A_n effective action for asymptotically safe gravity PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 081503(R) (2012)
An effective action for asymptotically safe gravity

Alfio Bonanno 1,2

¹INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, Via S.Sofia 78, 95123 Catania, Italy $\frac{2}{NEN}$, Sezione di Catania, Via S.Sofia 72, 95123 Catania, Italy 10^{2} INFN, Sezione di Catania, Via S.Sofia 72, 95123 Catania, Italy (Received 15 September 2011; published 9 April 2012)

Asymptotically safe theories of gravitation have received great attention in recent times. In this framework an effective action embodying the basic features of the renormalized flow around the non-Gaussian fixed-point is derived and its implications for the early universe are discussed. In particular, a landscape of a countably infinite number of cosmological inflationary solutions characterized by an unstable de Sitter phase lasting for a large enough number of e-folds is found.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.85.081503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.081503) PACS numbers: 04.60. m, 04.50.Kd, 11.10.Hi, 98.80.Cq

Thanks to the application of renormalization group (RG) techniques [\[1\]](#page-3-0), the possibility that the high-energy behavior of gravity can be governed by an ultraviolet non-Gaussian fixed-point (NGFP) is gradually emerging as a viable theoretical scenario for a consistent theory of quantum gravity. In this context, the nonperturbative functional renormalization group equation [[2\]](#page-3-1) for gravity [[3\]](#page-3-2), is a particularly important tool because it generates an RG flow on a theory space which consists of all diffeomorphism invariant functionals of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. It defines a one-parameter family of effective field theories with actions $\Gamma_k(g_{\mu\nu})$ depending on a coarse graining scale (or
"cutoff") k and interpolates between a "bare" action (for "cutoff") k , and interpolates between a "bare" action (for $k \rightarrow \infty$) and the ordinary effective action (for $k \rightarrow 0$). When applied to the Einstein-Hilbert (EH) action the functional renormalization group equation yields beta functions [\[3,](#page-3-2)[4](#page-3-3)] which have made detailed investigations of the scaling behavior of Newton's constant possible [\[5](#page-3-4)–[16\]](#page-3-5). It has been shown quite convincingly that the dimensionful Newton constant G is antiscreened at high energies, a behavior that eventually leads to an ultraviolet (UV) NGFP, a necessary condition for asymptotic safety. These analyses have then been enlarged to include matter [\[17\]](#page-3-6) and a growing number of purely gravitational operators in the action [[18](#page-3-7)[–22\]](#page-3-8) and reviews of these works have appeared in [[23](#page-3-9)].

Clearly, a natural arena for the applications of this idea is the physics of the early universe and the space-time singularities. In those contexts, the RG flow of the effective average action, obtained by different truncations of theory space, has been the basis of various investigations of ''RG-improved'' black hole [[24](#page-3-10)[,25\]](#page-3-11) and cosmological [\[26–](#page-3-12)[32\]](#page-3-13) spacetimes. In particular, very recently it has been shown that the ''RG-improved'' Einstein equations admit (power-law or exponential) inflationary solutions and that the running of the cosmological constant can account for the entire entropy of the present universe in the massless sector [\[33,](#page-4-0)[34\]](#page-4-1) (see [[35](#page-4-2)] for an extended review.)

However, it is still not always clear how to extract all the relevant information encoded in the running of the Newton constant because of the conceptual difficulties in relating the typical energy momentum scale k with the space-time properties. In a situation with more than one possible physical cutoff scale the general theory of the effective average action [\[36](#page-4-3)] implies that the relevant action is Γ_k where k is the largest one of the various competing scale. Therefore in a realistic description of the early universe, including the presence of the matter fields, the cutoff identification becomes a difficult task unless one knows a priori the hierarchies of scales during the universe evolution. However, even if this information were known, the cutoff must then be promoted to the status of a dynamical variable in order to preserve diffeomorphism invariance at the level of the action [[37](#page-4-4)–[40](#page-4-5)]. In a recent work [[41\]](#page-4-6), Weinberg has discussed the possibility that, in the context of asymptotically safe gravity, it could be possible to have a period of exponential expansion which comes to an end after enough e-folds of inflation. An important ingredient is the choice of an ''optimal cutoff'' which only partially includes the effect of the radiative corrections. Unfortunately, it turns out that an unstable de Sitter phase which lasts enough e-folds is in general not simple to achieve in this model, unless some fine-tuning occurs [\[41](#page-4-6)[,42\]](#page-4-7).

Ideally, if one wanted to study the quantum evolution of the curvature at very high, let us say Planckian energies, the full effective action $\Gamma(g_{\mu\nu})$ should in principle be used.
This functional coincides with Γ_g ($g_{\mu\nu}$) in the limit $k \to 0$ This functional coincides with $\Gamma_k(g_{\mu\nu})$ in the limit $k \to 0$
but this limit is not easily accessible unless renormalization but this limit is not easily accessible unless renormalization effects are unimportant below some given mass scale.

A possible strategy in order to obtain an effective action describing the physics near the NGFP up to Planckian energies, is to perform a renormalization group improvement of the classical Lagrangian, a standard approach in QED and QCD [\[43,](#page-4-8)[44\]](#page-4-9). For instance, for the quark binding problem in QCD [[45](#page-4-10)] the leading contributions to the effective QCD Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{eff}^{QCD} is encoded
in the well known *leading-log* model which can be in the well known leading-log model, which can be obtained by means of a renormalization group improvement of the standard classical Lagrangian, $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{\text{QCD}} =$
 $\mathcal{F}/2g_{run}^2$ where $g_{run}^2 = g^2(\mu^2)/[1 + \frac{1}{4}bg^2(\mu^2)\log(\mathcal{F}/\mu^4)]$,

 $\mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^a - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^a + f^{abc} A_{\mu b} A_{\nu c})^2$, μ is an infrared
subtraction scale and h is the usual one-loop repormaliza- $\mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_\mu A_\nu - \sigma_\nu A_\mu + \mathcal{F} - A_\mu B A_{\nu c})$, μ is an initiated subtraction scale and *b* is the usual one-loop renormalization constant, dependent on the number of flavors. In this case the renormalization group is applied to the fieldstrength dependence rather than the usual k^2 -dependence of the running coupling constant [[45](#page-4-10)[–48\]](#page-4-11). A nontrivial confirmation of the validity of this approach in QCD can be found in the recent work of [[49](#page-4-12)] where it was shown that the leading-log model is in qualitative agreement with the results of an explicit evaluation of the full effective action obtained with the functional RG.

Let us then start with the standard classical EH Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}^{EH} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} (R - 2\Lambda)
$$
 (1)

and replace the coupling constant G and Λ in [\(1](#page-1-0)) with the running counterparts $G \rightarrow G(k)$ and $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda(k)$ with running counterparts $G \to G(k)$ and $\Lambda \to \Lambda(k)$ with $k^2 \propto R$. Although at this point it would be possible to $k^2 \propto R$. Although at this point it would be possible to consider more complicated tensor structure of the curvaconsider more complicated tensor structure of the curvature, it has been shown in [[50](#page-4-13)] that, at variance with Lagrangians dependent on nonlinear function of the scalar Ricci curvature alone, nontrivial vacua of higher derivative theory dependent on nonlinear functions of the square of the Ricci tensor or of the Riemann tensor, carry additional ghostlike excitations which will not be considered in the following discussion.

In particular, in our case $G(k) = g(k)/k^2$ and $\Lambda(k) = k^2$ being $g(k)$ and $\lambda(k)$ the dimensionless running $\lambda(k)k^2$, being $g(k)$ and $\lambda(k)$ the dimensionless running Newton and cosmological constant, respectively. Since we do not have an explicit solution for the β -functions at our disposal in general, it turns out to be more convenient to use the information we know from the linearized flow around the NGFP. In particular in [\[6,](#page-3-14)[7](#page-3-15)] it has been shown that the approach to the fixed-point is characterized by a pair of complex conjugate critical exponents $\theta_1 = \theta_2^*$ with nositive real part θ' and imaginary parts $+\theta''$ Introducing positive real part θ' and imaginary parts $\pm \theta''$. Introducing $t = \ln(k/k_0)$ the general solution to the linearized flow equation reads

$$
(\lambda, g)^{T} = (\lambda_{*}, g_{*})^{T} + 2\{ [\text{Re}C\cos(\theta''t) + \text{Im}C\sin(\theta''t)]\text{Re}V + [\text{Re}C\cos(\theta''t) - \text{Im}C\sin(\theta''t)]\text{Im}V \} e^{-\theta't}
$$
(2)

where C is an arbitrary complex number and V is the right eigenvector of the stability matrix (with eigenvalues $-\theta_1 = -\theta_2^*$ around the NGFP in the EH truncation.
Because of the fact that $\theta' > 0$ all the trajectories converge Because of the fact that $\theta' > 0$ all the trajectories converge to the fixed-point (λ_*, g_*) as $t \to \infty$. The imaginary part θ'' plays no role in the stability but it influences the trajectories which spiral into the fixed-point as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We can now substitute the solution [\(2](#page-1-1)) in ([1](#page-1-0)) obtaining the following effective Lagrangian

ALFIO BONANNO PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 081503(R) (2012)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{QEG}}(R) = R^2 + bR^2 \cos \left[\alpha \log \left(\frac{R}{\mu} \right) \right] \left(\frac{R}{\mu} \right)^{\beta} \tag{3}
$$

where the freedom in choosing the constant C has been used in order to set the coefficient of the sine term to zero. In performing the RG improvement $k^2 \propto R$ has been assumed, following the same idea used in obtaining the leading-log QCD effective action. In addition, a global constant rescaling in order to have the coefficient of R^2 equal to unity in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ has been performed: this is always possible as we do not consider the inclusion of matter fields. In this notation, $\alpha = \theta''/2$, $\beta = -\theta' < 0$ and $\mu = k_0^2$ is the infrared momentum scale which defines
the crossover region between the Gaussian fixed-point and the crossover region between the Gaussian fixed-point, and the NGFP. The constant b could be calculated in principle from all the constants appearing in Eq. ([2](#page-1-1)) and the fixedpoint values λ_* and g_* but its precise value is not important in the following discussion.

It is interesting to note that this particular a functional dependences of the *log-periodic* type as shown in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-2) characterizes a wide variety of physical systems like for instance the deviations from the pure Kolmogorov scaling in hydrodynamical turbulence due to intermittence, or fractal space-time structures[[51](#page-4-14)]. The equation of motion for a generic action of the type ([3](#page-1-2))

$$
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{dL}{dR}R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Lg_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\frac{dL}{dR} + g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{\rho}\nabla_{\rho}\frac{dL}{dR} = 0
$$
\n(4)

being

$$
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \mathcal{L}(R) \tag{5}
$$

a generic gravitational action.

Let us now investigate the physical content of this effective Lagrangian in the context of early universe, by considering a spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric in vacuum. In a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmology with scale factor $a(t)$ we can write both the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ and the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the Hubble rate $H(t) = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$. In particular, the (tt) -component and (minus) the trace of (4) (4) become

$$
\mathcal{A}(H) = -3(\dot{H} + H^2)\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dR} + 3H\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dR} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}
$$
 (6)

$$
\mathcal{B}(H) = -6(\dot{H} + 2H^2)\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dR} + 2\mathcal{L} + 3\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dR} + 9H\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dR}.\tag{7}
$$

For the following analysis, instead of using directly Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-4) it is more convenient to eliminate the \ddot{H} term generated by [\(7\)](#page-1-5) using [\(6\)](#page-1-4) in order to obtain

AN EFFECTIVE ACTION FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 081503(R) (2012)

$$
\dot{H}^2 + 6^{\beta} b \cos \left[\alpha \ln \left(\frac{6(2H^2 + \dot{H})}{\mu} \right) \right] \left(\frac{2H^2 + \dot{H}}{\mu} \right)^{\beta} (2\beta H^4 + (4\alpha^2 - 6 - \beta(9 + 4\beta))H^2 \dot{H} \n+ (1 + \beta)\dot{H}^2 + (\alpha - (1 + \beta)(2 + \beta))H\dot{H}) \n= 2H(3H\dot{H} + \ddot{H}) + 6^{\beta} b \alpha \sin \left[\alpha \ln \left(\frac{6(2H^2 + \dot{H})}{\mu} \right) \right] \left(\frac{2H^2 + \dot{H}}{\mu} \right)^{\beta} (2H^4 - (9 + 8\beta)H^2 \dot{H} + \dot{H}^2 - (3 + 2\beta)H\ddot{H}).
$$
\n(8)

One is interested in de Sitter solutions, so that $H = \overline{H}$ = const so that Eq. [\(8](#page-2-0)) yields

$$
\bar{H} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{12}} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\tan^{-1}\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + n\pi\right)\right], \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (9)
$$

which represent a countable number of de Sitter vacua all labeled by n . It is important to remark that this nontrivial structure of the solution is a consequence of the ''spiral'' evolution around the NGFP. As discussed by Weinberg [\[41\]](#page-4-6), the relevant question is if these solutions are unstable with characteristic growth time $\gg 1/H$ so that inflation comes to an end after a large enough e-folds number \approx

 $1/\xi$. In order to address this question it is convenient to write write

$$
H(t) = \bar{H} + \delta H(t) \tag{10}
$$

and linearize Eq. ([8\)](#page-2-0) around the solutions ([9\)](#page-2-1) with $\delta H(t) =$ $\exp(\xi \vec{H}t)$. After some manipulations, it is possible to obtain the following stability equation tain the following stability equation

$$
\xi^2 + \xi 3 e^{n\pi/2\alpha} + A = 0,\tag{11}
$$

where

$$
A = -\frac{4\alpha b(-1)^n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)e^{(\beta \tan^{-1}(\beta/\alpha) + \pi(\beta+1)n)/\alpha}}{\alpha b(-1)^n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2)e^{\beta(\tan^{-1}(\beta/\alpha) + \pi n)/\alpha} - 2\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}.
$$
(12)

The interesting result of this discussion is that the stability of these inflationary solutions does not depend on the mass scale μ : only the real and imaginary part of the critical exponent and the point in the λ -g-plane, monitored by the constant b, determine the stability of the solution. As discussed in [\[7](#page-3-15)], the scheme dependence of the critical exponents turns out to be very limited, as θ' and θ'' assume values in the ranges $2.1 < \theta' < 3.4$ and $3.1 < \theta'' < 4.3$, respectively, for various cutoff functions. For positive values of the integer n the constant A decays exponentially to zero because β < 0, and one is left only with a negative root, which implies stability, for any value of b. The situation is different for negative values of n because the exponents in ([12](#page-2-2)) are very large, the $-2\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$ term in the denominator can be neglected and the *b*-denominator the denominator can be neglected and the b-dependence cancels out: now A is always negative and one root is unstable. In this case A can be approximated with

$$
A \approx -\frac{4(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)e^{\pi n/\alpha}}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2}
$$
 (13)

and the unstable root is

$$
\xi = \frac{(\sqrt{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2)(25\alpha^2 + 25\beta^2 - 18)} - 3\alpha^2 - 3\beta^2 + 6)e^{\pi n/2\alpha}}{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2)}.
$$
\n(14)

The prefactor in front of the exponential is always of the order unity and positive for θ' and θ'' in the allowed range as $\alpha = \theta''/2$ and $\beta = -\theta'$ and therefore it is always possible to produce enough e-folds of inflation for n negative enough. At last we find

$$
1/\xi \approx e^{-n\pi/\theta''} \tag{15}
$$

for the number of e-folds. For instance for $n = -3$, $1/\xi \approx$ 17 and for $n = -4$ one gets $1/\xi \approx 49$ while for $n = -5$,
 $1/\xi \approx 140$. It should be stressed that this result is rather $1/\xi \approx 140$. It should be stressed that this result is rather
remarkable because it only depends on one "universal" remarkable, because it only depends on one ''universal'' quantity, namely, the imaginary part of the critical exponent which characterizes the flow around the NGFP. This is reassuring because otherwise a strong cutoff dependence in ([15](#page-2-3)) would have signaled that important terms in the truncations still needed to be considered in the cos-log model of Eq. ([3\)](#page-1-2).

The effective action presented in this work has some attractive features, which could be useful in discussing the cosmological consequences of an asymptotically safe gravity near the Planck scale. It reproduces the expected leading R^2 behavior of the Lagrangian for large curvature near the NGFP due to the fact that $G \sim 1/k^2$ at the NGFP, but it also embodies the important information provided by the linearized RG flow which ''spirals'' towards the NGFP.

One limitation of our result is that it has been obtained within the EH truncation. However, it is not difficult to realize that the structure of the linearized flow near the NGFP can always be recast in an effective Lagrangian of the log-periodic type. To illustrate this point, let us consider a quadratic Lagrangian of the type introduced in [\[6\]](#page-3-14)

$$
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ \frac{1}{16\pi G} (R - 2\Lambda) - \beta R^2 \right\}.
$$
 (16)

The linearized flow in the vicinity of NGFP is also governed by a pair of complex conjugate critical exponents $\theta_1 = \theta' + i\theta'' = \theta_2^*$ with $\theta' > 0$ and a single real, positive critical exponent $\theta_2 > 0$. It may be expressed as critical exponent $\theta_3 > 0$. It may be expressed as

$$
(\lambda_k, g_k, \beta_k)^{\mathrm{T}} = (\lambda_*, g_*, \beta_*)^{\mathrm{T}} + 2\{\text{[ReC cos(\theta''t)]} + \text{ImC sin(\theta''t)}\} + \text{[ReC sin(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC cos(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ReC sin(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC cos(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC cos(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC cos(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC sin(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC cos(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC sin(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC cos(\theta''t)]} + \text{[ImC cos(\theta
$$

ALFIO BONANNO PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 081503(R) (2012)

with arbitrary complex $C \equiv C_1 = (C_2)^*$ and arbitrary real C_2 and with $V \equiv V^1 = (V^2)^*$ and V^3 the right eigenvec- C_3 , and with $V \equiv V^1 = (V^2)^*$ and V^3 the right eigenvectors of the stability matrix with eigenvalues $-\theta_1 = -\theta^*$ tors of the stability matrix with eigenvalues $-\theta_1 = -\theta_2^*$ and $-\theta_3$, respectively. The conditions for UV stability,
 $\theta' > 0$ and $\theta_2 > 0$ are satisfied for all cutoffs but since $\theta' > 0$ and $\theta_3 > 0$, are satisfied for all cutoffs, but since $\theta_3 \gg \theta'$, it is difficult to imagine that the renormalized
flow is strongly affected by the presence of the R^2 term in flow is strongly affected by the presence of the R^2 term in the Lagrangian. On the other hand, we know from the work of [\[22\]](#page-3-8) that the values of θ' and θ'' are not significantly changed by the presence of higher-order polynomial up to R^8 in the action.

It should be remarked that our discussion eventually breaks down in the IR, around the Gaussian fixed-point, where possibly a new set of IR-relevant operators can show up.

The author would like to thank Gianluca Calcagni, Leonardo Senatore and Filippo Guarnieri for useful discussions.

- [1] S. Weinberg, in General Relativity, an Einstein Centenary Survey, edited by S.W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979).
- [2] C. Wetterich, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)90726-X) 301, 90 (1993).
- [3] M. Reuter, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.971)* **57**, 971 (1998).
- [4] D. Dou and R. Percacci, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/15/11/011) 15, [3449 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/15/11/011).
- [5] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.025013) 65, 025013 [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.025013).
- [6] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.025026) 66, 025026 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.025026).
- [7] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/3/304) 19[, 483 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/3/304).
- [8] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.065016) 65, 065016 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.065016).
- [9] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.125001) 66, 125001 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.125001).
- [10] W. Souma, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTP.102.181) **102**, 181 (1999).
- [11] D. F. Litim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92[, 201301 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.201301).
- [12] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, [J. High Energy Phys. 2 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/02/035) [035.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/02/035)
- [13] M. Reuter and H. Weyer, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-008-0744-z) 41, 983 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-008-0744-z).
- [14] M. Reuter and H. Weyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**[, 105005 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.105005)
- [15] P. F. Machado and R. Percacci, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.024020) 80, 024020 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.024020).
- [16] E. Manrique and M. Reuter, Proc. Sci. CLAQG08 (2011) 001.
- [17] R. Percacci and D. Perini, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.081503) 67, 081503 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.081503).
- [18] A. Codello and R. Percacci, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.221301) 97, 221301 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.221301).
- [19] D. Benedetti, P.F. Machado, and F. Saueressig, [arXiv:hep-th/0902630;](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0902630) Proceedings of the XXV Max

Born Symposium, "The Planck Scale,'' Wroclaw, 29 June–3 July, 2009 (to be published).

- [20] M. R. Niedermaier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**[, 101303 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.101303).
- [21] P.F. Machado and F. Saueressig, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.124045) 77, 124045 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.124045).
- [22] A. Codello, R. Percacci, and C. Rahmede, [Ann. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2008.08.008) (N.Y.) 324[, 414 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2008.08.008).
- [23] M. Niedermaier and M. Reuter, Living Rev. Relativity 9, 5 (2006); M. Niedermaier, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/18/R01) 24, [R171 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/18/R01).R. Percacci, in Approaches to Quantum Gravity: Towards a New Understanding of Space, Time and Matter, edited by D. Oriti (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2009).
- [24] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.043008)* 62, 043008 [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.043008).73[, 083005 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.083005) 60[, 084011 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.084011).
- [25] K. Falls, D.F. Litim, and A. Raghuraman, [Int. J. Mod.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X12500194) Phys. A 27[, 1250019-1 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X12500194)
- [26] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.043508)* **65**, 043508 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.043508).
- [27] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/09/012) [09 \(2005\) 012.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/09/012)
- [28] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01522-2) **527**, 9 (2002); [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271804003809) 13, 107 (2004).
- [29] E. Bentivegna, A. Bonanno, and M. Reuter, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/01/001) [Astropart. Phys. 01 \(2004\) 001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/01/001)
- [30] A. Bonanno, G. Esposito, and C. Rubano, [Gen. Relativ.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026234623492) Gravit. 35[, 1899 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026234623492); [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/21/017) 21, [5005 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/21/017) A. Bonanno, G. Esposito, C. Rubano, and P. Scudellaro, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/9/020) 23, 3103 (2006).
- [31] M. Reuter and H. Weyer, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.104022) 69, 104022 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.104022).
- [32] M. Reuter and H. Weyer, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.124028) 70, 124028 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.124028).M. Reuter and H. Weyer, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/12/001) [Phys. 12 \(2004\) 001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/12/001)

AN EFFECTIVE ACTION FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 081503(R) (2012)

- [33] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/08/024) [\(2007\) 024.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/08/024)
- [34] A. Bonanno and M. Reuter, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/140/1/012008) 140, [012008 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/140/1/012008).
- [35] A. Bonanno, Proc. Sci., CLAQG.08 (2009) 008 [\[arXiv:0911.2727](http://arXiv.org/abs/0911.2727)].
- [36] J. Berges, N. Tetradis, and C. Wetterich, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(01)00098-9) 363, [223 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(01)00098-9).
- [37] A. Bonanno, A. Contillo, and R. Percacci, [Classical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/14/145026) [Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/14/145026) 28, 145026 (2011).
- [38] M. Hindmarsh, D. Litim, and C. Rahmede, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/07/019) [Astropart. Phys. 07 \(2011\) 019.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/07/019)
- [39] A. Contillo, M. Hindmarsh, and C. Rahmede, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.043501) ^D 85[, 043501 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.043501).
- [40] Y.-F. Cai and D. A. Easson, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.103502) 84, 103502 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.103502).
- [41] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **81**[, 083535 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.083535)
- [42] S.-H. Tye and J. Xu, Phys. Rev. D **82**[, 127302 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.127302)

- [43] A. B. Migdal, Nucl. Phys. B52[, 483 \(1973\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(73)90575-0) S. L. Adler, in Quantum Theory of gravity, edited by S. Christensen Editor (Adam Hilgher, Bristol, 1984).
- [44] W. Dittrich and M. Reuter, Effective Lagrangians in Quantum Electrodynamics (Springer, New York, 1985), p. 220.
- [45] S. Adler, Nucl. Phys. **B217**[, 381 \(1983\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90153-0).
- [46] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 8[, 3633 \(1973\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.8.3633)
- [47] S. G. Matinyan and G. K. Savvidy, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90463-7) B134, 539 [\(1978\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90463-7).
- [48] H. Pagels and E. Tomboulis, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90065-2) B143, 485 [\(1978\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90065-2).
- [49] A. Eichhorn, H. Gies, and J. M. Pawlowski, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.045014) 83[, 045014 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.045014).
- [50] A. Hindawi, B. A. Ovrut, and D. Waldram, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.53.5597) 53[, 5597 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.53.5597)
- [51] G. Calcagni, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 061501 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.061501) [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)065) [Energy Phys. 01 \(2012\) 065.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)065)