# Towards a gravity dual of the unitary Fermi gas

Xavier Bekaert,<sup>1</sup> Elisa Meunier,<sup>1</sup> and Sergej Moroz<sup>2,3</sup>

 $1$ LMPT, Université François Rabelais UMR 7350 CNRS & FdR 2964 Denis Poisson Parc de Grandmont 37200 Tours, France

<sup>2</sup>ITP, Universität Heidelberg Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany<br><sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195, 1560

 $3$ Department of Physics, University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195-1560, USA

(Received 14 November 2011; published 8 May 2012)

Inspired by the method of null-dimensional reduction and by the holographic correspondence between Vasiliev's higher-spin gravity and the critical  $O(N)$  model, a bulk dual of the unitary and the ideal nonrelativistic Fermi gases is proposed.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.85.106001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.106001) PACS numbers: 11.25.Tq, 03.75.Ss

### I. INTRODUCTION

The quantum many-body problem of a nonrelativistic two-component Fermi gas with short-range attractive interactions is a long-standing problem in condensed matter physics. At low temperature, the system is known to be superfluid and undergoes a smooth crossover from the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) to the Bose-Einstein-Condensate (BEC) regime as the two-body attraction is increased (see [\[1\]](#page-4-0) for reviews). Recent progress in experimental atomic physics has allowed the study of the BCS-to-BEC crossover with unprecedented accuracy. The regime in between BCS and BEC, known as the ''unitary Fermi gas," is of special theoretical interest. The unitary Fermi gas is strongly coupled and no obvious small parameter is available precluding the reliable application of a perturbative expansion.

A characteristic of the unitary Fermi gas in vacuum is its invariance under scale transformations and, more generally, under the Schrödinger group of  $[2]$  $[2]$ . This nonrelativistic conformal symmetry of the unitary Fermi gas allowed [\[3\]](#page-4-2) to apply the methods of gauge-gravity duality to this system. While these seminal papers triggered an intensive search for the holographic duals of various nonrelativistic systems originating from condensed matter physics, a holographic description of the unitary fermions still remains tantalizing. In this work, inspired by the conjectured anti-de Sitter (AdS) dual of the critical  $O(N)$  model [\[4](#page-4-3)], we make a next step towards the gravity dual description of the unitary Fermi gas.

### II. UNITARY FERMI GAS AND ITS LARGE-N EXTENSION

Experimentally, a dilute two-component Fermi gas can be cooled with lasers to ultralow temperatures close to absolute zero. Theoretically, this system can be very well-described as a Fermi gas with two-body contact interactions governed by the microscopic BCS action

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
S[\psi; c_0] = \int dt \int d\mathbf{x} \left[ \sum_{\alpha=1,1} \psi_{\alpha}^* \left( i\partial_t + \frac{\Delta}{2m} + \mu \right) \psi_{\alpha} \right. \\ - c_0 \psi_1^* \psi_1^* \psi_1 \psi_1 \right], \tag{1}
$$

where the two species of fermionic atoms of mass  $m$  are represented by the Grassmann fields  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_1$ , the chemical potential by  $\mu$ , and  $c_0$  measures the strength of the interaction. This model has an internal  $U(2)$  symmetry. Because of the contact nature of the interaction term, in three spatial dimensions  $(d = 3)$  the quantum field theory defined by the action [\(1\)](#page-0-0) must be renormalized by trading the bare interaction parameter  $c_0$  for a low-energy observable: the s-wave scattering length. Experimentally, the scattering length can be tuned via a Feshbach resonance by applying an external magnetic field. The unitary regime corresponds to an infinite scattering length. Hence, in vacuum (i.e.,  $\mu = 0$ ) there is no intrinsic length scale in the unitary regime and the microscopic action [\(1](#page-0-0)) is invariant under the Schrödinger symmetry. Most remarkably, the quantum version of this theory is believed to be an example of a strongly interacting nonrelativistic conformal field theory (NRCFT) [[5](#page-4-4)].

Aiming at a semiclassical holographic description, some large-N extension of the unitary Fermi gas is necessary. A sensible construction that preserves the pairing structure of the interaction term was found in  $[6]$ . The model with N ''flavors'' is defined by the action

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
S[\psi; c_0, N] = \int dt \int d\mathbf{x} \left[ \psi^{\dagger} \left( i\partial_t + \frac{\Delta}{2m} + \mu \right) \psi - \frac{c_0}{4N} |\psi^T \mathbb{J} \psi|^2 \right],
$$
 (2)

where  $\psi$  denotes a multiplet of 2N massive fermions with components  $\psi^A = \psi^a_\alpha$  with  $\alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow$  and  $a = 1, \ldots, N$ . The symbol  $\mathbb J$  represents the symplectic  $2N \times 2N$  matrix  $\mathbb{J}_{AB} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \otimes \delta_{ab}$ . For  $N = 1$ , one recovers the original model ([1](#page-0-0)). The extended model has  $U(1) \times Sp(2N)$  as an internal symmetry group. Its subgroup  $U(2) \times O(N)$ , where  $U(2)$  and  $O(N)$  transform independently the "spin" and "flavor" indices (respectively  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) will be central in our proposal. Via a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation in the Cooper channel, both theories [\(1](#page-0-0)) and [\(2\)](#page-0-1) can be reformulated as effective field theories in terms of a complex scalar field (called dimer in the literature on the unitary Fermi gas) associated with the Cooper pair

 $\psi^T \mathbb{J} \psi \sim \psi_1 \cdot \psi_1$ . In the large-N limit the quantum partition function of ([2](#page-0-1)) is equivalent to the mean field approximation for [\(1](#page-0-0)), as both correspond to the saddle point of the dimer effective theory.

# III. UNITARY FERMI GAS VS RELATIVISTIC  $U(N)$  MODEL

Relativistic scalar  $O(N)$  models and their natural complex  $U(N)$  extension are well-understood due to their central role in the physics of critical phenomena. Despite their different space-time symmetries, the relativistic  $U(N)$ model in  $D = d + 2$ -dimensional space-time and the nonrelativistic BCS model in d spatial dimensions have several features in common. Some of the properties of the models are compared in the following table:



 $U(N)$  and BCS models have a similar renormalization group topology exhibiting a pair of fixed points. Besides the trivial fixed point, both theories can be tuned to criticality: the Wilson-Fisher fixed point for the massless  $U(N)$ model and the unitary fixed point for the BCS model at  $\mu = 0$ . In the large-N limit, the models at the interacting fixed point are simply related to their noninteracting counterparts. In particular, by applying the general observation of [[7\]](#page-4-6) to nonrelativistic fermions, one can show that, in the large-N limit, the free energies of the ideal and the unitary Fermi gases are related by a Legendre transformation with respect to the dimer field. Consequently, in this limit the theory at the two fixed points has the same infinite set of conserved currents and symmetries, most of which are broken by  $1/N$  corrections in the interacting theory. Analogous observation also holds for the relativistic  $U(N)$  model.

One also observes a simple relation between the scaling dimensions of the collective field at the two fixed points for both BCS and  $U(N)$  models [\[3,](#page-4-2)[4](#page-4-3)]

$$
\Delta^{\text{free}} = \begin{cases}\nd & \text{BCS model} \\
D - 2 & U(N) \text{ model} \\
\Delta^{\text{int}} = 2 \begin{cases}\n\text{BCS model (in vacuum)} \\
U(N) \text{ model } (N = \infty \text{ limit})\n\end{cases} \tag{3}
$$

In contrast to the relativistic  $U(N)$  model, due to the simplicity of the nonrelativistic vacuum, the relation  $\Delta^{\text{int}} = 2$  is exact in the theory of nonrelativistic fermions, i.e., it receives no  $1/N$  corrections.

The highest of the two scaling dimensions, denoted  $\Delta_{+}$ , is always above the unitarity bound and corresponds to an infrared (IR)-stable fixed point on the boundary side and to a standard (Dirichlet) boundary condition on the bulk side. The lowest dimension,  $\Delta_{-}$ , corresponds to an ultraviolet (UV)-stable fixed point and to an exotic (Neumann) boundary condition. Thus the holographic dual of the boundary Legendre transformation is a change of boundary conditions on the bulk scalar field. When both dimensions are above the nonrelativistic unitarity bound,  $\Delta_{+} \geq \Delta_{-} \geq$  $d/2$ , both fixed points are admissible and thus correspond to different choices of boundary conditions for the same bulk theory.

The unitary fixed point corresponding to  $\Delta^{\text{int}}$  is physically admissible only for  $0 < d < 2$  (IR-stable) and  $2 < d < 4$  (UV-stable). Indeed, for  $d > 4$  one obtains  $\Delta^{\text{int}} = 2 < \frac{d}{2}$  which violates the unitarity bound for dimers. Moreover, in  $d = 2$  both fixed points merge together  $(\Delta^{\text{free}} = 2 = \Delta^{\text{int}})$  and only the trivial fixed point exists. The situation can be summarized as:



#### IV. NULL-DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION

This is an old trick relating mathematically, relativistic and nonrelativistic theories at tree level (see, e.g., [[8](#page-4-7)]). It is based on the observation that the d'Alembertian of  $D =$  $d + 2$ -dimensional Minkowski space-time expressed in light-cone coordinates  $x^{\mu} = (x^+, x^-, x^i)$  is proportional to the Schrödinger operator in  $d$  spatial dimensions, modulo the identification of the null coordinate  $x^+$  with the nonrelativistic time and of the null momentum  $-i\partial$  with the mass operator. Indeed, the kinetic operator of a relativistic scalar theory,  $\Box - M^2 = -2\partial_+ \partial_- + \Delta - M^2$ , when acting on eigenmodes of the null momentum,

$$
\Psi(x) = e^{-imx^{-}} \psi(x^{+}, x^{i}), \tag{4}
$$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>is proportional to the kinetic Schrödinger operator of a nonrelativistic theory,  $i\partial_t + \Delta/2m + \mu$ , via the identification  $x^+ = t$  and  $M^2 = -\mu/2m$ . Hence, any solution  $\Psi(x)$ of the free Klein-Gordon equation of the form [\(4](#page-1-0)) defines a solution  $\psi(t, x)$  of the free Schrödinger equation, and conversely.

By definition, symmetries map solutions on solutions, thus the symmetries of the free Schrödinger equation can be seen as those symmetries of the free Klein-Gordon equation that commute with a fixed null momentum. For instance, the Bargmann group (the central extension of the Galilei group by the mass) and the Schrödinger group (the Bargmann group enlarged by expansions and scale transformations [[2](#page-4-1)]) are, respectively, the kinematical symmetry groups of the free Schrödinger equation with and without chemical potential [\[9](#page-4-8)]. They can be viewed as the centralizers of a given null momentum inside, respectively, the Poincaré and the conformal group of kinematical symmetries of the Klein-Gordon equation with and without mass.

The dimensional reduction explains the similarities between the large-N critical  $U(N)$  model and the unitary Fermi gas in vacuum. In fact, generally any Lagrangian invariant under global  $U(1)$  phase and Poincaré (conformal) transformations can be consistently reduced to a Lagrangian preserved by the  $U(1)$  and Bargmann (Schrödinger) groups. This universal relationship between relativistic and nonrelativistic field theories in the semiclassical (i.e., mean field) approximation has maybe not yet received the attention that it deserves.

#### V. NONRELATIVISTIC HIGHER-SPIN **SYMMETRIES**

A key feature of free conformal field theories (CFTs) is that their symmetries are enhanced to an infinitedimensional higher-spin symmetry algebra. Following the holographic dictionary, the associated infinite collection of higher-spin conserved currents should be dual to a tower of higher-spin gauge fields in the bulk. In particular, the bilinear singlet sector of a free scalar CFT should be dual to a Vasiliev theory [[10](#page-4-9)]. Consequently, a crucial step towards a bulk dual of the ideal Fermi gas is the identification of symmetries and currents of the nonrelativistic free fermions as well as their relationship to their relativistic parent. This lengthy analysis will be presented in detail in [\[11\]](#page-4-10) and here we only summarize our main results.

A target is the nonrelativistic counterpart of the theorem of Eastwood [[12](#page-4-11)] identifying the maximal symmetry algebra of the d'Alembert equation in  $D = d + 2$  flat spacetime. The latter infinite-dimensional algebra is denoted here as "Vasiliev  $(d + 2,2)$ ", since it contains the conformal algebra  $\mathfrak{O}(d + 2, 2)$  and is used by Vasiliev as gauge algebra in his bosonic higher-spin theories on  $AdS_{d+3}$  [[13\]](#page-4-12). Mimicking the definitions of [[12](#page-4-11)], a symmetry generator of the free Schrödinger equation (with  $\mu = 0$  from now on),

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
(i\partial_t + \frac{\Delta}{2m})\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{it(\Delta/2m)}\psi(0, \mathbf{x}), \quad (5)
$$

is a linear differential operator  $\hat{A}$  such that  $(i\partial_t +$  $\Delta/2m)\hat{A} = \hat{B}(i\partial_t + \Delta/2m)$  for some linear differential operator  $\hat{B}$ , because then  $\hat{A}$  maps solutions on solutions. Two generators are equivalent if they only differ by a trivial generator of the form  $\hat{A} = \hat{C}(i\partial_t + \Delta/2m)$  for some linear operator  $\hat{C}$ , i.e.,  $\hat{A}$  then maps solutions on zero. The maximal symmetry algebra of the free single-particle Schrödinger equation is the Lie algebra of all inequivalent symmetry generators and it is [\[11\]](#page-4-10):

(i) isomorphic to the Weyl algebra [\[14\]](#page-4-13), denoted ''Weyl  $(d)$ ," of spatial differential operators (i.e., quantum observables that are polynomials in positions and momenta) evolved in the time-reversed Heisenberg picture

$$
\hat{A}(-t) = e^{-it(\Delta/2m)}\hat{A}(0)e^{-it(\Delta/2m)}
$$

that manifestly maps any solution [\(5](#page-2-0)) to a solution,

- (ii) generated algebraically by (taking powers of) the spatial translation and Galilean boost generators,  $\hat{P}_i = -i\partial_i = \hat{P}_i(-t)$  and  $\hat{K}_i = mx_i + it\partial_i = m\hat{X}_i(-t)$ with canonical commutation relations  $[\hat{K}_i, \hat{P}_j] =$  $i\delta_{ij}m$ ,
- (iii) embedded in the Vasiliev algebra as the subalgebra commuting with a given null momentum and contains the Schrödinger algebra  $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$ , as summarized here:



where the vertical embedding corresponds to the nulldimensional reduction, and the horizontal embedding arises from the fact that the generators of kinematical symmetries are first-order differential operators while higher symmetries generators can be higher derivatives. Notably [[15](#page-4-14)], the Schrödinger algebra is contained in the Weyl algebra because its generators can be realized as polynomials of degree two in the spatial translation and Galilean boost generators.

For an *n*-component scalar field, these higher-spin space-time symmetry algebras of the d'Alembert and Schrödinger equations can be tensored with an internal  $u(n)$  algebra of Hermitian  $n \times n$  matrices. The corresponding higher-spin theories then possess  $u(n)$ -valued gauge fields [[13](#page-4-12)] dual to boundary bilinear currents in the adjoint representation of  $U(n)$  [[4\]](#page-4-3).

# VI. FERMION BILINEARS AND COUPLING TO SOURCES

The physical  $(N = 1)$  BCS fermions are two-component Grassmann scalars in the fundamental representation of the internal symmetry group  $U(2)$ . Together with the up and down particle densities, the Cooper pair fits into an adjoint multiplet of  $U(2)$ , i.e., the 2  $\times$  2 Hermitian matrix:

XAVIER BEKAERT, ELISA MEUNIER, AND SERGEJ MOROZ PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 106001 (2012)

$$
\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\mathtt{j}^{(0)}_{\uparrow} & k^{(0)}\\ k^{(0)*} & \mathtt{j}^{(0)}_{\downarrow} \end{smallmatrix}\right) := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\psi_{\uparrow}^{*}\cdot\psi_{\uparrow} & \psi_{\uparrow}\cdot\psi_{\downarrow}\\ \psi_{\downarrow}^{*}\cdot\psi_{\uparrow}^{*} & \psi_{\downarrow}^{*}\cdot\psi_{\downarrow} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\!.
$$

In the large-N extended theory, these considerations lead us to focus on the sector of flavor-singlet two-fermion composite fields in the adjoint representation of  $U(2)$ . They are spanned by the  $U(1)$ -neutral conserved currents [\[16\]](#page-4-15) (no sum over the index  $\alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow$ )

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\dot{\mathfrak{I}}_{\alpha i_1 \cdots i_s}^{(r)} = \delta_{ab} \psi_{\alpha}^{a*} \underbrace{\overline{\partial}_t \cdots \overline{\partial}_t}_{r} \overline{\partial}_{i_1} \cdots \overline{\partial}_{i_s} \psi_{\alpha}^b \qquad (6)
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>and the  $U(1)$ -charged symmetric tensors

$$
k_{i_1\cdots i_s}^{(r)} = \delta_{ab} \psi_1^a \overline{\partial}_t \cdots \overline{\partial}_t \overline{\partial}_{i_1} \cdots \overline{\partial}_{i_s} \psi_1^b. \tag{7}
$$

For  $s = r = 0$ , these composite fields, respectively, reproduce the up and down particle densities  $j_{\alpha}^{(0)} = \psi_{\alpha}^*$  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \psi_{\alpha}$ and the Cooper pair  $k^{(0)} = \psi_1 \cdot \psi_1$ . In the holographic correspondence, the composite operators ([6](#page-3-0)) and [\(7\)](#page-3-1) should couple minimally to sources, respectively, denoted by  $h_{\alpha i_1 \cdots i_s}^{(r)}$  and  $\varphi_{i_1 \cdots i_s}^{(r)}$ , representing the boundary data of  $u(2)$ -valued bulk gauge fields. With the techniques of [\[17\]](#page-4-16), the difference of the free action  $S[\psi; 0, N]$  and of the minimal coupling term,

$$
\sum_{r,s\geq 0}\int dtd{\bf x}(j^{(r)i_1\cdots i_s}h_{i_1\cdots i_s}^{(r)}+k^{(r)i_1\cdots i_s*}\varphi_{i_1\cdots i_s}^{(r)}+c.c.),
$$

can be rewritten as the quadratic functional [\[11\]](#page-4-10)

$$
\int dt dx \Psi^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} i\partial_t + \frac{\Delta}{2m} - \hat{H}_{\dagger} & \hat{\varphi} \\ \hat{\varphi}^{\dagger} & i\partial_t - \frac{\Delta}{2m} + \hat{H}_{\dagger}^{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \Psi,
$$

where  $\Psi^T = (\psi_{\uparrow}, \psi_{\downarrow}^*)$  defines the two-component Nambu-Gorkov fermion,  $\hat{H}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\hat{\mathbf{X}}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}) := \hat{H}_{\alpha}(\hat{\mathbf{X}}, -\hat{\mathbf{P}})$  and the differential operators  $\hat{H}_{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}$  are related to the respective sources  $h_{\alpha}$  and  $\varphi$ . This compact rewriting is formally identical to the Nambu-Gorkov formulation of the BCS theory except that the chemical potential and the energy gap are replaced by space-time differential operators. The effective action can be obtained now via a Gaussian integration over the fermionic field and is a trace-log functional of the above  $2 \times 2$  matrix. These results can be reproduced through the null-dimensional reduction of a free relativistic scalar theory [\[11\]](#page-4-10).

### VII. BULK DUAL

What might be the gravity dual of the unitary Fermi gas? Keeping the above discussion in mind, we approach this question by following these steps: (i) unitary fermions at  $N = \infty$  are Legendre conjugate to free fermions, (ii) a key tool for understanding higher-spin symmetries of free nonrelativistic fermions is the null-dimensional reduction of free relativistic Grassmann scalars, (iii) free relativistic scalar theories are expected to be dual to Vasiliev higherspin theories.

Therefore it is tempting to perform the null reduction on both sides of the relativistic holographic duality, as in [[18\]](#page-4-17). Schematically, our philosophy looks as follows:

Higher-spins on 
$$
AdS_{d+3} \longleftrightarrow CFT_{d+2}
$$
  
\n $\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$   
\nNon-relativistic Higher-spins<sub>d+1</sub>  $\xleftrightarrow^?$  NRCFT<sub>d</sub>

with horizontal arrows denoting holographic correspondence and vertical arrows relating relativistic to nonrelativistic theories via the null reduction. The higher-dimensional relativistic parents are mere auxiliary tools in our construction, used at tree level only since they may be sick as quantum field theories per se. For instance, the CFT violates the spin-statistics theorem, but this is not a problem since this theorem does not apply to nonrelativistic theories.

We thus propose that a candidate for the holographic description of fermions at unitarity is the null reduction of a Vasiliev higher-spin gravity [\[19\]](#page-4-18). More precisely, the  $O(N)$ -invariant sector of the large-N unitary fermions in d spatial dimensions might be dual to the null reduction of the Vasiliev bosonic theory [\[13\]](#page-4-12) on  $AdS_{d+3}$  with  $U(2)$ internal symmetry. Scalar fields on  $AdS_{d+3}$  admit two distinct choices of boundary conditions for mass square in the interval  $-\left(\frac{d+2}{2}\right)^2 < m^2 < 1 - \left(\frac{d+2}{2}\right)^2$ . Since the complex bulk scalar fields in the higher-spin multiplet have  $m^2 = -2d$ , this possibility arises in the intervals  $0 <$  $d < 2$  and  $2 < d < 4$ . In particular, the gravity dual of the "physical" three-dimensional  $(d = 3)$  two-component  $(N = 1)$  UV-stable  $(\Delta = 2)$  unitary Fermi gas should be the null-dimensional reduction of Vasiliev theory describing interacting  $u(2)$ -valued higher-spin gauge fields on  $AdS<sub>6</sub>$  with exotic boundary condition for the bulk scalar field dual to the Cooper pair. The intimate connection between the unitary and the ideal Fermi gases together with the universality of the null-dimensional reduction method suggest that the holographic dual of the unitary Fermi gas is within our immediate reach.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank C. Duval, S. Golkar, M. Hassaine, P. Horvathy, D. T. Son, J. Unterberger and M. Valenzuela for discussions on related issues. The work of S. M. was supported by U.S. DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-97ER41014.

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.885) 80, [885 \(2008\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.885) S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and P Stringari, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1215) 80, 1215 (2008).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] U. Niederer, Helv. Phys. Acta 45, 802 (1972); C. R. Hagen, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.5.377) 5, 377 (1972).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **78**[, 046003 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.046003); K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.061601) 101, [061601 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.061601).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02980-5) 550, 213 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02980-5).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] T. Mehen, I. W. Stewart, and M. B. Wise, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00006-X) 474[, 145 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00006-X); F. Werner and Y. Castin, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.053604) 74, [053604 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.053604) Y. Nishida and D. T. Son, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.086004) 76, [086004 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.086004).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] P. Nikolic and S. Sachdev, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.033608) 75, 033608 [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.033608); M. Y. Veillette, D. E. Sheehy, and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. A 75[, 043614 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.043614)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] S. S. Gubser and I. R. Klebanov, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00056-7) **B656**, 23 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00056-7).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[8] C. Duval, M. Hassaine, and P. A. Horvathy, [Ann. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2009.01.006) (N.Y.) 324[, 1158 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2009.01.006).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[9] Nonrelativistic kinematical symmetries are symmetry transformations,  $\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \to \gamma(g(t, \mathbf{x})) \psi(g^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}))$ , thus its generators are first-order differential operators.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00739-3) B644, 303 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00739-3).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[11] X. Bekaert, E. Meunier, and S. Moroz, [J. High Energy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)113) [Phys. 02 \(2012\) 113.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)113)
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[12] M. G. Eastwood, Ann. Math. **161**[, 1645 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2005.161.1645)
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[13] M. A. Vasiliev, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00872-4) 567, 139 (2003).
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[14] This result is a corollary of the following general results on  $\Im p(2d, \mathbb{R})$ -covariant unfolded equations: M.A. Vasiliev, Phys. Rev. D 66[, 066006 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.066006).
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[15] M. Valenzuela, [arXiv:0912.0789.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0912.0789)
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[16] The general structure of such nonrelativistic higher-spin conserved currents was found in: O. A. Gelfond and M. A. Vasiliev, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2009\) 125.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/125)
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[17] X. Bekaert, E. Joung, and J. Mourad, [J. High Energy Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2011)048) [02 \(2011\) 048.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2011)048)
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[18] W.D. Goldberger, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2009\) 069](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/069); J. L. F. Barbon and C. A. Fuertes, [J. High Energy Phys. 09](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/030) [\(2008\) 030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/030); F. L. Lin and S. Y. Wu, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.07.002) 679, 65 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.07.002).
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[19] Although the null reduction is tricky in gravity, a promising route is to follow: C. Duval, G. Burdet, H. P. Kunzle, and M. Perrin, Phys. Rev. D 31[, 1841 \(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.31.1841); B. Julia and H. Nicolai, Nucl. Phys. B439[, 291 \(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)00584-2).