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The purpose of this work is to study vector meson-octet baryon interactions with the aim to find dynamical generation of resonances in such systems. For this, we consider $s$-, $t$-, $u$-channel diagrams along with a contact interaction originating from the hidden local symmetry Lagrangian. We find the contribution from all these sources, except the $s$ channel, to be important. The amplitudes obtained by solving coupled channel Bethe-Salpeter equations for systems with total strangeness zero, show the generation of one isospin $3 / 2$, spin $1 / 2$ resonance and three isospin $1 / 2$ resonances: two with spin $3 / 2$ and one with spin $1 / 2$. We identify these resonances with $\Delta(1900) S_{31}, N^{*}(2080) D_{13}, N^{*}(1700) D_{13}$, and $N^{*}(2090) S_{11}$, respectively.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent interests in hadron physics have been largely motivated by experimental observations of new states in the resonance region which are not easily explained by the conventional constituent quark model. The strong interactions among the ground state mesons and baryons not only affect their properties but also, in some cases, generate resonances dynamically (examples of some of the recent related works are Refs. [1-10]). Therefore, it is of great importance to investigate these dynamical aspects based on reliable hadron-hadron interactions.

In a quark picture, an energy of several hundred MeV which is a typical scale of one quanta of orbital excitation is sufficient to create a $\bar{q} q$ pair, making multiquark components in a hadron. If they further develop color singlet clusters of ground state hadrons near their threshold, they may form a loosely bound or resonant state provided that sufficiently strong attraction is available. This is what we expect microscopically for the dynamical generation of resonances. A spin zero configuration of $\bar{q} q$ forms a $J^{P}=0^{-}$pseudoscalar meson, and is the basic building block of, for instance, $\Lambda(1405)$ [11-13]. Similarly, the $J^{P}=1^{-}$configuration giving a vector meson could also be an element of certain baryon resonances as indicated in Refs. [14-19]. However, while the pseudoscalar mesonbaryon interaction is well dictated by the low energy theorems of spontaneously broken chiral symmetry, the interaction of vector mesons and baryons are not fully studied. This is one of the issues that we would like to discuss in this paper.

It is known that the theory of the hidden local symmetry (HLS) [20] can accommodate vector mesons consistently with the chiral symmetry. In fact, the HLS model has been

[^0]shown to share many important aspects of low energy dynamics. Furthermore, a recent holographic approach to QCD has derived the extended HLS model where an infinite series of the vector mesons emerges as a consequence of the dynamics in the extra fifth dimension [21,22]. This HLS model forms the basis of our study

The vector meson-octet baryon interaction has been studied within the HLS by assuming a vector meson exchange in the $t$ channel [19] [Fig. 1(a)] as the lowest order amplitude and several baryon resonances have been found as a result of solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation in the coupled channel formalism. However, in Ref. [19] all the states are found to be spin $1 / 2-3 / 2$ degenerate since the leading order interaction obtained from the $t$-channel exchange is spin independent. This latter finding is different from what one would expect from the interaction of two particles of similar mass and nonzero spin, just as for the


FIG. 1. Diagrammatical representation of the vector mesonbaryon interaction via a (a) $t$-channel exchange, (b) contact term, (c) $s$-channel, and (d) $u$-channel exchange. The double lines in these diagrams represent the vector mesons.
nuclear force. In addition to this, the low energy theorems cannot be applied to the vector meson-baryon systems since the masses of the vector mesons are comparable to those of the baryons. Hence one cannot rely alone on the $t$-channel diagrams as in the case of pseudoscalar mesonbaryon interaction. There are many other diagrams which could also make important contributions to the interaction of the vector mesons and baryons and there is no a priori reason to neglect such diagrams, for instance, $s$ - and $u$-channel (baryon) exchange [Fig. 1(c) and 1(d)] or a contact interaction [Fig. 1(b)].

When naively applying the HLS model to the vector meson-baryon interactions, as we will show in this work, one naturally finds interactions corresponding to all the diagrams of Fig. 1(a)-1(d). Moreover, in the diagrams corresponding to the $s, u$ channels and contact interaction, we find a spin dependence, which seems also a natural consequence for particles with finite spin. It is therefore of great importance to see the role of the diagrams other than the $t$ channel for the dynamics of the system of a vector meson and a baryon. As we will show in detail, such interactions modify substantially the results which are obtained by employing the spin-independent $t$-channel interaction.

In the next section we will briefly summarize the basic Lagrangians obtained within the HLS approach, in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ limit first since we find it very instructive to look at the different features and structure of the interactions obtained from different diagrams. We will then discuss the generalization of the same to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. In the subsequent section we will show the results obtained on the real energy axis and in the complex plane for the vector meson-baryon systems with total strangeness zero. Finally, we will present a summary of this article.

## II. FORMALISM

As already mentioned in the previous section, unlike the pseudoscalar meson-baryon systems, in case of the vector meson-baryon interaction there is not much guidance available from the low energy theorems. Further, the situation is slightly more complicated since both particles possess nonzero spin. Thus, we make an assumption that the minimal coupling between vector mesons and baryons, based on the HLS model, occurs via a vector meson exchange in the $t$ channel, a contact interaction and an octet baryon exchange in the $s$ and $u$-channel [shown in Figs. 1(a)-1(d), respectively].

## A. Vector meson-baryon interaction within $\mathbf{S U}(2)$

Before discussing the interactions in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, we would first like to show the structure of the contributions obtained from different diagrams shown in Fig. 1, in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ limit [which will serve as a guide in the discussion of the generalization of these interactions to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ ] and in the
interpretation of the results finally obtained by solving the scattering equations.

Our basic assumption is that the nucleon field transforms as $N \rightarrow h(x) N$ when the hidden local symmetry is applied to the system, where $h(x)$ is an element of the HLS. Hence, the corresponding gauge invariant HLS Lagrangian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\bar{N}\left(i \not \emptyset-g F_{1} \gamma_{\mu} \rho^{\mu}\right) N, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)$ is a form factor normalized as $F(0)=1$. However, it is well known that to reproduce the anomalous magnetic moments of the baryons, it is imperative to consider the rho-nucleon tensor coupling. This phenomenological finding leads to a more complete $\rho N$ HLS Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\rho N}=-g \bar{N}\left\{F_{1} \gamma_{\mu} \rho^{\mu}+\frac{F_{2}}{4 M} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \rho^{\mu \nu}\right\} N, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{2}=\kappa_{\rho}$ at zero momentum transfer, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\mu \nu}=\partial^{\mu} \rho^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} \rho^{\mu}+i g\left[\rho^{\mu}, \rho^{\nu}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be stressed here that the commutator term of Eq. (3) is essential for the gauge invariance of the tensor term of the $\rho N$ Lagrangian given by Eq. (2).

We use the Dirac representation of the gamma matrices and in our normalization scheme,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\mu}=\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{\rho} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above ingredients together with the Lagrangian for three-rho vertices, which comes from the kinetic term of the $\rho$ meson,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{3 \rho} \in-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\rho^{\mu \nu} \rho_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\ldots\rangle$ denotes a trace in the isospin space, we obtain the leading order contribution to the vector meson-baryon $T$ matrix, in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ limit, from a vector exchange in the $t$ channel as

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\rho N}^{t} & =-\frac{1}{2 f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{0}+K_{2}^{0}\right) \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \quad \text { for } I=1 / 2  \tag{6}\\
& =\frac{1}{4 f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{0}+K_{2}^{0}\right) \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \quad \text { for } I=3 / 2 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, we shall refer to the leading order contributions to the $T$ matrices simply as interactions (kernels for the Bethe-Salpeter equations). The subscripts 1 and 2 in the above equations and, in general, in the present discussions refer to the meson in the initial and final state, respectively, [as shown in Figs. 1(a)-1(d)]. Further, $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{i}$ in Eqs. (6) and (7) denote the polarization vectors of $\rho$, and the energies, $K_{i}^{0}$, of the mesons are calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}^{0}=\frac{s+m_{i}^{2}-M_{i}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{s}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sqrt{s}, m$, and $M$, here and throughout this article, represent the total energy and masses of the meson and the baryon, respectively. For this $t$-channel case, we find that the contribution from the Pauli term of the Lagrangian for the vector meson-baryon system [Eq. (2)], which is related to the anomalous magnetic moment, is negligible (as also found in [19]) and hence it can be approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\rho N N} \simeq-g \bar{N} \gamma_{\mu} \rho^{\mu} N \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this anomalous magnetic part plays a very important role in giving another interaction term, a contact term (CT) when the last term of the tensor field [Eq. (3)], which is related to two meson fields, is used in Eq. (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\rho N \rho N}^{\mathrm{CT}}=-i g^{2} \bar{N} \frac{\kappa_{\rho}}{2 M} \rho_{\mu} \rho_{\nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu} N \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that the structure of the $T$ matrix obtained from this contact interaction, at the lowest order, in the nonrelativistic approximation, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\rho N}^{\mathrm{CT}}=\frac{g^{2} \kappa_{\rho}}{M} \vec{t}_{\rho} \cdot \vec{t}_{N} \vec{s}_{\rho} \cdot \vec{s}_{N}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, in contrast to the structure of the interaction proceeding through a $t$-channel vector meson exchange [summarized from Eqs. (6) and (7)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\rho N}^{t}=\frac{1}{2 f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{0}+K_{2}^{0}\right) \vec{t}_{\rho} \cdot \vec{t}_{N} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

possesses a spin-spin interaction [see also Eq. (26) and the discussion following it]. Further using the Kawarabayashi-Suzuki-Riazuddin-Fayyazuddin relation [23,24]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{m}{\sqrt{2} f_{\pi}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that the contact term of the vector meson-baryon HLS Lagrangian is similar, in order of magnitude, to the $t$-channel vector exchange contribution.

Furthermore, using Eq. (2) we obtain the interactions corresponding to a nucleon exchange in the $s$ - and $u$-channel diagrams, which, in the nonrelativistic approximation, are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\rho N}^{s}= & \frac{g^{2}}{4}\left[1-\frac{\kappa_{\rho} m}{2 M}\right]^{2} \frac{1}{m+2 M}\left(1-2 \vec{t}_{\rho} \cdot \vec{t}_{N}\right) \\
& \times\left(1-2 \vec{s}_{\rho} \cdot \vec{s}_{N}\right)  \tag{14}\\
V_{\rho N}^{u}= & \frac{g^{2}}{4}\left[1+\frac{\kappa_{\rho} m}{2 M}\right]^{2} \frac{1}{m-2 M}\left(1+2 \vec{t}_{\rho} \cdot \vec{t}_{N}\right) \\
& \times\left(1+2 \vec{s}_{\rho} \cdot \vec{s}_{N}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Once again, using the Kawarabayashi-Suzuki-RiazuddinFayyazuddin relation for the coupling constant $g$ and pion decay constant, and recalling the fact that the masses of the mesons are very similar to those of baryons in the present case, we can see that the contribution of the $u$-channel
diagram is not negligibly small as compared to the $t$-channel vector meson exchange or the contact term of the HLS Lagrangian. Moreover, the contact interaction and the $u$-channel diagram lead to spin-spin interactions which can play an important role in understanding the resonances generated in these systems. However, it should be mentioned that the $s$-channel interaction is found to be relatively weak but its contribution for other $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ channels needs to be checked.

Before going ahead, we would like to remark here that we have neglected possible momentum dependence in deriving all the interactions in our work. Such an approximation is suitable to the studies of loosely bound systems. As a consequence, the interactions are like delta functions in the coordinate space and are treated as separable ones while solving the scattering equations.

## B. Generalization to $\mathbf{S U}(3)$

Certainly, the coupled channel effect in the $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ systems can be very important. Hence, we generalize the interactions discussed in the previous section to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$.

In this work we study the systems with total strangeness zero. The relevant vector meson-baryon channels in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ are $\rho N, \omega N, \phi N, K^{*} \Lambda$, and $K^{*} \Sigma$.

The $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ generalized form of the Lagrangian for the vector-baryon interaction given by Eq. (2) is obtained, following [25-27], as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{VB}}= & -g\left\{\left\langle\bar{B} \gamma_{\mu}\left[V^{\mu}, B\right]\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{B} \gamma_{\mu} B\right\rangle\left\langle V^{\mu}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4 M}\left(F\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left[V^{\mu \nu}, B\right]\right\rangle+D\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left\{V^{\mu \nu}, B\right\}\right\rangle\right)\right\} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the tensor field of the vector mesons is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\mu \nu}=\partial^{\mu} V^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} V^{\mu}+i g\left[V^{\mu}, V^{\nu}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constants $D=2.4$ and $F=0.82$. These values were found to reproduce well the magnetic moments of the baryons in Ref. [27]. Further, in our normalization scheme,

$$
V=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{0}+\omega & \sqrt{2} \rho^{+} & \sqrt{2} K^{*^{+}}  \tag{18}\\
\sqrt{2} \rho^{-} & -\rho^{0}+\omega & \sqrt{2} K^{*^{0}} \\
\sqrt{2} K^{*^{-}} & \sqrt{2} \bar{K}^{*^{0}} & \sqrt{2} \phi
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^{0} & \Sigma^{+} & p  \tag{19}\\
\Sigma^{-} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^{0} & n \\
\Xi^{-} & \Xi^{0} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \Lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

However, to obtain the right couplings for the physical $\omega$ and $\phi$ meson at the meson-baryon-baryon vertices, we need to consider the mixing of their octet and singlet components. Under the ideal mixing assumption, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \omega_{8}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \omega_{0}, \quad \phi=-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi_{8}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \phi_{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use only the octet part of these wave functions in Eq. (16). In other words, the Lagrangian given by Eq. (16) corresponds to the interaction between octet vector mesons and octet baryons. For the singlet states we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{BB}}=-g\left\{\left\langle\bar{B} \gamma_{\mu} B\right\rangle\left\langle V_{0}^{\mu}\right\rangle+\frac{C_{0}}{4 M}\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu} V_{0}^{\mu \nu} B\right\rangle\right\}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C_{0}$ is chosen to be $3 F-D$ such that the $\phi N N$ vertex is null and the anomalous magnetic coupling of the $\omega N N$ vertex gives $\kappa_{\omega} \simeq 3 F-D$. These results, together with the anomalous magnetic coupling at the $\rho N N$ vertex, which is $D+F=\kappa_{\rho}$, lead to a consistent formalism.

Thus, in general, the Lagrangian for the Yukawa type vertices, needed for $s-, t$, and $u$-channel diagrams, is explicitly written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{VBB}}= & -g\left\{\left\langle\bar{B} \gamma_{\mu}\left[V_{8}^{\mu}, B\right]\right\rangle\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{4 M}\left(F\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left[\partial^{\mu} V_{8}^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} V_{8}^{\mu}, B\right]\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+D\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left\{\partial^{\mu} V_{8}^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} V_{8}^{\mu}, B\right\}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \left.+\left\langle\bar{B} \gamma_{\mu} B\right\rangle\left\langle V_{0}^{\mu}\right\rangle+\frac{C_{0}}{4 M}\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu} V_{0}^{\mu \nu} B\right\rangle\right\} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and the term related to the two vector fields of Eq. (17) leads to a two meson-two baryon contact interaction when plugged in Eq. (16), which is trivially null for singlet meson-baryon interaction, thus giving

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{VVBB}}= & -\frac{g}{4 M}\left\{F\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left[i g\left[V_{8}^{\mu}, V_{8}^{\nu}\right], B\right]\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+D\left\langle\bar{B} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left\{i g\left[V_{8}^{\mu}, V_{8}^{\nu}\right], B\right\}\right\rangle\right\} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the kinetic term of the hidden local symmetry Lagrangian in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ for the three-vector meson vertices, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{3 \mathrm{~V}} \in-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V^{\mu \nu} V_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, in conjunction with Eq. (22), gives the $t$-channel interactions which are in agreement with those given in Ref. [19]. Thus, the corresponding $T$ matrices at the lowest order are

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}^{t}=-C_{i j}^{t} \frac{1}{4 f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{0}+K_{2}^{0}\right) \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{2}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are scalars in the spin space. The coefficients $C_{i j}^{t}$ are the same as those obtained in Ref. [19].

Next we obtain the contact interaction in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, using the Lagrangian of Eq. (23) which leads to a spin dependent $T$ matrix as discussed in the previous subsection

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}=i C_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}} \frac{g^{2}}{2 M} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{2} \times \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{1} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to reemphasize here on the spin structure of the contact interaction which contains $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \times \vec{\epsilon}_{1}$, where $\vec{\epsilon}_{2} \times \vec{\epsilon}_{1}$ works as a spin operator which acts on spin one particles. Hence, $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \times \vec{\epsilon}_{1}$ is equivalent to $2 i \vec{s} \cdot \vec{S}$, a spin-spin interaction, where $\vec{s}(\vec{S})$ denote the spin half (integral spin one) operator. It can be easily seen then that Eq. (26) leads to

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}=C_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}} \frac{g^{2}}{M} \quad \text { for } s=1 / 2,  \tag{27}\\
V_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}=-C_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}} \frac{g^{2}}{2 M} \quad \text { for } s=3 / 2 \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficients $C_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}$ for these potentials, projected on the ispospin $1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$ bases, are listed in Tables I and II, respectively. From these tables, one can speculate that adding the isospin half, spin half contact interaction might reduce the attraction given by the $t$ channel and the addition of the isospin half, spin $3 / 2$ contact interaction might lead to an enhancement of the attractive contribution of the $t$ channel. It can also be speculated that an opposite situation may occur in the isospin $3 / 2$ case.

Further, amplitudes for the diagrams involving the $s$ - and $u$-channel exchange have been calculated by using the Lagrangian given by Eq. (22) for both the meson-baryon-baryon vertices involved. It should be mentioned that in the present formalism we consider only the octet baryon exchange in these diagrams. In such a case, we obtain the following forms of the interactions in the nonrelativistic approximations:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{i j}^{u} & =C_{i j}^{u}\left(-\frac{g^{2}}{m-2 M}\right) \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma},  \tag{29}\\
V_{i j}^{s} & =C_{i j}^{s}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{m+2 M}\right) \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE I. $C_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}$ coefficients for the contact interaction in the isospin $1 / 2$ base.

| $C_{i j}^{C T}$ | $\rho N$ | $\omega N$ | $\phi N$ | $K^{*} \Lambda$ | $K^{*} \Sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho N$ | $(D+F)$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{(D+3 F)}{4}$ | $-\frac{(F-D)}{4}$ |
| $\omega N$ |  | 0 | 0 | $\frac{-(D+3 F)}{4 \sqrt{3}}$ | $-\frac{\sqrt{3}(F-D)}{4}$ |
| $\phi N$ |  |  | 0 | $\frac{(D+3 F)}{(2 \sqrt{6})}$ | $-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(D-F)}{2}$ |
| $K^{*} \Lambda$ |  |  |  | $\frac{D}{2}$ | $-\frac{D}{2}$ |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{(2 F-D)}{2}$ |

TABLE II. $C_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}$ coefficients for the contact interaction in the isospin 3/2 base.

| $C_{i j}^{\text {CT }}$ | $\rho N$ | $K^{*} \Sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho N$ | $-\frac{(D+F)}{2}$ | $\frac{(D-F)}{2}$ |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ |  | $-\frac{(D+F)}{2}$ |

where once again we have a spin-spin interaction like structure (for instance, $\vec{\epsilon}_{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}=\vec{\epsilon}_{2} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{1}$ $+i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{2} \times \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{1}$ ) leading to spin half contributions given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{i j}^{u}=-C_{i j}^{u}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{2 M-m}\right),  \tag{31}\\
& V_{i j}^{s}=3 C_{i j}^{s}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{m+2 M}\right), \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

and spin 3/2 contributions

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{i j}^{u}=2 C_{i j}^{u}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{2 M-m}\right)  \tag{33}\\
V_{i j}^{s}=0 \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $s$-channel contribution to the spin $3 / 2$ interaction (and also isospin $3 / 2$ interaction) is null due to the limitation of inclusion of the octet baryon exchange in the corresponding diagrams. The $C_{i j}^{u}$ coefficients are given in Tables III and IV for the interactions projected on the isospin $1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$ bases, respectively. The $C_{i j}^{s}$
coefficients for the isospin $1 / 2 s$-channel interaction are given in Table V.

A comment concerning the $s$ - and $u$-channel diagrams is in order. In the present case, where we consider vector meson-baryon $s$-wave interaction, only the negative energy solution of the Dirac equation contributes to the $s$ - and $u$-channel exchange. The vector meson- $N-\bar{N}$ vertices of the resulting " $z$ " diagrams are expected to be rather suppressed due to the finite structure of the hadrons. In order to take this fact into account we multiply the $s$ - and $u$-channel amplitudes by the following form factor [28-31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\Lambda, x)=\frac{\Lambda^{4}}{\Lambda^{4}+\left(x^{2}-M_{x}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the Mandelstam variable under consideration ( $s$ or $u$ ), $M_{x}$ is the mass of the baryon exchanged in such diagrams, and $\Lambda$ is a parameter which we fix as 650 MeV since it corresponds to a reasonable, average size of hadrons ( $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{fm}$ ).

We have now discussed all the interactions which we will use in our study. To summarize, vector meson-baryon interactions have been obtained for the diagrams

TABLE III. $C_{p j}^{u}$ coefficients for the $u$-channel interaction in the isospin $1 / 2$ base, where the potential has a general form $V_{i j}^{u}=C_{i j}^{u}\left(-\frac{g^{2}}{m-2 M}\right) \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$.

| $C_{i j}^{u}$ | $\rho N$ | $\omega N$ | $\phi N$ | $K^{*} \Lambda$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho N$ | $-\frac{[(D+F) m+2 M]^{2}}{16 M^{2}}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{16 M^{2}}[(D-3 F) m-6 M][(D+F) m+2 M]$ | 0 | $\frac{D m[(F-D) m+2 M]}{8 M^{2}}$ |
| $\omega N$ |  | $\frac{[(D-3 F) m-6 M]^{2}}{16 M^{2}}$ | 0 | $-\frac{((3 F-2 D) m+6 M)}{24 \sqrt{3} M^{2}}((D+3 F) m+6 M)$ |
| $\phi N$ |  | 0 | $-\frac{((D+3 F) m+6 M)^{2}}{\left(24 \sqrt{6} M^{2}\right)}$ | $-\frac{\sqrt{3}((F-D) m+2 M)(F m+2 M)}{8 M^{2}}$ |
| $K^{*} \Lambda$ |  | $\frac{((D-3 F) m-6 M)^{2}}{48 M^{2}}$ | $-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{((F-D) m+2 M)^{2}}{8 M^{2}}}$ |  |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ |  |  | $-\frac{((D-3 F) m-6 M)}{16 M^{2}}((D+F) m+2 M)$ |  |

TABLE IV. $\quad C_{i j}^{u}$ coefficients for the $u$-channel interaction in the isospin $3 / 2$ base, where the potential has a general form $V_{i j}^{u}=C_{i j}^{u}\left(-\frac{g^{2}}{m-2 M}\right) \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$.

| $C_{i j}^{u}$ | $\rho N$ | $K^{*} \Sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho N$ | $\frac{[(D+F) m+2 M]^{2}}{8 M^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{8 M^{2}}\left\{\frac{-D m}{3}[(D+3 F) m+6 M]+[(F-D) m+2 M][F m+2 M]\right\}$ |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ |  | $\frac{((D+F) m+2 M)^{2}}{8 M^{2}}$ |

TABLE V. $\quad C_{i j}^{s}$ coefficients for the $s$-channel interactions which have the general form $V_{i j}^{s}=C_{i j}^{s}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{m+2 M}\right) \vec{\epsilon}_{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \vec{\epsilon}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$.

| $C_{i j}^{s}$ | $\rho N$ | $\omega N$ | $K^{*} \Lambda$ | $K^{*} \Sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho N$ | $\frac{3[(D+F) m-2 M]^{2}}{16 M^{2}}$ | $\frac{3 \sqrt{3}[(D+F) m-2 M]}{8 M}$ | $\frac{((D+F) m-2 M)[(D+3 F) m-6 M]}{16 M^{2}}$ | $-\frac{3}{16 M^{2}}[(D-F) m+2 M][(D+F) m-2 M]$ |
| $\omega N$ |  | $\frac{9}{4}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{3}((D+3 F) m-6 M)}{8 M}$ | $-\frac{3 \sqrt{3}(D-F) m+2 M)}{8 M}$ |
| $K^{*} \Lambda$ |  | $\frac{((D+3 F) m-6 M)^{2}}{48 M^{2}}$ | $-\frac{((D+3 F) m-6 M)}{16 M^{2}}((D-F) m+2 M)$ |  |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ |  |  | $\frac{3((D-F) m+2 M)^{2}}{16 M^{2}}$ |  |

corresponding to the $t$-channel vector exchange, $s$ - and $u$-channel octet baryon exchange, and a contact interaction. All these interactions come from the hidden local symmetry Lagrangian and indeed we find them to be of similar order of magnitude but with different spin structure. Hence, we must consider a sum of all these diagrams,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}^{\mathrm{total}}=V_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}+V_{i j}^{t}+V_{i j}^{u}+V_{i j}^{s} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the minimum contribution to the lowest order vector meson-baryon interaction. We would like to state once again that all the interactions in this work have been obtained under the nonrelativistic approximation which is adequate for the present study.

We use $V_{\text {total }}$ of Eq. (36) as the Born term and solve the Bethe-Salpeter equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=V+V G T \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the coupled channel approach, which we shall carry out in a method which is very similar to the work done in [19]. We do so because the results obtained in [19] provide us with a point of reference with which we can compare our findings.

To solve Eq. (37), we use the dimensional regularization method to calculate the loop functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(\sqrt{s}, m^{2}, M^{2}\right)= & i 2 M \int \frac{d^{4} q}{2 \pi^{4}} \frac{1}{(P-q)^{2}-M^{2}+i \epsilon} \frac{1}{q^{2}-m^{2}+i \epsilon} \\
= & \frac{2 M}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{a(\mu)+\ln \frac{M^{2}}{\mu^{2}}+\frac{m^{2}-M^{2}+s}{2 s} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{M^{2}}+\frac{\bar{q}}{\sqrt{s}}\left[\ln \left(s-\left(M^{2}-m^{2}\right)+2 \bar{q} \sqrt{s}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\ln \left(s+\left(M^{2}-m^{2}\right)+2 \bar{q} \sqrt{s}\right)-\ln \left(-s+\left(M^{2}-m^{2}\right)+2 \bar{q} \sqrt{s}\right)-\ln \left(s-\left(M^{2}-m^{2}\right)+2 \bar{q} \sqrt{s}\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{q}=\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, M^{2}, m^{2}\right) / 2 \sqrt{s}$. We use the subtraction constant $a(\mu)=-2$ following Ref. [19] and take the pion decay constant, $f_{\pi}$, as 93 MeV . Using the loop function given by Eq. (38), we obtain the $T$ matrices by solving Eq. (37). Further, we find poles of these amplitudes in the complex plane by solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}[1-V G]=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find resonance poles, $G$ is modified above a given threshold as

$$
G^{I I}(\sqrt{s})=G(\sqrt{s})-i 2 \operatorname{Im}\{G(\sqrt{s})\}
$$

where the superscript $I I$ on $G$ indicates its calculation in the second Riemann sheet.

There is still an issue remaining concerning the width of the vector mesons, which is considerably large for the $K^{*}$ and $\rho$ mesons. We take care of this fact by making a convolution of the loops over the varied mass of these mesons, again following the method used in Ref. [19], as

$$
\begin{align*}
G(\sqrt{s})= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{(m-2 \Gamma)^{2}}^{(m+2 \Gamma)^{2}} d \tilde{m}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \\
& \times \operatorname{Im}\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{m}^{2}-m^{2}+i m \Gamma(\tilde{m})}\right\} G\left(s, \tilde{m}^{2}, M^{2}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G\left(s, \tilde{m}^{2}, M^{2}\right)$ is calculated using Eq. (38) and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\int_{(m-2 \Gamma)^{2}}^{(m+2 \Gamma)^{2}} d \tilde{m}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{m}^{2}-m^{2}+i m \Gamma(\tilde{m})}\right\} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(\tilde{m})= & \Gamma_{\operatorname{meson}}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{\tilde{m}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(\tilde{m}^{2}, m_{d}^{2}, m_{d}^{\prime 2}\right) / 2 \tilde{m}}{\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(m^{2}, m_{d}^{2}, m_{d}^{\prime 2}\right) / 2 m}\right)^{3} \\
& \times \theta\left(\tilde{m}-m_{d}-m_{d}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equation, $m_{d}, m_{d}^{\prime}$ denote the masses of the decay products of the vector mesons, i.e., pion masses in the case of $\rho$, and kaon and pion mass in the case of $K^{*}$. We use $\Gamma_{\rho}$ and $\Gamma_{K^{*}}$ as 149.4 MeV and 50.5 MeV , respectively. Thus, for the channels involving the $\rho$ and the $K^{*}$ mesons, we use Eq. (40) to calculate the Bethe-Salpeter equation [Eq. (37)] and to find poles with Eq. (39). To carry out the calculations in the second Riemann sheet the loop function in the integral of Eq. (40) is modified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{I I}\left(s, \tilde{m}^{2}, M^{2}\right)=G\left(s, \tilde{m}^{2}, M^{2}\right)-i 2 \operatorname{Im}\left\{G\left(s, \tilde{m}^{2}, M^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the previous section we have discussed the interaction of the vector meson with the octet baryon obtained from four different diagrams corresponding to the $t$-, $s$-, $u$-channel exchange and a contact term. We have tabulated the lowest order amplitudes obtained from these diagrams for the vector meson-octet baryon channels having a total charge and strangeness zero. Using these contributions we have solved Bethe-Salpeter equations in the coupled channel approach and in this section we will discuss the results of our calculations.

## A. $\boldsymbol{t}$-channel exchange

We will first discuss the results of the calculations done by using the $t$-channel interaction only. The vector mesonbaryon system can have total isospin and spin $1 / 2$ or $3 / 2$. However, as it was shown in Ref. [19] and as we have already mentioned in the previous section, the structure of the interaction obtained from the $t$-channel diagrams is spin independent. Further, the interaction in the isospin $3 / 2$ case is repulsive and, as expected, it does not result in generation of any state.

The isospin $1 / 2$ interaction does result in finding of some states, which are spin degenerate in nature. We present the squared amplitudes, $|T|^{2}$, obtained in this case, in Fig. 2. As can be seen in this (main) figure, very much in agreement with the work of Ref. [19], we find two peaks in the squared amplitude: one around 1700 MeV and another around 2 GeV . These two peaks can be identified as $N^{*}$ 's with mass around 1700 and 2000 MeV , with spinparity $1 / 2^{-}$and $3 / 2^{-}$since the calculations have been done in $s$ wave and the basic interaction is spin degenerate. There do exist $N^{*}$ resonances with such properties [32] and relating them to the peaks shown in Fig. 2 seems reasonable as suggested in Ref. [19]. However we would not yet make any such analysis since we expect these results to get modified by the addition of more interactions.

We have also searched for poles in the complex plane for the amplitudes depicted in Fig. 2. We find a bound state pole corresponding to the lower energy peak, on the real axis, at $1702-i 0 \mathrm{MeV}$, when the width of the $\rho$ and $K^{*}$ mesons is not taken into account in the calculations.


FIG. 2. Squared $T$ matrices obtained by solving the BetheSalpeter equation using $t$-channel interaction. Two clear peaks can be seen in these amplitudes around 1700 MeV and 2000 MeV . The inset figure shows the same amplitudes multiplied by arbitrary factors in the 2 GeV energy region. The purpose of the inset figure is to show that the peak in the 2 GeV region in the amplitudes for the $\omega N$ and $\phi N$ channels is slightly shifted as compared to the one in the $K^{*} \Lambda$ and $K^{*} \Sigma$ channels.

A consideration of the mass distributions of these mesons makes it difficult to look for poles, especially in the energy region close to a threshold as is the case of this pole. This problem was already discussed in Ref. [19]. This pole seems to couple strongly to the $\rho N$ channel as can be seen in Fig. 2 and as the couplings calculated in Ref. [19] indicate.

In case of the peak seen around the 2 GeV region, our searching for a pole results in the finding of a double pole structure as shown in Fig. 3, which, although not explicitly mentioned, should also be seen in the work of Ref. [19]. In this case, we could find poles in the amplitudes calculated both with or without making convolution of the loops since no channel open up in the vicinity of these poles. The pole positions obtained without convoluting the loops are $1974-i 44 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $2051-i 153 \mathrm{MeV}$ and those obtained on convolution of the loops are $1980-i 58 \mathrm{MeV}$ and 2019 - i164 MeV. We find the pole at higher energy to couple strongly to the $K^{*} \Sigma$ channel, so much that it can be generated even by making a single $K^{*} \Sigma$ channel calculation at $2072-i 0 \mathrm{MeV}$ (without convoluting the loops), which shifts to $2082-i 25 \mathrm{MeV}$ by adding the $\phi N$ and $K^{*} \Lambda$ coupled channels and finally to $2051-i 164 \mathrm{MeV}$ by adding $\rho N$ and $\omega N$. The other pole, which is found near 1970 MeV , gets generated due to the coupled channel dynamics of $\phi N$ and $K^{*} \Lambda$. It is interesting that the diagonal interactions for these two channels are null in this case, which makes the coupled channel effect imperative for the generation of this pole.

These two poles seem to couple more to the $\phi N, K^{*} \Lambda$, and $K^{*} \Sigma$ channels. We will verify this later in the discussions on the couplings calculated for different poles. We have tried to show the effect of this two pole structure on the real energy axis in the inset picture of Fig. 2 where we have multiplied arbitrary factors to the $\omega N, \phi N, K^{*} \Lambda$, $K^{*} \Sigma$ diagonal $T$ matrices to compare the peak positions in


FIG. 3 (color online). Two pole structure of a possible $N^{*}$ with mass $\sim 2000 \mathrm{MeV}$, spin parity $1 / 2^{-}$and $3 / 2^{-}$.
these amplitudes around 2 GeV . It could be argued that one out of these two poles is too wide and may not be important in the sense that its effect cannot be easily seen in real experimental data. Such a consequence might be close to reality, however, the picture will change with the addition of more interactions as we shall see in the following sections, and this two pole structure will play a crucial role in understanding the results.

## B. Addition of more diagrams

The $t$-channel interaction leads to the generation of two pairs of spin 1/2-3/2 degenerate resonances with isospin $1 / 2$. These resonances have a mass $\sim 1700 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\sim 2000 \mathrm{MeV}$ with the latter one possessing a two pole structure, one of which could be interpreted as a $K^{*} \Sigma$ bound state and the other could be interpreted as a $K^{*} \Lambda-\phi N$ resonance. We will now discuss the results obtained by adding to the $t$-channel interactions, the contact term, the $s$ and $u$ channels, where the resultant interaction has a $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{S}$ structure (spin-spin interaction). We thus expect to lift the spin degeneracy of the states obtained by considering the $t$-channel diagrams as the tree-level amplitudes. Let us first consider the case of total isospin $1 / 2$ of the meson-baryon systems.

$$
\text { 1. } \text { Isospin }=1 / 2
$$

In Fig. 4 we show the squared amplitude, $|T|^{2}$, for the $\rho N$ channel, for the spin half (left panel) and spin threehalf (right panel) case. The result obtained by considering the $t$-channel interaction alone is shown by a dashed line (which is same as the solid line of Fig. 2). In order to avoid confusion here, we would like to call the attention of the reader to the fact that the vertical axes of the left and the right panels in Fig. 4 are different, which makes the $t$-channel results appear different for spin $1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$
although they are same. The result of adding the contact interaction is shown by a dash-dotted line, and that obtained by further adding the $s$ - and $u$-channel exchange is shown by a solid line. All these calculations have been carried out by using the procedure of the convolution of the loops to take into account the fact that the $\rho$ and $K^{*}$ mesons possess a non-negligible width. Henceforth, we shall stick to the discussion of the results obtained by convoluting the loops unless otherwise stated.

It can be seen that the calculations done by adding the contact term to the $t$-channel interaction give very different results (dash-dotted lines) as compared to the ones obtained by considering the $t$ channel alone (dashed lines). The left panel shows that the clear peak found in spin degenerate $t$-channel calculations disappears upon adding the spin half contact interaction. The magnitude of the squared amplitude falls by about 2 orders of magnitude in the 1700 MeV region and no structure is found by adding the contact term, $V_{\mathrm{CT}}$.

The results are quite different for the spin $3 / 2$ case as shown in the adjacent figure (right panel). In this case the peak obtained from $t$-channel calculations gets enhanced by a factor 10 but shifts by about 50 MeV to the lower energies. These results can be very well understood by looking at the structure of the contact interaction given by Eqs. (27) and (28) along with the coefficients $C_{i j}^{\mathrm{CT}}$ of Table I. It can be seen that the contact term is repulsive for most channels in the spin half case but is mostly attractive in the spin $3 / 2$ case, with the order of magnitude being similar to the $t$-channel interaction always. Thus, the spin $1 / 2$ contact term reduces the attractive $t$-channel interaction in the vector-baryon system but adds to the attraction in the spin $3 / 2$ case. As a result the spin degenerate peak at 1700 MeV in the amplitudes obtained by the $t$-channel interaction disappears in the spin $1 / 2$ case, and the spin $3 / 2$ results show the peak shifted to lower energies with much larger magnitude. The further


FIG. 4. Squared amplitude, $|T|^{2}$ of the process $\rho N \rightarrow \rho N$ as a function of the total energy: for spin $1 / 2$ depicted in the left figure and spin $3 / 2$ in the right one (with different scales on the vertical axes). The labels $s, t, u$, CT refer to the calculations done by taking the $s$, $t, u$ channels and contact term, respectively, into account. The solid lines in these figures correspond to the calculations done by taking a sum of all these diagrams, as explained in the text. The dashed lines are the results obtained by considering the $t$ channel and the dash-dotted lines show the effect of the addition of the contact term.


FIG. 5. Squared amplitude of the process $\omega N \rightarrow \omega N$ as a function of the total energy. The meaning of the panels, labels, and the lines continues to be same as in Fig. 4.
addition of the $s$ - and $u$-channel diagrams enhances the effect produced by the contact term in the $T$ matrices as shown by the solid lines.

Next, we show the squared amplitude for the $\omega N$ channel in Fig. 5. Here we see that the contact term added to the $t$-channel diagram produces a slightly enhanced peak structure in the spin half amplitude. In the spin $3 / 2$ case, the broad peak around 2 GeV changes into a narrower one near 1960 MeV and a bump-like structure develops at $\sim 1660 \mathrm{MeV}$. Further addition of the $s$ - and $u$-channel diagrams gives rise to a clear peak in the spin $3 / 2$
amplitude, at about 1650 MeV . Thus, our total $\omega N$ amplitude shows two peaks in the spin $3 / 2$ case. Another interesting feature seen in the spin $3 / 2 T$ matrices is a broad bump near 2050 MeV .

Figure 6 depicts the squared amplitude for the $\phi N$ channel. Once again, for this channel too, the addition of the contact term to the $t$-channel interaction produces quite some changes in the results obtained without it. The spin $1 / 2 \phi N$ matrix element shows an enhancement of the strength of squared matrix element, like in the $\omega N$ case. The spin $3 / 2 \phi N$ amplitude shows a sharper peak around


FIG. 6. Squared amplitudes for the spin $1 / 2$ and $3 / 2 \phi N \rightarrow \phi N$ process as a function of the total energy. The meaning of the lines, labels, and the purpose of the inset figure is same as that in Fig. 4.


FIG. 7. Same as Figs. 4-6 but for the $K^{*} \Lambda \rightarrow K^{*} \Lambda$ amplitude.


FIG. 8. Squared amplitude for the $K^{*} \Sigma$ channel.

1950 MeV by the addition of the contact term, $s$-, and $u$-channel diagrams. In the total amplitude (solid line) one can also see a bump around 1650 MeV .

It remains to discuss the results for $K^{*} \Lambda$ and $K^{*} \Sigma$ channels. The ones corresponding to the former channel are shown in Fig. 7. This is the only channel which shows two peaks in the squared amplitude calculated by taking the $t$-channel interaction. Clearly, the lower energy peak disappears upon adding the mostly repulsive, spin $1 / 2$ contact interaction. The spin $3 / 2$ case results in two distinct peaks (as shown by the dash-dotted line in the right panel of Fig. 7). The strength of the squared amplitude in this case gets enhanced by about a factor 5. The further addition of the $s$ and $u$ channels, although it leaves the spin $1 / 2$ amplitude almost unaltered, increases the magnitude of the spin $3 / 2$ amplitude by another factor $\sim 3$ near 1950 MeV . This hints toward a larger coupling of the $K^{*} \Lambda$ channel to the $3 / 2^{-}$state with mass close to 1950 MeV . We shall verify this in the subsequent subsection where we discuss the calculations of the couplings.

Finally, let us contemplate the $K^{*} \Sigma$ coupled channel. The squared amplitude for this channel shows a wellpronounced peak in the spin $1 / 2$ case at $\sim 1975 \mathrm{MeV}$ (left panel of Fig. 8). It can be seen that the addition of the contact term enhances the strength of the peak found in the $t$-channel calculations (dashed line) by about an order of magnitude (dash-dotted line). The full calculations depicted as solid lines show some reduction in strength. Nevertheless, the strength of the spin $1 / 2$ amplitude of the $K^{*} \sum$ channel remains the largest, which indicates toward its strong coupling to a possible corresponding state with a $1 / 2^{-}$quantum number. The full spin $3 / 2 K^{*} \Sigma$ amplitude, depicted as a solid line in the right panel of Fig. 8, shows a peak at 2064 MeV and a bump around 1950 MeV . Interestingly, the spin $3 / 2 K^{*} \Lambda$ and $\phi N$ amplitudes show a clear peak at 1950 MeV , where the $K^{*} \Sigma$ amplitude shows a weak bump.

To interpret these isospin $1 / 2$ results on the real axis, we have looked for poles in the complex plane. In the spin $1 / 2$ case we find a pole at $1977-i 22 \mathrm{MeV}$ and in the spin $3 / 2$ case we find two poles at $1642-i 0$ and $2071-i 7 \mathrm{MeV}$.

We find that the peak structure seen in the $\phi N, \omega N$, and $K^{*} \Lambda$ amplitudes near 1960 MeV correspond to a $\phi N$ cusp for which we see a pole extremely close to the real axis near the $\phi N$ threshold.

To summarize our results in the isospin $1 / 2$ case, we study the strangeness zero vector meson-baryon system by taking a contact term, $t$-, $s$-, and $u$-channel diagrams as leading order interactions. A coupled channel calculation with these interactions made on the real energy axis, leads to finding of a peak close to 1970 MeV with total spin equal to $1 / 2$. We do not find any structure near 1700 MeV in the spin $1 / 2$ amplitude. In the spin $3 / 2$ case we find a peak near 1650 MeV and 2 GeV . We will come back to a more detailed discussion of corresponding poles found in the complex plane in a later section.

## 2. Isospin $=3 / 2$

In Fig. 9 we show the results of the calculation of the vector meson-baryon amplitude in the isospin $3 / 2$, spin $1 / 2$ space where we have only two coupled channels: $\rho N$


FIG. 9. Isospin $3 / 2$, spin $1 / 2$ amplitudes obtained when only the $t$-channel interaction is used in the calculations. Shown in this figure are the results for the $\rho N$ and $K^{*} \Sigma$ channels as thin and thick lines, respectively.


FIG. 10. Results obtained in the isospin $3 / 2$, spin $1 / 2$ configuration by considering the contact term of Eq. (23).
and $K^{*} \Sigma$. In this figure we show the results of the calculation done by considering the $t$-channel interaction alone which is actually repulsive in nature. As a result, the amplitudes in this isospin are much weaker and rather flat as compared to the corresponding isospin half results. One can see some kinks due to the opening of the channels: the thresholds of the $\rho N$ and $K^{*} \Sigma$ are 1709 MeV and 2085 MeV . The results for the $\rho N$ system are shown as thin solid and dashed lines and that for the $K^{*} \Sigma$ are shown as thick lines. The dashed (solid) curves have been obtained by calculating the loops with (without) the consideration of the widths of the $\rho$ and the $K^{*}$ mesons.

Before showing the results obtained by adding more diagrams to the $t$ channel in the isospin $3 / 2$ case, we would like to discuss the results obtained by carrying out the calculations assuming only the contact term. The results of such a calculation are shown in Fig. 10. The results obtained in this case are very different to the corresponding $t$-channel calculations since the contact interaction is attractive in nature, in the isospin $3 / 2$ and spin $1 / 2$ case [see Eqs. (27) and (28) and Table II]. As can be seen in Fig. 10, we find two sharp peaks: one near 1700 MeV and another near 2100 MeV . The former seems to couple strongly to the $\rho N$ channel and the latter to the $K^{*} \Sigma$ system. We would like to add here that although we see two peak structures in the amplitudes shown in Fig. 10, we do not find the corresponding poles to be physical.

Finally, let us discuss the results we obtain by adding the contact term, $t$ - and $u$-channel interactions. We would like to remark here again that in this case we have no contribution from the $s$ channel since in the present formalism we assume the exchange of isospin $1 / 2$ baryons only.

It should be also mentioned that the inclusion of the $u$ channel in the calculations does not change the results obtained by considering the contact term and $t$ channel, except for a small increase in the magnitude and a shift in the peak position by about 20 MeV . Hence, we do not show


FIG. 11. Squared amplitudes for the isospin $=3 / 2$, spin $=$ $1 / 2$ obtained by taking the $t$-channel + contact term + $u$-channel interaction as the Bonn term.
the results of the calculations carried out by adding the contact term to the $t$ channel. We rather directly show the results obtained by adding the $u$ channel as well, in Fig. 11. In this case, we find, one, rather broad peak around 1980 MeV which is about an order of magnitude bigger in the $K^{*} \Sigma$ channel. We find a pole corresponding to it in the second Riemann sheet at $2010-i 112 \mathrm{MeV}$, when the loops are convoluted. A pole search in the matrix elements calculated without convoluting the loop does not give very different results, in this case we find a pole at 2002 $i 108 \mathrm{MeV}$.

## C. Poles in the complex plane and their couplings to different channels

In addition to finding the poles in the complex plane it is also important to calculate the couplings of resonant/bound states to different channels, which help in understanding the behavior of the squared amplitude on the real energy axis (and hence the cross sections). These couplings, $g^{i}$, can be calculated by finding residues of the poles of the amplitudes in the complex plane. However, there is one difficulty in making such a calculation in our work, which is due to the convolution of loops that makes a fixed threshold become a variable one when the widths of the $\rho$ and $K^{*}$ mesons are taken into account. This difficulty was also confronted in [19] and the couplings were calculated using the amplitudes on the real axis. We avoid this problem by calculating the residues of the poles in the complex plane, which are found without making any convolution of the loops. We find the couplings, $g^{i}$, in this way for all the cases. We have seen that the couplings found with or without convolution are similar when the poles can be found in both approaches.

We shall first discuss the couplings obtained for the poles found in the calculations with only $t$-channel interaction. In such a calculation, as mentioned in the previous section, we find three poles corresponding to the two peaks

TABLE VI. Couplings $g^{i}$ of the isospin $1 / 2$, spin degenerate poles obtained in $t$-channel calculations.

| $M_{R}-i \Gamma / 2 \rightarrow\left(J^{\pi}\right)$ | $1702-i 0 \mathrm{MeV}\left(1 / 2^{-}, 3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | $1974-i 44 \mathrm{MeV}\left(1 / 2^{-}, 3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | $2051-i 153 \mathrm{MeV}\left(1 / 2^{-}, 3 / 2^{-}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channels $\downarrow$ |  | Couplings $\left(g^{i}\right)$ |  |
| $\rho N$ | $2+i 0$ | $-0.1+i 0.6$ | $-1.9-i 0.7$ |
| $\omega N$ | $0.1+i 0$ | $-1+i 0.5$ | $-1.4-i 0.7$ |
| $\phi N$ | $-0.15+i 0$ | $1.4-i 0.7$ | $2.1+i 1$ |
| $K^{*} \Lambda$ | $1.7+i 0$ | $2.1+i 0.8$ | $1+i 1.4$ |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ | $-0.4+i 0$ | $3.7-i 0.6$ | $5.1+i 3.2$ |

found on the real axis. These poles are spin degenerate in nature and possess an isospin $1 / 2$. The couplings obtained for these poles are listed in Table VI which shows that the pole found at $1702-i 0 \mathrm{MeV}$ couples strongly to the $\rho N$ and $K^{*} \Lambda$ channels and indeed that is what we also find from the behavior of the amplitudes calculated on the real axis (shown in Fig. 3). Two more poles are listed in Table VI: $1974-i 44 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $2051-i 153 \mathrm{MeV}$, the former of which is found to couple strongly to $\phi N, K^{*} \Lambda$, $K^{*} \Sigma$ channels, and the latter one, which was not discussed in Ref. [19], seems to couple strongly to $\rho N, \phi N$, and $K^{*} \Sigma$.

Next, let us discuss the couplings of the poles found in the calculations done with a sum of contact, $t-, s$-, and $u$-channel interactions. As we have already seen, such a calculation lifts the spin degeneracy of the results found in the $t$-channel calculations. In Table VII we show the poles found in the isospin $1 / 2$ case. Once again, we find three poles but two with spin parity $3 / 2^{-}$: at $1642-i 0,2001-$ $i 7 \mathrm{MeV}$ and one with $1 / 2^{-}$at $1977-i 22 \mathrm{MeV}$ (in the amplitude calculated without convoluting the loops).

The spin $3 / 2$ pole found at $1642-i 0 \mathrm{MeV}$, which acquires a width when the loops are convoluted and is found at $1637-i 35 \mathrm{MeV}$, seems to couple strongly to the $\rho N$ and $K^{*} \Lambda$ channels just like in the $t$-channel case. However we do not find a spin $1 / 2$ pole in this energy region. We relate our spin $3 / 2$ pole at $1637-i 35 \mathrm{MeV}$ with $N^{*}(1700) D_{13}$, for which the particle data group (PDG) [32] lists a branching ratio of about $35 \%$ to the $\rho N$ channel and only $5 \%-15 \%$ to $\pi N$. Our results are consistent with the findings of a study made by the Juelich group [17], where $N^{*}(1700) D_{13}$ has been found to get dynamically generated basically by the $\rho N$ interac-
tion and a very small coupling to the $\pi N$ channel has been found.

The other $3 / 2^{-}$pole found in our study at 2071 $i 7 \mathrm{MeV}$ is basically a $K^{*} \Sigma$ bound state. The reason for its small width is its strong coupling to $K^{*} \Sigma$ which is a closed channel (while neglecting the $K^{*}$ width) at this energy and its very weak couplings to all other channels. However, when the loops are convoluted, we find this pole to shift to $2071-i 70 \mathrm{MeV}$. There is a resonance listed by the PDG with mass and spin parity strikingly similar to this resonance: the $N^{*}(2080) D_{13}$ for which a branching ratio of $21 \%$ is listed for the $\omega N$ decay channel. This is indeed in agreement with our findings since, although the couplings of this pole to $\omega N, \phi N, K^{*} \Lambda$ are comparative, $\omega N$ offers a much larger phase space for the decay of the resonance. Also, the several hundred MeV width of $N^{*}(2080) D_{13}$ listed in [32] in comparison with our results is understandable since we have not taken pseudoscalar meson-octet baryon or pseudoscalar-decuplet baryon channels into account which provide much larger phase space for the decay. We thus identify the spin $3 / 2$ resonance found at 2071 - $i 70 \mathrm{MeV}$ with $N^{*}(2080) D_{13}$.

We find only one resonance with spin parity $1 / 2^{-}$at $1977-i 22 \mathrm{MeV}$ which couples strongly to $K^{*} \Sigma$ and $\phi N$ channels. However, its properties can only be studied in the latter one since it is open at these energies. This pole appears at the same position even when the convolution of the loops is made. It could be identified with $N^{*}(2090) S_{11}$ although the status of this resonance is rather poor as found by the PDG [32]. However, there is not much information available on the analysis of the $\phi N$ channel near the 2 GeV , in the partial wave $S_{11}$, which, according to our study, might improve the status of the $N^{*}(2090) S_{11}$

TABLE VII. Couplings $g^{i}$ for the isospin $1 / 2$ poles obtained in a calculation done with all four interactions shown in Fig. 1.

| $M_{R}-i \Gamma / 2 \rightarrow\left(J^{\pi}\right)$ | $1642-i 0 \mathrm{MeV}\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | $2071-i 7 \mathrm{MeV}\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | $1977-i 22 \mathrm{MeV}\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channels $\downarrow$ |  | Couplings $\left(g^{i}\right)$ |  |
| $\rho N$ | $3.9+i 0$ | $0.02-i 0.4$ | $0.04+i 0.2$ |
| $\omega N$ | $0.9+i 0$ | $-0.1-i 0.1$ | $-0.7+i 0.2$ |
| $\phi N$ | $-0.8+i 0$ | $0.14+i 0.2$ | $1.1-i 0.5$ |
| $K^{*} \Lambda$ | $2.2+i 0$ | $-0.3+i 0.35$ | $0.6+i 0.08$ |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ | $-0.3+i 0$ | $2.4+i 0.3$ | $4.4-i 0.1$ |

TABLE VIII. Same as Table VII but for the case of isospin $3 / 2$, spin $1 / 2$.

| $M_{R}-i \Gamma / 2 \rightarrow$ | $2006-i 112 \mathrm{MeV}$ <br> (with convolution) | $2002-i 108 \mathrm{MeV}$ <br> (without convolution) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

Channels $\downarrow$
Couplings $\left(g^{i}\right)$

| $\rho N$ | $-1.6+i 1.4$ | $-1.7+i 1.2$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $K^{*} \Sigma$ | $4.5+i 0.7$ | $4.5+i 1.3$ |

resonance. Indeed an enhancement of the cross section is seen near the 2 GeV region, in the photo production of the $\phi$ meson on a nucleon studied by the LEPS group [33]. This enhancement could possibly be explained in terms of the spin $1 / 2^{-}$resonance found in our work. In fact a phenomenological analysis of the $\gamma N \rightarrow \phi N$ reaction showed that a better fit to the LEPS data [33] was found when a $J^{\pi}=1 / 2^{-}$resonance was included in their study as compared to the one obtained by including a $1 / 2^{+}$ resonance [34]. It should be interesting to clarify this with more detail in future.

Finally, we discuss the couplings of the pole found in the isospin $3 / 2$. We find a pole in the spin $1 / 2$ case, in the 2 GeV region with a width of about 100 MeV . We show the precise pole positions and couplings found for this pole, in the calculations done with and without convoluted loops, in Table VIII. There are only two coupled channels contributing to this isospin and the resulting pole is found to couple strongly to the $K^{*} \Sigma$ channel. We relate this state with $\Delta(1900) S_{31}$.

## D. Pole flow

It is intriguing that we started calculating vector mesonbaryon amplitudes by taking a $t$-channel interaction and we found six poles in the isospin $1 / 2$, which were spin degenerate in nature. However, by adding more interactions we obtain three poles only. What happened to the other three poles? To understand this, we have made a study of the movement of the poles near the 2 GeV region, in the complex plane, as the other interactions are added little by little to the one obtained from the $t$-channel diagram. In this way we can trace the poles and understand our results better. We trace these poles always when the calculations are done without convoluting the loops since otherwise we loose the poles sometimes.

The spin $1 / 2,3 / 2$ pole at $1974-i 44 \mathrm{MeV}$, which is found in the calculations carried out by taking the $t$-channel interaction, is indicated by a boxed point in Fig. 12. By adding the contact interaction with very small coupling, to the $t$ channel, we find the degenerate poles at $1974-i 44 \mathrm{MeV}$ to split. We find that the spin $1 / 2$ pole moves closer to the real axis and the spin $3 / 2$ pole moves away from the real axis. By increasing the coupling of the contact interaction from 0 to 1 slowly, we find that the spin $1 / 2$ pole keeps moving toward the real axis whereas the


FIG. 12. This figure shows how the pole position changes as more diagrams are added to the $t$-channel vector meson-baryon interaction. The arrows indicate the direction in which the pole moves. The filled (empty) circles represent physical (unphysical) poles.
spin 3/2 pole crosses the $\phi N$ threshold and becomes a $\phi N$ virtual state. The direction of the trajectories of the two poles are indicated by arrows in Fig. 12 and a dashed line shows the $\phi N$ threshold. The physical poles are always shown as filled circles and unphysical ones are indicated as empty circles. The poles obtained by adding the contact interaction with the coupling equal to 1 , to the $t$-channel interaction, are also indicated in Fig. 12 by CT. These poles, however, move further in the complex plane due to the addition of the $s$ - and $u$-channel diagrams. As is clear from Fig. 12, only the spin $1 / 2$ pole finally appears as a physical one, the spin $3 / 2$ pole ends up as a $\phi N$ virtual pole.

Let us study yet another pole, the one we find at 2051 $i 153 \mathrm{MeV}$ when the calculations are done using the


FIG. 13. Study of the pole trajectories for yet another pole found in the $t$-channel calculations. This figure shows the thresholds of $\phi N, K^{*} \Lambda$, and $K^{*} \Sigma$ channels as dashed lines. The meaning of filled and empty circles is same as in Fig. 12.
$t$-channel interaction. This pole is shown as a boxed point in Fig. 13. The conventions followed in this figure are the same as those in Fig. 12. Once again we add the contact interaction with a very small coupling to the $t$ channel and vary this coupling from 0 to 1 . In this case we see that spin $3 / 2$ pole starts moving closer to the real axis, turns into a unphysical pole (appearing on the first Riemann sheet for the $K^{*} \Sigma$ channel) and later appears as a physical pole below the $K^{*} \Sigma$ threshold. The spin $1 / 2$ pole also starts moving closer to the real axis but turns into a $K^{*} \Lambda$ virtual pole. In Fig. 13, various thresholds have been marked as dashed lines and the corresponding channels are labeled against these lines. Thus, in this case too, we find only one pole to end up as a physical pole, the one with spin $3 / 2$.

This explains why we start with more poles but end with fewer ones. This is so because we find some poles to become unphysical due to addition of more diagrams to the $t$ channel. However, it should be mentioned that the virtual poles shown in Figs. 12 and 13 might become physical bound states if, for instance, more attraction is added to the system by adding more coupled channels.

## IV. SUMMARY

This work deals with the finding of a dynamical generation of resonances in the coupled systems made of vector mesons and octet baryons. The leading order contributions to the scattering equations have been obtained from a sum of diagrams corresponding to a vector meson exchange in the $t$ channel, octet baryon exchange in $s, u$ channels, and a contact interaction obtained within the hidden local and chiral symmetries. It is found that the sum of these diagrams gives rise to an interaction which has a similar structure as the nucleon-nucleon interaction. However, we find a posteriori that the $s$-channel diagrams are

TABLE IX. Summary of the results obtained in the present work.

| Pole positions | Corresponding known states |
| :--- | :---: |
| $1637-i 35 \mathrm{MeV}$ | $N^{*}(1700) D_{13}$ |
| $2071-i 70 \mathrm{MeV}$ | $N^{*}(2080) D_{13}$ |
| $1977-i 22 \mathrm{MeV}$ | $N^{*}(2090) S_{11}$ |
| $2006-i 112 \mathrm{MeV}$ | $\Delta(1900) S_{31}$ |

negligibly weak in the present case. The $t$ channel gives a central potential and the contact interaction and $u$-channel exchange lead to spin-spin interactions. A solution of scattering equations results in the finding of some resonances which can be identified with known states listed in Ref. [32] as summarized in Table IX.

Finally, we have shown that the information obtained from the studies of hadron-hadron interactions relying on the widely used $t$-channel interaction alone might be incomplete sometimes. In the present work, we have shown this for the case of vector meson-baryon systems. Yet, there are still more questions to be addressed such as the importance of including more coupled channels like the pseudoscalar/vector-octect/decuplet baryon systems. We should answer to these questions in the future.
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