# Quark-lepton symmetric model at the LHC

Jackson D. Clarke, Robert Foot, and Raymond R. Volkas

ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale School of Physics, University of Melbourne, 3010, Australia

(Received 14 December 2011; published 10 April 2012)

We investigate the quark-lepton symmetric model of Foot and Lew in the context of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). In this ''bottom-up'' extension to the standard model, quark-lepton symmetry is achieved by introducing a gauged ''leptonic color'' symmetry which is spontaneously broken above the electroweak scale. If this breaking occurs at the TeV scale, then we expect new physics to be discovered at the LHC. We examine three areas of interest: the Z' heavy neutral gauge boson, charge  $\pm 1/2$  exotic leptons, and a color triplet scalar diquark. We find that the LHC has already explored and/or will explore new parameter space for these particles over the course of its lifetime.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.85.074012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.074012) PACS numbers: 13.85.Rm, 12.60.Cn, 13.85.Qk

# I. INTRODUCTION

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) has begun probing physics at the TeV scale. The goals are to discover the origin of electroweak symmetry breaking and to search for new physics. The purpose of this paper is to analyze the LHC phenomenology of the discrete quark-lepton (q-l) symmetric model of Foot and Lew [\[1](#page-9-0)]. This theory is conceptually related to both the Pati-Salam model [\[2\]](#page-9-1) and the left-right symmetric model [[3](#page-9-2)]. Like the Pati-Salam model, it unifies the quantum numbers of the leptons with those of the quarks, but it does so using a discrete exchange symmetry rather than a continuous symmetry. This discrete symmetry can be viewed as the quark/lepton analogue of the discrete (parity or charge-conjugation) symmetry used in the left-right symmetric model to unify the quantum numbers of left-handed fermions with their right-handed partners. The q-l symmetric model is distinct from grand unification, because it does not require the concomitant unification of the strong and electroweak interactions.<sup>1</sup> The absence of a continuous symmetry transforming quarks into leptons and vice-versa allows the scale of the new physics to be as low as a TeV, making it testable at the LHC.

We now review how the q-1 symmetric model incorporates a discrete symmetry between quarks and leptons. Under the standard model (SM) gauge group,

$$
G_{\rm SM} = SU(3)_q \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y,\tag{1}
$$

quarks and leptons transform as

$$
Q_L \sim (3, 2)(1/3), \qquad f_L \sim (1, 2)(-1),
$$
  
\n
$$
u_R \sim (3, 1)(4/3), \qquad e_R \sim (1, 1)(-2),
$$
  
\n
$$
d_R \sim (3, 1)(-2/3), \qquad \nu_R \sim (1, 1)(0),
$$
  
\n(2)

where we have included a right-handed neutrino for neutrino mass generation purposes. Some similarities between quarks and leptons are immediately obvious. They are both fermions in three generations and each sector transforms as  $2 \oplus 1 \oplus 1$  under  $SU(2)_L$ . However, as is selfevident, quarks have a color charge under  $SU(3)<sub>a</sub>$  while leptons do not, and the hypercharges are different.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>One can increase quark-lepton symmetry by introducing a ''leptonic color'' gauge interaction through an independent SU(3) group. The SM gauge group is enlarged to

$$
G_{ql} = SU(3)_l \times SU(3)_q \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_X, \quad (3)
$$

where  $SU(3)$  is the new leptonic color group and X is a charge described in Sec. [II.](#page-1-0) The number of leptons is tripled, and  $SU(3)<sub>l</sub>$  must be spontaneously broken. This is achieved through one or more scalar multiplets that carry leptonic color acquiring nonzero vacuum expectation values (VEVs).

<span id="page-0-1"></span>After this breaking, the SM is recovered as a low-energy effective theory. The breaking pattern is

$$
SU(3)_l \times SU(3)_q \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_X
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow w
$$
  
\n
$$
SU(2)' \times SU(3)_q \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow u
$$
  
\n
$$
SU(2)' \times SU(3)_q \times U(1)_Q,
$$
  
\n(4)

where  $w$  and  $u$  are the breaking scales,  $Q$  is electric charge and  $SU(2)$ ' remains as an unbroken remnant of  $SU(3)<sub>l</sub>$ .<br>This SU(2)' group is asymptotically free and expected to be This  $SU(2)$ ' group is asymptotically free and expected to be confining. Since all particles in nontrivial  $SU(2)$ ' represenconfining. Since all particles in nontrivial  $SU(2)$  representations are very massive (see below), the low-energy limit tations are very massive (see below), the low-energy limit is indeed the SM despite this additional gauge force.

This paper is structured as follows: Section [II](#page-1-0) is an overview of the construction of the q-l symmetric model. We then move on to a study of phenomenology at the LHC. In Sec. [III,](#page-1-1) we look at a heavy neutral gauge boson called Z'. We use LHC data to put a lower bound on the mass of this particle. In Sec. [IV,](#page-3-0) we investigate the charge  $\pm 1/2$ exotic leptons which gain a large mass at the leptonic color

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is, however, compatible with an eventual high-scale grand unification, through a structure now known as ''quartification'' [\[4\]](#page-9-3).

breaking scale. We show that the LHC will explore new parameter space for these particles in the near future. In Sec. [V,](#page-7-0) we look at a color triplet scalar diquark. Using Tevatron and LHC data we constrain mass-coupling parameter space for this diquark. Section [VI](#page-8-0) contains the conclusion.

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. QUARK-LEPTON SYMMETRIC MODEL

We consider the q-l symmetric model constructed in Ref. [\[5](#page-9-4)]. When the SM gauge group is enlarged as in Eq. ([3\)](#page-0-0), we write the quark-lepton spectrum as

$$
Q_L \sim (1, 3, 2)(1/3),
$$
  $F_L \sim (3, 1, 2)(-1/3),$   
\n $u_R \sim (1, 3, 1)(4/3),$   $E_R \sim (3, 1, 1)(-4/3),$  (5)  
\n $d_R \sim (1, 3, 1)(-2/3),$   $N_R \sim (3, 1, 1)(2/3).$ 

The leptonic components can be expressed as

$$
F_L = \begin{pmatrix} f_L \\ F_{1L} \\ F_{2L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_R = \begin{pmatrix} e_R \\ E_{1R} \\ E_{2R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_R = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R \\ V_{1R} \\ V_{2R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)
$$

where  $f_L$ ,  $e_R$ ,  $v_R$  are the familiar SM leptons and righthanded neutrino, and  $F_{iL}$ ,  $E_{iR}$ ,  $V_{iR}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are the exotic fermions named ''liptons.'' The generational indices are suppressed. The components of  $F<sub>L</sub>$  are each doublets under  $SU(2)<sub>L</sub>$ :

$$
f_L = \begin{pmatrix} v_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}, \qquad F_{iL} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{iL} \\ E_{iL} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (7)

The simplest way to achieve electroweak symmetry breaking is through a Higgs doublet,  $\phi \sim (1, 1, 2)(1)$ , anal-<br>ogously to the SM. The simplest Yukawa Lagrangian ogously to the SM. The simplest Yukawa Lagrangian,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Yuk}^{(1)} = \lambda_1 [\bar{F}_L E_R \phi + \bar{Q}_L u_R \phi^c] \n+ \lambda_2 [\bar{F}_L N_R \phi^c + \bar{Q}_L d_R \phi] + \text{H.c.}, \quad (8)
$$

where  $\phi^c \equiv i\tau_2 \phi^*$  and  $\tau_2$  is the second Pauli matrix, leads<br>to unacceptable tree-level mass relations between quarks to unacceptable tree-level mass relations between quarks and leptons. These relations are easily avoided by instead introducing two Higgs doublets,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , as described in Ref. [\[6](#page-9-5)].

Leptonic color symmetry breaking is achieved by introducing the Higgs triplets  $\chi_1 \sim (3, 1, 1)(2/3)$  and <span id="page-1-3"></span> $\chi_2 \sim (1, 3, 1)(-2/3)$  through the Yukawa Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Yuk}^{(2)} = h_1 \left[ \overline{(F_L)^c} F_L \chi_1 + \overline{(Q_L)^c} Q_L \chi_2 \right] \n+ h_2 \left[ \overline{(E_R)^c} N_R \chi_1 + \overline{(u_R)^c} d_R \chi_2 \right] + \text{H.c.} \quad (9)
$$

The leptonic color symmetry is broken by a nonzero VEV for  $\chi_1$ . It induces the breaking

$$
SU(3)_l \times U(1)_X \to SU(2)' \times U(1)_Y, \tag{10}
$$

where

$$
Y = X + \frac{1}{3}T\tag{11}
$$

and  $T = diag(-2, 1, 1)$ . The  $\chi_1$  VEV also generates masses for the liptons.

One can generate small  $\nu$  masses in a number of ways, for example, by inducing a seesaw mechanism through Higgs multiplets  $\Delta_1 \sim (\bar{\bar{6}}, 1, 1) (-4/3)$  and  $\Delta$ <br>(1  $\bar{6}$  1)(4/3) as in Refs [5.6] through additional Hi through Figgs intimplets  $\Delta_1 \approx (0, 1, 1)(-4/3)$  and  $\Delta_2 \approx (1, 6, 1)(4/3)$  $\Delta_2 \approx (1, 6, 1)(4/3)$  $\Delta_2 \approx (1, 6, 1)(4/3)$  as in Refs. [\[5,](#page-9-4)6], through additional Higgs<br>doublets [6] or via additional singlet fermions [7] doublets [[6](#page-9-5)], or via additional singlet fermions [[7](#page-9-6)].

One can now see that a  $Z_2$  discrete symmetry of the Lagrangian

$$
Q_L \leftrightarrow F_L, \qquad \chi_1 \leftrightarrow \chi_2, \qquad G_q^{\mu} \leftrightarrow G_l^{\mu},
$$
  
\n
$$
u_R \leftrightarrow E_R, \qquad \phi \leftrightarrow \phi^c, \qquad C^{\mu} \leftrightarrow -C^{\mu}, \qquad (12)
$$
  
\n
$$
d_R \leftrightarrow N_R,
$$

can be postulated, where  $G_{q,l}^{\mu}$  are the gauge bosons of  $SU(3)_{q,l}$  and  $C^{\mu}$  is the gauge boson of  $U(1)_X$ . This is the quark-lepton symmetry.

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>III. THE Z' NEUTRAL GAUGE BOSON

### A. Coupling

Like many extensions to the SM, the q-l symmetric model predicts a heavy extra neutral gauge boson, the Z'. The relevant neutral current part of the covariant derivative is

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
D_{\text{n.c.}}^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + i\frac{g_2}{2}W_3^{\mu}\tau_3 + i\frac{g'}{2}C^{\mu}X + i\frac{g_s}{2\sqrt{3}}G_8^{\mu}T, \quad (13)
$$

where once again  $T = diag(-2, 1, 1)$ . Defining the VEVs<sup>2</sup>  $\langle \phi \rangle \equiv u$  and  $\langle \chi_1 \rangle \equiv w$ , the neutral gauge boson mass matrix is matrix is

$$
M^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{2}^{2}u^{2}/2 & -g_{2}g'u^{2}/2 & 0\\ -g_{2}g'u^{2}/2 & g'^{2}u^{2}/2 + 2g'^{2}w^{2}/9 & -2\sqrt{3}g'g_{s}w^{2}/9\\ 0 & -2\sqrt{3}g'g_{s}w^{2}/9 & 2g_{s}^{2}w^{2}/3 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (14)

Solving the eigenvalue problem gives

 $2^2$ For simplicity, we will write subsequent equations for the case where only one electroweak Higgs doublet is introduced. The generalization to the realistic two-doublet situation does not affect any of the phenomenology we consider in the rest of this paper.

$$
M_{\gamma}^{2} = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
M_{\frac{z}{z'}}^{2} = u^{2}\kappa_{1} + w^{2}\kappa_{2} \mp \sqrt{(u^{2}\kappa_{1} + w^{2}\kappa_{2})^{2} - u^{2}w^{2}\kappa_{3}},
$$
\n(15)

where the minus (plus) sign corresponds to the  $Z(Z')$  and

$$
\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{4} (g_2^2 + g^2),
$$
  
\n
$$
\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{9} (g^2 + 3g_s^2),
$$
  
\n
$$
\kappa_3 = \frac{1}{9} (g_2^2 g^2 + 3g_2^2 g_s^2 + 3g^2 g_s^2).
$$
\n(16)

The SM couplings are related to the q-l symmetric couplings by

$$
\sin^2(\theta_W)_{\rm SM} \equiv \frac{e^2}{g_2^2} = \frac{g'^2 g_s^2}{3\kappa_3},
$$
  

$$
g'^2_{\rm SM} \equiv \frac{e^2}{\cos^2(\theta_W)_{\rm SM}} = \frac{g'^2 g_s^2}{3\kappa_2}.
$$
 (17)

Furthermore, we have

$$
u^{2} = \frac{2M_{W}^{2}}{g_{2}^{2}}, \qquad w^{2} = \frac{g_{2}^{2}}{2\kappa_{3}} \frac{M_{Z}^{2}M_{Z'}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}.
$$
 (18)

The neutral current covariant derivative ([13\)](#page-1-2) is rewritten in the gauge-boson mass eigenstate basis as

$$
D_{\text{n.c.}}^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + iQ_{\gamma}A^{\mu} + iQ_{Z}Z^{\mu} + iQ_{Z'}Z^{\prime\mu}, \qquad (19)
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>where  $Q_{\gamma}$ ,  $Q_{Z}$  and  $Q_{Z'}$  are the charges that couple to  $A^{\mu}$ ,  $Z^{\mu}$  and  $Z^{\prime\mu}$ , respectively. The charges coupling to Z and Z' are given by

$$
Q_{Z'}^{Z} = \pm \left(\frac{\sin^{2}(\theta_{W})_{\text{SM}}}{g'_{\text{SM}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{4\kappa_{1}} \left[ \eta_{Z'}^{2} - \frac{g'^{4}}{9\kappa_{3}} \right] \right)^{-1/2} \times \left( \frac{\tau_{3}}{2} - \left( \sin^{2}(\theta_{W})_{\text{SM}} - \frac{g_{2}^{2}g'^{2}}{12\kappa_{1}\sqrt{\kappa_{3}}} \left[ \eta_{Z'} - \frac{g'^{2}}{3\sqrt{\kappa_{3}}} \right] \right) Q \right), \quad - \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_{3}}}{8\kappa_{1}} \left[ \eta_{Z'} - \frac{g'^{2}}{3\sqrt{\kappa_{3}}} \right] \right) T \tag{20}
$$

where

$$
\eta_{\tilde{z}'} = \left(\frac{3\sqrt{\kappa_3}}{g^{\prime 2}} - \frac{6\kappa_1}{g^{\prime 2}\sqrt{\kappa_3}} \frac{M_{\tilde{z}'}^2}{w^2}\right)^{-1}.
$$
 (21)

 $\sim$ 

In the  $w \rightarrow \infty$  limit the quantities within square brackets in Eq. ([20](#page-2-0)) tend to zero for the charge coupling to Z, so that the expression reduces to the SM coupling. To facilitate the calculation of these couplings we write the quantum numbers of the fermions in Table [I](#page-2-1).

### B. Mass limit

A typical signature of a  $Z<sup>'</sup>$  gauge boson is a high mass resonance in dilepton production through the Drell-Yan process  $pp\frac{Z_1}{l}l^+l^-$  [\[8\]](#page-9-7), in both the dielectron and dimuon<br>channels [9,10]. Recent approaches combine data from channels [\[9](#page-9-8)[,10](#page-9-9)]. Recent approaches combine data from each channel to obtain a stronger bound than is possible with a single channel [[10](#page-9-9)].

The aim now is to use LHC data to put a constraint on the mass of the  $q-1$  symmetric  $Z'$ , henceforth called  $Z'_{ql}$ . We achieve this by calculating the cross section  $\sigma(p p \frac{Z_l}{l} t)^{-}$ , where l can be either  $\mu$  or e. As a compari-<br>son we also carry out this calculation for the benchmark son we also carry out this calculation for the benchmark model of a Z' with SM coupling, henceforth called  $Z'_{SM}$ .

We start with the  $Z'$  interaction Lagrangian,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Z'} = \bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}(g_V^{/f} - g_A^{/f}\gamma_5) f Z^{\prime \mu}, \qquad (22)
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>where

$$
g_V^{ff} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{Z'}(f_L) + \frac{1}{2} Q_{Z'}(f_R),
$$
  
\n
$$
g_A^{ff} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{Z'}(f_L) - \frac{1}{2} Q_{Z'}(f_R),
$$
\n(23)

<span id="page-2-3"></span>and  $Q_{Z'}$  is the charge coupling to the Z' boson.

We use the Drell-Yan cross section,

$$
\sigma(pp \to l^+l^-)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{q} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 \left[ f_{q/p}(x_1, Q^2) f_{\bar{q}/p}(x_2, Q^2) \right] + f_{q/p}(x_2, Q^2) f_{\bar{q}/p}(x_1, Q^2) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\times \sigma(q\bar{q} \to l^+l^-; s = x_1x_2S_{\text{beam}}), \qquad (24)
$$

for a *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{S_{\text{beam}}} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ . The parton distri-<br>bution functions (PDFs)  $f_{\text{eff}}(x, \Omega^2)$  are evaluated using bution functions (PDFs),  $f_{q/p}(x, Q^2)$ , are evaluated using the MSTW08 PDF set at  $Q^2 = \frac{1}{4} s$  [[11](#page-9-10)]. We then scale the results for next to leading order effects using a *k*-factor of results for next-to-leading order effects using a k-factor of 1.3 [[12\]](#page-9-11).

This cross section is dominated by the parton processes  $u\bar{u} \to l^+l^-$  and  $d\bar{d} \to l^+l^-$ . The parton cross sections in the  $\sqrt{s} \gg m$ , m, limit are given by the  $\sqrt{s} \gg m_q$ ,  $m_l$  limit are given by



<span id="page-2-1"></span>

JACKSON D. CLARKE, ROBERT FOOT, AND RAYMOND R. VOLKAS PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 074012 (2012)

$$
\sigma(q\bar{q} \xrightarrow{Z'} l^+l^-) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{12\pi} \frac{s}{(s - M_{Z'}^2)^2 + M_{Z'}^2 \Gamma_{Z'}^2} \times (\left|g_V'^q\right|^2 + \left|g_A'^q\right|^2)(\left|g_V'^l\right|^2 + \left|g_A'^l\right|^2), \quad (25)
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span>where  $M_{Z'}$  is the mass of the Z' boson. The Z' width is given to a good approximation by

$$
\Gamma_{Z'} = 3(\Gamma(Z' \to \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e) + \Gamma(Z' \to e^+ e^-) \n+ \Gamma(Z' \to u\bar{u}) + \Gamma(Z' \to d\bar{d})),
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma(Z' \to f\bar{f}) = N_c \frac{M_{Z'}}{12\pi} (|g_V^{tf}|^2 + |g_A^{tf}|^2),
$$
\n(26)

where  $N_c$  is a color factor equal to 1 for leptons and 3 for quarks. For  $Z'_{ql}$  we use Eqs. [\(20\)](#page-2-0) and ([23](#page-2-2)) to find the couplings, while for  $Z'_{SM}$  we use the familiar SM couplings.

The results from our calculations are shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-1). The lower bounds on the  $M_{Z'}$  parameters, listed in Table [II](#page-3-2), are given by the upper limit on the cross section at the LHC [\[10\]](#page-9-9). We use the ATLAS limit, but a similar result is found using the CMS limit. The lower bound of 1820 GeV for  $Z'_{SM}$  agrees with that in the literature. The lower bound of 950 GeV for  $Z'_{ql}$  is an improvement of 230 GeV on the previous bound [[5\]](#page-9-4), and the best bound to date on a q-l symmetric Z'. The bound for  $Z'_{ql}$  is smaller than that for  $Z'_{SM}$  due to the quarkphobic nature of  $Z'_{ql}$ . In fact,  $Br(Z'_{ql} \rightarrow \sum q\bar{q})$  is less than 0.5%.

An analogous calculation was performed for the Tevatron using the upper limit on the cross section from D0 [\[9](#page-9-8)]. The results are also given in Table [II](#page-3-2). The LHC bounds already exceed those of the Tevatron. In the coming years, with more data and higher energy collisions, this bound is set to increase significantly if a  $Z<sup>'</sup>$  is not discovered first.

In our calculations we have assumed that all the liptons are heavier than  $\frac{1}{2}M_{Z'}$ , so that none of them is a Z' decay product. As will be shown in the following section, this need not be the case. We merely make the assumption for

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

FIG. 1. The cross section  $\sigma(pp \to l^+l^-)$  versus  $M_{Z'}$  for  $Z'_{q}$ <br>and  $Z'$  also shown are the 95% confidence level upper limits and  $Z'_{SM}$ . Also shown are the 95% confidence level upper limits on the cross section from ATLAS data [[10](#page-9-9)].

<span id="page-3-2"></span>TABLE II. The observed 95% confidence level lower mass limits on  $Z'_{SM}$  and  $Z'_{ql}$ .

Observed $M_{Z'}$ limit (GeV)		
	Tevatron	LHC
$Z'_{{\rm{SM}}}$	1060	1820
$Z_a^{\tilde\prime}$	780	950

simplicity, in order not to introduce too many unknown variables. If liptons are present at low enough masses, the  $Z<sup>1</sup>$  width increases and the lower bound falls. As an example, for the case of a single left sector lipton of mass 200 GeV, the lower bound on the  $Z'_{ql}$  mass from the LHC becomes 930 GeV.

### IV. LIPTONS

### A. Mass eigenstates

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The left and right components of the liptons do not combine to form a Dirac fermion in the obvious way (i.e.  $f \neq E_{iL} + E_{iR}$ ). To understand what actually happens, we need to examine the Lagrangian of Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-3); it has the form

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \bar{X}_L \mathcal{M} X_R + \text{H.c.},\tag{27}
$$

where

 $X_L = \begin{pmatrix} V_L \\ (E_R)^c \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_R = \begin{pmatrix} (E_L)^c \\ V_R \end{pmatrix}$  $V_R$  $( (E_L)^c )$  $(28)$ 

and

$$
\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_\nu \\ m_e & m_2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{29}
$$

This is for the one-generation case with  $SU(2)$  indices<br>suppressed. After symmetry breaking, written explicitly suppressed. After symmetry breaking, written explicitly, the entries are  $m_{\nu} = \lambda_2 u$ ,  $m_e = \lambda_1 u$  (the usual neutrino and electron mass terms),  $m_1 = 2h_1w$  and  $m_2 = h_2^*w$ . The nonobservation of liptons implies that the latter two terms nonobservation of liptons implies that the latter two terms are much larger than the former. We assume they are large enough so that the off-diagonal terms can be approximated as zero. In this case we get four exotic Dirac fermions per generation:

$$
f_{l1} = (E_{2L})^c + V_{1L}, \t f_{l2} = (E_{1L})^c + V_{2L},
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{r1} = (E_{2R})^c + V_{1R}, \t f_{r2} = (E_{1R})^c + V_{2R}.
$$
\n(30)

We call these left  $(f_{ij})$  and right  $(f_{ri})$  sector liptons, with masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  respectively. The liptons are degenerate within a sector, each having an  $SU(2)$ <sup>'</sup> "color"  $j = 1, 2$ .<br>The conjugation flips the handedness of the spinor so we The conjugation flips the handedness of the spinor, so we always have a left-handed and right-handed part in the Dirac fermion.

Of course, we have little idea of the mass spectrum of these liptons. Our model does not predict the masses, just as the SM does not predict the quark and lepton masses. We only know that they are massive enough to have not yet been detected.

### B. Liptonium

Liptons can be pair produced at the LHC through the Drell-Yan process. The cross section depends primarily on the mass of the liptons and moderately on the mass of the  $Z'$ . In the following analysis we focus on the lightest lipton, L. This lipton can be either from the left or the right sector, and we consider both possibilities.

Liptons are charged under the confining  $SU(2)$  group,<br>one might think that pair-produced liptons will act like so one might think that pair-produced liptons will act like pair-produced heavy quarks. In fact, because there are no light liptons to facilitate  $SU(2)$ <sup>'</sup> "hadronization," they act very differently. The pair instead, forms an  $SU(2)$ '-neutral very differently. The pair, instead, forms an  $SU(2)$ '-neutral<br>bound state  $\overline{I} \overline{I}$  which we call "lintonium". As we will bound state,  $L\bar{L}$ , which we call "liptonium." As we will see, energy is first lost through  $SU(2)$ <sup>*'*</sup> glueball radiation.<br>To avoid confusion, and in order to distinguish them from To avoid confusion, and in order to distinguish them from  $SU(3)_q$  gluons, we will call the  $SU(2)$ <sup>'</sup> exotic gluons<br>"byons". Of course, liptons are not charged under "huons". Of course, liptons are not charged under  $SU(3)<sub>a</sub>$  so do not couple to gluons.

Much of our expectations can be inferred from the work of Carlson et al. [\[13\]](#page-9-12), wherein the phenomenology and collider signatures of the color SU(5) model of Foot and Hernandez [\[14\]](#page-9-13) were studied. This model has the same intermediate and low-energy gauge structure ([4\)](#page-0-1) as the q-l symmetric model. The analogy to liptonium in the color SU(5) model is quirkonium, formed from ''quirks'' which have the same quantum numbers as our liptons. These quirks are doublets under an unbroken group analogous to our  $SU(2)$  group.<br>Following Ref [1]

Following Ref. [[13\]](#page-9-12), we assume that the invariant mass of the lipton pair is well above the threshold  $\sim 2m_L$ , but below  $4m<sub>L</sub>$ . The lipton pair can be viewed as a highly excited liptonium state. The deexcitation of this state will occur in two distinct stages.

The first stage is hueball emission. As the liptons oscillate in the potential, many hueballs will be radiated with no preferential direction in the liptonium frame. This will lead to a large amount of missing energy but only a small amount of missing transverse energy. The hueballs will also carry away a significant amount of angular momentum, leaving the liptonium with large J. When the excitation energy drops beneath the hueball mass, deexcitation will occur through photon emission. It is unclear whether these photons will be hard or soft compared to the hueball mass.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>The second stage occurs when the liptons enter the onehuon-exchange Coulomb potential

$$
V(r) = -3\alpha_s(r)/4r\tag{31}
$$

regime. Here we assume that the liptonium has a large orbital quantum number l and that spin states  $S = 1$  and  $S = 0$  are populated in the ratio 3:1. Annihilation of the liptons to huons is small for high *l* states, and  $|\Delta l| = 1$  electromagnetic transitions are favored. (Spin flip transitions are small and can be ignored.) There will be many of these soft photon emissions until the liptonium is in the  $n^3P$  or  $n^1P$  state. From here, parity symmetry prevents all lipton pairs annihilating to invisible hueballs. The  $n^1P$ states cascade predominantly to  $n<sup>1</sup>S$  states which annihilate to photons or huons. The  $n^3P$  states can annihilate to huons or cascade to  $n^3S$  states. The cascade always domi-nates [[13](#page-9-12)]. The parity symmetry then prevents the  $n^3S$ states annihilating entirely to huons, and s-channel neutral current annihilations are expected to dominate.

Thus we expect that approximately 75% of the total pairproduced liptons will eventually reach  $3S$  states. From here they can annihilate to  $W^+W^-$ , Z Higgs, hh $\gamma$ , hhZ and  $f\bar{f}$ , where  $h$  are huons. The most exciting products are SM fermions. Production through this mechanism will result in lepton pairs or jets of invariant mass  $\sim 2m<sub>L</sub>$ . Similarly, we expect that approximately 25% of pair-produced liptons will reach  ${}^{1}S$  states where they can annihilate to invisible huons or diphotons.

If the decay of liptonium occurs as we expect, then liptons with mass less than  $\sim$  100 GeV are ruled out by the Large Electron-Positron (LEP) collider experiments. This is because LEP, running at up to  $\sqrt{S_{\text{beam}}}$  = 209 GeV, was able to tag large missing energy events [15] If  $m_{\gamma}$   $\leq$ was able to tag large missing energy events [\[15\]](#page-9-14). If  $m<sub>L</sub>$ 100 GeV then the large missing energy resulting from the first stage of liptonium deexcitation would have been detected at LEP in dilepton events. The LHC experiment does not have this capability, instead only being able to tag large missing transverse energy events.

### C. Seeing liptons at the LHC

Our aim now is to determine the cross section  $\sigma(p \rightarrow ff)$  through the liptonium resonance. This cross section can be approximated by

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\sigma(p \, p \xrightarrow{LL} l^+ l^-) \approx \sigma(p \, p \to L \bar{L}) \times 0.75 \times \text{Br}(^3 S_1 \to l^+ l^-),\tag{32}
$$

where the factor of 0.75 accounts for the fraction of liptonium states which reach the <sup>3</sup>S<sub>1</sub> state. The  $\sigma(pp \to L\bar{L})$ and  $Br(^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow l^{+}l^{-})$  factors will be given in the following<br>two subsections two subsections.

# 1.  $\sigma(p \, p \rightarrow L\bar{L})$

In our analysis, we ignore the contribution of the  $Z'$ neutral current by taking the limit  $w \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $Q_Z$  =  $Q_Z^{\text{SM}}$ . This will be justified in a moment. We start with the neutral current interaction Lagrangian,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{nc.}} = e\bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}QfA^{\mu} + \bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}(g_{V}^{f} - g_{A}^{f}\gamma_{5})fZ^{\mu}.
$$
 (33)

We calculate  $\sigma(p \to L\bar{L})$  using the Drell-Yan cross sec-tion ([24\)](#page-2-3). The parton cross sections are found in the  $\sqrt{s}$ ,  $m_L \gg m_q$  limit using

 $\sqrt{2}$ 

9  $\downarrow$ 

; (34)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\sigma(q\bar{q} \stackrel{\gamma Z}{\rightarrow} L\bar{L}) = \frac{2}{3} Q_q^2 Q_L^2 \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{s^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_L^2}{s}} \times \left\{ +|\chi|
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>where

$$
\chi = \frac{1}{4\pi Q_q Q_L \alpha} \frac{s}{(s - M_Z^2 + iM_Z \Gamma_Z)}.
$$
(35)

The  $\chi$ -independent term is the pure photon contribution, the  $|\chi|^2$  term is the pure Z contribution, and the Re $(\chi)$  term<br>is the  $\gamma$ /Z interference term. The width  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  is given by is the  $\gamma$ /Z interference term. The width,  $\Gamma$ <sub>Z</sub>, is given by equations analogous to [\(26\)](#page-3-3).

To justify ignoring the  $Z<sup>'</sup>$  contribution, we consider the ratio

$$
R = \sigma(q\bar{q} \xrightarrow{Z'} L\bar{L}) / \sigma(q\bar{q} \xrightarrow{\gamma, Z} L\bar{L})
$$
 (36)

<span id="page-5-2"></span>as a function of Z' mass. The quantity  $\sigma(q\bar{q} \leq L\bar{L})$  is found<br>analogously to the pure Z contribution in Eq. (34), with the analogously to the pure Z contribution in Eq. [\(34\)](#page-5-0), with the

$$
|\frac{2}{3}\left\{\frac{(|g_V^q|^2 + |g_A^q|^2)(|g_V^L|^2 + |g_A^L|^2)\left[\frac{1}{6}s - \frac{1}{6}m_L^2\right]}{+\frac{1}{2}(|g_V^q|^2 + |g_A^q|^2)(|g_V^L|^2 - |g_A^L|^2)m_L^2}\right\},
$$
\n(34)

\nwith of  $Z'$  modified to include decay to a single family of  $Z'$ .

 $\left[\frac{1}{6}s+\frac{1}{3}m_L^2\right]$ 

 $(1 + 2\text{Re}(\chi)g_V^q g_V^L)$ 

width of  $Z<sup>'</sup>$  modified to include decay to a single family of lightest liptons when  $M_{Z} > 2m_L$ . We find that R is largest for left (right) sector liptons when  $M_{Z'} \approx 2.5 m_L$ , where  $R \approx 0.12$  ( $R \approx 0.56$ ). Away from this resonance the contribution is much smaller, and given that  $M_{Z} > 950$  GeV this coincidence is unlikely in the region that will be of interest.

2. 
$$
Br(^3S_1 \rightarrow l^+l^-)
$$

The decay rate of the  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  liptonium state to a pair of fermions is given by the spin- and color-summed squared matrix element multiplied by the square of the liptonium wave function at the origin [\[16\]](#page-9-15). In the  $m_f \rightarrow 0$  limit, we find

$$
\Gamma({}^3S_1 \to f\bar{f}) = N_c Q_f^2 \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{3m_L^2} |\Psi(0)|^2 \left[ \frac{(1 + 2\text{Re}(\chi_0)g_V^L g_V^f)}{1 + |\chi_0|^2 (|g_V^L|^2 + |g_A^L|^2)(|g_V^f|^2 + |g_A^f|^2)} \right],\tag{37}
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>where  $\chi_0 := \chi|_{s=4m_L^2}$  with  $\chi$  given by Eq. ([35](#page-5-1)) [with  $Q_q$  replaced by  $Q_f$ ]. This expression is appropriate for all fermions except for the especially heavy top quark. For the top quark we find except for the especially heavy top quark. For the top quark, we find

$$
\Gamma({}^3S_1 \to t\bar{t}) = \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{9m_L^2} |\Psi(0)|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_t^2}{m_L^2}} \times \left[ \frac{(1 + 2\text{Re}(\chi_0)g_V^L g_V^t) \left(1 + \frac{m_t^2}{2m_L^2}\right)}{1 + |\chi_0|^2 (|g_V^L|^2 + |g_A^L|^2)(|g_V^t|^2 + |g_A^t|^2) \left(1 - \frac{m_t^2}{4m_L^2}\right)} \right].
$$
 (38)

<span id="page-5-4"></span>In our analysis, the  $W^+W^-$ , Z Higgs,  $hh\gamma$  and  $hhZ$ decay channels of the  ${}^3S_1$  state are ignored. For lipton masses greater than 100 GeV, they each contribute of order 2% [\[13\]](#page-9-12). We take the total decay rate as

$$
\Gamma(^{3}S_{1}) = 2\Gamma(^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow u\bar{u}) + \Gamma(^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow t\bar{t}) + 3\Gamma(^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow d\bar{d})
$$

$$
+ 3\Gamma(^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) + 3\Gamma(^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow \nu_{e}\overline{\nu_{e}})
$$
(39)

and use Eqs. ([37](#page-5-2)) and ([38](#page-5-3)) to find the branching fractions. The results are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-6-0) We include the experimentally excluded region,  $m<sub>L</sub> < 100$  GeV, so that the interested reader may compare the results with those for the color SU(5) model [[13](#page-9-12)].

# 3. Cross section

The cross section of two hadrons to a single lepton pair via the liptonium channel can now be found using Eq. ([32\)](#page-4-0). The resulting cross sections for the LHC are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-6-1)

# 4.  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  width

The vertical axis of Fig. [3](#page-6-1) can be read as ''the number of events per 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of integrated luminosity in the dilepton channel,'' where ''dilepton'' could either mean dielectron or dimuon. Given enough data, these events would be detected as a peak in the dilepton invariant mass spectrum at  $m_{ll} \sim 2m_L$ . We would like to have some idea of the width of this peak. To achieve this, we must evaluate Eq. ([39](#page-5-4)).

With the liptonium in the one-huon-exchange potential [\(31\)](#page-4-1) regime, standard results for hydrogenic wave functions tell us that, with fixed  $\alpha_s(r) = \alpha_s(m_L)$ , we have

$$
|\Psi_n(0)|^2 = \left(\frac{3}{8}n^{-1}m_L\alpha_S(m_L)\right)^3/\pi,\tag{40}
$$

where *n* is the radial quantum number. For  $n = 1$  and  $m<sub>L</sub>$ varying from 100–600 GeV,  $\Gamma({}^{3}S_{1})$  varies from  $\sim 1-100$  keV  $\sim$ 1–100 keV.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Branching fractions of the  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  liptonium state to difermions. The solid (dashed) lines correspond to left (right) sector liptons and  $i = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{1, 2, 3\}$  are family indices.

The energy resolution of the ATLAS electromagnetic liquid argon calorimeter is  $\sigma(E)/E = 10\% / \sqrt{E(\text{GeV})} + 0.7\%$  [17] The detector sees a convolution of the true peak 0:7% [[17](#page-9-16)]. The detector sees a convolution of the true peak and the Gaussian defined by variance  $\sigma^2(E)$ . Since the resolution is much larger than the width of the liptonium invariant mass peak, we can assume that the observed peak is a Gaussian with 68% of events in the window of width  $2\sigma(E)$  surrounding the peak energy  $2m<sub>L</sub>$ .

## 5. Seeing liptons

We now make an estimate for the amount of data needed to see a liptonium resonance in the dilepton channel. For an invariant mass of  $m_{ll}$  we employ a bin width of  $2\sigma(m_{ll})$ . We then compare the number of background events,  $N<sub>b</sub>$ , with the number of signal events,  $N_s$ , in this bin.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>The number of background events in the dimuon and dielectron channels is determined by extrapolating the number of SM events expected in an ATLAS dilepton resonance search [\[10\]](#page-9-9) using

$$
N = \sigma \int \mathcal{L}dt,\tag{41}
$$

where  $\int \mathcal{L} dt$  is the integrated luminosity.

The number of signal events is given by  $N_s =$  $\mathcal{A} \times \sigma(p p \stackrel{L\bar{L}}{\rightarrow} l^{+}l^{-}) \int \mathcal{L} dt$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the acceptance

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

FIG. 3. Cross section of  $pp \rightarrow l^+l^-$  through the production of lintonium and the subsequent decay of the <sup>3</sup>S, state for the LHC liptonium and the subsequent decay of the  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  state for the LHC at 14 TeV and 7 TeV descending.

for the signal assuming the same analysis cuts as in Ref. [\[10\]](#page-9-9). The typical value for a spin-1 resonance with these cuts is  $\mathcal{A} \approx 0.65$  ( $\mathcal{A} \approx 0.40$ ) in the dielectron (dimuon) channel.

The number of observed background events fluctuates according to a Poisson distribution with a standard deviation of  $\sqrt{N_b}$ . If we know the number of background and the number of signal events for 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data,  $n_b$  and  $n_s$ , we can extrapolate to the number of events in x  $fb^{-1}$  of data using Eq. [\(41\)](#page-6-2):  $xn_b$  and  $xn_s$ . The point where the signal reaches  $3\sigma$  significance inside the window, or when  $N_s = 3\sqrt{N_b}$ , is at

$$
x = \frac{9n_b}{n_s^2}.\tag{42}
$$

This is the point at which the liptonium resonance is noticeable.

The results versus lipton mass are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-6-3) Also shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-3) are the cases of the closely related SU(5) and SU(7) leptonic color models [[18\]](#page-9-17). The cross section to diliptons is proportional to a color factor  $N - 1$  for  $SU(N)$ leptonic color, so, compared to the SU(3) leptonic color model, the amount of integrated luminosity needed for  $3\sigma$ signal significance decreases by  $1/4$  and  $1/9$  for SU(5) and SU(7), respectively.

These calculations have been carried out for the LHC running at 7 TeV. They can readily be recalculated for the LHC at 14 TeV following a similar procedure. We stress that this analysis is simplified and could be improved with smarter analysis cuts. Our results illustrate that in the foreseeable future, with the LHC set to gather more than  $100$  fb<sup>-1</sup> of data, the LHC will explore lipton mass parameter space beyond 100 GeV, an improvement on the LEP frontier.

A similar calculation for the diphoton product of the liptonium <sup>1</sup>S state shows that we need  $\sim$  10<sup>6</sup> fb<sup>-1</sup> to see a 100 GeV liptonium resonance at  $3\sigma$  significance. A liptonium dilepton resonance will therefore present itself with

<span id="page-6-3"></span>

FIG. 4. The amount of data in  $fb^{-1}$  we need to see a liptonium resonance at  $3\sigma$  significance multiplied by the acceptance squared. [For  $m\sigma$  significance multiply by a factor  $m^2/9$ ]. The dotted grey lines are for dimuon channel left sector liptons with SU(5) or SU(7) leptonic color descending.

the absence of such a resonance in the diphoton channel. This is one way of discerning the resonance from other particles such as the Randall-Sundrum graviton [\[19\]](#page-9-18).

## V. COLOR TRIPLET DIQUARK

<span id="page-7-0"></span>We will now focus on the phenomenology of the Higgs scalars contained within the Yukawa Lagrangian [\(9](#page-1-3)). We are interested in signatures at the LHC, so we concentrate on the QCD coloured scalar  $\chi_2$ , a color triplet diquark. Diquarks are generically predicted by a number of bottomup models and GUTs, and are well studied in the literature [see e.g. Ref. [\[20\]](#page-9-19)].

### A. Constraints

Rewriting the  $\chi_2$  Lagrangian with generational indices, we have

$$
\mathcal{L} = 2(h_1)_{ij} \overline{(u_L^i)^c} d_L^j \chi_2 + (h_2)_{ij} \overline{(u_R^i)^c} d_R^j \chi_2 + \text{H.c.} \quad (43)
$$

Color asymmetry and asymmetry in the SU(2) contraction implies that  $h_1$  is symmetric in flavour space when the quarks are in the gauge basis. Flavour rotation to the mass basis does not retain this symmetry, because distinct rotations must be applied to  $u<sub>L</sub>$  and  $d<sub>L</sub>$ . There is no symmetry/ antisymmetry condition on the  $h_2$  matrix.

The  $\chi_2$  diquark transforms as (3, 1) under  $SU(3)_q \times$ <br> $U(2)_r$ . If one considers only these SM charges than the  $SU(2)<sub>L</sub>$ . If one considers only these SM charges then the scalar can also behave as a leptoquark through the terms  $\frac{f(L)^c q_L \bar{\chi}}{q_L \bar{\chi}}$  and  $\frac{(\overline{e_R})^c u_R \bar{\chi}}{(\overline{e_R})^c}$ . These terms lead to rapid decay of the proton through tree-level exchange unless the mass of the proton through tree-level exchange unless the mass of the scalar is very large, so they need to be forbidden if we are to have any chance of seeing this scalar at the LHC. The usual mechanism is baryon parity  $[20]$  $[20]$  $[20]$  or  $U(1)$  symmetry [\[21\]](#page-9-20). The q-l symmetric model provides a natural mechanism. If one tries to write down the terms  $\overline{(F_L)^c}Q_L\overline{\chi}$  and  $\overline{(U_L)^c}F$  is it quickly becomes clear that they are not gauge  $\overline{(u_R)^c}E_R\bar{\chi}$  it quickly becomes clear that they are not gauge<br>invariant unless  $\chi$  transforms as a 3 under both SU(3) and invariant unless  $\chi$  transforms as a 3 under both  $SU(3)<sub>a</sub>$  and  $SU(3)_l$ . So this  $\chi$  cannot be our  $\chi_2$ . Further, if we tried to replace  $\chi_2$  with such a  $\chi$  [transforming like (3, 3, 1)] the terms  $\overline{(Q_L)^c} Q_L \chi_2$  and  $\overline{(u_R)^c} d_R \chi_2$  would not be gauge<br>invariant under SU(3). So a-1 symmetry naturally forbids invariant under  $SU(3)_l$ . So, q-1 symmetry naturally forbids the leptoquark terms. Thus, there are no constraints on  $\chi_2$ from proton decay.

We must also suppress flavor changing neutral currents to avoid strong constraints from meson-antimeson mass mixing measurements. To achieve this we must adopt for  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  a suitable Yukawa-coupling ansatz. It will be sufficient for us to define the  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  matrices diagonally in the mass basis, as in Ref. [[22](#page-9-21)]. In this way, we avoid flavor changing neutral current concerns.

### B. Cross section

We now turn to phenomenology at hadron colliders. If we restrict ourselves to considering proton valence quarks, with a diagonal Yukawa-coupling ansatz we are considering only three parton-level processes: the s-channel processes  $ud \rightarrow ud$ , cs, tb. The first two processes result in a dijet final state, while the last is a single top  $+ b$ -jet. The single top signal is the preferred method for a  $\chi_2$  diquark search at the LHC due to a lower SM background [[23](#page-9-22)]. The s-channel process mediated by  $\chi_2$  and producing a single top is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-7-1)

Our goal is to calculate  $\sigma(p \to \bar{x}_2)$  as a function of  $\chi_2$ <br>ass and couplings. To facilitate our calculation, we write mass and couplings. To facilitate our calculation, we write the Lagrangian in the Dirac basis:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \overline{(u^i)^c} [(g_V)_{ij} - (g_A)_{ij} \gamma_5] d^j \chi_2 + \text{H.c.}, \tag{44}
$$

where

$$
(g_V)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} [2(h_1)_{ij} + (h_2)_{ij}],
$$
  
\n
$$
(g_A)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} [2(h_1)_{ij} - (h_2)_{ij}].
$$
\n(45)

Because  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are diagonal,  $g_V$  and  $g_A$  are also diagonal.

The dominant parton-level process is  $ud \stackrel{\bar{x}_2}{\rightarrow} tb$ . The cross is the m  $u \ll m$  limit is section in the  $m_{u,d,b} \ll m_t$  limit is

$$
\sigma(ud^{\tilde{X}_2}tb) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left(\frac{s - m_t^2}{s}\right)^2 \frac{s}{(s - M_{\chi}^2)^2 + M_{\chi}^2 \Gamma_{\chi}^2} \times (|(g_V)_{11}|^2 + |(g_A)_{11}|^2)(|(g_V)_{33}|^2 + |(g_A)_{33}|^2),
$$
\n(46)

<span id="page-7-2"></span>where  $M_{\chi}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\chi}$  are the mass and width of  $\chi_2$ . The full width is given by the sum of partial widths

$$
\Gamma(\bar{\chi}_2 \to u^{i=[1,2]}d^j) = \frac{M_X}{4\pi} (|(g_V)_{ij}|^2 + |(g_A)_{ij}|^2),
$$
  

$$
\Gamma(\bar{\chi}_2 \to td^j) = \frac{M_X}{4\pi} \left(1 - \frac{m_t^2}{M_X^2}\right)^2 (|(g_V)_{3j}|^2 + |(g_A)_{3j}|^2).
$$
(47)

The cross section at a hadron collider is expressed in terms of PDFs in a similar way to the Drell-Yan process [\(24\)](#page-2-3):

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

FIG. 5. Single top production mediated by the  $\chi_2$  diquark.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\sigma(p \, p^{\frac{\bar{X}_2}{\longrightarrow}} tb) = \sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_{u^i/p}(x_1, Q^2) f_{d^i/p}(x_2, Q^2) \\ + f_{u^i/p}(x_2, Q^2) f_{d^i/p}(x_1, Q^2) \end{array} \right] \times \sigma(u^i d^{j \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\longrightarrow}} tb; s = x_1 x_2 S_{\text{beam}}).
$$
 (48)

The cross section  $\sigma(p p^{\chi_2} \bar{t} \bar{b})$  is found similarly. At the IHC top-quark production is preferred over antitop LHC, top-quark production is preferred over antitop production due to nonzero initial baryon number, so that  $\sigma(p p^{\frac{\bar{X}^2}{2}}tb) \gg \sigma(p p^{\frac{\bar{X}^2}{2}}\bar{t}\bar{b})$ . The case for the Tevatron is different, with  $\sigma(p\bar{p} \stackrel{\bar{x}_2}{\rightarrow} tb) = \sigma(p\bar{p} \stackrel{\bar{x}_2}{\rightarrow} \bar{t} \bar{b}).$ <br>The parton-level cross section in Eq. (4)

The parton-level cross section in Eq. [\(48\)](#page-8-1) will have a distinct peak at  $x_1x_2S_{\text{beam}} = M_{\chi}^2$ . The height and width of this peak is determined by the  $\chi$ , width (47), which can be this peak is determined by the  $\chi_2$  width ([47](#page-7-2)), which can be quite small depending on the coupling. This causes numerical problems when integrating Eq. [\(48\)](#page-8-1) directly. It is convenient instead to introduce a change of variable,  $x_2$  =  $M_{\text{inv}}^2/x_1S_{\text{beam}}$ , so that the peak is only dependent on one integration variable: the invariant mass of  $tb$ ,  $M_{inv}$ . Making this substitution, and only considering valence quarks at the parton-level, we obtain

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\frac{d\sigma(p \, p^{\tilde{X}_2^2} tb)}{dM_{\text{inv}}} = \int_{(M_{\text{inv}}^2 / S_{\text{beam}})}^1 dx \frac{2M_{\text{inv}}}{x S_{\text{beam}}}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[ f_{u/p}(x, Q^2) f_{d/p} \left( \frac{M_{\text{inv}}^2}{x S_{\text{beam}}} , Q^2 \right) f_{d/p}(x, Q^2) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\times \sigma(ud^{\tilde{X}_2^2} tb; s = M_{\text{inv}}^2). \tag{49}
$$

One may then find the total cross section by integrating over the invariant mass. The total integration is over  $M_{\text{inv}} = (0, \sqrt{S_{\text{beam}}})$ , with the region near  $M_{\text{inv}} = M_{\chi}$  dominating the cross section nating the cross section.

### C. Mass/coupling limits

A Bayesian approach to calculating and combining CDF and D0 Tevatron results for the single top cross section is presented in Ref. [[24](#page-9-23)]. The quantity includes both s-channel and *t*-channel contributions to single top and single anti-top quark final states. (In the language of hadron colliders, ''single top'' means single top quark or single anti-top quark.) The single top cross section is found to be

$$
\sigma_{(s+t)-ch}^{\text{Tevatron}}(p\,\bar{p}\to tX;\bar{t}X) = 2.76^{+0.56}_{-0.47} \text{ pb} \tag{50}
$$

<span id="page-8-3"></span>for a 170 GeV top quark. This is in good agreement with the SM theoretical prediction of  $3.33_{-0.10}^{+0.08}$  pb [[25](#page-9-24)]. We can use this measurement to put a limit on the cross section of our diquark mediated process. A conservative bound is

$$
\sigma^{\text{Tevatron}}(p\,\bar{p}\to tb;\bar{t}\,\bar{b}) < 1 \text{ pb.} \tag{51}
$$

<span id="page-8-5"></span>

FIG. 6. The experimentally excluded regions of  $\chi_2$  parameter space.

For the LHC at 7 TeV, the SM theoretical prediction for the single top s-channel cross section is  $\sim$  4.6 pb [[25\]](#page-9-24). There is not yet enough data to statistically observe single top production in this channel. However, the preliminary limit [\[26\]](#page-9-25),

$$
\sigma_{s-ch}^{\text{LHC@TTeV}}(pp \to tX; \bar{t}X) < 26.5 \text{ pb}, \tag{52}
$$

<span id="page-8-4"></span>is enough to compete with Tevatron bounds, as we will see.

We calculated  $\sigma(pp \to tb; \bar{t} \bar{b})$  and  $\sigma(p\bar{p} \to tb; \bar{t} \bar{b})$  as a notion of M and  $(\vert (g_{\alpha})_{\alpha}\vert)^2 + \vert (g_{\alpha})_{\alpha}\vert^2)$  using Eq. (49) function of  $M_{\chi}$  and  $\left(\frac{|g_V\rangle_{ii}|^2 + |g_A\rangle_{ii}|^2\right)$  using Eq. ([49\)](#page-8-2). Here we made no assumptions on the magnitude relationship between entries of the generational matrices  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . PDFs were evaluated using the MSTW08 PDF set at  $Q^2 = \frac{1}{4} s$ . A k-factor of 1.3 was used for both the Tevatron<br>and LHC calculations [27]. We then used Eqs. (51) and and LHC calculations [[27](#page-9-26)]. We then used Eqs. ([51](#page-8-3)) and [\(52\)](#page-8-4) to put constraints on the two dimensional masscoupling space. Our results are shown in Fig. [6.](#page-8-5) We see that the LHC can already impose bounds that exceed those of the Tevatron at sufficiently large coupling.

## VI. CONCLUSION

<span id="page-8-0"></span>We have studied the phenomenology of the quark-lepton symmetric model of Foot and Lew [\[1\]](#page-9-0) in the context of the LHC. In this model, an  $SU(3)_l$  "leptonic color" symmetry is appended to the SM gauge group, tripling the number of SM leptons. Consequently, a  $Z_2$  discrete quark-lepton symmetry of the Lagrangian can be defined. The additional symmetries are then spontaneously broken by an  $SU(3)<sub>l</sub>$ triplet Higgs multiplet, the  $Z_2$  partner of which is an  $SU(3)<sub>a</sub>$  triplet diquark called  $\chi_2$ . After this breaking, the eight gauge bosons of  $SU(3)_l$  become a heavy neutral Z' gauge boson [in conjunction with the gauge boson of  $U(1)_X$ ], three massless exotic gluons (huons) mediating an unbroken confining  $SU(2)$  force, and four charge  $+1/2$  "weak" bosons these four bosons do not couple  $\pm 1/2$  "weak" bosons [these four bosons do not couple to quarks and are not expected to exhibit prominent LHC phenomenology]. There are also four massive  $\pm 1/2$ charged exotic leptons (liptons) per family which transform as doublets under  $SU(2)$ . The SM particles are un-<br>charged under  $SU(2)$ charged under  $SU(2)$ <sup>'</sup>.

We investigated three main areas of interest at the LHC: the  $Z<sup>'</sup>$  heavy neutral gauge boson, the liptons, and the diquark  $\chi_2$ .

Using dilepton data, we put lower bounds on the mass of the  $Z<sup>1</sup>$  gauge boson of 780 GeV and 950 GeV, from the Tevatron and LHC, respectively. The latter is an improvement of 230 GeV on the previous best limit [\[5\]](#page-9-4).

We then considered lipton pair production,  $L\bar{L}$ , at the LHC through the Drell-Yan mechanism. All pairs form excited ''liptonium'' bound states. These states then deexcite via isotropic hueball and soft photon radiation, resulting in large missing energy. It was estimated that 75% of liptonium states will annihilate to difermions while 25% annihilate to diphotons and huons. The diphoton events were found to be too few in number to detect at the LHC. However, we found that annihilation to dileptons will be observable at the LHC in the near future for lipton mass  $m_L > 100$  GeV, the current bound set by the LEP experiments. New lipton mass parameter space is already being explored by the LHC for the closely related SU(5) and SU(7) leptonic color models.

Last, we investigated the phenomenology of the color triplet scalar diquark  $\chi_2$ . Using single top production data from the Tevatron and LHC we excluded areas of the two dimensional mass-coupling parameter space, as shown in Fig. [6.](#page-8-5) We found that the LHC is already competitive with Tevatron bounds.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council.

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] R. Foot and H. Lew, *Phys. Rev. D* **41**[, 3502 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.41.3502)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[2] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.275) 10, 275 (1974).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[3] G. Senjanovic and R.N. Mohapatra, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.1502) 12, [1502 \(1975\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.1502).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[4] G. C. Joshi and R. R. Volkas, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.45.1711) 45, 1711 [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.45.1711); K. Babu, E. Ma, and S. Willen-brock, [ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.051301) 69, [051301 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.051301) S.-L. Chen and E. Ma, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732304013982) 19[, 1267 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732304013982) A. Demaria, C. I. Low, and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D 72[, 075007 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.075007); 73[, 079902\(E\) \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.079902); 74[, 033005 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.033005) A. Demaria and K. L. McDonald, [ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.056006) 75[, 056006 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.056006); K. Babu, T. W. Kephart, and H. Pas, ibid. 77[, 116006 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.116006); D. A. Eby, P. H. Frampton, X.-G. He, and T. W. Kephart, ibid. 84[, 037302 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.037302).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[5] R. Foot, H. Lew, and R. R. Volkas, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.1531) 44, 1531 [\(1991\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.1531).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[6] Y. Levin and R. R. Volkas, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.5342) 48, 5342 [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.5342).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[7] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(95)01031-K)* **358**, 318 (1995).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[8] S. D. Drell and T.-M. Yan, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(71)90071-6) 66, 578 [\(1971\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(71)90071-6).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[9] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.121801) 106[, 121801 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.121801); V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.10.059) 695, 88 (2011).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[10] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.272002) 107[, 272002 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.272002); V. Timciuc (CMS Collaboration), [arXiv:1111.4528.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1111.4528)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[11] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, and G. Watt, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5) 63, 189 (2009).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[12] R. Hamberg, W. L. van Neerven, and T. Matsuura, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90064-5) Phys. B 359[, 343 \(1991\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90064-5); 644[, 403\(E\) \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00814-3); V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.02.048) 698[, 21 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.02.048).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[13] E.D. Carlson, L.J. Hall, U. Sarid, and J.W. Burton, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.1555)* Rev. D 44[, 1555 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.1555)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[14] R. Foot and O.F. Hernandez, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.41.2283) 41, 2283 [\(1990\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.41.2283).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[15] P. Achard et al. (L3 Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.010) 587, 16 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.010).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[16] T. Appelquist and H.D. Politzer, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.1404) 12, 1404 [\(1975\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.1404).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[17] M. Aurousseau (ATLAS LAr), [Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2011.03.150) 215[, 110 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2011.03.150)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[18] R. Foot and R.R. Volkas, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.11.078) 645, 345 [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.11.078).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[19] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [arXiv:1112.0688](http://arXiv.org/abs/1112.0688); ATLAS Collaboration, [arXiv:1112.2194.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1112.2194)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[20] G.F. Giudice, B. Gripaios, and R. Sundrum, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)055) [Energy Phys. 08 \(2011\) 055.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)055)
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>[21] M. A. Ajaib, I. Gogoladze, Y. Mimura, and Q. Shafi, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.125026) Rev. D 80[, 125026 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.125026).
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>[22] I. Gogoladze, Y. Mimura, N. Okada, and Q. Shafi, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.02.068) Lett. B 686[, 233 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.02.068).
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>[23] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.015) 704[, 123 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.015) G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.01.035) 708, 37 (2012).
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>[24] A. Heinson and T. R. Junk, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102010-130416) 61, [171 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102010-130416).
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>[25] N. Kidonakis, Phys. Rev. D **81**[, 054028 \(2010\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.054028) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.091503)**, [091503 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.091503)
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>[26] Search for s-channel single top-quark production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 7 TeV, CERN, Tech. Rep. No. ATLAS-<br>CONE-2011-118. Geneva. 2011 CONF-2011-118, Geneva, 2011.
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>[27] T. Han, I. Lewis, and T. McElmurry, [J. High Energy Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2010)123) [01 \(2010\) 123.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2010)123)