# Kaluza-Klein models: Can we construct a viable example?

Maxim Eingorn<sup>1[,\\*](#page-0-0)</sup> and Alexander Zhuk<sup>1,2,[†](#page-0-1)</sup>

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>1</sup>Astronomical Observatory and Department of Theoretical Physics, Odessa National University,

Street Dvoryanskaya 2, Odessa 65082, Ukraine<br><sup>2</sup>Faculdade de Física, Universidade Federal do Pará, 66075-110, Belém, PA, Brazil

(Received 28 October 2010; published 1 February 2011)

In Kaluza-Klein models with toroidal compactification of the extra dimensions, we investigate soliton solutions of Einstein equation. The nonrelativistic gravitational potential of these solitons exactly coincides with the Newtonian one. We obtain the formulas for perihelion shift, deflection of light, time delay of radar echoes and post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters. Using the constraint on PPN parameter  $\gamma$ , we find that the solitonic parameter k should be very big:  $|k| \ge 2.3 \times 10^4$ . We define a soliton solution<br>which corresponds to a pointlike mass source. In this case the soliton parameter  $k = 2$ , which is clearly which corresponds to a pointlike mass source. In this case the soliton parameter  $k = 2$ , which is clearly contrary to this restriction. A similar problem with the observations takes place for static spherically symmetric perfect fluid with the dustlike equation of state in all dimensions. The common for both of these models is the same (dustlike) equations of state in our three dimensions and in the extra dimensions. All dimensions are treated at equal footing. This is the crucial point. To be in agreement with observations, it is necessary to break the symmetry (in terms of equations of state) between the external/our and internal spaces. It takes place for black strings which are particular examples of solitons with  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For such k, black strings are in concordance with the observations. Moreover, we show that they are the only solitons which are at the same level of agreement with the observations as in general relativity. Black strings can be treated as perfect fluid with dustlike equation of state  $p_0 = 0$  in the external/our space and very specific equation of state  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  in the internal space. The latter equation is due to negative tension in the extra dimension. We also demonstrate that dimension 3 for the external space is a special one. Only in this case we get the latter equation of state. We show that the black string equations of state satisfy the necessary condition of the internal space stabilization. Therefore, black strings are good candidates for a viable model of astrophysical objects (e.g., Sun) if we can provide a satisfactory explanation of negative tension for particles constituting these objects.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Any physical theory is only worthy of serious attention when it is consistent with observations. It is well known that general relativity in four-dimensional spacetime is in good agreement with gravitational experiments such as perihelion shift, deflection of light, time delay of radar echoes and parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters. On the other hand, the modern theories of unification such as superstrings, supergravity and M theory have the most self-consistent formulation in spacetime with extra dimensions [\[1](#page-10-0)]. Different aspects of the idea of the multidimensionality are intensively used in numerous modern articles. Therefore, it is important to verify these theories as to their conformity with the experimental data. It was the main aim of our previous paper [\[2\]](#page-10-1). We considered a Kaluza-Klein model with toroidal compactification of the extra dimensions. A matter source was taken in the form of a pointlike mass. This approach works very well in general relativity for calculation in a weak field limit of the formulas for the gravitational experiments [[3\]](#page-10-2). We expected

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.83.044005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.044005) PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.50.Cd, 04.80.Cc, 11.25.Mj

that such approach will be also applicable to our multidimensional model. To verify it, we obtained the metric coefficients in a weak field approximation and applied them to calculate the formulas for gravitational experiments. We found that expressions for perihelion shift, light deflection, time delay and PPN parameters demonstrate good agreement with the experimental data only in the case of ordinary three-dimensional space. This result does not depend on the size of the extra dimensions. Therefore, the pointlike gravitational sources are in concordance with experiments only in threedimensional space. This result was a surprise for us and motivated us to write the present article to clarify the reason.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we consider the family of exact 5-D soliton solutions. To define the connection with our previous paper, among these solutions we single out one with asymptotic metric coefficients exactly coinciding with ones obtained in [[2\]](#page-10-1) for a pointlike mass. Hence, this soliton contradicts the experiments. We show that  $T_{00}$  is the only nonzero component of the energymomentum tensor in this case. From this point this soliton can be treated as perfect fluid with the dustlike equation of state in all dimensions.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>[<sup>\\*</sup>m](#page-0-2)axim.eingorn@gmail.com

<span id="page-0-1"></span>[<sup>†</sup>](#page-0-2) ai\_zhuk2@rambler.ru

To demonstrate that the delta-shaped form is not a cause for contradictions with experiments, we consider in Sec. [III](#page-3-0) the finite size static spherically symmetric perfect fluid with dustlike equation of state in all dimensions. Here, we arrived at exactly the same form of the asymptotic metric coefficients as in the case of pointlike mass source. Therefore, this model also contradicts the observations. Thus, both the pointlike mass model and the perfect fluid with dustlike equation of state in all directions failed with experiments. The common for both of these models is the same equations of state in our three dimensions and in the extra dimensions. So, all dimensions are treated at equal footing. This is the crucial point. To be in agreement with observations, it is necessary to break the symmetry between the external/our and internal spaces.

To prove it, we investigate in Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) the conditions under which the solitonic solutions do not contradict the observations. We obtain the formulas for perihelion shift, deflection of light, time delay of radar echoes and PPN parameters. Using the constraint on PPN parameter  $\gamma$ which comes from the Cassini spacecraft experiment, we found that the solitonic parameter  $k$  should be very big:  $|k| \ge 2.3 \times 10^4$ . Roughly speaking,  $|k| \to \infty$ . In the case<br>of pointlike mass soliton solution  $k = 2$ , which is clearly of pointlike mass soliton solution  $k = 2$ , which is clearly contrary to this restriction.

In Sec. [V,](#page-5-0) we consider black strings which are a particular case of the soliton solutions and satisfy the condition  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ . The four-dimensional part of this metric exactly coincides with Schwarzschild metrics and the internal space is flat. Obviously, the results of gravitational experiments in this model exactly coincide with general relativity. Here,  $T_{00}$  and  $T_{44}$  are the only nonzero components of the energy-momentum tensor.  $T_{44}$  is negative and is called tension. Moreover,  $T^0_{\ 0} = 2T^4_{\ 4}$ . It can be treated as dust-<br>like equation of state  $n_s = 0$  in the external space and very like equation of state  $p_0 = 0$  in the external space and very specific equation of state  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  in the internal space. Additionally, we consider in this section the static spherically symmetric perfect fluid with dustlike equation of state  $p_0 = 0$  in  $d_0$ -dimensional external space and an arbitrary equation of state  $p_1 = \omega \varepsilon$  in  $d_1$ -dimensional internal space. We demonstrate that the demand of an exact correspondence between this model and general relativity automatically leads to equation of state  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  for  $d_0 = 3$ . The dimension 3 for the external space is a special one. Only in this case parameter  $\omega$  does not depend on  $d_1$  and equals  $-1/2$ . Therefore, in the case of threedimensional external space, the black string equations of state  $p_0 = 0$  and  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  are the only ones which ensure the same level of agreement with the observations as in general relativity.

The main results are summarized and discussed in the concluding Sec. [VI](#page-7-0). Here, we reveal one very important property of black strings. We show that the black string equations of state satisfy the necessary condition of the internal space stabilization. Therefore, black strings are good candidates for a viable model of astrophysical objects (e.g., Sun) if we can provide a satisfactory explanation of negative tension for particles constituting these objects.

### II. SOLITON METRICS

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The pointlike matter source is the reasonable approximation in three-dimensional space in the case when the distance to a gravitating mass is much greater than its radius. This approximation was used, e.g., in [\[3\]](#page-10-2) to get formulas for perihelion shift and deflection of light in general relativity. At first glance, this approach should also work well in the case of a multidimensional space. To check this assumption, in our paper [\[2\]](#page-10-1) we obtained asymptotic expression for the metric coefficients in multidimensional spacetime with the pointlike mass m at rest:

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} \approx \left(1 - \frac{r_{g}}{r_{3}} + \frac{r_{g}^{2}}{2r_{3}^{2}}\right)c^{2}dt^{2}
$$
  
 
$$
- \left(1 + \frac{1}{D-2} \frac{r_{g}}{r_{3}}\right) (dr_{3}^{2} + r_{3}^{2} d\theta^{2} + r_{3}^{2} \sin^{2} \theta d\psi^{2})
$$
  
 
$$
- \left(1 + \frac{1}{D-2} \frac{r_{g}}{r_{3}}\right) ((dx^{4})^{2} + (dx^{5})^{2} + ... + (dx^{D})^{2}),
$$
  
(1)

where  $r_3$  is the length of a radius vector in threedimensional space,  $r_g = 2G_Nm/c^2$ ,  $G_N$  is the Newtonian gravitational constant and we used three-dimensional isotropic coordinates. We suppose that the  $(D =$  $3 + d$ -dimensional space has the factorizable geometry of a product manifold  $M_D = \mathbb{R}^3 \times T^d$ .  $\mathbb{R}^3$  describes the three-dimensional asymptotically flat external (our) space three-dimensional asymptotically flat external (our) space and  $T<sup>d</sup>$  is a torus which corresponds to a d-dimensional internal space with volume  $V<sub>d</sub>$ . Then, we demonstrated that this metric does not provide the correct values of the classical gravitational tests (perihelion shift, light deflection, PPN parameters) for  $D > 3$ . Mathematically, this discrepancy arises because of the prefactor  $1/(D-2)$  in metric coefficients ([1](#page-1-1)) instead of the prefactor 1 as in general relativity.

On the other hand, there is a number of well-known exact vacuum solutions for the Kaluza-Klein models. Therefore, it is of interest to determine the relationship between these exact solutions and our asymptotic metric coefficients and try to understand why the delta-shaped matter source approach does not work in multidimensional space. In this regard, we will investigate 5-D static metrics in isotropic (with respect to our three-dimensional space) coordinates:

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = A(r_{3})c^{2}dt^{2} + B(r_{3})(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}) + C(r_{3})d\xi^{2},
$$
\n(2)

where  $r_3 = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ . This spacetime has two Killing<br>vectors  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\epsilon}}$ . It is clear that the appropriate vectors  $\partial/\partial t$  and  $\partial/\partial \xi$ . It is clear that the appropriate energy-momentum tensor also should not depend on time t and fifth coordinate  $\xi$ . We suppose that metric ([2](#page-1-2)) is a solution of the vacuum Einstein equation

$$
R_{ik} = 0 \tag{3}
$$

with the proper boundary conditions. It is worth noting that the dependence of the metric coefficients in [\(2](#page-1-2)) only on  $r<sub>3</sub>$ means that the matter source for such metrics is uniformly ''smeared'' over the fifth dimension [[4](#page-10-3)[,5\]](#page-10-4). It is clear that in this case the nonrelativistic gravitational potential depends only on  $r_3$  and exactly coincides with the Newtonian one.

To our knowledge, the first solution of the form of ([2\)](#page-1-2) in nonisotropic ''Schwarzschild-like'' coordinates was found in [[6\]](#page-10-5) and reads

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{b}{r'_{3}}\right)^{a'} c^{2} dt^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{b}{r'_{3}}\right)^{-a'-b'} dr_{3}^{2}
$$

$$
- \left(1 - \frac{b}{r'_{3}}\right)^{1-a'-b'} r_{3}^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{b}{r'_{3}}\right)^{b'} d\xi^{2}, \qquad (4)
$$

where  $a'$  and  $b'$  are constants satisfying the condition

$$
a^{2} + a'b' + b^{2} = 1
$$
 (5)

and the parameter  $b$  is usually connected with the gravitating mass:  $a'b = 2G_Nm/c^2 = r_g$ . Then, in the isotropic<br>coordinates this solution was obtained in [7,8] and dubbed coordinates this solution was obtained in [\[7](#page-10-6)[,8](#page-10-7)] and dubbed in the literature the soliton solution. Its generalization for  $D \ge 5$  was performed in [\[9–](#page-10-8)[11](#page-10-9)]. In our paper we choose the metrics in the parametrization proposed in [[8](#page-10-7)]:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = \left(\frac{ar_{3} - 1}{ar_{3} + 1}\right)^{2\epsilon k} c^{2} dt^{2}
$$
  
 
$$
- \left(1 - \frac{1}{a^{2}r_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{ar_{3} + 1}{ar_{3} - 1}\right)^{2\epsilon(k-1)} (dr_{3}^{2} + r_{3}^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2})
$$
  
 
$$
- \left(\frac{ar_{3} + 1}{ar_{3} - 1}\right)^{2\epsilon} d\xi^{2}, \tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-2-6"></span>where  $a$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $k$  are constants and parameters  $\varepsilon$  and  $k$ satisfy the condition

$$
\varepsilon^2(k^2 - k + 1) = 1. \tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>The Schwarzschild-like solution ([4\)](#page-2-0) and the soliton solution ([6](#page-2-1)) are connected by the relations

$$
r_3' = r_3 \left( 1 + \frac{b}{4r_3} \right)^2 \tag{8}
$$

and

$$
a' = \varepsilon k, \qquad b' = -\varepsilon, \qquad a = \frac{4}{b}.
$$
 (9)

It follows from ([8](#page-2-2)) that  $r_3' = r_3 + O(1/c^2)$  if  $b = 4/a =$  $r_g/a'$ .

In the approximation  $f \equiv 1/(ar_3) \ll 1$  and up to  $O(f)$ we obtain for the metric coefficients of ([6](#page-2-1)) the following formulas:

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
B(r_3) = -(1 - f^2)^2 \left(\frac{1 + f}{1 - f}\right)^{2\varepsilon(k - 1)} \approx -1 - 4\varepsilon(k - 1)f
$$

$$
= -1 - \frac{4\varepsilon(k - 1)}{ar_3}
$$
(10)

<span id="page-2-4"></span>and

$$
C(r_3) = -\left(\frac{1+f}{1-f}\right)^{2\varepsilon} \approx -1 - 4\varepsilon f = -1 - \frac{4\varepsilon}{a r_3}.
$$
 (11)

<span id="page-2-5"></span>Now, we want to compare these expressions with asymp-totic metric coefficients from ([1](#page-1-1)) (where  $D = 4$ ):

$$
B(r_3) \approx -1 - \frac{r_g}{2r_3}, \qquad C(r_3) \approx -1 - \frac{r_g}{2r_3}.
$$
 (12)

<span id="page-2-7"></span>Comparing expressions [\(10\)](#page-2-3) and ([11](#page-2-4)) with our asymptotic metric coefficients ([12](#page-2-5)), we get

$$
k = 2,
$$
  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$   $a = \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}r_g},$  (13)

<span id="page-2-9"></span>where we take into account the relation ([7\)](#page-2-6). Finally, for  $A(r_3)$  from [\(6](#page-2-1)) up to  $O(f^2)$  we get

$$
A(r_3) = \left(\frac{1-f}{1+f}\right)^{2\epsilon k} \approx 1 - 4\epsilon k f + 8\epsilon^2 k^2 f^2
$$

$$
= 1 - \frac{4\epsilon k}{ar} + \frac{8\epsilon^2 k^2}{a^2 r^2} = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} + \frac{r_g^2}{2r^2} \tag{14}
$$

<span id="page-2-8"></span>in complete analogy with asymptotic metric coefficient  $A(r<sub>3</sub>)$  in ([1\)](#page-1-1). Therefore, for the parameters ([13](#page-2-7)) the soliton metric [\(6\)](#page-2-1) reads

$$
ds^{2} = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{3}r_{g}/8r_{3}}{1 + \sqrt{3}r_{g}/8r_{3}}\right)^{4/\sqrt{3}} c^{2} dt^{2}
$$
  
 
$$
- (1 - 3r_{g}^{2}/64r_{3}^{2})^{2} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{3}r_{g}/8r_{3}}{1 - \sqrt{3}r_{g}/8r_{3}}\right)^{2/\sqrt{3}} (dr_{3}^{2} + r_{3}^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2})
$$
  
 
$$
- \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{3}r_{g}/8r_{3}}{1 - \sqrt{3}r_{g}/8r_{3}}\right)^{2/\sqrt{3}} d\xi^{2}.
$$
 (15)

Our analysis shows that this form of metric provides the correct asymptotic behavior in the case of delta-shaped matter source. The metric ([15](#page-2-8)) is the exact solution of the Einstein equation for the gravitating mass at rest ( $v \equiv 0$ ) uniformly ''smeared'' over the extra dimension. The only nonzero component of the energy-momentum tensor is  $T_{00}$ . We can prove it by the following way. It is clear from the previous consideration that the metric coefficients in [\(15\)](#page-2-8) up to the terms  $1/c^2$  read

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
g_{00} \approx 1 - \frac{r_g}{r_3} = 1 + h_{00},
$$
  
\n
$$
g_{\alpha\alpha} \approx -1 - \frac{r_g}{2r_3} = -1 + h_{\alpha\alpha} \implies h_{00} = -\frac{r_g}{r_3}, \quad (16)
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{\alpha\alpha} = -\frac{r_g}{2r_3}, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>With the same accuracy the components of the Ricci tensor are

$$
R_{00} \approx \frac{1}{2} \triangle h_{00} = -\frac{1}{2} \triangle \frac{r_g}{r_3} = -\frac{G_N m}{c^2} \triangle \frac{1}{r_3}
$$
  
=  $\frac{4\pi G_N m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3)}{c^2} = k_N \frac{1}{2} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2,$  (17)  
 $R_{\alpha\alpha} \approx k_N \frac{1}{4} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2,$   $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4,$ 

where  $k_N = 8\pi G_N/c^4$  and  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^{\alpha\beta}\partial^2}{\partial x^{\alpha}\partial x^{\beta}}$  is the D-dimensional Laplace operator (see for details [\[2](#page-10-1)]). [\(17\)](#page-3-1) indicates that all spatial components of the Ricci tensor are equal to each other. From Einstein equations it easily follows that this equality may take place only if  $T_{11} = T_{22} = T_{33} = T_{44}$ . Taking into account that the matter source is at rest:  $T_{11} = T_{22} = T_{33} = 0 \Rightarrow T_{44} = 0$ , we may conclude that the only nonzero component of the energy-momentum tensor is  $T_{00}$ . Hence, for the Einstein equations we obtain

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
R_{00} = k_{\mathcal{D}} \left( T_{00} - \frac{1}{3} T g_{00} \right) = k_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{3} T_{00},
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{\alpha\alpha} = k_{\mathcal{D}} \left( T_{\alpha\alpha} - \frac{1}{3} T g_{\alpha\alpha} \right) \approx k_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{3} T_{00},
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4,
$$
\n(18)

where  $k_{\mathcal{D}} = 2S_D \tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}/c^4$  and  $S_D = 2\pi^{D/2}/\Gamma(D/2)$  is the total solid angle (surface area of the  $(D - 1)$ -dimensional total solid angle (surface area of the  $(D - 1)$ -dimensional sphere of a unit radius),  $\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the gravitational constant in the  $(D = D + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>Therefore, from ([17](#page-3-1)) and [\(18\)](#page-3-2) up to the terms  $c<sup>2</sup>$  we get

$$
T_{00} \approx \frac{k_N}{k_D} \frac{3}{4} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2 = \frac{1}{a_1} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2, \quad (19)
$$

<span id="page-3-5"></span>where  $a_1$  is the size of the extra dimension (the period of the torus) and we take into account the relation between the gravitational constants [\[5\]](#page-10-4):

$$
\frac{2(D-2)}{(D-1)}S_D\tilde{G}_D/\prod_{\alpha=1}^d a_{\alpha} = 4\pi G_N.
$$
 (20)

We can write ([19](#page-3-3)) in the form  $T_{00} \approx \varepsilon \approx \rho c^2$  where  $\rho \equiv (m/a_1)\delta(\mathbf{r}_3)$  is the rest mass density in the case of a point mass smeared over the extra dimension. Thus, for the considered model the energy-momentum tensor reads  $T_k^i$  = diag( $\varepsilon$ , 0, 0, 0, 0) and corresponds to the delta-shaped<br>matter source. Unfortunately, despite this clear physical matter source. Unfortunately, despite this clear physical interpretation, solution ([15](#page-2-8)) contradicts the observations.

As we have mentioned above, the relation  $h_{\alpha\alpha} = (1/2)h_{00}$ (see ([16](#page-3-4))) results in formulas for the classical gravitational tests which predict effects considerably different from the observations [\[2\]](#page-10-1). It seems that the reason for this is the delta-shaped form of the source. However, it is not. To show it, in the next section we consider a finite size spherically symmetric perfect fluid.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>III. STATIC SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC PERFECT FLUID WITH DUSTLIKE EQUATION OF STATE IN ALL DIMENSIONS

Let us consider now perfect fluid which fills uniformly a three-dimensional sphere of the radius R and onedimensional internal torus with the period  $a_1$ . If m is a nonrelativistic rest mass of this configuration, then rest mass density reads

$$
\rho = \frac{m}{a_1 V_3} \equiv \frac{\rho_3}{a_1}
$$
, where  $\rho_3 = \frac{m}{(4/3)\pi R^3}$ . (21)

The energy-momentum tensor is taken in the form

$$
T^{i}_{ k} = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(\varepsilon, -p_{0}, -p_{0}, -p_{0}, -p_{1}); & r_{3} \leq R, \ \forall \xi \\ 0; & r_{3} > R, \ \forall \xi \end{cases}
$$
 (22)

where  $\xi \in [0, a_1]$  is a coordinate of the extra dimension. We shall consider the case when the energy density is much bigger than the pressure in all dimensions:

$$
\varepsilon \gg p_0, p_1. \tag{23}
$$

This is the usual condition for astrophysical objects in three-dimensional space.

Now, we want to calculate the metric coefficients  $g_{ik}$   $(i, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$  in the weak field limit. Prior to that, we would like to make two comments. First, it is well known that for static configurations the nondiagonal metric coefficients are absent:  $g_{0\alpha} = 0$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). Second, because of spherical symmetry in threedimensional space and uniform distribution of matter over the internal space, the metric coefficients depend only on  $r_3$ :  $g_{ik} = g_{ik}(r_3)$ . In the weak field limit the metric coefficients take the form

<span id="page-3-6"></span>
$$
g_{00} \approx 1 + h_{00}, \quad g_{\alpha\alpha} \approx -1 + h_{\alpha\alpha}, \quad h_{00}, \quad h_{\alpha\alpha} \sim O(1/c^2).
$$
 (24)

In particular,  $h_{00} \equiv 2\varphi/c^2$ . Later we will demonstrate that  $\varphi$  is the nonrelativistic gravitational potential. It can be easily shown (see, e.g., [[2](#page-10-1)]) that Ricci tensors up to the order  $1/c^2$  read

$$
R_{00} \approx \frac{1}{2} \triangle h_{00}, \qquad R_{\alpha\alpha} \approx \frac{1}{2} \triangle h_{\alpha\alpha}, \qquad (25)
$$

where D-dimensional Laplace operator  $\triangle$  is reduced to the usual three-dimensional one. The conditions  $T_{00} \gg T_{0\alpha}$ ,  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  result in Einstein equations of the form of [\(18\)](#page-3-2) (the only difference consists in replacement of the exact equality in the first equation by the approximate one). Therefore, we arrive at the following relation between the metric coefficients:

$$
h_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}h_{00} = \frac{\varphi}{c^2}.
$$
 (26)

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>We can easily see that the Einstein equations in this approximation are reduced to the Poisson equation for the nonrelativistic gravitational potential  $\varphi$ :

$$
\Delta \varphi^{(in)} = 4\pi G_N \rho_3, \qquad \Delta \varphi^{(out)} = 0, \qquad (27)
$$

where we took into account that in the inner region  $(r_3 \le R) T_{00}^{(\text{in})} \approx \varepsilon \approx \rho c^2 = \rho_3 c^2/a_1$  and in the outer re-<br>
category  $(r_3 \ge R) T_{00}^{(\text{out})} = 0$ . The latter equation in (27) has the gion  $(r_3 > R) T_{00}^{(\text{out})} = 0$ . The latter equation in ([27](#page-4-1)) has the solution solution

$$
\varphi^{(\text{out})} = -\frac{G_N m}{r_3},\tag{28}
$$

where we used the physical boundary condition  $\varphi^{(out)} \to 0$ for  $r_3 \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore, relation [\(26\)](#page-4-2) leads to the same expressions for  $h_{00}^{(\text{out})}$  and  $h_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\text{out})}$  as in [\(16](#page-3-4)). It means that a considered perfect fluid model contradicts the observations.

Thus, both a pointlike mass model and a perfect fluid with dustlike equation of state in all directions failed with experiments. At first glance, it is a very strange result which works against KK models because such matter sources have clear physical motivation. However, as we shall see below, such approach is naive. What both of these models have in common are the same equations of state in our three dimensions and in the extra dimensions. In considered models, it is a dustlike equation of state. So, all dimensions are treated at equal footing. This is the crucial point. As we shall see, to satisfy the observations we should break this symmetry (in terms of equations of state) between our and extra dimensions.

## IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESTRICTIONS ON SOLITONS

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Now, we want to demonstrate that experimental restrictions on parameters of soliton solutions [\(4\)](#page-2-0) or ([6\)](#page-2-1) a result in breaking of symmetry between our and extra dimensions. To show this, we consider parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters for considered metrics.

It is well known (see, e.g., [\[12](#page-10-10)[,13\]](#page-10-11)) that in PPN formalism the static spherically symmetric metrics in isotropic coordinates read

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{r_{g}}{r_{3}} + \beta \frac{r_{g}^{2}}{2r_{3}^{2}}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} - \left(1 + \gamma \frac{r_{g}}{r_{3}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{3} (dx^{i})^{2}.
$$
\n(29)

In general relativity  $\beta = \gamma = 1$ . To get  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in the case of soliton solution ([6](#page-2-1)), it is sufficient to analyze the asymptotic expressions ([10](#page-2-3)) and [\(14\)](#page-2-9) for the metric coefficients  $B(r_3)$  and  $A(r_3)$ , respectively. As we already mentioned above, in the case of smeared extra dimension the nonrelativistic gravitational potential should exactly coincide with the Newtonian one. Because the function  $A(r_3)$  is the metric coefficient  $g_{00}$ , this demand leads to the following condition:

$$
\frac{4\epsilon k}{a} = r_g = \frac{2G_N m}{c^2}.\tag{30}
$$

<span id="page-4-5"></span><span id="page-4-3"></span>Then, ([14](#page-2-9)) reads

$$
A(r_3) = \left(\frac{1-f}{1+f}\right)^{2\epsilon k} \approx 1 - \frac{r_g}{r_3} + \frac{r_g^2}{2r_3^2}.
$$
 (31)

Simple comparison of ([31](#page-4-3)) with the metric coefficient  $g_{00}$ in ([29](#page-4-4)) shows that soliton PPN parameter

$$
\beta_s = 1,\tag{32}
$$

as in general relativity. To obtain soliton PPN parameter  $\gamma_s$ , we need to analyze the asymptotic expression ([10](#page-2-3)) for  $B(r_3)$ . Substitution of the relation [\(30\)](#page-4-5) into ([10](#page-2-3)) gives

<span id="page-4-6"></span>
$$
B(r_3) = -(1 - f^2)^2 \left(\frac{1 + f}{1 - f}\right)^{2\varepsilon(k - 1)} \approx -\left(1 + \frac{k - 1}{k} \frac{r_g}{r_3}\right)
$$
\n(33)

<span id="page-4-9"></span>and comparison of Eqs. ([33](#page-4-6)) and [\(29\)](#page-4-4) gives

$$
\gamma_s = \frac{k-1}{k}.\tag{34}
$$

Now, with the help of these PPN parameters, we can easily get formulas for famous gravitational experiments [\[2,](#page-10-1)[12](#page-10-10)[,14\]](#page-10-12):

<span id="page-4-7"></span>perihelion shift

$$
\delta \psi = \frac{6\pi m G_N}{a(1 - e^2)c^2} \frac{1}{3} (2 + 2\gamma_s - \beta_s)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{6\pi m G_N}{a(1 - e^2)c^2} \frac{3k - 2}{3k} = \frac{(3k - 2)\pi r_g}{ka(1 - e^2)},
$$
(35)

deflection of light

$$
\delta \psi = (1 + \gamma_s) \frac{r_g}{\rho} = \frac{2k - 1}{k} \frac{r_g}{\rho},\tag{36}
$$

<span id="page-4-8"></span>time delay of radar echoes (the Shapiro time delay effect)

$$
\delta t = (1 + \gamma_s) \frac{r_g}{c} \ln \left( \frac{4r_{\text{Earth}}r_{\text{planet}}}{R_{\text{Sun}}^2} \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{2k - 1}{k} \frac{r_g}{c} \ln \left( \frac{4r_{\text{Earth}}r_{\text{planet}}}{R_{\text{Sun}}^2} \right).
$$
(37)

In  $(35)$ , the semimajor axis a of the orbit of a planet should not be confused with parameter a of the solution ([6\)](#page-2-1).

Comparison of the formulas [\(35\)](#page-4-7)–([37](#page-4-8)) with experimental data gives the possibility to restrict parameters of soliton solutions. However, we can get it directly from experimental restriction on PPN parameter  $\gamma$ . The tightest constraint on  $\gamma$  comes from the Shapiro time delay experiment using the Cassini spacecraft:  $\gamma - 1 = (2.1 \pm 1)$ 2.3)  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup> [\[14–](#page-10-12)[16](#page-10-13)]. Thus, from [\(34\)](#page-4-9) we find that solitonic<br>parameter k should satisfy the condition  $|k| \ge 2.3 \times 10^4$ parameter k should satisfy the condition  $|k| \ge 2.3 \times 10^4$ .<br>Obviously the pointlike mass soliton (15) with  $k = 2$ .

Obviously, the pointlike mass soliton [\(15\)](#page-2-8) with  $k = 2$ does not satisfy this restriction. We have shown that  $T_{00}$  is the only nonzero component of the energy-momentum tensor for this solution. It means that we have the same dustlike equation of state in all  $D = 4$  dimensions. It is not difficult to show that all other solitons with parameters different from ([13](#page-2-7)) besides nonzero  $T_{00}$  will also have some other nonzero components of the energy-momentum tensor which destroy the symmetry between our and extra dimensions. We shall demonstrate it for one particular case of solitons called black strings. It is a unique case because only this solitonic solution does not prevent the stabilization of the internal space (see the following discussion).

#### V. BLACK STRINGS

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Now, we consider a particular example which satisfies the condition  $|k| \ge 2.3 \times 10^4$ . It corresponds in ([6](#page-2-1)) to the limit the limit

$$
\varepsilon \to 0
$$
,  $k \to +\infty$ ,  $\varepsilon k \to 1$ , (38)

or to the limit  $a' \rightarrow 1$ ,  $b' \rightarrow 0$  in the Schwarzschild-like metrics [\(4\)](#page-2-0). In this limit the metrics ([6](#page-2-1)) read

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = \left(\frac{ar_{3} - 1}{ar_{3} + 1}\right)^{2} c^{2} dt^{2} - \left(\frac{ar_{3} + 1}{ar_{3}}\right)^{4} (dr_{3}^{2} + r_{3}^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2}) - d\xi^{2}.
$$
\n(39)

It can be easily seen that the four-dimensional part of these metrics (which corresponds to the section  $\xi =$ const) is the pure Schwarzschild metrics (for  $a = 4/r<sub>g</sub>$ ) in isotropic coordinates. Metrics of the form [\(39\)](#page-5-1) are often called the uniform black string. From these metrics up to the terms  $1/c^2$  we get

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
g_{00} \approx 1 - \frac{r_g}{r_3} = 1 + h_{00},
$$
  
\n
$$
g_{\alpha\alpha} \approx -1 - \frac{r_g}{r_3} = -1 + h_{\alpha\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3,
$$
  
\n
$$
g_{44} = -1 = -1 + h_{44} \Rightarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{00} = h_{11} = h_{22} = h_{33} = -\frac{r_g}{r_3}, \quad h_{44} = 0.
$$
\n(40)

<span id="page-5-2"></span>With the same accuracy the components of the Ricci tensor are

$$
R_{\alpha\alpha} \approx \frac{1}{2} \triangle h_{\alpha\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \triangle \frac{r_g}{r_3} = -\frac{G_N m}{c^2} \triangle \frac{1}{r_3}
$$
  
=  $\frac{4\pi G_N m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3)}{c^2} = k_N \frac{1}{2} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2,$  (41)  
 $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3, \qquad R_{44} = 0.$ 

For a gravitating mass at rest and based on the form of ([41\)](#page-5-2), we arrive at the conclusion that  $T_{00}$  and  $T_{44}$  are the only <span id="page-5-3"></span>nonzero components of the energy-momentum tensor. Thus, the Einstein equations take the form

$$
R_{00} = k_{\mathcal{D}} \left( T_{00} - \frac{1}{3} T g_{00} \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{33} = k_{\mathcal{D}} \left( -\frac{1}{3} T g_{11} \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{44} = k_{\mathcal{D}} \left( T_{44} - \frac{1}{3} T g_{44} \right).
$$
\n(42)

<span id="page-5-4"></span>Therefore, from ([41](#page-5-2)) and [\(42\)](#page-5-3) up to the terms  $c<sup>2</sup>$  we get

$$
T_{00} \approx \frac{k_N}{k_D} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2 = \frac{4}{3} \frac{m}{a_1} \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{44} \approx -\frac{1}{2} \frac{k_N}{k_D} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2 = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{m}{a_1} \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
T = T_{00} g^{00} + T_{44} g^{44} \approx \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_N}{k_D} m \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2 = 2 \frac{m}{a_1} \delta(\mathbf{r}_3) c^2.
$$
\n(43)

Nonzero component  $T_{44}$  results in nonvanishing tension of the black strings (see, e.g., [\[17,](#page-10-14)[18\]](#page-10-15)). ([43\)](#page-5-4) shows that the value  $T_{00}$  is 2 times bigger than the absolute value of  $T_{44}$ . A similar relation exists for the ADM mass and the tension (see e.g. (B.11) and (B.12) in [\[17\]](#page-10-14)). The numerical prefactors 4/3 and  $-2/3$  in front of  $T_{00}$  and  $T_{44}$  follow from the normalization [\(20\)](#page-3-5). We can choose a different relation between  $\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $G_N$  which will lead to other numerical prefactors. However, the relation  $T_{00} \approx -2T_{44}$ will remain the same. If we introduce the energy density  $\varepsilon = T^0_{\quad0} \approx T_{00}$ , pressure in our external three-dimensional<br>space  $p_0 = -T^{\alpha} \approx T$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ ) and pressure in the space  $p_0 = -T^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha} \approx T_{\alpha\alpha} (\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$  and pressure in the internal space  $p_0 = -T^4$ ,  $\approx T_{\alpha\alpha}$  then the black string internal space  $p_1 = -T^4 \approx T_{44}$ , then the black string<br>energy-momentum tensor can be written in the form energy-momentum tensor can be written in the form

$$
T^{i}_{k} = \text{diag}(\varepsilon, -p_0, -p_0, -p_0, -p_1) \tag{44}
$$

<span id="page-5-6"></span>with equations of state

$$
p_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon. \tag{45}
$$

Therefore, for black strings there is no symmetry between our and extra dimensions. Additionally, [\(40\)](#page-5-5) show that the relations between the metric coefficients  $h_{00}$ ,  $h_{11}$ ,  $h_{22}$ and  $h_{33}$  are exactly the same as in general relativity and  $h_{44} = 0$ . Hence, in the case of black strings there is no deviations from formulas of general relativity for gravitational experiments. Moreover, the condition  $h_{00} =$  $h_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$  automatically leads to equation of state  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  and equality  $h_{44} = 0$  for static spherically symmetric perfect fluid with dustlike equation of state in our space. We shall prove it now.

Let us consider a static spherically symmetric perfect fluid with energy-momentum tensor

$$
T^{i}_{\ k} = \text{diag}(\varepsilon, \underbrace{-p_0, \ldots, -p_0}_{d_0 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{-p_1, \ldots, -p_1}_{d_1 \text{ times}}).
$$
\n(46)

#### KALUZA-KLEIN MODELS: CAN WE CONSTRUCT A ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 83, 044005 (2011)

In what follows, we shall use the notations:  $i, k =$ 0, 1, ..., D;  $a, b = 1, ..., D$ ;  $\alpha, \beta = 1, ..., d_0$  and  $\mu, \nu =$  $d_0 + 1, \ldots, d_0 + d_1$ . For static spherically symmetric<br>configurations hold  $e_0 = 0$  and  $e_1 = 0$   $a \neq b$ configurations hold  $g_{0a} = 0$  and  $g_{ab} = 0$ ,  $a \neq b$ . Because we want to apply this model to ordinary astrophysical objects, we suppose the dustlike equation of state in  $d_0$ -dimensional external space:  $p_0 \approx 0$ , but the equation of state is an arbitrary one in  $d_1$ -dimensional internal space:  $p_1 = \omega \varepsilon$ . We still consider the weak field approximation where the metric coefficients can be expressed in the form of [\(24\)](#page-3-6) (where  $\alpha$  should be replaced by a). An additional requirement that we impose is that the considered configuration does not contradict the observations. Obviously, this will occur if the following conditions hold  $h_{00} = h_{\alpha\alpha}$  and  $h_{\mu\mu} = 0$ . We now define when it takes place.

First, taking into account that  $T = \sum_{i=0}^{D} T^i$ <br> $1 - \omega d_i$ )  $T = 0$  s  $\sim O(c^2)$  and up to terms First, taking mio account that  $I = \sum_{i=0}^{n} I_i =$ <br>  $\varepsilon (1 - \omega d_1)$ ,  $T_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \sim O(c^2)$  and up to terms  $c^2$ <br>
that  $T_{\alpha\alpha} \approx T^0$ ,  $T_{\alpha\alpha} = -T^{\mu}$  we get from the Einstein that  $T_{00} \approx T^0_{0}$ ,  $T_{\mu\mu} \approx -T^{\mu}{}_{\mu}$ , we get from the Einstein equation equation

$$
R_{ik} = k_{\mathcal{D}} \left( T_{ik} - \frac{1}{D - 1} T g_{ik} \right),\tag{47}
$$

<span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>nonzero components of Ricci tensor (up to  $1/c^2$ ):

$$
R_{00} \approx \varepsilon k_{D} \frac{d_{0} - 2 + d_{1}(1 + \omega)}{D - 1},
$$
 (48)

$$
R_{\alpha\alpha} \approx \varepsilon k_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1 - \omega d_1}{D - 1},\tag{49}
$$

$$
R_{\mu\mu} \approx \varepsilon k_D \frac{\omega(d_0 - 1) + 1}{D - 1},\tag{50}
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>where  $k_{\mathcal{D}} \sim O(1/c^4)$  is defined in [\(18\)](#page-3-2). On the other hand,<br>the components of the Ricci tensor read the components of the Ricci tensor read

$$
R_{00} \approx \frac{1}{2} \triangle h_{00}, \qquad R_{aa} \approx \frac{1}{2} \triangle h_{aa}, \qquad (51)
$$

where as usual we put  $h_{00} = 2\varphi/c^2$  and  $\triangle$  is D-dimensional Laplace operator defined in [\(17\)](#page-3-1). Therefore, from Eqs.  $(48)$ ,  $(49)$ , and  $(51)$  we obtain

$$
h_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{1 - wd_1}{d_0 - 2 + d_1(1 + \omega)} h_{00}.
$$
 (52)

<span id="page-6-3"></span>As we mentioned above, to be in agreement with this experiment we should demand

$$
h_{\alpha\alpha} = h_{00} \Rightarrow \omega = \frac{3 - D}{2d_1} = -\frac{1}{2} \bigg|_{d_0 = 3}.
$$
 (53)

Hence, for a considered perfect fluid the condition  $h_{\alpha\alpha} = h_{00}$  results in the following conclusions:

(1) The number of dimensions of the external space  $d_0 = 3$  is a special case. Only in this case the parameter of equation of state  $\omega$  does not depend on number of the extra dimensions  $d_1$ .

(2) For  $d_0 = 3$ , the equation of state in the internal space is  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  which exactly coincides with the case of black strings (see  $(45)$ ).

Substitution of  $\omega$  from ([53](#page-6-3)) into [\(50\)](#page-6-4) gives

$$
R_{\mu\mu} \approx \varepsilon k_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{(3 - d_0 - d_1)(d_0 - 1) + 2d_1}{2d_1(D - 1)}
$$
  
=  $0|_{d_0 = 3} \Rightarrow h_{\mu\mu} = 0|_{d_0 = 3}.$  (54)

Therefore, as in the case of black strings, we obtain flat extra dimensions.

<span id="page-6-5"></span>To conclude the consideration of this perfect fluid, we want to get the metric coefficients. To do it, it is sufficient to define the function  $\varphi$ . It can be easily seen from ([48](#page-6-0)) and [\(51\)](#page-6-2) that this function satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{1}{c^2} \bigtriangleup \varphi = \varepsilon k_D \frac{D - 2 + \omega d_1}{D - 1},\tag{55}
$$

where  $\varepsilon \approx \rho^{(D)}c^2$  and  $\rho^{(D)}$  is D-dimensional nonrelativistic rest mass density. We want to reduce this equation to an tic rest mass density. We want to reduce this equation to an ordinary Poisson equation. We consider the case when matter is uniformly smeared over the extra dimensions:  $\rho^{(D)} = \rho^{(d_0)}/V_{d_1}$  where  $V_{d_1}$  is the volume of the internal<br>space. In this case the poprelativistic potential  $\alpha$  depends space. In this case the nonrelativistic potential  $\varphi$  depends only on our external coordinates and  $\triangle$  is reduced to  $d_0$ -dimensional Laplace operator. If we demand now that the multidimensional gravitational constant  $\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and the Newtonian gravitational constant  $G_N$  are related as

<span id="page-6-6"></span>
$$
c^4 k_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{D - 2 + \omega d_1}{D - 1} = 4\pi G_N V_{d_1},\tag{56}
$$

<span id="page-6-7"></span>then ([55](#page-6-5)) is reduced to usual Poisson equation:

$$
\Delta \varphi = 4\pi G_N \rho^{(d_0)}.
$$
 (57)

<span id="page-6-8"></span>Obviously, in ([56](#page-6-6)) and [\(57\)](#page-6-7), it is assumed that  $d_0 = 3$ . [\(56\)](#page-6-6) shows that the relation between gravitational constants  $\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and  $G_N$  depends on equation of state in the internal space. If we take the dustlike equation of state, then we obtain [\(20\)](#page-3-5). However, for the black string equation of state [\(53\)](#page-6-3) (and  $d_0 = 3$ ) we obtain

$$
S_D \tilde{G}_D = 4\pi G_N V_{d_1}.
$$
\n(58)

If perfect fluid is confined in three-dimensional sphere of a radius  $R$ , then [\(57\)](#page-6-7) has the following solution in vacuum:  $\varphi = -G_N m/r_3$ , where  $m = (4/3)\pi R^3 \rho^{(3)}$ .<br>Therefore, in the case of three-dimension

Therefore, in the case of three-dimensional external space, the black string equations of state  $p_0 = 0$  and  $p_1 =$  $-(1/2)\varepsilon$  are the only possibility to be at the same level of agreement with the observations as in general relativity. We also indicate in the Conclusion that these equations of state satisfy the necessary (but not sufficient!) condition for stabilization of the internal space.

## VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

<span id="page-7-0"></span>In our paper, we investigated the multidimensional KK models with compact static spherically symmetric matter sources either in delta-shaped form or in the form of distributed perfect fluid. We usually also supposed that matter is uniformly smeared over the extra dimensions. Some of these models have exact solutions (solitons) and for others we obtained the metric coefficients in the weak field limit. The main purpose of our paper was to establish the correspondence between these models and observable data. There are a number of well-known gravitational experiments in solar system (perihelion shift, deflection of light, time delay of radar echoes, PPN parameters) which can be used to get restrictions on parameters of considered models. In our previous paper [[2\]](#page-10-1) we have shown that the pointlike mass matter source strongly contradicts the experiments if the number of spatial dimensions  $D > 3$ . It was a surprise for us because such approach has clear physical interpretation and works very well in general relativity [[3\]](#page-10-2). Therefore, in the present paper we wanted to clarify the reason of it.

First, we investigated five-dimensional soliton solutions to single out one which corresponds to a pointlike mass. We found parameters of this solution and demonstrated that  $T_{00}$  is the only nonzero component of the energymomentum tensor. It can be treated as a dustlike equation of state in all dimensions. Because in the weak field limit the metric coefficients exactly coincide with ones for the pointlike mass, this soliton solution contradicts the experiments. Our first thought was that the reason for this is the delta-shaped form of the source. To check it we considered the model with finite size static spherically symmetric perfect fluid. For astrophysical objects (e.g., Sun) it is usually supposed that the energy density is much bigger than pressure. Therefore, we also assumed that a considered perfect fluid has the dustlike equation of state in all dimensions. However, here we arrived at exactly the same form of the asymptotic metric coefficients as in the case of pointlike mass. Therefore, this model also contradicts the observations. Thus, both the pointlike mass model and perfect fluid with a dustlike equation of state in all directions failed with experiments. What both of these models have in common is the same equations of state in our three dimensions and in the extra dimensions. So, all dimensions are treated at equal footing. This is the crucial point. To be in agreement with observations, it is necessary to break the symmetry between the external/our and internal spaces.

To prove it, we investigated conditions under which the solitonic solutions do not contradict the observations. It can be easily done via the parameterized post-Newtonian parameters (PPN)  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ . We found these parameters with the help of asymptotic expression for the solitonic metric coefficients. Parameter  $\beta$  exactly coincides with one in general relativity but  $\gamma$  is not. Additionally, we also obtained the formulas for perihelion shift, deflection of light and time delay of radar echoes in the case of soliton solutions. Using the constraint on  $\gamma$  which comes from the Cassini spacecraft experiment, we found that the solitonic parameter k should be very big:  $|k| \ge 2.3 \times 10^4$ .<br>Roughly speaking  $|k| \to \infty$ . In the case of pointlike Roughly speaking,  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ . In the case of pointlike mass soliton  $k = 2$ , which is clearly contrary to this restriction.

There is one very interesting five-dimensional soliton solution that satisfies the condition  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ . This is the so-called black string. Four-dimensional parts of these metrics exactly coincides with Schwarzschild metrics and the extra dimension is flat (the internal space metric coefficient does not depend on any coordinates). Obviously, the results mentioned above of gravitational experiments in this model exactly coincide with general relativity. Here,  $T_{00}$  and  $T_{44}$  are the only nonzero components of the energy-momentum tensor. Moreover,  $T^{0}_{0} = 2T^{4}_{4}$ . It can<br>be treated as dustlike equation of state  $n_{\text{z}} = 0$  in the be treated as dustlike equation of state  $p_0 = 0$  in the external space and a very specific equation of state  $p_1$  =  $-(1/2)\varepsilon$  in the internal space. For a better understanding of black strings, we have considered a static spherically symmetric perfect fluid with dustlike equation of state  $p_0 = 0$  in  $d_0$ -dimensional external space and an arbitrary equation of state  $p_1 = \omega \varepsilon$  in  $d_1$ -dimensional internal space. We took the dustlike equation of state in our space because it is the usual condition for astrophysical objects like the Sun. We demonstrated that the demand of an exact correspondence between this model and general relativity automatically leads to equation of state  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  for  $d_0 = 3$ . The dimension 3 for the external space is a special one. Only in this case parameter  $\omega$  does not depend on  $d_1$ and equals to  $-1/2$ . Therefore, in the case of threedimensional external space, the black string equations of state  $p_0 = 0$  and  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  are the only ones which ensure the same level of agreement with the observations as in general relativity.

Now, we want to stress an additional and very important feature of the black string equations of state. This feature follows from the conclusions of no-go theorem given in the Appendix. This theorem (case II, which relates to ordinary matter in our Universe) clearly shows that the condition of the internal space stabilization requires the violation of symmetry (in terms of equations of state) between our three dimensions and the extra dimensions. The need for such a violation is especially seen in the example of radiation. It is well known that radiation satisfies the equation of state  $p = (1/3)\varepsilon$ . If we assume equality of all dimensions and allow light to move around all multidimensional space, then equation of state will be  $p = (1/D)\varepsilon$ , which apparently contradicts the observations for  $D > 3$ . Therefore, radiation should not move in the extra dimensions. This is exactly the situation we have in case II. If we take  $\alpha^{(c)} = 1$ , then we obtain the usual equation of state for

radiation in our Universe  $\alpha_0^{(c)} = 4/3 \rightarrow p_0^{(c)} = (1/3)\varepsilon^{(c)}$ and dust in the internal space:  $\alpha_i^{(c)} = 1 \rightarrow p_i^{(c)} = 0$ . The latter means that the light does not move in the extra latter means that the light does not move in the extra dimensions. Such a situation is realized if light is localized on a brane [[19](#page-10-16)].

Thus it is clear now why models with the same (e.g., dustlike) equation of state in all directions have failed with experiments. In spite of their clear physical motivation, they violate the condition of the internal space stabilization. On the contrary, the black strings have the dustlike equation of state  $p_0 = 0$  in our space and equation of state  $p_1 = -(1/2)\varepsilon$  in the internal space. This is in full agreement with the stability condition. Additionally, multidimensional matter with such equations of state satisfies the known experimental data. Therefore, we obtained important restrictions on the equation of state of the multidimensional matter in directions of extra dimensions for the localized sources of this matter.

Nevertheless, we want to add ''a fly in the ointment''. It is connected with the latter equation or, equivalently, with nonzero (negative!) component  $T_{44}$  of the energymomentum tensor. In the black string papers, it is called the black string tension. In paper by Chodos and Detweiler [\[20\]](#page-10-17), they called it the scalar charge. However, as far as we are aware, the reason for such negative tension is still not clarified. What does ''squeeze out'' the ordinary particles (which form the astrophysical objects) from the extra dimensions? Simple localization on the brane, as in the case of radiation, is not enough for this because it results in the dustlike equation of state in the extra dimension. It should be something else. Therefore, our answer about a viable KK model is ''yes'' (keeping in mind the black string models) if we can give a satisfactory explanation for the nonzero negative tension.

In our opinion, brane-world models are the most promising alternative to the KK models because they naturally break the symmetry between our threedimensional Universe and the extra dimensions. These models require special consideration. We intend to clarify this interesting problem in our forthcoming paper.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We want to thank Ignatios Antoniadis and Seifallah Randjbar-Daemi for stimulating discussions. This work was supported in part by the ''Cosmomicrophysics'' programme of the Physics and Astronomy Division of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine and in part by the Brazilian sponsoring agency CAPES. A. Z. thanks Luís Crispino and the Faculdade de Física of the Universidade Federal do Pará for their hospitality during final preparation of this work.

# APPENDIX: PERFECT FLUID IN MULTIDIMENSIONAL COSMOLOGICAL MODELS: NO-GO THEOREM

The no-go theorem [[21\]](#page-10-18) leads to important conclusions in our paper. Therefore, it makes sense to give a brief derivation of it in this Appendix.

In conventional cosmology matter fields are taken into account in a phenomenological way as a perfect fluid with equal pressure in all three spatial directions. It provides a homogeneous (if energy density and pressure depend only on time) and isotropic picture of the Universe. In the multidimensional case we generalize this approach to a *m*-component perfect fluid with energy-momentum tensor [\[22\]](#page-10-19)

$$
T^{M}_{N} = \sum_{c=1}^{m} T^{(c)M}_{N},
$$
 (A1)

<span id="page-8-1"></span> $T^{(c)M}{}_{N}$ 

$$
= -\text{diag}(-\rho^{(c)}, \underbrace{p_0^{(c)}, \dots, p_0^{(c)}}_{d_0 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{p_n^{(c)}, \dots, p_n^{(c)}}_{d_n \text{ times}}).
$$
\n(A2)

In this Appendix we accept for convenience the system of units where the speed of light is  $c \equiv 1$ . Thus the energy density  $\varepsilon^{(c)} = \rho^{(c)} c^2$  coincides with the mass density  $\rho^{(c)}$ .<br>To get the conditions of the internal space stabilization, we To get the conditions of the internal space stabilization, we consider the case of dynamical energy density and pressure:  $\rho^{(c)} = \rho^{(c)}(\tau)$  and  $p_i^{(c)} = p_i^{(c)}(\tau)$ ,  $i = 0, ..., n$ .<br>The conservation equations we impose on each co-

<span id="page-8-0"></span>The conservation equations we impose on each component separately are

$$
T^{(c)M}_{N;M} = 0.\t\t(A3)
$$

<span id="page-8-3"></span>The metrics of spacetime are also taken in the homogeneous form:

$$
g = g^{(0)}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\beta^{i}(\tau)} g^{(i)}(y)
$$
  
\n
$$
\equiv e^{2\gamma(\tau)} d\tau \otimes d\tau - e^{2\beta^{0}(\tau)} g^{(0)}(\vec{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\beta^{i}(\tau)} g^{(i)}(y).
$$
\n(A4)

The choice of the function  $\gamma(\tau)$  defines different gauges, e.g., the synchronous time gauge  $\gamma = 0$  or the conformal time gauge  $\gamma(\tau) = \beta^0(\tau)$ , etc. In what follows, we use the notations  $a \equiv e^{\beta^0}$  and  $b_i \equiv e^{\beta^i}$   $(i = 1, ..., n)$  to describe scale factors of the external and internal spaces describe scale factors of the external and internal spaces, respectively.

<span id="page-8-2"></span>Denoting by a dot differentiation with respect to time  $\tau$ , the conservation Eqs.  $(A3)$  for the tensors  $(A2)$  $(A2)$  $(A2)$  read

$$
\dot{\rho}^{(c)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} d_i \dot{\beta}^i \bigg(\rho^{(c)} + p_i^{(c)}\bigg) = 0. \tag{A5}
$$

If the pressures and energy density are related via equations of state

$$
p_i^{(c)} = (\alpha_i^{(c)} - 1)\rho^{(c)}, \qquad i = 0, ..., n, \qquad c = 1, ..., m,
$$
\n(A6)

<span id="page-9-0"></span>then ([A5](#page-8-2)) has the simple integral

$$
\rho^{(c)}(\tau) = A^{(c)} a^{-d_0 \alpha_0^{(c)}} \times \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-d_i \alpha_i^{(c)}}, \quad (A7)
$$

where  $A^{(c)}$  is the constant of integration.

To investigate the problem of the stable compactification, it is helpful to use the equivalence between the Einstein equations and the Euler-Lagrange equations for Lagrangian obtained by dimensional reduction of the action

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_D} \int_M d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \{R[g] - 2\Lambda_D\} - \int_M d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \rho,
$$
\n(A8)

where  $\rho$  is given by  $(A7)$  $(A7)$  (see [\[22\]](#page-10-19) for details). This equivalence takes place for the homogeneous model [\(A4](#page-8-3)). However, we can generalize it to the inhomogeneous case allowing inhomogeneous fluctuations  $\tilde{\beta}^i(x) (i = 1, ..., n)$  over stably compactified background<br> $\beta^i$  = const.  $\tilde{\beta}^i = \beta^i - \beta^i$ . The dimensional reduction  $\beta_0^i = \text{const:}$   $\tilde{\beta}^i = \beta^i - \beta_0^i$ . The dimensional reduction (88) results in effective (see, e.g., [[23](#page-10-20)–[25](#page-10-21)]) of the action [\(A8\)](#page-9-1) results in effective theory in Einstein frame with the effective potential

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
U_{\text{eff}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{d_i \tilde{\beta}^i}\right)^{-2/(D_0 - 2)}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{R}_i e^{-2\tilde{\beta}^i} + \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}} + \kappa_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{c=1}^{m} \rho^{(c)} \right], \quad (A9)
$$

where  $\rho^{(c)}$  is defined by [\(A7\)](#page-9-0) and  $D_0 = d_0 + 1$ . The internal space conformal fluctuations  $\tilde{\beta}^i$  play the role of minimal scalar fields with potential [\(A9\)](#page-9-2) in external spacetime with the Einstein frame metrics  $\tilde{g}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^{-2} g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$  where  $\Omega^2 = (\Pi^n, \Delta^d, \tilde{g}^i)$  = 2/( $D_0$  = 2). Now we suppose that the sy  $\Omega^2 = (\prod_{i=1}^n e^{d_i \tilde{\beta}^i})^{-2/(D_0-2)}$ . Now, we suppose that the ex-<br>termal spacetime metric  $\tilde{\sigma}^{(0)}$  has also the Friedmannternal spacetime metric  $\tilde{g}^{(0)}$  has also the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker form:

$$
\tilde{g}^{(0)} = \Omega^{-2} g^{(0)} = \tilde{g}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu}
$$

$$
= e^{2\hat{\gamma}} d\hat{\tau} \otimes d\hat{\tau} - e^{2\hat{\beta}^{0}(x)} g^{(0)}.
$$
 (A10)

It results in the following connection between the external scale factors in the Brans-Dicke frame  $a \equiv e^{\beta^0}$  and in the Einstein frame  $\tilde{a} \equiv e^{\hat{\beta}^0}$ . Einstein frame  $\tilde{a} \equiv e^{\hat{\beta}^0}$ :

$$
a = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{d_i \tilde{\beta}^i}\right)^{-1/(D_0 - 2)} \tilde{a}, \tag{A11}
$$

then, the expression ([A7\)](#page-9-0) for  $\rho^{(c)}$  can be rewritten in the form

$$
\kappa_{\mathcal{D}} \rho^{(c)} = \kappa_N \rho_{(4)}^{(c)} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\xi_i^{(c)} \tilde{\beta}^i}, \tag{A12}
$$

<span id="page-9-3"></span>where

$$
\rho_{(4)}^{(c)} = \tilde{A}^{(c)} \tilde{a}^{-d_0 \alpha_0^{(c)}}, \qquad \tilde{A}^{(c)} = A^{(c)} V_I \prod_{i=1}^n b_{(0)i}^{d_i (1 - \alpha_i^{(c)})}, \quad (A13)
$$

$$
\xi_i^{(c)} = d_i \left( \alpha_i^{(c)} - \frac{\alpha_0^{(c)} d_0}{d_0 - 1} \right) \tag{A14}
$$

and we take into account the relation [\(58\)](#page-6-8)  $\kappa_{\mathcal{D}} = \kappa_N V_{D'}$ <br>with the internal gross volume  $V_{\mathcal{D}} = V_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{\Pi}^{n} k_{ij}^{d} = D'_{\mathcal{D}}$ with the internal space volume  $V_{D'} = V_I \prod_{i=1}^n b_{(0)}^{d_i}$ with the internal space volume  $V_{D'} = V_I \prod_{i=1}^n b_{(0)i}^{a_i}$ .  $D' = \sum_i^n d_i$  is the total number of the internal dimensions. Prefactor  $V_I$  is defined by the geometry of the internal spaces [[21](#page-10-18)] and for tori  $V_I = 1$ . It can be easily verified that  $\tilde{A}^{(c)}$  has dimension cm<sup> $d_0\alpha_0^{(c)} - D_0$ </sup>.

Thus, the problem of stabilization of the extra dimensions is reduced now to search of minima of the effective potential  $U_{\text{eff}}$  with respect to the fluctuations  $\tilde{\beta}^i$ :

$$
\frac{\partial U_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \tilde{\beta}^k} \bigg|_{\tilde{\beta}=0} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{R}_k = -\frac{d_k}{D_0 - 2} \bigg[ \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{R}_i - 2\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \bigg] \n+ \kappa_N \sum_{c=1}^m \rho_{(4)}^{(c)} \bigg( \xi_k^{(c)} + \frac{2d_k}{D_0 - 2} \bigg), \quad k = 1, ..., n.
$$
\n(A15)

The left-hand side of this equation is a constant but the right-hand side is a dynamical function because of dynamical behavior of the effective four-dimensional energy density  $\rho_{(4)}^{(c)}$  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus, we arrive at the following no-go theorem:

Multidimensional cosmological Kaluza-Klein models with the perfect fluid as a matter source do not admit stable compactification of the internal spaces with exception of two special cases:

I. 
$$
\alpha_0^{(c)} = 0
$$
,  $\forall \alpha_i^{(c)}$ . (A16)

II. 
$$
\xi_i^{(c)} = -\frac{2d_i}{d_0 - 1} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha_0^{(c)} = \frac{2}{d_0} + \frac{d_0 - 1}{d_0} \alpha^{(c)}, \\ \alpha_i^{(c)} = \alpha^{(c)}. \end{cases}
$$
 (A17)

where  $i = 1, \ldots, n; c = 1, \ldots, m$ .

The first case corresponds to the vacuum in the external space  $\rho_{(4)}^{(c)} = \tilde{A}^{(c)}$  = const and arbitrary equations of state in the internal spaces. Some bulk matter can mimic such behavior, e.g., vacuum fluctuations of quantum fields (Casimir effect) [\[24](#page-10-22)[,26\]](#page-10-23), monopole form fields [\[24,](#page-10-22)[27\]](#page-10-24) and gas of branes [[28](#page-10-25)].

In the second case, the energy density in the external space is not a constant but a dynamical function with the following behavior:

$$
\rho_{(4)}^{(c)}(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{A}^{(c)} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}^{2 + (d_0 - 1)\alpha^{(c)}}} = \tilde{A}^{(c)} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}^{2(1 + \alpha^{(c)})}} \bigg|_{d_0 = 3}.
$$
 (A18)

The corresponding equation of state is

$$
p_{(4)}^{(c)} = (1/3)(2\alpha^{(c)} - 1)\rho_{(4)}^{(c)} = (\alpha_0^{(c)} - 1)\rho_{(4)}^{(c)}, \quad \text{(A19)}
$$

where we put  $d_0 = 3$ . It can be easily seen from [\(A12](#page-9-3)) that in the case of stabilized internal spaces (i.e.  $\tilde{\beta}^i = 0$ )  $\rho_{(4)}^{(c)} =$  $\binom{4}{c}$  $\rho^{(c)}V_{D'}$ . Similar relation takes place for  $p_{(4)}^{(c)}$  and  $p_0^{(c)}$ :  $p_{(4)}^{(c)} = p_0^{(c)} V_{D'}$ . Therefore, the second case corresponds to ordinary matter in our three-dimensional space. For example, in the case of three-dimensional external space, the choice  $\alpha^{(c)} = 1/2$  provides dust in our space:  $\alpha_0^{(c)} = 1 \rightarrow p_{(4)}^{(c)} = 0$  and equation of state  $\alpha_i^{(c)} = 1/2 \rightarrow p_i^{(c)} = -(1/2)\rho^{(c)}$  in the internal spaces,<br>which are exactly the black string equations of state! which are exactly the black string equations of state!

It is worth of noting that the cases I and II are the necessary but not sufficient conditions for stabilization. In [[21](#page-10-18)] it was shown that stability is ensured by the matter from the first case with a proper choice of the parameters of models. The matter related to the second case provides the standard evolution of the Universe and does not spoil the stabilization. As we mentioned above, matter of the first case behaves as a cosmological constant in the external space. There are strong experimental restrictions on cosmological constant in the solar system [[29](#page-10-26)]. Therefore, there is no need to take into account such perfect fluid for astrophysical problems discussed in our paper.

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[1] J. Polchinski, String Theory, Volume 2: Superstring Theory and Beyond (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[2] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/20/205014) 27, [205014 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/20/205014).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[3] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of* Fields, Fourth Edition, Volume 2 (Course of Theoretical Physics Series) (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 2000).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[4] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **80**[, 124037 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.124037)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[5] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/5/055002) 27, [055002 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/5/055002).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[6] D. Kramer, Acta Phys. Pol. B 2, 807 (1970).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[7] D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90462-5) **B226**, 29 (1983).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[8] A. Davidson and D. Owen, Phys. Lett. 155, 247 (1985).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[9] V. D. Ivashchuk, ''Spherically-Symmetric Solutions with A Chain of n Internal Ricci-Flat Spaces,'' Moscow, unpublished.
- [10] S. B. Fadeev, V. D. Ivashchuk, and V. N. Melnikov, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90756-C) Lett. A 161[, 98 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90756-C)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[11] J. P. de Leon, [Gravitation Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0202289309040100) 15, 345 (2009); J. P. de Leon, in Schwarzschild-like Exteriors for Stars in Kaluza-Klein Gravit, edited by A. D. Wachter and R. J. Propst (Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, New York, (2010), pp. 331–353.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[12] C.M. Will, Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[13] N. Straumann, General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[14] C.M. Will in 100 Years of Relativity: Spacetime Structure—Einstein and Beyond, edited by A. Ashtekar (World Scientific, Singapore, 2005), p. 205.
- [15] B. Jain and J. Khoury, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.04.002) 325, 1479 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[16] B. Bertotti, L. Iess, and P. Tortora, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01997) 425, [374 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01997).
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>[17] T. Harmark and N. A. Obers, [J. High Energy Phys. 05](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/043) [\(2004\) 043.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/043)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>[18] D. Kastor and J. Traschen, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/09/022) [022.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/09/022)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>[19] V. A. Rubakov, [Usp. Fiz. Nauk](http://dx.doi.org/10.3367/UFNr.0171.200109a.0913) 171, 913 (2001); [\[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/PU2001v044n09ABEH001000) Usp. 44[, 871 \(2001\)\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/PU2001v044n09ABEH001000).
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>[20] A. Chodos and S. Detweiler, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00756803) 14, 879 [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00756803).
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>[21] A. Zhuk, in Proceedings of the 14th International Seminar on High Energy Physics ''QUARKS-2006'' in St. Petersburg (2006) (INR press, Moscow, 2007), Vol. 2, p. 264.
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>[22] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271894000897) 3[, 795 \(1994\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271894000897) V. D. Ivashchuk and V. N. Melnikov, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/12/3/017) 12, 809 (1995); U. Kasper and A. Zhuk, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02107384) 28, 1269 (1996); A. Zhuk, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/13/8/012) 13, 2163 (1996).
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>[23] M. Rainer and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **54**[, 6186 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.6186)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>[24] U. Günther and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D 56[, 6391 \(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.6391).
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>[25] U. Günther, A. Starobinsky, and A. Zhuk, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.044003) 69, [044003 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.044003)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>[26] U. Günther, S. Kriskiv, and A. Zhuk, Gravitation Cosmol. 4, 1 (1998).
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>[27] U. Günther, P. Moniz, and A. Zhuk, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.044010) 68, [044010 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.044010)
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>[28] A. Kaya, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 \(2004\) 014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/08/014)
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>[29] P. Jetzer and M. Sereno, [arXiv:astro-ph/0711.3723](http://arXiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0711.3723) [Nuovo Cimento (to be published)]; L. Iorio, [Advances in](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2008/268647) [Astronomy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2008/268647) 2008, 5 (2008).