Impact of general reionization scenarios on extraction of inflationary parameters

Stefania Pandolfi,^{1,2} Elena Giusarma,³ Edward W. Kolb,⁴ Massimiliano Lattanzi,¹ Alessandro Melchiorri,² Olga Mena,³ Manuel Peña,³ Asantha Cooray,⁵ and Paolo Serra⁵

¹Physics Department and ICRA, Universita' di Roma "La Sapienza", Plazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185, Rome, Italy

²Physics Department and INFN, Universita' di Roma "La Sapienza", Plazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185, Rome, Italy

³IFIC/CSIC, Universidad de Valencia, 46071, Valencia, Spain

⁴Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Enrico Fermi Institute, and Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics,

University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

⁵Center for Cosmology, Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, USA (Received 29 September 2010; published 22 December 2010)

Determination of whether the Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum for primordial scalar perturbations is consistent with observations is sensitive to assumptions about the reionization scenario. In light of this result, we revisit constraints on inflationary models using more general reionization scenarios. While the bounds on the tensor-to-scalar ratio are largely unmodified, when different reionization schemes are addressed, hybrid models are back into the inflationary game. In the general reionization picture, we reconstruct both the shape and amplitude of the inflaton potential. We discuss how relaxing the simple reionization restriction affects the reconstruction of the potential through the changes in the constraints on the spectral index, the tensor-to-scalar ratio and the running of the spectral index. We also find that the inclusion of other Cosmic Microwave Background data in addition to the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy probe data excludes the very flat potentials typical of models in which the inflationary evolution reaches a late-time attractor, as a consequence of the fact that the running of the spectral index is constrained to be different from zero at 99% confidence level.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.123527

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 95.85.Sz, 98.70.Vc, 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

The inflationary paradigm seems to be the ideal mechanism, not only for solving cosmological paradoxes such as the observed large-scale smoothness and spatial flatness of our Universe but also for providing the initial seeds for structure formation. The simplest inflationary model makes use of a single scalar field ϕ (the inflaton), which slowly evolves in a very shallow, nearly constant, potential $V(\phi)$. The dynamics of slow roll gives rise to a quaside Sitter phase of exponential expansion in the very early Universe. Since the slope of the spectrum is closely related to derivatives of the field potential, slow-roll dynamics predicts that the perturbation spectra should be very close to scale invariant, although not exactly so. This implies that a quite general inflationary prediction is that the power spectra of both scalar $P_{\mathcal{R}}$ and tensor P_T fluctuations can be well approximated by power laws, i.e.,

$$P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \propto k^{n-1}, \qquad P_T(k) \propto k^{n_T},$$
 (1)

where the spectral indices n and n_T have very mild, if any, dependence on the scale k. A scale-invariant scalar power spectrum corresponding to the value n = 1 is the model proposed by Harrison, Zel'dovich, and Peebles [1]. In other words, from all the considerations above, inflation predicts $n \approx 1$, but usually $n \neq 1$.¹

A value of the spectral index n slightly different from unity would strongly point to the inflationary paradigm as the mechanism responsible for providing the initial conditions for structure formation. In addition, in many inflationary models, the amplitude of gravitational waves is proportional to |n - 1|. Confirmation of a deviation from a scale-invariant power spectrum would encourage the gravitational waves hunters to keep searching for the detection of a nonzero tensor amplitude.

The most recent analysis by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) team of their seven-year data [3] rules out the Harrison-Zel'dovich (H-Z) primordial power spectrum at more than 3σ when ignoring tensor modes: n = 0.963 ± 0.012 . But this, as well as most other previously derived constraints from Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) data on cosmological parameters, has assumed a "sudden" and complete reionization at a single redshift z_r . The reionization redshift z_r is taken to be in the range $4 < z_r < 32$, and the cosmological constraints are obtained after marginalization over z_r . The electron ionization fraction $x_e(z)$ is such that for $z \ll z_r x_e(z) = 1$ [$x_e(z) = 1.08$ for z < 3 in order to take into account Helium recombination] and $x_e(z) = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $z > z_r$, i.e., joining the value after primordial recombination with a smooth interpolation.

The process of structure formation that led to gravitational collapse of objects in which the first stars formed are still subject to theoretical and observational uncertainties. As these first sources began to illuminate their local neighborhoods, the HI present in the Inter Galactic Medium (IGM)

¹For a discussion of slow-roll inflation models with n = 1, see Ref. [2].

STEFANIA PANDOLFI et al.

was "reionized." The end of the Dark Ages (the period between the end of CMB recombination and the appearance of the first stars) remains to be explored and understood.

There are two main effects on the CMB anisotropies produced by the free electrons of the ionized gas: the first one washes out the primary anisotropies of the temperature autocorrelation (TT) spectrum. The damping of the TTsignal is quantified by the optical depth parameter τ , proportional to the column density of ionized hydrogen. Earlier reionization leads to a the larger suppression of the TTacoustic peaks. The second effect produces a damping and an additional peak in the polarization autocorrelation spectrum (EE) [4]. The position of this new peak in the polarization signal is proportional to the square root of the redshift at which the reionization occurs, and its amplitude is proportional to the optical depth. Since the precise details of reionization processes are currently unknown, it is mandatory to explore the imprints of general reionization histories on the CMB spectra. In the standard, sudden reionization scenario, the EE spectrum depends exclusively on the value of Thomson optical depth τ . In turn, in extended reionization schemes, the precise history of how the Universe became ionized affects the large-scale EE power spectrum in a crucial way [5], and the power is transferred from larger to smaller scales when considering that reionization processes could take place in a non-negligible redshift (time) interval.

The major goal of this paper is to study how current constraints on the scalar spectral index n and the tensorto-scalar ratio r are modified if the standard (sudden) reionization assumption is relaxed. In a precursor study, we demonstrated that in a general reionization scenario the Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum (n = 1) is perfectly consistent with observations [6]. In this study, we shall also include information from tensors modes, showing that inflationary models that are ruled out in the sudden reionization scenarios. We also reconstruct both the shape and the amplitude of the inflationary potential $V(\phi)$ allowed by current data in both sudden and general reionization schemes.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II summarizes the results of inflationary theory relevant for our considerations. A possible classification of different models of inflation is presented in Sec. III. The analysis method used here to derive the cosmological constraints is described in Sec. IV. Section V gives the resulting constraints on cosmological parameters and their implications for inflationary models. The inflationary potential reconstruction method and the results are presented in Sec. VI. We conclude in Sec. VII.

II. INFLATION AND THE HAMILTON-JACOBI FORMALISM

In this section, we briefly review the dynamics of a scalar field in a cosmological background. We assume a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric:

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t)[dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}], \qquad (2)$$

where a(t) is the cosmological scale factor. In an FRW background, a scalar field ϕ evolves under the action of potential $V(\phi)$ with equations of motion

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0, \qquad (3)$$

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\rm Pl}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^{2} + V(\phi) \right], \tag{4}$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, dots and primes denote derivatives with respect to cosmological time and to the scalar field, respectively, and m_{Pl} is the Planck mass. From the definition of the Hubble parameter, it follows that

$$a(t) \propto e^{-N} = \exp\left[\int_{t_o}^t H(t)dt\right],\tag{5}$$

where the number of e-folds N is simply

$$N \equiv \int_{t}^{t_{e}} H(t)dt,$$
 (6)

where t_e refers to the end on inflation. The integration extrema are chosen in such a way that N = 0 coincides with the end of inflation.

A very powerful way of describing the inflationary dynamics is given by the Hamilton-Jacobi formulation of inflation. The basic idea is to consider the scalar field ϕ itself to be the time variable; this can be done as long as it varies monotonically with time. Then, expressing the Hubble parameter as a function of the field $H = H(\phi)$, the equations of motion become

$$\dot{\phi} = -\frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi} H'(\phi),\tag{7}$$

$$[H'(\phi)]^2 - \frac{12\pi}{m_{\rm Pl}^2} H^2(\phi) = -\frac{32\pi^2}{m_{\rm Pl}^4} V(\phi).$$
(8)

The second of these equations is called the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Inflation takes place while the field is slowly rolling towards a minimum of the potential, and the field energy density is dominated by its potential energy. More quantitatively, the slow-roll approximation holds in the limit in which $\ddot{\phi} \ll 3H\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V$, so that Eqs. (3) and (4) become

$$\dot{\phi} \simeq -\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H}, \qquad H^2 \simeq \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\rm Pl}^2}V(\phi).$$
 (9)

The validity of the slow-roll approximation is quite natural because the slope of the inflaton potential must be sufficiently shallow to drive inflation. For single-field inflation during the slow-roll phase, the kinetic energy of the field is negligible, and the potential is nearly constant:

$$\rho_{\phi} = V(\phi) + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \simeq V(\phi) \simeq \text{const.}$$
(10)

From Eq. (9), we can see that this gives rise to a (quasi-) de Sitter phase with H almost constant. The amplitude of the potential must be sufficiently large to dominate the energy density of the Universe at that epoch.

The slow-roll approximation is consistent if both the slope and the curvature of the potential are small (in units of the Planck mass) when compared to the potential itself: $V', V'' \ll V$, or equivalently if the so-called slow-roll parameters ϵ and η are much smaller than unity. The slow-roll parameters are defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{H'}{H} \right]^2, \qquad \eta \equiv \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{H''}{H} \right]. \tag{11}$$

When $V', V'' \ll V$, both ϵ and η can be expressed in terms of the potential and its derivatives as

$$\epsilon \simeq \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{16\pi} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 \ll 1, \qquad \eta \simeq \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{8\pi} \left[\frac{V''}{V} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2\right] \ll 1.$$
(12)

The consistency of the slow-roll condition thus implies that ϵ , $|\eta| \ll 1$. Using the definition of ϵ , the Hamilton-Jacobi equation can be rewritten in the useful form

$$H^{2}(\phi) \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon(\phi) \right] = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\text{Pl}}^{2}} V(\phi).$$
(13)

Inflation also provides a natural mechanism to generate the inhomogeneities presently observed in the Universe. During inflation, quantum fluctuations, inevitably present at small scales, are quickly redshifted to scales much larger than the horizon size and then frozen in as perturbations to the background metric. The perturbations created during inflation can be of two types: scalar (or curvature) perturbations, which couple to the matter stress-energy tensor, and tensor perturbations (gravitational waves), which do not couple to matter. The power spectrum of scalar perturbations (quantified as perturbations in the Ricci scalar \mathcal{R}) is described by

$$P_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}(k) = \left(\frac{H^2}{2\pi |\dot{\phi}|}\right)_{k=aH} = \left[\frac{H}{\sqrt{\pi}m_{\text{Pl}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right],\qquad(14)$$

and its spectral index n reads

$$n-1 \equiv \frac{d\ln P_{\mathcal{R}}}{d\ln k}.$$
(15)

The power spectrum of tensor fluctuation modes is given by

$$P_T^{1/2}(k) = \left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{H}{m_{\rm Pl}}\right)_{k=aH}$$
, (16)

again evaluated when the mode k crosses the horizon.

The ratio of the tensor-to-scalar perturbation is defined as

$$\frac{P_T}{P_{\mathcal{R}}} \equiv r, \tag{17}$$

and, as in the scalar power spectrum case, one can write $P_T \propto k^{n_T}$. The two spectral indices expressed in terms of the slow-roll parameters are

$$n \simeq 1 - 4\epsilon + 2\eta, \tag{18}$$

$$n_T \simeq -2\epsilon,$$
 (19)

and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is

$$r \equiv 16\epsilon. \tag{20}$$

The relations above are valid at first-order approximation in the slow-roll parameters. Therefore, if primordial perturbations originated from the dynamics of a slow-rolling scalar field, the spectrum should not be exactly scale invariant. In fact, since the slow-roll parameters ϵ and η are small but not vanishing (in other words, since the potential is very close to flat but not exactly flat), we expect that $n \simeq 1$ but nevertheless $n \neq 1$. A scale-invariant power spectrum corresponding to the value n = 1 is the aforementioned model proposed by Harrison, Zel'dovich, and Peebles [1]. Given the fact that $P_{\mathcal{R}} \propto k^{n-1}$, the spectral index can be thought as a measure of the departure of the spectrum of the scalar perturbations from an exactly scaleinvariant power spectrum.

III. ZOOLOGY OF INFLATIONARY MODELS

In this section, we follow the classification of Kinney *et al.* [7]. At lowest order in the slow-roll approximation, the relevant parameters to distinguish among inflationary models are *n* and *r* [8]. The different classes of models are characterized by the relation between these two parameters, or equivalently, by the relation between ϵ and η . At lowest order in the slow-roll approximation, we can divide the inflationary models into three general types: *large-field*, *small-field*, and *hybrid*. The boundary between large-field and small-field models is represented by the so-called *linear* models.

- (i) Large-field models are characterized by $-\epsilon < \eta \le \epsilon$. Popular examples of large-field models are $V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 (\phi/\mu)^p$ and exponential potentials $V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 \exp(\phi/\mu)$.
- (ii) Small-field models are characterized by $\eta < -\epsilon$. They result from a generic potential of the form $V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 [1 - (\phi/\mu)^p]$, which can be understood as the lowest-order Taylor expansion of an arbitrary potential about the origin.
- (iii) Hybrid models are characterized by $0 < \epsilon < \eta$. A generic hybrid potential is of the form $V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 [1 + (\phi/\mu)^p]$.
- (iv) Linear models are on the boundary between largefield and small-field, and they are characterized for this reason by $\eta = -\epsilon$. The generic linear potential is of the form $V(\phi) \propto \phi$. With the above classification, we can cover the entire *n*-*r* plane and derive constraints on the inflationary models directly from

the constraints on the n-r plane that arise from cosmological observations, see Sec. V.

IV. ANALYSIS METHOD

We adopt two different methods for parametrization of the reionization history. The first method, developed in Ref. [5], is based on principal components that provide a complete basis for describing the effects of reionization on large-scale *E*-mode polarization. Following Ref. [5], one can parametrize the reionization history as a free function of redshift by decomposing $x_e(z)$ into its principal components:

$$x_e(z) = x_e^f(z) + \sum_{\mu} m_{\mu} S_{\mu}(z), \qquad (21)$$

where the principal components $S_{\mu}(z)$ are the eigenfunctions of the Fisher matrix that describes the dependence of the polarization spectra on the electron ionization fraction $x_e(z)$, m_{μ} are the amplitudes of the principal components for a particular reionization history, and $x_e^f(z)$ is the WMAP fiducial model at which the Fisher matrix is computed and from which the principal components are obtained. In what follows, we use the publicly available $S_{\mu}(z)$ functions and vary the amplitudes m_{μ} for $\mu =$ $1, \ldots, 5$ for the first five eigenfunctions. Hereafter, we refer to this method as the MH (Mortonson-Hu) case.

In a second approach to a general reionization prescription, we employ a different parametrization, sampling the evolution of the ionization fraction x_e as a function of redshift z at seven points (z = 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, and 27), and interpolating the value of $x_e(z)$ between them with a cubic spline. For 30 < z, we fix $x_e = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ as the value of x_e expected before reionization (and after primordial recombination), while $x_e = 1$ for 3 < z < 6 and $x_e =$ 1.08 for z < 3 in order to be in agreement with both Helium ionization and Gunn-Peterson test observations. This approach is very similar to the one used in Ref. [9], and we will refer to it as the LWB (Lewis-Weller-Battye) case.

We then modified the Boltzmann CAMB code [10], incorporating the two generalized reionization scenarios and extracted cosmological parameters from current data using a Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) analysis based on the publicly available MCMC package COSMOMC [11].

We consider here a flat Λ CDM universe described by a set of cosmological parameters

$$\{\boldsymbol{\omega}_b, \boldsymbol{\omega}_c, \boldsymbol{\Theta}_s, n, \log[10^{10}A_s], r, n_{\rm run}\},$$
(22)

where $\omega_b \equiv \Omega_b h^2$ and $\omega_c \equiv \Omega_c h^2$ are the physical baryon and cold dark matter densities relative to the critical density, Θ_s is the ratio between the sound horizon and the angular diameter distance at decoupling, A_s is the amplitude of the primordial spectrum, *n* is the scalar spectral index, *r* is the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and $n_{\text{run}} \equiv dn/d \ln k$ is the running of the scalar spectral index:

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^{2}(k) = \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^{2}(k_{0}) \left(\frac{k}{k_{0}}\right)^{n(k_{0})-1+(1/2)\ln(k/k_{0})dn/d\ln k}.$$
 (23)

Here, $k_0 = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ is the pivot scale.

The extra parameters needed to describe reionization are the five amplitudes of the eigenfunctions for the MH case, or the seven amplitudes in the seven bins for the LWB case, and one single common parameter, the optical depth τ , for the sudden reionization case.

Our basic data set is the seven-year WMAP data [3] (temperature and *E* polarization), with the routine for computing the likelihood supplied by the WMAP team. We refer to this basic data set as "WMAP7". We also consider an extended data set, to which we refer as "CMB-ALL". This larger data set includes, in addition to the WMAP data, the CMB data from BOOMERanG [12], QUAD [13], ACBAR [14], and BICEP [15]. The inclusion of these experiments enlarges the multipole range considered, allowing to probe the small-scale region $500 \le \ell \le 2500$, and adds the information on the *B*-mode polarization. For all these experiments we marginalize over a possible contamination from the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich component, rescaling the WMAP template at the corresponding experimental frequencies.

V. RESULTS

Table I summarizes the main results of the analysis for different cosmological data sets, showing the constraints on n and r for the MH, LWB, and sudden reionization schemes. When the sudden reionization assumption is relaxed, the mean values of n and r tend to shift to higher values. The shift in *n* was already noted in the previous paper [6]. The importance of this shift is that in a general reionization scheme the H-Z spectrum (n = 1) is perfectly consistent. In fact, in the framework of the minimal, sixparameter Λ CDM model (i.e. without tensor modes nor running of the spectral index), n = 1 is ruled out at the 99% level [3]. However, as seen from the values reported in Table I, the presence of tensors and possibly of a running spectral index in the analysis allows for a H-Z spectrum even in the sudden reionization scheme, at 95% confidence level (c.l.). As a matter of fact, in the MH reionization case without running of the spectral index, the H-Z spectrum is even inside the 68% c.l. for both the WMAP7 and CMB-ALL data sets. Moreover, in the case where both tensors and running are included, the mean value for n is always found to be larger than 1. This also happens for the MH reionization scenario without running, using the WMAP7 data set. In general, when additional data from other CMB probes are added to the WMAP7 data, the constraints on nand r are shifted back toward lower values.

For what concerns the tensor-to-scalar ratio r and the running of the spectral index n_{run} , their 95% c.l. constraints barely change when the reionization history is modified, as expected, due to the large uncertainties on r and n_{run} .

TABLE I. 95% c.l. constraints on *n*, *r*, and n_{run} from the WMAP7 and CMB-ALL data sets, assuming different reionization scenarios. In the case of *n* and n_{run} , a two-tail analysis was performed and the constraints are quoted in the form (mean value $\pm 95\%$ c.l. error). In the case of *r*, a one-tail analysis was performed, and we only quote the 95% c.l. upper limit. In the last column, we also show the 95% c.l. constraints forecast on *n* and *r* from Planck in the MH reionization scenario. We have assumed a fiducial model with n = 0.96, r = 0.05, and sudden reionization.

	WMAP7			CMB-ALL			Planck	
	Sudden	MH	LWB	Sudden	MH	LWB	MH	
	without running of scalar spectral index							
п	0.987 ± 0.040	1.010 ± 0.054	0.992 ± 0.042	0.974 ± 0.032	0.985 ± 0.040	0.977 ± 0.034	0.960 ± 0.008	
r	$r \le 0.373$	$r \le 0.376$	$r \le 0.371$	$r \le 0.251$	$r \le 0.266$	$r \le 0.275$	0.053 ± 0.022	
	with running of scalar spectral index							
п	1.067 ± 0.124	1.080 ± 0.129	• • •	1.094 ± 0.104	1.106 ± 0.108	• • •	• • •	
r	$r \le 0.497$	$r \le 0.515$	• • •	$r \le 0.450$	$r \le 0.445$	•••	• • •	
n _{run}	-0.040 ± 0.057	-0.036 ± 0.062	•••	-0.056 ± 0.043	-0.058 ± 0.045	•••	•••	

The shift induced on allowed values of inflationary parameters n and r by different assumptions for the reionization history is important for the subsequent constraints on inflationary models. To study this, we have reconstructed the relation between n and r in the different classes of models described in the previous section, and we have plotted these relations in the n-r plane, together with the cosmological constraints.

Figure 1 depicts the 68% and 95% c.l. allowed contours by the WMAP7 data and the CMB-ALL data sets without running of the scalar spectral index for different assumptions of the reionization history. The indicated contours denote the allowed regions when tensor modes are included in the analysis and when the reionization is assumed to be sudden and when using the MH procedure (see the figure caption for details).

Figure 2 is the same as Fig. 1 but now allowing for a running of the scalar spectral index.

Following Ref. [7], we can easily develop the different expressions concerning the n-r parameter space. For

instance, for large-field models, with a polynomial potential $V \propto \phi^p$, the relation among these parameters is

$$n = 1 - \frac{r}{8} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p} \right). \tag{24}$$

The dark lines in Figs. 1 and 2 refer to this relation for quadratic (p = 2) and quartic (p = 4) potentials. It is straightforward to relate r with N (the number of e foldings before the end of inflation):

$$r = 4p/N,\tag{25}$$

which allows us to draw points with N = 50 (squares) and N = 60 (circles) in Figs. 1 and 2.

Similarly, we can relate *n* to *r* and both of them in terms of *N* for both small-field and hybrid models. For small-field models, the generic potential we are using is of the form $V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 [1 - (\phi/\mu)^p]$. Typically in these models the slow-roll parameter ϵ (and hence, *r*) is close to zero. The spectral index can be written as



FIG. 1 (color online). Two-dimensional contour plots at the 68% and 95% confidence levels without running of the scalar spectral index for the WMAP7 data (left figure) and the CMB-ALL data set (right figure). Shaded contours correspond to the sudden reionization approximation, while open contours model reionization as MH. The dark solid (dashed) lines refer to large-field models with p = 2 (p = 4). The lighter cross (dashed curves) depict small-field models with p = 2 (p = 4). The solid horizontal line that basically coincides with the x axis depicts hybrid models with p = 2 (the p = 4 case basically overlaps the p = 2 case). The filled circles (squares) denote the points in the parameter space for which the number of *e*-folds N is equal to 60 (50).



FIG. 2 (color online). Two-dimensional contour plots at the 68% and 95% confidence levels with running of the scalar spectral index for the WMAP7 data (left figure) and the CMB-ALL data set (right figure). The key for the figures is the same as in Fig. 1.

$$n \simeq 1 - \frac{p(p-1)}{4\pi} \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{\mu^p} \left[\frac{\pi \mu^{2p}}{m_{\rm Pl}^2 p^2} r \right]^{(p-2)/(2p-2)}.$$
 (26)

It is straightforward to see that for p = 2

$$n \simeq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl}}{\mu}\right)^2,\tag{27}$$

while for p = 4 we have

$$n \simeq 1 - \frac{3}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi m_{\rm Pl}^4 r}{16\mu^4} \right)^{1/3} \approx 1 - \frac{3}{N}.$$
 (28)

Figures 1 and 2 also contain the small-field model case, depicted by cross for p = 2 and by the indicated dashed curve for p = 4 (assuming $\mu \approx m_{\rm Pl}$).

For hybrid models, the potential chosen is $V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 [1 - \alpha (m_{\rm Pl}/\phi)^p]$, based on potentials generated in dynamical supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking models [16]. As in small-field models, the tensor-to-scalar ratio *r* is negligible. The expression for *n* is given by

$$n \approx 1 + 2 \frac{(p+1)}{(p+2)(N_{\text{tot}} - N)},$$
 (29)

where N_{tot} is the *total* number of *e* foldings (chosen to be 100 in this example). Notice that Eq. (29) indicates that



FIG. 3 (color online). 68% and 95% c.l. constraints forecast on the *n* vs *r* plane from Planck mock data. We assume a fiducial model with n = 0.96 and r = 0.05 and sudden reionization and analyze the mock data assuming MH reionization.

the power spectrum in these sort of models is blue (n > 1). Indeed, in the sudden reionization scenario with negligible running of the spectral index these hybrid models are highly disfavored; in more general reionization schemes such models are allowed by WMAP7 data, see Fig. 1. When more CMB data sets are included in the analysis, hybrid inflation models with a blue tilt are again disfavored at 95% c.l., even in the more general reionization scenarios considered here, see the CMB-ALL part of Fig. 1. When a running scalar spectral index is allowed, hybrid models are perfectly compatible with data, regardless of the assumptions about the reionization processes, see Fig. 2.

The LWB reionization scheme leads to very similar constraints to those of MH parametrization on the n - r plane (albeit slightly closer to the sudden reionization case). Indeed, *n* is constrained to be red at the 68% c.l. in the CMB-ALL case, but the H-Z model is still consistent with data within 2 standard deviations.

We also forecast future constraints from the Planck experiment with the specifications of Ref. [17], assuming a fiducial model with n = 0.96, r = 0.05, and sudden reionization. In Fig. 3, we show the 68% and 95% c.l. confidence regions in the n - r plane obtained by fitting the data assuming a more general reionization scenario (in this case MH reionization). The marginalized 95% c.l. constraints for n and r are reported in the last column of Table I. Notice that Planck will be able to tell $n \neq 1$ at a very high confidence level even when fitting sudden reionization in the framework of a more general reionization scheme. Planck data will also be sensitive to the tensor-to-scalar ratio at the 95% c.l. for $r \ge 0.05$.

VI. MONTE CARLO RECONSTRUCTION OF THE INFLATIONARY POTENTIAL

In this section, we describe the technique known as Monte Carlo reconstruction, a stochastic method for inverting observational constraints to obtain an ensemble of inflationary potentials compatible with observations. The method is described in more detail in Refs. [18–20].

IMPACT OF GENERAL REIONIZATION SCENARIOS ON ...

The slow-roll parameters ϵ and η already have been defined in Sec. II; see Eq. (11). These two parameters are related to the observables *n* and *r* by the formulae given in Sec. II, valid to first order in the slow-roll approximation. We find it convenient to use the parameter $\sigma \equiv 2\eta - 4\epsilon$ in place of η ; the advantage is that to first order in slow roll, $\sigma \simeq n - 1$.

Taking higher derivatives of H, one can construct an infinite hierarchy of slow-roll parameters [21]:

$$\lambda_{\rm H}^{(\ell)} \equiv \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi}\right)^{\ell} \frac{(H')^{\ell-1}}{H^{\ell}} \frac{d^{(\ell+1)}H}{d\phi^{(\ell+1)}} \,. \tag{30}$$

The evolution of the slow-roll parameters is described by the following set of equations (18), (22), and (23):

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{dN} = \epsilon(\sigma + 2\epsilon), \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dN} = -5\epsilon\sigma - 12\epsilon^2 + 2\lambda_{\rm H}^{(2)},\tag{32}$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_{\rm H}^{(\ell)}}{dN} = \left[(\ell-1)\frac{\sigma}{2} + (\ell-2)\epsilon \right] \lambda_{\rm H}^{(\ell)} + \lambda_{\rm H}^{(\ell+1)}.$$
 (33)

Given a solution to these equations, the observable quantities, i.e., the scalar spectral index n, its running $n_{\rm run}$, and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r, can be evaluated. To second order in slow roll, these are given by [21,24]:

$$r = 16\epsilon [1 - C(\sigma + 2\epsilon)],$$

$$n - 1 = \sigma - (5 - 3C)\epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{4}(3 - 5C)\sigma\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}(3 - C)\lambda_{\rm H}^{(2)},$$

$$n_{\rm run} = -\left(\frac{1}{1 - \epsilon}\right)\frac{dn}{dN},$$
(34)

where $C \equiv 4(\ln 2 + \gamma) - 5 = 0.08145$ and $\gamma \simeq 0.577$ is Euler's constant.

The solution to Eqs. (31)–(33) also allows one to reconstruct the form of the potential $V(\phi)$ [19,25–27]. In fact, from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation [see Eq. (11)]

$$V(\phi) = \left(\frac{3m_{\rm Pl}^2}{8\pi}\right) H^2(\phi) \left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon(\phi)\right].$$
 (35)

Once $\epsilon(N)$ is known from the solution of Eqs. (31)–(33), H(N) can be determined from

$$\frac{1}{H}\frac{dH}{dN} = \epsilon.$$
(36)

The solution to the above equation allows one then to obtain V(N) up to a normalization constant; this is fixed by the normalization of the Hubble parameter that enters the above equation as a integration constant. We will return on this later.

Finally, in order to obtain $\phi(N)$, we note that Eq. (7) and dN/dt = -H together imply that

$$\frac{d\phi}{dN} = \frac{m_{\rm Pl}^2}{4\pi} \frac{H'}{H} = \frac{m_{\rm Pl}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\epsilon},\tag{37}$$

where it should be implicitly understood that there is a sign ambiguity in the last equality since it should have the same sign as $H'(\phi)$. Since we do not know in advance the sign of H', we should consider the two cases separately. However, it is easy to see that they are related by the transformation $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$. For this reason, in the following we just consider the case $d\phi/dN > 0$. Once $\phi(N)$ is obtained from the solution to the above equation, it can be inverted to obtain $N(\phi)$ and finally $V(\phi)$. However, the value of $\phi(N)$ can be known only up to an additive integration constant. We fix the latter so that $\phi = 0$ at the beginning of inflation.

In order to calculate an ensemble of potentials that are compatible with observations, we proceed in the following way:

(1) Choose random initial values for the inflationary parameters in the following ranges:

$$N = [40, 70] \quad \epsilon = [0, 0.8] \quad \sigma = [-0.5, 0.5]$$
$$\lambda_{\rm H}^{(2)} = [-0.05, 0.05] \quad \lambda_{\rm H}^{(3)} = [-0.005, 0.005]$$
$$\vdots \quad \lambda_{\rm H}^{(6)} = 0.$$

truncated at M = 6.

- (2) Evolve forward in time (dN < 0) until either
 (a) inflation ends (ε > 1), or (b) the evolution reaches a late-time fixed point (ε = λ_H^(ℓ) = 0, σ = const).
- (3) In case (a), evolve N e-folds backwards in time from the end of inflation and calculate the observables n 1, r, and the running n_{run} at that time; in case (b), calculate the observables at the time the evolution reaches the fixed point.
- (4) Repeat the above procedure $N_{\rm MC}$ times.
- (5) Choose a window of acceptable values for the observables n 1, r, and the running n_{run} and then extract from the N_{MC} models those that satisfy the observational constraints.
- (6) Reconstruct the potential for these models, following the procedure described above.

We have implemented the procedure described above with $N_{\rm MC} = 5 \times 10^5$. We consider four sets of observational constraints, conservatively corresponding to the 99% confidence regions obtained for the WMAP7 and CMB-ALL data sets in the two cases of sudden and MH reionization scenarios with running of the scalar spectral index. These are reported in Table II. We show a sample of 300 reconstructed potentials in Fig. 4, distinguishing between models where the numerical integration reaches the end of inflation (red solid curves) and those where the evolution reaches a fixed point (blue dashed curves). We have rescaled all the potentials so that $V(\phi = 0) = 1$ and $0 \le \phi \le 1$, so that the figure only contains information

TABLE II. 99% c.l. observational limits used in the reconstruction of the inflationary potential, for the four cases considered in the text.

	WMAP7		CMB-ALL		
	Sudden	MH	Sudden	MH	
n	$0.913 \le n \le 1.221$	$0.918 \le n \le 1.234$	$0.968 \le n \le 1.216$	$0.984 \le n \le 1.260$	
r	$r \le 0.665$	$r \le 0.688$	$r \le 0.551$	$r \le 0.619$	
n _{run}	$-0.098 \le n_{\rm run} \le 0.037$	$-0.098 \le n_{\rm run} \le 0.050$	$-0.099 \le n_{\rm run} \le -0.001$	$-0.117 \le n_{\rm run} \le -0.001$	

concerning the shape of the inflationary potential. We see that the WMAP7 data alone do not really constrain the shape of the inflationary potential, even when more general models of reionization are considered. However, the situation changes dramatically when other CMB experiments are included, as it can be seen from the right panels of Fig. 4. In particular, the addition of these data constraints the inflation potential to be of the "inflation ends" kind. The very flat potentials typical of models in which the evolution reaches a fixed point are instead excluded (independently on the details of the reionization model). The reason is the following. As explained above, the late-time attractor of the "fixed point" models is $\epsilon = 0$ (this is why the corresponding potentials end up being very flat), $\lambda_{\rm H}^{(\ell)} = 0$, and $\sigma = \text{const.}$ From Eqs. (34) it follows that, in terms of the observables, in general these models predict $n_{\rm run} \simeq 0$; in fact, we have explicitly checked that all the fixed point models generated in our Monte Carlo have $-0.8 \times 10^{-3} \le n_{\rm run} \le 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$. However, when the larger data set is considered, observations exclude models with $n_{\rm run} > 10^{-3}$ at 99% C.L., see Table I. Thus, only potentials of the inflation ends kind, able in principle to produce "large" (in absolute value) runnings are allowed. We also notice that the inclusion of more general models of



FIG. 4 (color online). Sample of 300 reconstructed potentials for the four sets of observational constraints discussed in the text. The potentials are calculated at the 99% c.l. The red solid (blue dashed) curves correspond to potentials of the inflation ends ("attractor") kind. All the potentials have been rescaled so that $V(\phi = 0) = 1$, and $0 \le \phi \le 1$. Upper left panel: WMAP7 with sudden reionization; upper right panel: CMB-ALL with sudden reionization; lower left panel: WMAP7 with MH reionization; lower right panel: CMB-ALL with MH reionization.

reionization does not appreciably change the constraints on the shape of the potential.

Other than the shape of the potential, it is also important to constrain its amplitude. The reconstruction procedure described above does not yield the amplitude of the potential; this has to be fixed from some observational input, like the normalization of the Hubble parameter. We choose to normalize the Hubble parameter through the condition on the density contrast:

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{H}{m_{\rm Pl}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \simeq 10^{-5}.$$
 (38)

This is of course just an order-of-magnitude estimation, since the density contrast is scale dependent. A more accurate procedure, that we do not perform here, would fit the full perturbation spectrum produced during inflation to the CMB observations. Thus the constraints that we obtain on the energy scale of inflation should be similarly intended just as order-of-magnitude estimates.

Once the normalization condition (38) has been enforced, we find that in all the four cases (WMAP7 and CMB-ALL data sets in the two cases of sudden and MH reionization scenarios with running of the scalar spectral index), $V(\phi) \leq 10^{-11} m_{\rm Pl}^4$. This corresponds to an upper limit to the energy scale of inflation of about 10^{16} GeV.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Details of the reionization processes in the late Universe are not very well known. In the absence of a precise, fullredshift evolution description of the ionization fraction during the reionization period, a simple parametrization with a single parameter z_r has become the standard reionization scheme in numerical analyses. More general reionization schemes have been shown to allow values of the scalar spectral index consistent with a scale-invariant power spectrum. In this paper, we deduce information about tensor modes and explore how the inflation constraints are modified when the standard reionization assumption is relaxed. The tensor-to-scalar ratio bounds are largely unmodified under more general reionization scenarios. Therefore, present (future) primordial gravitational wave searches are (will be) unaffected by the precise details of reionization processes. In the absence of a running spectral index, hybrid models, ruled out in the standard reionization scheme, are still allowed at the 95% c.l. by WMAP7 data. The constraints on other inflationary models, such as large-field or small-field models, do not change. Future Planck data will be able to measure the scalar spectral index n with unprecedented precision and be sensitive to tensor modes if r > 0.05 at the 95% c.l. We also show the impact of different reionization histories on the reconstruction of the inflaton potential. We find that the details of the reionization process do not significantly change the results of the reconstruction. However, the variety of the reconstructed shapes is smaller when an extended data set is considered. Namely, very flat models with $V'(\phi) \simeq 0$ are excluded in this case. However, the constraints on the amplitude of the potential remain unchanged: we find an upper bound for the latter of about 10^{16} GeV, independent of the reionization process details.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank William Kinney for useful discussion. O. M.'s work is supported by the MICINN (Spain) Ramón y Cajal Contract Nos. AYA2008-03531 and CSD2007-00060. M. P. is supported by a MEC-FPU Spanish grant.

- E. R. Harrison, Phys. Rev. D 1, 2726 (1970); Y. B.
 Zel'dovich, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 160, 1P (1972);
 P. J. E. Peebles and J. T. Yu, Astrophys. J. 162, 815 (1970).
- [2] A. Vallinotto, E. J. Copeland, E. W. Kolb, A. R. Liddle, and D. A. Steer, Phys. Rev. D 69, 103519 (2004); A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 82, 169 (2005).
- [3] E. Komatsu *et al.*, arXiv:1001.4538; D. Larson *et al.*, arXiv:1001.4635.
- [4] M. Zaldarriaga et al., arXiv:0811.3918.
- [5] M.J. Mortonson and W. Hu, Astrophys. J. **686**, L53 (2008).
- [6] S. Pandolfi et al., Phys. Rev. D 81, 123509 (2010).
- [7] W. H. Kinney, E. W. Kolb, A. Melchiorri, and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D 74, 023502 (2006); 78, 087302 (2008).
- [8] S. Dodelson, W. H. Kinney, and E. W. Kolb, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3207 (1997).

- [9] A. Lewis, J. Weller, and R. Battye, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 373, 561 (2006).
- [10] A. Lewis, A. Challinor, and A. Lasenby, Astrophys. J. 538, 473 (2000).
- [11] A. Lewis and S. Bridle, Phys. Rev. D 66, 103511 (2002).
- W. C. Jones *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **647**, 823 (2006); F. Piacentini *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **647**, 833 (2006); **647**, 833 (2006).
- [13] M. L. Brown *et al.* (QUaD Collaboration), Astrophys. J. 705, 978 (2009).
- [14] C. L. Reichardt *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **694**, 1200 (2009).
- [15] H.C. Chiang *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **711**, 1123 (2010).
- [16] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, Phys. Rep. 314, 1 (1999).

STEFANIA PANDOLFI et al.

- [17] Planck Collaboration, arXiv:astro-ph/0604069.
- [18] W. H. Kinney, Phys. Rev. D 66, 083508 (2002).
- [19] R. Easther and W. H. Kinney, Phys. Rev. D 67, 043511 (2003).
- [20] W. H. Kinney, E. W. Kolb, A. Melchiorri, and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D 69, 103516 (2004).
- [21] A.R. Liddle, P. Parsons, and J.D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D 50, 7222 (1994).
- [22] M. B. Hoffman and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 64, 023506 (2001).

- [23] D. J. Schwarz, C. A. Terrero-Escalante, and A. A. Garcia, Phys. Lett. B 517, 243 (2001).
- [24] E.D. Stewart and D.H. Lyth, Phys. Lett. B **302**, 171 (1993).
- [25] H.M. Hodges and G.R. Blumenthal, Phys. Rev. D 42, 3329 (1990).
- [26] E. J. Copeland, E. W. Kolb, A. R. Liddle, and J. E. Lidsey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 219 (1993).
- [27] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garcia, R. Mansilla, and C. A. Terrero-Escalante, Phys. Rev. D 62, 103513 (2000).