[24](#page-13-9)]. Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) inflation [[25](#page-13-10),[26](#page-13-11)] is another realization of inflation from string theory in which the mobile brane moves ultrarelativistically inside a warped throat [[27](#page-13-12)[–33\]](#page-13-13). A nontrivial predictions of DBI inflation is generating large equilateral type non-Gaussianities [\[34](#page-13-14)[–36\]](#page-13-15) which can be detected observationally [\[37\]](#page-13-16).

In [\[38\]](#page-13-17) an extension of DBI inflation in a Lifshitz throat is studied. The background is a five-dimensional theory in which the time coordinate and the space coordinates scale differently under extra dimension throat coordinate r. In [\[38](#page-13-17)] the inflation at the homogenous and isotropic Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) background is studied. Furthermore, it is shown that the four-dimensional general covariance is explicitly broken to a three-dimensional spatial diffeomorphism. Therefore, the cosmological perturbation theory in this setup with less symmetries has to be revisited, which is the aim of this work.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-0-4) we present our setup and in Sec. [III](#page-2-0) the Einstein equations are presented for this background. In Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) the tensor excitations are studied followed by the scalar excitations in Sec. [V.](#page-4-1) In Sec. [VI](#page-7-0) we obtain the curvature perturbation and the gravitational anisotropy power spectrum followed by discussion in Sec. [VII.](#page-9-0) Some technical issues are relegated to appendices.

II. THE SETUP

Here we present our setup and briefly review the results in [[38](#page-13-17)].

Our background consists of a Lifshitz throat in a string theory compactification. The Lifshitz geometry has attracted considerable attention recently in the context of nonrelativistic AdS/CFT correspondence where it may provide a

[^{*}a](#page-0-5)lishah@mail.ipm.ir

[[†]](#page-0-5) firouz@mail.ipm.ir

[[‡]](#page-0-5) kazuya.koyama@port.ac.uk

[[§]](#page-0-5) mh.namjoo@mail.ipm.ir

gravity description for a Lifshitz fixed point. We note that Lifshitz fixed points appear when we are dealing with a physical system at critical point with anisotropic scale invariance in which the space and time scale differently [\[39](#page-13-18)]

$$
t \to \lambda^z t, \qquad x_i \to \lambda x_i, \tag{1}
$$

in which t and x_i , respectively, are the time and space coordinates. The corresponding critical points are known as Lifshitz fixed points.

It is natural to look for gravity duals of Lifshitz fixed points in the light of AdS/CFT correspondence [[40](#page-13-19)]. The gravity descriptions of Lifshitz fixed points have been studied in [\[41\]](#page-13-20) in which the metric invariant under the scaling [\(1](#page-1-0)) is obtained to be

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2z}dt^{2} + \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2}dx^{2} + \left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{2}dr^{2},
$$
 (2)

where L is the curvature radius of the "Lifshitz throat" and r is the extra dimension radial coordinate. One can easily check that the metric (2) (2) is invariant under the scaling (1) and subject to $r \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}r$.

It should be stressed that the ansatz (2) (2) may not be a trivial solution of the five-dimensional Einstein equation. In principle there are other fields, such as a massive gauge field, which should be added into the action in order to support the Lifshitz geometry ([2\)](#page-1-1). The corresponding geometry may also be obtained from a pure gravitational theory by adding higher derivative terms to the Einstein-Hilbert action [[42](#page-13-21)]. Also, unlike the case of the AdS throat which may be easily constructed in a string theory setup using brane construction, it is not clear how to construct a Lifshitz throat in string theory. We note, however, that a string theory realization of Lifshitz background has been constructed in [[43\]](#page-13-22) in the context of strange metallic holography. For more studies see also [[44](#page-13-23)–[47](#page-13-24)]. As a result one cannot consider our inflationary setup as a top-down approach. Here we shall follow the phenomenological approach and assume that in principle our setup with a Lifshitz throat can be constructed in string theory.

In our picture, the Lifshitz throat is extended in a localized region of string compactification and it is smoothly glued to the bulk of Calabi-Yau (CY) compactification which is Lorentz invariant as usual. The picture is similar to warped compactifications considered in many phenomenological models such as in [[14\]](#page-13-25). The difference is that the throat, now instead of being AdS, is a Lifshitz background with the anisotropic scaling $z > 1$. In this view, the inflaton field is a mobile brane which moves ultrarelativistically inside the Lifshitz throat. As usual, one may imagine that inflation ends when the mobile brane is annihilated with a background antibrane. The Lifshitz throat is extended in the region $r_0 < r < R$ in which r_0 indicates the IR cutoff of the throat while R is the UV cutoff of the throat. It is assumed that at $r = R$, the Lifshitz throat is smoothly glued to the bulk of CY compactification, which is Lorentz invariant as in conventional models.

Having presented our setup, we promote the Lifshitz metric ([2\)](#page-1-1) into a cosmological background. The background FRW metric is given by

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2z}dt^{2} + a(t)^{2}\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2}dx^{i}dx^{j} + \left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{2}dr^{2}.
$$
 (3)

After compactification over the internal volume $\mathcal V$, one obtains the standard 4D FRW metric [[38](#page-13-17)]

$$
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\mathbf{x}^2.
$$
 (4)

In our analysis below, we start from the 5D metric ansatz such as in Eq. [\(3](#page-1-2)) with its perturbations included later on and obtain the corresponding Einstein equations.

As in standard DBI inflation, the D3-brane action is given by DBI and Chern-Simons terms $[38]$ $[38]$ $[38]$ ¹

$$
S = -T_3 \int d^4x \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{3+z} \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{L}{r_b}\right)^{2+2z}} \dot{r}_b^2 - 1\right), \tag{5}
$$

in which T_3 is the D3-brane tension and $r_b(t)$ is the position of brane inside the Lifshitz throat. The canonically normalized field is given by

$$
\dot{\phi} \equiv \sqrt{T_3} \dot{r}_b \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{\frac{1-z}{2}}.
$$
\n(6)

After adding the potential term $V(\phi)$ the matter action is given by [[38](#page-13-17)]

$$
S = -\int d^4x a(t)^3 \bigg[f^{-1} \bigg(\sqrt{1 - f \dot{\phi}^2} - 1 \bigg) + V(\phi) \bigg], \qquad (7)
$$

where

$$
f(\phi) = \begin{cases} T_3^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu_z}{\phi}\right)^\alpha & \text{if } z \neq 3\\ T_3^{-1} e^{-\frac{6\phi}{\mu_3}} & \text{if } z = 3 \end{cases}
$$
 (8)

with $\alpha \equiv \frac{2(3+z)}{3-z}$. Moreover the parameters μ_z and μ_3 are defined via

$$
\mu_3 = \sqrt{T_3}L
$$
, $\mu_z = \frac{2\mu_3}{3-z} = \frac{2\sqrt{T_3}}{3-z}L$ $(z \neq 3)$. (9)

The background expansion equations are obtained to be

$$
3M_P^2 H^2 = \rho, \qquad \dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \qquad (10)
$$

in which $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble expansion rate, ρ and p, respectively, are the energy density and the pressure

$$
\rho = f^{-1}(\gamma - 1) + V, \qquad p = f^{-1}(1 - \gamma^{-1}) - V, \quad (11)
$$

¹We note that in the absence of the brane construction of the Lifshitz geometry, the Chern-Simons contribution to the following action should be considered as a phenomenological insight.

and γ is the Lorentz factor defined by

$$
\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - f \dot{\phi}^2}}.\tag{12}
$$

The effective four-dimensional Planck mass, M_P , is given by [[38](#page-13-17)]

$$
M_P^2 \equiv \kappa_5^{-2} \int_{\gamma} dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2-z},\tag{13}
$$

in which κ_5 is the 5D gravitational coupling and γ is the volume of the compactification. One can obtain sufficient e-foldings of inflation with appropriate form of $V(\phi)$ subject to slow-roll conditions [[38](#page-13-17)].

Also from the brane action ([7\)](#page-1-3) one can obtain the Klein-Gordon (KG) equation for the inflaton field

$$
\ddot{\phi} + 3H\gamma^{-2}\dot{\phi} + \gamma^{-3}\left(V' + \frac{f'}{2f^2}(1-\gamma)^2(\gamma+2)\right) = 0,
$$
\n(14)

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to scalar field ϕ . One can also check that the KG equation is not independent of Einstein equations ([10](#page-1-4)).

Following [\[28\]](#page-13-26), one can cast the background equations ([14](#page-2-1)) and [\(10](#page-1-4)) into Hamilton-Jacobi forms which are more suitable for analytical purposes. Since ϕ is monotonically decreasing as time goes by, we can use ϕ as the clock and express the physical parameters in terms of ϕ . This yields

$$
3M_P^2 H(\phi)^2 = V(\phi) + f^{-1}(\gamma(\phi) - 1),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma(\phi) = \sqrt{1 + 4M_P^4 f(\phi)H'(\phi)^2},
$$

\n
$$
\dot{\phi}(\phi) = \frac{-2M_P^2 H'}{\gamma(\phi)},
$$
\n(15)

where $H' = \partial H / \partial \phi$. The system of Eq. ([15\)](#page-2-2) can be solved in the speed limit in which $\gamma \gg 1$, for the details see [[38\]](#page-13-17).

In our analysis so far, we have not specified the form of the potential V driving inflation. As argued in $[38]$, phenomenologically we may assume $V(r) \sim r^n$ with an arbitrary value of parameter n . This form of potential may originate from the backreactions of the mobile branes with the background fluxes and the volume modulus. Note that the case $z = 1$, $n = 2$ corresponds to the conventional model of DBI inflation with the potential $m^2\phi^2/2$ which is vastly studied in the literature. In Sec. [VI](#page-7-0) we consider the cosmological predictions of our model for different values of z and n .

III. 4D EINSTEIN EQUATIONS

Having specified our background inflationary setup, we are ready to consider cosmological perturbation in this setup. However, to study the perturbation equations we need the general form of the Einstein and Klein-Gordon equations in this background. Since our background is not 4D Lorentz-invariant, we have to obtain these equations independently of the known results in standard cosmology literature.

Here we obtain the general effective 4D Einstein equations. The full five-dimensional action includes both the gravity and matter sectors

$$
S = S_G + S_M. \tag{16}
$$

The gravitational part is a trivial Einstein-Hilbert action in 5D.

$$
S_G = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-G^{(5)}}R,\tag{17}
$$

where κ_5^{-1} is the 5D gravitational mass scale and ⁽⁵⁾R is the 5D Einstein-Hilbert term. As for the matter sector, we will not be specific here. As an example, the Lifshitz geometry can be obtained from massive gauge fields [\[48\]](#page-13-27). All that is required is that the matter sector supports the Lifshitz geometry in 5D as given in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1).

Motivated by the Fefferman-Graham-like coordinates for the Lifshitz geometry [[48](#page-13-27)], our ansatz for the metric perturbations, consistent with the Lifshitz symmetry, is [\[38\]](#page-13-17)

$$
ds^{2} = g_{00} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2z} dt^{2} + g_{ij} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2} dx^{i} dx^{j}
$$

$$
+ 2g_{0i} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{z+1} dt dx^{i} + \left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{2} dr^{2}, \qquad (18)
$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are functions of x^{μ} . Note that in this notation G_{MN} is the 5D metric, while $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the 4D metric. The capital letters M, N, \ldots represent the 5D coordinate indices while the greek symbols μ , ν , ... indicate the 4D coordinate indices. For a general metric ansatz with an arbitrary scaling for $dtdx^i$ part of the metric see Appendix **[B](#page-10-0)**.

One way to obtain the 4D fields equations is to perform the dimensional reduction and calculate the effective action for gravity. This program was performed in [[38](#page-13-17)] in the gauge where $g_{0i} = 0$. However, as we shall see later on, g_{0i} are independent dynamical variables and cannot be gauged away. This is because the Lifshitz solution ([2\)](#page-1-1) is not invariant under boost, and it is invariant only under the three-dimensional rotation. That is, the symmetry transformation of our background [\(18\)](#page-2-3) is

$$
t \to \tilde{t}(t), \qquad \mathbf{x} \to \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{19}
$$

As a result the action obtained in [\[38\]](#page-13-17), although correct for terms containing g_{00} and g_{ij} , should be supplemented with the additional terms containing g_{0i} terms. However, as we shall see in the next sections, the condition $g_{0i} = 0$ is the only consistent solution in our setup and therefore the results in [\[38\]](#page-13-17) for dimensionally reduced gravitational action are valid in the end.

For nonzero g_{0i} it is a difficult task to calculate the gravitational action to second order in terms of $g_{\alpha\beta}$. Instead we use the variational method which is more straightforward. In the variational method, we vary the 5D gravitational action in terms of 4D metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ as given in Eq. ([18](#page-2-3))

$$
\delta G_{MN} = \frac{\delta G_{MN}}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}} \delta g_{\alpha\beta}.
$$
 (20)

For this purpose, the following formulas are helpful

$$
\sqrt{-G} = \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{z+2} \sqrt{-g} \tag{21}
$$

and

$$
G^{00} = \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} g^{00},
$$

\n
$$
G^{0i} = \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-(1+z)} g^{0i},
$$

\n
$$
G^{ij} = \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2} g^{ij}.
$$
\n(22)

Equipped with these formulas and varying the action in terms of $g^{\alpha\beta}$, one can check that the 4D Einstein equations are

$$
\kappa_5^{-2} \int_V dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{(z+2)} G_0^0 = T_0^0,
$$

\n
$$
\kappa_5^{-2} \int_V dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{z+2} G_j^i = T_j^i,
$$

\n
$$
\kappa_5^{-2} \int_V dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{(2z+1)} G_i^0 = T_i^0.
$$
\n(23)

Here G^{μ}_{ν} is the 5D Einstein tensor and the integration is over the compactification volume $\mathcal V$. Furthermore, $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric energy-momentum tensor defined via the 4D metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$

$$
\delta S_M = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \delta g^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}.
$$
 (24)

Note the different scalings with z in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-3-0) which multiply the components of \tilde{G}^{μ}_{ν} and will have crucial implications in our analysis below. The set of equations in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-3-0) is our starting point to calculate the perturbation analysis. For this purpose we should specify the matter action to obtain $T_{\mu\nu}$. We also note that there is the rr component of Einstein equation in 5D but since we do not vary the rr component of metric, we do not obtain additional constraint from the rr component of Einstein equation. A procedure similar to this logic, yielding Eq. ([23](#page-3-0)), was also employed in [[49](#page-13-28)] in the context of Randall-Sundrum cosmology.

As mentioned above, the brane action is not the complete part of matter sector action. There are other background fields to support the Lifshitz solution. In our treatment below, we do not perturb these background 5D fields. We assume that these background fields contribute to an effective four-dimensional cosmological constant term which vanishes at the background level. As we shall see, this treatment of 5D matter fields reproduces the standard results in the limit where $z = 1$ and is expected to be the case when $z > 1$.

The action for the mobile brane moving in geometry [\(18\)](#page-2-3) is [[38](#page-13-17)]

$$
S_{(b)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{(b)}, \qquad (25)
$$

in which

$$
\mathcal{L}_{(b)} = -f^{-1}[(1 + fg^{00}\dot{\phi}^2 + hg^{ij}\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + 2lg^{0i}\dot{\phi}\partial_i\phi)^{1/2} - 1] - V
$$
\n(26)

with f defined as in Eq. [\(8](#page-1-5)), $\ell(\phi) = \sqrt{f(\phi)h(\phi)}$ and

$$
h(\phi) = \begin{cases} T_3^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu_z}{\phi} \right)^{\alpha'} & \text{if } z \neq 3 \\ T_3^{-1} e^{-\frac{2\phi}{\mu_3}} & \text{if } z = 3 \end{cases}
$$
 (27)

with

$$
\alpha' \equiv \frac{2(5-z)}{3-z}.\tag{28}
$$

Varying the brane action in Eq. ([26](#page-3-1)) one can read the energy momentum tensor

$$
T_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{L} + \Gamma[\dot{\phi}^2 \delta^0_{\beta} \delta^0_{\alpha} + \delta^i_{\alpha} \delta^j_{\beta} F(\phi)^2 \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi
$$

+
$$
(\delta^0_{\alpha} \delta^i_{\beta} + \delta^0_{\beta} \delta^i_{\alpha}) F(\phi) \dot{\phi} \partial_i \phi]
$$
(29)

in which

$$
F(\phi) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{f(\phi)}{h(\phi)}} = \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{z-1} \tag{30}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma = (1 + fg^{00} \dot{\phi}^2 + hg^{ij} \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi + 2lg^{0i} \dot{\phi} \partial_i \phi)^{-1/2}.
$$
 (31)

Note that in the isotropic limit with $z = 1$, we have $F = 1$. As we shall see below, the fact that $F \neq 1$ plays an important role in our analysis. Also the function Γ is defined such that it reduces to the Lorentz factor γ in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-4) at the background FRW. One can easily check that the Einstein equations ([23\)](#page-3-0) with the energy momentum tensor given in Eq. ([29](#page-3-2)) reproduce the expected background FRW equa-tions ([10](#page-1-4)) with M_P given in Eq. [\(13](#page-2-5)).

Having obtained the general Einstein equation in Eqs. [\(23](#page-3-0)) with $T_{\alpha\beta}$ given in Eq. [\(29\)](#page-3-2), we study the scalar and tensor perturbations in this inflationary background. As we shall see, the scalar perturbations analysis is nontrivial. So we start with the tensor perturbation analysis which proved to be simpler.

IV. TENSOR PERTURBATIONS

Here we consider the tensor perturbations where the metric excitations are given by

$$
ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2z} dt^2 + \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^2 (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j + \left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^2 dr^2,
$$
\n(32)

where we impose the transverse and traceless gauges on h_{ij}

$$
h_i^i = \partial_i h_j^i = 0.
$$
 (33)

Here the spatial indices are raised and lowered by δ_{ij} .

One can specifically check that $\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \delta \mathcal{G}_0^0 = \delta \mathcal{G}_i^0 = 0$ and

$$
\delta G_j^i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} (\ddot{h}_{ij} + 3H\dot{h}_{ij}) - \frac{1}{2} a^{-2} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2} \nabla^2 h_{ij}, \quad (34)
$$

in which $\nabla^2 = \partial_i \partial_i$. Plugging this into ([23](#page-3-0)) yields

$$
\ddot{h}_{ij} + 3H\dot{h}_{ij} - (1 + \beta_0)a^{-2}\nabla^2 h_{ij} = 0, \qquad (35)
$$

where the dimensionless parameter β_0 is defined via (note that in [\[38\]](#page-13-17), β_0 is denoted by Ω)

$$
\beta_0 = -1 + \frac{\int \gamma \, dr(\frac{r}{L})^z}{\int \gamma \, dr(\frac{r}{L})^{2-z}}.
$$
\n(36)

Note that in the limit where $z = 1$, we see that $\beta_0 = 0$, so β_0 may be thought to be a measure of 4D Lorentz violation. As we shall see in the following analysis, β_0 is a key parameter of our model.

Defining $\hat{h}_{ij} \equiv ah_{ij}$ and going to the Fourier space we find

$$
\hat{h}_{ij}'' + \left(c_g^2 k^2 - \frac{2}{\tau^2}\right) \hat{h}_{ij} = 0,\tag{37}
$$

where the prime denotes derivative with respect to the conformal time $d\tau = dt/a(t)$ and the gravitational wave (GW) speed c_g is given by

$$
c_g^2 \equiv 1 + \beta_0. \tag{38}
$$

Equation [\(38\)](#page-4-2) is very interesting. It indicates that the GW propagates with a nontrivial speed c_g . In the limit where $z = 1$, we have the usual result that $c_g = 1$. However, with arbitrary value z, c_g can be very different than unity. Note that in our convention, we have set the gravitational wave speed equal to unity in 5D. Therefore, Eq. [\(38\)](#page-4-2) indicates that in 4D the gravitational waves propagate with a different speed than in 5D. Depending on the value of z and the size of the Lifshitz throat, c_g can be even bigger than unity. The value of β_0 is calculated in [\[38\]](#page-13-17) which results in

$$
c_g^2 \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{3-z}{z+1} \left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2(z-1)}, & z < 3\\ \frac{z-3}{z+1} \left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2(z-1)} \left(\frac{r_0}{R}\right)^{z-3}, & z > 3\\ \frac{\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^4}{4(\ln \frac{r_0}{r_0})}, & z = 3. \end{cases}
$$
(39)

Here R is the UV cutoff of the Lifshitz throat where it is smoothly glued to the bulk of CY compactification and r_0 is the IR cut off of the throat which plays the role of the IR TeV brane in the Randall-Sundrum picture [[50](#page-13-29)]. We also expect that $R \ge L$ while R/r_0 to be exponentially large in the light of string flux compactification $[51]$ $[51]$.

As mentioned above, we have set the speed of light and GW propagation in five dimensions to unity. So if c_g is bigger than unity, it indicates the superluminal GW propagation compared to a 5D observer. Now, we have to see what the 4D speed of light is. For this purpose, consider a 4D observer located at an arbitrary fixed position $r = r_O$ in the Lifshitz throat. Finding the speed of light for this observer from the condition $ds^2 = 0$ yields

$$
c_{\gamma}^{2} = \left(\frac{r_{O}}{L}\right)^{2(z-1)} = F(\phi_{O})^{2}
$$
 (40)

in which $F(\phi)$ is defined in Eq. [\(30](#page-3-3)). Comparing the 4D GW speed c_g given in Eq. ([39\)](#page-4-3) and the 4D photon speed c_{γ} in Eq. [\(40\)](#page-4-4) we see that if r_O is sufficiently near the IR end of the throat then $c_g > c_\gamma$ and an observer located at $r = r_o$ experiences a superluminal GW propagation in the fourdimensional sense. Similar ideas were proposed in the context of Randall-Sundrum brane world cosmology [[52\]](#page-13-31).

V. SCALAR PERTURBATIONS

In this section we consider the scalar metric perturba-tions following the conventions of [[53](#page-13-32)]. In terms of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ given in Eq. (18) (18) (18) the scalar perturbations are denoted by

$$
g_{00} = -(1 + 2A), \n g_{0i} = a(t)\partial_i B, \n g_{ij} = a(t)^2 [(1 - 2\psi)\delta_{ij} + 2\partial_i \partial_j E].
$$
\n(41)

In total we have four scalar metric degrees of freedom, A, B, ψ , and E. However, the theory enjoys only the threedimensional spacial diffeomorphism as given in Eq. ([19\)](#page-2-6). One can easily check that all four variables A, B, ψ , and E are gauge invariant under the three-dimensional spatial diffeomorphism in Eq. ([19](#page-2-6)). On top of this, the inflaton field excitation $\delta\phi$ is also gauge invariant under Eq. ([19\)](#page-2-6). As a result, we should expect to have five independent equations for the five physical perturbations A, B, ψ , E, and $\delta\phi$. This is in contrast to the usual situation in standard cosmological perturbation theory in which, due to gauge freedom, one ends up with two scalar metric degrees of freedom plus $\delta \phi$.

The components of perturbed 5D Einstein tensor, δG_N^M , are given in [A](#page-9-1)ppendix A. The $(ij)i \neq j$, $(0i)$, (ii) , and (00) components of perturbed Einstein equations in Eq. ([23](#page-3-0)) are

$$
(1 + \beta_0)(\psi - A) + H\chi + \dot{\chi} + a(\dot{B} + 2HB)(\beta_1 - 1) = 0
$$
\n(42)

$$
(1 - \beta_1)(\dot{\psi} + HA) + \beta_2 aB - \frac{F(\phi)}{2M_P^2}\gamma\dot{\phi}\delta\phi = 0 \quad (43)
$$

$$
\ddot{\psi} + H(3\dot{\psi} + \dot{A}) + (3H^2 + 2\dot{H})A - \frac{1}{2M_P^2} \left[\gamma \dot{\phi} \delta \dot{\phi} - \gamma \dot{\phi}^2 A + \left(\frac{f'}{2\gamma f^2} (1 - \gamma)^2 - V_{,\phi} \right) \delta \phi \right] = 0 \tag{44}
$$

$$
3H(\dot{\psi} + HA) - \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2}{a^2} [(1 + \beta_0)\psi + Ha^2 \dot{E} - aH(1 - \beta_1)B] - \frac{1}{2M_P^2} \left[\gamma^3 \dot{\phi} (\dot{\phi}A - \delta \dot{\phi}) + \left(-\frac{f'}{2f^2} (1 - \gamma)^2 \right. \times (\gamma + 2) - V_{,\phi} \right) \delta \phi = 0
$$
 (45)

in which we have defined

$$
\chi \equiv a^2 \bigg(\dot{E} - \frac{B}{a} \bigg). \tag{46}
$$

Also we have defined the dimensionless parameters β_1 and β_2 which come from various integrations over the compactification volume V in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-3-0) via

$$
\beta_1 = 1 - \frac{\int \gamma dr(\frac{r}{L})}{\int \gamma dr(\frac{r}{L})^{2-z}}, \qquad \beta_2 = \frac{1-z}{L^2} \frac{\int \gamma dr(\frac{r}{L})^{z+2}}{\int \gamma dr(\frac{r}{L})^{2-z}}.
$$
\n(47)

Note that in the AdS limit where $z = 1$, both β_1 and β_2 vanish. One can also check that the set of equations (42) (42) – (45) reduces to the standard equations in conventional perturba-tion theory [[53\]](#page-13-32).

Finally, the Klein Gordon equation is

$$
\delta \ddot{\phi} + 3H(1+s)\delta \dot{\phi} + C_1 \delta \phi - \frac{F(\phi)^2}{a^2 \gamma^2} \vec{\nabla}^2 \delta \phi
$$

= $C_2 A + \dot{\phi} \dot{A} + 3\gamma^{-2} \dot{\phi} \dot{\psi} - \dot{\phi} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2}{\gamma^2} \left(\dot{E} - \frac{F(\phi)}{a} B \right)$, (48)

in which

$$
\mathcal{C}_1 \equiv \left(\frac{f'}{2f^2}\right)' \gamma^{-3} (1 - \gamma)^2 (2 + \gamma) + \frac{3Hf'}{2f} \dot{\phi}(s + 1 - \gamma^{-2}) \n+ \gamma^{-3} V_{,\phi\phi},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{C}_2 \equiv \frac{3f'}{2} \gamma^2 \dot{\phi}^4 + 3H \dot{\phi}(1 + \gamma^{-2}) + \ddot{\phi}(3\gamma^2 - 1), \qquad (49)
$$

and we have defined a new slow roll parameter as

$$
s \equiv \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{H\gamma}.\tag{50}
$$

Again we note that for the AdS background where $z = 1$ and $F(\phi) = 1$ we obtain the standard perturbed Klein-Gordon equation.

In conclusion, we have five independent equations (42) (42) (42) – [\(45\)](#page-5-1) and ([48](#page-5-2)) for five variables A, ψ , B, E, and $\delta\phi$. In the AdS limit of $z = 1$, we restore the standard 4D diffeomorphism invariance so we have only three independent variables, two metric scalar perturbations plus $\delta \phi$. However, when the 4D diffeomorphism is broken to a subset of 3D rotational invariance, all A, B, ψ , E, and $\delta\phi$ become physical degrees of freedom so we have five physical variables in total.

A. Solving the system of equations

Here we provide the solutions for the set of five equations (42) (42) (42) – (45) and (48) (48) (48) for five variables A, ψ , B, E, and $\delta \phi$. It looks formidable to find analytical solutions for this system of coupled equations. However, things become considerably simple as we shall see below.

Using Eqs. (43) (43) (43) and (44) (44) one obtains the following relation

$$
\beta_2(a^4B) = \frac{1}{2M_P^2}((F + \beta_1 - 1)a^3\gamma\dot{\phi}\delta\phi)
$$
 (51)

which can be solved easily to yield

$$
aB = \frac{1}{2M_P^2} \frac{F + \beta_1 - 1}{\beta_2} \gamma \dot{\phi} \delta \phi.
$$
 (52)

To obtain this equation use was made of the background KG equation as well as the equation $\dot{H} = -\frac{\gamma \dot{\phi}^2}{2M_p^2}$ $\frac{\gamma \varphi^2}{2M_p^2}$. Also a term like c/a^3 with c a constant can be added to either side of Eq. [\(52](#page-5-5)). However, treating B and $\delta\phi$ as perturbations which can be turned off at arbitrarily initial time, we conclude that $c = 0$. Equation [\(52\)](#page-5-5) plays a crucial rule in our analysis below. Note that in the isotropic limit in which $z = 1, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, and $F = 1$, Eq. ([51](#page-5-6)) is trivially satisfied. This is a manifestation of Bianchi identity. However, in our case with $z \neq 1$, the Bianchi identity does not hold, since the left-hand side of Eq. (23) (23) (23) is an integration of the Einstein tensor along the extra dimension.

Using (52) (52) (52) to eliminate B in (43) (43) (43) results in

$$
\dot{\psi} + HA = \frac{1}{2M_P^2} \gamma \dot{\phi} \delta \phi. \tag{53}
$$

Surprisingly this is the same as in standard DBI inflation.

To simplify further the system, let us define the new variables \sum and $\delta \phi_{\psi}$ as follows

$$
\Sigma = (1 + \beta_0)\psi + H\chi - aH(1 - \beta_1)B, \qquad (54)
$$

COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS IN INFLATIONARY ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 88, 103512 (2013)

$$
\delta \phi_{\psi} = \delta \phi + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H} \psi. \tag{55}
$$

As we shall see in the next subsection, $\delta \phi_{\psi}$ is related to the curvature perturbations on comoving surface $\mathcal R$ whereas Σ is similar to the Bardeen potential Ψ .

Now manipulating the independent Einstein equations (42) , (45) , and (53) one obtains the following set of coupled equations for Σ and $\delta \phi_{\psi}$

$$
\dot{\Sigma} + H(1 + \epsilon)\Sigma = (1 + \beta_0) \frac{\gamma \dot{\phi}}{2M_P^2} \delta \phi_{\psi}, \qquad (56)
$$

$$
\frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} \Sigma = \frac{\gamma^3}{2M_P^2} (\dot{\phi} \delta \dot{\phi}_{\psi} - (\ddot{\phi} + \epsilon \dot{\phi} H) \delta \phi_{\psi}).
$$
 (57)

Manipulating further Eqs. [\(56\)](#page-6-0) and [\(57\)](#page-6-1) one obtains the following decoupled system of equations for Σ and $\delta\phi_{\psi}$

$$
\delta \ddot{\phi}_{\psi} + 3H(1+s)\delta \dot{\phi}_{\psi} + \mathcal{J}\delta \phi_{\psi}
$$

$$
-\frac{1+\beta_0}{\gamma^2} \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} \delta \phi_{\psi} = 0, \qquad (58)
$$

$$
\ddot{\Sigma} - 2H^2(2\epsilon - \eta)\Sigma + H(1 + 2\eta - 2\epsilon)\dot{\Sigma}
$$

$$
-\frac{1 + \beta_0}{\gamma^2} \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} \Sigma = 0,
$$
(59)

in which we used

$$
\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \qquad \eta = \epsilon - \frac{\ddot{H}}{2H\dot{H}}, \qquad \dot{\epsilon} = 2H\epsilon(2\epsilon - \eta)
$$
\n(60)

and defined

$$
\mathcal{J} \equiv -\frac{H}{\gamma^3 a^3 \dot{\phi}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\gamma^3 a^3}{H} (\ddot{\phi} + \epsilon H \dot{\phi}) \right)
$$

$$
= C_1 - 4\epsilon \frac{H \ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}} - H^2 \epsilon (3 + 4s + 3\gamma^{-2} + 2\epsilon). \quad (61)
$$

Equations (58) (58) (58) and (59) are our key equations to find the curvature perturbation power spectrum in next sections.

Looking into $\delta \phi_{\psi}$ and Σ equations in ([58](#page-6-2)) and [\(59\)](#page-6-3) we find that the scalar perturbation sound speed, c_s , is

$$
c_s^2 = \frac{1 + \beta_0}{\gamma^2} = \frac{c_g^2}{\gamma^2}.
$$
 (62)

This is an interesting formula. Similar to standard DBI inflation, the scalar perturbations sound speed is suppressed by the factor $1/\gamma$ compared to GW speed. However, note that in conventional theories with 4D Lorentz invariance where $c_g = 1$, Eq. [\(62\)](#page-6-4) is translated into the well-known formula $c_s = 1/\gamma$.

In obtaining the system of Eqs. (58) and (59) we have used all four independent Einstein equations ([42](#page-5-0))–[\(45\)](#page-5-1). However, so far we have not used the KG equation ([48\)](#page-5-2). We have to check whether it carries extra information. Starting with KG-equation ([48](#page-5-2)) and using ([58](#page-6-2)) and after a long but straightforward calculations one obtains the following equation

$$
\nabla^2 [(F^2 - 1 - \beta_0) \delta \phi + \dot{\phi} (F + \beta_1 - 1) a B] = 0, \qquad (63)
$$

or by using (52)

$$
\left(F^2 - 1 - \beta_0 + \frac{\epsilon H^2}{\beta_2} (F + \beta_1 - 1)^2\right) \nabla^2 \delta \phi = 0. \quad (64)
$$

This is a constraint equation for $\delta\phi$. In the isotropic limit in which $z = 1$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, and $F = 1$, Eq. [\(64](#page-6-5)) is trivially satisfied. This is a manifestation of the fact that in standard theories with explicit 4D general covariance the Bianchi identity holds. As a result once the Einstein equations are satisfied, the KG equation is trivially satisfied. However, in our case at hand, the 4D general covariance is explicitly broken to a subset of three-dimensional rotational invariance. As a result we have five independent equations, four from Einstein equations and one from the KG equation, for five physical perturbations A, B, ψ , E, and $\delta \phi$.

The prefactor of $\delta\phi$ in Eq. [\(64\)](#page-6-5) is a dynamical quantity and does not necessarily vanish at the background. As a result, Eq. [\(64\)](#page-6-5) is translated into $\nabla^2 \delta \phi = 0$. In a spatially isotropic background with the appropriate boundary conditions at infinity, the only consistent solution for this Laplace equation is $\delta \phi = 0$. Alternatively, in an isotropic background one can expand $\delta\phi$ in Fourier space $\delta\phi$ background one can expand $\delta\phi$ in Fourier space $\delta\phi = \sum \delta\phi_k(t)e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ so $\nabla^2\delta\phi \sim \sum k^2\delta\phi_k(t)e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$. As a result the only valid solution of $\overline{\nabla}^2 \delta \phi = 0$ for each mode **k** consistent with appropriate boundary conditions at infinity is $\delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}}$.

This indicates that at the linear perturbation theory, the scalar field excitations decouple from the system.²Note also that from Eq. ([52](#page-5-5)) and $\delta \phi = 0$ one concludes that $B = 0$. This also means that at the linear perturbation theory the off-diagonal metric perturbations $g_{0i} =$ $a(t)\partial_i B$ are not excited. As we promised, this validates the analysis in [\[38\]](#page-13-17) in which to obtain the effective 4D gravitational action, the gauge $g_{0i} = 0$ has been used. In Appendix C we have provided further insight on the decoupling of $\delta\phi$ at the level of quadratic action.

It is also interesting to examine the numerical factor inside the bracket in Eq. ([64](#page-6-5)) and see how close to zero it

²This is a surprising result which requires deeper understanding. We note, however, that it might be due to the fact that upon reduction from five to four dimensions the scalar field ϕ gets a mass dimension which depends on z. Indeed the z-dependence of the mass dimension for $z \ge 2$ makes the field an irrelevant perturbation as the mobile brane moves toward the Lifshitz throat. Therefore one might suspect that the dynamics of the scalar field may not be important, at least as far as the linear perturbation theory is concerned.

can be. From the definition of β_2 in Eq. [\(47\)](#page-5-8) we have $\epsilon H^2/\beta_2 \sim \epsilon (HL)^2$. In our effective 4D theory the size of extra dimension is much smaller than the 4D length scale so $L \ll 1/H$ or $HL \ll 1$ and therefore the term containing ϵ in Eq. [\(64\)](#page-6-5) is negligible. As a result we are left with the condition $(F(\phi)^2 - 1 - \beta_0)\nabla^2 \delta \phi \sim 0$. On the other hand, $1 + \beta_0 = c_g^2$, where we have defined c_g as the gravitational wave speed. Furthermore, the photon speed for the observer localized on the moving brane from Eq. [\(40\)](#page-4-4) is $c^2(\phi) = F(\phi)^2$. Therefore, the condition ([64](#page-6-5)) is approximately written as $(c_g^2 - c_\gamma^2(\phi))\nabla^2 \delta \phi \sim 0$. Therefore, in our system where $c_g \neq c_\gamma(\phi)$, we conclude that $\delta \phi = 0$.

Now the last equation to be solved is an equation for A which can be obtained from either equation (53) or (42) . For example, using Eq. ([53](#page-5-7)) with $\delta \phi = 0$ we obtain

$$
A = -\frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} \delta \phi_{\psi} \right). \tag{65}
$$

In conclusion, our systems of equations are $B = \delta \phi = 0$ along with Eqs. [\(58\)](#page-6-2), [\(59\)](#page-6-3), and [\(65](#page-7-1)). Once we solve $\delta \phi_{\psi}$ and Σ , from Eqs. [\(54\)](#page-6-6) and [\(55\)](#page-5-9) we can find ψ and χ and from Eq. (65) we find the value of A. However, from now on, we trade ψ and χ in terms of $\delta\phi_{\psi}$ and Σ which are more physical.

B. Gravitational anisotropy

As in standard cosmological perturbation theory, it is useful to work with the comoving curvature perturbation R which in the convention of [[53](#page-13-32)] is related to $\delta\phi_{\psi}$ via

$$
\mathcal{R} = \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} \delta \phi_{\psi} = \psi, \tag{66}
$$

where the last equation holds because in our model $\delta \phi = 0$. On the other hand, our Σ is similar to the Bardeen potential Ψ in the standard situation $\Psi \equiv \psi + H\chi - aHB$. One can check that

$$
\Sigma = \Psi + \beta_0 \psi + \beta_1 a H B = \Psi + \beta_0 R, \qquad (67)
$$

where the last equation is obtained in our model knowing that $B = 0$. Note that in the limit where $\beta_0 = \beta_1 = 0$, we have $\Sigma = \Psi$.

Now we prove the important result that for superhorizon modes $\mathcal R$ is conserved. With the definition of $\mathcal R$ in Eq. [\(66\)](#page-7-2) and using Eq. ([58](#page-6-2)) one can check that in the Fourier space

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon \gamma^2 a^3} \frac{d}{dt} (\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon \gamma^2 a^3) + \frac{c_s^2 k^2}{a^2} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0.
$$
 (68)

This means that on superhorizon scales in which $c_s k/aH \rightarrow 0$, the curvature perturbation \mathcal{R}_k is constant outside the sound horizon crossing, where now the sound speed is given in Eq. (62) (62) (62) .

One novel aspect of our system with Lorentz violation is the appearance of primordial gravitational anisotropy controlled by the difference $\Phi - \Psi$ in which the gauge-invariant

Newtonian potential Φ is defined by $\Phi \equiv -\partial_t(\chi - aB) =$ $A - \dot{\chi}$. In standard theories with explicit 4D general covariance and in the absence of spatial anisotropies the relation $\Phi = \Psi$ holds. As a result, it is a good choice in our model to define the anisotropy field

$$
\sigma \equiv \Phi - \Psi. \tag{69}
$$

Using Eq. ([42](#page-5-0)) and noting that $B = 0$ in our system, one obtains

$$
\sigma = \beta_0(\psi - A) = \beta_0 \left(\mathcal{R} + \frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{H}\right).
$$
 (70)

Interestingly enough, we see that the anisotropy field σ is controlled by the parameter β_0 . As we have seen above, on the superhorizon scales R is conserved and $\sigma \approx \beta_0 R$ which, also from Eq. [\(67\)](#page-7-3), leads to $\sigma \approx \Sigma - \Psi$. As expected, in a standard situation where $\beta_0 = 0$ we obtain $\sigma = 0.$

Observationally, gravitational lensing and the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect are sensitive to σ , while galaxy peculiar velocity measurements are determined by the Newtonian potential Φ [\[54](#page-13-33)[,55\]](#page-13-34). It would be interesting to look for observational implications of our model with anisotropy field $\sigma \neq 0$.

VI. POWER SPECTRA

In this section we calculate the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation \mathcal{P}_R and the anisotropy field \mathcal{P}_{σ} .

To solve ([58](#page-6-2)) let us define the Sasaki-Mukhanov variable

$$
\nu = \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{(1+3s/2)} \delta \phi_{\psi},\tag{71}
$$

where a_0 is the scale factor at some initial time. Going to conformal time Eq. ([58](#page-6-2)) reduces to

$$
v''_{\mathbf{k}} + \left[c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - 1/4}{\tau^2}\right] v_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \tag{72}
$$

in which to first order in slow-roll parameters

$$
\nu \simeq \frac{3}{2} + 3\epsilon - \eta + s \tag{73}
$$

and we have used $aH\tau(1 - \epsilon) \approx -1$ and $\mathcal{J} \approx -3H^2(2\epsilon \eta$ – $\frac{s}{2}$).

With the Bunch-Davies initial condition the solution, as usual, can be written in terms of Hankel function

$$
v_k \simeq \frac{\sqrt{-\pi\tau}}{2} e^{i\pi(1+2\nu)/4} H_{\nu}^{(1)}(-c_s k\tau). \tag{74}
$$

The curvature power spectrum P_R is

$$
\langle \mathcal{R}_k \mathcal{R}_{k'} \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \delta^3(k + k'),
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P_{\mathcal{R}}(k).
$$
\n(75)

Solving the above standard equation and using (66) (66) (66) to relate $\delta\phi_\psi$ to ${\cal R}$ one finds the power spectrum of curvature perturbation as follows

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \simeq (1 + \beta_0)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{H^2}{2\pi\dot{\phi}}\right)^2. \tag{76}
$$

Also the spectral index defined via $n_{\rm s}-1$ = d ln \mathcal{P}_R/d ln k, is obtained to be

$$
n_s - 1 \simeq -6\epsilon + 2\eta + s. \tag{77}
$$

We note that the form of the spectral index is the same as the standard case where $z = 1$. The difference is due to the z-dependence of slow-roll parameters as well as the definition of sound horizon crossing given in Eq. [\(62\)](#page-6-4).

On the other hand, the power spectrum of the tensor perturbations is given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_T = \frac{2H^2}{M_P^2 \pi^2} (1 + \beta_0)^{-3/2}.
$$
 (78)

As a result, one can obtain the tensor to scalar ratio r by

$$
r = \frac{16\epsilon}{\gamma},\tag{79}
$$

which is also the same as in the standard case.

In the speed limit where $\gamma \gg 1$, one can find useful expressions for physical parameters. In particular, in the speed limit we have

$$
n_s - 1 \simeq \frac{2\epsilon}{n} (3 + z - 2n) \quad (\gamma \gg 1), \tag{80}
$$

in which, as mentioned in Sec. [II](#page-0-4), n is the power of inflationary potential in r coordinate, i.e., $V \sim r^n$. In particular, from Eq. ([80\)](#page-8-0) we find that for $n = 2$, i.e., quadratic potential, the spectral index is always blue-tilted. The behaviors of n_s for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ are shown in Figs. [1](#page-8-1) and [2.](#page-8-2)

The non-Gaussianity parameter f_{NL} in standard DBI inflation is calculated to be [[26](#page-13-11),[34](#page-13-14)] $f_{NL} \sim 0.3\gamma^{-2}$. We expect that the order of magnitude of f_{NL} in our model to be similar to standard DBI case and $f_{NL} \sim \gamma^{-2}$. To satisfy the Planck constraints on f_{NL} with $c_s \ge 0.07$ [\[37\]](#page-13-16) one concludes that [[26,](#page-13-11)[34](#page-13-14)] γ < 14. In Figure [3](#page-9-2) the plot of γ as a function of z is presented.

FIG. 1 (color online). Here we plot $n_s - 1$ and r, the ratio of the tensor to scalar power spectrum, with $n = 2$ for the mode which leaves the sound horizon at $N = 5$ e-folds. While z is varying all other parameters, the initial conditions are held fixed. The spectral index increases towards blue as ζ increases while r shows more nontrivial behavior.

FIG. 2 (color online). The plots of $n_s - 1$ and r for the case $n = 4$ as a function of z for modes which leave the sound horizon at $N = 5$ e-folds. As z increases r decreases while, as expected from Eq. ([80](#page-8-0)), the spectral index is red-tilted, scale invariant, and blue-tilted, respectively for $z < 5$, $z = 5$, and $z > 5$.

FIG. 3 (color online). γ as a function of z for modes that leave the sound horizon at $N = 5$ e-folds for the case $n = 2$. The behavior of γ for the case of $n = 4$ is similar to this plot.

FIG. 4 (color online). β_0 as a function of z for different values of the warp factor r_0/R .

As explained before, one novel aspect of our model is the gravitational anisotropy in which $\sigma \neq 0$. Now we calculate the anisotropy power spectrum, \mathcal{P}_{σ} , on super-horizon scales. Using Eq. ([70](#page-7-4)) and noting that $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = 0$ on superhorizon scales yields

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma} = \beta_0^2 \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{\beta_0^2}{(1 + \beta_0)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{H^2}{2\pi \dot{\phi}}\right)^2. \tag{81}
$$

Knowing that $c_g^2 = (1 + \beta_0)$, we obtain the following consistency relation between P_R and P_σ

$$
\frac{\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}}{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}} = (c_g^2 - 1)^2 = \beta_0^2.
$$
 (82)

For example, in a standard DBI scenario in which $c_g = c_s$ one obtains $P_{\sigma} = 0$ as expected. The amplitude of P_{σ} is controlled by β_0 or equivalently c_g . In Fig. [4](#page-9-3) we plot β_0 as a function of z.

VII. DISCUSSION

In this work we studied cosmological perturbation in a higher dimensional Lifshitz background with anisotropic scalings in time and space coordinates. The model describes the dynamics of brane inflation in the Lifshitz throat with the anisotropy scaling $z > 1$. As we argued, the 4D general covariance is explicitly broken to a subset of threedimensional rotational invariance. As a result we have four physical metric scalar degrees of freedom in addition to the inflaton perturbations $\delta \phi$. After considering the perturbed Einstein and Klein-Gordon equations, we found the unexpected results that at the linear perturbation level $\delta\phi$, as well as g_{0i} , excitations are decoupled from the system.

One interesting prediction of our model is the generation of the gravitational anisotropy in which the Bardeen potential and the Newton potential are not equal. Having calculated the power spectrum of the anisotropy field $\sigma = \Phi - \Psi$, we found that it is directly related to the parameter β_0 which controls the level of 4D general covariance breaking.

We have also calculated n_s and r as a function of z. Depending on the form of the inflationary potential, n_s can either be red- or blue-tilted while r is typically small which is a manifestation of small field range in string theory inflationary model buildings. It is also interesting to calculate non-Gaussianities in this scenario rigorously. However, we expect that $f_{NL} \sim \gamma^{-2}$. As a result, generating large non-Gaussianities and gravitational anisotropies may be considered two generic features of this scenario.

Another interesting result of our model is that the gravitational wave perturbations and the scalar perturbations speeds are related via $c_s = c_g / \gamma$. Furthermore, depending on the SM observer position inside the Lifshitz throat, the tensor propagations can be superluminal compared to the photon propagations on the SM brane. However, the theory is diffeomorphism invariant in 5D so there is no violation of ''causality'' in the five-dimensional sense.

As we argued in the Introduction, we do not have a concrete theoretical realization of this setup in string theory, so this work may be considered a phenomenological exercise for a rigorous string theory background. Having said this, it is interesting that a higher dimension spacetime with anisotropy scalings of time and space under the extra dimension coordinate shows such novel features in effective 4D cosmology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank D. Baumann, E. Gumrukcuoglu, J. Lidsey, S. Mukohyama, D. Mulryne, R. Tavakol, and D. Tong for useful discussions and comments. K. K. is supported by STFC Grants No. ST/H002774/1 and ST/K0090X/1, the European Research Council and the Leverhulme trust.

APPENDIX A: COMPONENTS OF EINSTEIN TENSOR

Here we present the component of 5D Einstein tensor which will be used in Eq. (23) . One can check that

COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS IN INFLATIONARY ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 88, 103512 (2013)

$$
\delta G_0^0 = 2 \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[3H\dot{\psi} - H\nabla^2 \dot{E} + 3H^2 A + \frac{H}{a} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{z-1} \nabla^2 B - \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2(z-1)} \nabla^2 \psi \right], \quad (A1)
$$

$$
\delta G_i^0 = -2\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[\dot{\psi} + HA + \frac{(1-z)a}{L^2} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{z+1} B\right],\tag{A2}
$$

$$
\delta G_1^1 = -(\partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2)\Omega + 2\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[\left(H^2 + \frac{2\ddot{a}}{a}\right)A + \ddot{\psi} + 3H\dot{\psi} + H\dot{A}\right] \delta G_2^1 = \Omega_{,xy}, \tag{A3}
$$

where Ω is defined via

$$
\Omega = \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[\ddot{E} + 3H\dot{E}\right] - \frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-z-1} \left[\dot{B} + 2HB\right] + \frac{1}{a^2}\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2} \left(\psi - A\right).
$$
\n(A4)

APPENDIX B: A MORE GENERIC METRIC PERTURBATIONS ANSATZ

In obtaining the constraint equation (64) one may worry whether this conclusion is due to our ansatz in Eq. (18) (18) (18) in which the Lifshitz scaling symmetry is imposed at the perturbation level. Here we investigate the general perturbation ansatz which is not restricted to the Lifshitz symmetry at the perturbation level. We find that the constraint equation similar to Eq. [\(64\)](#page-6-5) still holds. In order to simplify the analysis, we restrict ourselves to the slow-roll case where $\gamma = 1$. The generalization to the general DBI case will be similar.

A generalization of metric perturbations Eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-3) without the Lifshitz scaling symmetry is

$$
ds2 = g00 \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2z} dt2 + gij \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2} dxi dxj + 2g0i \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{\kappa} dt dxi + \left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{2} dr2,
$$
 (B1)

where κ is a free parameter. In our analysis in the main text we set $\kappa = z + 1$ so the scaling symmetry is preserved at the perturbation level. However, here we consider the arbitrary value of κ .

With some effort one can check that the Einstein equations are

$$
\kappa_5^{-2} \int_V dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{(z+2)} G_0^0 \left(1 + \frac{f(r)}{2} (\nabla B)^2\right) = -T_0^0,
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_5^{-2} \int_V dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{z+2} G_j^i \left(1 + \frac{f(r)}{2} (\nabla B)^2\right) = -T_j^i,
$$
\n(B2)

$$
\kappa_5^{-2} \int_V dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{(z+\kappa)} G_i^0 = -T_i^0 + \int_V dr \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{(z+2)} f(r) G_0^0 g_{0i},
$$
\n(B3)

in which

$$
f(r) \equiv 1 - r^{2(\kappa - 1 - z)}.
$$
 (B4)

In the limit where $\kappa = z + 1$, then $f(r) = 0$ and we recover Einstein equations as in Eq. ([23\)](#page-3-0).

Now we present the components of the 5D Einstein tensor for an arbitrary value of κ . One can check that there are just some minor modifications and

$$
\delta G_0^0 = 2\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[3H\dot{\psi} - H\nabla^2 \dot{E} + 3H^2A + \frac{H}{a}\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{\kappa-2}\nabla^2 B - \frac{1}{a^2}\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{2(z-1)}\nabla^2 \psi\right], \quad (B5)
$$

$$
\delta G_i^0 = -2\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[\dot{\psi} + HA + \frac{(2-\kappa)(3+\kappa-z)a}{4L^2} \times \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{\kappa}B\right],
$$
\n(B6)

$$
\delta G_1^1 = -(\partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2)\tilde{\Omega} + 2\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[\left(H^2 + \frac{2\ddot{a}}{a}\right)A + \ddot{\psi} + 3H\dot{\psi} + H\dot{A}\right],
$$
\n(B7)

$$
\delta G_2^1 = \tilde{\Omega}_{,xy},\tag{B8}
$$

in which $\tilde{\Omega}$ is defined via

$$
\tilde{\Omega} = \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2z} \left[\ddot{E} + 3H\dot{E}\right] - \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{\kappa - 2z - 2} \left[\dot{B} + 2HB\right] + \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{-2} (\psi - A).
$$
\n(B9)

To calculate T^{α}_{β} we need to calculate the brane action with the arbitrary value of κ . We have to calculate $|\bar{g}_{ab}|$ where \bar{g}_{ab} is the induced metric on the mobile brane. With some effort one can show that

$$
|\bar{g}| = r^{2z+6} |g| \left[1 - f(r)a(t)^2 \sum_{i} (g^{0i})^2 + g^{00} r^{-2z-2} i^2 + r^{-4} g^{ij} \partial_i r \partial_j r + 2r^{\kappa - 2z - 4} g^{0i} \partial_i r \partial_j r \right].
$$
 (B10)

In the slow-roll limit, the Lagrangian is

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{T_3}{2} r^{z+3} \bigg[g^{00} r^{-2z-2} \dot{r}^2 + r^{-4} g^{ij} \partial_i r \partial_j r + 2r^{\kappa - 2z - 4} g^{0i} \partial_i r \partial_j r - f(r) a(t)^2 \sum_i (g^{0i})^2 \bigg].
$$
 (B11)

As a result, we have the following action for a slowly rolling brane

$$
S_{(b)} = -\frac{T_3}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{z+3} \left[g^{00} \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{-2(z+1)} \dot{r}_b^2 + \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{-4} g^{ij} \partial_i r_b \partial_j r_b + 2 \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{(\kappa-4-2z)} g^{0i} \dot{r}_b \partial_i r_b - f(r) g_{0i} g^{0i} \right].
$$
\n(B12)

Translating to the canonically normalized scalar field ϕ the action becomes

$$
S_{(b)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2} g^{00} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{F(\phi)^2}{2} g^{ij} \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi \right. \\ - g^{0i} Q(\phi) \dot{\phi} \partial_i \phi - \frac{T_3}{2} R(\phi) \sum_i g_{0i} g^{0i} - V(\phi) \right], \tag{B13}
$$

where the relation between ϕ and r_b is the same as in Eq. (6) (6) and

$$
Q(\phi) = \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{\kappa - 2}, \qquad R(\phi) = \left(\frac{r_b}{L}\right)^{z + 3} f(r_b). \tag{B14}
$$

Varying the action up to first order in perturbations we obtain

$$
T_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_{(b)} + \dot{\phi}^2 \delta^0_{\beta} \delta^0_{\alpha} + \delta^i_{\alpha} \delta^j_{\beta} F(\phi)^2 \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi + (\delta^0_{\alpha} \delta^i_{\beta} + \delta^0_{\beta} \delta^i_{\alpha}) (Q(\phi) \dot{\phi} \partial_i \phi - T_3 R(\phi) g_{0i}).
$$
\n(B15)

From this we obtain

$$
T_0^0 \simeq \frac{1}{2} g^{00} \dot{\phi}^2 - V, \tag{B16}
$$

$$
T_j^i \simeq \delta_j^i \left(-\frac{1}{2} g^{00} \dot{\phi}^2 - V \right), \tag{B17}
$$

$$
T_i^0 \simeq -\dot{\phi} Q \partial_i \phi + T_3 R(\phi) g_{0i}.
$$
 (B18)

Finally, gathering all the above information one can obtain the perturbed Einstein equations. The (ij) component of the Einstein equation for $i \neq j$ in Eq. ([B2\)](#page-10-1) results in

$$
(1 + \beta_0)(\psi - A) + H\chi + \dot{\chi} + a(\dot{B} + 2HB)(\tilde{\beta}_1 - 1) = 0,
$$
\n(B19)

where $\chi = a^2 \dot{E}$. Note that β_0 is the same as in Eq. [\(36\)](#page-4-5) while $\tilde{\beta}_1$ is defined as

$$
\tilde{\beta}_1 \equiv 1 - \frac{\int \gamma dr (\frac{r}{L})^{\kappa - z}}{\int \gamma dr (\frac{r}{L})^{2-z}}.
$$
 (B20)

From the integrated (ii) component, we find

$$
\ddot{\psi} + H(3\dot{\psi} + \dot{A}) + (3H^2 + 2\dot{H})A
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2M_P^2} [\dot{\phi}\,\delta\dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}^2 A - V_{,\phi}\,\delta\phi].
$$
 (B21)

The $(0i)$ and (00) components of the Einstein equations yield

$$
(1 - \tilde{\beta}_1)(\dot{\psi} + HA) + \left(\tilde{\beta}_2 - \frac{3}{2}H^2\beta_\kappa + \frac{T_3R}{2M_P^2}\right) aB
$$

= $\frac{Q(\phi)}{2M_P^2} \dot{\phi} \delta \phi,$ (B22)

where $\tilde{\beta}_2$ and β_{κ} are defined via

$$
\tilde{\beta}_2 = \frac{(2 - \kappa)(3 + \kappa - z)}{4L^2} \frac{\int \gamma dr (\frac{r}{L})^{z+2}}{\int \gamma dr (\frac{r}{L})^{2-z}}, \n\beta_\kappa = 1 - \frac{\int \gamma dr (\frac{r}{L})^{2\kappa - 3z}}{\int \gamma dr (\frac{r}{L})^{2-z}}.
$$
\n(B23)

Note that in the limit $\kappa = z + 1$ the new parameter β_{κ} vanishes while $\tilde{\beta}_2$ reduces to β_2 as given in Eq. [\(47\)](#page-5-8).

Finally for the (0,0) component one has

$$
3H(\dot{\psi} + HA) - \frac{\vec{\nabla}^2}{a^2} [(1 + \beta_0)\psi + Ha^2 \dot{E} - aH(1 - \tilde{\beta}_1)B]
$$

= $\frac{1}{2M_P^2} (\dot{\phi}^2 A - \dot{\phi} \delta \dot{\phi} - V_{,\phi} \delta \phi).$ (B24)

Manipulating Eqs. $(B22)$ and $(B21)$ $(B21)$ $(B21)$ one can show that

$$
\left(a^4 B\left(\tilde{\beta}_2 - \frac{3}{2} H^2 \beta_\kappa + \frac{T_3 R}{2M_P^2}\right)\right)
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2M_P^2}((Q + \tilde{\beta}_1 - 1)a^3 \dot{\phi} \delta \phi),
$$
 (B25)

which yields

$$
aB\left(\tilde{\beta}_2 - \frac{3}{2}H^2\beta_{\kappa} + \frac{T_3R}{2M_P^2}\right) = \frac{1}{2M_P^2}(Q + \tilde{\beta}_1 - 1)\dot{\phi}\,\delta\phi.
$$
\n(B26)

This is similar to Eq. [\(52](#page-5-5)) for the case $\kappa = z + 1$. Now, plugging this into Eq. ([B22\)](#page-11-0) one obtains

$$
\dot{\psi} + HA = \frac{1}{2M_P^2} \dot{\phi} \delta \phi.
$$
 (B27)

This is formally the same as Eq. (53) (53) (53) in the slow-roll limit where $\gamma = 1$.

The Klein-Gordon equation has the following form

$$
\delta \ddot{\phi} + 3H \delta \dot{\phi} + V_{,\phi\phi} \delta \phi - \frac{F(\phi)^2}{a^2} \vec{\nabla}^2 \delta \phi
$$

= -2V_{,\phi}A + \dot{\phi} \dot{A} + 3\dot{\phi} \dot{\psi} - \dot{\phi} \vec{\nabla}^2 \bigg(\dot{E} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}(\phi)}{a} B \bigg). (B28)

Now that all the equations become similar to the case when $\kappa = z + 1$, one can check that using the Einstein equations into the KG equation [\(B28\)](#page-11-2) yields

COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS IN INFLATIONARY ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 88, 103512 (2013)

$$
\nabla^2 [(F^2 - 1 - \beta_0) \delta \phi + \dot{\phi} (Q + \tilde{\beta}_1 - 1) a B] = 0.
$$
 (B29)

Now using ([B26](#page-11-3)) to express B in terms of $\delta\phi$ one obtains

$$
\nabla^2 \bigg[\bigg(F^2 - 1 - \beta_0 - \frac{\epsilon H^2 (Q + \tilde{\beta}_1 - 1)^2}{\tilde{\beta}_2 - 3H^2 \beta_{\kappa} / 2 + T_3 R / 2M_P^2} \bigg) \delta \phi \bigg].
$$
\n(B30)

As a result, similar to the conclusion from Eq. [\(64\)](#page-6-5), $\delta \phi$ decouples regardless of the value of κ .

APPENDIX C: DECOUPLING OF $\delta\phi$ at the LEVEL OF ACTION

Here we study the decoupling of $\delta\phi$ in the quadratic action. To simplify the analysis, we consider the slow-roll limit corresponding to $\gamma = 1$. The extension to the DBI case will be similar.

The matter action, in the slow-roll limit is the following

$$
S_M = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2} g^{00} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{F(\phi)^2}{2} g^{ij} \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi - g^{0i} F(\phi) \dot{\phi} \partial_i \phi - V(\phi) \right],
$$
 (C1)

while, for the gravity sector we have

$$
S_G = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-G}^{(5)} R. \tag{C2}
$$

However, as mentioned in the draft, we should subtract a nondynamical cosmological constant term from the above Lagrangian, as an effective result of the extra fields. So we effectively have

$$
S_G^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-G} \left[{}^{(5)}R - \Lambda \right] \tag{C3}
$$

where, from background evolution one can obtain [[38](#page-13-17)]

$$
\Lambda = -(12 + 6z + 2z^2)/L^2. \tag{C4}
$$

Now we can expand the effective gravitational action as well as the matter sector up to second order. After lots of integration by parts and simplifications, and also integrating out the r-coordinate, we end up with

$$
S_2 = \int d^4x a^3 \left[M_P^2 \left[-3\dot{\psi}^2 + (\beta_0 + 1)(\nabla\psi/a)^2 + 2A(\beta_0 + 1)\frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} \psi - 6HA\dot{\psi} - (3H^2 + \dot{H})A^2 \right. \right.\left. + 2(\dot{\psi} + AH)\nabla^2(\dot{E} - (1 - \beta_1)B/a) + \hat{\beta}_2(\nabla B)^2 \right] \left. + \frac{1}{2}\delta\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{F^2}{2a^2}(\nabla\delta\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}V''\delta\phi^2 + 3\dot{\phi}\delta\phi\dot{\psi} - V'A\delta\phi - \dot{\phi}\delta\phi A - \dot{\phi}\delta\phi\nabla^2(\dot{E} - FB/a) \right], \tag{C5}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{(1-z)^2}{4L^2} \frac{\int \sqrt{dr (\frac{r}{L})^{z+2}}}{\int \sqrt{dr (\frac{r}{L})^{2-z}}},
$$
(C6)

which is slightly different from β_2 defined in the main text, Eq. ([47\)](#page-5-8). Interestingly, apart from the form of β_2 which is different, the above action gives the consistent equations of motion, Eqs. (42) (42) (42) – (45) . It is also consistent with [[56](#page-13-35)] in the case $z = 1$.

Note that the fields B and A are not dynamical and we can solve the corresponding constraint and plug the solution back into the action. Furthermore, E appears linearly so using the equation of motion obtained by varying the action with respect to E we find an action for two dynamical fields ψ and $\delta\phi$. After this procedure, let us introduce a new variable

$$
\Omega \equiv \delta \phi + \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} \psi, \tag{C7}
$$

which is actually $\delta \phi_{\psi}$ in the draft. Using the new field Ω to eliminate ψ from the action, and after many simplifications, we find the following action for two fields Ω and $\delta \phi$.

$$
S = \int d^4x a^3 \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\Omega}^2 - \frac{(\beta_0 + 1)}{2a^2} (\nabla \Omega)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 \left[V'' - \frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2 a^3} \frac{d}{dt} \left(a^3 \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{H} \right) \right] \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla}{a} \delta \phi \right)^2
$$

$$
\times \left[-F^2 + \beta_0 + 1 - \frac{\epsilon H^2}{\beta_2} (F - 1 + \beta_1)^2 \right]. \tag{C8}
$$

As a result, the kinetic term for $\delta\phi$ vanishes in the action, yielding the decoupling of inflaton field perturbations. This is also consistent with the result in the text that $\delta \phi = B =$ 0. Note that the difference in the form of β_2 in Eqs. [\(47\)](#page-5-8) and [\(C6](#page-12-1)) does not lead to any inconsistency, since β_2 only appears with the field B in the form of β_2 B which vanishes and does not affect the rest of the equations.

- [1] A. H. Guth, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347) 23, 347 (1981); K. Sato, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 195, 467 (1981); A. D. Linde, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9) Lett. B 108[, 389 \(1982\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9) A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220) 48, 1220 (1982).
- [2] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), [arXiv:1303.5076](http://arXiv.org/abs/1303.5076) [Astron. Astrophys. (to be published)].
- [3] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), [arXiv:1303.5082.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1303.5082)
- [4] S. H. Henry Tye, [Lect. Notes Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74233-3) **737**, 949 (2008).
- [5] J. M. Cline, [arXiv:hep-th/0612129.](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0612129)
- [6] C. P. Burgess, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/21/S04) 24, S795 (2007).
- [7] L. McAllister and E. Silverstein, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-007-0556-6) 40, [565 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-007-0556-6).
- [8] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083524) Sci. **59**[, 67 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083524)
- [9] A. Mazumdar and J. Rocher, Phys. Rep. 497[, 85 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2010.08.001).
- [10] G. Dvali and S.-H. H. Tye, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00132-X)* 450, 72 (1999).
- [11] S. H. S. Alexander, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**[, 023507 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.023507)
- [12] C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh, and R. J. Zhang, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/07/047) [047.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/07/047)
- [13] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi, and S. Solganik, [arXiv:hep-th/](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0105203) [0105203.](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0105203)
- [14] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister, and S. P. Trivedi, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2003/10/013) [10 \(2003\) 013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2003/10/013)
- [15] H. Firouzjahi and S.-H.H. Tye, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.022)* 584, 147 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.022).
- [16] C.P. Burgess, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/09/033)M. Cline, H. Stoica, and F. Quevedo, J. [High Energy Phys. 09 \(2004\) 033.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/09/033)
- [17] A. Buchel and R. Roiban, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.03.082)* **590**, 284 (2004).
- [18] N. Iizuka and S.P. Trivedi, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043519)* **70**, 043519 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043519).
- [19] H. Firouzjahi and S.-H. H. Tye, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/03/009) [Phys. 03 \(2005\) 009.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/03/009)
- [20] S. Sarangi and S. H. H. Tye, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01824-5)* 536, 185 (2002).
- [21] M. Majumdar and A. Christine-Davis, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/03/056) [Phys. 03 \(2002\) 056.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/03/056)
- [22] E.J. Copeland, R.C. Myers, and J. Polchinski, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/06/013) [Energy Phys. 06 \(2004\) 013;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/06/013) G. Dvali and A. Vilenkin, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/03/010) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 \(2004\) 010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/03/010); L. Leblond and S.-H. H. Tye, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2004\) 055;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/03/055) N. Barnaby, A. Berndsen, J. M. Cline, and H. Stoica, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/06/075) [Energy Phys. 06 \(2005\) 075.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/06/075)
- [23] H. Firouzjahi, L. Leblond, and S.H.H. Tye, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/047) [Energy Phys. 05 \(2006\) 047;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/047) H. Firouzjahi, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/12/031) [Energy Phys. 12 \(2006\) 031;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/12/031) K. Dasgupta, H. Firouzjahi, and R. Gwyn, [J. High Energy Phys. 04](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/04/093) [\(2007\) 093](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/04/093); M. Lake, S. Thomas, and J. Ward, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/12/033) [Energy Phys. 12 \(2009\) 033.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/12/033)
- [24] M. G. Jackson, N. T. Jones, and J. Polchinski, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/10/013) [Energy Phys. 10 \(2005\) 013;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/10/013) A. Hanany and K. Hashimoto, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2005\) 021;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/06/021) K. Hashimoto and D. Tong, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 09](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/09/004) [\(2005\) 004;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/09/004) M. Eto, K. Hashimoto, G. Marmorini, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, and W. Vinci, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.091602) 98, [091602 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.091602); M. G. Jackson, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2008) 035.
- [25] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 103505 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.103505)
- [26] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.123505)* 70[, 123505 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.123505).
- [27] X. Chen, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**[, 063506 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.063506).
- [28] S. E. Shandera and S. H. Tye, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2006/05/007) [05 \(2006\) 007.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2006/05/007)
- [29] R. Bean, X. Chen, H. Peiris, and J. Xu, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.023527) 77*, [023527 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.023527)
- [30] R. Bean, S. E. Shandera, S. H. H. Tye, and [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/05/004) Xu, J. [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 \(2007\) 004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/05/004)
- [31] S. Thomas and J. Ward, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**[, 023509 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.023509)
- [32] I. Huston, J. E. Lidsey, S. Thomas, and J. Ward, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/05/016) [Astropart. Phys. 05 \(2008\) 016.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/05/016)
- [33] Y. F. Cai and W. Xue, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.09.043)* 680, 395 (2009); Y. F. Cai and H. Y. Xia, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.05.047) 677, 226 (2009); D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101[, 061301 \(2008\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.061301) [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.063523) 78, [063523 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.063523); S. Renaux-Petel, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/10/012) [Phys. 10 \(2009\) 012.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/10/012)M. x. Huang, G. Shiu, and B. Underwood, Phys. Rev. D 77[, 023511 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.023511); S. Mizuno, F. Arroja, and K. Koyama, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.083517) 80, [083517 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.083517) S. Mizuno, F. Arroja, K. Koyama, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 023530 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.023530).
- [34] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru, and G. Shiu, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/01/002) [Astropart. Phys. 01 \(2007\) 002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/01/002)
- [35] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 06](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/06/003) [\(2005\) 003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/06/003)
- [36] X. Chen, Adv. Astron. **2010**[, 638979 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2010/638979)
- [37] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), [arXiv:1303.5084.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1303.5084)
- [38] M. Alishahiha, H. Firouzjahi, and M. H. Namjoo, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/08/028) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 \(2011\) 028.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/08/028)
- [39] J. A. Hertz, *Phys. Rev. B* **14**[, 1165 \(1976\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.14.1165).
- [40] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998); [Int. J. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961) 38, 1113 (1999).
- [41] S. Kachru, X. Liu, and M. Mulligan, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.106005)* 78, [106005 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.106005)
- [42] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garbarz, G. Giribet, and M. Hassaine, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2010\) 030.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2010)030)
- [43] S. A. Hartnoll, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2010)120) Polchinski, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, J. [High Energy Phys. 04 \(2010\) 120.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2010)120)
- [44] K. Balasubramanian and K. Narayan, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2010)014) [Phys. 08 \(2010\) 014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2010)014)
- [45] A. Donos and J.P. Gauntlett, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2010)002) [\(2010\) 002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2010)002)
- [46] R. Gregory, S.L. Parameswaran, G. Tasinato, and I. Zavala, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2010\) 047.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2010)047)
- [47] D. Cassani and A. F. Faedo, [J. High Energy Phys. 05](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)013) [\(2011\) 013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)013)
- [48] M. Taylor, [arXiv:0812.0530.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0812.0530)
- [49] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, L. Randall, and J. Terning, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.045015) Rev. D 62[, 045015 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.045015).
- [50] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370)* **83**, 3370 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370).
- [51] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, and J. Polchinski, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.106006)* 66[, 106006 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.106006).
- [52] C. Csaki, J. Erlich, and C. Grojean, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00175-4) **B604**, 312 [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00175-4).
- [53] B. A. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa, and D. Wands, [Rev. Mod.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.537) Phys. 78[, 537 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.537)
- [54] B. Jain and P. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.063503) 78, 063503 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.063503).
- [55] N. Afshordi, G. Geshnizjani, and J. Khoury, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/030) [Astropart. Phys. 08 \(2009\) 030.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/030)
- [56] J. Garriga, X. Montes, M. Sasaki, and T. Tanaka, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00780-3) Phys. B513[, 343 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00780-3)