Nonexistence of an integral of the 6th degree in momenta for the Zipoy-Voorhees metric

Boris S. Krugliko[v*](#page-0-0) and Vladimir S. Matveev[†](#page-0-1)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tromsø, Tromsø 9037, Norway and Institute of Mathematics, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität, Jena 07737, Germany (Received 20 November 2011; published 26 June 2012)

We prove the nonexistence of a nontrivial integral that is a polynomial in momenta of degree ≤ 6 for the Zipoy-Voorhees spacetime with the parameter $\delta = 2$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124057](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124057) PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 02.30.Ik, 04.20.Cv

I. INTRODUCTION AND THE RESULT

A. Physical motivations of the problem

The gravitational waves, emitted by a compact object orbiting a massive axisymmetric central body, depend on the spacetime geometry of the latter. Decoding the scalar multipole moments from the phase evolution is an important problem in astrophysics [\[1](#page-4-0)].

Gravitational wave detectors such as Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory (LIGO) and Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) with its high sensitivity, extend our observational capacities and yield the possibility to read off the gravitational waveforms from the inspiral of stellar masses into supermassive black holes [[2\]](#page-4-1): The gravitational radiation broadcasted by a moving low-mass object uncovers the spacetime.

The current paradigm is that the central object is a Kerr black hole, and the notion of the Carter constant is basic for analytic description of the dynamics and interpretation of the watched data. According to the no-hair theorem, a black hole seen from outside is completely characterized by its mass and spin. If this conjecture is correct, then any deviation of the quadrupole moment from its Kerr value has to be zero $[3]$ $[3]$.

The alternative that a dark region, believed to be the event horizon, is described by another solution of the Einstein equations with a naked singularity would violate the no-hair theorem. An experiment shall test parts of the Universe with stationary axisymmetric vacuum models. However, for an effective encoding of the orbital parameters of the probe, one would strongly benefit from an additional integral like Carter constant, see [[4](#page-4-3)[,5\]](#page-4-4).

In this paper we study its existence for a particular spacetime, though the methods we develop can be applied to other solutions of the gravitational field equations. As Voorhees poses in [\[6\]](#page-4-5), one has to pursue the physical meaning of the models. For this we exploit the integrability approach, which is more general than the classical symmetry analysis.

In the next sections we give purely mathematical treatment of this physical problem. Our negative result probably means higher complexity or hidden structures of the spacetime. We will remark on physical connotation of the output at the very end of the paper.

B. Mathematical formulation of the result

We consider the following four-dimensional metric on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^4$:

$$
ds^{2} = \left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)^{2} \left[(x^{2} - y^{2}) \left(\frac{x^{2} - 1}{x^{2} - y^{2}}\right)^{4} \left(\frac{dx^{2}}{x^{2} - 1} + \frac{dy^{2}}{1 - y^{2}}\right) + (x^{2} - 1)(1 - y^{2})d\phi^{2} \right] - \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)^{2} dt^{2}.
$$
 (1.1)

This metric was introduced in [\[6](#page-4-5)[,7\]](#page-4-6). It is Ricci flat, has Lorenz signature, and two commuting Killing vector fields: ∂_t (ds² is static) and ∂_{ϕ} (ds² is axially symmetric).

Our interest in studying this metric is motivated by the recent papers of Brink [\[8–](#page-4-7)[10](#page-4-8)]. She numerically constructed the geodesics of this metric and found that they behave quite unusually. As it is clearly seen on Fig. 6 in [\[8\]](#page-4-7), the behavior of the geodesics is in no way chaotic and is typical for the behavior of trajectories of a Liouville-integrable system. Later, in a private conversation, Brink demonstrated to us that this ''integrable'' behavior happens for all geodesics.

Recall that a function I: $T^*U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an *integral* for the geodesic flow of the metric g if it Poisson commutes with the kinetic energy $H = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} p_i p_j$. Denoting by $\{,\}$ the canonical Poisson bracket, this condition writes as $\{I, H\} = 0$, and it means that the function I is constant on the trajectories of the Hamiltonian system. In other words, I is the conserved quantity of the Hamiltonian H . A metric g is a *Liouville integrable* (for dim $U = 4$) if, in addition to the Hamiltonian, there exist three Poisson-commuting functionally independent integrals for the geodesic flow. Recall that integrals are functionally independent if their differentials are linearly independent almost everywhere.

Equation (1.1) (1.1) already has two additional commutative integrals, namely the momenta p_{ϕ} and p_t ; the numerical behavior of the geodesics is an indication of the existence of a fourth integral, commuting with p_t and p_{ϕ} .

In the papers in $[9,10]$ $[9,10]$, Brink suggested to look for an integral of the geodesic flow that is a homogeneous polynomial in the momenta (with coefficients depending on the

[^{*}b](#page-0-3)oris.kruglikov@uit.no

[[†]](#page-0-3) vladimir.matveev@uni-jena.de

position). There are two reasons for this choice of an ansatz for the integral. The first one is that for all physically interesting systems the known integrals are such. The second reason is more mathematical: since the metric is real-analytic, it is expected that the integral is real-analytic as well. Now, as it was known already to Whittaker [[11\]](#page-4-10), the existence of an integral analytic in the momenta implies the existence of an integral that is a homogeneous polynomial in the momenta. (More precisely, if $F = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_k$ is an integral, where F_k is homogeneous in mo-
menta of degree k then every F_k is an integral If F menta of degree k, then every F_k is an integral. If F commutes with p_t and p_{ϕ} , then every F_k commutes with p_t and p_{ϕ} .) Thus, we can restrict to the integrals, which are polynomials of degree k in the momenta. They are essentially the same objects as Killing $(0, k)$ tensors.

The main statement of our paper is that, contrary to numerical observations, no nontrivial integral of the expected low degree exists. The ''trivial'' integrals for the geodesic flow of the metric g are $H, I_1 = p_{\phi}, I_2 = p_t$ and any (polynomial) function of them any (polynomial) function of them.

Theorem: There exists no smooth function I: $T^*U \to \mathbb{R}$, which is a polynomial in momenta of degree ≤ 6 , such that H , I_1 , I_2 , I are functionally independent and Poisson commute.

This is a rigorous mathematical statement and its proof is also rigorous, though it is heavily based on computer algebra calculations. In the next section we explain the mathematical foundations and the details of these computations. The calculations are too complicated to be presented here in all the details, but the idea behind them is rather simple and can be easily realized in most computer algebra packages (see Supplemental Material [\[12\]](#page-4-11) for the Maple worksheet we used).

These computations can be extended to decide the existence of higher degree integrals—the algorithm is unchanged (in fact, we have also verified the nonexistence of the integral of degree 7, but the hardware capacity limited us in degree 8). We will give some further comments in the conclusion.

II. NONEXISTENCE OF THE INTEGRALS

In this section we demonstrate the nonexistence of a nontrivial integral of degree 6. This in turn implies the nonexistence of a nontrivial integral of smaller degree. Indeed if such an integral I existed in degree $k \leq 6$, then p_t^{6-k} I would be a nontrivial integral of degree 6.

A. The general idea behind the calculations

The condition that the function

$$
I = \sum_{i+j+k+m=6} I_{ijkm} p_x^i p_y^j p_{\phi}^k p_i^m \tag{2.1}
$$

commutes with H is equivalent to a linear system S of partial differential equations (PDEs) of the first order on the coefficients I_{iikm} ; the latter are smooth functions on U. Using the assumption that I commutes with p_{ϕ} and p_t , we see that the unknowns I_{ijkm} are functions of x and y only. More details on the differential equations in S will be given in the next subsection.

Let us now take this system S and differentiate its equations with respect to the variables x and y , denoting the results by S_x and S_y , respectively. The system $S^{(1)}$ = $S \cup S_x \cup S_y$ is called the first prolongation of S. Every smooth solution of S is of course a solution of $S^{(1)}$. Next we consider the second prolongation $S^{(2)} = S^{(1)} \cup S_{r^2} \cup$ $S_{xy} \cup S_{y^2}$ and so on. The *n*th *prolongation* is $S^{(n)} =$ $\bigcup_{k+m\leq n} S_{x^kv^m}$.

The system S has *finite type* if for some ℓ one can solve the equations $S^{(\ell)}$ with respect to all highest derivatives of the unknown functions (in our case with respect to all jets of order $\ell + 1$). It is known [\[13](#page-4-12)[,14\]](#page-4-13) that our system is of finite type. To see this let us notice that in the geodesic coordinates the metric is flat to the second order at the given point. Since the coefficients of the top derivatives of the unknowns in the system S (and its prolongation $S^{(n)}$) depend only on the zeroth and first derivatives of H , its symbolic behavior at any point is the same as for the flat metric. In other words, the symbols of this system are isomorphic at all points and achieve finite type at the same level ℓ .

The calculation for the flat metric is not difficult, and we obtain $\ell = k$ for the system describing integrals of degree k. In particular, for our S we need to prolong $\ell = 6$ times to achieve finite type (a calculation supporting this claim will be shown in Sec. $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{B})$.

For every linear system of finite type, the space of solutions is a finite dimensional vector space. Indeed, by the classical argument, the solutions are given as integral surfaces of the Cartan distribution, which in our case has rank 2 (on the equation manifold given in the space of $(\ell + 1)$ jets by $S^{(\ell)}$). Thus a solution I of S is
uniquely determined by the values of its derivatives up to uniquely determined by the values of its derivatives up to order $\ell + 1$ (here 1 is the order of S) at any fixed point (x_0, y_0) . This follows from the observation that restriction of I to a curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2(x, y)$ through the point (x_0, y_0)
reduces S to a system of ordinary differential equations in reduces S to a system of ordinary differential equations in the Euler form.

Take $n \geq \ell$ and consider the prolonged system $S^{(n)}$.
eating the derivatives of L_{max} at (x, y) up to the order Treating the derivatives of I_{ijkm} at (x, y) up to the order $n + 1$ as independent variables u (called *jets*) allows us to write this linear system in the form $Au = 0$. Because coefficients of the Zipoy-Voorhees metric ds^2 are algebraic (rational) functions, the entries of the matrix A are also algebraic as functions of the point (x, y) .

If at a certain point (x_0, y_0) the rank of the matrix A equals to the dimension of u , the only solution is trivial $u = 0$. From the finite type condition it follows then that the solution u is identically zero.

NONEXISTENCE OF AN INTEGRAL OF THE 6TH DEGREE ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 124057 (2012)

As we explain in the next section, our PDE system S decouples into two linear subsystems that have to be solved independently. For one of them S_{odd} the situation is as described above: for the fifth prolongation the linear system $Au = 0$ has only the trivial solution. For the second subsystem S_{even} the situation is more complicated, since it has 16-dimensional space of solutions. These solutions correspond to the following ''trivial'' integrals:

$$
I_{\text{triv}} = \alpha H^3 + H^2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{2} \beta_i I_1^i I_2^{2-i} + H \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{4} \nu_j I_1^j I_2^{4-j} + \sum_{k=0}^{6} \eta_k I_1^k I_2^{6-k},
$$
\n(2.2)

where α , β_i , ν_j , η_k are arbitrary constants.

Thus for S_{even} the matrix A has a 16-dimensional kernel, whence $rk(A) \leq \dim(u) - 16$. If $rk(A) = \dim(u) - 16$, then every solution u of the equations $Au = 0$ is "trivial" because the system is of finite type and $n \geq \ell$. In other words, u has form as in Eq. ([2.2\)](#page-2-1) globally.

How do we check that the finite type level ℓ does not exceed the number n we consider? If for a certain n we have $rk(A) = \dim(u)$, the condition $\ell \leq n$ is fulfilled automatically since we can express from the equations in $S^{(n)}$ all derivatives of the unknown functions. This finishes the case S_{odd} . In fact in this case $\ell = 5$ (this is a simpler system than S_{even} and it achieves finite type earlier) and we go to $n = 5$ in our calculations.

For S_{even} for $n = 6$ we will have dim $(u) - rk(A) = 16$, which equals to the dimension of the space of trivial integrals of degree 6. Since $\ell = 6$ by the general theory, we conclude that every integral must belong to the family [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), which finishes our argument.

The size of the matrix A in our calculations is quite big, and it is not possible to handle it by hand. We use the symbolic software Maple. This is possible as the idea described above can be realized algorithmically.

Actually we perform prolongations (symbolic differentiations) and operate with polynomial or rational functions. The coefficients of the latter are rational numbers and the point we substitute is also rational—we choose $(x_0, y_0) =$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of the contract value of the matter of the contractions numerical entries, which is done via the Gauss method. All $\frac{1}{2}$, 2). Then we calculate ranks of the matrices with rational
numerical entries, which is done via the Gauss method. All of these calculations are exact (no approximations), and so the result has to be considered as a computer assisted mathematically rigorous proof.

B. Details of calculations

We look for an integral I of form (2.1) with coefficients I_{ijkm} being smooth functions on U. Since $\{I, I_1\} = \{I, I_2\}$ 0, these coefficients do not depend on ϕ , t and are smooth functions on the open domain in $\mathbb{R}^2(x, y)$ (given by the conditions $x, y, \neq \pm 1, x \neq \pm y$).

Since the coefficients of H also do not depend on ϕ , t, the commutation of H and I writes as

$$
\{H, I\} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_x} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_x} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_y} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_y} = 0.
$$
\n(2.3)

Substituting formula for H and I into this expression we get a polynomial in momenta of degree 7. Its vanishing is equivalent to vanishing of all its coefficients and there are 120 of them. These coefficients are rational functions of (x, y) with integer coefficients, and they are linear in I_{ijkm} and their first derivatives. The number of unknowns $I_{ijkm} = I_{ijkm}(x, y)$ is 84, and thus Eq. ([2.3\)](#page-2-2) gives a first order linear overdetermined system S of PDE.

Notice that the Hamiltonian H is even in the total degree by the variables p_{ϕ} , p_t (i.e., it does not contain quadratic terms $p_x p_t$ and similar). Then writing $I =$ $I_{\text{odd}} + I_{\text{even}}$, where the index refers to the parity of $k +$ m in Eq. ([2.1\)](#page-1-0), we observe the splitting $\{H, I\}$ = ${H, I_{\text{odd}}}$ + ${H, I_{\text{even}}}$ and the summands in the last expression preserve the parity (e.g., $\{H, I_{odd}\}$ has odd total degree by the variables p_{ϕ}, p_t).

Thus our system of equations decouples: $S =$ $S_{\text{odd}} \cup S_{\text{even}}$, $S_{\text{odd}} \cap S_{\text{even}} = \emptyset$. The subsystem S_{odd} is a system of linear PDE on I_{ijkm} with $k + m$ odd, and the subsystem S_{even} is a system of linear PDE on I_{iikm} with $k + m$ even. Both S_{odd} and S_{even} are overdetermined.

In fact S_{odd} consists of 60 equations on 40 unknown functions, while S_{even} consists of 60 equations on 44 unknowns. Our goal for the subsystem S_{odd} is to show that its certain prolongation at some point (x_0, y_0) satisfies $dim(u) - rk(A) = 0$. Our goal for the subsystem S_{even} is to show that its certain prolongation at (x_0, y_0) satisfies $dim(u) - rk(A) = 16$. We follow the scheme described in Sec. [II A](#page-1-1) and let $(x_0, y_0) = (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$.
Now we put the results of our calc

Now we put the results of our calculations into the table. The first row in the table is the number of equations in $S_{odd}^{(n)}$, the second is the number of the unknowns I_{iikm} (with $k +$ m odd) and their derivatives by x, y up to order $n + 1$. The third row is the rank of the corresponding matrix A of the size (no. of eq.) \times (dim(u)).

The number of nontrivial integrals is the minimum of the quantity $\Delta = \dim(u) - \text{rk}(A)$.

| n | O | | | | | |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| No. of eq. | 60 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 900 | 1260 |
| dim(u) | 120 | 240 | 400 | 600 | 840 | 1120 |
| rk(A) | 60 | 180 | 360 | 590 | 838 | 1120 |
| | 60 | 60 | 40 | 10 | | 0 |

We see that the fifth prolongation is enough to prove that the system S_{odd} has only trivial solutions.

Next comes the table for S_{even} . The meaning of the rows is similar. The number of nontrivial integrals is the minimum of the quantity $\Delta = \dim(u) - \text{rk}(A) - 16$.

| n | | | | З | | | | |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|--|
| No. of eq. | 60 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 900 | 1260 | 1680 | |
| dim(u) | 132 | 264 | 440 | 660 | 924 | 1232 | 1584 | |
| rk(A) | 60 | 180 | 360 | 600 | 888 | 1215 | 1568 | |
| | 56 | 68 | 64 | 44 | 20 | | 0 | |

We see that the sixth prolongation is enough to prove that the system S_{even} has only "trivial" solutions, i.e., every integrals I_{even} is of the form in Eq. [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1).

Finally we demonstrate how to calculate the number ℓ symbolically. As explained in Sec. $\mathbf{II} \mathbf{A}$, it is enough to compute it for the flat metric. We form equation S similar to the above, but now we count only the equations of order $n + 1$ in $S^{(n)}$, and we separate top-order terms. The latter are the derivatives of L_{∞} of order $n + 1$ denoted by n and are the derivatives of I_{ijkm} of order $n + 1$ denoted by v, and the subsystem writes as a linear inhomogeneous equation $Bv = w$, where w combines derivatives of order $\leq n$. When $\Delta = \dim(v) - rk(B) = 0$ the corresponding $n = \ell$. Here is the table for S_{even} .

Thus $\ell = 6$. Similar calculations for S_{odd} yield $\ell = 5$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

III. CONCLUSION

The question of existence of an additional integral is crucial for understanding of static axially symmetric Ricciflat metrics. The most famous spacetimes in the general relativity, given by the Schwarzschild and Kerr metrics, can be effectively studied because their geodesic flows admit an additional quadratic integral. This integral allows to describe and to control the behavior of the geodesics, and it also helps to solve the wave and other physically relevant equations.

The family of Zipoy-Voorhees metrics with a real parameter δ is given by the formula

$$
\left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)^{\delta} \left((x^2 - y^2)\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2 - y^2}\right)^{\delta^2} \left(\frac{dx dx}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{dy dy}{1 - y^2}\right) + (x^2 - 1)(1 - y^2) dz dz\right) - \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)^{\delta} dt dt.
$$

Transformation $(x, \delta) \mapsto (-x, -\delta)$ is the symmetry of this family, so we let $\delta \ge 0$. Parameter $\delta = 0$ corresponds to the flat metric, while for $\delta = 1$ we get the Schwarzschild metric. These are the only two cases from the family that admit more than two linearly independent Killing vector fields. For the Schwarzschild spacetime, the symmetry algebra is in fact noncommutative, but its nontrivial Casimir function is an additional integral that yields Liouville integrability.

We have checked that no other metric from the family admits a quadratic integral. The search for higher degree integrals we restricted to the next interesting parameter $\delta = 2$, corresponding to the metric in Eq. [\(1.1](#page-0-2)). We hoped that the integral of degree 4 exists; some other groups of mathematicians and physicists have also tried to find the missing integral by looking for a lucky ansatz. But, unfortunately, we have not found it, and moreover we have proved it cannot exist in degree <7. We have investigated degree 7 with other methods (not the one presented in the paper), and also rigorously proved the nonexistence of nontrivial integrals.

We stopped at degree 8 by purely technical reasons even though the operational memory was not suffering, the computation time got too long. Actually, Maple obtains the matrix A quite fast; the hard part of the calculations is to compute the rank of the matrix A, which for the integrals of degree 6, has size 1680×1584 . Our standard PC required a few days for this. But we hope that a faster computer and more specialized symbolic software (which handles rational numbers in exact manner) could advance further.

Let us remark that the Zipoy-Voorhees metrics can be included in the bigger family of Manko-Novikov metrics, which are also given by explicit formulas (see e.g., [\[15](#page-4-14)]). Every axially symmetric stationary Ricci-flat metric can be written with the help of the Ernst equations. Having explicit formula for the integral for the Zipoy-Voorhees spacetime would suggest a perturbation of this formula to integrate other stationary axially symmetric Ricci-flat metrics. Brinck also calculated numerically the geodesics of certain Manko-Novikov metrics and indicated numerically an integrable behavior.

Existence of an integral of high degree is not completely impossible, as recently some nontrivial superintegrable systems were found. Its search can follow the proposed algorithm. One can further simplify it as it is apparent that the matrix A contains a lot of zeros. Another possibility is to use geometric methods to investigate the structures generated by the integral (in this way [[16](#page-4-15)] allowed to find a new integrable system in [[17](#page-4-16)]).

The advantage of having an integral is so huge that the search for additional integrals of axially symmetric Ricci-flat metrics should be continued. But as our results indicate, if the spacetime in Eq. (1.1) (1.1) is integrable, it should be rather a nontrivial phenomenon.

On the other hand our result, coupled with numerical evidence from [[8](#page-4-7)], can indicate that a more complicated physical reality underlies the Zipoy-Voorhees spacetimes

(opposite to the Kerr model). Maybe some weaker form of integrability stays behind the analytical formulation (like in KAM theory) and a weak chaos (like in Arnold diffusion) takes place. The latter can be confused with noise but has to be detected through very accurate simulations, which we hope to see in the near future. These accurate simulations were done recently in [\[18\]](#page-4-17), where numerical evidence was presented towards the conclusion that the Zipoy-Voorhees spacetime is not

- [1] F.D. Ryan, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**[, 5707 \(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.5707).
- [2] P.A. Sundararajan, G. Khanna, S.A. Hughes, and S. Drasco, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 024022 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.024022).
- [3] T. Johannsen and D. Psaltis, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/716/1/187) 716, 187 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/716/1/187).
- [4] J. Brink, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**[, 102001 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.102001)
- [5] C. M. Will, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**[, 061101 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.061101)
- [6] B. Voorhees, *Phys. Rev. D* **2**[, 2119 \(1970\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.2.2119)
- [7] D. M. Zipoy, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1705005) 7, 1137 (1966).
- [8] J. Brink, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**[, 102002 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.102002)
- [9] J. Brink, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**[, 022001 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.022001)
- [10] J. Brink, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**[, 022002 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.022002)
- [11] E.T. Whittaker, A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1937).
- [12] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124057) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124057](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124057) for a brief

integrable since Birkhoff chains and chaotic trajectories were found.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. Brink, R. Meinel, G. Loukes-Gerakopoulos, and B. Brügmann for useful discussions and the universities of Jena and Tromsø for hospitality. This research was partially supported by the DAAD exchange Project No. 208068.

description. This is a Maple worksheet that we used in the calculation related to the paper. It works according to the algorithm that we explained in II of our paper: it first produces the system of partial differential equations that corresponds to the existence of an integral of degree D. Then, it differentiates the system and substitutes $x = .5$, $y = 2$ inside to obtain a system of linear equations on the derivatives of the unknown functions. Then, it calculates the rank of the coefficient matrix of this system

- [13] T. Wolf, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00123-4) **115**, 316 (1998).
- [14] B. Kruglikov, [J. Geom. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2008.03.005) **58**, 979 (2008).
- [15] V.S. Manko and I.D. Novikov, [Classical Quantum](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/11/013) Gravity 9[, 2477 \(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/11/013).
- [16] H. R. Dullin, V. S. Matveev, and P. Topalov, [Reg. Chaotic](http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/rd1999v004n03ABEH000114) Dyn. 4[, 35 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/rd1999v004n03ABEH000114).
- [17] H. R. Dullin and V. S. Matveev, Math. Res. Lett. 11, 715 (2004).
- [18] G. Lukes-Gerakopoulos, [arXiv:1206.0660.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1206.0660)