Enhanced inflation in the Dirac-Born-Infeld framework

Luis P. Chimento,^{1,[*](#page-0-0)} Ruth Lazkoz,^{2,[†](#page-0-1)} and Martín G. Richarte^{1[,‡](#page-0-2)}

 1 Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires,

Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina
Fisika Teorikoa, Zientzia eta Teknologia Fakultatea, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea, 644 Posta Kutxatila, 48080 Bilbao, Spain²

(Received 17 November 2010; published 7 March 2011)

We consider the Einstein equations within the Dirac-Born-Infield (DBI) scenario for a spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) spacetime without a cosmological constant. We derive the inflationary scenario by applying the symmetry transformations which preserve the form of the Friedmann and conservation equations. These form invariance transformations generate a symmetry group parametrized by the Lorentz factor γ . We explicitly obtain an inflationary scenario by the cooperative effect of adding energy density into the Friedmann equation. For the case of a constant Lorentz factor, and under the slow roll assumption, we find the transformation rules for the scalar and tensor power spectra of perturbations as well as their ratio under the action of the form invariance symmetry group. Within this case and due to its relevance for the inflationary paradigm, we find the general solution of the dynamical equations for a DBI field driven by an exponential potential and show a broad set of inflationary solutions. The general solution can be split into three subsets and all these behave asymptotically as a power-law solution at early and at late times.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.83.063505](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.063505) PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 04.20.Jb, 98.80.Jk, 98.80.Qc

tells us that inflation is entirely due to the motion of

I. INTRODUCTION

The inflationary paradigm [[1](#page-8-0)[–4\]](#page-8-1) has been confirmed as the most successful candidate for explaining the physics of the early universe [[5\]](#page-8-2). This cornerstone of modern cosmology solves many of the puzzles of the hot big bang theory, explaining why the universe appears so close to spatial flatness, why it is so homogeneous and isotropic at large scales, and why it is not overwhelmed by magnetic monopoles [\[6](#page-8-3)]. The simplest theoretical framework to implement inflation is given by a scalar field which undergoes a slow-roll period responsible for exponential expansion of the universe at early times. Furthermore, quantum fluctuations at early times provide the seeds for the formation of large-scale structure with a spectrum of adiabiatic and nearly scale-invariant Gaussian density perturbations. This prediction was confirmed using observational data, mainly those coming from the cosmic microwave background radiation [[7](#page-8-4)[–9](#page-8-5)], the 2dF galaxy redshift survey [\[10\]](#page-8-6), and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey luminous red galaxies survey [[11](#page-8-7)]. For a modern review on the particle theory origin of inflation and curvaton mechanisms for generating large-scale structures and the observed temperature anisotropy in the cosmic microwave background radiation, see [[12](#page-8-8)].

Recent progresses made within string theory in relation to the current view of cosmology have pointed out other possible types of physical mechanisms which lead to an inflationary era at early times. For example, brane inflation

D3-brane down a throat in a warped Calabi-Yau compactification [\[13\]](#page-8-9), where the central scalar field kinetic energy function is noncanonical and its form is determined by T-duality, yielding the well-known Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action [\[14\]](#page-8-10). When the spatially homogenous configurations are taken into account the action corresponding to the inflaton field reduces to a DBI field theory which was recently considered in the literature by many authors [\[15–](#page-8-11)[22\]](#page-8-12). An interesting aspect of this model is a direct consequence of the nonlinear corrections in the kinetic energy. These contributions naturally generate significant levels of primordial non-Gaussianity in the spectrum of curvature perturbations; moreover, there is a running of the non-Gaussianity reported in [\[23\]](#page-8-13). It is important to remark that the DBI inflation is an arena where the slow roll can be achieved through a low sound speed instead of dynamical friction due to expansion. Nowadays, the considerable interest devoted to the inflation mechanism with DBI fields has allowed to gain a better understanding of this attractive strings inspired model [[24](#page-8-14)[–37\]](#page-8-15). In this respect, the exact solution exhibiting power-law inflation with a single DBI field for several potentials and warped functions was found in [\[38\]](#page-8-16). Additionally, many authors have studied the cosmological evolution of a DBI field plus a perfect fluid [\[39\]](#page-8-17) or simply a DBI field [[40\]](#page-8-18) with several potentials from the dynamical autonomous system perspective. A general analysis by including an F(R) theory of gravity through a DBI deformation of standard general relativity action has been performed in [\[41\]](#page-8-19). There, the definition of the masses of the linearized propagating degrees of freedom as well as the stability around vacuum background spaces was also analyzed.

[^{*}c](#page-0-3)himento@df.uba.ar

[[†]](#page-0-3) ruth.lazkoz@ehu.es

[[‡]](#page-0-3) martin@df.uba.ar

Among the several appealing scenarios showing alternative ways to achieve an inflationary stage during the evolution of the Universe, we are interested in the DBI model. In order to contribute to a deeper understanding of this setup we are using the group of symmetry transformations whereby the Einstein equations for the spatially flat FRW spacetime are form invariant [[42](#page-8-20)]. The group of symmetry is represented by a set of fundamental transformations preserving the form of Friedmann and conservation equations, mixing geometrical quantities as the expansion rate with quantities of the fluid as the pressure and the energy density. The aforesaid ''internal'' symmetry leads to a duality between contracting and expanding scenarios, including a phantom stage [[43](#page-8-21)[–50\]](#page-8-22). In this context, the cooperative effects of adding energy density into the Friedmann equation increases the Hubble parameter and the gravitational friction slowing down the rolling of the scalar fields, and consequently enhancing inflation. Even if a source is not energetic enough to provide inflation when the fields are rolling down in isolation, the cumulative effect of a regime of much bigger energy density gives rise to enhanced inflation. This effect was shown to work for tachyon fields [[44](#page-8-23)], interacting fluids [\[46](#page-8-24)[,48\]](#page-8-25), Chaplygin gases [\[47\]](#page-8-26), DBI cosmologies [\[38](#page-8-16)], fermions [\[49\]](#page-8-27), quintom scenarios [[50](#page-8-22)] brane-world models [\[42,](#page-8-20)[43\]](#page-8-21), DGP cosmologies [[51,](#page-8-28)[52](#page-8-29)], Bianchi type-V cosmologies [\[53\]](#page-8-30), and *n*-dimensional FRW cosmologies [[54](#page-8-31)].

Other examples of multifield inflationary models have been explored in the literature [\[55\]](#page-8-32). One can see a resemblance between the enhanced inflation mechanism discussed here and the assisted inflation mechanism, where the cooperative effects of adding several self-interacting scalar fields driven by an exponential potential make inflation more likely. However, we are going to focus on enhanced inflation because it works with any fluid and does not require the use of self-interacting scalar fields or a specific potential.

In what follows, and as we have anticipated above, we will be concerned with enhanced inflation generated by the subset of linear symmetry transformations. Hence, we will be able to link two cosmological models having different expansion rates, for instance, non-accelerated with accelerated ones and vice versa. As will be explained in detail below, in the DBI scenario the key parameter of the linear transformation of the form invariance symmetry group is given by the Lorentz factor γ . Below, we will see that in the constant γ case the Friedmann and conservation equations are fully integrated when the model is driven by an exponential potential.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we give a review on basic facts of the DBI model. In Sec[.II A](#page-2-0), following the prescription developed by one of the authors in [\[42\]](#page-8-20), we investigate the existence of the form invariance symmetry group within the DBI framework when the group is parametrized by the Lorentz factor γ and present the transformation rules for physical quantities, such as Hubble expansion rate, the scale factor, the DBI field, the corresponding potential, the barotropic index, the warp factor, the flow parameters of the slow roll approximation, and the power spectra for scalar and tensor primordial quantum fluctuations as well as their ratio. We also give the conditions so that the DBI field drives an accelerated expansion scenario. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0) we find the general solution for a DBI field driven by an exponential potential with constant Lorentz factor. We present a detailed study of the general solution and show explicitly how enhanced inflation proceeds and how it is induced by the form invariance symmetry group. Finally, in Sec. [IV](#page-7-0) we summarize and discuss our findings.

II. DBI-ENHANCED INFLATION

Our scenario is that of a four-dimensional spatially flat FRW spacetime,

$$
3H^2 = \rho,\tag{1}
$$

$$
\dot{\rho} + 3H(p + \rho) = 0,\tag{2}
$$

filled with a noncanonical DBI field ϕ . Here, the dot means differentiation with respect to the cosmological time t and $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble expansion rate. Using the customary perfect fluid interpretation $p = (\Gamma - 1)\rho$, where Γ is the barotropic index of the DBI field, we have

$$
\rho = \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \qquad p = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi), \tag{3}
$$

$$
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - f(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2}}, \qquad \gamma > 1,
$$
\n(4)

and

$$
\Gamma = \frac{\gamma \dot{\phi}^2}{\rho},\tag{5}
$$

where $f(\phi) > 0$ is the warp factor, $V = V(\phi) > 0$ is the potential and $\sqrt{f(\phi)}\dot{\phi}$ may be interpreted as the proper velocity of the brane. Then, for a positive potential, we have that Γ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$
0 \le \Gamma \le \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma}.\tag{6}
$$

For comparison it is worth mentioning that when the source of the Einstein equations corresponds to a scalar field with a canonical kinetic term, say, a quintessence field φ , there are different forms to obtain inflationary scenarios. In many of these scenarios the effective potential of a quintessence field is responsible for an epoch of accelerated inflationary expansion.

Still within the quintessence context, inflationary scenarios can also be obtained from a more general point of view by using the group of transformations which preserve the form of the Friedmann and conservation equations [\[42\]](#page-8-20). In this case the cooperative effects of adding energy density into the Friedmann equation, instead of quintessence fields, lead different enhanced inflationary scenarios, including a phantom one [\[43\]](#page-8-21). In this interpretation of the inflationary paradigm, the configurations of one and several quintessence fields are related by a simple symmetry transformation of the corresponding Einstein-Klein-Gordon equations. In this sense they can be considered as equivalent cosmological models [[42](#page-8-20)].

Now, DBI theory is a framework where the inflation is naturally related with the cooperative effects of adding energy density into the Friedmann equation instead of the cumulative effects of several DBI fields. As opposed to the quintessence field, now the factor γ normally increases or magnifies the energy density of the scalar field. This motivation follows from the inequality $\rho(\gamma) \ge \rho_{\varphi}$, where $\rho_{\varphi} = \rho(\gamma = 1)$ is the quintessence field, and the physical assumption that the warp factor $f(\phi)$ is positive definite. Therefore, the energy density for the DBI field has an increased magnitude as compared with the energy density of the quintessence field.

A. Form invariance symmetry in DBI theory

A form invariance transformation is a prescription relating the quantities (H, ρ, p) of a FRW cosmology fulfilling Eqs. ([1](#page-1-1)) and ([2\)](#page-1-2) with the quantities $(\bar{H}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{p})$ of another FRW cosmology described by the equations $3\bar{H}^2 = \bar{\rho}$ and $\dot{\bar{\rho}} + 3\bar{H}(\bar{p} + \bar{\rho}) = 0$. Although the last system of equations have the same form as the original ones [\(1](#page-1-1)) and ([2\)](#page-1-2), both systems represent two different FRW cosmologies because their sources are different. A successful way of applying this internal symmetry is to consider the case where the energy density transforms as $\bar{\rho} = n^2 \rho$ with n an arbitrary constant. It leads to the transformation rules for the Hubble parameter $\bar{H} = nH$, which after integration gives the scale factor $\bar{a} = a^n$, and the pressure $\bar{p} + \bar{p} =$ $n(\rho + p)$. Particularly interesting, the case $n = -1$ shows a duality between a and $1/a$ and the corresponding violation of the weak energy condition $\bar{p} + \bar{p} = -(\rho + p)$. On the other hand, the form invariance symmetry is an internal symmetry of the Einstein field equations, but it is not a symmetry of the gravitational Lagrangian minimally coupled to matter fields, where the well-known Noether's theorem applies [\[56](#page-8-33)[,57\]](#page-8-34). Now we assume that the aforesaid two cosmologies can be associated with each other by means of the transformation $\bar{\rho} = \gamma^2 \rho$. The form of the FRW cosmology is then preserved if we complete the transformation as follows:

$$
\bar{\rho} = \gamma^2 \rho,\tag{7}
$$

$$
\bar{H} = \gamma H, \tag{8}
$$

$$
\bar{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} - \frac{2\dot{\gamma}}{3H\gamma^2}.
$$
 (9)

The latter equation allows us to construct inflationary scenarios $(0 < \bar{\Gamma} < 2/3)$ from a noninflationary one $(2/3 < \Gamma)$ by taking a DBI field that verifies Eq. ([9\)](#page-2-1); we then get

$$
1 - \frac{2\rho}{3\dot{\phi}^2} < \frac{2\dot{\gamma}H}{\gamma^2\dot{\phi}^2} < 1. \tag{10}
$$

For the simplest case, γ = const with $f\dot{\phi}^2$ = const, the inequalities ([10](#page-2-2)) are substituted by

$$
\frac{V}{\dot{\phi}^2} > \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma + 1}.
$$
 (11)

Now, integrating Eq. ([8](#page-2-3)) we get the relevant transformation rule for the scale factor,

$$
\bar{a} = a^{\gamma}.\tag{12}
$$

The transformation laws for the field, the potential, and the barotropic indices are obtained from Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-1-3), ([5](#page-1-4)), and [\(7\)](#page-2-4):

$$
\dot{\bar{\phi}}^2 = \gamma \dot{\phi}^2, \tag{13}
$$

$$
\bar{V} = \gamma^2 V + \frac{\gamma^3 (\gamma - 1)}{\gamma + 1} \dot{\phi}^2, \qquad (14)
$$

$$
\bar{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma}{\gamma},\tag{15}
$$

where we have assumed that the parameter γ of the form invariance transformations [\(7\)](#page-2-4) is an invariant quantity $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma$. Hence, $f \dot{\phi}^2$ is an invariant quantity as well and the warp factor transforms in the same way that the barotropic index [\(9](#page-2-1)) and ([15](#page-2-5)) does:

$$
\bar{f} = \frac{f}{\gamma}.\tag{16}
$$

Finally, taking into account that necessarily $\gamma > 1$, the DBI field accelerates the expansion of the universe enhancing inflation when $\gamma > 3\Gamma/2$.

B. Slow roll approximation

A central ingredient of the inflation perturbation is the slow roll approximation. Even when this approximation has not been required by our study of the enhanced inflation in the DBI theory because we have used an internal symmetry of the Friedmann and field equations, it is important to know the transformation rule of the flow parameters under the form invariance transformations to apply it on the power spectra and obtain an overview of the limitations imposed by this approximation. This will set the foundations for the prediction of observational features, and will allow for a critical appraisal of the DBI inflationary scenario.

Following [\[34](#page-8-35)[,36,](#page-8-36)[58](#page-8-37)], it can be shown that the three nonzero fundamental flow parameters for a constant Lorentz factor are given by

$$
\epsilon = \frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{H'(\phi)}{H(\phi)} \right)^2,\tag{17}
$$

$$
\eta = \frac{2}{\gamma} \frac{H''}{H},\tag{18}
$$

$$
\zeta = \frac{4}{\gamma^2} \frac{H'H'''}{H^2},\tag{19}
$$

where the prime stands for derivates with respect to the fields ϕ . The slow roll approximation is valid when $|\epsilon| \ll 1$, $|\eta| \ll 1$, and $|\zeta| \ll 1$ and these requirements impose constraints on the form of the potential and the value of the initial conditions. In the constant γ case, using the form invariance transformations ([8\)](#page-2-3) and ([13](#page-2-6)), one shows that the flow parameter change as

$$
\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{\gamma},\tag{20}
$$

$$
\bar{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{\gamma},\tag{21}
$$

$$
\bar{\zeta} = \frac{\zeta}{\gamma^2}.\tag{22}
$$

Therefore, we conclude that the slow roll approximation is enhanced when the energy density is increased in the Friedmann equation.

C. Power spectra

Density fluctuations generated during the inflationary era are the key ingredient for the formation of large-scale structures, and leave different observational imprints which may provide a way to constrain inflationary parameters and discriminate among different models. Consequently, we will be interested in the effect of the form invariance symmetry on the properties of the spectrum and spectral index for the perturbations that would be created during an inflationary period.

The power spectrum for scalar primordial quantum fluctuation was first derived in [[59\]](#page-8-38) and reads

$$
\mathcal{P}_s(\tilde{k})|_{aH=\tilde{k}} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{\epsilon c_s},\tag{23}
$$

where $c_s^2 = \partial p / \partial \rho = 1 / \gamma^2$ is the speed of sound and the quantity [\(23\)](#page-3-1) is evaluated at the time of horizon crossing. Using ([8](#page-2-3)) and ([20](#page-3-2)), we find that the power spectrum [\(23\)](#page-3-1) changes as follows:

$$
\bar{\mathcal{P}}_s(\tilde{k}) = \gamma^3 \mathcal{P}_s(\tilde{k}).\tag{24}
$$

The latter result shows that a form invariance transformation within the DBI model enhances the power spectrum of the primordial perturbation by a factor γ^3 . Thus, a DBI cosmological model with $\gamma > 1$ will have naturally amplified primordial quantum fluctuations. On the other side, the power spectra for the tensor perturbations are given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_T(\tilde{k})|_{aH=\tilde{k}} = \frac{2}{\pi^2} H^2 \propto \rho.
$$
 (25)

The tensor power spectrum changes as $\bar{P}_T = \gamma^2 \mathcal{P}_T$ under a form invariance symmetry. Then the ratio of power in tensor modes versus scalar modes [[59](#page-8-38)],

$$
r = \frac{\mathcal{P}_T}{\mathcal{P}_s},\tag{26}
$$

transforms as

$$
\bar{r} = \frac{r}{\gamma},\tag{27}
$$

and we find that $\bar{r} < r$ for $\gamma > 1$. Enhanced inflation thus lowers r and makes it easier to meet the 2σ observational bound from Wilkinson Microwave Background Probe 7 (WMAP7) [\[60\]](#page-8-39), which is $r < 0.24$ for a power-law power spectrum (actually, this bound gets almost two times larger if the power-law assumption is relaxed to admit a running index). Future experiments devoted to polarization like CMBPol [\[61\]](#page-8-40) or Quijote [[62](#page-9-0)] may offer an improved estimation of this bound.

Another important issue in the DBI model is related with the non-Gaussianities in the perturbation spectra due to its noncanonical kinetic term. Non-Gaussianities in DBI inflation have been studied by many authors [[63](#page-9-1)], and a rough estimator of the non-Gaussianity level depends es-sentially on the Lorentz factor [[16](#page-8-41),[19](#page-8-42),[58](#page-8-37)]

$$
f_{NL} = \frac{35}{108} (\gamma^2 - 1).
$$
 (28)

Then, this measure remains invariant under the action of the form invariance symmetry. As given by WMAP7 [[60\]](#page-8-39), the current 2σ observational bound for non-Gaussianity is $-10 < f_{NL} < 74$, thus implying γ < 15. Furthermore, using the tensor to scalar ratio and a multi-coincident-brane theory, in Ref. [\[64\]](#page-9-2) observational constraints on the DBI inflation mechanism in warped throats coming from gravitational waves were found. Of course, tighter constraints will very likely be obtained from future missions like those cited above.

III. THE EXPONENTIAL POTENTIAL

We start this section by introducing an exponential potential to show explicitly, in this special case, that the form invariance symmetry leads to enhanced inflation through the factor γ . For a DBI scenario with γ = const, after combining Eqs. ([1](#page-1-1))–[\(3](#page-1-3)), we obtain the Friedmann and field equations

$$
3H^2 = \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} \dot{\phi}^2 + V,\tag{29}
$$

$$
\ddot{\phi} + \frac{3(\gamma + 1)}{2\gamma} H \dot{\phi} + (\gamma + 1) \frac{V'}{2\gamma^2} = 0 \tag{30}
$$

with $V' = dV/d\phi$, and the useful equations

$$
-2\dot{H} = \gamma \dot{\phi}^2,\tag{31}
$$

$$
3H^2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma + 1}\dot{H} = V.
$$
 (32)

A simple realization of the condition [\(11\)](#page-2-7) is to assume that $V(\phi) \propto \dot{\phi}^2$ (with an appropriate proportionality factor to be determined later). Hence, $V' \dot{\phi} \propto \dot{\phi} \ddot{\phi}$, $V' \propto \ddot{\phi}$, and from Eq. [\(29\)](#page-4-0) we get $H \propto V^{1/2}$. Inserting all these results in the equation field [\(30\)](#page-4-1) we obtain $V' \propto V$, meaning that the DBI field is driven by an exponential potential. Therefore, we will write the potential as

$$
V = V_0 e^{-B\phi}, \tag{33}
$$

where V_0 and B are constants with $V_0 > 0$. At this point, we remark that within the context of DBI inflation the exponential potential was taken into account by several authors. More precisely, a D-brane inflationary scenario with a small speed of sound was reported in [[34](#page-8-35)]. An exactly solvable inflation model driven by exponential potential with variable speed sound as well as the quantum modes associated with curvature perturbations [\[25\]](#page-8-43) was also examined. In addition, a DBI model of power-law inflation characterized by an exponential potential was analyzed in [[36](#page-8-36),[37](#page-8-15)]. Other models devoted to the study of a scalar field with the nonstandard DBI kinetic term as a possible source of dark energy were investigated in the case of the brane tension proportional to the exponential potential [\[65\]](#page-9-3).

The exact solution of the Friedmann and field Eqs. [\(29\)](#page-4-0) and [\(30\)](#page-4-1) for the exponential potential [\(33\)](#page-4-2) (the so-called Liouville potential) includes power-law solutions, a particularly explicit solution depending on the cosmological time and the general solution which implicitly depends on a function of the cosmological time. All these solutions have the common feature of a power-law asymptotic behavior.

To proceed we introduce an auxiliary function of the cosmological time $L(t)$ and rewrite $\dot{\phi}$ in terms of this new variable as

$$
\dot{\phi} = \frac{B}{\gamma}H + L.\tag{34}
$$

This becomes a first integral of the DBI field Eq. [\(30\)](#page-4-1) whenever the function L satisfies the equation

$$
\dot{L} + \frac{3H(\gamma + 1)}{2\gamma}L = 0.
$$
 (35)

By inserting the solution of the last equation $L =$ $ba^{-3(\gamma+1)/2\gamma}$ into Eq. [\(34\)](#page-4-3), we get the first integral of the field Eq. [\(30\)](#page-4-1),

$$
\dot{\phi} = \frac{B}{\gamma}H + ba^{-(3(\gamma + 1)/2\gamma)},
$$
\n(36)

where b is an integration constant. Thus, the Liouville potential [\(33\)](#page-4-2) helps us to find the first integral of the field equation by turning the latter into a separable nonlinear differential equation. The separation yields two parts: one depends exclusively on geometrical quantities, whereas the other depends on quantities that characterize the field.

Replacing the first integral ([36](#page-4-4)) into the Friedmann Eq. [\(29\)](#page-4-0), we get a quadratic equation in the expansion rate H ,

$$
[3(\gamma + 1) - B^2]H^2 - \frac{2\gamma bBH}{a^{3(\gamma + 1)/2\gamma}} - \frac{\gamma^2 b^2}{a^{3(\gamma + 1)/\gamma}} - V = 0,
$$
\n(37)

whose discriminant D must be definite positive to obtain real valued scale factors, so we find the following inequality:

$$
[3(\gamma + 1) - B^2]V + \frac{3\gamma^2 b^2}{a^{3(\gamma + 1)/\gamma}} > 0.
$$
 (38)

Note that the coefficient of the potential must be positive so that the inequality [\(38\)](#page-4-5) holds in the limit of large-scale factor, so one concludes that the restriction

$$
B^2 < 3(\gamma + 1) \tag{39}
$$

must hold in order to have real valued solutions with no null potential.

A. Power-law solutions

For the particular $b = 0$ case the first integral [\(36\)](#page-4-4) becomes $\dot{\phi} = BH/\gamma$, so by integration we get $\phi =$ (B/γ) lna, where the integration constant has been set to zero. On the other hand, by combining Eqs. [\(29\)](#page-4-0), ([30](#page-4-1)), and [\(33\)](#page-4-2) the field Eq. [\(30](#page-4-1)) reduces to

$$
\ddot{\phi} + \frac{B}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 = 0. \tag{40}
$$

After integrating twice the Eq. ([40](#page-4-6)), we get the DBI field, the scale factor from $a = \exp(\gamma \phi/B)$ and V_0 from the Friedmann Eq. [\(29\)](#page-4-0), they read

$$
a = t^{2\gamma/B^2}, \qquad \phi = \frac{2}{B} \ln t, \tag{41}
$$

$$
V_0 = \frac{4\gamma^2}{B^2} \left[\frac{3}{B^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \right].
$$
 (42)

The DBI model enhances inflation for any value of B such that $\gamma > B^2/2$. In a way, for arbitrary γ values the enhanced framework generalizes the assisted inflation.

In fact, by applying the form invariance transformation ([7](#page-2-4)), [\(8\)](#page-2-3), and [\(12](#page-2-8)) on the power-law solution $a = t^{2/B^2}$, we obtain $\bar{a} = a^{\gamma} = t^{2\gamma/B^2}$. It means that the transformed cosmological DBI model is filled with an energy density $\bar{\rho}$ larger than the energy density ρ of the original model, because $\bar{\rho} = \gamma^2 \rho$ with $\gamma > 1$. This shows explicitly that the cooperative effects of adding energy density into the Friedmann equation enhances inflation. Similarly the barotropic index Γ of the DBI field transforms as $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma / \gamma$ under a form invariance transformation enhancing inflation for $\gamma > 3\Gamma/2$.

The particular power-law solution $a = t^{2\gamma/3(\gamma+1)}$ is excluded for the exponential potential because in this case $B² = 3(\gamma + 1)$ and $V₀$ vanishes in Eq. ([42](#page-4-7)).

B. Particular explicit solution

In order to obtain some particular explicit solutions of Eqs. [\(29\)](#page-4-0), ([30](#page-4-1)), and ([33](#page-4-2)), we combine Eqs. ([31\)](#page-4-8) and [\(36\)](#page-4-4) which lead us to a nonlinear second-order differential equation for the scale factor a:

$$
-2\dot{H} = \gamma \left[\frac{B^2 H^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac{2bBH}{\gamma} a^{-(3(\gamma+1)/2\gamma)} + b^2 a^{-(3(\gamma+1)/\gamma)} \right].
$$
\n(43)

By making the change of variables

$$
s = a^{B^2/2\gamma}
$$
, $\tau = bBt$, $m = -\frac{3(\gamma + 1)}{B^2}$, (44)

it follows from Eq. ([39](#page-4-9)) that $m \le -1$, hence the Eq. [\(43\)](#page-5-0) becomes a second-order nonlinear differential equation for $s(\tau)$:

$$
\ddot{s} + s^m \dot{s} + \frac{1}{4} s^{2m+1} = 0, \tag{45}
$$

where the dot stands for differentiation with respect to the argument of the function. Once we have found the solution of Eq. ([45\)](#page-5-1), we will obtain the "scale factor a " in terms of the cosmic time t by using Eqs. [\(44](#page-5-2)). After that we must constrain the "scale factor a " in order for it to comply with the Friedmann Eq. ([29](#page-4-0)) also. This will allow us to bind the two integration constants, provided that the two integrations of Eq. ([45](#page-5-1)) are related, so that finally we have the true scale factor with only one relevant integration constant.

By making a change of variable $s(\tau) \rightarrow v(\tau)$

$$
\dot{s} = \alpha s^{m+1} + s \frac{\dot{v}}{v},\tag{46}
$$

where α is a constant to be determined later. Under this new variable Eq. ([45](#page-5-1)) can be recast as

$$
\left[(m+1)\alpha^2 + \alpha + \frac{1}{4} \right] s^{2m+1} + \left[(m+2)\alpha + 1 \right] \frac{\dot{v}}{v} + s \frac{\ddot{v}}{v} = 0.
$$
\n(47)

For the two values, $m = 0$ with $\alpha = -1/2$ and $m = -4$ with $\alpha = 1/2$, the last equation reduces to

$$
\ddot{v} = 0. \tag{48}
$$

We discard the $m = 0$ value because it entails $B^2 \to \infty$ and a vanishing exponential potential ([33](#page-4-2)). However, for $m = -4$, we integrate Eq. ([46](#page-5-3)) and obtain

$$
s^{-4} = \frac{v^{-4}}{2 \int v^{-4} d\tau}.
$$
 (49)

By solving the latter with v given by Eq. [\(48\)](#page-5-4), i.e, $v = b_1 + b_2\tau$, where b_1 and b_2 are constants, and substituting into the first Eq. ([44](#page-5-2)), we obtain the scale factor

$$
a = \left[\frac{2(k\tau^4 - \tau)}{3}\right]^{2\gamma/3(\gamma + 1)}.\tag{50}
$$

Without loss of generality, the quantity $(k\tau^4 - \tau)$ must be restricted to remain positive definite, so we take $b_1 = 0$ and the constant k becomes a redefinition of the old one.

The DBI field $\phi(\tau)$ is obtained by integrating the first integral [\(36\)](#page-4-4) of the field Eq. ([30\)](#page-4-1),

$$
\phi = \frac{1}{B} \ln \left[\frac{|\phi_0|}{3} \tau^2 (k \tau^4 - \tau) \right],\tag{51}
$$

where ϕ_0 is an integration constant. Finally, from Eqs. [\(33\)](#page-4-2) and [\(51\)](#page-5-5), we find the exponential potential as a function of the cosmological time

$$
V = \frac{3V_0\tau^2}{|\phi_0|(k\tau^4 - \tau)}.
$$
\n(52)

To constrain the integration constants we use the scale factor [\(50\)](#page-5-6) to calculate H, \dot{H} and insert them along with the potential ([52](#page-5-7)) into the Friedmann Eq. [\(32\)](#page-4-10). The dependence on the variable ν is missed and we find a relation between b, ϕ_0 , k, and V_0 :

$$
k = \frac{V_0(\gamma + 1)}{4|\phi_0|b^2\gamma^2}.
$$
\n
$$
(53)
$$

This gives a positive definite constant k . Hence, the scale factor [\(50](#page-5-6)) and the DBI field ([51\)](#page-5-5), whose integration constants verify the relation ([53](#page-5-8)), are exact solutions of the Friedmann and field Eqs. [\(29\)](#page-4-0) and [\(30\)](#page-4-1) for the exponential potential [\(52\)](#page-5-7).

C. Implicit general solution

Motivated by the use of the exponential potential in the DBI inflation framework, we will find the general implicit solutions of Eqs. [\(29\)](#page-4-0), [\(30\)](#page-4-1), and [\(33\)](#page-4-2). To this end, we will solve Eq. ([45](#page-5-1)) by using the form invariance group generated by a nonlocal transformation of variables acting on the nonlinear differential equation

$$
\ddot{s} + F\dot{s} + \beta F \int Fds + \nu F = 0, \tag{54}
$$

where $s = s(\tau)$, $F = F(s)$ is any integrable real function, and the dot means differentiation with respect to τ whereas β and ν are constant parameters. In our DBI model Eq. ([45](#page-5-1)) is obtained from Eq. [\(54\)](#page-5-9) by selecting $F = sⁿ$. Below, we will use a nonlocal transformation of variables to linearize Eq. ([54](#page-5-9)).

We introduce a new pair of variables (h, η) by means of the variable transformations

$$
F(s)ds = G(h)dh, \qquad F(s)d\tau = G(h)d\eta, \qquad (55)
$$

where $G(h)$ is an integrable real function. The integration of the first transformation in Eq. [\(55\)](#page-6-0) defines $h = h(s)$. However, the second transformation in Eq. [\(55\)](#page-6-0) cannot be integrated until we know the functions $F(s)$ and $G(\eta)$. For this reason it defines a nonlocal variable transformation. Although, we do not know it explicitly, it transforms the Eq. ([54](#page-5-9)) into a nonlinear ordinary differential equation having the same form,

$$
h'' + Gh' + \beta G \int Gdh + \nu G = 0, \qquad (56)
$$

where \prime denotes differentiation with respect to η . In other words, Eqs. ([54](#page-5-9)) and ([56](#page-6-1)) are related between them by the formal changes $F \leftrightarrow G$, $s \leftrightarrow h$, and $\tau \leftrightarrow \eta$. Then, the nonlocal transformation ([55](#page-6-0)) preserves the form of these equations mapping solutions of Eq. [\(54\)](#page-5-9) into solutions of Eq. ([56](#page-6-1)) for any pair of functions $F(s)$ and $G(\eta)$, linking solutions of two different physical configurations. Taking into account the nature of the nonlocal change of variables [\(55](#page-6-0)), it is not always possible to find explicit solutions of Eq. [\(54\)](#page-5-9). However, the procedure based in the use of the form invariance relates the class of nonlinear differential equations [\(54\)](#page-5-9), generated by the function $F(s)$, with the linear damped harmonic oscillator equation, by selecting $G(h) = \text{const.}$ In our case, we apply this procedure by choosing the specific parametrization $F(s) = s^m$ and $G(h) = 1$ in Eqs. [\(54\)](#page-5-9) and [\(56\)](#page-6-1). These two different configurations are described by the equations

$$
\ddot{s} + s^m \dot{s} + \frac{\beta}{m+1} s^{2m+1} + \nu s^m = 0, \qquad (57)
$$

$$
h'' + h' + \beta h + \nu = 0,
$$
 (58)

and their solutions are related by the nonlocal variable transformations [\(55\)](#page-6-0) evaluated on the above specific parametrization

$$
h = \int s^m ds, \qquad \eta = \int s^m d\tau. \tag{59}
$$

To summarize, the nonlocal change of variables [\(59\)](#page-6-2) transforms the nonlinear differential Eq. ([57](#page-6-3)), describing a DBI field driven by an exponential potential, into a linear damped harmonic oscillator Eq. ([58](#page-6-4)) with a constant gravitational field. It means that the nonlocal change of variables ([59\)](#page-6-2) alters the Lie point symmetry of these two physical problems, making them essentially the same in the new variables (h, η) .

Comparing our Eq. (45) with Eqs. (57) (57) (57) and (58) (58) (58) , we have two different cases to analyze,

(i)
$$
m \neq -1
$$
, $\nu = 0$, $F(s) = s^m$, $\beta = (m + 1)/4$,
\n(ii) $m = -1$, $\beta = 0$, $F(s) = 1/s$, $\nu = 1/4$,

for which Eq. ([45](#page-5-1)) becomes

$$
h'' + h' + \frac{m+1}{4}h = 0, \qquad m \neq -1, \qquad (60)
$$

$$
h'' + h' + \frac{1}{4} = 0. \qquad m = -1. \tag{61}
$$

For $m \neq -1$ and $\nu = 0$, we obtain from Eqs. ([33](#page-4-2)), ([36\)](#page-4-4), [\(44\)](#page-5-2), ([59](#page-6-2)), and [\(60\)](#page-6-5) the scale factor, the DBI field and the potential

$$
a(\eta) = [(m+1)(c_1 e^{\lambda^{-}\eta} + c_2 e^{\lambda^{+}\eta})]^{2\gamma/(B^2 - 3(\gamma + 1))},
$$
 (62)

$$
\phi(\eta) = \frac{1}{B} \left[\ln|\phi_0| + \eta + \frac{B^2}{\gamma} \ln a \right],\tag{63}
$$

$$
V = \frac{V_0 e^{-\eta}}{|\phi_0| a^{B^2/\gamma}},
$$
(64)

where $\lambda^{\pm} = (-1 \pm \sqrt{-m})/2$ are the roots of the charac-teristic polynomial of the Eq. ([60](#page-6-5)) and ϕ_0 is an integration constant. Now, inserting Eqs. (62) – (64) (64) (64) into the Friedmann Eq. ([32](#page-4-10)), the integration constants are constrained so they satisfy the following relation:

$$
c_1 c_2 = \frac{B^4 V_0}{12\gamma^2 b^2 [B^2 - 3(\gamma + 1)] |\phi_0|}.
$$
 (65)

Defining the constant $C^2 = -4c_1c_2$ and taking into account Eqs. [\(44\)](#page-5-2), [\(62](#page-6-6)), and ([65](#page-6-8)), we obtain the final form of the scale factor for $B^2 - 3(\gamma + 1) < 0$,

$$
a = \left[Ce^{-\eta/2} \sinh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3(\gamma+1)}}{2B}\eta - \sigma\right) \right]^{2\gamma/(B^2 - 3(\gamma+1))}.
$$
\n(66)

At early times, $\eta \rightarrow \infty$, the DBI model begins at a singularity where the scale factor reads

$$
a_e \approx e^{2\lambda^+ \gamma \Delta \eta/[B^2 - 3(\gamma + 1)]}.\tag{67}
$$

The relation between the implicit time η and the cosmological time is given by the second Eq. ([59](#page-6-2)),

$$
\Delta \tau \approx e^{3\lambda^+ (\gamma + 1)\Delta \eta/[B^2 - 3(\gamma + 1)]}.\tag{68}
$$

Then, composing the last two equations we obtain the initial behavior of the implicit solutions

$$
a_e \approx \Delta \tau^{2\gamma/3(\gamma+1)}.\tag{69}
$$

At late times the constant σ defines the limit value of the implicit time $\eta_{\infty} = 2B\sigma/\sqrt{3(\gamma + 1)}$, where the scale factor reaches $a = \infty$. To find the behavior of the latter set of solutions for a large-scale factor, we expand it near η_{∞} by making $\eta = \eta_{\infty} + \Delta \eta$ with $\Delta \eta > 0$ and $\Delta \eta / \eta_{\infty} \ll 1$. In this limit, the scale factor behaves as

$$
a_l \approx \Delta \eta^{2\gamma/(B^2 - 3(\gamma + 1))},\tag{70}
$$

while from the second Eq. ([59](#page-6-2)), the cosmological time becomes

$$
\Delta \tau \approx \Delta \eta^{B^2/(B^2 - 3(\gamma + 1))}.
$$
 (71)

Finally, from the last two we get

$$
a_l \approx \Delta \tau^{2\gamma/B^2}.\tag{72}
$$

Equations ([69](#page-6-9)) and ([72](#page-7-1)) show that the DBI model driven by an exponential potential interpolates between two fluids with barotropic indexes $\Gamma_e = (\gamma + 1)/\gamma$ and $\Gamma_l = B^2/3\gamma$. Actually, the barotropic index of the DBI field becomes variable, and it can be calculated by insert-ing the exact scale factor [\(66\)](#page-6-10) into $\Gamma = -2\dot{H}/3H^2$, hence the final result is

$$
\Gamma = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{B - \sqrt{3(\gamma + 1)} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{3(\gamma + 1)}}{2B} \eta - \sigma}{\sqrt{3(\gamma + 1)} - B \tanh \frac{\sqrt{3(\gamma + 1)}}{2B} \eta - \sigma} \right]^2. \tag{73}
$$

For the $m = -1$ ($B^2 = 3(\gamma + 1)$), $\beta = 0$, and $\nu = 1/4$ case we have

$$
a(\eta) = \exp\left[\frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma+1)}(d_1 + d_2e^{-\eta} - \frac{\eta}{4})\right],\tag{74}
$$

$$
\phi(\eta) = \frac{1}{B} \left[\ln|\phi_0| + \eta + \frac{B^2}{\gamma} \ln a \right].
$$
 (75)

Combining Eqs. (32) , (64) (64) (64) , (74) (74) , and (75) (75) (75) , the integration constants should fulfill the following constraint:

$$
d_2 = \frac{(\gamma + 1)V_0}{4\gamma^2 b^2 |\phi_0|}.
$$
 (76)

Hence, the final scale factor can be rewritten as

$$
a(\eta) = a_0 \exp\biggl[-\frac{\gamma \eta}{6(\gamma + 1)} + \frac{V_0 e^{\eta}}{6\gamma b^2 |\phi_0|} \biggr],\tag{77}
$$

where a_0 is a redefinition of the constant d_1 .

Summarizing, the general solution of a DBI field driven by an exponential potential and constant factor γ is composed by scale factors (41) (41) (41) , (50) (50) (50) , (66) (66) (66) , and (77) and the corresponding DBI fields (41) (41) , (51) , (63) , and (75) . Analyzing the asymptotic limits of the scale factor [\(66\)](#page-6-10) we see that $a_e \rightarrow t^2 \gamma/3(\gamma+1)$ at early times and $a_l \rightarrow t^2 \gamma/B^2$ at late times. It transits from $a_e \approx t^{1/3}$ and $a_l \approx t^{2/3}$ for $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ (quintessence field) to $a_e \approx t^{2/3}$ and $a_l \approx t^{2\gamma/B^2}$ for $\gamma \gg 1$ (DBI field), showing that the exponents increase from 1/3 and (γ/B^2) to 2/3 and $(2\gamma/B^2)$ at early times and (late times), respectively. Therefore, a DBI field driven by an exponential potential does not inflate near the initial singularity. However, away from the singularity, when the time proceeds, the inflation becomes more probable and it is enhanced by the factor γ within the DBI framework.

IV. CONCLUSION

By using the very appealing form invariance symmetry group contained in the Friedmann and conservation equations, we have given a full description of the enhanced inflation within the DBI cosmological model for spatially flat FRW spacetimes and we have shown that the cooperative effects of increasing the energy density in the Friedmann equation makes inflation more likely. We have increased the energy density of the DBI field by means of the form invariance transformation $\rho = \gamma^2 \rho$, through the "real Lorentz factor" $\gamma > 1$. It substitutes the configuration of n self-interacting DBI fields, contrasting with the assisted inflation originally derived for the quintessence field. In the peculiar case that the Lorentz factor γ is a natural number, it plays the same role that the number of n self-interacting DBI fields. Hence, the DBI field accelerates the expansion of the universe, leading to an inflationary era, when its barotropic index Γ and the Lorentz factor γ satisfy the inequality $\gamma > 3\Gamma/2$.

In this context we have also investigated some interesting examples by determining the transformation rules for the scalar and tensor power spectra, as well as their ratio, and the slow-roll parameters under the action of the form invariance transformations. We have found that the primordial quantum fluctuations are naturally amplified by γ and the slow roll approximation is enhanced when the energy density is increased in the Friedmann equation.

We have obtained the general solution of the Friedmann and DBI field equations for a constant Lorentz factor when the DBI field is driven by an exponential potential. The general solutions has been split into three subsets: power-law solutions, an explicit particular solution, and the implicit general solution. We have shown that they all share the property that at early and at late times they behave asymptotically as power-law solutions. The first subset of solutions exhibit enhanced inflation under a form invariance transformation. On the other hand, the asymptotic behavior of the remaining two subsets of solutions at late times indicates that inflation becomes more probable and it is enhanced by the γ factor.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L. P. C. thanks the University of the Basque Country, the Basque Foundation for Science (Ikerbasque), the University of Buenos Aires under Project No. X044 and the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) under Project No. PIP 114-200801-00328 for the partial support of this work during its different stages. M. G. R. is supported by CONICET. R. L. thanks the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation and the University of the Basque Country for support through research projects FIS2010-15492 and GIU06/37, respectively.

ENHANCED INFLATION IN THE DIRAC-BORN-INFELD ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 83, 063505 (2011)

- [1] A. A. Starobinskii, JETP Lett. 30, 682 (1979).
- [2] A. H. Guth, *[Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347)* **23**, 347 (1981).
- [3] A. D. Linde, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9) 108, 389 (1982).
- [4] A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220) 48, 1220 [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220).
- [5] W. H. Kinney, E. W. Kolb, A. Melchiorri, and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 087302 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.087302)
- [6] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and* Large-scale Structure (Cambridge Univeristy Press, Cambridge, England, 2000), p. 400.
- [7] P. de Bernardis et al., [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324298) **564**, 559 (2002).
- [8] C. Pryke et al., [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/338880) **568**, 46 (2002).
- [9] E. Komatsu et al., [Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/180/2/330) 180, 330 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/180/2/330).
- [10] S. Cole et al., [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09318.x) 362, 505 (2005).
- [11] M. Tegmark et al., Phys. Rev. D **74**[, 123507 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.123507).
- [12] A. Mazumdar and J. Rocher, Phys. Rep. 497[, 85 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2010.08.001).
- [13] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, and J. Polchinski, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.106006) 66[, 106006 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.106006).
- [14] R. G. Leigh, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732389003099) 4, 2767 (1989).
- [15] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.103505) 70, 103505 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.103505).
- [16] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.123505) 70[, 123505 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.123505).
- [17] J. E. Lidsey and D. Seery, Phys. Rev. D 75[, 043505 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.043505)
- [18] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.123508) 75, 123508 [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.123508).
- [19] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru, and G. Shiu, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/01/002) [Astropart. Phys. 01 \(2007\) 002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/01/002)
- [20] R. Bean, S. E. Shandera, S. H. Henry Tye, and [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/05/004) Xu, J. [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 \(2007\) 004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/05/004)
- [21] M. Spalinski, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 04 \(2007\) 018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/04/018)
- [22] M. Spalinski, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 \(2007\) 017.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/05/017)
- [23] X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **72**[, 123518 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.123518).
- [24] S. Mizuno, F. Arroja, and K. Koyama, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.083517) 80, [083517 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.083517).
- [25] W. H. Kinney and K. Tzirakis, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.103517) 77, 103517 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.103517).
- [26] S. Mizuno, F. Arroja, K. Koyama, and T. Tanaka, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.023530) Rev. D 80[, 023530 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.023530).
- [27] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, and D. A. Steer, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/04/021) [Astropart. Phys. 04 \(2009\) 021.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/04/021)
- [28] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 063523 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.063523)
- [29] K. Bamba, N. Ohta, and S. Tsujikawa, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.043524) 78, [043524 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.043524).
- [30] A. J. Tolley and M. Wyman, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/04/028) [04 \(2008\) 028.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/04/028)
- [31] D. A. Easson, R. Gregory, D. F. Mota, G. Tasinato, and I. Zavala, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 02 \(2008\) 010.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/02/010)
- [32] T. Kobayashi, S. Mukohyama, and S. Kinoshita, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/01/028) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 01 \(2008\) 028.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/01/028)
- [33] M. Spalinski, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.05.041) **650**, 313 (2007).
- [34] D. Bessada, W. H. Kinney, and K. Tzirakis, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/09/031) [Astropart. Phys. 09 \(2009\) 031.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/09/031)
- [35] W. H. Kinney and K. Tzirakis, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.103517) 77, 103517 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.103517).
- [36] M. Spalinski, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 04 \(2008\) 002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/04/002)
- [37] M. Spalinski, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 \(2007\) 017.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/05/017)
- [38] L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-008-0637-1) 40, [2543 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-008-0637-1).
- [39] Z. K. Guo and N. Ohta, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 04](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/04/035) [\(2008\) 035;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/04/035) E. J. Copeland, S. Mizuno, and M. Shaeri, Phys. Rev. D 81[, 123501 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.123501)
- [40] C. Ahn, C. Kim, and E. V. Linder, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.12.069) 684, 181 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.12.069); Phys. Rev. D 80[, 123016 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.123016)
- [41] I. Quiros, L. A. Urena-Lopez, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.044002) 82, 044002 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.044002).
- [42] L. P. Chimento, Phys. Rev. D 65[, 063517 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.063517).
- [43] L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.211301)* **91**, 211301 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.211301).
- [44] J. M. Aguirregabiria, L. P. Chimento, and R. Lazkoz, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.023509) Rev. D 70[, 023509 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.023509).
- [45] L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S021827180500616X) 14, [587 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S021827180500616X).
- [46] L. P. Chimento and Diego Pavón, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.063511) 73, 063511 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.063511).
- [47] L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/9/027) 23[, 3195 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/9/027)
- [48] L. P. Chimento and W. Zimdahl, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271808013820) 17, [2229 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271808013820).
- [49] L.P. Chimento, F.P. Devecchi, M.I. Forte, and G. M. Kremer, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/8/085007) 25, 085007 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/8/085007).
- [50] L.P. Chimento, M.I. Forte, R. Lazkoz, and M.G. Richarte, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 043502 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.043502).
- [51] R. Lazkoz, Phys. Rev. D **70**[, 064033 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.064033)
- [52] M. Bouhmadi-López and L. P. Chimento, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.103506) 82, [103506 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.103506)
- [53] J. M. Aguirregabiria, L. P. Chimento, A. S. Jakubi, and R. Lazkoz, Phys. Rev. D 67[, 083518 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.083518).
- [54] M. Cataldo and L. P. Chimento, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271808013790) 17, [1981 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271808013790).
- [55] A. R. Liddle, A. Mazumdar, and F. E. Schunck, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.061301) D 58[, 061301 \(1998\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.061301) E. J. Copeland, A. Mazumdar, and N. J. Nunes, Phys. Rev. D 60[, 083506 \(1999\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.083506) P. Kanti and K. A. Olive, Phys. Rev. D 60[, 043502 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.043502); [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00982-X) 464[, 192 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00982-X); N. Kaloper and A. R. Liddle, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.123513) D 61[, 123513 \(2000\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.123513) A. Mazumdar, S. Panda, and A. Pérez-Lorentzana, Nucl. Phys. **B614**[, 101 \(2001\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00410-2) Y.S. Piao, W. B. Lin, X. M. Zhang, and Y. Z. Zhang, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01226-1) Lett. B 528[, 188 \(2002\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01226-1) Y. S. Piao et al., [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.121301) 66[, 121301 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.121301); R. Brandenberger, P. M. Ho, and H. C. Kao, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 11 \(2004\) 011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/11/011); A. Jokinen and A. Mazumdar, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.07.010) 597, 222 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.07.010); K. Becker, M. Becker, and A. Krause, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.03.011) Phys. B715[, 349 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.03.011); J. D. Barrow and N. J. Nunes, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 043501 \(2007\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.043501) K. L. Panigrahi and H. Singh, [J. High Energy Phys. 11 \(2007\) 017;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/017) S. Dimopoulos, S. Kachru, J. McGreevy, and J. Wacker, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/08/003) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 \(2008\) 003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/08/003)
- [56] H. Stephani and M.A.H. MacCallum, Differential Equations: Their Solution Using Symmetries (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990), p. 273.
- [57] L. P. Chimento, [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.531996) 38, 2565 (1997).
- [58] H. V. Peiris, D. Baumann, B. Friedman, and A. Cooray, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 103517 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.103517)
- [59] J. Garriga and V.F. Mukhanov, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00602-4) 458, 219 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00602-4).
- [60] E. Komatsu et al., [Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/192/2/18) 192, 18 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/192/2/18).
- [61] D. Baumann et al., in AIP Conf. Proc. 1141, 10 (2009).
- [62] J. A. Rubino-Martin et al., Proceedings of the 8th Scientific Meeting of the Spanish Astronomical Society (SEA), Santander, Spain, July 7–11 2008, edited by J. M. Diego, L. J. Goicoechea, J. I. Gonzalez-Serrano, and J. Gorgas (Springer, Heidelberg, 2010), 1st ed., Vol. LXX, p. 491.
- [63] X. Chen, M. Huang, and G. Shiu, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.121301) 74, 121301 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.121301); K. Fang, B. Chen, and W. Xue, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.063523) 77, [063523 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.063523); S. Mizuno, F. Arroja, K. Koyama, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 023530 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.023530); D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer, and T. Tanaka, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.061301) 101[, 061301 \(2008\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.061301) D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 063523 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.063523); E.

Sefusatti, M. Liguori, A. P. S. Yadav, M. G. Jackson, and E. Pajer, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 12 \(2009\) 022;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/12/022) S. Mizuno and K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. D 82[, 103518 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.103518); S. Renaux-Petel, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/10/012) [012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/10/012); F. Arroja, S. Mizuno, K. Koyama, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 043527 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.043527) X. Gao and B. Hu, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/012) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 \(2009\) 012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/012); Y. F. Cai and W. Xue, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.09.043) 680, 395 (2009).

- [64] I. Huston and J. E. Lidsey, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/05/016) [\(2008\) 016;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/05/016) J. E. Lidsey and I. Huston, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/07/002) [Astropart. Phys. 07 \(2007\) 002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/07/002)
- [65] J. Martin and M. Yamaguchi, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.123508) 77, 123508 [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.123508).