Spontaneous pair production in Reissner-Nordström black holes

Chiang-Mei Chen, ^{1,[*](#page-0-0)} Sang Pyo Kim, ^{2,4,5[,†](#page-0-1)} I-Chieh Lin, ^{1[,‡](#page-0-2)} Jia-Rui Sun,^{3,1[,§](#page-0-3)} and Ming-Fan Wu^{1,||}

¹Department of Physics and Center for Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, National Central University, Chungli 320, Taiwan $\frac{2}{1}$ Department of Physics, Kungan Mational University, Kungan 573, 701, Korea

 2 Department of Physics, Kunsan National University, Kunsan 573-701, Korea

 3 Department of Physics and Institute of Modern Physics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

⁴Institute of Astrophysics, Center for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan 5
⁵Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606,8502, Japan

 5 Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Received 20 February 2012; published 19 June 2012)

We investigate the spontaneous pair production—including the Schwinger mechanism and the Hawking thermal radiation—of charged scalar particles from the near-horizon region of a (near) extremal Reissner-Nordström black hole. The paradigm is equivalent to the dynamics of the charged scalar field in a specific AdS₂ \times S² spacetime with a constant electric field. Two possible boundary conditions are adopted to explicitly compute the corresponding production rate and absorption cross section. It is shown that the Schwinger production rate can be eventually suppressed by the increasing attractive gravitational force as the geometry changes from the extremal to the near extremal black hole. Consequently, the Schwinger mechanism and the Hawking radiation are generically indistinguishable for the near-extremal black holes. The holographic description dual to the pair production is also briefly discussed.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124041](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124041) PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 04.70.Dy, 12.20.-m

I. INTRODUCTION

The spontaneous pair production in a strong background field or in a causally disconnected spacetime is a significant and profound quantum effect. The Schwinger mechanism and the Hawking radiation are such a phenomenon in which virtual pairs of particles and antiparticles from vacuum fluctuations are separated into real pairs either by an electric field [[1](#page-9-0)] or by a black hole horizon via tunneling [[2\]](#page-9-1). These two processes, though independent of each other, could be generically intertwined and indistinguishable in the background of a charged black hole. Besides, the emission of charged pairs affects the charge to mass ratio of the Reissner-Nordström (RN) black hole $[3]$ $[3]$, and this effect is more rapid than the loss of mass and angular momentum [\[4](#page-9-3),[5](#page-9-4)]. It has been shown in Ref. [\[6\]](#page-9-5) that for a large RN black hole with a small Hawking temperature, the vacuum polarization by a charged scalar field is dominated by the Schwinger mechanism. The Hawking emission of spin- $1/2$ fermions [[7](#page-9-6)] from and the evolution [\[8\]](#page-9-7) of an RN black hole have been numerically studied. Also, it has been shown that the semiclassical tunneling probability of charged particles in the RN black hole leads to the Schwinger formula on the black hole horizon [\[9\]](#page-9-8). For more recent related discussions about the quantum effect of fields in the charged black hole backgrounds, see Refs. [\[10–](#page-9-9)[13](#page-9-10)].

The spontaneous pair production from a black hole is expected to occur mainly at the near-horizon region: the causal boundary for the Hawking radiation and electric field domination for the Schwinger mechanism. This expectation will be confirmed by the fact that in the leading order the pair production rate agrees with the Schwinger formula derived in the whole RN spacetime. In this paper we analytically study the emission from an RN black hole by observing that the spacetime of the near-horizon region of the (near) extremal RN black hole has a particular product structure $AdS_2 \times S^2$ with the same radius. Thus, the positive curvature of the $S²$ exactly compensates the negative part of the $AdS₂$. Moreover, the electric field is constant and determined by the geometrical radius. This background provides a simple framework in which the analysis of spontaneous pair production can be exactly performed. We consider the dynamics of a charged scalar field in a (near) extremal RN black hole. The key equation of motion is very analogous to the one studied in Ref. [\[14\]](#page-9-11) for an $AdS₂$ spacetime. The additional integrants include a standard separation constant for spherical harmonics on the $S²$ -section and a parameter associated with the black hole temperature. It turns out that the process of spontaneous production corresponds to the instability of the charged scalar in $AdS₂$, namely, the violation of the Breitenlohner-Freedman (BF) bound [\[15](#page-9-12)[,16\]](#page-9-13), which results in the complex conformal weight of the operators dual to the charged scalar field in the AdS/Conformal Field Theories (CFT) correspondence [\[17–](#page-9-14)[19](#page-9-15)].

A direct approach to obtain the physical information about the spontaneous production—such as the mean number of pairs and the absorption cross section—is to compute the ratios of fluxes passing through each boundary under a suitable boundary condition. The Bogoliubov coefficients can be found by applying the same boundary condition that resolves the Klein paradox for the tunneling

[^{*}c](#page-0-4)mchen@phy.ncu.edu.tw

[[†]](#page-0-4) sangkim@kunsan.ac.kr

[[‡]](#page-0-4) wcid0o@yahoo.com.tw

^{[§](#page-0-4)} jrsun@ecust.edu.cn
[∥]adiemuswu@gmail.com

barrier in static configurations of electric fields in quantum electrodynamics [[20](#page-9-16)[–22\]](#page-9-17) (see also Ref. [\[23\]](#page-9-18)). In fact, there are two boundaries in the near-horizon geometry of the (near) extremal RN black holes: an outer boundary at ''spatial infinity'' of the near-horizon region, and an inner boundary associated to the black hole causal horizon. Each of the following boundary conditions allows one to compute the desired physical quantities: no flux flowing into the considered spacetime via either the outer boundary or the inner boundary. The physical interpretations of these two boundary conditions will be discussed in Sec. [III.](#page-2-0)

Under these two boundary conditions, the flux either incoming from the asymptotic boundary or outgoing from the horizon¹ has been turned off. Therefore, there are only three non-vanishing fluxes, naturally named as incident, reflected and transmitted. Moreover, the flux conservation reduces the number of independent fluxes down to two. The different ratios of fluxes give various physically interesting quantities: in particular, the magnitude of the vacuum persistence amplitude $|\alpha|^2$, the mean number of pairs $|\beta|^2$, and the absorption cross section (grey body factor) σ_{abs} . Remarkably, the mean number of pairs and the absorption cross section are the same for the both boundary conditions. This equivalence is a consequence of the unitarity of the scattering matrix for a given quantum number of the charged scalar field, which reflects the flux conservations [[24](#page-9-19)].

We explicitly compute these three quantities for the extremal and near-extremal RN black holes. We perform the calculations in both the outer and inner boundary conditions to confirm the equivalence. Obviously, but interestingly enough, the extremal RN black hole has a zero Hawking temperature, and thus the pair production is completely generated by the Schwinger mechanism. However, for the near-extremal RN black hole, though the Hawking thermal radiation is included, the production rate is indeed reduced. The decreasing production rate is due to the increasing ''attractive'' gravitational force as the geometry changes from the extremal to the near-extremal RN black hole, which suppresses the Schwinger mechanism. Thus this result implies that the Schwinger mechanism and the Hawking radiation are generically mixed and cannot be distinguished simply by imposing different boundary conditions. In addition, we also compute the corresponding quasinormal modes for the charged scalar field by imposing both the inner and outer boundary conditions at the same time, and show that there are no quasinormal modes in the extremal limit. We further discuss the dual CFT description of the pair production process and find that the absorption cross section of the charged scalar field calculated from the gravity side matches that of its dual operator in the 2D CFT, based on the RN/CFT correspondence studied in [\[25–](#page-9-20)[27](#page-9-21)].

The outline of this paper is as follows. Firstly, we analyze the dynamics of a charged scalar field in the near-horizon region of (near) extremal RN black holes. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we discuss the outer and inner boundary conditions, and show the equivalence of the mean number of pairs and the absorption cross section from both of the boundary conditions. The results of spontaneous production are presented in Sec. [IV](#page-3-0) for the extremal case, and in Sec. [V](#page-5-0) for the near-extremal case. Further, a holographic interpretation is given in Sec. [VI](#page-7-0). Finally, we conclude our results in Sec. [VII](#page-7-1). In Appendix [A](#page-8-0) we summarize the properties of the special functions that are used in this paper. A comparison, using the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the phase-integral method, is made with previously known results in Appendix [B](#page-8-1).

II. SCALAR FIELD IN THE RN BLACK HOLE

The Reissner-Nordström (RN) solution of a charged black hole with the following metric and a $U(1)$ gauge field, $F = dA$, [in units of $c = \hbar = G = 1$]

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^{2}}{r^{2}}} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2},
$$

$$
A = \frac{Q}{r}dt; \qquad F = \frac{Q}{r^{2}}dt \wedge dr, \qquad (1)
$$

is characterized by the mass M and the charge Q . Here $d\Omega_2^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ denotes the metric on a unit twosphere. By taking the near horizon and the near-extremal limits, together with a suitable rescaling of the time

$$
r \to Q + \epsilon \rho,
$$
 $M \to Q + \frac{\epsilon^2 B^2}{2Q}, \qquad t \to \frac{\tau}{\epsilon},$ (2)

one can show that the near-horizon region of a nearextremal RN black hole corresponds to a black hole like solution as

$$
ds^{2} = -\frac{\rho^{2} - B^{2}}{Q^{2}} d\tau^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}}{\rho^{2} - B^{2}} d\rho^{2} + Q^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2},
$$

\n
$$
A = -\frac{\rho}{Q} d\tau; \qquad F = \frac{1}{Q} d\tau \wedge d\rho.
$$
 (3)

The parameter B labels the "rescaled" deviation (2) (2) (2) from the extremal limit and acts as the horizon radius of the new black hole solution. Noting that the metric (3) (3) (3) has an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ geometry with the same radius of Q and the strength of the associated gauge field is constant with the magnitude Q , we will study the spontaneous pair production of a charged scalar field in this background.

The action for a probe charged scalar field Φ with mass m and charge q is

$$
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{2} D_\alpha \Phi^* D^\alpha \Phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^* \Phi \right), \quad (4)
$$

¹Our classification of in and out states is with respect to the view point of the black hole. Thus, the outgoing flux is positive and incoming flux is negative.

where $D_{\alpha} \equiv \nabla_{\alpha} - iqA_{\alpha}$ with ∇_{α} being the covariant derivative in curved spacetime. The corresponding Klein-Gordon (KG) equation

$$
(\nabla_{\alpha} - iqA_{\alpha})(\nabla^{\alpha} - iqA^{\alpha})\Phi - m^{2}\Phi = 0 \tag{5}
$$

has the flux of a probe charged scalar field

$$
D = i\sqrt{-g}g^{\rho\rho}(\Phi D_{\rho}\Phi^* - \Phi^* D_{\rho}\Phi), \tag{6}
$$

which is positive for an outgoing mode and is negative for an ingoing mode.

We look for the solution of the scalar field

$$
\Phi(\tau, \rho, \theta, \phi) = e^{-i\omega\tau + in\phi} R(\rho) S(\theta), \tag{7}
$$

which separates the KG equation as

$$
\partial_{\rho}[(\rho^2 - B^2)\partial_{\rho}R] + \left[\frac{(q\rho - \omega Q)^2 Q^2}{\rho^2 - B^2} - m^2 Q^2 - \lambda_l\right]R = 0,
$$
\n(8)

$$
\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_{\theta} (\sin\theta \partial_{\theta} S) - \left(\frac{n^2}{\sin^2 \theta} - \lambda_l\right) S = 0, \quad (9)
$$

where λ_i is a separation constant. The solution for $S(\theta)$ is the standard spherical harmonics with the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 =$ $l(l + 1)$.

The radial equation (8) can be understood as the equation of motion of a probe scalar field $R(\rho)$ with an effective mass $m_{\text{eff}}^2 = m^2 - q^2 + \lambda_l/Q^2$ propagating in an AdS₂ geometry² with the radius $L_{AdS} = Q$. It is well known that an instability will occur when the effective mass square is less than the Breitenlohner-Freedman (BF) bound [\[15](#page-9-12)[,16\]](#page-9-13), more precisely in a general AdS_{d+1} space of radius L_{AdS}

$$
m_{\text{eff}}^2 < -\frac{d^2}{4L_{\text{AdS}}^2}.\tag{11}
$$

Therefore, the violation of the BF bound in the AdS_2 spacetime, to ensure the presence of the Schwinger pair production and/or the Hawking radiation [\[30\]](#page-9-22), requires

$$
(m^2 - q^2)Q^2 + \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 < 0. \tag{12}
$$

This condition implies that the mass of a created particle (which is an unstable tachyon mode) should be smaller

$$
\tilde{m}_{\rm eff}^2 = 4m_{\rm eff}^2 = 4\left(m^2 - q^2 + \frac{\lambda_l}{Q^2}\right) < -\frac{1}{Q^2},\qquad(10)
$$

which violates the BF bound in the $AdS₃$ spacetime.

than its charge, and the background electric field should be larger than a threshold value. Thus, a neutral scalar particle, i.e., $q = 0$, cannot be produced by the Hawking radiation in the near extremal case. Also, the energetic condition for pair production requires $qQ/r_H > m$. In the near extremal black hole $r_H \approx Q$, pairs are produced when $q > m$, which is satisfied by electrons and positrons.

III. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND THEIR EQUIVALENCE

A. Outer boundary condition

One possible boundary condition that may reveal the behavior of the spontaneous pair production is to require no incoming flux at the asymptotic outer boundary (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-2). In the Stückelberg-Feynman picture, the outgoing (transmitted) flux at the asymptotic represents the spontaneously produced ''particle'', while the outgoing (incident) flux at the inner boundary can be interpreted as the total particles created by vacuum fluctuations, and the incoming (reflected) flux represents the portion that was reannihilated.

In such an intuitive picture, the flux conservation

$$
|D_{\text{incident}}| = |D_{\text{reflected}}| + |D_{\text{transmitted}}|,\tag{13}
$$

leads to the Bogoliubov relation

$$
|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1,\t(14)
$$

where the vacuum persistence amplitude $|\alpha|^2$ and the mean number of produced pairs $|\beta|^2$ from the Bogoliubov coefficients α and β are given by the ratios of the incident and the transmitted coefficients to the reflected one in the Coulomb gauge (time-independent gauge potential) [\[31](#page-9-23)[,32\]](#page-9-24)

$$
|\alpha|^2 \equiv \frac{D_{\text{incident}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}}, \qquad |\beta|^2 \equiv \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}}.
$$
 (15)

B. Inner boundary condition

The alternative boundary condition is to require no outgoing flux at the inner boundary (horizon, see Fig. [2\)](#page-3-1). In this case, the incoming (transmitted) flux at the horizon can be understood as the spontaneously produced

FIG. 1 (color online). The outer boundary condition: no incoming flux at the asymptotic outer boundary.

²Notice that Eq. (8) (8) can also be interpreted as the equation of motion of a massive scalar field with effective mass $\tilde{m}^2_{\text{eff}} = 4m^2_{\text{eff}}$ propagating in an "effective" $AdS_3 = AdS_2 \times S^1$ background,
by treating the U(1) gauge field as the additional S^1 fiber over the geometrical $AdS₂$, from the viewpoint of the hidden conformal symmetry $[28,29]$ $[28,29]$ $[28,29]$. The effective AdS_3 structure has the same radius $L_{AdS} = Q$ as the AdS₂ case, and then the condition [\(12\)](#page-2-3) that is required for the existence of propagating modes is actually

FIG. 2 (color online). The inner boundary condition: no outgoing flux at the inner boundary.

''antiparticles''. Similarly, the incoming (incident) and outgoing (reflected) fluxes at the asymptotic boundary can be interpreted again as the total created antiparticles and the re-annihilated part.

Moreover, this boundary condition can have another intuitive viewpoint as a scattering process of an incident flux coming from the asymptotic boundary. In addition to $|\alpha|^2$ and $|\beta|^2$, it is also useful to define the absorption cross section as

$$
\sigma_{\text{abs}} \equiv \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{incident}}} = \frac{|\beta|^2}{|\alpha|^2}.
$$
 (16)

C. Equivalence

According to a naive physical picture of the outer and inner boundary conditions, it is natural to expect that these two boundary conditions indeed are equivalent since the particles and antiparticles should always appear in pairs due to the charge conservation and/or the energymomentum conservation, no matter whether they are created or separated. Note that the flux, D , at each boundary is the magnitude square of the coefficient, D , for the incoming wave or the outgoing wave times the corresponding momentum, i.e. $D = |\mathcal{D}|^2$. Actually, these coefficients are related by the scattering matrix as [[24\]](#page-9-19)

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\mathcal{D}_H^{(\text{in})} \\
\mathcal{D}_B^{(\text{out})}\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\n\mathcal{S}_{11} & \mathcal{S}_{12} \\
\mathcal{S}_{21} & \mathcal{S}_{22}\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\n\mathcal{D}_H^{(\text{out})} \\
\mathcal{D}_B^{(\text{in})}\n\end{pmatrix} .
$$
\n(17)

The unitarity of the scattering matrix, $S^{\dagger}S = SS^{\dagger} = 1$, leads to

$$
|\mathcal{S}_{11}| = |\mathcal{S}_{22}|, \qquad |\mathcal{S}_{12}| = |\mathcal{S}_{21}|, |\mathcal{S}_{11}|^2 + |\mathcal{S}_{12}|^2 = 1, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{11}\mathcal{S}_{12}^* + \mathcal{S}_{21}\mathcal{S}_{22}^* = 0.
$$
 (18)

The mean numbers in these two different boundary conditions

$$
|\beta_{\text{outer}}|^2 = \frac{D_B^{(\text{out})}}{D_H^{(\text{in})}} \bigg|_{D_B^{(\text{in})} = 0} = \bigg| \frac{S_{21}}{S_{11}} \bigg|^2,
$$

$$
|\beta_{\text{inner}}|^2 = \frac{D_H^{(\text{in})}}{D_B^{(\text{out})}} \bigg|_{D_H^{(\text{out})} = 0} = \bigg| \frac{S_{12}}{S_{22}} \bigg|^2,
$$
 (19)

are thus the same due to Eq. (18) (18) (18) . Then the equivalence between the absorption cross sections follows from the Bogoliubov relation $|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$

$$
\sigma_{\rm abs} = \frac{|\beta|^2}{|\alpha|^2} = \frac{|\beta|^2}{1 + |\beta|^2}.
$$
 (20)

IV. EXTREMAL BLACK HOLES

A. Solution of scalar field

The simplest background to study the Schwinger pair production is the case $B = 0$ in which the Hawking temperature vanishes and therefore no thermal radiation exists. By defining a new coordinate

$$
z \equiv i \frac{2\omega Q^2}{\rho}, \qquad \arg z = \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{21}
$$

the radial equation ([8\)](#page-2-1) can be expressed as the Whittaker equation

$$
\left(\partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{ia}{z} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - (ib)^2}{z^2}\right) R(z) = 0, \tag{22}
$$

where the parameters a and b are defined as

$$
a \equiv qQ, \qquad b \equiv \sqrt{(q^2 - m^2)Q^2 - \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}.
$$
 (23)

The BF bound violation (12) requires the parameter b to be real. The general solution for $R(\rho)$ can be expressed in terms of the Whittaker function $M_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ as

$$
R(z) = c_1 M_{ia, -ib}(z) + c_2 M_{ia, ib}(z). \tag{24}
$$

In order to study the pair production rate, one needs to distinguish the in- and out-states at both the inner and outer boundaries. For this purpose, we first analyze the behavior of the solution (24) at the asymptotic boundary and on the horizon, respectively. In the asymptotic limit, $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ or $z \rightarrow 0$, by using the property [\(A6](#page-8-2)), the solution ([24](#page-3-3)) at the outer boundary approaches to

$$
R_B(z) = c_B^{(\text{in})} z^{(1/2) + ib} + c_B^{(\text{out})} z^{(1/2) - ib}, \tag{25}
$$

where

$$
c_B^{(\text{in})} = c_1, \qquad c_B^{(\text{out})} = c_2. \tag{26}
$$

In this case, the factor $z^{ib} \sim \rho^{-ib}$ represents the ingoing mode, while $z^{-ib} \sim \rho^{ib}$ represents the outgoing mode. In the near-horizon limit, $\rho \to 0$ or $z \to \infty$, by applying the properties $(A5)$ $(A5)$ and $(A6)$ $(A6)$ the solution reduces to

$$
R_H(z) = c_H^{(\text{in})} e^{(z/2)} z^{-ia} + c_H^{(\text{out})} e^{(-z/2)} z^{ia}, \qquad (27)
$$

where

SPONTANEOUS PAIR PRODUCTION IN REISSNER- ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 124041 (2012)

$$
c_H^{(in)} = c_1 \frac{\Gamma(1+2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - ia + ib)} + c_2 \frac{\Gamma(1-2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - ia - ib)},
$$

\n
$$
c_H^{(out)} = ic_1 \frac{\Gamma(1+2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia + ib)} e^{\pi a - \pi b}
$$

\n
$$
+ ic_2 \frac{\Gamma(1-2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib)} e^{\pi a + \pi b}.
$$
\n(28)

Near the horizon, the dominant factor is $e^{\pm z/2}$, and consequently the factor $e^{z/2} \sim e^{i/\rho}$ indicates the ingoing mode, while $e^{-z/2} \sim e^{-i/\rho}$ corresponds to the outgoing mode.

The corresponding fluxes of each mode can be computed directly via the formula ([6\)](#page-2-4) as

$$
D_B^{(\text{in})} = -2\omega Q^2 (2be^{-\pi b}) |c_B^{(\text{in})}|^2,
$$

\n
$$
D_H^{(\text{in})} = -2\omega Q^2 e^{\pi a} |c_H^{(\text{in})}|^2,
$$

\n
$$
D_B^{(\text{out})} = 2\omega Q^2 (2be^{\pi b}) |c_B^{(\text{out})}|^2,
$$

\n
$$
D_H^{(\text{out})} = 2\omega Q^2 e^{-\pi a} |c_H^{(\text{out})}|^2.
$$
\n(29)

B. Outer boundary condition

The boundary condition for the emission of charged particles is that charged pairs are produced by a strong field region near the horizon, and the charges with the same sign as the black hole are emitted to the spatial infinity through electric repulsion. The outer boundary condition imposes $D_B^{\text{(in)}} = 0$ (i.e. $c_1 = 0$).

The transmitted (outgoing) flux at the boundary is

$$
D_B^{(\text{out})} = 2\omega Q^2 (2be^{\pi b}) |c_2|^2, \tag{30}
$$

where the first factor comes from $z^{1/2-ib}$, and the reflected (ingoing) and the incident (outgoing) fluxes near the horizon are, respectively,

$$
D_H^{(\text{in})} = -2\omega Q^2 e^{\pi a} \left| \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - ia - ib)} \right|^2 |c_2|^2, \qquad (31)
$$

$$
D_H^{(\text{out})} = 2\omega Q^2 e^{\pi a + 2\pi b} \left| \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib)} \right|^2 |c_2|^2. \tag{32}
$$

Thus the magnitude squares of the Bogoliubov coefficients are given by

$$
|\alpha|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{incident}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_H^{(\text{out})}|}{|D_H^{(\text{in})}|} = \frac{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)} e^{2\pi b}, \quad (33)
$$

$$
|\beta|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_B^{(\text{out})}|}{|D_H^{(\text{in})}|} = \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)} e^{\pi b - \pi a}.
$$

$$
(34)
$$

These coefficients satisfy the relation $|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$ from the quantization of the field. The mean number of charged pairs produced via the Schwinger mechanism from the black hole is $|\beta|^2$. In the limit $q \gg m$, the emission rate is approximately given by the Schwinger formula

$$
|\beta|^2 \approx e^{-\pi m^2 Q/q} \approx e^{-\pi m^2 r_{H/qQ}^2}.
$$
 (35)

This result verifies the speculation that the spontaneous pair production actually occurs near the horizon of RN black holes. The absorption cross section can also be obtained straightforwardly

$$
\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{incident}}} = \frac{|\beta|^2}{|\alpha|^2} = e^{-\pi a - \pi b} \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)}.
$$
\n(36)

C. Inner boundary condition

The inner boundary condition assumes that $D_H^{(\text{out})} = 0$ (i.e., $c_H^{(\text{out})} = 0$), which gives the following relation for two undetermined parameters, c_1 and c_2 , in the general solution

$$
c_2 = -c_1 \frac{\Gamma(1+2ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+ia-ib)}{\Gamma(1-2ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+ia+ib)} e^{-2\pi b},\qquad(37)
$$

or, equivalently leads to

$$
c_H^{(\text{in})} = c_1 e^{-\pi(a+b)} \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)} \frac{\Gamma(1+2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - ia + ib)}.
$$
 (38)

The magnitude squares of the Bogoliubov coefficients, after a routine calculation, are given by

$$
|\alpha|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{incident}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_B^{(\text{in})}|}{|D_B^{(\text{out})}|} = \frac{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)} e^{2\pi b}, \quad (39)
$$

$$
|\beta|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_H^{(\text{in})}|}{|D_B^{(\text{out})}|} = \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)} e^{\pi b - \pi a}, \quad (40)
$$

and the absorption cross section is given by

$$
\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{incident}}} = \frac{|\beta|^2}{|\alpha|^2} = \frac{|D_H^{\text{(in)}}|}{|D_B^{\text{(in)}}|}
$$

$$
= e^{-\pi a - \pi b} \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\pi a - \pi b} \sinh(2\pi b) \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib\right) \right|^2. (41)
$$

As shown in Sec. [III C,](#page-3-4) $|\alpha|^2$, $|\beta|^2$ and σ_{abs} are actually identical with those obtained by imposing the outer boundary condition.

D. Quasinormal modes

For the quasinormal mode analysis, we should impose both the inner and outer boundary conditions at the same

time: $D_B^{(in)} = 0$ and $D_H^{(out)} = 0$. The only possible solution for the first condition comes from $c_1 = 0$. For the second condition, besides the trivial choice $c_2 = 0$, there is another non-trivial condition

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib)} = 0,\tag{42}
$$

which is fulfilled only when

$$
\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib = -N, \qquad N = 0, 1, \cdots.
$$
 (43)

Since parameters a and b are real and $b < a$, the condition [\(43\)](#page-5-1) cannot be satisfied, which means that there are always outgoing modes at the black hole horizon in the extremal limit. That is, there are no quasinormal modes in this limit.

V. NEAR-EXTREMAL BLACK HOLES

A. Solution of scalar field

For the near-extremal case, i.e., $B \neq 0$, the radial equation [\(5\)](#page-2-5) has the following solution in terms of the hypergeometric function

$$
R(\rho) = c_1(\rho - B)^{(-i/2)(a - \tilde{a})}(\rho + B)^{(i/2)(a + \tilde{a})}F\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tilde{a} + ib, \frac{1}{2} + i\tilde{a} - ib; 1 - ia + i\tilde{a}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho}{2B}\right) + c_2(\rho - B)^{(i/2)(a - \tilde{a})}(\rho + B)^{(i/2)(a + \tilde{a})}F\left(\frac{1}{2} + ia + ib, \frac{1}{2} + ia - ib; 1 + ia - i\tilde{a}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho}{2B}\right).
$$
(44)

where parameters a, b are in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-3-5), and the new parameter \tilde{a} is defined as³

$$
\tilde{a} = \frac{\omega Q^2}{B}.\tag{45}
$$

The frequency (energy) dependence is a significant property of the parameter \tilde{a} , which is essentially related to the Hawking radiation as a tunneling effect on the black hole horizon.

As the horizon is located at $\rho = B$ by the property [\(A8\)](#page-8-4), the solution at the near-horizon region reduces to

³In terms of the variables of the original RN black holes according to the rescaling [\(2\)](#page-1-0)

$$
B=\frac{\sqrt{2Q(M-Q)}}{\epsilon}, \qquad \omega=\frac{w}{\epsilon},
$$

the parameter \tilde{a} can be expressed as

$$
\tilde{a} = \frac{wQ^2}{\sqrt{2Q(M-Q)}},
$$

where w denotes the frequency with respect to the RN time coordinate t as $e^{-iwt} = e^{-i\omega \tau}$.

$$
R_H(\rho) = c_H^{(\text{in})}(\rho - B)^{(-i/2)(\tilde{a}-a)}(\rho + B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a}+a)}
$$

+ $c_H^{(\text{out})}(\rho - B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a}-a)}(\rho + B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a}+a)}$
 $\approx c_H^{(\text{in})}(2B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a}+a)}(\rho - B)^{(-i/2)(\tilde{a}-a)}$
+ $c_H^{(\text{out})}(2B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a}+a)}(\rho - B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a}-a)},$ (46)

where

$$
c_H^{(\text{in})} = c_2, \qquad c_H^{(\text{out})} = c_1. \tag{47}
$$

On the other hand, at the asymptotic boundary, by the properties $(A7)$ $(A7)$ and $(A8)$ $(A8)$, the solution behaves as

$$
R_B(\rho) = c_B^{(\text{in})} (\rho - B)^{-1/2 - (i/2)(\tilde{a} + a) - ib} (\rho + B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a} + a)}
$$

+ $c_B^{(\text{out})} (\rho - B)^{-1/2 - (i/2)(\tilde{a} + a) + ib} (\rho + B)^{(i/2)(\tilde{a} + a)}$
 $\approx c_B^{(\text{in})} \rho^{(-1/2) - ib} + c_B^{(\text{out})} \rho^{(-1/2) + ib},$ (48)

where

$$
c_B^{(\text{in})} = c_1(2B)^{(1/2) + i\tilde{a} + ib} \frac{\Gamma(1 - ia + i\tilde{a})\Gamma(-2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - ia - ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\tilde{a} - ib)} + c_2(2B)^{(1/2) + ia + ib} \frac{\Gamma(1 + ia - i\tilde{a})\Gamma(-2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i\tilde{a} - ib)},
$$
(49)

$$
c_B^{(\text{out})} = c_1(2B)^{(1/2)+i\tilde{a}-ib} \frac{\Gamma(1 - ia + i\tilde{a})\Gamma(2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - ia + ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\tilde{a} + ib)} + c_2(2B)^{(1/2)+ia-ib} \frac{\Gamma(1 + ia - i\tilde{a})\Gamma(2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia + ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i\tilde{a} + ib)}.
$$
(50)

Using the approximate solutions near both the inner and outer boundaries, the corresponding fluxes of each mode can be obtained:

$$
D_B^{(\text{in})} = -2b|c_B^{(\text{in})}|^2, \qquad D_H^{(\text{in})} = -2B(\tilde{a} - a)|c_H^{(\text{in})}|^2, D_B^{(\text{out})} = 2b|c_B^{(\text{out})}|^2, \qquad D_H^{(\text{out})} = 2B(\tilde{a} - a)|c_H^{(\text{out})}|^2.
$$
\n(51)

B. Outer boundary condition

In this subsection, we compute the magnitude squares of the Bogoliubov coefficients and the absorption cross section by imposing the outer boundary condition, i.e. $c_B^{(in)}$ = 0. This condition relates the parameters c_1 and c_2 where

$$
c_1 = -c_2(2B)^{i(a-\tilde{a})}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\Gamma(1+ia-i\tilde{a})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-ia-ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+i\tilde{a}-ib)}{\Gamma(1-ia+i\tilde{a})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+ia-ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-i\tilde{a}-ib)},
$$

(52)

which leads to

$$
c_B^{(\text{out})} = -c_2(2B)^{(1/2) + ia - ib}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)\sinh(\pi \tilde{a} - \pi a)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)\cosh(\pi \tilde{a} - \pi b)}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\Gamma(1 + ia - i\tilde{a})\Gamma(2ib)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia + ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i\tilde{a} + ib)}.
$$
 (53)

The magnitude squares of the Bogoliubov coefficients are

$$
|\alpha|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{incident}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_H^{\text{(out)}}|}{|D_H^{\text{(in)}}|}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)},
$$
(54)

$$
|\beta|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_B^{\text{(out)}}|}{|D_H^{\text{(in)}}|}
$$

$$
= \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)\sinh(\pi\tilde{a} - \pi a)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)\cosh(\pi\tilde{a} - \pi b)}.
$$
(55)

As a consequence of the flux conservation, these coefficients satisfy the Bogoliubov relation $|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$. In the limit $B \to 0$ ($\tilde{a} \to \infty$), the results reduce to the extremal case [\(33\)](#page-4-0) and ([34](#page-4-1)). Moreover, the leading term of $|\beta|^2$ leads to the Schwinger formula [\(35\)](#page-4-2). The absorption cross section is

$$
\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{incident}}} = \frac{|\beta|^2}{|\alpha|^2}
$$

$$
= \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)\sinh(\pi\tilde{a} - \pi a)}{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)\cosh(\pi\tilde{a} + \pi b)}.
$$
(56)

Again, it reduces to ([41](#page-4-3)) in the limit $\tilde{a} \rightarrow \infty$.

It has been pointed out that the spontaneous pair production in the extremal black hole is generated completely by the Schwinger mechanism in which the charged particles with the same charge as that of the black hole are repulsed to infinity by the constant electric field. One may naively expect to distinguish the Hawking radiation from the Schwinger mechanism by comparing the production rate in the near-extremal case (Schwinger $+$ Hawking) with the production rate in the extremal limit (pure Schwinger). A remarkable fact is observed from this comparison: the mean numbers $|\beta|^2$ in the extremal [\(34\)](#page-4-1) and in the near-extremal (55) cases have the ratio

$$
\frac{|\beta(B=0)|^2}{|\beta(B \neq 0)|^2} = \frac{\cosh(\pi \tilde{a} - \pi b)}{\sinh(\pi \tilde{a} - \pi a)} e^{\pi b - \pi a}
$$

$$
= \frac{1 + e^{2\pi(b - \tilde{a})}}{1 - e^{2\pi(a - \tilde{a})}} \ge 1.
$$
(57)

This ratio indicates that the production rate in the extremal limit (Schwinger) is greater than the production rate in the near-extremal case (Schwinger + Hawking). A major physical interaction dominates here: as the geometry changes from the extremal to near-extremal black holes, the increasing attractive gravitational force will reduce the electromagnetic repulsive force for the Schwinger mechanism. Therefore, the production rate of the Schwinger mechanism is suppressed faster than the increasing part from the Hawking thermal radiation. Moreover, such an interaction generically prohibits one from distinguishing the Schwinger mechanism from the Hawking radiation.

C. Inner boundary condition

For the comparison, we repeat the computation by imposing the inner boundary condition, namely $c_H^{(\text{out})} = c_1 = 0$. The magnitude squares of the Bogoliubov coefficients are

$$
|\alpha|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{incident}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_B^{\text{(in)}}|}{|D_B^{\text{(out)}}|}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)},
$$
 (58)

$$
|\beta|^2 = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{reflected}}} = \frac{|D_H^{(\text{in})}|}{|D_B^{(\text{out})}|}
$$

$$
= \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)\sinh(\pi\tilde{a} - \pi a)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)\cosh(\pi\tilde{a} - \pi b)},
$$
(59)

and the absorption cross section is

$$
\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{D_{\text{transmitted}}}{D_{\text{incident}}} = \frac{|D_H^{(\text{in})}|}{|D_B^{(\text{in})}|}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\sinh(2\pi b)\sinh(\pi\tilde{a} - \pi a)}{\cosh(\pi a - \pi b)\cosh(\pi\tilde{a} + \pi b)}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{\pi^2}\sinh(2\pi b)\sinh(\pi\tilde{a} - \pi a)\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib\right)\right]^2
$$

$$
\times \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tilde{a} + ib\right)\right]^2.
$$
 (60)

These quantities are the same as those from the outer boundary condition, as expected from Sec. [III C.](#page-3-4)

D. Quasinormal modes

The quasinormal mode boundary condition, $D_B^{(in)} = 0$ and $D_H^{(\text{out})} = 0$, requires the trivial solution $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ except for some special discrete values of parameters, i.e. quasinormal modes, determined by the condition

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ia - ib)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i\tilde{a} - ib)} = 0.
$$
 (61)

Therefore, besides the condition given in Eq. [\(43\)](#page-5-1) for the extremal limit, there is an additional possibility for the near-extremal black holes

$$
\frac{1}{2} - i\tilde{a} - ib = -N, \qquad N = 0, 1, \cdots. \qquad (62)
$$

This condition gives the quasinormal mode frequencies

$$
\omega = -\frac{b}{2Q^2} - i\left(\frac{1}{2} + N\right)\frac{B}{Q^2}.
$$
 (63)

Since the parameter $B > 0$, the quasinormal mode boundary condition thus requires the appearance of the negative energy states.

VI. HOLOGRAPHIC DUAL DESCRIPTION

The spontaneous production in Secs. [IV](#page-3-0) and [V](#page-5-0) corresponds to the instability of the probe charged scalar field in the AdS space. One expects that the charged scalar field should be dual to an ''unstable'' operator in the boundary conformal field theory (CFT) according to the holographic principle. Previous studies on the RN/CFT correspondence $[25-27]$ $[25-27]$ show that the 4D (near) extremal RN black hole consists of an $AdS_3 = AdS_2 \times S^1$ structure, where the $AdS₂$ comes from the near-horizon geometry and the U (1) gauge field plays the role of the $S¹$ bundle. The central charges and temperatures of the dual two-dimensional CFT have been determined as

$$
c_L = c_R = \frac{6Q^3}{\ell}, \qquad T_L = \frac{\ell}{2\pi Q}, \qquad T_R = \frac{\ell B}{\pi Q^2}, \tag{64}
$$

where ℓ is a free parameter which can be interpreted as a measure of the $U(1)$ bundle. In addition, from the asymptotic form of the solution, either (25) (25) (25) or (48) (48) , we can see that unlike the conventional situation of massive probe fields in the AdS/CFT correspondence [[17](#page-9-14)[–19\]](#page-9-15), the operator and the source on the boundary are of the same magnitude, namely, the conformal weights of the dual operator are

$$
h_L = h_R = \frac{1}{2} \pm ib.
$$
 (65)

Without loss of generality, we choose $h_L = h_R = 1/2 + ib$ below. The complex conformal weight means that the dual operator is unstable.

To compare the results calculated from the gravity side with those from the CFT's, recall that the general expression for a two-point function $G(\sigma_+, \sigma_-)$ in 2D CFT is

$$
G(\sigma_{+}, \sigma_{-}) = \langle \phi(\sigma_{+})\phi(\sigma_{-})\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= (-)^{h_L + h_R} \left(\frac{\pi T_L}{\sinh(\pi T_L \sigma_{+})}\right)^{2h_L}
$$

\n
$$
\times \left(\frac{\pi T_R}{\sinh(\pi T_R \sigma_{-})}\right)^{2h_R} e^{iq_L \Omega_L \sigma_{+} + iq_R \Omega_R \sigma_{-}},
$$
\n(66)

where (q_L, q_R) and (Ω_L, Ω_R) are the charges and chemical potentials of the left- and right-hand operators, respectively. Consequently, the absorption cross section of the operator ϕ is

$$
\sigma_{\text{abs}} \sim \frac{(2\pi T_L)^{2h_L - 1}}{\Gamma(2h_L)} \frac{(2\pi T_R)^{2h_R - 1}}{\Gamma(2h_R)} \sinh\left(\frac{\omega_L - q_L \Omega_L}{2T_L}\right) + \frac{\omega_R - q_R \Omega_R}{2T_R} \times \left[\Gamma\left(h_L + i\frac{\omega_L - q_L \Omega_L}{2\pi T_L}\right) \right]^2 \times \left[\Gamma\left(h_R + i\frac{\omega_R - q_R \Omega_R}{2\pi T_R}\right) \right]^2. \tag{67}
$$

Further identifying the first law of thermodynamics of the black hole with that of the dual 2D CFT, i.e. $\delta S_{\text{BH}} =$ δS_{CFT} , we have

$$
\frac{\delta M}{T_H} - \frac{\Omega_H \delta Q}{T_H} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_L}{T_L} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_R}{T_R},\tag{68}
$$

where the black hole Hawking temperature and chemical potential are $T_H = \frac{B}{2\pi Q^2}$, $\Omega_H = A_\tau(B) = -B/Q$ and $\tilde{\omega}_L = \omega_L - q_L \Omega_L$, $\tilde{\omega}_R = \omega_R - q_R \Omega_R$. Together with the identifications $\delta M = \omega$ and $\delta Q = -q$ (the minus sign corresponds to the convention " $-q$ " in the operator D_{α} for the dynamics of the charged scalar field), we can then determine that

$$
\tilde{\omega}_L = -q\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega}_R = 2\omega\ell. \tag{69}
$$

One can see that the absorption cross section (60) (60) (60) agrees with the CFT's result ([67\)](#page-7-2) only up to some numerical factors. The dual description for the production rate $|\beta|^2$ can be understood through the relation [\(20\)](#page-3-7).

VII. CONCLUSION

We have studied the spontaneous pair production of a charge scalar field for RN black holes in the extremal and near-extremal limits. The charged particle pairs are produced near the horizon region of the black holes that have a specific spacetime structure $AdS_2 \times S^2$ with a constant electric field. The Bogoliubov coefficients and the absorption cross section have been computed from the ratios of fluxes through the outer and inner boundaries by imposing two suitable boundary conditions. Indeed, these two boundary conditions are equivalent and allow one to study the spontaneous production as discussed in Section [III.](#page-2-0) The Bogoliubov coefficients provide the information of the vacuum polarization and the vacuum persistence on the horizon. The model in this paper is one of the few examples for which we exactly know the Bogoliubov coefficients. In particular, the explicit expressions of the vacuum persistence amplitude $|\alpha|^2$, the mean number of pairs $|\beta|^2$, and the absorption cross section σ_{abs} have been obtained. Moreover, the holographic description of the pair production in which the emitted particles are unstable tachyon modes has also been discussed.

By comparing the results in the extremal and nearextremal cases, it is shown that the production rate is suppressed when the black hole temperature is turned on. This is a consequence of the attractive gravitational force that reduces the repulsive electromagnetic force for the

SPONTANEOUS PAIR PRODUCTION IN REISSNER- ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 124041 (2012)

Schwinger mechanism, and thereby suppresses the corresponding production rate. On the contrary, a repulsive gravitational force (for example, in a de Sitter space with positive cosmological constant) enhances the Schwinger pair production. In virtue of these kinds of interactions, the effects of the Schwinger mechanism and the Hawking radiation generically cannot be distinguished by imposing different boundary conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Akihiro Ishibashi, Nobuyoshi Ohta and Don N. Page for useful discussions. C. M. C. was supported by the National Science Council of the R. O. C. under the grant NSC 99-2112-M-008-005-MY3 and in part by the National Center of Theoretical Sciences (NCTS). The work of S. P. K. was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) Grant funded by the Korean Government (MEST) (2010-0016-422). J. R. S. was supported by the NSFC Grant No. 11147190.

APPENDIX. A: USEFUL PROPERTIES OF SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

In this Appendix, we list useful properties of some special functions that are used in our computations. The details may be found, for instance, in [\[33\]](#page-9-27).

The Wittaker's equation

$$
\frac{d^2}{dz^2}w(z) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\kappa}{z} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - \mu^2}{z^2}\right)w(z) = 0, \quad (A1)
$$

has the solutions (which are called the Whittaker functions)

$$
M_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = e^{(-z/2)} z^{(1/2)+\mu} F\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu, z\right), \quad (A2)
$$

$$
W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = e^{(-z/2)} z^{(1/2)+\mu} U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu, z\right). \tag{A3}
$$

In the case of non-integer 2μ , the Whittaker functions have the following relations

$$
W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(-2\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \mu - \kappa)} M_{\kappa,\mu}(z)
$$

+
$$
\frac{\Gamma(2\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa)} M_{\kappa,-\mu}(z), \qquad (A4)
$$

argz $<\frac{3}{2}\pi$,

$$
M_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1+2\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\mu-\kappa)} e^{-i\pi\kappa} W_{-\kappa,\mu}(e^{-i\pi}z)
$$

+
$$
\frac{\Gamma(1+2\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\mu+\kappa)} e^{i\pi((1/2)+\mu-\kappa)} W_{\kappa,\mu}(z), \quad (A5)
$$

-
$$
\frac{1}{2}\pi < \arg z < \frac{3}{2}\pi.
$$

Moreover, these two special functions have the following asymptotic forms

$$
\lim_{|z| \to 0} M_{\kappa,\mu}(z) \to e^{(-z/2)} z^{(1/2)+\mu},
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{|z| \to \infty} W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) \to e^{(-z/2)} z^{\kappa}.
$$
\n(A6)

We also used the transformation formula of the hypergeometric function,

$$
F(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-a)}(-z)^{-a}
$$

$$
\times F\left(a, 1-c+a; 1-b+a; \frac{1}{z}\right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-b)}(-z)^{-b}
$$

$$
\times F\left(b, 1-c+b; 1-a+b; \frac{1}{z}\right)
$$

(
$$
|\arg(-z)| < \pi
$$
), (A7)

and a special value

$$
F(a, b; c; 0) = 1.
$$
 (A8)

Finally, we have used the particular relations for the Gamma function,

$$
\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + iy\right)\right|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\cosh \pi y}, \qquad |\Gamma(1 + iy)|^2 = \frac{\pi y}{\sinh \pi y},
$$

$$
|\Gamma(iy)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{y \sinh \pi y}, \qquad (A9)
$$

and worked in the Riemann sheet $-3\pi/2 < \arg z < \pi/2$ for analytical continuations

$$
i = e^{i\pi/2}
$$
, $-1 = e^{-i\pi}$, $-i = e^{-i\pi/2}$. (A10)

APPENDIX. B: HAMILTON-JACOBI APPROACH TO PAIR PRODUCTION

The $|\beta|^2$ in [\(55\)](#page-6-0) can be expressed as

$$
|\beta|^2 = \frac{\sinh(2\pi b)}{\cosh(\pi a + \pi b)} \frac{\sinh(\pi \tilde{a} - \pi a)}{\cosh(\pi \tilde{a} - \pi b)}
$$

= $e^{2\pi b - 2\pi a} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-4\pi b}}{1 + e^{-2\pi a - 2\pi b}} \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi \tilde{a} + 2\pi a}}{1 + e^{-2\pi \tilde{a} + 2\pi b}} \right)$. (B1)

In the limit $a \sim b \gg 1 \ (q \gg m)$ considered in the literature, the leading term

FIG. 3. The contour integral for pair production in the phaseintegral method.

$$
e^{2\pi b - 2\pi a} \approx e^{-\pi((m^2 Q/q) + ((l+1/2)^2/qQ^2))}, \tag{B2}
$$

and other terms in parenthesis $(B1)$ include corrections to the Schwinger formula.

In order to understand the leading term in $(B1)$ $(B1)$, we use the Hamilton-Jacobi approach to pair production via quantum tunneling. In the phase-integral method the Hamilton-Jacobi approximation $R(\rho) = e^{iS(\rho)}$ to ([8](#page-2-1)) has the imaginary part [\[34\]](#page-9-28)

$$
2 \operatorname{Im} S = -i \oint d\rho \sqrt{\frac{(q\rho - \omega Q)^2 Q^2}{(\rho^2 - B^2)^2} - \frac{m^2 Q^2 + (l + 1/2)^2}{\rho^2 - B^2}}
$$

=
$$
-ib \oint d\rho \frac{\sqrt{(\rho - \rho_+)(\rho - \rho_-)}}{(\rho - B)(\rho + B)},
$$
(B3)

where

$$
\rho_{\pm} = \frac{q\omega Q^3}{b^2} \pm \frac{q\omega Q^3}{b^2}
$$

$$
\times \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{q^2 Q^2} - \frac{m^2 Q^2 + (l + 1/2)^2}{q^2 \omega^2 Q^6} b^2 B^2}.
$$
 (B4)

 $\ldots \Omega$ ³

The contour integral excludes the branch cut in Fig. [3,](#page-9-29) and poles are located at $\rho = B$ and $\rho = \infty$.

Firstly, in the case of an extremal black hole ($B = 0$) the contour integral exterior to the branch cut yields

$$
2\,\mathrm{Im}S = 2\pi(a-b),\tag{B5}
$$

where $2\pi a$ comes from the small- ρ expansion and $2\pi b$ from the large- ρ expansion. Thus the pair production is approximately given by $|\beta|^2 \approx e^{-2 \text{Im} S}$, which accounts for the leading term in $(B1)$ $(B1)$ $(B1)$. We compare the instanton action [\(B5\)](#page-9-30) with Eq. (39) of Ref. [[9\]](#page-9-8), which mostly contributes to [\(B1\)](#page-8-6) near the peak of the barrier for $\omega = q$. In Ref. [\[10\]](#page-9-9) the radial part ([8\)](#page-2-1) of the KG equation is approximated by an inverted parabola, which is equivalent to the motion in a constant electric field with $E = \omega^2/(q^2Q)$, and leads to pair production $e^{-\pi m^2 q Q/\omega^2} = e^{-\pi m^2 Q/q}$ for $\omega = q$. Secondly, in the case of near-extremal black holes, the large- ρ and small- ρ expansions contribute

$$
2\,\mathrm{Im}S = \pi(\tilde{a} - a) - 2\pi b. \tag{B6}
$$

- [1] J. S. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82**[, 664 \(1951\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.82.664)
- [2] M. K. Parikh and F.Wilczek,[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5042)85, 5042 (2000).
- [3] W. T. Zaumen, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/247530a0) 247, 530 (1974).
- [4] B. Carter, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.33.558) 33, 558 (1974).
- [5] T. Damour and R. Ruffini, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.463)* **35**, 463 (1975).
- [6] G. W. Gibbons, [Commun. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01609829) 44, 245 (1975).
- [7] D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **16**[, 2402 \(1977\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.16.2402).
- [8] W. A. Hiscock and L. D. Weems, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.41.1142)* 41, 1142 [\(1990\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.41.1142).
- [9] I. B. Khriplovich, Phys. Rep. 320[, 37 \(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00078-2)
- [10] C. Gabriel, *Phys. Rev. D* 63[, 024010 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.024010).
- [11] F. Belgiorno and S.L. Cacciatori, [Classical Quantum](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/10/105013) Gravity 25[, 105013 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/10/105013).
- [12] F. Belgiorno and S. L. Cacciatori, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124024)* **79**, 124024 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124024).
- [13] F. Belgiorno, S.L. Cacciatori, and F. Dalla Piazza, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/5/055011) 27, 055011 (2010).
- [14] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**[, 103517 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.103517)
- [15] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(82)90116-6) 144[, 249 \(1982\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(82)90116-6)
- [16] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, *[Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90643-8)* **115B**, [197 \(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90643-8).
- [17] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998), [http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9711200.pdf;](http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9711200.pdf) [Int. J. Theor.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961) Phys. 38[, 1113 \(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961)
- [18] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, and A.M. Polyakov, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3)* Lett. B 428[, 105 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3).
- [19] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998), [http://](http://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9802150v2.pdf) arxiv.org/pdf/hep-th/9802150v2.pdf.
- [20] A. I. Nikishov, Nucl. Phys. **B21**[, 346 \(1970\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(70)90527-4)
- [21] T. Damour, Proceedings of the First Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity, edited by R. Ruffini (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977), p. 459.
- [22] A. Hansen and F. Ravndal, *Phys. Scr.* **23**[, 1036 \(1981\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/23/6/002).
- [23] S. P. Kim, [arXiv:1105.4382v2.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1105.4382v2)
- [24] E. Merzbacher, Quantum Mechanics (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1970), Chap. 6, §. 6.
- [25] C.-M. Chen, Y.-M. Huang, and S.-J. Zou, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2010)123) [Phys. 03 \(2010\) 123.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2010)123)
- [26] C.-M. Chen and J.-R. Sun, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2010)034) [034.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2010)034)
- [27] C.-M. Chen and J.-R. Sun, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/330/1/012009) 330, 012009 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/330/1/012009).
- [28] C.-M. Chen, Y.-M. Huang, J.-R. Sun, M.-F. Wu, and S.-J. Zou, Phys. Rev. D 82[, 066004 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.066004).
- [29] C.-M. Chen and J.-R. Sun, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S2010194512004308) 07, [227 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S2010194512004308).
- [30] B. Pioline and J. Troost, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2005\) 043.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/043)
- [31] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**[, 105002 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.105002)
- [32] S. P. Kim, H. K. Lee, and Y. Yoon, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.025015)* 82, [025015 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.025015)
- [33] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (Academic Press, San Diego, 1994).
- [34] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**[, 045013 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.045013)