Hybrid r-vacua in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QCD: Universal condensate formula

M. Shifman¹ and A. Yung^{1,2}

¹William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA

 P^2 Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia

Received 11 March 2013; published 30 April 2013)

We derive an exact unified formula for all condensates (quark and monopole) in the hybrid r vacua in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QCD slightly deformed by a $\mu \mathcal{A}^2$ term. The gauge group is assumed to be U(N) and the number of the quark flavors N_f subject to the condition $N \lt N_f \lt 2N$. In the r vacua, r quarks and $N - r - 1$ monopoles from nonoverlapping subgroups of $U(N)$ develop vacuum expectation values $(r < N)$. We then briefly review possible dynamical regimes (confinement, screening, and "instead of confinement'') in the hybrid r vacua in μ -deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QCD (the small- μ limit).

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.87.085044](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.085044) PACS numbers: 12.38.Aw, 11.30.Pb, 12.60.Jv

I. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of this paper is to derive a unified formula for the quark and monopole vacuum condensates in an arbitrary r vacuum in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QCD (SQCD) in terms of the roots of the Seiberg-Witten curve [\[1\]](#page-9-0). Following Seiberg and Witten, we deform $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD by a small mass term μ for the adjoint field. We will show that all the condensates reduce to effective parameters ξ_P ,

$$
\xi_P = -2\sqrt{2}\mu \sqrt{(e_P - e_N^+)(e_P - e_N^-)},\tag{1.1}
$$

where the subscript $P = 1, \ldots, N - 1$ marks the appropriate condensates (quark or monopole), $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{N-1}$ are the double roots of the Seiberg-Witten curve corresponding to the quark and monopole condensation, and e_N^{\pm} are two unpaired roots present in any $r < N$ vacuum in the case of the μ Tr \mathcal{A}^2 perturbation. If P lies in the interval [1, r], Eq. (1.1) (1.1) describes the quark vacuum expectation values (VEVs) [\[2](#page-9-1)], while for $r + 1 \le P \le N - 1$ it gives the monopole VEVs.

For generic values of the quark masses, the theories we discuss support Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfieldsaturated non-Abelian magnetic strings [[3](#page-9-2)[–6](#page-9-3)]. These strings confine monopoles. The tensions of these strings are [\[7](#page-9-4),[8\]](#page-9-5)

$$
T_P = 2\pi |\xi_P|, \qquad P = 1, \dots, r. \tag{1.2}
$$

For $r + 1 \le P \le N - 1$ the same expression gives the tensions of the Abelian electric strings, which confine quarks. The value of the Pth condensate is $\xi_P/2$ (see below for a more precise definition).

Let us briefly outline our basic model (a more detailed description and all relevant notation can be found in our previous original publications [\[5,](#page-9-6)[8](#page-9-5)] and the review papers [[7](#page-9-4)]).

The gauge group of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD under consideration is U(N). We introduce N_f quark flavors ($N < N_f < 2N$) endowed with mass terms and then perturb $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD by a small mass term $\mu \mathcal{A}^2$ for the adjoint matter (part of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge supermultiplet).

At generic quark masses, this theory has a number of isolated vacua in which r flavors of (s)quarks condense, $r \le N$ (the so-called r vacua). The $r = N$ vacuum, with the maximal possible number of condensed quarks, was studied more than others (for a review, see Ref. [\[7](#page-9-4)]). Non-Abelian flux tubes (strings) confining monopoles were shown to exist $[3-6]$ $[3-6]$ $[3-6]$ in this vacuum; see Refs. $[7,9,10]$ $[7,9,10]$ $[7,9,10]$ $[7,9,10]$ for extensive reviews. Massless r vacua with $r < N$ were studied in Refs. [\[11](#page-9-9)[,12\]](#page-9-10) in the SU(N) version of the theory. $¹$ </sup>

Extensions to $U(N)$ were discussed recently for the $r > N_f/2$ and, in particular, $r = N - 1$ and $r = N$ cases [\[2,](#page-9-1)[13](#page-9-11)[,14\]](#page-9-12). Confinement of monopoles at weak coupling was demonstrated to survive in the strong coupling regime at small values of the quark VEVs given by $\xi/2 \sim \mu m$ where m is a typical quark mass. The latter was described in terms of the so-called r duality and was found to be an ''instead-of-confinement'' phase: the screened quarks decay into monopole-antimonopole pairs with the monopoles confined by non-Abelian strings. One of the results of Ref. [[2](#page-9-1)] was the expression for the quark condensates in the low-energy theory in terms of the roots of the Seiberg-Witten curve; see Eq. (1.1) (1.1) . In this paper we continue this line of research and consider the monopole $r = 0$ as well as hybrid r vacua with r quarks and $(N - r - 1)$ monopoles [from the orthogonal subgroups of $U(N)$] condensing.² Equation (1.1) (1.1) proves to be valid for all condensates in all vacua. Although our derivation will be carried out in particular examples, the assertion is universal.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we discuss the r-vacuum structure and review Eq. ([1.1\)](#page-0-0) for $r > N_f/2$.

¹If quark mass terms vanish, certain r vacua coalesce, and the Higgs branches develop from the common roots. The $r < N$ vacua correspond to roots of the nonbaryonic Higgs branches, while the $r = N$ vacuum corresponds to a root of the baryonic Higgs branch in the $SU(N)$ theory [[11](#page-9-9)]. We consider nonvanishing, nondegenerate quark masses. ²

²A certain aspect of the large- μ limit was not quite adequately treated in Ref. [\[2](#page-9-1)]. This will be corrected in a separate publication. In the present paper, we limit ourselves to the small- μ limit.

In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we present a detailed analysis of the monopole $(r = 0)$ vacuum and derive Eq. (1.1) (1.1) in this case. As a byproduct we observe that Eq. (1.1) (1.1) reproduces the famous sine formula for the string tensions $[15]$ $[15]$ $[15]$ in the limit of large quark masses, for which the theory under consideration reduces to pure gauge theory. 3 Section [IV](#page-4-0) is devoted to the hybrid r vacua with $r < N_f/2$. Equation ([1.1\)](#page-0-0) for the quark and monopole condensates is derived in certain examples. Finally, Sec. [VA](#page-5-0) presents an overall picture of confinement and screening in the hybrid r vacua. In Sec. [V](#page-5-1) we also summarize various phases exhibiting themselves in different r vacua. The appendix contains details pertinent to the VEVs calculation in a hybrid vacuum.

II. µ-DEFORMED SQCD: VACUUM STRUCTURE

A. The model

In the absence of deformation, the model under consideration is $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD with N_f massive quark hypermultiplets. We assume that $N_f > N$ but $N_f < 2N$ where N refers to the gauge group, $U(N)$. The latter inequality ensures our theory to be asymptotically free. In addition, we will introduce a small mass term $\mu \mathcal{A}^2$ for the adjoint matter breaking $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry down to $\mathcal{N} = 1$.

The field content is as follows. In addition to the $SU(N)$ and U(1) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge supermultiplets, we have N_f quark multiplets consisting of the complex scalar fields q^{kA} and \tilde{q}_{Ak} (squarks) and their fermion superpartners—all in the fundamental representation of the $SU(N)$ gauge group. Here $k = 1, ..., N$ is the color index, while A is the flavor index, $A = 1, ..., N_f$. We will treat q^{kA} and \tilde{q}_{Ak} as rectangular matrices with N rows and N_f columns.

The superpotential of the undeformed theory is

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=2} = \sqrt{2} \sum_{A=1}^{N_f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}_A \mathcal{A} q^A + \tilde{q}_A \tilde{q}_A q^A \right),
$$
\n
$$
+ \tilde{q}_A \mathcal{A}^a T^a q^A + m_A \tilde{q}_A q^A \right), \qquad (2.1)
$$

where A and A^a are chiral $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields, the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superpartners of the gauge bosons, while m_A are the quark mass terms. Then we add a single trace deformation

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\text{br}} = \mu \text{Tr} \Phi^2, \tag{2.2}
$$

where

$$
\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A} + T^a \mathcal{A}^a, \tag{2.3}
$$

and T^a stand for the SU(N) generators. Generally speak-ing, Eq. ([2.2](#page-1-1)) breaks⁴ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry down to $\mathcal{N} = 1$. We assume the deformation [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) to be weak,

$$
|\mu| \ll \Lambda,\tag{2.4}
$$

where Λ is the scale of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory. Thus, we consider the theory close to its $\mathcal{N} = 2$ limit.

B. Vacua

The number of isolated $r = N$ vacua is

$$
\mathcal{N}_{r=N} = C_{N_f}^N = \frac{N_f!}{N!(N_f - N)!}.
$$
 (2.5)

This is the maximal number of quark fields that can develop VEVs; see Ref. [\[7\]](#page-9-4). All gauge bosons are completely Higgsed, and the theory is in the color-flavor locking phase (assuming quark masses to be close to each other). The quark VEVs are determined by ξ_P 's ($P = 1, ..., N$) of the order of μm_P . For large values of ξ , the theory is at weak coupling and can be studied semiclassically. In particular, non-Abelian strings that confine monopoles are known to exist $[3-6]$ $[3-6]$ $[3-6]$.

If we reduce ξ , the theory undergoes a crossover transition from a weak to strong coupling regime, described in terms of a weakly coupled infrared-free dual theory [\[13\]](#page-9-11) with the U(\tilde{N}) gauge group and N_f light quarklike dyon flavors, $\tilde{N} = N_f - N$. The dyon condensation leads to confinement of monopoles too. The quarks and gauge bosons of the original theory are in the instead-ofconfinement phase [\[2](#page-9-1)[,13](#page-9-11)].

The number of the r vacua⁵ with $r < N$ is [\[12\]](#page-9-10)

$$
\mathcal{N}_{r < N} = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} (N-r) C_{N_f}^r = \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} (N-r) \frac{N_f!}{r!(N_f-r)!},\tag{2.6}
$$

representing the number of choices one can pick up r condensing quarks out of N_f quarks times the Witten index in the classically unbroken $SU(N - r)$ pure gauge theory.

Consider a particular vacuum in which the first r quarks develop VEVs. We denote it as $(1, \ldots, r)$. Quasiclassically, at large masses, the adjoint scalar VEVs are

$$
\langle \Phi \rangle \approx -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{diag}[m_1, \dots, m_r, 0, \dots, 0], \quad (2.7)
$$

where the last $(N - r)$ entries classically vanish. In quantum theory the vanishing entries become of the order of Λ , generally speaking. The classically unbroken $U(N - r)$ gauge sector gets Higgsed through the Seiberg-Witten mechanism [\[1](#page-9-0)], first down to $U(1)^{N-r}$ and then almost completely by condensation of $(N - r - 1)$ monopoles. A single U(1) factor remains unbroken, as the monopoles are charged with respect to the Cartan generators of the $\frac{1}{3}$ For a related discussion, see Pef. [16] SU(N – r) group.

For a related discussion, see Ref. [\[16\]](#page-9-14).
⁴ For small *u* and equal quark masses. F

⁴For small μ and equal quark masses, Eq. ([2.2](#page-1-1)) reduces to the Fayet-Iliopoulos F term [\[17\]](#page-9-15), which does not break $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry; see Refs. [\[8](#page-9-5)[,18,](#page-9-16)[19](#page-9-17)].

 5 Our definition of r refers to the large quark mass domain. In fact, effectively, r depends on the quark masses; see Ref. [[20](#page-9-18)].

The presence of the unbroken $U(1)^{unbr}$ symmetry makes the $r < N$ vacua qualitatively different from the $r = N$ vacuum: the latter has no massless gauge bosons. According to Ref. [\[21\]](#page-9-19), these sets of vacua belong to two different ''branches.''

The low-energy theory in the r vacuum has the gauge group

$$
U(r) \times U(1)^{N-r}, \tag{2.8}
$$

with N_f quark flavors charged under the $U(r)$ factor and $(N - r - 1)$ monopoles charged under the U(1) factors.

$C. r > N_f / 2$

For $r > N_f/2$ and large ξ , the SU(*r*) non-Abelian quark sector is at weak coupling since it is asymptotically free.⁶ The action of this theory is presented in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-9-1) for a particular example, the $r = N - 1$ vacuum. The quark condensates can be read off from the superpotentials, Eqs. (2.1) (2.1) (2.1) and (2.2) using Eq. (2.7) (2.7) (2.7) . They are

$$
\langle q^{kA} \rangle = \langle \tilde{q}^{kA} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\xi_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \sqrt{\xi_r} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

 $k = 1, ..., r, \qquad A = 1, ..., N_f.$ (2.9)

The first r parameters ξ in the quasiclassical approximation are

$$
\xi_P \approx 2\mu m_P, \qquad P = 1, \dots, r. \tag{2.10}
$$

In quantum theory the parameters ξ_P determining the quark condensates are connected with the roots of the Seiberg-Witten curve $[2,8,14]$ $[2,8,14]$ $[2,8,14]$ $[2,8,14]$ $[2,8,14]$ $[2,8,14]$, which in the theory at hand takes the form [[11](#page-9-9)]

$$
y^{2} = \prod_{P=1}^{N} (x - \phi_{P})^{2} - 4 \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2N - N_{f}} \prod_{A=1}^{N_{f}} \left(x + \frac{m_{A}}{\sqrt{2}}\right). \quad (2.11)
$$

Here ϕ_P are gauge invariant parameters on the Coulomb branch. Semiclassically,

$$
\Phi \approx \text{diag}[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N]. \tag{2.12}
$$

In the $r < N$ vacuum [more exactly, in the $(1, \ldots, r)$] vacuum], we have

$$
\phi_P \approx -\frac{m_P}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad P = 1, \dots, r,
$$

\n
$$
\phi_P \sim \Lambda_{\mathcal{N}=2}, \qquad P = r + 1, \dots, N
$$
\n(2.13)

in the large m_A limit; see Eq. [\(2.7\)](#page-1-3).

To identify the $r < N$ vacuum in terms of the curve (2.11) (2.11) , it is necessary to find such values of ϕ_p that ensure the Seiberg-Witten curve to have $N - 1$ double roots, with r parameters ϕ_P determined by the quark masses in the semiclassical limit; see Eq. (2.13) . The above $N - 1$ double roots will be associated with the r condensed quarks and $(N - r - 1)$ condensed monopoles—altogether $N - 1$ condensed states.

In contrast, in the $r = N$ vacuum, the maximal possible number of condensed states (quarks) in the $U(N)$ theory is N. As was mentioned, this difference is related to the unbroken U(1)^{unbr} gauge group in the $r < N$ vacua [[21\]](#page-9-19). In the classically unbroken (after the quark condensation) $U(N - r)$ gauge group, $N - r - 1$ monopoles condense at a quantum level, breaking the non-Abelian $SU(N - r)$ subgroup. One U(1) factor remains unbroken because the monopoles are not coupled to this U(1).

Thus, in the $r < N$ vacua with the quadratic deformation superpotential ([2.2](#page-1-1)), the Seiberg-Witten curve factorizes [\[22\]](#page-9-20),

$$
y^{2} = \prod_{P=1}^{r} (x - e_{P})^{2} \prod_{K=r+1}^{N-1} (x - e_{K})^{2} (x - e_{N}^{+}) (x - e_{N}^{-}).
$$
\n(2.14)

The first r double roots in the large mass limit are given by the mass parameters, $\sqrt{2}e_p \approx -m_p$, $P = 1, ..., r$. Other $(N - r - 1)$ double roots associated with light monopoles are much smaller and determined by Λ . The last two roots are also much smaller.

For the single-trace deformation superpotential [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1), the sum of the unpaired roots vanishes [\[22\]](#page-9-20),

$$
e_N^+ + e_N^- = 0. \tag{2.15}
$$

The root e_N^+ determines the value of the gaugino condensate [\[21\]](#page-9-19).

Now, Eq. ([1.1\)](#page-0-0) was derived in one of our previous papers [\[2\]](#page-9-1) for the case of the quark condensate, namely, for $P = 1, \ldots, r$.

In the remainder of this paper, we demonstrate that the monopole condensates in the monopole vacuum $(r = 0)$ or hybrid r vacua are also determined by the same formula with the replacement of the quark double roots by the monopole double roots, so that the subscript P in Eq. ([1.1\)](#page-0-0) can run over monopole double roots $P = (r + 1), \ldots$ $(N - 1)$ too. Thus, Eq. ([1.1\)](#page-0-0) is very general and determines VEVs of any condensed field independently of its nature.

III. $r = 0$: THE MONOPOLE VACUUM

In this section we consider the monopole vacuum with $r = 0$ and show that the monopole condensates are still given by Eq. (1.1) (1.1) . Then, we demonstrate that for the above monopole vacuum (in the limit of large quark masses, i.e., when the theory at hand reduces to pure gauge theory), Eq. (1.1) (1.1) gives the famous sine formula for the monopole VEVs and, hence, the electric string tensions [\[15](#page-9-13)].

A. Monopole VEVs

Consider the simplest example: the $r = 0$ vacuum in U(2) SQCD with N_f quark flavors. It is a straightforward

⁶The opposite case $r < N_f/2$ is discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-4-0)

generalization of the SU(2) theory studied in Refs. [[1,](#page-9-0)[23\]](#page-9-21). The low-energy gauge group is $U(1) \times U(1)$ where the first U(1) factor is associated with, say, the τ_3 generator of SU(2). In this case the light matter sector consists of one monopole singlet M and \tilde{M} charged with respect to the first $U(1)$ factor [\[1\]](#page-9-0). The relevant F terms in the scalar potential are

$$
V(M, \tilde{M}, a_3^D, a) = 2g_D^2 \left| \tilde{M}M + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a_3^D} \right|^2 + g_1^2 \left| \mu \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a} \right|^2
$$

$$
+ 2|a_3^D M|^2 + 2|a_3^D \tilde{M}|^2 + \cdots, \qquad (3.1)
$$

where we denote the light adjoint scalar of the dual gauge multiplet associated with τ_3 by a_3^D , while a stands for the neutral scalar in the $U(1)$ gauge multiplet of $U(2)$. The corresponding coupling constants are g_D and g_1 , respectively. We also define

$$
u_k = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} a + T^a a^a \right)^k \right\rangle, \qquad k = 1, \dots, N. \tag{3.2}
$$

Thus, the deformation superpotential ([2.2](#page-1-1)) is proportional to u_2 . From the potential (3.1) (3.1) (3.1) it is easy to derive for the monopole vacuum

$$
\langle \tilde{M}M \rangle = -\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a_3^D}; \qquad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a} = 0, \qquad a_3^D = 0. \tag{3.3}
$$

The Seiberg-Witten curve in this case factorizes as follows:

$$
y^2 = (x - e_1)^2 (x - e_2^+)(x - e_2^-), \tag{3.4}
$$

see Eq. ([2.14](#page-2-3)). Here the double root at $x = e_1$ corresponds to a single condensed monopole in the $r = 0$ vacuum, while two other roots [subject to the condition (2.15) (2.15)] determine the gaugino condensate.

The exact solution of the theory on the Coulomb branch relates the fields a_3^D and a to contour integrals running along the contours β_1 in the x plane encircling the double root e_1 and the contour C at infinity; see Fig. [1.](#page-3-1)

Using explicit the expressions from Refs. [[24](#page-9-22)[–27\]](#page-10-0) and their generalizations to the $U(N)$ case [[8](#page-9-5)], we arrive at

$$
\frac{\partial a_3^D}{\partial u_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta_1} \frac{dx}{y},
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial a_3^D}{\partial u_1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta_i} \frac{dx}{y} [x - (e_1 + e_2)],
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial a}{\partial u_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{dx}{y},
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial a}{\partial u_1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{dx}{y} [x - (e_1 + e_2)],
$$
\n(3.5)

where the variables u_1 and u_2 are given in Eq. [\(3.2\)](#page-3-2), while

$$
e_2 = \frac{1}{2}(e_2^+ + e_2^-). \tag{3.6}
$$

In fact, e_2 should vanish due to the condition ([2.15](#page-2-4)). We will see shortly that this is indeed the case.

FIG. 1. β_1 and C contours in the x plane in the U(2) theory. Solid straight lines denote cuts.

For the factorized curve (3.4) , the integrals (3.5) (3.5) can be easily evaluated. In particular, the integral along the β_1 contour is given by its pole contributions. This gives

$$
\frac{\partial a_3^D}{\partial u_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(e_1 - e_2^+)(e_1 - e_2^-)}}, \qquad \frac{\partial a}{\partial u_2} = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial a_3^D}{\partial u_1} = -\frac{e_2}{\sqrt{(e_1 - e_2^+)(e_1 - e_2^-)}}, \qquad \frac{\partial a}{\partial u_1} = 1.
$$
\n(3.7)

$$
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial u_1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(e_1 - e_2^+)(e_1 - e_2^-)}}, \qquad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial u_1} - \frac{1}{\partial u_1} - \frac{
$$

Inverting this matrix we get

$$
\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a_3^D} = 2\sqrt{(e_1 - e_2^+)(e_1 - e_2^-)}, \qquad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a} = 2e_2. \tag{3.8}
$$

Now, from Eq. [\(3.3\)](#page-3-5) we see that indeed

$$
e_2 = 0,\tag{3.9}
$$

i.e., the condition (2.15) is automatically met. The monopole VEV is 7

$$
\langle M \rangle = \langle \bar{\tilde{M}} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_1}{2}}, \tag{3.10}
$$

with

$$
\xi_1 = -2\sqrt{2}\mu \sqrt{(e_1 - e_2^+)(e_1 - e_2^-)}.
$$
 (3.11)

We see that the monopole condensate in the $r = 0$ vacuum is determined by the same Eq. (1.1) (1.1) in much the same way as the quark condensates; see Eq. (2.9) . A straightforward generalization of this result to arbitrary N gives for elementary monopole condensates

$$
\langle M_{P(P+1)} \rangle = \langle \bar{\tilde{M}}_{P(P+1)} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_P}{2}},\tag{3.12}
$$

⁷Here we also use the *D*-term condition requiring $|M| = |\tilde{M}|$.

where the parameters ξ_P are again determined by the general formula ([1.1\)](#page-0-0) $[P = 1, ..., (N - 1)]$. Here $M_{PP'}$ denotes the monopole with the charge given by the root $\alpha_{PP'} = w_P - w_{P'}$ of the SU(N) algebra with weights w_P , $P < P'$.

B. The sine formula

The famous sine formula for the k -string tensions (and, hence, condensates) was derived in Ref. [\[15\]](#page-9-13) in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ limit of pure gluodynamics. The latter can be obtained from our model by tending the quark masses to infinity, where they decouple.

Consider the $r = 0$ monopole vacuum in the U(N) gauge theory with heavy quarks, $m_A \rightarrow \infty$. The Seiberg-Witten curve in this case takes the form

$$
y^{2} = \prod_{P=1}^{N} (x - \phi_{P})^{2} - 4 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2N}, \qquad (3.13)
$$

where the scale Λ_0 is

$$
\Lambda_0^{2N} = \Lambda^{2N - N_f} \prod_{A=1}^{N_f} m_A.
$$
 (3.14)

The corresponding expressions for ϕ_P 's, double monopole roots e_P , and two unpaired roots e_N^{\pm} are [[15](#page-9-13)]

$$
\phi_P = 2 \cos \frac{\pi (P - \frac{1}{2})}{N} \frac{\Lambda_0}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad P = 1, ..., N,
$$

\n
$$
e_P = 2 \cos \frac{\pi P}{N} \frac{\Lambda_0}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad P = 1, ..., (N - 1), \qquad (3.15)
$$

\n
$$
e_N^{\pm} = \pm 2 \frac{\Lambda_0}{\sqrt{2}}.
$$

Substituting these roots in the formula (1.1) , we arrive at the following monopole VEVs:

$$
\langle \tilde{M}_{P(P+1)} M_{P(P+1)} \rangle = \frac{\xi_P}{2} = -2i\mu \Lambda_0 \sin \frac{\pi P}{N}.
$$
 (3.16)

The same monopole VEVs determine the tensions of the Abelian electric strings,

$$
T_P = 2\pi |\xi_P|, \qquad P = 1, \dots, N - 1. \tag{3.17}
$$

Our general expression [\(1.1](#page-0-0)) reproduces the sign formula. The string described by Eq. (3.16) (3.16) can be viewed [[18](#page-9-16)] as the so-called " k string"; see Ref. [\[16\]](#page-9-14) and references therein.

In much the same way as the magnetic non-Abelian strings appearing upon the quark condensation in the r vacua, these strings are BPS to the leading order in μ [\[18](#page-9-16)[,19\]](#page-9-17). These Abelian electric strings confine quarks.

IV. HYBRID r VACUA

As was already mentioned, the low-energy gauge group in the hybrid r vacuum is Eq. (2.8) (2.8) (2.8) , while the light matter sector consists of N_f quark flavors charged under the $U(r)$ gauge subgroup, plus $(N - r - 1)$ singlet Abelian monopoles. The quarks and monopoles are charged with respect to orthogonal subgroups of $U(N)$. Hence, they are mutually local (i.e., can be described by a local Lagrangian). If in Sec. [II C](#page-2-7) we discussed the case $r > N_f/2$, now we turn to the opposite case $r < N_f/2$.

In these vacua the low-energy theory is infrared free, and it is at weak coupling once the quark and monopole VEVs are small. To ensure this condition, we assume all parameters ξ_P given by Eq. ([1.1\)](#page-0-0) to be small enough.

For example, for large and (almost) equal quark masses, the effective scale of the non-Abelian $SU(r)$ subgroup of Eq. ([2.8](#page-2-6)) is

$$
\Lambda_{SU(r)}^{N_f - 2r} = \frac{m^{2(N-r)}}{\Lambda^{2N - N_f}},\tag{4.1}
$$

where *m* is the common mass, and $|\xi_P| \ll \Lambda_{\text{SU}(r)}^2$, $P = 1, \ldots, r$. For simplicity here and in Sec. [VA,](#page-5-0) we assume m to be large, and hence quarks have only electric color charges. For a discussion of the small mass limit, see Sec. VC.

As an example we choose for our analysis the $r = 1$ vacuum in the U(3) gauge theory with N_f quark flavors. The light matter sector consists of a single color component of N_f quark flavors and a monopole singlet. We can choose color charges of quarks and monopoles as follows [see (2.7)]:

$$
\vec{n}_{q^{1A}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, 0\right),
$$

$$
\vec{n}_{M_{23}} = \left(0, 0; 0, -\frac{1}{2}; 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right),
$$
 (4.2)

respectively, where we use the notation

$$
\vec{n} = (n_e, n_m; n_e^3, n_m^3; n_e^8, n_m^8), \tag{4.3}
$$

and n_e and n_m denote the electric and magnetic charges of a given state with respect to the $U(1)$ gauge group. Moreover, n_e^3 , n_m^3 and n_e^8 , n_e^8 stand for the electric and magnetic charges with respect to the Cartan generators of the $SU(3)$ gauge group. The charges chosen in Eq. (4.2) correspond to taking the quark charge equal to the weight w_1 and monopole charge equal to the orthogonal root $\alpha_{23} = w_2 - w_3$ of the SU(3) subgroup of U(3); see Fig. [2.](#page-5-2)

From Eq. (4.2) we see that the quarks interact with U(1) gauge field

$$
A_{\mu}^{q} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} \left(A_{\mu} + A_{\mu}^{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} A_{\mu}^{8} \right),
$$
 (4.4)

with the charge

$$
n_q \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}}.\tag{4.5}
$$

FIG. 2. Projection of charges [\(4.2\)](#page-4-2) of the condensed quark and monopole states onto SU(3) subalgebra of U(3).

At the same time, the monopoles interact with the $U(1)$ gauge field

$$
A_{\mu}^{D} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{\mu}^{D3} + \sqrt{3} A_{\mu}^{D8}), \tag{4.6}
$$

with the charge $n_M = 1$, while the orthogonal combination

$$
A_{\mu}^{\text{unbr}} = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{7}} \left(-\frac{4}{3} A_{\mu} + A_{\mu}^{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} A_{\mu}^{8} \right) \tag{4.7}
$$

is the gauge field of the unbroken $U(1)$ ^{unbr} always present in all $r < N$ vacua. Here A_{μ}^{Da} denote dual gauge potentials associated with the Cartan generators of SU(3).

Relevant F terms in the scalar potential of the lowenergy theory are

$$
V = 2g_q^2 \left| n_q \tilde{q}_{A1} q^{1A} + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a_q} \right|^2
$$

+ $2g_M^2 \left| \tilde{M}_{23} M_{23} + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a^D} \right|^2 + g_{\text{unbr}}^2 \left| \mu \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a_{\text{unbr}}} \right|^2$
+ $2 \left| \left(n_q a_q + \frac{m_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right) q^{1A} \right|^2 + 2 \left| \left(n_q a_q + \frac{m_A}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \tilde{q}^{1A} \right|^2$
+ $2 |a^D M|^2 + 2 |a^D \tilde{M}|^2 + \cdots$, (4.8)

where a_q , a^D , and a_{unbr} are scalar superpartners of the gauge potentials in Eqs. [\(4.4\)](#page-4-3), [\(4.6\)](#page-5-3), and [\(4.7\)](#page-5-4), while g_q , g_M , and g_{unbr} are the corresponding U(1) gauge couplings. The dots represent the D terms. From Eq. (4.8) (4.8) (4.8) we learn that

$$
n_q \langle \tilde{q}_{A1} q^{1A} \rangle = -\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a_q}, \qquad \langle \tilde{M}_{23} M_{23} \rangle = -\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a^D},
$$

$$
\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial a_{\text{unbr}}} = 0, \qquad (4.9)
$$

while $a^D = 0$ and $\sqrt{2}n_q a_q = -m_1$. All derivatives in Eqs. ([4.9](#page-5-6)) can be calculated from the Seiberg-Witten curve, which factorizes in the $r = 1$ vacuum at hand as follows:

$$
y^2 = (x - e_1)^2 (x - e_2)^2 (x - e_3^+)(x - e_3^-). \tag{4.10}
$$

Double roots at $x = e_1$ and $x = e_2$ are associated with the light quark q^{11} and light monopole M_{23} , respectively. Details of this calculation can be found in the appendix. The result is

$$
\langle \tilde{q}_{11} q^{11} \rangle = \frac{\xi_1}{2}, \qquad \langle \tilde{M}_{23} M_{23} \rangle = \frac{\xi_2}{2}, \qquad (4.11)
$$

while the last equation in Eq. [\(4.9\)](#page-5-6) ensures that $e_3^+ + e_3^- = 0$; see Eq. [\(2.15\)](#page-2-4). Here ξ_1 and ξ_2 are given by Eq. [\(1.1](#page-0-0)).

Again we see that all condensates, independently on their nature, are determined by the same universal formula [\(1.1](#page-0-0)). Above we analyzed only a few particular examples. Extension to the general case is straightforward, however.

V. DYNAMICAL REGIMES AND DUALITIES IN THE r VACUA

A. Confinement and screening

In the hybrid r vacua, both quarks and monopoles charged with respect to orthogonal subgroups of $U(N)$ condense. As a result, both the non-Abelian magnetic strings [[3–](#page-9-2)[6](#page-9-3)] and the Abelian Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen electric strings develop supported by the quark and monopole condensates, respectively. Clearly, the magnetic strings confine monopoles, while the electric strings confine quarks. Now, we focus on large quark masses, with the quarks possessing pure color-electric charges.⁸

Let us turn again to the simplest example of the $r = 1$ vacuum in the $U(3)$ gauge theory and show how confinement and screening of different states work in this case. A similar discussion for the $r = 1$ vacuum in the SU(3) gauge theory can be found in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-10-1).

All charges of condensed quark q^{11} and monopole M_{23} are given in Eq. ([4.2](#page-4-2)). Now, we calculate the fluxes of the strings formed due to condensation of these states. Consider first the magnetic strings.

Since we have only one condensed quark q^{11} in the $r = 1$ vacuum, we deal with a single Abelian magnetic string, to be referred to as S_m . Suppose the q^{11} quark has a winding

$$
q^{11} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\xi_1}{2}} e^{i\alpha}, \qquad M_{23} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\xi_2}{2}} \tag{5.1}
$$

at $r \rightarrow \infty$ [see ([4.11](#page-5-7))], where r and α are the polar coordinates in the plane $i = 1, 2$ orthogonal to the string axis. Equations (5.1) imply the following behavior of the gauge potentials at $r \rightarrow \infty$:

 8 The string formation and confinement in the r -dual theories at small quark masses due to the quarklike dyon condensation were studied in Refs. $[2,13]$ $[2,13]$ $[2,13]$ $[2,13]$ $[2,13]$; see Sec. VC.

HYBRID r-VACUA IN $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUPERSYMMETRIC ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 87, 085044 (2013)

$$
\frac{1}{2}A_i + \frac{1}{2}A_i^3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}A_i^8 \sim \partial_i \alpha, \qquad -\frac{1}{2}A_i^3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}A_i^8 \sim 0,
$$
\n(5.2)

as follows from the quark and monopole charges in Eq. ([4.2](#page-4-2)). In the $r = 1$ vacuum, we have to supplement these conditions with one extra condition ensuring that the combination [\(4.7\)](#page-5-4) of the gauge potentials, which interacts neither with the quark nor the monopole, is not excited, namely,

$$
-\frac{4}{3}A_i + A_i^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}A_i^8 \sim 0.
$$
 (5.3)

The solution to these equations is

$$
A_i \sim \frac{6}{7} \partial_i \alpha, \qquad A_i^3 \sim \frac{6}{7} \partial_i \alpha, \qquad A_i^8 \sim \frac{6}{7\sqrt{3}} \partial_i \alpha. \tag{5.4}
$$

It determines the gauge fluxes $\int dx_i A_i$, $\int dx_i A_3^3$, and $\int dx_i A_i^8$ of the string S_m , respectively. The integration above is performed over a large circle in the (1, 2) plane.

Next, we define the string charges [\[13\]](#page-9-11) as

$$
\int dx_i (A_i^D, A_i; A_i^3D, A_i^3; A_i^8D, A_i^8)
$$

= $4\pi (-n_e, n_m; -n_e^3, n_m^3; -n_e^8, n_m^8)$. (5.5)

This definition guarantees that the string has the same charge as a probe monopole, which can be attached to the string endpoint. In other words, the flux of the given string is the flux of the probe monopole sitting on the string's end with the charge defined by Eq. [\(5.5\)](#page-6-0). Note that this probe monopole does not necessarily exist in the theory under consideration. For example, the monopoles from the $SU(r)$ sector are rather string junctions, so they are attached to two strings, [[5](#page-9-6),[13](#page-9-11)]. We will see below that the charges of the physical monopoles confined in the hybrid vacuum differ from the charge of the probe monopoles.

In particular, according to this definition, the charge of the string with the fluxes (5.4) (5.4) (5.4) is

$$
\vec{n}_{S_m} = \left(0, \frac{3}{7}; 0, \frac{3}{7}; 0, \frac{3}{7\sqrt{3}}\right).
$$
 (5.6)

Since this string is associated with the quark winding, it is magnetic.

Now, let us consider the electric string existing due to the winding of the monopole M_{23} . In the vacuum at hand, we have

$$
q^{11} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\xi_1}{2}}, \qquad M_{23} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\xi_2}{2}} e^{i\alpha} \tag{5.7}
$$

at $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$
-\frac{1}{2}A_i^{3D} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}A_i^{8D} \sim \partial_i \alpha, \qquad \frac{1}{2}A_i^{3D} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}A_i^{8D} \sim 0.
$$
\n(5.8)

The solution to these equations is

$$
A_i^{3D} \sim -\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \alpha, \qquad A_i^{8D} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \partial_i \alpha. \tag{5.9}
$$

The gauge potential A_i^D is not excited. This gives the charge of the S_e string,

$$
\vec{n}_{S_e} = \left(0, 0; \frac{1}{4}, 0; -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, 0\right).
$$
 (5.10)

Since this string is associated with the monopole winding, it is electric.

It is instructive to check that all quarks and elementary monopoles are either screened or confined in the hybrid vacuum under consideration. Clearly, the quarks q^{1A} and monopoles M_{23} are screened. Let us analyze other quarks q^{2A} , q^{3A} as well as monopoles M_{12} , M_{13} . The SU(3) projections of the charges of these states are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-6-2) Note that these states are heavy and are not included in the low-energy theory.

Start with the quark q^{2A} . It should be confined by the electric sting S_e . It is not difficult to verify this. Indeed, the charge of this quark can be represented as

$$
\vec{n}_{q^{2A}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0; -\frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, 0\right) = -\vec{n}_{S_e} + \frac{1}{7}\vec{n}_{q^{11}} + \frac{9}{7}\vec{n}_{\text{unbr}}^e,
$$
\n(5.11)

where

 \mathbf{r}

$$
\tilde{i}^e_{\text{unbr}} = \left(\frac{1}{3}, 0; -\frac{1}{4}, 0; -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}, 0\right) \tag{5.12}
$$

FIG. 3. Projection of charges of different quark and monopole states to the SU(3) subalgebra of U(3). Charges of condensed states are shown by solid arrows, while charges of confined states are shown by dashed arrows.

is the source for the electric $U(1)$ ^{unbr} gauge field [\(4.7\)](#page-5-4). This U(1) is unbroken.

We see that the q^{2A} quark is confined. Part of its electric flux is confined by the electric string (5.10) (5.10) (5.10) . Another part is screened by the $q¹¹$ condensate. What is left is precisely the flux of the unbroken gauge field $U(1)^{\text{unbr}}$.

Of course, any three-dimensional vector of the quark q^{2A} charges can always be written as a linear combination of three orthogonal vectors. What is nontrivial in Eq. [\(5.11\)](#page-6-4), however, is the coefficient in front of the string charge: it should be an integer to ensure confinement.

As a result of confinement and screening, stringy mesons made of quarks and antiquarks q^{2A} connected by strings S_e are formed; see Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) The string endpoints emit electric fluxes of the unbroken $U(1)$ ^{unbr}. This makes this meson a dipolelike configuration, cf. Ref. [[2\]](#page-9-1). All other color fluxes are either confined or screened inside the meson.

Analogously, we can convince ourselves that the quark q^{3A} is confined, too. To check this we represent the charge of this quark as

$$
\vec{n}_{q^{3A}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0; 0, 0; -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0\right) = \vec{n}_{S_e} + \frac{1}{7}\vec{n}_{q^{11}} + \frac{9}{7}\vec{n}_{\text{unbr}}^e.
$$
\n(5.13)

Thus, the q^{3A} quark is obviously confined by the electric string S_e . The unconfined part of its flux is screened by the q^{11} condensate, while the remainder coincides with the flux of unbroken $U(1)$ ^{unbr}.

Now, we will pass to confinement of the monopoles. Decomposing

$$
\vec{n}_{M_{12}} = (0, 0; 0, 1; 0, 0) = \vec{n}_{S_m} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{n}_{M_{23}} - \frac{9}{7} \vec{n}_{\text{unbr}}^m, \quad (5.14)
$$

we see that the part of the monopole M_{12} flux is confined by the magnetic string S_m [see Eq. [\(5.6\)](#page-6-5)], while the second term is screened by the M_{23} condensate. The remainder of the flux is proportional to

$$
\vec{n}^m_{\text{unbr}} = \left(0, \frac{1}{3}; 0, -\frac{1}{4}; 0, -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}\right), \tag{5.15}
$$

which is the source for the unbroken magnetic gauge field $U(1)$ ^{unbr}.

FIG. 4. Stringy mesons made of quarks and monopoles.

As a result, a meson formed by the magnetic string S_m with the M_{12} monopole, and its antimonopole attached to the endpoints appears in the physical spectrum. This meson is a dipolelike configuration emitting magnetic fluxes of the unbroken gauge field $U(1)^{\text{unbr}}$; see Fig. [4.](#page-7-0)

For the M_{13} monopole, we have

$$
\vec{n}_{M_{13}} = \left(0, 0; 0, \frac{1}{2}; 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) = \vec{n}_{S_m} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{n}_{M_{23}} - \frac{9}{7}\vec{n}_{\text{unbr}}^m. \tag{5.16}
$$

This monopole is apparently confined by the same S_m magnetic string.

Note that in the simple case at hand $(r = 1)$, we have a single condensed quark and a single condensed monopole $(N - r - 1 = 1)$. Therefore, other (confined) quarks and monopoles play a role of the endpoints of electric and magnetic strings, respectively. In the case of generic r , with r condensed quarks, we have r elementary magnetic non-Abelian strings. Hence, the confined elementary monopoles of the $SU(r)$ subgroup become junctions of two ''neighboring'' strings [\[2,](#page-9-1)[5](#page-9-6)]. Similarly, for a generic value of $(N - r - 1)$ (i.e., $N - r - 1$ condensed monopoles), we have $(N - r - 1)$ Abelian electric strings; thus, certain confined quarks become junctions of two different elementary electric strings [\[18\]](#page-9-16).

B. *r* Duality in $\mathcal{N} = 2$

In Sec. VC we will briefly analyze various phases attainable in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD in the limit of small quark masses. It is instructive to discuss now the transition to this limit.

From Sec. [II C](#page-2-7) we know that the low-energy theory in the r vacuum with $r > N_f/2$ is at weak coupling because the quark masses are large, and hence $\sqrt{\xi} \gg \Lambda$. However, if we reduce the quark masses making the parameters ξ small, the quark sector runs to strong coupling, and the theory undergoes a crossover transition.

At small values of ξ , low-energy physics can be described by a dual weakly coupled infrared-free r-dual theory $[2]$ $[2]$. The gauge group of the r-dual theory is

$$
U(\nu) \times U(1)^{N-\nu}, \qquad \nu = \begin{cases} r, & r \leq \frac{N_f}{2} \\ N_f - r, & r > \frac{N_f}{2} \end{cases} (5.17)
$$

The light matter sector of the r-dual theory is represented by N_f flavors of non-Abelian quarklike dyons charged with respect to the gauge group $SU(\nu)$ [as well as a combination of Abelian factors in Eq. [\(5.17](#page-7-1))] plus $(r - \nu)$ singlet quarks and $(N - r - 1)$ monopoles charged with respect to different Abelian factors in Eq. [\(5.17\)](#page-7-1). The color charges of the non-Abelian quarklike dyons are identical to those of quarks.⁹ However, they belong to a different representation

⁹Because of monodromies, the quarks (preserving their weightlike electric charges) pick up certain rootlike magnetic charges at strong coupling.

of the global color-flavor locked group. VEVs of both non-Abelian quarklike dyons and quark singlets are still given by Eq. [\(1.1](#page-0-0)) with $P = 1, ..., r$ [[2](#page-9-1)].

Upon condensation of the quarklike dyons in the $U(\nu)$ sector of the *r*-dual theory, non-Abelian strings are formed. These strings still confine monopoles, rather than quarks $[2,13]$ $[2,13]$. Thus, r duality is not electromagnetic.

At strong coupling where the dual description is applicable, the quarks and gauge bosons of the original theory from the $U(\nu)$ sector are in the instead-of-confinement phase. Namely, the Higgs-screened quarks and gauge bosons decay into monopole-antimonopole pairs on the curves of marginal stability [[13](#page-9-11),[29](#page-10-2)]. The (anti)monopoles pair is confined. In other words, the original quarks and gauge bosons evolve at small ξ into monopoleantimonopole stringy mesons (presumably forming the Regge trajectories).

Note that the presence of the SU(ν) × U(1)^{N_f- ν} gauge groups at the roots of the Higgs branches in massless $(\xi = 0)$ $\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{SU}(N)$ SQCD was first recognized long ago in Ref. [[11](#page-9-9)]; see also Ref. [\[12\]](#page-9-10).

C. Phases of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD at small masses

In this section we summarize for completeness the phases of μ -deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QCD with small quark masses (and small μ). First, we will discuss the small-r vacua, namely, $r < N_f/2$.

As we reduce the quark masses, the quantum numbers of the light states change due to monodromies [\[1](#page-9-0)[,23](#page-9-21)[,30\]](#page-10-3). In particular, the quarks pick up rootlike color-magnetic charges in addition to their weightlike color-electric charges. Still (in the $r < N_f/2$ vacua), there is no crossover; the low-energy theory remains the same: infraredfree $U(r) \times U(1)^{N-r}$ gauge theory with N_f quarks (or, more exactly, what becomes of quarks) and $N - r - 1$ singlet monopoles [\[31\]](#page-10-4). It is at weak coupling, provided the parameters ξ_P are small enough.

The quarks from the $U(r)$ sector and monopoles form the orthogonal $U(1)^{N-r}$ still develop VEVs determined by Eq. (1.1) (1.1) . The physics of screening and confinement also remains intact at small m_A . Say, if a given monopole state [charged with respect to the Cartan generators of $SU(r)$] is confined by the quark condensation at large masses, this confinement property does not change when we follow this given state to the small mass domain, although the quark color charges change $[31]$. If quarks are screened in the r vacuum at large masses, they (or what becomes of quarks) are still screened in the same vacuum in the limit of small masses. Monodromies are nothing other than the relabeling of states; they do not change physics.

In the r vacua with $r > N_f/2$, the physics is quite differ-ent; see Refs. [[2](#page-9-1),[13](#page-9-11)] and Sec. [II C](#page-2-7) above. With decreasing ξ the theory undergoes a crossover transition. At small ξ the physics can be described by the weakly coupled infraredfree r-dual theory with the gauge group $U(\nu) \times U(1)^{N-\nu}$

and $\nu = N_f - r$. The quarks from $U(\nu)$ sector are in the instead-of-confinement phase: the Higgs-screened quarks decay into the monopole-antimonopole pairs confined by the non-Abelian strings. The singlet quarks from the $U(1)^{r-\nu}$ sector and the monopoles from the $U(1)^{N-r}$ sector are Higgs-screened. Other monopoles charged with respect to Cartan generators of $SU(r)$ and heavy quarks charged with respect to the orthogonal $U(1)^{N-r}$ are confined.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Our main result is the demonstration of the fact that VEVs of all condensates—quark and monopole—in the hybrid r vacua of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCD are given by the unified exact formula (1.1) (1.1) . In the limit of infinitely heavy quarks, when the theory under consideration becomes pure glue, this formula implies the well-known sine formula for the string tensions. [The P strings appearing in Eq. (3.16) (3.16) are usually referred to as k strings.

In Sec. [V](#page-5-1) we briefly discuss dynamical regimes and dualities in the hybrid r vacua. Due to the condensation of r quarks and $(N - r - 1)$ monopoles, we have r non-Abelian magnetic and $(N - r - 1)$ Abelian Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen electric strings in such vacua. Magnetic strings confine monopoles, while electric strings confine quarks. We calculate the fluxes of the confining strings. A similar discussion in the $SU(N)$ theory was presented in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-10-1).

Dynamical regimes and their change crucially depend on the value of r. In the $r \leq N_f/2$ vacua, the small quark mass domain does not qualitatively differ from the large quark mass domain; confinement and screening are essentially the same. In $r > N_f/2$ vacua the physics is rather different. With decreasing m_A (and hence decreasing ξ), the theory undergoes a crossover transition and can be described at small ξ using r duality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported in part by DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-94ER40823. The work of A. Y. was supported by FTPI, University of Minnesota, by RFBR Grant No. 13-02- 00042a and by Russian State Grant for Scientific Schools, Grant No. RSGSS-657512010.2.

APPENDIX: THE $r = 1$ VACUUM IN U(3)

In this appendix we calculate the derivatives $\partial u_2/\partial a_q$ and $\partial u_2/\partial a_D$ that appear in the right-hand sides of Eqs. [\(4.9\)](#page-5-6) for the quark and monopole condensates in the $r = 1$ vacuum of the U(3) theory. This calculation is quite similar to the calculation in the $r = 0$ vacuum in the U(2) theory in Sec. [III](#page-2-0) and in the $r = 3$ vacuum in the U(3) theory in Ref. [\[8](#page-9-5)]. Therefore, we will be brief.

Explicit expressions from Refs. [[24](#page-9-22)–[27](#page-10-0)] generalized to the $U(N)$ case [[8](#page-9-5)] imply

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial u_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\alpha_1} \frac{dx}{y} P_k(x) + \delta_{k1},
$$

$$
\frac{\partial a^D}{\partial u_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta_2} \frac{dx}{y} P_k(x) + \delta_{k1},
$$
(A1)
$$
\frac{\partial (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3)}{\partial u_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{dx}{y} P_k(x) + 3\delta_{k1},
$$

where Φ_1 , Φ_2 , and Φ_3 are diagonal elements of the matrix Φ , see Eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-1-4), while the polynomials $P_k(x)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ are given by

$$
P_3(x) = \frac{1}{3}, \qquad P_2(x) = \frac{1}{2} \bigg[x - \frac{1}{3} (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \bigg],
$$

\n
$$
P_1(x) = -2 \bigg[x^2 - \frac{1}{2} x (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) + \frac{1}{9} (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)^2 \bigg],
$$

\n(A2)

and $e_3 = (e_3^+ + e_3^-)/2$. Here the contours α_1 and β_2 encircle the double roots e_1 and e_2 of the Seiberg-Witten curve ([4.10\)](#page-5-9) associated with the light quark q^{11} and the light monopole M_{23} , respectively, while C is the contour at infinity; see Fig. [5.](#page-9-23)

The contour integrals in Eq. $(A1)$ $(A1)$ $(A1)$ can be readily calculated; in particular, the integrals along the contours α_1 and β_2 are given by their pole contributions. These integrals determine the derivatives of a_q and a_{unbr} with respect to u_k since $\Phi_1 = n_q a_q$, while a_{unbr} is a linear combination of a_q and $(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3) = 3a/2$; see Eq. [\(4.7\)](#page-5-4). Inverting the

FIG. 5. α_1 , β_2 , and C contours in the x plane for the U(3) theory. Solid straight lines denote cuts.

matrix $\partial(a_q, a^D, a_{\text{unbr}})/\partial u_k$, we get the desired expressions for $\partial u_2/\partial a_q$, $\partial u_2/\partial a^D$, and $\partial u_2/\partial a_{\text{unbr}}$ in terms of the roots of the Seiberg-Witten curve.

Ommiting details presented in Sec. [III](#page-2-0) and Ref. [[8](#page-9-5)] for similar cases, we arrive at the results for the quark and monopole VEVs quoted in Eq. ([4.11\)](#page-5-7). Also, the last equation in Eq. ([4.9](#page-5-6)) gives $e_3 = 0$, in accordance with Eq. (2.15) (2.15) .

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90124-4) **B426**, 19 (1994); B430[, 485\(E\) \(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)00449-8).
- [2] M. Shifman and A. Yung, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.025001) 86, 025001 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.025001).
- [3] A. Hanany and D. Tong, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/07/037) [037.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/07/037)
- [4] R. Auzzi, S. Bolognesi, J. Evslin, K. Konishi, and A. Yung, Nucl. Phys. **B673**[, 187 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.09.029).
- [5] M. Shifman and A. Yung, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.045004) 70, 045004 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.045004).
- [6] A. Hanany and D. Tong, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/04/066) [066.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/04/066)
- [7] M. Shifman and A. Yung, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1139) 79, 1139 [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1139); Supersymmetric Solitons (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2009).
- [8] M. Shifman and A. Yung, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.066006) 82, 066006 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.066006).
- [9] D. Tong, [arXiv:math/0509216.](http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0509216)
- [10] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, and N. Sakai, J. Phys. A 39[, R315 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/26/R01).
- [11] P. Argyres, M. Plesser, and N. Seiberg, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00210-6) **B471**, [159 \(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00210-6).
- [12] G. Carlino, K. Konishi, and H. Murayama, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00482-X) B590[, 37 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00482-X)
- [13] M. Shifman and A. Yung, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.125012) 79, 125012 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.125012).
- [14] M. Shifman and A. Yung, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.105021) 83*, 105021 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.105021).
- [15] M. R. Douglas and S. H. Shenker, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00258-T) **B447**, 271 [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00258-T).
- [16] A. Armoni and M. Shifman, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00409-7) **B664**, 233 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00409-7); B671[, 67 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.08.021).
- [17] P. Fayet and J. Iliopoulos, *Phys. Lett.* **51B**[, 461 \(1974\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(74)90310-4).
- [18] A. Hanany, M.J. Strassler, and A. Zaffaroni, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00651-2) B513[, 87 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00651-2)
- [19] A. I. Vainshtein and A. Yung, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00394-7) **B614**, 3 (2001).
- [20] M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**[, 065003 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.065003)
- [21] F. Cachazo, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/04/018) [Phys. 04 \(2003\) 018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/04/018)
- [22] F. Cachazo, K.A. Intriligator, and C. Vafa, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00228-0) B603[, 3 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00228-0)
- [23] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B431**[, 484 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90214-3)
- [24] P.C. Argyres and A.E. Faraggi, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3931)* **74**, 3931 [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3931).

HYBRID r-VACUA IN $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUPERSYMMETRIC ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 87, 085044 (2013)

- [25] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Yankielowicz, and S. Theisen, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)01516-F) 344, 169 (1995).
- [26] P.C. Argyres, M.R. Plesser, and A. Shapere, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1699) Lett. 75[, 1699 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1699)
- [27] A. Hanany and Y. Oz, Nucl. Phys. **B452**[, 283 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00376-4)
- [28] A. Marshakov and A. Yung, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00893-3) **B647**, 3 (2002).
- [29] M. Shifman and A. Yung, Phys. Rev. D 81[, 085009 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.085009)
- [30] A. Bilal and F. Ferrari, Nucl. Phys. **B516**[, 175 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00052-2).
- [31] A. Marshakov and A. Yung, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.12.037) **B831**, 72 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.12.037).