Probing the neutron's electric neutrality with Ramsey spectroscopy of gravitational quantum states of ultracold neutrons

Katharina Durstberger-Rennhofer, Tobias Jenke, and Hartmut Abele

Atominstitut, Vienna University of Technology, Stadionallee 2, 1020 Vienna, Austria (Received 28 February 2011; published 3 August 2011)

We propose to test the electric neutrality of neutrons by a new technique using the spectroscopy of quantum states of ultracold neutrons in the gravity potential above a vertical mirror. The new technique is an application of Ramsey's method of separated oscillating fields to neutron's quantum states in the gravity potential of the Earth. In the presence of an electric field E_z parallel or antiparallel to the direction of the acceleration of the Earth, g , the energy of the quantum states changes due to an additional electrostatic potential if a neutron carries a nonvanishing charge. In the long run our new method has the potential to improve the current limit of $10^{-21}q_e$ for the electric charge of the neutron by 2 orders of magnitude.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.84.036004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.036004) PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 14.20.Dh, 84.37.+q

I. INTRODUCTION

The smallness of the neutron charge q , which is less than 1.8×10^{-21} electron charges q_e (90% C.L.), raises serious
questions about charge quantization. The standard model questions about charge quantization. The standard model with three generations does not have electric charge quantization $[1,2]$ $[1,2]$ $[1,2]$, so q could be anything. In fact, charge quantization requires an additional free parameter in the standard model (see, e.g., Refs. [[3,](#page-4-2)[4\]](#page-4-3)), which must be determined experimentally along with the other standard model parameters (like the coupling strengths of electroweak and strong interactions, the Higgs boson mass, etc.). If this free parameter is nonzero, it induces small modifications of the electric charges. As a consequence, so-called neutral particles, like neutrons, neutrinos, and atoms, carry a small ''rest charge'' [[5\]](#page-4-4). Assuming charge conservation and the validity of the CPT theorem, this parameter has to be below 3×10^{-21} (see, e.g., [\[6\]](#page-4-5)). This most stringent limit arises from the upper limit of neutron charge q.

There are many extensions of the standard model which lead to electric-charge quantization [\[7](#page-4-6)]. Other suggestions include higher dimensions [\[8\]](#page-4-7), superstrings [[9](#page-4-8),[10](#page-4-9)], magnetic monopoles [\[11](#page-4-10)], and grand unified theories (GUTs) [\[12–](#page-4-11)[14\]](#page-4-12). Since the standard model value for q requires extreme fine-tuning, the smallness of this value may be considered as a hint for GUTs, where q is equal to zero. But a nonzero value of q would eliminate the possibility of a neutron-antineutron oscillation [[15](#page-4-13)], which is a GUT candidate for the violation of the baryon number by $\Delta B = 2$ [[16](#page-4-14)].

That the neutron is a particle having zero electric charge has been checked by beam-deflection experiments [\[17,](#page-4-15)[18\]](#page-4-16), where slow neutrons with mass m pass through a strong electric field perpendicular to the beam direction. If a hypothetical neutron charge q is present, one would expect a deflection y,

$$
y = \frac{q^2 E_z L^2}{2mv^2},
$$
 (1)

with E_z being the electric field applied over the length L and ν the neutron velocity.

The deflection apparatus of Baumann et al. [[17](#page-4-15)] uses a multislit system with 31 slits, 30 μ m wide, separated by 30 μ m-wide absorbing zones. With a detector slit positioned on the steep slope of the intensity profile, which is assumed to be Gaussian with 2Δ full width at half maximum, a beam deflection y becomes noticeable by measuring the difference in counting rate for opposite directions of the applied electric field E_z . Assuming a deflection much smaller than the width of the profile, the uncertainty in y is given by

$$
\sigma_y = \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{N}},\tag{2}
$$

where N are the total neutron counts [[19](#page-4-17)]. In order to minimize σ_y , a high count rate and a small beam profile
are desired. The sensitivity of the apparatus was such that are desired. The sensitivity of the apparatus was such that a deflection $y = (2.3 \pm 14.7) \times 10^{-10}$ m was measurable
for a flight path *I* of about 9 m an electric field for a flight path L of about 9 m, an electric field of $E_z = \pm 6 \times 10^6$ V/m, and a neutron wavelength of $\lambda = 1.2 \pm 3$ nm, respectively. The sensitivity is impressive 1.2 ± 3 nm, respectively. The sensitivity is impressive, and expressed in angular resolution or momentum change, it gives

$$
\Theta = \frac{y}{L} = 2 \times 10^{-10}.
$$
 (3)

Baumann et al. derived, for the charge of the neutron,

$$
q = (-0.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-21} q_e,
$$
 (4)

where q_e denotes the electron charge. This measurement is in agreement with the neutrality of neutrons.

Another experiment, with ultracold neutrons (UCN), was conducted at nearly the same time by Borisov et al. [\[18\]](#page-4-16). The lower intensity of the UCN beam was counterbalanced by the longer time that the slow UCN remained in the electric field region. The intrinsic discovery potential of this experiment was $q = 3.6 \times 10^{-20} q_e$ per day at the former HCN source of the Leningrad VVR-M reactor former UCN source of the Leningrad VVR-M reactor. During only three days of running this experiment produced the result

$$
q = -(4.3 \pm 7.1) \times 10^{-20} q_e.
$$
 (5)

Up to now, all experiments probing the electric neutrality of neutrons were designed as deflection experiments (see also Ref. [\[20\]](#page-4-18)).

We propose to probe the neutron's neutrality by a new technique using the spectroscopy of quantum states in the gravity potential above a vertical mirror. The new technique is an application of Ramsey's method of separated oscillating fields [\[21\]](#page-4-19) to quantum states in the gravity potential of the Earth [[22](#page-4-20)] equipped with an electric field in the intermediate flight path region.

Energy eigenstates in the gravity potential of the Earth can be probed by a new resonance spectroscopy technique, using neutrons bouncing on a horizontal mirror [\[23\]](#page-4-21). In the presence of an electric field E_z , the energy of quantum states in the gravity potential changes due to an additional electrostatic potential if a neutron carries a nonvanishing charge q . Important for this method is the fact that the energy shift differs from state to state due to the properties of a Schrödinger wave packet in a linear potential. We measure the energy difference between two quantum states by applying an electric field E_z parallel or antiparallel to g. This will allow high precision spectroscopy, because ultimately the highest precision in experiments can be obtained by measuring frequencies.

II. RAMSEY SPECTROSCOPY OF GRAVITATIONAL QUANTUM STATES OF NEUTRONS

A. Quantum states of neutrons in the gravitational and external electrostatic potential

Let us consider the motion of ultracold neutrons with a hypothetical electric charge q in a gravitational and electric field above a horizontal mirror. We assume their forces to act in the z direction, while the mirror is aligned with the xy plane at $z = 0$. The motion in the x and y directions is free and completely decouples from that in the z direction. Without the external electric field, the problem is equivalent to the quantum bouncing ball [[24](#page-4-22),[25](#page-4-23)].

It suffices to consider the time-dependent Schrödinger equation restricted to the z direction,

$$
\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + mgz + q|\vec{E}_z|z\right\}\Psi = i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}.
$$
 (6)

Here, g is the acceleration of gravity, m is the mass of the neutron, and $|\vec{E}_z|$ is the external electric field pointing in the z direction. We are interested in two special cases: $|E_z| = \pm E_z$, where the electric field is oriented parallel $(+)$ or antiparallel $(+)$ to the acceleration of gravity. The $(+)$ or antiparallel $(-)$ to the acceleration of gravity. The potential of the mirror at $z = 0$ associated with the substance of the mirror is repulsive and much larger than the eigenenergies of the lowest quantum states in the gravitational field. Therefore, Eq. ([6](#page-1-0)) must be solved with the boundary condition $\Psi(z = 0, t) = 0$.

The corresponding stationary Schrödinger equation is given by

$$
\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + (mg + q|\vec{E}_z|)z\right\}\psi_n = E_n\psi_n.
$$
 (7)

It is convenient to use rescaled units $\zeta = z/z_0$ and $\epsilon_n = E_n/E_0$ with the characteristic gravitational quantum length z_0 and energy scale E_0 of the bouncing neutron, which depend on a hypothetical electric charge of the neutron q:

$$
z_0(q) = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{(mg + q|\vec{E}_z|)}\right)^{1/3},\tag{8}
$$

$$
E_0(q) = (mg + q|\tilde{E}_z|)z_0(q).
$$
 (9)

The solutions of Eq. ([7\)](#page-1-1) are given in terms of the Airy functions

$$
\psi_n(\zeta) = N_n A i(\zeta - \epsilon_n), \tag{10}
$$

where N_n is a proper normalization factor and ϵ_n the *n*th energy eigenvalue (in rescaled units). The displacement ϵ_n of the Airy functions has to coincide with the nth zero of the Airy function, $Ai(-\epsilon_n) = 0$, due to the boundary condition $\psi_n(0) = 0$.

For zero electric charge of the neutron, the eigenenergies of the quantum bouncer are

$$
E_n^{(0)} = \epsilon_n mg z_0 (q=0), \qquad (11)
$$

which gives, for the lowest energy levels, $E_1^{(0)} = 1.41$ peV,
 $E_2^{(0)} = 2.46$ geV, $E_2^{(0)} = 2.22$ geV. For genergy electric $E_2^{(0)} = 2.46$ peV, $E_3^{(0)} = 3.32$ peV. For nonzero electric
charge of the neutron, the energies for the two different charge of the neutron, the energies for the two different field configurations are denoted by E_n^{\pm} .
Figure 1 shows the probability dens

Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the probability density of the first and third energy eigenstates (black lines) and the influence of a hypothetical electric charge q of the neutron. The red (blue) curves show the eigenfunctions in the presence of an electric field $+E_z$ ($-E_z$) in the parallel (antiparallel) configuration, calculated for a hypothetical neutron charge of $q = 5 \times 10^{-16} q_e.$

B. Ramsey's method of separated oscillating fields

Ramsey's method [\[21\]](#page-4-19), as described for neutrons in the gravitational potential of the Earth in Ref. [[22](#page-4-20)], probes the difference in energy shifts $\Delta E = \Delta E_q - \Delta E_p$, with $\Delta E_n = E_n^+ - E_n^-$, between two levels p and q. We modify

FIG. 1 (color online). Energy eigenvalues and probability densities of the first and third eigenstates of a neutron in the gravitational potential of the Earth (black curves). The upper (lower) curves show modifications due to an electric field in a parallel (antiparallel) configuration for a hypothetical neutron charge $q = 5 \times 10^{-16} q_e$.

this experimental setup of Ramsey's resonance method for the neutron's gravity states such that it is suitable for a measurement of a hypothetical charge of the neutron. A sketch of a modified setup to test the neutron's neutrality is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1)

To implement Ramsey's method, one has to install

- (1) a state selector or polarizer,
- (2) a region where one applies a $\pi/2$ pulse, creating the superposition of the two states whose energy difference should be measured,
- (3) a region where the phase evolves,
- (4) a second region to read the relative phase by applying a second $\pi/2$ pulse, and finally,
- (5) a state detector or analyzer.

In region 1, neutrons are prepared in a specific quantum state $|p\rangle$ in the gravity potential following the procedure demonstrated in [\[26\]](#page-4-24). Above a polished mirror a rough absorbing scatterer is mounted which selects only the ground state and absorbs or scatters out higher unwanted states; see [\[27\]](#page-4-25).

In region 2 of length *l*, the first of two identical oscillators is installed. Here, transitions between quantum states $|p\rangle$ and $|q\rangle$ are induced. The oscillator frequency at resonance for a transition between states with energies E_a and E_p is $\nu_{pq} = (E_q - E_p)/h$ which gives, for the transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow 3\rangle$, a frequency of $\nu_{13} = 461.9$ Hz. Driven at resonance $(\nu = \nu_{pq})$, the oscillator brings the system into a coherent superposition of the state $|p\rangle$ and $|q\rangle$; a $\pi/2$ pulse creates an equal superposition. The oscillator system is realized either by using oscillating magnetic gradient fields or by vibrating mirrors. There a modulation of the mirror height takes place.

In the intermediate region 3, a nonoscillating mirror with a neutron flight path of L and flight time T follows. It might be convenient to place a second mirror on top of the bottom mirror at a certain height H . The mirrors are rounded off and are coated with gold for electrical conductivity. Field strengths of about 6×10^6 V/m are used.
In region 4, a second oscillator, which

In region 4, a second oscillator, which is in phase with the oscillator in region 2, is placed.

In region 5, the accumulated phase shift can be measured by transmission through a second state selector.

By tuning the oscillation frequency ν , a typical Ramsey fringe pattern as shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) (black line) will be observed. To test the neutron's neutrality, three different configurations are used: The electric field is turned on, thereby pointing either parallel, $+E_z$, or antiparallel, $-E_z$, to gravity, or the electric field is turned off. In the parallel and antiparallel configurations, Ramsey's method probes an energy difference of $\Delta E^{\pm} = E_q^{\pm} - E_p^{\pm}$ (see also
Fig. 1) Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).

If the neutron carries an electric charge, the frequency shift between the two resulting Ramsey fringe patterns

FIG. 2 (color online). Proposed experimental setup. Region 1: Preparation in a specific quantum state, e.g. state 1 with a polarizer. Region 2: Application of first $\pi/2$ flip. Region 3: Flight path with length L. Region 4: Application of second $\pi/2$ flip. Region 5: State analyzer.

DURSTBERGER-RENNHOFER, JENKE, AND ABELE PHYSICAL REVIEW D 84, 036004 (2011)

FIG. 3 (color online). Expected Ramsey fringe pattern for ultracold neutrons traversing the system (black line). If the neutron carries a charge of $q = 5 \times 10^{-18} q_e$, the detected signal
will change in dependence of the direction of the applied electric will change in dependence of the direction of the applied electric field (parallel to gravity: curve to the right; antiparallel: curve to the left). For the calculation of this plot, the geometric parameters of Ref. [[22](#page-4-20)] were used.

for the parallel and antiparallel configurations will correspond to

$$
\Delta \nu = \nu_{pq}^{(0)} \cdot \left(\sqrt[3]{\left(1 + \frac{qE_z}{mg} \right)^2} - \sqrt[3]{\left(1 - \frac{qE_z}{mg} \right)^2} \right). \tag{12}
$$

This is illustrated in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) for a hypothetical neutron charge of $q = 5 \times 0^{-18} q_e$. The black curve corresponds
to the case of the electric field switched off or the neutron to the case of the electric field switched off or the neutron charge being $q = 0$. For this figure, the geometric parameters of the setup suggested in [\[22\]](#page-4-20) were used to calculate the Ramsey fringe patterns for the transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow 3$).

C. Expected sensitivity

The search for a hypothetical charge of the neutron consists of the following measurements: First, the Ramsey pattern for the transition $|p\rangle$ to $|q\rangle$ is recorded with sufficient statistics to resolve the steep Ramsey fringes. Then, the frequency of the oscillators is locked to the frequency ν_0 , where the Ramsey fringes give the steepest slope. The number of neutrons for a fixed observation time t for the two different possible directions of the electric field, parallel or antiparallel to gravity, is measured. The corresponding number of neutrons are denoted by N^+ and N^- . For the difference of neutron counts, we expect

$$
\frac{N^+}{t} - \frac{N^-}{t} = \frac{\partial r(\nu)}{\partial \nu} \bigg|_{\nu_0} \Delta \nu. \tag{13}
$$

Here, $r(\nu)$ corresponds to the Ramsey fringe pattern expressed as a count rate and $\Delta \nu$ to the frequency shift induced by the hypothetical charge of the neutron.

With the help of Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-1) and a Taylor expansion in q , this formula can be reexpressed:

$$
q = \frac{N^+ - N^-}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{\partial r(\nu)}{\partial \nu}|_{\nu_0} \nu_0} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \frac{mg}{E_z}.
$$
 (14)

The statistical error on q is given by

$$
\delta q = \frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{r}}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{\partial r(\nu)}{\partial \nu} \big|_{\nu_0} \nu_0} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \frac{mg}{E_z}.
$$
 (15)

Here, N is the total number of counted neutrons, t is equal to the total measuring time, \bar{r} is the mean count rate $\bar{r} = N/t$, and the assumption $N^+ \approx N^- \approx N/2$ was used.

To estimate the sensitivity of the suggested method, it is useful to calculate the so-called discovery potential, i.e., the statistical limit on the hypothetical charge q reached in one single day. To determine this discovery potential, all ingredients of Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-2) need to be estimated.

The mean rate \bar{r} profits from one of the main advantages of Ramsey's method: As the system is self-focusing, the steep slope of the inner Ramsey fringe stays unchanged even if the transmitted neutrons have a certain velocity distribution. From our previous experiments at the beam position UCN/PF2 at the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), the mean count rate can be estimated to be $\bar{r} \approx 0.1 s^{-1}$ using all neutrons with velocities v_r between 3.2 m/s and 9 m/s. The total statistics per day is given by $N = \bar{r} \cdot T =$ $0.1s^{-1} \times 86\,400s = 8640$ neutrons.
The steepest slope of the Ramsey

The steepest slope of the Ramsey fringe pattern is given by the value $\partial r(\nu) \approx 1$ Hz frequency shift per s^{-1} transmission change. For this calculation, the standard neutron mirror setup as proposed in [[22](#page-4-20)] for an in-flight experiment was used. Therefore, the interaction time of the neutron with the electric field is $\tau = 0.130s$.

Baumann *et al.* [[17](#page-4-15)] used an electric field of E_z = 6×10^6 V/m. The distance of the electrodes was 3 mm.
The achievable electric field scales with the square root The achievable electric field scales with the square root of the distance; thus an improvement by a factor of 5 using electrodes with a distance of 100 μ m is possible. Measured breakdown voltages at electrode distances of 4 mm are around 20 MV/m [[28\]](#page-4-26), and 70 MV/m at a distance of 100 μ m have been reported [\[29\]](#page-4-27) but all figures depend strongly on the geometry. There are deviations which are proportional to the electric field E_z . The effects of the magnetic moment μ in the magnetic stray field can be reduced by the use of mu-metal shielding (four layers). Then the effect is smaller than 10^{-23} eV [[30](#page-4-28)]. The effect of Schwinger terms, $E_z \times \vec{v}$, has been studied recently in
electric dinole moment experiments. It can be neglected at electric dipole moment experiments. It can be neglected at this level of accuracy, furthermore, because we are using unpolarized neutrons, where the effect cancels on average. Effects due to the electric polarizability of the neutron are also very small [[31](#page-4-29)].

With these parameters, the discovery potential reads

$$
\delta q = 8.4 \times 10^{-20} \ q_e/\text{day} \tag{16}
$$

for the transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow 3\rangle$. This sensitivity may be improved by choosing higher transitions such as $|1\rangle \rightarrow 5$, resulting in a discovery potential of $\delta q =$ 4.8×10^{-20} q_e /day.
The neutron-charge

The neutron-charge experiment [\[17\]](#page-4-15) with the best limit on the charge was performed at the cold neutron guide H18 of ILL, and the full neutron spectrum of this beam was used. For this kind of experiment it has been shown that the reachable limit for q is independent of the wave length λ as long as the neutron spectrum is proportional to $1/\lambda^5$, which is the case for the research reactor at the ILL. To improve the limit significantly by several orders of magnitude, we can use our method with ultracold neutrons, because they can be stored; thus, the observation time τ can be increased by 3 orders of magnitude, which would improve the limit linearly. Furthermore, new ultracold neutron sources are under development right now, and the source strength density is expected to be increased by 2 orders of magnitude. This results in an ultimate-statistical-discovery potential of

$$
\delta q = 8.4 \times 10^{-24} \ q_e/\text{day} \tag{17}
$$

as a long-term goal for this method.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H. A. would like to thank R. Gähler for useful discussions. This work has been supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under Contract No. Ab128/ 2-1 and as part of the Priority Programme (SPP) 1491 ''Precision Experiments in Particle and Astrophysics with Cold and Ultracold Neutrons,'' and by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Projects No. I 531-N20 and No. T 389-N16 (Hertha-Firnberg position of K. D.-R.).

- [1] R. Foot, H. Lew, and R. R. Volkas, [J. Phys. G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/19/3/005) 19, 361 [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/19/3/005).
- [2] R. Foot, Phys. Rev. D 49[, 3617 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.3617)
- [3] A. Yu. Ignatiev and G.C. Joshi, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.4481) 48, 4481 [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.4481).
- [4] A. Yu. Ignatiev and G.C. Joshi, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.51.2411) **51**, 2411 [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.51.2411).
- [5] A. Arvanitaki et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.120407) **100**, 120407 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.120407).
- [6] E. Takasugi and M. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 44[, 3706 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3706)
- [7] D. C. Choudhury and U. Sarkar, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90929-K) 268, 96 [\(1991\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90929-K).
- [8] O. Klein, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/118516a0) **118**, 516 (1926).
- [9] J. Schwarz, M. Green, and E. Witten, Superstring Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1986).
- [10] J. Distler *et al.*, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2008\) 038.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/05/038)
- [11] P. Dirac, [Proc. R. Soc. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1931.0130) 133, 60 (1931).
- [12] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.275) 10, 275 (1974).
- [13] H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.438) 32, 438 [\(1974\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.438).
- [14] L. B. Okun, M. B. Voloshin, and V. I. Zakharov, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(84)91884-7) 138B[, 115 \(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(84)91884-7).
- [15] M. Baldo-Ceolin et al., Z. Phys. C 63[, 409 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01580321)
- [16] S.L. Glashow, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Neutrino, 1979.
- [17] J. Baumann et al., Phys. Rev. D 37[, 3107 \(1988\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.37.3107)
- [18] Yu. V. Borisov et al., Zh. Tekh. Fiz. **58**, 951 (1988).
- [19] R. Gähler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 25 [, 2887 \(1982\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.25.2887)
- [20] C. Plonka-Spehr et al., [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.02.110) Sect. A **618**[, 239 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.02.110)
- [21] N.F. Ramsey, *Molecular Beams* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1956).
- [22] H. Abele et al., Phys. Rev. D 81[, 065019 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.065019)
- [23] T. Jenke *et al.*, [Nature Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1970) 7, 468 (2011).
- [24] R.L. Gibbs, [Am. J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.10024) **43**, 25 (1975).
- [25] J. Gea-Banacloche, [Am. J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.19124) **67**, 776 (1999).
- [26] V. Nesvizhevsky *et al.*, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/415297a) **415**, 297 (2002).
- [27] A. Westphal *et al.*, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0283-x) **51**, 367 (2007).
- [28] M. Kurrat et al., Proceedings of the XIII International Symposium on High Voltage Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands (2003).
- [29] P.N. Chistyabov and N.P. Dubini, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Radiofiz. 22, 1020 (1979).
- [30] C. A. Baker *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**[, 131801 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.131801)
- [31] H. Abele et al., in Lecture Notes in Physics 631, edited by C. Lämmerzahl (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003).