Jets plus same-sign dileptons signatures from fermionic leptoquarks at the LHC

Alexandre Alves, 1 E. Ramirez Barreto,² and A. G. Dias²

¹Universidade Federal de São Paulo, UNIFESP, Dep. de Ciências Exatas e da Terra, Diadema-SP 09972-270, Brazil

²Universidade Federal do ABC, UFABC, Centro de Ciências Naturais e Humanas, Santo André-SP, 09210-170, Brazil

(Received 16 March 2012; published 24 September 2012)

Within the 3-3-1 model framework, we consider the production and decay of fermionic leptoquarks into a striking experimental signature at the LHC: a narrow resonance at the b jet plus same-sign dileptons channel. The data already accumulated by the LHC collaborations may hide a large number of events associated to the production and decay of such exotic quarks, allowing one to investigate large portions of the parameters space of the model. The observation or not of such events would shed light on the construction of models presenting heavy exotic quarks.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.86.055025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.055025) PACS numbers: 14.80.Sv, 12.60.Cn, 13.85.Rm, 14.65.Jk

I. INTRODUCTION

The hypothesis of the existence of new particles carrying two units of lepton number allows us to consider new physical phenomena which can be quite notable, if their masses are within the actual testable TeV energy scale. The decay of such exotic particles would lead to signatures that can not arise from any novel heavy states whose quantum numbers are the same as the ones in the standard model (SM). As a result, the signals would be almost free from SM backgrounds.

Let us consider the pair production process of fermionic leptoquarks, J, that carry both lepton and baryon number $(L, B) = (2, 1/3)$. If all interactions preserve these quantum numbers, the new quark may decay into a vector (or scalar) $(L, B) = (2, 0)$ bilepton plus a fermionic $(L, B) =$ $(0, 1/3)$ particle. For a SM quark in the final state, the vector (or scalar) bilepton is constrained to decay into: (1) a pair of same-sign charged leptons, or (2) a charged lepton and a neutrino through a fermion-flow-violating interaction. General information on scalar and vector bileptons/leptoquarks can be found in Refs. [[1](#page-5-0)[,2\]](#page-5-1).

Under the above assumptions, a new colored heavy state could be realized either with a electric charge $-4/3$ or $5/3$ (in units of the electron charge, e). The corresponding bileptons would have electric charge 1 and 2. We call such bileptons of V and U , respectively. Now, if we discard model-dependent Yukawa interactions and allow just gauge interactions, the bileptons are spin-1 particles.

This way, the fermionic leptoquark decays by means of charged currents to a down- or up-type quark plus a U or V. Limiting the effects of the new sector to the thirdgeneration SM quarks and leptoquarks J_3 , there are four decay possibilities:

$$
J_3(+5/3) \to U^{++} + b(-1/3) \to \ell^+ \ell^+ + b, \qquad (1)
$$

$$
J_3(-4/3) \to U^{--} + t(+2/3) \to \ell^- \ell^- + t, \qquad (2)
$$

$$
J_3(+5/3) \to V^+ + t(+2/3) \to \ell^+ \bar{\nu}_\ell + t, \qquad (3)
$$

$$
J_3(-4/3) \to V^- + b(-1/3) \to \ell^- \nu_\ell + b. \tag{4}
$$

In the third and fourth channels, the experimental signatures would be similar to a heavy top or bottom quark partner decay, as proposed in new strong dynamics models or fourth-generation quark models [[3](#page-5-2)], for example. However, in such models, V is not a bilepton, so the searching for the doubly charged bileptons, from the first and second channels, would be a necessary step to decide which model would be favored by the data.

A specific theoretical realization of leptoquarks and bileptons we deal with in this work arise as a part of the particle spectrum of SM extensions based on the $SU(3)_C$ \otimes $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ gauge group, the 3-3-1 models. Within this class of models, the minimal 3-3-1 model [\[4](#page-5-3)] is a natural basis for constructions presenting a leptoquark J_3 and bileptons U and V. These models are also motivated by offering an explanation for the families number problem, and for constraining the electroweak mixing angle such that $\sin \theta_W$ < 0.25. The phenomenology of the 3-3-1 models aiming the colliders has been developed through the years [[5\]](#page-5-4). Another interesting feature of the model is an enhanced Higgs boson decay into photons that could explain the recent results of the experiments at the LHC concerning a Higgs boson with mass around \sim 125 GeV, as shown in Refs. [\[6](#page-5-5)[,7](#page-5-6)].

We show in this letter that within the minimal 3-3-1 model framework, a large number of events associated to the production and decay of fermionic leptoquarks might have been produced at the 7 TeV LHC with an almost negligible level of background noise. We also investigate the potential of the 14 TeV LHC to extend the discovery reach for fermionic leptoquarks of the 3-3-1 model. The current amount of collected data would render the discovery of fermionic leptoquarks and doubly charged bileptons an easy task already, even for masses of order of TeV. If no events can be found, a prediction consistent with the SM expectation, large portions of the parameters space of the proposed 3-3-1 model can be ruled out at a high confidence level.

Moreover, ruling out the 3-3-1 model is a necessary step to discriminate between alternative exotic quark models, for example, new strong dynamics, grand unified, superstring, or fourth-generation models [\[3\]](#page-5-2). As a final remark, we point out that existing bounds on scalar and vector leptoquarks [\[2\]](#page-5-1) do not apply to a fermionic leptoquark.

It has to be said that there are few other models containing fermionic leptoquarks [\[8](#page-5-7)]. But none of these models the leptoquarks are coupled to doubly charged vector bosons. In fact, such coupling is what leads to the distinct process we are proposing here.

In what follows, we present briefly the essential aspects of the 3-3-1 models. Then, we analyze the pair production and decay signal of leptoquarks, and finish with a discussion of our results.

II. BRIEF REVIEW OF THE MODEL

The matter content of 3-3-1 models is defined in such a way that gauge anomalies' cancellation of the group $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ involves all three fermionic families. Different versions of the model can be constructed with this restriction. The matter content of the minimal 3-3-1 model has three lepton triplets, each one formed by the left-handed field of a neutrino, a charged lepton, and its antiparticle: $\Psi_a \equiv (\nu'_a l'_a l'^c_b)_{L} \sim (3 - 1/3)$, where $a = 1, 2, 3$ is the family index; and the numbers in parentheses refer to the transformation character under $SU(3)_L$ and $U(1)_X$, respectively (we will omit the color group $SU(3)_C$ transformation character of the multiplets). The primes means the fields are not mass eigenstates. For the quarks, they form two antitriplets $Q_n \equiv$ $(d'_n, -u'_n, j'_n)_L \sim (3^*, -\frac{1}{3})$, and a triplet $Q_3 \equiv (u'_3, d'_3, J_3)_L \sim$ $(3, \frac{2}{3})$ of left-handed fields; here, the indices $n = 1, 2$ and 3 refer to the usual quark families, with the right-handed quarks fields forming the singlets $u'_{i_R} \sim (1, \frac{2}{3})$, $d'_{i_R} \sim$ $(1, -\frac{1}{3}), j'_{n_R} \sim (1, -\frac{4}{3}), J_{3R} \sim (1, \frac{5}{3}),$ where $i = 1, 2, 3, j'_{n_R},$ and J_3 are new quarks with electric charge $-4/3$ and $5/3$, respectively. J_3 is the fermionic leptoquark we focus on this work.

Spontaneous symmetry breaking and mass generation can be implemented with three scalar triplets plus a sextet [\[4\]](#page-5-3), or with just two scalar triplets [\[9](#page-5-8)]. We refer the readers to those references for further details concerning this point.

Besides the SM gauge bosons, the model has five additional massive states: a neutral Z' , and the electrically charged bileptons, V and U.

For our purposes the relevant new charged current interactions for the mass eigenstates are the following: one which involves the leptoquark J_3 , the bottom quark b, and the bilepton $U^{\pm \pm}$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{bJU} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{b} \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma^5) J_3 U_{\mu}^{-} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (5)
$$

where g is the $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling constant; and another one which involves the same bileptons and charged lepton fields in a purely pseudovectorial fermion-flowviolating interaction

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell U} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{\ell}_a^c \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \ell_a U_\mu^{++} + \text{H.c.}
$$
 (6)

Equations (5) and (6) (6) are the interactions leading to the general decay in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-2).

An explanation is due now. The weak eigenstates b' , s' , d' are linear combinations of the mass eigenstates b, s, d, so the branching ratio of the decay $J_3 \rightarrow bU$ is, in fact, proportional to $|V'_{bb}|^2$, the square of an element of the rotation matrix of the down sector including the new quark. However, we are not demanding the flavor of jets to be identified. Our partonic simulation is not affected if we either fix $V_{bb}' = 1$ and set the other elements to zero, or assume nonzero values for the other V' elements, as long as we do not require any jet tagging.

In the leptoquarks production processes, besides the QCD, electromagnetic, and the neutral current interaction involving the equivalent of the SM Z boson, there are additional contributions coming from new neutral current interactions due to the Z' . In order to simplify our analysis, we assume that the vacuum expectation values of the scalar fields, taken to break the symmetries, obey a certain relation such that all neutral current couplings of known particles are identical to the SM as presented in Ref. [[10\]](#page-5-9). Thus, the neutral currents involving Z and Z' have the form

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W} \bar{q} \gamma^\mu \left[(g_V^q + g_A^q \gamma_5) Z_\mu + (f_V^q + f_A^q \gamma_5) Z_\mu' \right] q. \tag{7}
$$

FIG. 1. The Feynman diagrams for (a) the exotic quark pair production from Drell-Yan processes and QCD interactions, and (b) its decays involving the final particles.

The respective vector, g_V^q , f_V^q , and axial, g_A^q , f_A^q , couplings are shown in Appendix [A.](#page-5-10)

III. PRODUCTION OF THE *J***₃ LEPTOQUARK** AND DISCOVERY ANALYSIS

We now consider the leptoquark pair production, $J_3\bar{J}_3$, at the 7 and 14 TeV LHC. The $J_3\bar{J}_3$ production occurs through two main processes via gauge interactions: (1) the Drell-Yan process, involving the intermediation of the photon, and the neutral gauge bosons Z, Z' , and (2) the QCD interactions, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-2). In Fig. [2](#page-2-0) we show the total $J_3\bar{J}_3$ production cross section, σ_{total} , as a sum of Drell-Yan via Z' , $\sigma_{Z'}$, and QCD contributions σ_{QCD} , for a fixed Z' mass of 1.1 TeV. Cross sections of order of picobarns are typical for J_3 masses below ~500 GeV. Even for TeV masses, cross sections in the femtobarn range are expected at the 7 and 14 TeV LHC.

For a light J_3 the major contribution comes from QCD interactions, due the large initial state gluon luminosity. However, as the J_3 mass increases, the σ_{Z} contribution turns out to be dominant, as the gluon luminosity decreases steeply. This is also the outcome of a very peculiar feature of the model—the J_3 coupling to the Z' is large due a factor $1/\sqrt{1-4sw^2}$, as shown in the preceding section. Contributions from photons and Z bosons are very small compared to the Z' contribution.

Besides the decay involving the double charged bilepton plus bottom quark, J_3 has another possible decay channel: the singly charged bilepton V plus a top quark. We show in Fig. [3](#page-2-1) the branching ratios of $J_3 \to Vt$ and $J_3 \to Ub$ decays as a function of Z' mass, fixing the J_3 mass. The channel $J_3 \rightarrow Ub$ dominates from small to large leptoquark masses. The Ub channel leads to a fully reconstructable final state presenting sharp resonances in the same-sign dileptons channel, associated to the U decay, and in the same-sign dilepton plus a bottom jet, from the J_3 decay.

FIG. 2. Total cross section and the partial contributions from the Z' and QCD for the J_3 pair production at the 7 TeV LHC.

FIG. 3. The branching ratios for the exotic quark J_3 decay into Vt and Ub channels as function of the Z^t mass. In the upper (lower) panel we show the branching ratios for a fixed M_{J3} of 600(1200) GeV.

Detecting these events is decisive to discriminate between competing models presenting exotic quarks.

Finally, we call the attention to the fact that the leptoquark J_3 can couple also to single and doubly charged scalars allowing additional decay channels. However, these new branching ratios are very tiny (around 1%) because the couplings between J_3 and the scalars are proportional to the ratio of the quark bottom mass and a combination of the vacuum expectation values of order 1 TeV. Thus, the new channels involving scalars do not contribute to our analysis for the fermionic leptoquarks. The decay to Vt would give rise to at least two hard leptons, jets and missing energy after the top quark decays. Despite its very distinctive signature, it is not possible to reconstruct the V four momenta due the escaping neutrinos.

The leptoquark signature that emerges from the J_3 pair production and decay into a $U^{\pm \pm} b(\bar{b})$ channel is

$$
pp \to J_3 \bar{J}_3 \to b \bar{b} \ell^+ \ell^+ \ell'^- \ell'^-.
$$
 (8)

We do not demand the tagging of the bottom quark jet, so we propose the search for the inclusive $\ell^+ \ell^+ \ell'^- \ell'^-$

 $(\ell, \ell' = e, \mu)$ plus two hard jets events. We use the COMPHEP package [\[11](#page-5-11)], adopting the CTEQ6L parton distribution functions [[12](#page-5-12)], with renormalization/factorization scales fixed at the exotic quark mass, to compute the signal and 3-3-1 backgrounds cross sections. The irreducible SM background, $\ell^+ \ell^+ \ell'^- \ell'^- j j$ (ℓ , $\ell' = e$, μ), was computed with the MADGRAPH/MADEVENT package [\[13\]](#page-5-13) also adopting the CTEQ6L parton distribution functions and fixing the renormalization/factorization scale at the partonic center-of-mass energy, $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$.

For trigger and identification purposes we adopted the following cuts on the final state particles

$$
p_T(\ell) \ge 20 \text{ GeV}, \qquad p_T(j) \ge 30 \text{ GeV}, \qquad |\eta_{\ell,j}| \le 2.5,
$$

$$
\Delta R_{\ell\ell} \ge 0.2, \qquad \Delta R_{jj} \ge 0.4, \qquad \Delta R_{j\ell} \ge 0.4. \tag{9}
$$

The SM backgrounds are quite small after imposing this set of acceptance cuts at both the 7 and the 14 TeV LHC. The main 3-3-1 backgrounds come from the production of a Z' decaying to $U^{++}U^{--}$ plus a pair of jets from QCD radiation or a neutral gauge boson decay. To further suppress these and the SM backgrounds we impose the following cut on the invariant mass of the hardest jets pair:

$$
M_{jj} \ge 120 \text{ GeV.} \tag{10}
$$

After applying this cut, the SM backgrounds are negligible while the signal to 3-3-1 background ratio is huge. We performed all analysis at the partonic level and do not include any detector effects. No K factors for QCD corrections were included, either. This approach is reliable in this case once the signal identification poses no problems, due the almost complete lack of background events. Notwithstanding, a complete study including hadronization and detector effects is desirable to evaluate the impact of the extra QCD radiation in the identification of the resonant structure arising from the leptoquark decay.

In the Fig. [4,](#page-3-0) we show the expected number of events for the signal in the $m_{Z'}$ versus m_{J_3} plane for a fixed $\mathcal{L} =$ 5 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity at the 7 TeV LHC (upper panel) and $\mathcal{L} = 20$ fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity at the 14 TeV LHC (lower panel).

To understand the shape of the contour lines for the 7 TeV LHC, note that an increase in the number of events occurs along the direction of the slope $m_{Z'} = 2m_{J_3}$, where the leptoquarks are produced on their mass shell. On the other hand, as the cross section decreases very steeply with the J_3 mass for a fixed Z' mass, as we can see in Fig. [2](#page-2-0), the number of events drops quickly as the Z' becomes heavier. The left border of the plot can be understood, in its turn, as a consequence of the cut imposed on M_{jj} , which is bounded by $M_{jj} \le \sqrt{m_{Z'}^2 - 2m_U^2} \approx 0.9 m_{Z'}$. Because a candidate event must have $M_{ij} > 120$ GeV, the lighter the new neutral bosons are, the smaller is the phase space left for hard jets production, which leads to a suppression in the number of events in this regime.

FIG. 4 (color online). Upper panel: the contour lines represent the number of events expected for a fixed 5 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity at the 7 TeV LHC in the $m_{Z'}$ versus m_{J_3} plane. Lower panel: the same, this time for 20 fb⁻¹ and at the 14 TeV LHC.

The lower panel shows the left border for the 14 TeV LHC actually. We see that as $m_{Z'}$ increases, for a fixed J_3 mass, the number of events gets larger and will find a maximum for on shell production of J_3 pairs, the same behavior observed in the upper panel.

More interestingly, Fig. [4](#page-3-0) shows that TeV masses are easily accessible at the LHC. The discovery of fermionic leptoquarks can be achieved up to \sim 1.2 TeV for a very broad mass range for $Z[']$ bosons. In the absence of events, 1.3–1.4 TeV leptoquarks can be ruled out almost disregarded the $Z¹$ masses. Note that there would not be any other parameter dependence for the exclusion region. Placing limits in the m_{Z} versus m_{J_2} plane would constrain the model in a straightforward manner.

At the 14 TeV LHC, the discovery region extends considerably, as can be seen at the lower panel of Fig. [4.](#page-3-0) With only 20 fb⁻¹, leptoquark masses up to \sim 2 TeV can be probed even for very heavy Z' bosons. Again, if no $b\bar{b}\ell^+\ell^+\ell'^-\ell'^-$ events are observed, multi-TeV limits can be imposed on the fermionic leptoquarks considered here.

It is shown in Ref. [[7\]](#page-5-6) that the 3-3-1 models can naturally explain the observed enhancement to diphoton decays of the recently discovered boson at the 8 TeV LHC. In order to match the observed signal strength of diphoton signals within the 1σ error band, the new charged gauge bosons must have masses in the \sim 200–800 GeV range. In terms of the Z' masses, this corresponds to \sim 715–2900 GeV from Eq. $(B1)$ $(B1)$ in Appendix [B](#page-5-15). As at the 8 TeV LHC, we should expect a reach somewhat larger than that depicted in Fig. [4](#page-3-0), we conclude that the doubly charged gauge boson, which would be also responsible for the diphoton enhancement, could be easily discovered for leptoquark masses up to \sim 1.2 TeV at the 8 TeV LHC.

We should point out that the Tevatron and LHC limits on $Z' \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ [\[14\]](#page-5-16) do not apply to our case, once the assumption of a narrow width does not hold. For example, for a 1100 GeV Z', the total width is $\Gamma_{Z'} = 340 \text{ GeV}$.

In Fig. [5](#page-4-0) we show the invariant mass distributions of a pair of same-sign dileptons, associated to the U bilepton decay, and the jet plus same-sign dileptons, associated to the

FIG. 5 (color online). Upper panel: same-sign dilepton pair invariant mass distribution for three representative $Z[']$ masses at the 7 TeV LHC. Lower panel: invariant mass of a jet plus samesign dileptons corresponding to a $300(500)(800)$ GeV J_3 mass.

 J_3 decay chain. The sharp resonant structures in the $M_{\ell\ell}$ and $M_{i\ell\ell}$ invariant mass distributions can be clearly identified as a signal of the production and decay of fermionic leptoquarks. Not only the exotic quarks, but also the doubly charged gauge boson shows itself in a tall sharp resonance.

Another important point to stress is the weaker model dependence of the J_3 decays compared to the models predicting scalar and vector leptoquarks. The fermionic leptoquarks from the minimal 3-3-1 model have just two possible decay channels as discussed before. One of these involves the bilepton gauge boson, which, in its turn, decays exclusively into same-sign dileptons through gauge interactions. On the other hand, usual scalar and vector leptoquarks may decay to a pair of charged leptons and neutrinos, jets, and charged and neutral leptons, and even to jet pairs, if they break baryon number conservation, as in the general *-parity-breaking supersymmetry* $[2]$ $[2]$ $[2]$ *.*

Moreover, in the case of scalar leptoquarks, a free Yukawa parameter is additionally involved in the leptoquark decay [[1\]](#page-5-0), while the couplings of general vector leptoquarks to quark-lepton pairs may not be fixed by the gauge principle [[1](#page-5-0)].

It is beyond the scope of our work to make a detailed analysis comparing the discovery prospects for scalar, vector, and fermionic leptoquarks. However, based on our results, we believe it is much easier to establish the existence or not of fermionic leptoquarks compared to the spin-0 and spin-1 cases in a search for lepton-jet resonances.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Fermionic leptoquarks and doubly charged gauge bosons are two types of exotic particles predicted in the minimal version of 3-3-1 models. The fermionic leptoquark J_3 is, in fact, an incarnation of a new heavy quark with electric charge $5/3$ carrying a nonvanishing lepton number.

The high production cross section of $J_3\bar{J}_3$ pairs, a high branching ratio of the leptoquark into same-sign dileptons plus a jet, and an almost negligible level of background events associated to the final state topology, may lead to an immediate discovery of these new particles at the 7 TeV LHC even for masses of order of TeV. At the 14 TeV LHC the picture is even brighter, and a large portion of the parameter space of the model can be probed even for very heavy new states.

Based on our results, we believe it is much easier to establish the existence or not of fermionic leptoquarks as compared to their scalar and vector realizations of same mass, recalling that the production and decay of these fermionic leptoquarks occur, exclusively, through gauge interactions.

We finish by calling attention to the large potential the LHC has to test the channel for leptoquark decay we propose here. The experimental search for the signature we study in this work is worthwhile not only for probing the minimal 3-3-1 model, but also for constraining the way

other gauge extensions of the SM for physics at the TeV scale can be constructed including or not a vector bilepton and exotic quarks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank FAPESP and CNPq for supporting this work.

APPENDIX A: APPENDIX

The couplings for the ordinary quarks and the Z are the same from the SM. On the other hand, the couplings between the new quarks and the Z have only vectorial character. Thus

$$
g_V^{j_1} = g_V^{j_2} = \frac{3}{8} \text{ sw}^2
$$
, $g_V^{J_3} = -\frac{10}{3} \text{ sw}^2$, (A1)

with $sw^2 = sin^2 \theta_W$ The vector and the axial couplings between the *u*-type quarks and the Z' are:

$$
f_V^u = f_V^c = \frac{1 - 6\sin^2\theta_W}{2\sqrt{3 - 12\text{ sw}^2}}, \qquad f_A^u = f_A^c = \frac{1 + 2\sin^2\theta_W}{2\sqrt{3 - 12\text{ sw}^2}}
$$

$$
f_V^t = -\frac{1 + 4\text{ sw}^2}{2\sqrt{3 - 12\text{ sw}^2}}, \qquad f_A^t = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{1 - 4\text{ sw}^2}, \tag{A2}
$$

and for the d-type quarks

$$
f_V^d = f_V^s = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}, \qquad f_A^d = f_A^s = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{1 - 4 \text{ sw}^2}
$$

\n
$$
f_V^b = -\frac{1 - 2 \text{ sw}^2}{2\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}, \qquad f_A^b = -\frac{1 + 2 \text{ sw}^2}{2\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}
$$

\n
$$
f_V^{j_m} = -\frac{1 - 9 \text{ sw}^2}{\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}, \qquad f_A^{j_m} = -\frac{1 - \text{ sw}^2}{\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}
$$

\n
$$
f_V^j = \frac{1 - 11 \text{ sw}^2}{\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}, \qquad f_A^j = \frac{1 - \text{ sw}^2}{\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}.
$$
 (A3)

APPENDIX B: MASSES OF THE NEW GAUGE BOSONS

For the new gauge bosons, $U^{\pm \pm}$, V^{\pm} , and Z' , the following mass relation is verified [[10](#page-5-9)]:

$$
M_V = M_U = \frac{\sqrt{3 - 12 \text{ sw}^2}}{2 \text{ cw}} M_{Z'}.
$$
 (B1)

- [1] For a detailed review on bileptons and leptoquarks, see Frank Cuypers and Sacha Davidson, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100529800705) 2, 503 [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100529800705); Miriam Leurer, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.333) 49, 333 (1994); D. E. Acosta and Susan K. Blessing, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.49.1.389) 49[, 389 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.49.1.389).
- [2] K. Nakamura et al. (Particle Data Group), [J. Phys. G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/37/7A/075021) 37, [075021 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/37/7A/075021).
- [3] R. Contino, T. Kramer, M. Son, and R. Sundrum, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/05/074) [Energy Phys. 05 \(2007\) 074](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/05/074); R. Contino and G. Servant, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2008\) 026](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/06/026); E. Halyo, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)91318-8) B 326[, 251 \(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)91318-8); B. Bajc and G. Senjanovic, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/08/014) [Energy Phys. 08 \(2007\) 014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/08/014); G. Burdman, L. da Rold, O. Éboli, and R. D. Matheus, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.075026)* 79, 075026 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.075026).
- [4] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.410)* 46, 410 (1992); P. H. Frampton, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2889) 69, 2889 (1992).
- [5] B. Dion, T. Grégoire, D. London, L. Marleau, and H. Nadeau, Phys. Rev. D 59[, 075006 \(1999\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.075006) E. M. Gregores, A. Gusso, and S. F. Novaes, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.015004) 64, [015004 \(2001\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.015004) P. H. Frampton and A. Rasin, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00510-4) 482[, 129 \(2000\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00510-4) E. R. Barreto, Y. A. Coutinho, and J. S. Borges, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.11.016) 632, 675 (2006); E. R. Barreto, Y. A. Coutinho, and J. S. Borges, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.075001) 83, 075001 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.075001); B. Meirose and A. A. Nepomuceno, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.055002) D 84[, 055002 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.055002).
- [6] A. Alves, E. R. Barreto, A. G. Dias, F. S. Queiroz, C. A. Pires, and P. S. R. da Silva, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.115004)* 84, 115004 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.115004).
- [7] A. Alves, E. R. Barreto, A. G. Dias, F. S. Queiroz, C. A. Pires, and P. S. R. da Silva, [arXiv:1207.3966.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1207.3966)
- [8] S. Khalil, Hye-Sung Lee, and E. Ma, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.041701) 79, [041701 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.041701) P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.057302) 77[, 057302 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.057302).
- [9] J. G. Ferreira, Jr, P. R. D. Pinheiro, C. A. Pires, and P. S. R. da Silva, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 095019 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.095019)
- [10] A. G. Dias, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleitez, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.04.015) 637[, 85 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.04.015) A. G. Dias, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 113004 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.113004)
- [11] E. Boos et al. (CompHEP Collaboration), [Nucl. Instrum.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2004.07.096) [Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2004.07.096) 534, 250 (2004).
- [12] J. Pumplin, A. Belyaev, J. Huston, D. Stump, and W.-K. Tung, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2006\) 032.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/02/032)
- [13] J. Alwall, P. Demin, S. de. Visscher, R. Frederix, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, T. Plehn, D. L. Rainwater, and T. Stelzer, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2007\) 028.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/028)
- [14] V.M. Abazov et al. D0 Collaboration, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.10.059) 695, [88 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.10.059); CMS collaboration, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.015) 704, 123 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.015); CMS collaboration, [J. High Energy Phys. 05](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)093) [\(2011\) 093;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)093) ATLAS collaboration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.272002) 107, [272002 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.272002)