Next-to-next-to-leading-order collinear and soft gluon corrections for t-channel single top quark production

Nikolaos Kidonakis

Kennesaw State University, Physics #1202, 1000 Chastain Road, Kennesaw, Georgia 30144-5591, USA (Received 14 March 2011; published 16 May 2011)

I present the resummation of collinear and soft-gluon corrections to single top quark production in the t channel at next-to-next-to-leading logarithm accuracy using two-loop soft anomalous dimensions. The expansion of the resummed cross section yields approximate next-to-next-to-leading-order cross sections. Numerical results for t-channel single top quark (or single antitop) production at the Tevatron and the LHC are presented, including the dependence of the cross sections on the top quark mass and the uncertainties from scale variation and parton distributions. Combined results for all single top quark production channels are also given.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.83.091503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.091503) PACS numbers: 12.38.Cy, 12.38.Bx, 14.65.Ha

I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of single top quark production at the Tevatron [\[1–](#page-4-0)[4](#page-4-1)] and the rediscovery of top quarks at the LHC [[5](#page-4-2),[6\]](#page-4-3) has increased the need for theoretical calculations of the cross sections for the relevant processes. The single top cross section is less than half of that for $t\bar{t}$ production while the backgrounds are considerable and make the extraction of the signal challenging. Single top quark production is important in probing electroweak theory and discovering new physics since the top quark mass is of the same order of magnitude as the electroweak symmetry breaking scale, and it provides opportunities for the study of the electroweak properties of the top quark.

Single top quarks can be produced through three distinct partonic processes. One of them is the t-channel process that proceeds via the exchange of a spacelike W boson, a second is the s-channel process that proceeds via the exchange of a timelike W boson, and a third is associated tW production (and the related tH^- production). At both the LHC and the Tevatron the t channel is numerically dominant. The t-channel partonic processes are of the form $qb \rightarrow q't$ and $\bar{q}b \rightarrow \bar{q}'t$.

Calculations of next-to-leading-order (NLO) corrections for t-channel production at the differential level have been known for some time [\[7](#page-4-4)], and recent updates and further studies of the NLO cross section have appeared in [\[8](#page-4-5)–[11\]](#page-4-6). Theoretical calculations for single top quark production beyond NLO that include higher-order corrections from next-to-leading-logarithm (NLL) soft-gluon resummation appeared in [[12](#page-4-7)[–14\]](#page-4-8) for the three channels.

Recent advances in two-loop calculations with both massless [\[15\]](#page-4-9) and massive [\[16\]](#page-4-10) quarks now allow nextto-next-to-leading-logarithm (NNLL) resummation. More recently, calculations at NNLL accuracy have appeared for s-channel production [[17](#page-4-11)] and tW or tH^- production [[18\]](#page-4-12). Related NNLL calculations for $t\bar{t}$ production appeared in [\[19\]](#page-4-13). The present paper completes the NNLL results for top quark processes by studying t-channel production.

A different formalism for resummation based on softcollinear effective theory (SCET) was used for s-channel production in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-4-14) and for t-channel production in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-4-15). Different choices for the threshold kinematics variables were used in [\[20,](#page-4-14)[21\]](#page-4-15) than in [[17](#page-4-11)] and here. The authors of [\[20\]](#page-4-14) find that the soft-gluon contributions in the s channel are small and their threshold expansion at NLO is not a good approximation to the exact NLO cross section at LHC energies. We disagree with their choices and conclusions (also, contrary to what is stated in [\[20\]](#page-4-14), our expressions in [\[17\]](#page-4-11) included the complete NNLL terms).

In our approach the soft-gluon contributions are dominant, in both s and t channels, and hence the resummation is more relevant and the NLO threshold expansion is a good approximation to the exact NLO result at both Tevatron and LHC energies. Hence, our complete NNLL higher-order corrections are also expected to be a better approximation. In this paper, we use the same general formalism as presented in [[13](#page-4-16),[17](#page-4-11)]. Our new t-channel results at NNLL accuracy improve and update the earlier results in [\[13](#page-4-16)[,14\]](#page-4-8).

In Sec. [II,](#page-0-0) we provide expressions for the NNLL resummed cross section and its expansion through next-tonext-to-leading order (NNLO). In Secs. [III](#page-2-0) and [IV,](#page-3-0) we present numerical results for Tevatron and LHC. We conclude in Sec. [V](#page-4-17) with combined results for all channels.

II. TWO-LOOP RESUMMATION

In this section, we present the resummed cross section for t-channel single top quark production. Details of the general resummation formalism for this process have been presented in [[13](#page-4-16)].

For the partonic process $q(p_1) + b(p_2) \rightarrow q'(p_3) +$ $t(p_4)$, the kinematical invariants are $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $t = (p_1 - p_3)^2$, $u = (p_2 - p_3)^2$, $s_4 = s + t + u - m_t^2$, where m_t is the top quark mass, and we ignore the mass of the b quark. Near the threshold of the partonic energy to produce the final state with the top quark, the quantity s_4 , which measures distance from threshold, goes to zero. The

threshold corrections then take the form of logarithmic plus distributions, $[\ln^{l}(s_4/m_t^2)/s_4]_+$, where $l \leq 2n - 1$ for the nth order QCD corrections.

The resummation of the threshold logarithms is a consequence of the factorization of the cross section into hard, soft, and jet functions that describe, respectively, the hardscattering, noncollinear soft-gluon emission and collinear gluon emission from the partons in the process [[22](#page-4-18)]. We take moments of the partonic cross section, $\hat{\sigma}(N)$ = $\int (ds_4/s)e^{-Ns_4/s}\hat{\sigma}(s_4)$. The moments of the logarithms of s_4 yield logarithms of the moment variable N, which exponentiate. The resummed partonic cross section is

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{\text{res}}(N) = \exp\bigg[\sum_{i=1,2} E(N_i) + E'(N') + \sum_{i=1,2} E^{\mu}(\tilde{N}_i)\bigg] \times \text{Tr}\bigg\{H(\alpha_s(\sqrt{s})) \exp\bigg[\int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\sqrt{s}/\tilde{N}'} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \Gamma_{S}^{\dagger}(\alpha_s(\mu))\bigg] \times S(\alpha_s(\sqrt{s}/\tilde{N}')) \exp\bigg[\int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\sqrt{s}/\tilde{N}'} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \Gamma_{S}(\alpha_s(\mu))\bigg]\bigg\}.
$$
\n(2.1)

In Eq. ([2.1](#page-1-0)), the first exponent resums collinear and softgluon emission [[23](#page-4-19),[24](#page-4-20)] from the initial-state partons,

$$
E(N_i) = \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N_i - 1} - 1}{1 - z} \left\{ \int_1^{(1 - z)^2} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} A(\alpha_s(\lambda s)) + D[\alpha_s((1 - z)^2 s)] \right\}.
$$
 (2.2)

Here $N_1 = N[(m_t^2 - u)/m_t^2]$ and $N_2 = N[(m_t^2 - t)/m_t^2]$. The quantity A has a perturbative expansion, $A = \sum_n (\alpha_s/\pi)^n A^{(n)}$. Here $A^{(1)} = C_F$ with $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/(2N_c)$, where $N_c = 3$ is the number of colors, while $A^{(2)} =$ $C_F K/2$ with $K = C_A (67/18 - \pi^2/6) - 5n_f/9$ [\[25\]](#page-4-21), where $C_A = N_c$, and $n_f = 5$ is the number of light quark flavors. Also $D = \sum_{n} (\alpha_s / \pi)^n D^{(n)}$, where in Feynman gauge $D^{(1)} = 0$ and [[26\]](#page-4-22)

$$
D^{(2)} = C_F C_A \left(-\frac{101}{54} + \frac{11}{6} \zeta_2 + \frac{7}{4} \zeta_3 \right) + C_F n_f \left(\frac{7}{27} - \frac{\zeta_2}{3} \right),\tag{2.3}
$$

where $\zeta_2 = \pi^2/6$ and $\zeta_3 = 1.2020569 \cdots$.

The second exponent in Eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0) resums soft and collinear corrections [\[23](#page-4-19)[,24,](#page-4-20)[27,](#page-4-23)[28\]](#page-4-24) from the final-state massless quark and it is given by

$$
E'(N') = \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N'-1} - 1}{1 - z} \left\{ \int_{(1-z)^2}^{1-z} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} A(\alpha_s(\lambda s)) + B[\alpha_s((1-z)s)] + D[\alpha_s((1-z)^2 s)] \right\}, \quad (2.4)
$$

where $N' = N(s/m_t^2)$ and A and D are defined above. Here $B = \sum_{n} (\alpha_s / \pi)^n B^{(n)}$ with $B^{(1)} = -3C_F/4$ and

NIKOLAOS KIDONAKIS PHYSICAL REVIEW D 83, 091503(R) (2011)

$$
B^{(2)} = C_F^2 \left(-\frac{3}{32} + \frac{3}{4} \zeta_2 - \frac{3}{2} \zeta_3 \right) + n_f C_F \left(\frac{135}{432} + \frac{\zeta_2}{6} \right) + C_F C_A \left(-\frac{1539}{864} - \frac{11}{12} \zeta_2 + \frac{3}{4} \zeta_3 \right).
$$
 (2.5)

In the third exponent $E^{\mu}(\tilde{N}_i) = 2 \int_{\mu_i}^{\sqrt{s}}$ $\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\mu_F} (d\mu/\mu) \times$ $\gamma_{q/q}(\tilde{N}_i, \alpha_s(\mu))$, where $\gamma_{q/q}$ controls the factorization scale, μ_F , dependence of the cross section. We write $\gamma_{q/q} = -A \ln \tilde{N}_i + \gamma_q$, where A was defined above, $\tilde{N}_i = N_i e^{\gamma_E}$ with γ_E the Euler constant, and $\gamma_a =$ $\sum_{n} (\alpha_s/\pi)^n \gamma_q^{(n)}$, where $\gamma_q^{(1)} = 3C_F/4$.

 H is the hard-scattering function, while S is the soft function describing noncollinear soft-gluon emission [[22\]](#page-4-18). The evolution of the soft function is controlled by the soft anomalous dimension Γ_S . The functions H, S, and Γ_S are matrices in a basis consisting of color exchange, and we take the trace of the product involving these matrices in Eq. (2.1) (2.1) (2.1) . For the *t*-channel process with color indices $a + b \rightarrow c + d$ we choose the color basis $e_1 = \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd}$ and $e_2 = T_{ca}^e T_{db}^e$. We write $\Gamma_S = \sum_n (\alpha_s / \pi)^n \Gamma_S^{(n)}$. Because of the simple color structure of the hard scattering for single top t-channel production, the hard and soft matrices take a very simple form and only the first diagonal element of the one-loop soft anomalous dimension matrix, $\Gamma_{S11}^{(1)}$, is needed in the NNLO expansion at NLL accuracy.

By expanding the resummed cross section, Eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0), in powers of α_s we derive fixed-order corrections, thus avoiding the prescription ambiguity in resummation.

The NLO soft-gluon and virtual corrections to the differential cross section are

$$
\frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}}{dtdu} = F^B \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_R)}{\pi} \bigg\{ c_3 \bigg[\frac{\ln(s_4/m_t^2)}{s_4} \bigg]_+ + c_2 \bigg[\frac{1}{s_4} \bigg]_+ + c_1 \delta(s_4) \bigg\},\tag{2.6}
$$

where F_B is the Born term [\[13\]](#page-4-16) and μ_R is the renormalization scale. The leading coefficient is $c_3 = 3C_F$. The next-to-leading coefficient, c_2 , can be written as $c_2 = T_2 + c_2^{\mu}$, where T_2 represents the scale-independent part of c_2 , and c_2^{μ} has all the scale dependence. Here

$$
T_2 = 2\Gamma_{S11}^{(1)} - \frac{3}{4}C_F - 2C_F \ln\left(\frac{(t - m_t^2)(u - m_t^2)}{m_t s^{3/2}}\right) (2.7)
$$

and $c_2^{\mu} = -2C_F \ln(\mu_F^2/m_t^2)$.

The required element $\Gamma_{S11}^{(1)}$ of the one-loop soft anomalous dimension matrix for t-channel single-top production, necessary for NLL accuracy, was calculated first in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-4-16) in axial gauge. The calculation involves one-loop eikonal diagrams with vertex corrections and a self-energy correction for the top quark line. The soft anomalous dimension is determined from the coefficients of the ultraviolet poles in dimensional regularization. In this paper we use the Feynman gauge and thus the result takes the slightly different form

NEXT-TO-NEXT-TO-LEADING-ORDER COLLINEAR AND ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 83, 091503(R) (2011)

$$
\Gamma_{S11}^{(1)} = C_F \left[\ln \left(\frac{-t}{s} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{m_t^2 - t}{m_t \sqrt{s}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right].
$$
 (2.8)

The final result for the resummed cross section is identical in the two gauges, as expected.

In Eq. ([2.6](#page-1-1)) we can calculate the scale-dependent part of c_1 , which we denote as c_1^{μ} , from our resummation formalism

$$
c_1^{\mu} = \left[C_F \ln \left(\frac{(t - m_t^2)(u - m_t^2)}{m_t^4} \right) - \frac{3}{2} C_F \right] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{m_t^2} \right). (2.9)
$$

The rest of the (virtual) c_1 terms are found in the complete NLO calculation [\[7](#page-4-4)].

The off-diagonal one-loop elements of the soft anomalous dimension matrix are needed in the NNLO expansion at NNLL accuracy. We find

$$
\Gamma_{S21}^{(1)} = \ln \left(\frac{u(u - m_t^2)}{s(s - m_t^2)} \right), \qquad \Gamma_{S12}^{(1)} = \frac{C_F}{2N_c} \Gamma_{S21}^{(1)}.
$$
 (2.10)

The two-loop soft anomalous dimension is calculated by analyzing all the relevant two-loop diagrams (cf. [\[16–](#page-4-10)[18](#page-4-12)]). For the NNLO expansion at NNLL accuracy we need to determine the matrix element $\Gamma_{S11}^{(2)}$. We find

$$
\Gamma_{S11}^{(2)} = \frac{K}{2} \Gamma_{S11}^{(1)} + C_F C_A \frac{(1 - \zeta_3)}{4}, \tag{2.11}
$$

where K is the two-loop constant defined previously. The two-loop result in Eq. (2.11) is written in terms of the one-loop matrix element $\Gamma_{S11}^{(1)}$, Eq. ([2.8](#page-2-2)).

With these two-loop results, we next calculate the NNLO soft-gluon corrections. The corrections, written in terms of the various coefficients and soft anomalous dimensions defined above, take the form

$$
\frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}^{(2)}}{dt du} = F^B \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu_R^2)}{\pi^2} \Biggl[\frac{1}{2} c_3^2 \Biggl[\frac{\ln^3(s_4/m_t^2)}{s_4} \Biggr]_+ + \Biggl[\frac{3}{2} c_3 c_2 - \frac{\beta_0}{4} c_3 + C_F \frac{\beta_0}{8} \Biggr] \Biggl[\frac{\ln^2(s_4/m_t^2)}{s_4} \Biggr]_+ + \Biggl[c_3 c_1 + c_2^2 - \zeta_2 c_3^2 - \frac{\beta_0}{2} T_2
$$

+ $\frac{\beta_0}{4} c_3 \ln \Biggl(\frac{\mu_R^2}{m_t^2} \Biggr) + \frac{3}{2} C_F K - \frac{3}{16} C_F \beta_0 + 4 \Gamma_{S12}^{(1)} \Gamma_{S21}^{(1)} \Biggr] \Biggl[\frac{\ln(s_4/m_t^2)}{s_4} \Biggr]_+ + \Biggl[c_2 c_1 - \zeta_2 c_3 c_2 + \zeta_3 c_3^2 + \frac{\beta_0}{4} c_2 \ln \Biggl(\frac{\mu_R^2}{s} \Biggr) - \frac{\beta_0}{2} C_F \ln^2 \Biggl(\frac{m_t^2 - t}{m_t^2} \Biggr) - \frac{\beta_0}{2} C_F \ln^2 \Biggl(\frac{m_t^2 - u}{m_t^2} \Biggr) - C_F K \ln \Biggl(\frac{(m_t^2 - u)(m_t^2 - t)}{m_t^4} \Biggr) + B^{(2)} + 3D^{(2)} + C_F \frac{\beta_0}{4} \ln^2 \Biggl(\frac{\mu_F^2}{s} \Biggr) - C_F K \ln \Biggl(\frac{\mu_F^2}{s} \Biggr) + \frac{3\beta_0}{8} C_F \ln^2 \Biggl(\frac{m_t^2}{s} \Biggr) - C_F \Biggl(\frac{K}{2} - \frac{3}{16} \beta_0 \Biggr) \ln \Biggl(\frac{m_t^2}{s} \Biggr) + 2 \Gamma_{S11}^{(2)} + (4 \Gamma_{S12}^{(1)} \Gamma_{S21}^{(1)} + 4 (\Gamma_{S11}^{(1)})^2) \ln \Biggl(\frac{m_t^2}{s} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggl[\frac{1}{s_4$

where $\beta_0 = (11C_A - 2n_f)/3$. Eq. ([2.12\)](#page-2-3), extends the results in Ref. [[13](#page-4-16)] from NLL to NNLL accuracy.

III. t-CHANNEL PRODUCTION AT TEVATRON

We now use the previous theoretical expressions to study t-channel single top production at the Tevatron, noting that the results for single antitop production at the Tevatron are identical. We add the NNLO corrections in Eq. ([2.12](#page-2-3)) to the NLO cross section and thus derive approximate NNLO cross sections at NNLL accuracy. We use the MSTW2008 NNLO [\[29\]](#page-4-25) parton distribution functions (pdf).

In Fig. [1](#page-2-4), we plot the approximate NNLO cross section from NNLL resummation for t-channel single top production at the Tevatron versus top quark mass.

Table [I](#page-3-1) shows the numerical values of the cross section in pb for top quark mass from 170 to 175 GeV. At Tevatron energy the NNLO soft-gluon corrections are positive and increase the NLO cross section by 4%. We note that the Tevatron cross sections presented in this paper are around 10% smaller than those in [\[13](#page-4-16)]; that difference is mostly due to the new pdf used in this paper.

Theoretical uncertainties arise from the dependence on the scale μ as well as from pdf errors. The scale uncertainty is estimated by varying the scale between $m_t/2$ and $2m_t$. At the Tevatron the scale uncertainty is $+0.1\%$ –1.8%. The pdf uncertainty is calculated using the 40 different MSTW2008 NNLO eigensets as provided by MSTW at

FIG. 1. The approximate NNLO cross section for single top quark production at the Tevatron with $\sqrt{S} = 1.96$ TeV.

TABLE I. The single top quark t-channel production cross section in pb in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron with \sqrt{S} = 1.96 TeV, and in pp collisions at the LHC with $\sqrt{S} = 7$ TeV and 14 TeV, with $\mu = m_t$ and MSTW2008 NNLO pdf [[29](#page-4-25)]. The approximate NNLO results are shown at NNLL accuracy.

NNLO approx single top t -channel cross section (pb)				
m_t (GeV)	Tevatron 1.96 TeV LHC 7 TeV LHC 14 TeV			
170	1.10	42.9	154	
171	1.08	42.5	153	
172	1.06	42.1	152	
173	1.04	41.7	151	
174	1.02	41.4	150	
175	1.01	41.0	148	

90% confidence level (C.L.) [\[29\]](#page-4-25). At the Tevatron this pdf uncertainty is $+6.0\%$ –5.9%.

The best current value of the top quark mass is 173 GeV [\[30\]](#page-4-26). For this top quark mass we write the t -channel cross section and its associated uncertainties explicitly as

$$
\sigma_{t-ch}^{top}(m_t = 173 \text{ GeV}, \sqrt{S} = 1.96 \text{ TeV})
$$

= 1.04^{+0.00}_{-0.02} ± 0.06 pb, (3.1)

where the first uncertainty is from scale variation and the second is the pdf uncertainty.

IV. t-CHANNEL PRODUCTION AT LHC

We continue with t -channel single top quark production at the LHC, and we present results at both 7 TeV and 14 TeV energies. At the LHC the single top cross section is different from that for single antitop production.

Figure [2](#page-3-2) shows the approximate NNLO cross section from NNLL resummation for t-channel single top produc-

FIG. 2 (color online). The approximate NNLO cross section for single top quark production at the LHC with $\sqrt{S} = 7$ TeV and 14 TeV.

NIKOLAOS KIDONAKIS PHYSICAL REVIEW D 83, 091503(R) (2011)

tion at the LHC with $\sqrt{S} = 7$ TeV and 14 TeV versus top quark mass. Table [I](#page-3-1) shows the numerical values of the cross section in pb for both energy values for top quark masses from 170 to 175 GeV. At 7 TeV the NNLO soft-gluon corrections are negative, and they decrease the NLO cross section by 1%; at 14 TeV they decrease it by 3%.

At 7 TeV the scale uncertainty is $+3.8\%$ –0.5% while the pdf uncertainty is $\pm 2.0\%$ at 90% C.L. For $m_t = 173$ GeV

$$
\sigma_{t-\text{ch}}^{\text{top}}(m_t = 173 \text{ GeV}, \sqrt{S} = 7 \text{ TeV}) = 41.7_{-0.2}^{+1.6} \pm 0.8 \text{ pb},\tag{4.1}
$$

where the first uncertainty is from scale variation and the second from the pdf.

At 14 TeV the scale uncertainty is $+2.5\%$ –0.6% while the pdf uncertainty is $+1.8\%$ –2.2% at 90% C.L. We find

$$
\sigma_{t-\text{ch}}^{\text{top}}(m_t = 173 \text{ GeV}, \sqrt{S} = 14 \text{ TeV}) = 151^{+4}_{-1} \pm 3 \text{ pb.} \quad (4.2)
$$

We continue with *t*-channel single antitop quark production at the LHC. Figure [3](#page-3-3) shows the approximate NNLO cross section from NNLL resummation.

Table [II](#page-4-27) shows the numerical values of the antitop cross section in pb for both LHC energy values for top quark masses from 170 to 175 GeV. At 7 TeV the NNLO softgluon corrections for single antitop production are negative and they decrease the NLO antitop cross section by 1%; at 14 TeV they decrease it by 3%.

At 7 TeV the scale uncertainty is $+2.3\%$ –2.1% while the pdf uncertainty is $+3.0\%$ –4.0% at 90% C.L. We find

$$
\sigma_{t-ch}^{\text{antitop}}(m_t = 173 \text{ GeV}, \sqrt{S} = 7 \text{ TeV})
$$

= 22.5 ± 0.5^{+0.7}_{-0.9} pb. (4.3)

At 14 TeV the scale uncertainty is $+2.4\%$ –1.0% while the pdf uncertainty is $+1.9\%$ –3.2% at 90% C.L. We find

FIG. 3 (color online). The approximate NNLO cross section for single antitop production at the LHC with $\sqrt{S} = 7$ TeV and 14 TeV.

TABLE II. The single antitop t-channel production cross section in pp collisions at the LHC with $\sqrt{S} = 7$ TeV and 14 TeV, with $\mu = m_t$ and MSTW2008 NNLO pdf [\[29\]](#page-4-25). The approximate NNLO results are shown at NNLL accuracy.

m_t (GeV)	NNLO approx single antitop t -channel cross section (pb) LHC 7 TeV	LHC 14 TeV
170	23.2	93.8
171	23.0	93.0
172	22.8	92.3
173	22.5	91.6
174	22.3	91.0
175	22.1	90.3

$$
\sigma_{t-\text{ch}}^{\text{antitop}}(m_t = 173 \text{ GeV}, \sqrt{S} = 14 \text{ TeV}) = 92^{+2+2}_{-1-3} \text{ pb.}
$$
\n(4.4)

V. CONCLUSIONS AND COMBINED RESULTS

We have resummed collinear and soft-gluon contributions to t-channel single top quark production at NNLL accuracy by using the two-loop soft anomalous dimension calculated in this paper. We have expanded the resummed cross section to NNLO and provided numerical studies of the cross section at Tevatron and LHC energies. These

NNLO corrections are small for the t channel, in contrast to the much larger contribution found in the s channel in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-4-11) and for tW (and tH^-) production in Ref. [\[18](#page-4-12)].

We now present combined results for the t and s channels at Tevatron and LHC for a top quark mass of 173 GeV. At the LHC the tW cross section is also sizable.

For the Tevatron the sum of the cross sections in the t and s channels for single top production is $1.56^{+0.00}_{-0.02}$ \pm 0:09 pb, where the first uncertainty is from scale variation and the second is from the pdf. The cross section is the same for single antitop production at the Tevatron.

For the LHC at 7 TeV the sum of the t and s channels for single top production is $44.9^{+1.6+1.0}_{-0.3-0.9}$ pb. For single antitop production the sum is $23.9 \pm 0.5^{+0.7}_{-1.0}$ pb. In addition the tW^- cross section is 7.8 \pm 0.2^{+0.5} pb, and for $\bar{t}W^+$ it is the same as that for tW^- .

For the LHC at 14 TeV the sum of the t and s channels for single top production is 159^{+4+3}_{-1-4} pb. For single antitop production the sum is 96^{+2+2}_{-1-3} pb. Also the tW^- cross section is 41.8 \pm 1.0^{+1.5} pb, and is the same for $\bar{t}W^+$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY 0855421.

- [1] V.M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.092001) 103[, 092001 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.092001) [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.11.038) 682, 363 (2010); [690](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.05.003), 5 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.05.003).
- [2] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.092002) Lett. **103**[, 092002 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.092002); [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.112005) **82**, 112005 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.112005).
- [3] Tevatron Electroweak Working Group, [arXiv:0908.2171.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0908.2171)
- [4] A. P. Heinson, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732310032871) 25, 309 (2010); A. Heinson and T. R. Junk, [arXiv:1101.1275.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1101.1275)
- [5] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.11.058) 695[, 424 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.11.058)
- [6] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), [arXiv:1012.1792.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1012.1792)
- [7] B. W. Harris, E. Laenen, L. Phaf, Z. Sullivan, and S. Weinzierl, Phys. Rev. D 66[, 054024 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.054024).
- [8] J. M. Campbell, R. Frederix, F. Maltoni, and F. Tramontano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102[, 182003 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.182003) [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/042) [High Energy Phys. 10 \(2009\) 042.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/042)
- [9] P. Falgari, P. Mellor, and A. Signer, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054028) 82, [054028 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054028).
- [10] R. Schwienhorst, C.-P. Yuan, C. Mueller, and Q.-H. Cao, Phys. Rev. D 83[, 034019 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034019)
- [11] P. Falgari, F. Giannuzzi, P. Mellor, and A. Signer, [arXiv:1102.5267.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1102.5267)
- [12] N. Kidonakis, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2005\) 011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/05/011).
- [13] N. Kidonakis, Phys. Rev. D **74**[, 114012 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.114012).
- [14] N. Kidonakis, Phys. Rev. D **75**[, 071501\(R\) \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.071501)
- [15] S. M. Aybat, L. J. Dixon, and G. Sterman, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.072001) 97[, 072001 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.072001); Phys. Rev. D 74[, 074004 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.074004).
- [16] N. Kidonakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**[, 232003 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.232003); [arXiv:0910.0473.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0910.0473)
- [17] N. Kidonakis, Phys. Rev. D **81**[, 054028 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.054028).
- [18] N. Kidonakis, Phys. Rev. D 82[, 054018 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054018).
- [19] N. Kidonakis, Phys. Rev. D **82**[, 114030 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.114030).
- [20] H. X. Zhu, C. S. Li, J. Wang, and J. J. Zhang, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2011)099) [Energy Phys. 02 \(2011\) 099.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2011)099)
- [21] J. Wang, C.S. Li, H.X. Zhu, and J.J. Zhang, [arXiv:1010.4509.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1010.4509)
- [22] N. Kidonakis and G. Sterman, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00506-3) 505, 321 [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00506-3).
- [23] G. Sterman, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90258-6) **281**, 310 (1987).
- [24] S. Catani and L. Trentadue, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(89)90273-3) 327, 323 [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(89)90273-3).
- [25] J. Kodaira and L. Trentadue, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90907-8) 112, 66 (1982).
- [26] H. Contopanagos, E. Laenen, and G. Sterman, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00567-6) B 484[, 303 \(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00567-6).
- [27] E. Laenen, G. Oderda, and G. Sterman, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00960-5) 438, [173 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00960-5).
- [28] N. Kidonakis and V. Del Duca, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00356-7) 480, 87 [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00356-7).
- [29] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne, and G. Watt, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5) 63, 189 (2009).
- [30] Tevatron Electroweak Working Group, [arXiv:1007.3178.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1007.3178)