Investigating charmonium production at the LHC with the $p\bar{p}$ final state

Sergey Barsuk, Jibo He, Emi Kou, and Benoit Viaud

Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire (UMR 8607), Université Paris-Sud XI, 91898 Orsay Cédex, France

(Received 13 February 2012; published 10 August 2012)

We propose to investigate various charmonium states using their common decay channel to $p\bar{p}$ at LHC. Having the branching ratios for charmonium decaying into the $p\bar{p}$ final state measured or calculated, we propose to measure the charmonium production rate for both hadroproduction, including softdiffraction, and inclusive production from *b*-hadron decays. We discuss the theoretical impacts in QCD of measuring different charmonium production rates and also the experimental prospects at LHCb, in particular, those for yet unmeasured η_c and h_c .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.034011

PACS numbers: 14.40.Pq, 13.25.Gv

I. INTRODUCTION

The quarkonium, the bound state of heavy quarks, has been playing an important role in understanding the nature of the strong interaction. The recent discoveries of the new type of heavy quark bound states, the so-called XYZ particles, are further enriching the quarkonium physics (see Ref. [1] for a recent review). The theoretical predictions for charmonium decay and production have been a great challenge of the quantum chromodynamics (QCD). An important progress has been made by the effective field theory approach, called the nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) [2]. The NRQCD approach allows us to systematically improve theoretical predictions by computing higher order terms in the expansion of α_s as well as of the velocity v. While substantial theoretical efforts have been made, comparison of the NRQCD predictions to the experimental data still leaves open questions (see, e.g., Refs. [1,3-5]). In this article, we propose to further investigate charmonium production as well as decay mechanisms at Large Hadron Collider at CERN through a simultaneous measurement of various charmonium states using their decays into the $p\bar{p}$ final state.

The LHC produces huge samples of primary and secondary charmonium, thanks to its extremely high luminosity as well as the large $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ production cross sections of approximately 6 mb and 0.3 mb at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ GeV energy, respectively [6]. The LHCb experiment is the main actor at heavy flavour studies at the LHC due to its precise vertex and track reconstruction, powerful particle identification, and flexible trigger [7]. Within the LHCb acceptance, roughly $10^{12}c\bar{c}$ pairs are produced, and a $10^8 J/\psi$ is reconstructed through its $\mu^+\mu^-$ decay per 1 fb⁻¹ of data.

The transverse momentum dependence of the production cross section as well as the angular distribution of the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay are known to carry important information to probe the NRQCD picture. Some discrepancies reported by the previous measurements of these observables at Tevatron [8–10] motivate a further investigation at LHC. LHC brings a new information by measuring the production at a higher energy. In particular, the LHCb unique acceptance of $1.9 < \eta < 4.9$ fully instrumented coverage gives access to the QCD studies at the forward region [6]. Furthermore, powerful particle identification of LHCb makes it possible to measure the production rates of different charmonium states.

So far, charmonium study at LHC has been limited to using the $\mu^+\mu^-$ final state, thus mainly focused on J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ or radiative transitions of $\chi_{c1,2}$ to J/ψ . However, reconstruction efficiency of the soft photon from χ_c decay leads to a dominant contribution to the systematic error of the measurement (see, e.g., Ref. [11]). The dihadron final states at the hadron machines imply a huge combinatory background. The majority of the particles produced are π and K. Thus, given charged hadron particle identification is available, this is the $p\bar{p}$ final state which gives a manageable background level. The $p\bar{p}$ final state is more convenient since most of the charmonium states can decay into it. We propose to investigate charmonium prompt production as well as inclusive charmonium yield from *b*-hadron decays using the $p\bar{p}$ final state, which may allow us to study all the charmonium states below the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, J/ψ , η_c , χ_{cJ} , h_c , $\psi(2S)$, $\eta(2S)$. We also suggest to test the potential $X(3872) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ decay. Prompt production ashadroproduction including sumes soft-diffraction, although we do not consider the latter in this article (see Ref. [12] for this subject).

While experimental measurements can provide only the information of the product of the cross section and the branching ratio, the branching ratios for the $p\bar{p}$ final state for many charmonia are rather well-measured. Using these measured values, we can extract the information of the production cross section. For h_c , the branching ratio for the $p\bar{p}$ final state is not known, and we estimate it theoretically below.

In the next section, we propose the simultaneous measurement of various charmonium states with the $p\bar{p}$ final state at LHCb. We also discuss what new information in terms of testing the NRQCD can be obtained through this study. In Sec. III, we attempt to evaluate the branching ratio of $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ process. In Sec. IV, we discuss other final states which could be used, and our conclusion is given in Sec. V.

II. INVESTIGATING VARIOUS CHARMONIUM STATES USING THE COMMON DECAY MODE INTO $p\bar{p}$

If a given charmonium state has a significant branching ratio for the $p\bar{p}$ decay channel, a simultaneous reconstruction

of this charmonium state and the well-measured J/ψ state via decay to $p\bar{p}$ is experimentally advantageous. For the topologically and kinematically similar channels, the systematic error, coming from efficiency calculations, detector description, etc., cancels in the ratio. In particular, relative prompt production and inclusive yield of charmonium state from *b*-hadron decays can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\eta_c/\chi_{cJ}/h_c}^{\text{prompt}} \equiv \frac{\sigma(\eta_c/\chi_{cJ}/h_c) \times \text{BR}((\eta_c/\chi_{cJ}/h_c) \to p\bar{p})}{\sigma(J/\psi) \times \text{BR}(J/\psi \to p\bar{p})}$$
(1)

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\eta_c/\chi_{cJ}/h_c}^{b\text{-inclusive}} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{BR}(b \to (\eta_c/\chi_{cJ}/h_c)X) \times \mathrm{BR}((\eta_c/\chi_{cJ}/h_c) \to p\bar{p})}{\mathrm{BR}(b \to J/\psi X) \times \mathrm{BR}(J/\psi \to p\bar{p})}.$$
(2)

The *b*-inclusive decay assumes decays of *B* mesons and *b*-baryons present according to their production fractions [13]. If the branching ratios $Br((\eta_c/\chi_{cJ}/h_c) \rightarrow p\bar{p})$ are known from other experiments or from theoretical computations, one can determine the production rate of different charmonium states produced promptly or from *b*-hadron decays. Indeed, such branching ratios are known except for h_c . In the next section, we estimate the missing branching ratio for $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$.

On the experimental side, selection of the prompt charmonium decaying into $p\bar{p}$ pair candidates relies on searching for the high p_T (anti)protons, good quality $p\bar{p}$ vertex, and proton particle identification, with no topologically clean handle to suppress the background. For prompt charmonium production, since the minimum bias cross section is very large, around 60 mb [14], in order to retain significant charmonium samples via $p\bar{p}$ final states, the implementation of the dedicated trigger is important. For secondary charmonium coming from *b* decays, additional efficient background suppression is achieved by requiring a significant *b*-flight distance.

Projection from J/ψ cross-section measurement via $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ [6] and the trigger efficiency estimate suggest 10³ to 10⁴ of prompt $J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ decays per 1 fb⁻¹ to be retained after trigger, reconstruction, and selection at LHCb. Assuming similar η_c production cross section and background conditions, one can expect to observe η_c both prompt and from *b* decays, while a precise determination of the production rate $\sigma(\eta_c)$ or Br($b \rightarrow \eta_c X$) would still require better information on Br($\eta_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$).

Measuring the cross section for different charmonium states has significant impacts on understanding the production mechanism of charmonium in QCD. The wellestablished effective field theory framework of NRQCD separates the short-distance part, which is set by the heavyquark mass and is calculable by perturbative QCD, from the longer-distance part which is described by the universal matrix elements. While tremendous efforts have been made to improve the theoretical predictions confronted to the experimental measurements, it seems that a full explanation of the experimental data is still lacking (the size of the color-octet contribution is discussed, e.g., in Refs. [3,4,15]). More observables, such as cross sections of different charmonium states proposed here, are certainly welcome to seek for the missing pieces of the theoretical picture. More specifically,

(i) The nonperturbative matrix elements used in NRQCD describing spin-singlet and spin-triplet states are related under heavy-quark spin symmetry. Therefore, at least at the leading order, the matrix elements for η_c and h_c are related to those for J/ψ and χ_c , respectively. The measurement of the yet unknown production for η_c and h_c ,

$$\sigma(\eta_c), \quad \sigma(h_c), \quad \operatorname{Br}(b \to X \eta_c), \quad \operatorname{Br}(b \to X h_c)$$
(3)

would provide a crucial test of NRQCD. The theoretical predictions for these production rates can be found, e.g., in Refs. [16–21].

- (ii) The theoretical predictions for charmonium production rate include only the *direct-prompt* production. On the other hand, experimentally, promptly produced charmonium states are identified as coming from the primary vertex. Thus, by definition, they also include a feed-down from higher charmonium states. For example, the experimentally measured prompt J/ψ production rate comprises also the feed-down contributions from $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi \pi$ and $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$. Therefore, a more complete information of the production rates of the whole charmonium system is necessary to yield an unambiguous theory-to-experiment comparison.
- (iii) Measuring the ratio of different charmonium production is advantageous. Experimentally, the systematic effects partially cancel in the ratio.

Theoretically, such a ratio is often easier to predict than the actual magnitude of the cross sections. Moreover, it has been pointed out [1] that in some cases, theoretical uncertainties coming, e.g., from the renormalization and the factorization scales may also cancel.

(iv) The long-distance matrix element in NRQCD is supposed to be universal for any production and decay processes. A verification of this statement using various charmonium decays and productions is essential.

III. CHARMONIUM DECAYS INTO THE $p\bar{p}$ FINAL STATE

Among a hundred possible decay channels of charmonium, the charge (C) and G-parity (G) conservations make only a few decay channels allowing a simultaneous measurement of charmonia with different quantum numbers $J^{PC} = \{1^{--}, 0^{-+}, 0^{++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++}, 1^{+-}\}$. For example, final states with two pseudoscalars, two vectors, one vector plus one pseudoscalar are not suitable for the simultaneous measurement of all charmonium states. From the experimental point of view, it is important to keep in mind requirements specific to hadron machines: avoid as much as possible final states with neutral particles π^0/γ and K^0 which have low reconstruction efficiency and/or introduce large combinatorial background. These considerations suggest the $p\bar{p}$ final state, which is a rather simple two-body process, to be ideal. In the next section, we discuss other final states potentially promising for simultaneous measurement of some charmonium states.

The branching ratios for the decays to the $p\bar{p}$ final state have been measured for many charmonia as shown in Table I [13]. In the following, we attempt to estimate the branching ratio for $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ which has not been observed yet. The h_c had been a "missing" for a long time. While by now, the e^+e^- machines such as CLEO and BESIII have accumulated a significant sample of h_c [22,23], only two decay modes have been observed, and a little information is known about this state. Thanks to the prolific $c\bar{c}$ production, LHC is delivering a huge number of the h_c , making it possible to access other h_c decays. It should also be noted that the exclusive $B \rightarrow Kh_c$ is the so-called factorization forbidden process, and an observation of this channel is also very important to have a better control of various corrections in the theoretical computations of hadronic B decays [24].

In the early 1980s, a proposal based on the perturbative QCD was made for computing the charmonium decaying into baryon and antibaryon, where the interesting power-counting rule as well as the so-called helicity-selection rule are derived [25]. This selection rule forbids such processes as $\eta_c/\chi_{c0}/h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ at the collinear and massless limit. On the other hand, the observed branching ratios for the first two decay channels indicate an importance of nonleading contributions. Various theoretical efforts have been made to include these contributions while the whole picture is not fully clarified yet. Thus, in the following, we attempt to obtain an estimate of the $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ branching ratio based on simple arguments instead of using a more elaborated QCD picture.

We write the amplitude for $h_c \rightarrow$ hadrons (hadrons to be $p\bar{p}$ here) by "factorizing" the initial and the final state as

$$\mathcal{A}(h_c \to \text{hadrons}) = \mathcal{A}(h_c \to ggg)$$
$$\times \mathcal{A}((ggg) \to \text{hadrons}). \quad (4)$$

The first part of the right-hand side can be related to the total hadronic width of h_c . It has been theoretically estimated [26,27] that the h_c decay width is shared approximately equally by the radiative $h_c \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$ decay and hadronic decays, i.e., $\Gamma(h_c \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = 530 \pm 80 \text{ KeV}$, $\Gamma(h_c \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma) = 520 \pm 90 \text{ KeV}$ [26]. Indeed, the former was recently measured as Br $(h_c \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma) = (53 \pm 7)\%$ [22,23]. In order to estimate the part $(ggg) \rightarrow$ hadrons, we utilize the well-measured J/ψ hadronic decay. The J/ψ hadronic decay within the same approximation can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}(J/\psi \to \text{hadrons}) = \mathcal{A}(J/\psi \to ggg)$$
$$\times \mathcal{A}((ggg) \to \text{hadrons}). \quad (5)$$

The left-hand side for hadrons $= p\bar{p}$ and the hadronic width can be extracted from the experimental data for J/ψ . Then, taking into account the different quantum numbers between 3 gluons in 1⁺⁻ state, and in 1⁻⁻, one can readily obtain the relation between these amplitudes, which leads to

Br
$$(h_c \to p\bar{p}) \simeq (3.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$$
. (6)

TABLE I. Measured branching ratio ($\times 10^3$) for the charmonium decaying to the hadronic final state [13], which allows simultaneous measurement of the production rate for several charmonium states.

	$p \bar{p}$	$\Lambdaar\Lambda$	$ar{\Xi}^+\Xi^-$	$\phi K^+ K^-$	$\phi\pi^+\pi^-$	$\eta_c \gamma$	$\phi \phi$
J/ψ	2.17 ± 0.07	1.61 ± 0.15	0.85 ± 0.16	1.83 ± 0.24	0.87 ± 0.08	17 ± 4	forbidden
η_c	1.3 ± 0.4	1.04 ± 0.31	unknown	2.9 ± 1.4	unknown	forbidden	2.7 ± 0.9
χ_{c0}	0.223 ± 0.013	0.33 ± 0.04	0.49 ± 0.07	0.98 ± 0.25	unknown	forbidden	0.91 ± 0.19
χ_{c1}	0.073 ± 0.004	0.118 ± 0.019	0.084 ± 0.023	0.43 ± 0.16	unknown	forbidden	unknown
χ_{c2}	0.072 ± 0.004	0.186 ± 0.027	0.155 ± 0.035	unknown	unknown	forbidden	1.48 ± 0.28
$\psi(2S)$	0.276 ± 0.012	0.28 ± 0.05	0.18 ± 0.06	0.070 ± 0.016	0.117 ± 0.029	3.4 ± 0.5	forbidden

The quoted error reflects only the uncertainty of the experimental input [13]: branching ratios for $J/\psi \rightarrow ggg$, $J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ and $h_c \rightarrow ggg$, where the last one assumes $Br(h_c \rightarrow ggg) = 1 - Br(h_c \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma)$. Error introduced by the assumption mentioned above is not taken into account. In a naive QCD estimate, one expects the ratio $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p})/Br(h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p})$ to respect the power counting law, scaling as $(M_{h_c}/M_{J/\psi})^n$. For the simple example, $\text{Br}(J/\psi \to p\bar{p})/\text{Br}(\psi(2S) \to p\bar{p}), n \simeq 8$ is obtained. On the other hand, with the helicity forbidden channel $h_c \rightarrow p \bar{p}$, various corrections have to be taken into account. The obtained value is an order of magnitude larger than an estimate from QCD [28] while it is consistent with the other estimates [29,30] (note that the decay rate given in Ref. [29] is smaller, but if the same hadronic decay width is applied, the two results become consistent).

IV. OTHER COMMON FINAL STATES FOR CHARMONIUM DECAYS

A. Other baryonic channels

Given significant branching ratios for several charmonia decaying into other baryon final states, they can also be used for measuring production rates. The following channels have been suggested:

charmonium
$$\rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow (p\pi^{-})(\bar{p}\pi^{+})$$

charmonium $\rightarrow \bar{\Xi}^{+}\Xi^{-} \rightarrow (\bar{\Lambda}\pi^{+})(\Lambda\pi^{-})$
 $\rightarrow ((\bar{p}\pi^{+})\pi^{+})((p\pi^{-})\pi^{-}).$

The measured branching ratios for charmonium $\rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ and $\bar{\Xi}^+ \Xi^-$ are similar to the ones for the $p\bar{p}$ final state (see Table I). Experimentally, reconstruction efficiency reduces because 4 (6) tracks in the final state have to be reconstructed; trigger efficiencies reduce because of lower particle momenta. On the other hand, 2 or 4 secondary vertices in the event provide a clean signature which allows us to strongly suppress the combinatorial background. Thus, these processes could be complementary to the $p\bar{p}$ final state. The h_c branching ratios in the same method as in the previous section are estimated to be of the order 10^{-3} similar to the $p\bar{p}$ final state.

B. The $\phi K^+ K^-$, $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ channels

The $\phi K^+ K^-$ and $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$ channels could be also produced from all the charmonium spin states, since the $K^+ K^- / \pi^+ \pi^-$ part may come from resonance states with different spin and/or continuum. Using the decay of $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, this channel could be experimentally accessible at LHC. In addition, if the $K^+ K^- / \pi^+ \pi^-$ pair comes from narrow f_X resonance with defined quantum numbers, the charmonium decay reconstruction becomes even more feasible.

C. The $\eta_c \gamma$ channel for *C*-odd charmonium

An example which can provide simultaneous measurement for *C*-odd charmonia is the $\eta_c \gamma$ final state. It allows the ratio measurement of, e.g., J/ψ and h_c .

In the case of using the $p\bar{p}$ invariant mass spectrum, the experimental resolution should allow resolving the χ_{c1} and h_c states having a 15 MeV mass difference. The advantage of the $\eta_c \gamma$ final state is the absence of the decays from χ_{cJ} , so that the h_c contribution can be unambiguously identified.

A priori, this channel is not ideal for LHC because the reconstruction efficiency of a low-energy photon is small. Nevertheless, when charmonium is produced from the secondary vertex, i.e., from *b*-hadrons, the requirement of the secondary vertex can give necessary background suppression. We are particularly interested in this channel since this final state carries a half of the h_c branching ratio as mentioned above. The estimates suggest that h_c may be reconstructed with one LHCb nominal year luminosity of 2 fb⁻¹ by using the subsequent $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi \phi$ channel whose branching ratio is known as $Br(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi \phi) = (2.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ [13].

D. The $\phi\phi$ channel for *C*-even charmonium

The *C*-even charmonium, such as η_c and $\chi_{c0,1,2}$, decaying to $\phi \phi$ is particularly suitable for LHCb thanks to the large branching ratio of $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and clean signature provided by two narrow ϕ signals. A measurement of the S-wave spin-singlet charmonium η_c has a very important consequence in having deeper insight into the NRQCD picture, while it has not been observed due to a lack of an easy decay channel to look for at the hadron colliders. Together with the $p\bar{p}$ final state, the $\phi \phi$ channel should be useful for further investigating η_c production.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We proposed to investigate various charmonium states using their common decay channel to the $p\bar{p}$ final state at LHC. So far, the charmonium studies at hadron machines have been limited to J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ via their decay into the $\mu^+\mu^-$, which is the cleanest way to reconstruct them at hadron machines, and χ_{cJ} reconstructed through $J/\psi\gamma$. Powerful particle identification of LHCb makes it possible to use the $p\bar{p}$ final state to which also other charmonium states can decay.

Simultaneous reconstruction of various charmonium states and the well-measured J/ψ state via their decay to $p\bar{p}$ significantly reduces the experimental systematic uncertainty thanks to measuring the ratio of topologically identical channels. Such simultaneous investigation will allow us to access the production rates for the yet unmeasured charmonium states, such as η_c and h_c . We discussed the theoretical impact of these new measurements, in particular, on improving our understanding of production

mechanisms in QCD. It should be also emphasized a potential observation of h_c with the $p\bar{p}$ final state at LHC, since h_c has only recently been discovered and little is known on this state so far. Our study will be also extended to higher mass states such as $\psi(2S)$, $\eta_c(2S)$ and furthermore to the yet uninterpreted X(3872) state. An observation of X(3872) into the $p\bar{p}$ final state would add an important clue in theoretical identification of this particle (see Ref. [31] for recent estimates of Br($X(3872) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$) in the case of the molecule interpretation).

We also investigated other final states, such as $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$, $\bar{\Xi}^+\Xi^-$, ϕKK , $\phi\pi\pi$, $\phi\phi$, and $\eta_c\gamma$, which can be complementary to the $p\bar{p}$ final state for systematic charmonium production studies.

In addition, we proposed to use hadronic final states, in particular $p\bar{p}$, for measuring the inclusive yield of charmonium states from *b*-hadron decays.

In 2010, using 36 pb⁻¹ of data, LHCb observed $J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ decay, which is the first hadron final state of prompt

produced charmonium decay reconstructed at hadron machines [32]. In 2011, LHCb recorded the integrated luminosity of more than 1 fb⁻¹, proving a stable operation at the designed luminosity of $2-4 \times 10^{32}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹. With the 2011 data, a significant result on $\eta_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ both for prompt production and for inclusive *b*-hadron decays can be expected.

In the longer time scale, with the increased LHC energy, and thus the $b\bar{b}$ cross section, bottomonium decaying into $p\bar{p}$ can also be searched for. This will be an important QCD test, while at present, only $Y(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ is observed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank J. Lefrançois for sharing his idea of using the charmonium decaying into baryonic $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ and $\bar{\Xi}^+\Xi^-$ final states. S. B. and E. K. acknowledge useful comments by J. Rosner concerning the h_c state. The work by E. K. was supported in part by the ANR under Contract No. LFV-CPV-LHC ANR-NT09-508531

- [1] N. Brambilla *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1534 (2011).
- [2] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 51, 1125 (1995); 55, 5853(E) (1997).
- [3] Y.-Q. Ma, K. Wang, and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 042002 (2011); Phys. Rev. D 83, 111503 (2011).
- [4] M. Butenschoen and B.A. Kniehl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 172002 (2012); K.-T. Chao, Y.-Q. Ma, H.-S. Shao, K. Wang, and Y.-J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 242004 (2012).
- [5] P. Artoisenet, J. M. Campbell, J. P. Lansberg, F. Maltoni, and F. Tramontano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 152001 (2008).
- [6] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1645 (2011).
- [7] A.A. Alves, Jr. *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), JINST 3, S08005 (2008).
- [8] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 572 (1997); F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 578 (1997).
- [9] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **71**, 032001 (2005).
- [10] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 80, 031103 (2009).
- [11] LHCb Collaboration, arXiv:1204.1462.
- [12] L. A. Harland-Lang, V. A. Khoze, M. G. Ryskin, and W. J. Stirling, Eur. Phys. J. C 65, 433 (2009); 69, 179 (2010).
- [13] K. Nakamura *et al.* (Particle Data Group Collaboration), J. Phys. G. **37**, 075021 (2010). See also the 2011 update: http://pdg.lbl.gov/.
- [14] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), JINST 7, P01010 (2012).

- [15] J. P. Lansberg, Proc. Sci., ICHEP (2010) 206.
- [16] S. S. Biswal and K. Sridhar, J. Phys. G. 39, 015008 (2012).
- [17] K. Sridhar, Phys. Lett. B 674, 36 (2009).
- [18] C.-F. Qiao, D.-L. Ren, and P. Sun, Phys. Lett. B 680, 159 (2009).
- [19] G.T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, T.C. Yuan, and G.P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 46, R3703 (1992).
- [20] P. Ko, J. Lee, and H. S. Song, Phys. Rev. D 53, 1409 (1996).
- [21] M. Beneke, F. Maltoni, and I.Z. Rothstein, Phys. Rev. D 59, 054003 (1999).
- [22] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 132002 (2010).
- [23] S. Dobbs *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 182003 (2008).
- [24] M. Beneke and L. Vernazza, Nucl. Phys. B811, 155 (2009).
- [25] S.J. Brodsky and G.P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 24, 2848 (1981).
- [26] M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D 66, 037503 (2002).
- [27] S. Godfrey and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 66, 014012 (2002).
- [28] F. Murgia, Phys. Rev. D 54, 3365 (1996).
- [29] Y.-P. Kuang, S. F. Tuan, and T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D 37, 1210 (1988).
- [30] X.-H. Liu and Q. Zhao, J. Phys. G 38, 035007 (2011).
- [31] E. Braaten, Phys. Rev. D 77, 034019 (2008).
- [32] http://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=8& sessionId=1&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=111524.