Inflating baby-Skyrme branes in six dimensions

Yves Brihaye[*](#page-0-0) and Térence Delsate^{[†](#page-0-1)}

Theoretical and Mathematical Physics Department, Université de Mons - UMons, 20, Place du Parc, 7000 Mons - Belgium

Yuta Kodama^{[‡](#page-0-2)} and Nobuyuki Sawado[§]

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan (Received 16 July 2010; published 5 November 2010)

We consider a six-dimensional brane world model, where the brane is described by a localized solution to the baby-Skyrme model extending in the extra dimensions. The branes have a cosmological constant modeled by inflating four-dimensional slices, and we further consider a bulk cosmological constant. We construct solutions numerically and present evidence that the solutions cease to exist for large values of the brane cosmological constant in some particular case. Then we study the stability of the model by considering perturbation of the gravitational part (resp. baby Skyrmion) with fixed matter fields (resp. gravitational background). Our results indicate that the perturbation equations do not admit localized solutions for certain type of perturbation. The stability analysis can be alternatively seen as leading to a particle spectrum; we give mass estimations for the baby-Skyrme perturbation and for the graviton.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.82.106002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.106002) PACS numbers: 11.25.w, 04.50.h, 12.39.Dc

I. INTRODUCTION

Theories with extra dimensions have been expected to solve the hierarchy problem and cosmological constant problem. Experimentally unobserved extra dimensions indicate that the standard model particles and forces are confined to a 3-brane [[1–](#page-15-0)[4](#page-15-1)]. Intensive study has been performed for the Randall-Sundram (RS) brane model in five space-time dimensions [\[3](#page-15-2)[,4\]](#page-15-1). In this framework, the exponential warp factor in the metric can generate a large hierarchy of scales. This model, however, requires unstable negative tension branes and the fine-tuning between brane tensions and bulk cosmological constant.

There is hope that higher dimensional brane models more than five dimensions could evade those problems that appeared in five dimensions. In fact, brane theories in six dimensions show a very distinct feature towards the fine-tuning and negative tension brane problems. Warped compactifications are possible in six space-time dimensions in the model of topological objects such as defects and solitons. In this context, Abelian strings [\[5–](#page-15-3)[9](#page-15-4)] were investigated, showing that they can realize localization of gravity for negative cosmological constant. (For the positive case, solutions which localize gravity are lost [\[10](#page-15-5)].) For the magnetic monopoles, similar compactification was achieved for both positive and negative cosmological constant [[11\]](#page-15-6). Interestingly, if the brane is modeled in such a field theory language, the fine-tuning between bulk and brane parameters required in the case of deltalike branes turns to a tuning of the model parameters [\[9](#page-15-4)].

It is well known that there are two main contexts in which solitons appear in field theories. One is like the strings and the magnetic monopoles in-Abelian and non-Abelian gauge theories, and the others are kinds of nonlinear type models, such as the Skyrmions, hopfions [\[12](#page-15-7)[,13\]](#page-15-8). The latter are particularly interesting and have deep insight in their nontrivial topological structures. The Skyrme model is known to possess soliton solutions called baby Skyrmions in two-dimensional space [\[14–](#page-15-9)[16](#page-15-10)]. The warped compactification of the two-dimensional extra space by such baby Skyrmions has already been studied [\[17\]](#page-15-11). The authors found regular, static solutions with nontrivial topology which realize warped compactification for a negative bulk cosmological constant. Also, a somewhat different model, namely, the Maxwell gauged $\mathbb{C}P¹$ type of nonlinear σ model, has been investigated in [[18](#page-15-12)].

Many of previous studies were based on the assumption that the cosmological constant inside the 3-branes is tentatively set to be zero; the branes were assumed to be static Minkowski, ''flat 3-branes,'' despite the fact that our Universe has a small but positive-definite cosmological constant. Thus, addressing the nonzero cosmological constant inside the branes has been considered first by Cho and Vilenkin in [\[19\]](#page-15-13) and then extended for the case of the strings [[20](#page-15-14)] and the monopoles [\[21\]](#page-15-15). They have studied both signs of the bulk cosmological constant. In this paper, we introduce "inflation" on the baby-Skyrmion branes with both signs of bulk cosmological constant.

Another aim of the present paper is to analyze linear stability of our new solutions by fluctuating all fields. Analysis for gravitating thick defects embedded in higher dimensions are found in the literature, for five dimensions $[22-24]$ $[22-24]$ and for six dimensions $[9,25-27]$ $[9,25-27]$ $[9,25-27]$ $[9,25-27]$. (Note that the model in [\[24\]](#page-15-17) is constructed by gravitating multidefects in five dimensions.) The studies for thick defects, however,

[^{*}y](#page-0-3)ves.brihaye(at)umons.ac.be

[[†]](#page-0-3) terence.delsate(at)umons.ac.be

[[‡]](#page-0-4) yutakodama(at)gmail.com

x sawado(at)ph.noda.tus.ac.jp

are works in progress since the topological defects used in the literature are complicated structures. In this paper, we introduce a general form of perturbation of the metric and the Skyrmion fields. Employing a simplified ansatz and gauge conditions, we find that two independent equations are sufficient to discuss the stability. We shall solve two of them independently and present typical localized eigenstates of the metric and the matter field fluctuations. We shall finally give some speculations for the physics of our Universe, i.e., SM particle spectra, a signature of the CMB and others.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we describe the Einstein-Skyrme system in six dimensions and derive coupled equations for the Skyrme and gravitational fields. Several types of solutions are found in Sec. [III.](#page-2-0) Section [IV](#page-3-0) is the analysis of the asymptotic behavior of the solutions at the infinity. In Sec. [V,](#page-4-0) we present our numerical results. We then give a detailed analysis for the gravity and the matter perturbations in Sec. [VI.](#page-7-0) Conclusion and discussion are given in Sec. [VII](#page-13-0).

II. THE GRAVITATING BABY-SKYRME MODEL IN SIX DIMENSIONS

The total action for the gravitating baby-Skyrme model is of the form $S = S_{grav} + S_{baby}$. The gravitational part,

$$
S_{\text{grav}} = \int d^6x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2\chi_{(6)}} R - \Lambda_{(6)} \right), \tag{2.1}
$$

is the generalized Einstein-Hilbert gravity action, where $\Lambda_{(6)}$ is the bulk cosmological constant and $\chi_{(6)} =$ $8\pi G_{(6)} = 8\pi/M_{(6)}^4.$

On the other hand, the action for the baby-Skyrme model S_{baby} is given by

$$
S_{\text{baby}} = \int d^6x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{\kappa_2}{2} (\partial_M \mathbf{n}) \cdot (\partial^M \mathbf{n}) - \frac{\kappa_4}{4} (\partial_M \mathbf{n} \times \partial_N \mathbf{n})^2 - \kappa_0 V(\mathbf{n}) \right].
$$
 (2.2)

We will use the convenient notation $S_{\text{baby}} \equiv \int d^6x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{\text{baby}}$. Here, **n** is a scalar triplet subject to the nonlinear constraint $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 1$, and $V(\mathbf{n})$ is the potential term with no derivatives of *n*. The coefficients $\kappa_{2,4,0}$ in Eq. ([2.2](#page-1-0)) are the coupling constants in the gravitating baby-Skyrme model.

A. The ansatz

Assuming axial symmetry for the extra dimensions, the metric can be written in the following form

$$
ds^{2} = M^{2}(\rho)g_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} - d\rho^{2} - l^{2}(\rho)d\theta^{2}
$$
 (2.3)

where $\rho \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ are the coordinates associated with the extra dimensions.

We further model a cosmological constant on the brane by considering the following form of the four-dimensional subspace [described by $g_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}$ in Eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-1-1)]

$$
ds_{(4)}^2 = g_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = dt^2 - \delta_{ij} e^{2H(t)} dx^i dx^j \qquad (2.4)
$$

where $H(t)$ is a function of the time coordinate t.

The ansatz for the scalar triplet n is given by the hedgehog ansatz [\[14\]](#page-15-9):

$$
\mathbf{n} = (\sin f(\rho) \cos(n\theta), \sin f(\rho) \sin(n\theta), \cos f(\rho)). \quad (2.5)
$$

Let us note that there are some variations [\[28\]](#page-15-20) for choosing the potential term $V(n)$ in the baby-Skyrme model [\(2.2\)](#page-1-0). Here, we use the so-called *old* baby-Skyrmions potential, which reads

$$
V(n) = 1 - n \cdot n^{(\infty)} = 1 + \cos f(\rho), \qquad (2.6)
$$

where $n^{(\infty)} = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} n(\rho, \theta)$ is the vacuum configuration of the baby-Skyrme model.

B. Field equations of the model

In order to rewrite the system in terms of dimensionless quantities, we define

$$
r := \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_4}} \rho, \quad L(r) := \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_4}} l(r), \quad \mathcal{H}(t) := \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2}} H(t). \tag{2.7}
$$

We also introduce dimensionless parameters¹ according to

$$
\alpha := \chi_{(6)} \kappa_2, \qquad \beta := \Lambda_{(6)} \kappa_4 / \kappa_2^2, \qquad \mu := \kappa_0 \kappa_4 / \kappa_2^2. \tag{2.8}
$$

Finally, we introduce

$$
u(r) := 1 + \frac{n^2}{L^2(r)} \sin^2 f(r), \qquad v(r) := 1 - \frac{n^2}{L^2(r)} \sin^2 f(r)
$$

for latter convenience and use the notation $f' := \partial_r f(r)$.

After short computations, the baby-Skyrme equations and Einstein equations reduce to

$$
uf'' + \left(4\frac{M'}{M} + \frac{L'}{L} + \frac{u'}{u}\right)uf' - (1 + f'^2)\frac{n^2}{L^2}\sin f \cos f + \mu \sin f = 0,
$$
\n(2.9)

for the baby-Skyrme field and

$$
3\frac{M^{\prime 2}}{M^2} + \frac{L^{\prime\prime}}{L} + 3\frac{M^{\prime}L^{\prime}}{ML} + 3\frac{M^{\prime\prime}}{M} - 3\frac{\mathcal{H}^2_{,t}}{M^2} = \alpha(\tau_0 - \beta), \quad (2.10)
$$

$$
3\frac{M^{\prime 2}}{M^2} + \frac{L^{\prime\prime}}{L} + 3\frac{M^{\prime}L^{\prime}}{ML} + 3\frac{M^{\prime\prime}}{M} - \frac{2\mathcal{H}_{,t,t} + 3\mathcal{H}_{,t}^2}{M^2} = \alpha(\tau_0 - \beta),
$$
\n(2.11)

¹The dimensions of the model parameters are: $[\Lambda_{(6)}] = M^6$, $[\chi_{(6)}] = M^{-4}, [\kappa_2] = M^4, [\kappa_4] = M^2 [\kappa_0] = M^6.$

$$
6\frac{M^2}{M^2} + 4\frac{M'L'}{ML} - 3\frac{\mathcal{H}_{,t,t} + 2\mathcal{H}_{,t}^2}{M^2} = \alpha(\tau_r - \beta), \quad (2.12)
$$

$$
4\frac{M''}{M} + 6\frac{M'^2}{M^2} - 3\frac{\mathcal{H}_{,t,t} + 2\mathcal{H}_{,t}^2}{M^2} = \alpha(\tau_\theta - \beta), \quad (2.13)
$$

for the Einstein equation. We used the notation $\mathcal{H}_t :=$ $\partial_t \mathcal{H}(t)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{,t,t} := \partial_t^2 \mathcal{H}(t)$.

Let us note that Eqs. (2.10) (2.10) and (2.11) (2.11) are the fourdimensional components of the Einstein equation, while Eq. (2.12) (2.12) and (2.13) (2.13) (2.13) are the extra-dimensional components.

The components of the dimensionless energymomentum (EM) tensor in Eqs. ([2.10\)](#page-2-1), [\(2.11](#page-1-2)), ([2.12\)](#page-1-3), and [\(2.13](#page-2-2)) are given by

$$
\tau_0 = -\frac{1}{2}uf'^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{n^2}{L^2}\sin^2 f - \mu(1 + \cos f),
$$

\n
$$
\tau_r = \frac{1}{2}uf'^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{n^2}{L^2}\sin^2 f - \mu(1 + \cos f),
$$

\n
$$
\tau_\theta = -\frac{1}{2}vf'^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{n^2}{L^2}\sin^2 f - \mu(1 + \cos f).
$$
\n(2.14)

We supplement the system of Eqs. (2.9) , (2.10) (2.10) (2.10) , (2.11) , [\(2.12](#page-1-3)), and [\(2.13](#page-2-2)) by the following set of boundary conditions:

$$
f(0) = -(m-1)\pi, \qquad f(\infty) = \pi, \tag{2.15}
$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for the baby-Skyrme field and

$$
L(0) = 0,
$$
 $L'(0) = 1,$ $M(0) = 1,$ $M'(0) = 0,$
(2.16)

for the metric fields.

The above boundary conditions are required for regularity and finiteness of the energy.

Note that considering the hedgehog ansatz [\(2.5\)](#page-1-5) under the boundary condition ([2.15\)](#page-2-3), one can construct a topological charge (or winding number) defined as follows

$$
N = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_{\rho} \mathbf{n} \times \partial_{\theta} \mathbf{n}) d\rho d\theta
$$

= $\frac{n}{2} [1 + (-1)^{1-m}] \in \mathbb{Z}.$ (2.17)

C. Inflating four-dimensional slices

A solution for $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is actually trivial. Combining Eqs. [\(2.11](#page-1-2)) and ([2.10](#page-2-1)) leads to $\mathcal{H}_{t,t} = 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{t} =$ const $\equiv \mathcal{H}_0$. Thus, we obtain the simple solution given by

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(t\right) = \mathcal{H}_0 t \tag{2.18}
$$

where \mathcal{H}_0 is a constant and where we neglected an integration constant. Note that the integration constant can be absorbed by a global rescaling of the three-dimensional spacial coordinates.

The result [\(2.18](#page-2-4)) follows from the Ansatz for the fourdimensional metric ([2.4](#page-1-6)) and the important assumption under which the gravitational source $\mathcal{L}_{\text{baby}}$ is a function of the extra-dimensional coordinates only.

Therefore, we introduce a new dimensionless parameter

$$
\gamma := \mathcal{H}_0^2 \equiv \frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2} H_0^2, \tag{2.19}
$$

and we arrange the components of the Einstein equation in terms of γ :

$$
3\frac{M^{\prime 2}}{M^2} + \frac{L^{\prime\prime}}{L} + 3\frac{M^{\prime}L^{\prime}}{ML} + 3\frac{M^{\prime\prime}}{M} - 3\frac{\gamma}{M^2} = \alpha(\tau_0 - \beta), \quad (2.20)
$$

$$
6\frac{M^{\prime 2}}{M^2} + 4\frac{M^{\prime}L^{\prime}}{ML} - 6\frac{\gamma}{M^2} = \alpha(\tau_r - \beta), \qquad (2.21)
$$

$$
4\frac{M''}{M} + 6\frac{M'^2}{M^2} - 6\frac{\gamma^2}{M^2} = \alpha(\tau_\theta - \beta). \tag{2.22}
$$

Note that it is possible to interpret γ using the fourdimensional effective theory following the lines of Ref. [\[20\]](#page-15-14).

Seen differently, γ can be interpreted as a positive cosmological constant in the four-dimensional subspace of the full model, since in this case, $g_{ab}^{(4)}$ is such that $G_{ab}^{(4)} =$ $3H^2g_{ab}^{(4)}$, where $G_{ab}^{(4)}$ is the Einstein tensor computed with $g_{ab}^{(4)}$. Note that replacing the four-dimensional subspace by another Einstein space-time satisfying $G_{ab}^{(4)} = 3H^2 g_{ab}^{(4)}$, such as the Schwarzschild-de Sitter space-time, leads to the same equations.

Another useful quantity is the rescaled Ricci scalar which will be used later and is given by

$$
R = \frac{2L''}{L} + \frac{8L'M'}{LM} + \frac{8M''}{M} + \frac{12(M')^2}{M^2} - \frac{12\gamma}{M^2}.
$$
 (2.23)

III. SPECIAL SOLUTIONS FOR TOPOLOGICAL VACUUM

Let us for a moment consider the model with $f(r) \equiv \pi$, i.e. where the baby-Skyrme field is a topological vacuum configuration.

It is known that the Einstein equations [\(2.20](#page-2-5)), ([2.21\)](#page-2-6), and [\(2.22](#page-2-7)) for the topological vacuum have several special solutions. Such solutions are classified according to the model parameters, mainly β and γ . Before reviewing these special vacuum solutions, we shall present a useful relation derived in $[20]$ $[20]$ $[20]$. From Eqs. (2.21) (2.21) and (2.22) (2.22) , we have

$$
\frac{M''}{M} = \frac{M'L'}{ML} \Leftrightarrow L(r) = C_L \frac{dM(r)}{dr}
$$
 (3.1)

where C_L is an integration constant. The relation [\(3.1](#page-2-8)) is quite general except in some special cases, e.g. for $M = 0$ and $M' = 0$.

A. Flat branes case: $\gamma = 0$

Special solutions for $\gamma = 0$ have been investigated by many authors in Refs. [\[8](#page-15-21)[,10,](#page-15-5)[21\]](#page-15-15). We shortly summarize them here. Let C_1 , C_2 , and r_0 be constants of integrations; for $\beta = 0$, Eqs. ([2.20\)](#page-2-5), ([2.21\)](#page-2-6), and ([2.22](#page-2-7)) admit two different types of solutions: the string branch

$$
M_s^0(r) = C_1, \qquad L_s^0(r) = C_2(r - r_0), \qquad (3.2)
$$

and the Melvin branch

$$
M_m^0(r) = C_1(r - r_0)^{2/5}, \qquad L_m^0(r) = C_2(r - r_0)^{-3/5}.
$$
 (3.3)

For $\beta > 0$, Eqs. [\(2.20](#page-2-5)), ([2.21](#page-2-6)), and ([2.22\)](#page-2-7) have a set of the periodic solutions given by

$$
M_p^0(r) = C_1 \cos^{2/5} \sqrt{\frac{5\alpha\beta}{8}} (r - r_0),
$$

\n
$$
L_p^0(r) = C_2 \frac{\sin \sqrt{\frac{5\alpha\beta}{8}} (r - r_0)}{\cos^{3/5} \sqrt{\frac{5\alpha\beta}{8}} (r - r_0)}.
$$
\n(3.4)

For β < 0, Eqs. ([2.20](#page-2-5)), ([2.21\)](#page-2-6), and [\(2.22](#page-2-7)) again admit two different types of solutions; the warped solutions

$$
M_w^0(r) = C_1 \exp\left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha \beta}{10}}r\right),
$$

$$
L_w^0(r) = C_2 \exp\left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha \beta}{10}}r\right),
$$
 (3.5)

and the divergent solutions

$$
M_d^0(r) = C_1 \sinh^{2/5} \sqrt{\frac{-5\alpha\beta}{4}} (r - r_0),
$$

\n
$$
L_d^0(r) = C_2 \cosh^{2/5} \sqrt{\frac{-5\alpha\beta}{4}} (r - r_0).
$$
\n(3.6)

B. Inflating branes case: $\gamma \neq 0$

From now on, we discuss the inflating branes case, *i.e.* $\gamma \neq 0$. We start with a differential equation derived from Eqs. ([2.20\)](#page-2-5), [\(2.21\)](#page-2-6), and ([2.22](#page-2-7)), given by

$$
M^{\prime 2} = \frac{\gamma^2 M^3 - \frac{\alpha \beta}{10} M^5 + C}{M^3}
$$
 (3.7)

where C is an integration constant.

Equation [\(3.7\)](#page-3-1) admits two possibilities: $M' = 0$ and $M' \neq 0$.

a. The case of $M' = 0$. This case is equivalent to $M =$ const $\equiv M_C$. In this case, Eqs. ([3.7](#page-3-1)) and ([3.1](#page-2-8)) are not valid anymore, and we have to consider the full set of Einstein equations:

$$
M(r) = \sqrt{\frac{6H^2}{\Lambda}}, \qquad L(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\Lambda}} \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{2}}r\right). \tag{3.8}
$$

The solution [\(3.8\)](#page-3-2) can be interpreted as follows: The fourdimensional slice has a positive curvature. We add extra dimension with positive curvature as well. The curvature of the total space-time is still positive and related to the positive cosmological constant. Note indeed that this solution is well defined only for positive bulk cosmological constant.

b. The case of $M' \neq 0$. From Eqs. ([2.20\)](#page-2-5), ([2.21](#page-2-6)), and [\(2.22](#page-2-7)), we find a solution of $M(r)$ as a quadrature and a solution of $L(r)$ as follows [\[20\]](#page-15-14):

$$
r - r_0 = \int dM \sqrt{\frac{M^3}{\gamma^2 M^3 - \frac{\alpha \beta}{10} M^5 + C}}
$$

\n
$$
L(r) = C_L \frac{dM}{dr} = C_L \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2 M^3 - \frac{\alpha \beta}{10} M^5 + C}{M^3}}
$$
 (3.9)

Here, we limit the study to the case $C = 0$, the case with $C \neq 0$ involves elliptic functions. For $\beta = 0$, we have a cigartype set of solutions given by

$$
M_c(r) = \gamma(r - r_0),
$$
 $L_c(r) = L_0 \equiv \gamma C_L.$ (3.10)

For $\beta > 0$, we again have periodic solutions

$$
M_p(r) = \sqrt{\frac{10\gamma^2}{\alpha\beta}} \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta}{10}}(r - r_0)\right),
$$

\n
$$
L_p(r) = L_0 \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta}{10}}(r - r_0)\right).
$$
\n(3.11)

For β < 0, we have diverging solutions

$$
M_d(r) = \sqrt{\frac{10\gamma^2}{-\alpha\beta}} \sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{-\alpha\beta}{10}}(r - r_0)\right),
$$

\n
$$
L_d(r) = L_0 \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{-\alpha\beta}{10}}(r - r_0)\right).
$$
\n(3.12)

IV. ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTIONS

A. Near origin development

The near origin behavior of the functions f, L, M subject to the boundary conditions (2.15) (2.15) and (2.16) (2.16) (2.16) is given by

$$
f(r) = -(m-1)\pi + f^{(n)}(0)r^n/n! + \mathcal{O}(r)^{n+1} \qquad (4.1)
$$

$$
L(r) = r + \frac{-2\gamma - \alpha(\beta + \mu - (-1)^m \mu)}{4} \frac{r^3}{3!} + \mathcal{O}(r)^4 \quad (4.2)
$$

$$
M(r) = 1 + \frac{2\gamma - \alpha(\beta + \mu - (-1)^m \mu)}{4} \frac{r^2}{2!} + \mathcal{O}(r)^3, \tag{4.3}
$$

where $f^{(n)}$ stands for *n*th derivative of f.

Note that higher-order corrections are straightforward to compute.

B. Large r limit

In this section, we give the leading asymptotic correction to the functions f, M, L. We focus on the case $\gamma \neq 0$. The asymptotic solution is then given by [\(3.10\)](#page-3-3), ([3.12](#page-3-4)), and (3.11) (3.11) according to the sign of β . Note however that we could not find the subleading corrections in the case $\beta > 0$; in this case, the metric functions are given to the leading order in terms of trigonometric functions; it is not possible to neglect such terms.

1. β < 0

Considering the topological vacuum solution plus a fluctuation and suppressing subdominant terms in the equations, we get

$$
\delta f \approx f_1^- e^{-(r/4)(\sqrt{-10\alpha\beta} + \sqrt{-10\alpha\beta + 16\mu})} + f_2^- e^{-(r/4)(\sqrt{-10\alpha\beta} - \sqrt{-10\alpha\beta + 16\mu})}
$$
(4.4)

$$
\delta M \approx M_1^- e^{\sqrt{-\alpha \beta / 10}r} + M_2^- e^{-\sqrt{-8\alpha \beta / 5}r}, \tag{4.5}
$$

$$
\delta L \approx L_1^- e^{\sqrt{-\alpha \beta/10}r} + L_2^- e^{-\sqrt{-8\alpha \beta/5}r}, \qquad (4.6)
$$

where δM and δf denote the fluctuation around $M(r)$
and $f(r)$ such that $f(r) = -(m-1)\pi + \delta f(r)$, $M(r) =$ and $f(r)$ such that $f(r) = -(m-1)\pi + \delta f(r)$, $M(r) = \sqrt{-10\gamma/(3\alpha\beta)} \sinh(\sqrt{-\alpha\beta/10}r) + \delta M(r)$; and where $f_1^-, f_2^-, M_1^-, M_2^-, L_1^-, L_2^-$ are arbitrary constants.

Clearly, we are looking for the modes with $M_1^- = f_2^ L_1^- = 0$ such that δf and δM are indeed fluctuations.

2. $\beta = 0$

Once again, we start from the topological vacuum plus a fluctuation. Here, it is possible to solve for the fluctuations without further assumptions:

$$
\delta f = f_1^0 x^{-(3/2)} K_{3/2}(x), \tag{4.7}
$$

$$
\delta M = M_1^0 + \frac{M_2^0}{r^2},\tag{4.8}
$$

$$
\delta L = L_1^0 + \frac{L_2^0}{r^2},\tag{4.9}
$$

where $x = r \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{L_0^2 \gamma} + \mu}$, $K_n(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of second kind and f_1^0 , M_1^0 , M_2^0 , L_1^0 , L_2^0 are arbitrary constants. In this case, we are interested in solutions with $L_1^0 = M_1^0 = 0.$

Note that for large r , the function f decays as e $-r\sqrt{\frac{(n^2/L_0^2\gamma)+\mu}{r^2}}$ $\frac{1}{r^2}$.

V. NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS

We solved the system of ordinary differential equations numerically with the solver Colsys [[29](#page-15-22)] for many values of the parameters. Because of the large number of parameters, we decided to adopt the following approach: we keep fixed the value of the gravitational coupling, the bulk cosmological constant and the winding numbers. Then, we vary the Hubble factor for different values of the strength of the potential. Note that the model without inflating 4D slices has been studied [[17](#page-15-11)]; the way we treat the problem allows a direct visualization of the influence of the Hubble parameter on the pattern of solutions.

Before discussing the case $\gamma \neq 0$, we shortly remind the solutions obtained in Ref. [[17](#page-15-11)]. Essentially, two types of solutions were found for any sign of the bulk cosmological constant; for instance, in the case $\beta = 0$, the branches of solutions were discovered, with asymptotic corresponding, respectively, to flat space (String branch) and one analogue to the Melvin universe (Melvin branch). In the following, we will present families of solutions for $\gamma \neq 0$, extrapolating between these two sets.

In the analysis, we focused on the surface energy of the Skyrmion E and its mean square radius (MSR) defined as

$$
E = 2\pi \int_0^\infty T_0^0 L(r) dr,
$$

\n
$$
\text{MSR} = \int_0^\infty r^2 f'(r) \sin^2 f(r) dr,
$$
\n(5.1)

where $T_0^0 = -\tau_0$, see [\(2.14\)](#page-2-10). The mean square radius allows to characterize the extension of the brane in the transverse direction; the more MSR is small, the more the brane is localized.

Our results are summarized in Fig. [1](#page-4-1) and [2](#page-5-0) for $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.1$, respectively, and

FIG. 1. The value of the energy and of the mean square radius as a function of γ for various values of μ and for $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 0.1.$

FIG. 2. The value of the energy and of the mean square radius as a function of γ for various values of μ and for $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.1.$

 $m = n = 1$. When the value of γ increases for fixed α , β , μ , the mean square radius decreases while the energy decreases for small values of γ and increases for larger values. In some intermediate values of γ , the energy of the Skyrmion passes through a minimum. The minimum occurs at smaller values of γ when the value of μ is smaller. Note also that increasing values of μ leads to decreasing values of the mean square radius and decreasing values of the energy.

We present typical profiles of the solutions for $m = 2, 3$ in Fig. [3](#page-5-1) and [4,](#page-5-2) respectively, for nonvanishing values of the parameters. These figures show that there are three possible geometries depending on the sign of the cosmological

FIG. 4 (color online). The typical profiles of the solutions for different signs of β for $m = 3$. Here again, it is not possible to distinguish the different profiles for the function f .

constant (see Ref. [[20](#page-15-14)]): opened (β > 0), flat (β = 0), and closed (β < 0); all three geometries with angular deficits. This effect seems to be a generic feature of a model where the four-dimensional branes are inflating.

Pushing the investigation forward, it appears that the inflating baby Skyrmion exists up to a maximal value of the parameter γ (the Hubble parameter). A second branch of solution exists as well for values of γ lower than the maximal value. The second branch is of the same type as the first one and connects the two types of solutions available in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. It should be mentioned that we start with the string solution in the case $\beta = 0$ and increase the value of γ until the second branch is reached, then we decrease γ along the second branch. Figures [5](#page-5-3) and [6](#page-6-0) shows some relevant numerical parameters characterizing the solution (resp. the energy and the square mean radius) with $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\beta = 0$.

The case $n = 2$ is however completely different. The solution still exists up to a maximal value of γ , but then the

FIG. 5 (color online). The value of the derivative at the origin of the baby-Skyrme field, the coefficient L_0 of the metric function L in [\(3.10](#page-3-3)) for $\beta = 0$.

FIG. 6 (color online). The value of the energy and of the square mean radius for the two branches with $\beta = 0$, $m = 1$, $n = 1$.

solution crashes; ,in the sense that the second derivative at the origin diverges at the maximal value of γ (recall that the function f behaves like $f \sim -(m - 1)\pi + f_2r^2$ close to the origin). This is shown in Fig. [7](#page-6-1) where the asymptotic value of L and the second derivative of f at the origin are shown. We did not find a second branch in this case; if such a branch exists, it seems unlikely that it will connect smoothly to the branch we constructed.

Note that the cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ are also different from the geometrical point of view: the scalar curvature vanishes at the origin for $n = 2$ while it goes to a nonvanishing constant for $n = 1$. This is illustrated on Fig. [8](#page-6-2)

FIG. 7 (color online). The asymptotic value of L and the second derivative of f at the origin (in log scale) for $\beta = 0$, $m = 1$, $n = 2$. The second derivative f_2 diverges at the maximal value of γ . The window is a zoom if the region close to the maximal value of γ showing f_2 (not in log scale).

and [9](#page-7-1) for $\beta = 0$, $n = 1$ (resp $n = 2$) where we show the metric functions, the baby-Skyrme function, and the scalar curvature; the picture is similar for nonvanishing values of β .

The existence of an upper bound on γ can be understood as follows: first, recall that the energy of the baby-Skyrmion is given by

$$
E = E_2 + E_4 + E_0;
$$

\n
$$
E_2 = \int d^D x \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \left(f'^2 + \frac{n^2}{l^2} \sin^2 f \right),
$$

\n
$$
E_4 = \int d^D x \frac{\kappa_4}{4} \frac{2n^2}{l^2} \sin^2 f f'^2,
$$

\n
$$
E_0 = \int d^D x \kappa_0 (1 + \cos f).
$$

\n(5.2)

FIG. 8 (color online). The typical profile of the metric functions, the baby-Skyrme field and the reduced scalar curvature for $n = 1, m = 1.$

FIG. 9 (color online). The typical profile of the metric functions, the baby-Skyrme field and the reduced scalar curvature for $n = 2, m = 1.$

If one considers the scaling $x \rightarrow x' \equiv \lambda x$, the energy becomes

$$
E \to \lambda^{2-D} E_2 + \lambda^{4-D} E_4 + \lambda^{-D} E_0; \tag{5.3}
$$

since a solution should minimize the energy, the following relation should hold for $D = 2$:

$$
\frac{dE}{d\lambda}\bigg|_{D=2} = 2\lambda E_4 - 2\lambda^{-3} E_0 = 0, \qquad \therefore \quad \lambda = \left(\frac{E_0}{E_4}\right)^{1/4}.
$$
\n(5.4)

Recall that in our study, we use dimensionless quantities defined in ([2.7](#page-1-7)) and ([2.8](#page-1-8)). Large values (or infinity) of γ then essentially correspond to:

- (i) one is the case of $[H_0 \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed κ_2 , κ_4];
- (ii) the other is $[\kappa_2 \rightarrow 0, \kappa_4$: fixed], or $[\kappa_2$: fixed $\kappa_4 \rightarrow \infty$].

First, we consider the case of $[\kappa_2 \rightarrow 0, \kappa_4$: fixed]. For fixed values of μ , $\kappa_0 \rightarrow 0$ with the same rate than κ_2 [see [\(2.8\)](#page-1-8)]. In this case, the energy functional ([5.3](#page-7-2)) seems to have no stationary point; therefore, no nontrivial solution is possible. Note also that if $\kappa_2 \rightarrow 0$, the gravitational constant χ ₍₆₎ goes to infinity for fixed α [see ([2.8](#page-1-8))], which should spoil the solution. In terms of ([2.7](#page-1-7)), the dimensionless quantities r , L shift to smaller values. We have confirmed by our numerical results that the functions f, M shift to lower r while L reduces in absolute value, along with the above consideration.

In the second case, namely $[\kappa_2: \text{fixed}, \kappa_4 \rightarrow 0]$, the energy functional has no stationary point neither. Nothing particular happens to the gravitational constant. On the other hand, the asymptotic solution of the baby Skyrmions for the flat space which has the form [\[15\]](#page-15-23)

$$
f(r) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_0}\right)^{1/4} r^{-1/2} e^{-\sqrt{(\kappa_0/\kappa_2)}r},
$$

which is clearly diverging in the limit of $\kappa_0 \rightarrow 0 \kappa_2$: fixed. From this point of view, we expect an upper limit of κ_4 , i.e. γ .

Finally, for $[H_0 \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed κ_2 , κ_4 , it has been previously noticed that, at least for the vacuum solution, the function $L \rightarrow 0$ as H_0 increases [[20\]](#page-15-14).

Putting everything together, it seems quite natural that the solutions exhibit an upper limit on γ for finding the solutions of our system.

VI. STABILITY OF THE INFLATING BABY-SKYRME BRANE

In this section, we consider a general perturbation h_{AB} around the background metric:

$$
ds^{2} = M(r)^{2}(dt^{2} - \exp(2Ht)d\vec{x}^{2}) - dr^{2} - L(r)^{2}d\theta^{2}
$$

$$
+ h_{AB}(x^{A})dx^{A}dx^{B}, \qquad (6.1)
$$

and we choose the transverse traceless gauge $\nabla_A h^{AB}$ = $h_A^A = 0$. Note that $h^{AB} = g^{AC} g^{BD} h_{CD}$, g being the background metric, and the inverse perturbed metric is given to order one in h by $g^{AB} - h^{AB}$.

We will focus on the case $\beta = 0$, already quite involved. We parametrize the metric perturbation according to

$$
h_{AB} = e^{\Omega t - iN\theta} \begin{pmatrix} M(r)^2 H_{11}(r) & H_{12}(r) & \dots & H_{15}(r) & H_{16}(r) \\ H_{12}(r) & M(r)^2 e^{2Ht} H_{22}(r) & \dots & H_{25}(r) & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ H_{16}(r) & \dots & \dots & \dots & L(r)^2 H_{66}(r) \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (6.2)

The gauge conditions, $\nabla_A h^{AB} = h^A_A = 0$ reduce to

$$
H_{11} + H_{22} + H_{33} + H_{44} + H_{55} + H_{66} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{L^2 M^4} (LM^2L'H_{5j} + L^2(M(4M'H_{5j} + MH'_{5j}(r)) - (3H + \Omega)H_{1j}) - iNM(r)^2H_{6j}) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{L^2 M^2} (LM^2L'(H_{66} - H_{55}) + L^2(M(M'H_{11} + M'H_{22} + M'H_{33} + M'H_{44} - 4M'H_{55} - MH'_{55}) - (6.3)
$$

\n
$$
- (3H + \Omega)H_{15}) - iNM^2H_{56} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{L^2 M^3} (-LML'H_{15} + L^2(M((3H + \Omega)H_{11} - H'_{15} - HH_{22} - HH_{33} - HH_{44}) - 4M'H_{15}) + iNMH_{61}) = 0,
$$

\n(6.3)

where $j = 2, 3, 4, 6$. From the imaginary part of the gauge conditions, it follows that $H_{6A} = 0, A = 1, \ldots, 6$. It is then possible to solve the gauge conditions for H_{55} and H'_{5m} , $m = 1, \ldots, 5.$

The matter field is parametrized as follows:

$$
\vec{n} = (\sin(f(r) + \delta F(t, r, \theta)) \sin n\theta, \sin(f(r)) + \delta F(t, r, \theta)) \cos n\theta, \cos(f(r) + \delta F(t, r, \theta))).
$$
 (6.4)

We parametrize the time and angular dependence according to

$$
\delta F(t, r, \theta) = e^{\Omega t - iN\theta} \phi(r). \tag{6.5}
$$

In this setting, the perturbed gravity equation in the transverse traceless gauge are given by

$$
-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_L)_{ABCD}h^{CD}
$$

$$
:= -\frac{1}{2}(\Box g_{AC}g_{BD} + 2R_{ABCD})h^{CD}
$$

$$
= \alpha \left(\delta T_{AB} + \frac{1}{4}(\delta T g_{AB} + Th_{AB} - \Lambda h_{AB})\right), \quad (6.6)
$$

where T is the trace of the stress tensor, where δT_{AB} is the first order variation of the stress tensor due to the variation of the matter field and of the metric fields, and where we restored dimensionless functions and parameters.

We first work out the gravity equations. The component $(2,2)$, $(3,3)$, $(4,4)$ of the equations (6.6) (6.6) (6.6) are formally the same for H_{22} (resp. H_{33} , H_{44}), allowing to set H_{33} = $H_{44} = H_{22}$. Furthermore, Eqs. ([6.6](#page-8-0)) are compatible with

$$
H_{12} = H_{13} = H_{14} = H_{23} = H_{24} = H_{25} = H_{34} = H_{35} = 0,
$$
\n(6.7)

by looking at the corresponding components of ([6.6](#page-8-0)).

The surviving degrees of freedom are H_{11} , H_{22} , H_{51} . It turns out that it is possible to get a single equation out the components $(1,1)$, $(2,2)$, $(5,5)$, $(5,1)$, $(6,6)$ of (6.6) by solving these equations for H''_{11} , H'_{22} , H''_{22} , H_{51} . In other words, we eliminate H_{51} , and it turns out that the equation for H_{22} and for H_{11} decouple. Note that solutions to [\(6.6\)](#page-8-0) are not pure gauge as long as the right-hand side does not vanish. We will consider the equation for H_{11} , given by

$$
- h''(r) + V_1(r)h'(r) + V_2(r)h(r)
$$

+ $S_1(r)\phi(r) + S_2(r)\phi'(r) = 0,$ (6.8)

$$
V_1(r) := -\frac{L'}{L} - 8\frac{M'}{M},\tag{6.9}
$$

$$
V_2(r) := \frac{n^2 \alpha f'^2 \sin^2 f}{2L^2} - \frac{1}{4} \alpha f'^2 - \frac{n^2 \alpha \sin^2 f}{4L^2} - \alpha \mu \cos f
$$

$$
- \frac{2L'M'}{LM} + \frac{N^2}{L^2} - \frac{2M''}{M} - \frac{12M'^2}{M^2} + \frac{3\sqrt{\gamma}\omega}{M^2}
$$

$$
+ \frac{2\gamma}{M^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{M^2} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} - \alpha \mu,
$$
 (6.10)

where we use $h(r) = H_{11}(r)$ for shortness, $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2}}$ $\sqrt{\frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2}}\Omega$, and where S_1 , S_2 are an involved combination of the background functions but are straightforward to compute.

The linearized equation for the matter fields is quite long and can be obtained from the variation of the reduced action, leading to an equation of the following form for the matter field perturbation:

$$
M^{2} \phi''(r) + P_{1}(r) \phi'(r) + (P_{2}(r) + m^{2}) \phi(r)
$$

+ $T_{1}(r)h(r) = 0,$ (6.11)

where

$$
P_1(r) := -\frac{M^2}{n^2 \sin^2 f + L^2} \Big[(N^2 - 3)n^2 f' \sin 2f
$$

+ $\frac{L'}{L} (n^2 \sin^2 f - L^2) - 4 \frac{M'}{M} (n^2 \sin^2 f + L^2) \Big]$

$$
P_2(r) := -\frac{M^2}{n^2 \sin^2 f + L^2} \Big[n^2 \frac{L'}{L} f' \sin 2f
$$

+ $n^2 \cos 2f((N^2 - 3)f'^2 + N^2 - 1)$
- $n^2 \sin 2f \Big(f'' + 4f' \frac{M'}{M} \Big) - \mu L^2 (N^2 - 1) \cos f \Big]$
(6.12)

$$
T_1(r) := \frac{H(5\sqrt{\gamma} + 2\omega)(2M(r)^2 + 1)f'(r)}{2M(r)^3 M'(r)},
$$
 (6.13)

where we defined $m^2 := -\omega(3\sqrt{\gamma} + \omega)$ (see the following discussion).

Note that the system [\(6.8\)](#page-8-1) and [\(6.11](#page-8-2)) constitutes an eigenvalue-like problem, the eigenvalue being essentially given by ω .

In fact, m^2 appears as the (dimensionless) fourdimensional masses of the scalar four-dimensional harmonics ψ_m :

$$
\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\psi_{m} = (\partial_{t}^{2} + 3\sqrt{\gamma}\partial_{t} - \triangle)\psi_{m} = -m^{2}\psi_{m}. \quad (6.14)
$$

Assuming the particular parametrization of the perturbations (they do not depend on the four-dimensional spatial coordinates), m^2 indeed reduces to $-\omega(3\sqrt{\gamma} + \omega)$.

In this case, we easily find the solution of (6.14) (6.14) :

$$
\psi_m \sim e^{(-(3H/2) \pm \sqrt{(3H/2)^2 - m^2})t}.\tag{6.15}
$$

It follows that the relevant parameter for the stability is m^2 ; modes with m^2 < 0 leads to tachyonic instabilities while modes with $m^2 > 0$ are stable.

A. Numerical analysis: The formal discussion

The most obvious boundary conditions are the conditions at infinity, where all the perturbations should vanish:

$$
\phi(\infty) = h(\infty) = 0. \tag{6.16}
$$

In order not to spoil the topological properties of the baby Skyrmion, we need to fix $\phi(0) = 0$. Close to the origin, the function h should behave like $h \approx r^N$ (the detail of the asymptotic equation is the same as in the next section).

In practice, we integrate Eqs. [\(6.8\)](#page-8-1) and [\(6.11](#page-8-2)) in the following way: first, we integrate the equations between 0 and some intermediate values, say r_m , using a Runge-Kutta algorithm at order 4, with the following boundary conditions: $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi'(0) = \delta_1$, $h'(0) = 0$, $h(0) = h_0$ for some real values of δ_0 , h_0 . Then we integrate the equations backwards from a large value of the radial coordinates, say r_l to r_m , imposing the suitable decay of the functions ϕ , h and their derivatives, given later. We generate two sets of two linearly independent solutions, one between 0 and r_m , say h_L^1 , ϕ_L^1 and h_L^2 , ϕ_L^2 , and the second between r_m and r_l , say h_R^1 , ϕ_R^1 and h_R^1 , ϕ_R^1 . The general solution is given by

$$
h(r) = \begin{cases} ah_R^1 + bh_R^2, & \text{for } r < r_m, \\ ch_L^1 + dh_L^2, & \text{for } r > r_m, \end{cases}
$$
 (6.17)

$$
\phi(r) = \begin{cases} a\phi_R^1 + b\phi_R^2, & \text{for } r < r_m, \\ c\phi_L^1 + d\phi_L^2, & \text{for } r > r_m, \end{cases}
$$
(6.18)

where a, b, c, $d \in \mathbb{R}$. The solution is smooth if the values of the function and of their derivative match at the intermediate value r_m . The matching condition is expressed by

$$
\det \begin{pmatrix} h_L^1(r_m) & h_L^2(r_m) & h_R^1(r_m) & h_R^2(r_m) \\ \phi_L^1(r_m) & \phi_L^2(r_m) & \phi_R^1(r_m) & \phi_L^2(r_m) \\ h_L^{1'}(r_m) & h_L^{2'}(r_m) & h_R^{1'}(r_m) & h_R^{2'}(r_m) \\ \phi_L^1(r_m) & \phi_L^2'(r_m) & \phi_R^1'(r_m) & \phi_R^2'(r_m) \end{pmatrix} = 0.
$$
\n(6.19)

We computed the decay of the functions h , ϕ by solving to the leading order the perturbation equations in the asymptotic region. In the case $\beta = 0$, we find

$$
\phi \sim F_1 \frac{1}{r^2} e^{-\left[\sqrt{(N^2 - 1)((1/L_0^2) + \mu)}\right]r}, \qquad h \sim H_1 \frac{1}{r^4} e^{-(N/L_0)r},\tag{6.20}
$$

where F_1 , H_1 are normalization factor. (The detailed analysis will be shown in next subsection.)

In principle, we are able to integrate Eqs. ([6.8\)](#page-8-1) and ([6.11\)](#page-8-2) based on the method described above, and actually have found some solutions. However, the numerical investigation was plagued by number of difficulties:

- (i) The coefficients of the system of coupled differential equations are given in terms of numerically computed functions. These functions were however computed with a relative precision of order 10^{-5} .
- (ii) Since we integrate the equations from 0 to some maximal value of r , say r_c , the boundary condition imposed are not exact. We checked that the solution follows the correct decay for larger values of r by integrating the solution from r_c to $r_c + \delta$, δ being a real number. It turned out that close to r_c , the decay was good, but the error due to the cutoff showed up further from r_c . However, varying r_c did not influence much the eigenvalues.

Thus we admit that our results are acceptable as preliminary results which give us an initial guess of the true eigenvalues. We will present more details in a further publication and here, we will analyze in detail the stability of the matter sector (resp. gravitational sector) in a fixed gravitational (resp. matter field) background in terms of a slightly different scheme.

B. Stability of the baby-Skyrmions with fixed gravitational background

In this section, we shall solve the eigenequation ([6.11\)](#page-8-2) where all the gravitational background fields remain unperturbed. This means that terms involving h are eliminated from ([6.11\)](#page-8-2). The equation becomes decoupled from h. Since the equation involves coefficients to be evaluated numerically, we should of course solve it using numerical methods. Before moving to the numerical resolution, we might be able to get some intuitions about sign of the eigenvalues, which would give indications on the stability properties of the solutions.

We follow a scheme employed for the analysis of the six-dimensional Abelian vortex [\[9](#page-15-4)]. Equation [\(6.11](#page-8-2)) can be rewritten in the form of a zero-eigenvalue mode Schrödinger equation for the function

$$
\psi(r) := \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \int^r \frac{P_1(s)}{M^2(s)} ds\right] \phi(r),\tag{6.21}
$$

namely,

$$
-\psi'' + V(r)\psi = 0, \tag{6.22}
$$

where the potential is

$$
V(r) = W(r)' + W^{2}(r) - \frac{P_{2} + m^{2}}{M^{2}}, \qquad W(r) = \frac{P_{1}}{2M^{2}}.
$$
\n(6.23)

Equation ([6.22](#page-10-0)) can be understood in the context of so-called supersymmetric quantum mechanics [\[30\]](#page-15-24) where the function $W(r)$ is identified as the superpotential in the supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY QM). The equation can be rewritten by using the operator

$$
A = \frac{d}{dr} + W(r), \qquad A^{\dagger} = -\frac{d}{dr} + W(r), \qquad (6.24)
$$

namely,

$$
\left(AA^{\dagger} - \frac{P_2 + m^2}{M^2}\right)\psi = 0.
$$
 (6.25)

Formally, the lowest eigenvalue of the operator AA^{\dagger} equals to the first excited state of the $A^{\dagger}A$, obtained by reversing the order of the operator A, A^{\dagger} . If the ground state of the operator $A^{\dagger}A$ is a zero mode, i.e. $A^{\dagger}A \psi = 0$, one easily sees that the eigenvalues of the operator AA^{\dagger} are positivedefinite. Note however that the potential in the $A^{\dagger}A$ contains deep negative well, so has many negative eigenvalues. Note also that strictly speaking, V_M is not exactly a supersymmetric potential, due to the presence of the additional $(P_2 + m^2)/M^2$ term.

Here, we plot the effective potential

$$
V_M(r) := W'(r) + W(r)^2 - \frac{P_2(r)}{M^2(r)}.
$$
 (6.26)

In order that ([6.22](#page-10-0)) exhibits a zero-eigenvalue solution, the potential $V_M - m^2/M^2$ should contain some negatives regions. In Fig. [10](#page-10-1), we plot the function $V_M(r)$ for the first few of N with a typical gravitational background. The case of $m^2 > 0$ is trivial; by suitably adjusting values of m^2 , we could get the solutions. Those are the eigenvalues which might be observed at the LHC or some other probes. On the other hand, for $m^2 < 0$, the situation is more complicated. If the potential is positive-definite, apparently we have no solution of ([6.22](#page-10-0)); thus, the possibility of tachyonic mode is removed. From this point of view, the solutions for $N = 0$, 1 seem to have no tachyonic mode. However, the potentials for $N \ge 2$ have a negative pit at the core of the brane, which seems to grow as N increases. Thus, the tachyonic mode may occur especially for larger N. For the case of $N = 2$, the potential is shallow but still has a negative well; the equation might have a tachyonic

FIG. 10. The potential V_M ([6.26\)](#page-10-2) for $n = 1$ with the parameter $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = -0.15, \gamma = 0.02, \mu = 0.1.$

mode. In order to go further, however, we have to rely on the numerical study.

The eigen problem can be solved numerically by the standard predictor-corrector method [[31](#page-15-25)]. The detail of the procedure is described in the Appendix. Here, we shall examine information of limiting behavior of the fluctuation ϕ , but first, we will study the near origin and asymptotic behavior of the fluctuation ϕ .

1. Asymptotic behaviors

At the vicinity of the origin, the asymptotic form of solution depends on the winding number n . By using the asymptotic solution at the vicinity of the origin ([4.1](#page-3-6)), [\(4.2\)](#page-3-7), and (4.3) (4.3) (4.3) , one can find the linearized equation of (6.11) as

$$
\phi'' + \frac{p_1}{r}\phi' + \frac{p_2}{r^2}\phi = 0
$$
\n(6.27)

which has a solution as the form

$$
\phi(r) = c_+ r^{\lambda_+} + c_- r^{\lambda_-},
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} [(1 - p_1) \pm \sqrt{(1 - p_1)^2 - 4p_2}]
$$
\n(6.28)

where c_{\pm} are arbitrary integration constants. For $n = 1$, the coefficients of the equation are

$$
p_1 = -\frac{1}{1+u^2}(2N^2u^2 - 5u^2 - 1), \qquad p_2 = N^2 - 1
$$
\n(6.29)

where $u := f'(0)$. After a slight examination, one finds the regular solution only the case for $N \ge 2$. Similarly, for $n = 2$

$$
p_1 = 1, \qquad p_2 = -4(N^2 - 1) \tag{6.30}
$$

so one can easily see that for $N \ge 2$, the solutions are regular. As a conclusion, the solutions at the origin are regular for $N \ge 2$ but not for $N < 2$.

For large values of the radial coordinate, the behavior of the function ϕ essentially depends on the sign of $\beta := \Lambda_6 \kappa_4 / \kappa_2^2$. For $\beta > 0$, the metric solutions are periodic. It is an unpleasant feature; the periodic behavior seems to interrupt us to find the good asymptotics. Of course, it does not necessarily indicate that the solution is unstable, but, for the time being, we concentrate our analysis on the case $\beta \leq 0$.

Recall that for $\beta = 0$, ([3.10](#page-3-3)) and ([4.9](#page-4-2)) leads to

$$
f \sim \pi
$$
, $M \sim \gamma r$, $L \sim L_0$ (6.31)

where L_0 is a constant. So, sufficiently far from the origin, Eq. ([6.11](#page-8-2)) becomes

$$
r^{2} \phi'' + 4r \phi' + \left[-(N^{2} - 1) \left(\mu + \frac{n^{2}}{L_{0}^{2}} \right) r^{2} + \frac{m^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \right] \phi = 0; \tag{6.32}
$$

Since this equation is a kind of Bessel's differential equation, the solutions can be written in terms of Bessel function with real/complex variables. The asymptotic solution is of the form

$$
\phi(r) \sim \frac{1}{r^2} \exp\left(-\sqrt{(N^2 - 1)\left(\frac{n^2}{L_0^2} + \mu\right)}r\right).
$$
 (6.33)

Thus, we can find nonasymptotically diverging modes of the function ϕ for all value of N. (Note that because of the condition at the origin, only $N \ge 2$ is acceptable.)

For β < 0, from [\(3.12](#page-3-4)), ([4.4](#page-4-3)), [\(4.5\)](#page-4-4), and ([4.6](#page-4-5)), the asymptotic behavior of the solutions is

$$
f \sim \pi
$$
, $M \sim \frac{\gamma}{\xi} \sinh \xi r$, $L \sim L_0 \cosh \xi r$, $\xi := \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha \beta}{10}}$. (6.34)

Equation [\(6.11](#page-8-2)) in the asymptotic region reduces to

$$
\sinh^2 \xi r \phi'' + \xi (\sinh \xi r \cosh \xi r + 4 \cosh^2 \xi r) \phi'
$$

+
$$
\left[-(N^2 - 1) \left(\frac{n^2}{L_0^2} + \mu \cosh^2 \xi r \right) + \frac{\xi^2 m^2}{\gamma^2} \right] \phi = 0.
$$
(6.35)

For sufficiently large r , the equation becomes

$$
\phi'' + 5\xi\phi' - \mu(N^2 - 1)\phi = 0, \qquad (6.36)
$$

and the localizing modes are thus

$$
\phi(r) \sim \exp\left[\left(-\frac{5}{2} \xi \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{2} \xi \right)^2 + \mu (N^2 - 1)} \right) r \right].
$$
 (6.37)

For $N \ge 2$, only the minus sign is available while for $N =$ 0, both signs are acceptable.

2. The numerical results

For $N = 2$, we have found a tower of positive, discrete eigenvalues for both zero and negative β . In Fig. [11,](#page-11-0) we plot some eigenfunctions ϕ of Eq. ([6.11](#page-8-2)) for $n = 1, 2$ and $\beta = 0$ or -0.15. The corresponding eigenvalues are assembled in Table [I.](#page-12-0) For all cases, we have many localized modes; there are essentially no notable differences for the changes of β or *n*. On the other hand, for $N \ge 2$, the tachyonic modes may exist because of the deep negative potential. In Fig. [12](#page-12-1), we show the eigenvalues corresponding to the eigenfunction with no-node for the parameter set: $\alpha = 0.1, \ \beta = 0, \ -0.15, \ \gamma = 0.02, \ \mu = 0.1.$ At least, within this parameter set, we find that we unavoidably get the tachyonic modes except for $N = 2, 3$.

We also study the stability property of the second (''unstable'') branch. The eigenvalues are assembled in

FIG. 11. A first few of the fluctuations ϕ . We denote 2S, 2P, \cdots which indicate $N = 2$ and the number of nodes. The background profiles are of the parameter $\alpha = 0.1$, $\gamma = 0.02$, $\mu = 0.1$. The left is for $(m, n) = (1, 1)$, $\beta = 0$. The right is for $(m, n) = (1, 2)$, $\beta = -0.15$.

TABLE I. For the case of $N = 2$, the eigenvalues $m²$ of Eq. [\(6.11](#page-8-2)) for $(m, n) = (1, 1), (1, 2)$ with the parameter $\alpha = 0.1$, $\gamma =$ 0.02, $\mu = 0.1, \beta = 0.0, -0.15.$

	$n=1$		$n = 2$	
	$\beta = 0$	$\beta < 0$	$\beta = 0$	< 0
S	0.4759	0.5264	1.4491	0.8249
P	1.5109	1.6485	8.8910	6.5876
D	2.1979	2.4023	16.657	11.885
F	2.9344	3.2142	24.377	15.899
G	3.7193	4.0860	31.362	19.390

Table [II](#page-12-2). For the second branch solutions, we got the highly localized eigenfunction for the fluctuation ϕ ; as a result, the eigenvalues are quite higher than the first branches. Note that our obtained eigenvalues are dimensionless ones. In order to recover the dimensionful one, we need information about the Skyrme parameters κ_2 , κ_4 . In Ref. [\[17\]](#page-15-11), we have estimated masses of fundamental fermions localized on the warped baby-Skyrmion branes with negative cosmological constant. In rough speculation, we can extract the value of parameter as $\sqrt{\kappa_2/\kappa_4} \sim 10^4$ MeV. From this, we find

$$
\sqrt{-\Omega(3H + \Omega)} = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_4}} m \sim 13 \text{ TeV: the first branch}
$$

~87 TeV: the second branch (6.38)

for the data of Table [II](#page-12-2). Apparently, the first branch is in the TeV scales, but the second branch solution could not be observed in an experimental facility.

FIG. 12. The lowest eigenvalues m^2 (with no-node) for $N =$ $2 \sim 10$ with the parameter $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0$, -0.15 , $\gamma = 0.02$, $\mu = 0.1.$

TABLE II. For the case of $N = 2$, the eigenvalues $m²$ of the first and the second branches for $(m, n) = (1, 1)$ with the parameter $\alpha = 0.01$, $\gamma = 0.1$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.0$, -0.1.

$\beta = 0$		β < 0		
1st	2nd	1st	2nd	
1.7437	76.295	1.7475	76.293	

C. Stability of the metrics with fixed Skyrmion background

If the background Skyrmions remain unperturbed, the Eq. ([6.8\)](#page-8-1) can easily be solved in terms of the method which has been described in the case of the baby Skyrmions. This corresponds to omit the terms ϕ , ϕ' . In this case, we define the eigenvalue $l^2 := -(\omega + \sqrt{\gamma})(\omega + 2\sqrt{\gamma}).$

1. Asymptotic behaviors

Similar to the matter field case, at first we examine the asymptotic behaviors of the solutions. By using the asymptotic solution at the vicinity of the origin [\(4.1\)](#page-3-6), ([4.2](#page-3-7)), and [\(4.3\)](#page-3-8), one can find the linearized equation of ([6.8](#page-8-1)) as

$$
h'' + \frac{1}{r}h' - \frac{N^2}{r^2}h = 0
$$
 (6.39)

which has a solution as the form $h(r) \sim r^N$. Thus, for $N \ge$ 1, we have regular solutions while $N = 0$ are not adequate for the regularity condition at the origin.

Sufficiently, far from the origin, one straightforwardly finds the form of the linearized equation of ([6.8](#page-8-1)) for $\beta = 0$

$$
r^{2}h'' + 8rh' + \left(12 + \frac{l^{2}}{M_{0}^{2}}\right)h - r^{2}\frac{N^{2}}{L_{0}^{2}}h = 0. \quad (6.40)
$$

The asymptotic solution is of the form

$$
h(r) \sim \frac{1}{r^4} \exp\left(-\frac{N}{L_0}r\right). \tag{6.41}
$$

Thus, we can find nonasymptotically diverging modes of the function h for all value of N . (Note that because of the condition at the origin, only $N \ge 1$ is acceptable.)

For β < 0, we find the modes

$$
h(r) \sim \exp\biggl[\biggl(-\frac{9}{2} \omega \pm \sqrt{\frac{17}{4} \omega^2 + \frac{\alpha \beta}{2}} \biggr) r \biggr].
$$
 (6.42)

This solution decreases as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

2. The numerical results

Contrary to the case of the baby Skyrmions, only for $N = 2$, we have found a tower of positive, discrete eigenvalues for both zero and negative β . For $N = 1$, the solution seems to be tachyonic.

In Fig. [13,](#page-13-1) we plot some eigenfunctions ϕ of Eq. [\(6.8\)](#page-8-1) for $n = 1$, 2 and $\beta = 0$ or -0.15. The corresponding eigenvalues are assembled in Table [III](#page-13-2). Similar to the

FIG. 13. A first few of the fluctuations h. We denote 2S, 2P, \cdots which indicate $N = 2$ and the number of nodes. The background profiles are of the parameter $\alpha = 0.1$, $\gamma = 0.02$, $\mu = 0.1$. The left is for $(m, n) = (1, 1)$, $\beta = 0$. The right is for $(m, n) = (1, 2)$, $\beta = -0.15$.

case of the Skyrmions, we plot the effective potential for the gravity in Fig. [14](#page-13-3)

$$
V_G(r) := W'(r) + W(r)^2 - \frac{V_2(r)}{M^2(r)}.
$$

$$
W(r) := \frac{V_1(r)}{2M^2}.
$$
 (6.43)

For $N = 1$, the potential has a subtle negatives, so it produces the tachyonic mode. On the other hand, the potential of $N = 2$ is positive-definite, so the possibility of tachyonic mode is excluded for the gravity perturbation in this case.

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

The aim of this paper was twofold: first, studying the effect of a brane cosmological constant on the sixdimensional baby-Skyrme brane model and second, studying the stability of the corresponding solution. The brane cosmological constant was modeled by inflating fourdimensional slices, which is relevant in the context of an

TABLE III. For the case of $N = 2$, the eigenvalues l^2 of Eq. [\(6.8\)](#page-8-1) for $(m, n) = (1, 1)$, (1,2) with the parameter $\alpha = 0.1$, $\gamma = 0.02, \mu = 0.1, \beta = 0.0, -0.15.$

	$n=1$		$n=2$	
	$\beta = 0$	$\beta < 0$	$\beta = 0$	< 0 ĸ
S	0.7591	0.7664	5.2498	3.4840
P	1.2026	1.0747	8.0611	5.2452
D	1.7024	1.3397	12.049	6.9922
F	2.2566	1.7000	15.673	8.7698
G	2.8672	1.8033	19.465	10.583

inflationary scenario within braneworlds and also at the level of the classical equations: the inflating slices indeed model a four-dimensional positive cosmological constant.

Various types of solutions exist when a bulk cosmological constant is supplemented in the model. However, the inclusion of an additional brane cosmological constant has the drastic effect of reducing the number of different types of solutions to essentially three, according to the sign of the bulk cosmological constant. The three solutions are characterized by specific geometries of the space sustaining the extra dimensions (namely opened, flat, or closed for a negative, null, or positive bulk cosmological constant, respectively).

FIG. 14. The potential V_G estimated by ([6.43\)](#page-13-4) for $n = 1$ with the parameter $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = -0.15$, $\gamma = 0.02$, $\mu = 0.1$ and for $N = 1, 2.$

INFLATING BABY-SKYRME BRANES IN SIX DIMENSIONS PHYSICAL REVIEW D 82, 106002 (2010)

The occurrence of these three geometries seems to be indeed a generic feature since the same phenomenon occurs for the different model considered in [[20\]](#page-15-14). This idea follows from the fact that these three peculiar solutions are indeed vacuum solutions; they are further relevant for the asymptotic form of the solutions in the presence of localized matter fields.

More interestingly, the different types of solutions available in the case of the vanishing brane cosmological constant seems to be interconnected once the brane cosmological constant is turned on; the two types of solutions available in absence of the brane cosmological constant are recovered as limits of these two branches for specific values of the parameters. These branches exist up to a maximal value of the brane cosmological constant.

The second part of this paper deals with the stability of the inflating baby-Skyrme branes constructed in the first part. Although the unperturbed equations do not depend on the details of the brane, the stability analysis might be different for different four-dimensional Einstein spaces.

We established the equations for the stability of the complete baby-Skyrme branes. Since these coupled equations are particularly involved, we examined in more details the stability issue in two particular simplified situations: the one with fixed gravitational backgrounds and perturbed baby-Skyrme field, the second with fixed baby-Skyrme field and perturbed gravitational field.

Surprisingly, our results for the case of fixed gravitational background show that bound states of the baby-Skyrme excitation exist only for fluctuations winding more than one time (say N times, recall that there is a cylindrical symmetry in the extra dimensions) in the extra dimensions. For $N = 2$, we found no tachyonic instability while tachyonic modes develop for higher values of the winding. The perturbation of the baby-Skyrme field can be alternatively seen as a particle bound on the brane; we provide a rough estimation of the mass of such particles and it turns out that they should be of the order of 10 TeV, i.e. accessible in ongoing experiments.

The perturbation in the gravitational sector admits bound states for $N \geq 1$. We found a tachyonic instability for $N = 1$ while the latter disappears for $N = 2$. This suggests that the stability issue of the solution depends crucially on the winding number of the fluctuation and on the sector explored. We believe that the solutions to the coupled problem will confirm the pattern guessed by these preliminary results.

Let us finally mention some applications of our model: in the context of inflationary models, the particle spectrum provided by the stability analysis might have an interesting phenomenological interpretation. As an example, one can imagine that the particles emitted by the branes might have a particular signature on the CMB. Also, for unstable modes, one could imagine that the instability might turn the inflating brane to noninflating brane, thus providing a dynamical end to inflation. Let us note however that these ideas are quite speculative and would deserve deep further investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Y. B. and T. D. thanks the FNRS for financial support. N. S. appreciates the kind hospitality of the University of Mons.

APPENDIX: NUMERICAL METHOD

First, we decompose the eigenequation (6.11) (6.11) (6.11) into coupled first order equation for $u(y)$, $v(y) \mathrel{\mathop:}= \phi(y)$

$$
\frac{dv(y)}{dy} = u(y) \tag{A1}
$$

$$
\frac{du(y)}{dy} = u(y) + \left(p_1(y)\frac{u(y)}{v(y)} + p_2(y) + p_3(y)\right)v(y) \quad (A2)
$$

where

$$
p_1(y) := \frac{y}{n^2 \sin^2 f + L^2} \Big[(N^2 - 3)n^2 f' \sin 2f
$$

+ $\frac{L'}{L} (n^2 \sin^2 f - L^2) - 4 \frac{M'}{M} (n^2 \sin^2 f + L^2) \Big]$

$$
p_2(y) := \frac{y^2}{n^2 \sin^2 f + L^2} \Big[n^2 \frac{L'}{L} f' \sin 2f
$$

+ $n^2 \cos 2f((N^2 - 3)f'^2 + N^2 - 1)$
- $n^2 \sin 2f \Big(f'' + 4f' \frac{M'}{M} \Big) - \mu L^2 (N^2 - 1) \cos f \Big]$
(A3)

$$
p_3(y) := -\frac{m^2}{M^2} y^2.
$$
 (A4)

Rescaling the coordinate r according to $r = e^{y-y_0}$ (y₀ is a constant) makes the mesh point finer at the vicinity of the origin. Essentially, the method is similar to former described integration method in Sec. [VI A](#page-9-1). The main difference in this case is the matching procedure. We evaluate the matching point y_m by the following condition:

$$
p_1(y_m)u(y_m) + (p_2(y_m) + p_3(y_m))v(y_m) = 0.
$$
 (A5)

We solve the equation both from the origin and the infinity. In order to match $v(y)$ at $y = y_m$, we multiply a constant to the outer solution $v(y)$. Instead of using the Wronski determinant ([6.19](#page-9-2)), we introduce an arbitrary δ -functional potential at an intermediate value y_m :

$$
V_{\delta}(y) := -\frac{[v'(y_m)]_{y_m=0}^{y_m+0}}{v(y_m)} \delta(y - y_m).
$$
 (A6)

Because, if the δ -functional potential exists, the eigenfunction is continuous at the matching point, but its derivative is not. Therefore, the correction in terms of the first order perturbation

$$
\Delta E = \int v^*(y) V_{\delta}(y) v(y) = -[v'(y_m)]_{y_m=0}^{y_m+0} v(y_m) \quad (A7)
$$

efficiently improves the eigenvalue, i.e., the eigenfunction. If the analysis reaches the correct eigenfunction, it no longer has discontinuity at all and the computation is successfully terminated.

- [1] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00466-3) Lett. B 429[, 263 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00466-3).
- [2] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.086004) Rev. D 59[, 086004 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.086004).
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370) 83, 3370 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370).
- [4] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690) 83, 4690 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690).
- [5] A. G. Cohen and D. B. Kaplan, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)01331-3) 470, 52 [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)01331-3).
- [6] R. Gregory, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2564) **84**, 2564 (2000).
- [7] T. Gherghetta and M.E. Shaposhnikov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.240) 85[, 240 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.240).
- [8] M. Giovannini, H. Meyer, and M. E. Shaposhnikov, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00520-X) Phys. B619[, 615 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00520-X)
- [9] C. Ringeval, P. Peter, and J. P. Uzan, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.104018) 71, [104018 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.104018).
- [10] Y. Brihaye and B. Hartmann, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.05.021) **B691**, 79 (2004).
- [11] E. Roessl and M. Shaposhnikov, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.084008) 66, 084008 [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.084008).
- [12] T. H. R. Skyrme, [Proc. R. Soc. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1961.0018) 260, 127 (1961).
- [13] L.D. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/387058a0) 387, 58 [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/387058a0).
- [14] B. M. A. Piette, W. J. Zakrzewski, H. J. W. Mueller-Kirsten, and D. H. Tchrakian, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)90659-9) 320, 294 [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)90659-9).
- [15] B. M. A. Piette, B. J. Schroers, and W. J. Zakrzewski, [Z.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01571317) Phys. C 65[, 165 \(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01571317).
- [16] A. E. Kudryavtsev, B. Piette, and W. J. Zakrzewski, Eur. Phys. J. C 1, 333 (1998).
- [17] Y. Kodama, K. Kokubu, and N. Sawado, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.065024) **79**, [065024 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.065024)
- [18] Y. Kodama, K. Kokubu, and N. Sawado, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.045001) 78, [045001 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.045001)
- [19] I. Cho and A. Vilenkin, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.025013) 68, 025013 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.025013).
- [20] Y. Brihaye, T. Delsate, and B. Hartmann, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.044015) 74, [044015 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.044015)
- [21] Y. Brihaye and T. Delsate, [Classical Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/5/012) 24, [1279 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/5/012).
- [22] M. Giovannini, Phys. Rev. D 65[, 064008 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.064008).
- [23] M. Giovannini, Phys. Rev. D 65[, 124019 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.124019).
- [24] M. Giovannini, Phys. Rev. D **75**[, 064023 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.064023).
- [25] M. Giovannini, Phys. Rev. D 66[, 044016 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.044016).
- [26] M. Giovannini, J. V. Le Be, and S. Riederer, [Classical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/12/317) [Quantum Gravity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/12/317) 19, 3357 (2002).
- [27] S. Randjbar-Daemi and M. Shaposhnikov, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00828-3) B645[, 188 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00828-3).
- [28] P. Eslami, W.J. Zakrzewski, and M. Sarbishaei, Nonlinearity 13[, 1867 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/13/5/322)
- [29] J. C. U. Ascher and R. D. Russell, Math. Comput. 33, 659 (1979).
- [30] F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)00080-M) 251, [267 \(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)00080-M).
- [31] Saul A. Teukolsky, William H. Press, and William T. Vetterling, Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing (Cambridge University Press, UK, 1988), [http://](http://www.nr.com/oldverswitcher.html) [www.nr.com/oldverswitcher.html;](http://www.nr.com/oldverswitcher.html) see also, N. Watanabe, <http://www-cms.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~naoki/>.