# Spinning compact binary inspiral. II. Conservative angular dynamics

László Árpád Gergely<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Szeged, Tisza Lajos krt 84-86, Szeged 6720, Hungary <sup>2</sup>Department of Experimental Physics, University of Szeged, Dóm tér 9, Szeged 6720, Hungary (Received 28 May 2010; published 16 November 2010)

We establish the evolution equations of the set of independent variables characterizing the 2PN rigorous conservative dynamics of a spinning compact binary, with the inclusion of the leading order spin-orbit, spin-spin, and mass quadrupole-mass monopole effects, for generic (noncircular, nonspherical) orbits. More specifically, we give a *closed system of first order ordinary differential equations* for the orbital elements of the osculating ellipse and for the angles characterizing the spin orientations with respect to the osculating orbit. We also prove that (i) the relative angle of the spins stays constant for equal mass black holes, irrespective of their orientation, and (ii) the special configuration of equal mass black holes with equal, but antialigned spins, both laying in the plane of motion (leading to the largest recoil found in numerical simulations) is preserved at 2PN level of accuracy, with leading order spin-orbit, spin-spin, and mass quadrupolar contributions included.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.104031

PACS numbers: 04.30.Db, 04.25.Nx

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Compact binaries composed of neutron stars or stellar size black holes are among the most likely sources to emit gravitational waves in the frequency range of the Earth-based gravitational wave detectors LIGO and Virgo [1]. Supermassive black holes in the mass range of  $3 \times 10^6 \div 3 \times 10^9$  solar masses reside in the centers of galaxies and following the merger of their host galaxies, they also merge. In the process they create powerful gravitational waves, detectable in the lower mass range by the space mission LISA [2].

By definition the *inspiral* is the regime of the orbital evolution, during which the post-Newtonian (PN) parameter  $\varepsilon = Gm/c^2 r \approx (v/c)^2$  (where  $m \equiv m_1 + m_2$  is the total mass, and r and v the orbital separation and relative velocity of the binary) is small and where the leading order dissipation is due to the gravitational waves. As two galaxies merge, their supermassive black holes are subject to both gravitational radiation and dynamical friction. The former overcomes the latter at about  $\varepsilon_{in} = 10^{-3}$  (the actual number only weakly depends on both the stellar distribution and mass [3]). During the inspiral which follows, the parameter  $\varepsilon$  increases. When  $\varepsilon$  approaches its value at the innermost stable orbit, the PN description becomes increasingly nonaccurate, therefore the subsequent plunge is better described by numerical evolutions, or as an alternative, by expressions traced back to the PN approach, arising either from the effective one-body model, calibrated to numerical relativity simulations [4] or from a phenomenological transition phase, with coefficients again calibrated by comparison with specific, numerically generated waveforms [5]. Finally, the *ringdown* follows, when the newly formed black hole radiates away its physical

characteristics, with the exception of mass, spin, and possibly electric charge (for a review of quasinormal modes of black holes see Ref. [6]).

The spin and quadrupole moment of the supermassive black hole at the Galactic center can be measured via astrometric monitoring of stars orbiting at milliparsec distances [7], and this can also be a test of the general relativistic no-hair theorem.

The spin affects the horizon of the black holes, therefore those observations on black holes which indicate the size of the horizon will also lead to indirect spin magnitude estimates. (Estimating the quadrupole moment from observing a two-dimensional projection of the horizon would be less straightforward.) Both stellar size and supermassive black holes can have accretion disks and jets in their active periods. Whenever these observations are connected to the presence of a jet and the direction of it can be identified (projection effects may again obstruct this), we also obtain information on the black hole spin axis, assuming it is aligned with the symmetry axis of the magnetic field and hence the jet direction. Jets from rotating black holes have been shown to be stable [8]. Spin direction can be also inferred from observations on the radiation of the accretion disk.

Such observational spin estimates can be made at least by four methods:

(i) Reverberation mapping of the observed optical/X-ray lines (highly excited Mg, O, C) in active galaxies to determine the radius and velocity pattern of the Broad Line Region. This depends on the metric, decreasing with increasing spin. From such considerations the mass, spin and spin orientation of the black holes can be estimated [9]. In particular, information on the spin direction of the central black hole of the Seyfert galaxy Mrk 110 was obtained by estimating the central black hole mass in two independent ways. First, assuming that the broad emission

<sup>\*</sup>gergely@physx.u-szeged.hu

lines are generated in gas clouds orbiting within an accretion disk, the mass could be determined as function of the inclination angle of the accretion disk. Secondly, detecting the gravitational redshifted emission in the variable fraction of the broad emission lines, a central black hole mass, which is independent on the orientation of the accretion disk could be deduced [10].

(ii) There is a strong effort towards imaging with millimeter Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) the event horizons of Sagittarius A\* (SgrA\*) and Virgo A (M87), which again depend on the spin. For SgrA\*, the radio source at the center of our Milky Way, millimeter and infrared observations require the existence of a horizon [11]. Analysing the peaks of the power density spectra in the light curves of X-ray flares from the Galactic Center black hole the mass and spin were inferred [12]. A compact emission region (bright spot) in a circular orbit and the lightcurves of its observed flux and polarization depend on the mass and spin of the black hole. The emitted polarization fraction is polarised orthogonally to the spin axis of the black hole [13]. Unlike SgrA\*, M87 exhibits a powerful radio jet, allowing future VLBI data to constrain the size of the jet footprint, the jet collimation rate, and the black hole spin [14].

(iii) The size of the jet launching region in Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) is determined by the Blandford-Znajek effect, which in turn depends on the spin [15]. Measurement of the diameter of the jet base (e.g. in M87) gives evidence for small sizes, regarded as signature of a large spin [16].

(iv) The low-energy cutoff in the energetic electron spectra of the jets suggested by the radio spectra [17] is conveniently explained by the pion decay resulting from proton-proton collisions [18]. The latter mechanism require a relativistic temperature in the accretion disk near the foot of the jet, which translates to the central black hole spinning extremely fast [19].

Some of these methods will certainly also work for stellar size black holes due to scale invariance arguments in accretion phenomena. The jet/disk geometry has been constrained for the stellar black holes XTE J1118 + 480 and GX 339-4 [20].

From all these observations we conclude that it is necessary to include the spin and quadrupole moment of black holes when modeling their binary systems.

In this paper we investigate the 2PN rigorous conservative dynamics during the inspiral of a spinning compact binary system, by including leading order spin-orbit (SO), spin-spin (SS), and mass quadrupole-mass monopole (QM) effects, for generic (noncircular, nonspherical) orbits. Because of these interactions the spins undergo a precessional motion [21,22]. Various aspects related to the leading order contribution to both the conservative and dissipative part of the dynamics due to the SO interaction were discussed in Refs. [23–25], while the corrections represented by the SS coupling in Refs. [25,26], and by the QM coupling in Refs. [27–29]. The radial motion under the Newton-Wigner-Pryce spin supplementary condition [30], with all these contributions included, is fully solved in Ref. [31]. The Hamiltonian approach including spins has been also widely discussed [32]. Based on numerical work, empirical formulas for the final spin have been proposed in Ref. [33]. Zoom-whirl orbits, which were known to exist for particles orbiting Kerr black holes [34], also appear in the framework of the PN formalism [35], their likeliness increasing with the spin [36]. Gravitational wave emission can lead to a spin-flip [37,38] in X-shaped radio galaxies [37,39]. It is a more recent result that for mass ratios  $0.3 \div 0.03$  the combined effect of SO precession and gravitational radiation will result in a substantial spin-flip already in the inspiral phase [3,40].

With the spins and mass quadrupole moments included, the number of variables in the configuration space increases drastically. In Ref. [41] a minimal and conveniently chosen set of independent variables for such a system was established. Notational correspondence of some of these variables with quantities employed in Refs. [42–44], where the dynamics of spinning compact binaries (without mass quadrupolar contributions) has been also discussed, is established in Appendix A. Beside the masses  $m_1$ , the number of independent variables characterizing the total and orbital angular momenta (J and L, respectively) and spins  $S_i$  was shown to be 6, chosen either as

(a) 3 angles (spanned by the Newtonian orbital angular momentum  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{N}}$  with the total angular momentum  $\mathbf{J}$  and with the spins  $\mathbf{S}_{i}$ , denoted as  $\alpha$  and  $\kappa_{i}$ , respectively) and 3 scales [the normalized magnitudes of the spins  $\chi_{i} \equiv (c/G)(S_{i}/m_{i}^{2})$  and the magnitude of the total angular momentum J], or equivalently as

(b) 5 angles and a scale. In this case the dimensionless spin magnitudes  $\chi_i$  could be replaced by the azimuthal angles  $\psi_i$  of the spins, measured in the plane of motion from a suitably defined node line  $\hat{l}$  (the intersection of the planes perpendicular to the total orbital momentum  $J \equiv L + S_1 + S_2$  and to the Newtonian orbital angular momentum  $L_N$ ). The relation between the two sets of variables is given by Eqs. (46)–(47) of [41].

In the present paper we discuss the *conservative dynamics* of these two sets of independent variables. All lengths involved (*J* and  $\chi_i$ ) are constants of motion, as **J** is conserved to 2PN accuracy [23] and the spins undergo a precessional motion [21,22]. Therefore our goal reduces to the study of the dynamics of the *angular variables*. In the process we also derive the evolutions of the parameters  $(a_r, e_r)$  of the osculating ellipse, of the spin relative angle  $\gamma$  span by  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_2$ , and of the periastron, given by the Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector  $\mathbf{A}_N$ .

We start with a discussion of the evolutions under a generic perturbing force in Sec. II. First we monitor how the Keplerian dynamical constants evolve. This allows us

### SPINNING COMPACT .... II. CONSERVATIVE ...

to determine both the evolution of  $(a_r, e_r)$  and of the vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_N$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ , and ascending node vector  $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ . We also determine here how the evolution of the true anomaly parameter  $\chi_p$  (measured from  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$  to the actual location  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  of the reduced mass particle) is modified by the perturbing force. The specific perturbing force components generated by PN, 2PN, SO, SS, and QM effects are listed in Appendix B, together with the components of the spin precession angular velocity.

Employing these results, also the spin evolution equations discussed in detail in[41], we are able to derive in Sec. III the evolution of  $\alpha$ . Equation (14) and (15) of [41] show that once the evolution of  $\chi_p$  and  $\alpha$  are established, the evolution of the angle  $\psi_p$  measured from  $\hat{l}$  to  $\hat{A}_N$ , and of the angle  $-\phi_n$  measured from  $\hat{l}$  to an arbitrary inertial axis  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \perp \mathbf{J}$  (see Fig. 1 of [41]) also follow, which complete the characterization of the evolution of the Euler angles. Then, in Sec. IV we derive the evolutions of  $\kappa_i$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\psi_i$ . With this we fulfill the task of characterizing the evolution of the variables composing the independent sets (a) and (b).

We discuss special spin configurations in Sec. V and present our Concluding Remarks in Sec. VI.

Notations and conventions—The gravitational constant G and speed of light c are kept in all expressions. For any vector **V** we denote its magnitude by V and its direction by  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}$ .

The reduced mass is  $\mu \equiv m_1 m_2/m$ . We assume that  $m_1 \geq m_2$ , thus the mass ratio  $\nu \equiv m_2/m_1 \leq 1$  and the symmetric mass ratio  $\eta \equiv \mu/m = \nu/(1 + \nu)^2 \in [0, 0.25]$ .

The mass quadrupole moment originates entirely from rotation, being therefore characterized by a single quadrupole-moment scalar  $Q_i = -(G^2/c^4)w\chi_i^2m_i^3$ , with the parameter  $w \in (4, 8)$  for neutron stars, depending on their equation of state, stiffer equations of state giving larger values of w [27,45] and w = 1 for rotating black holes [46]. The negative sign arises because the rotating compact object is centrifugally flattened, becoming an oblate spheroid.

The inertial system  $\mathcal{K}_i$  has the arbitrary inertial *x* axis  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$  as its *z* axis. We also define the noninertial systems  $\mathcal{K}_L$  and  $\mathcal{K}_A$  with  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_N$  as the common *z* axis, the *x* axes being  $\hat{l}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ , respectively. Then the *y* axes are  $\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N \times \hat{l}$  for  $\mathcal{K}_L$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N = \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$  for  $\mathcal{K}_A$ .

## II. EVOLUTIONS IN TERMS OF A GENERIC PERTURBING FORCE

Although there is no notion of gravitational force within general relativity, in the PN regime the motion of a compact binary can be regarded as a perturbed Keplerian motion, with perturbations coming from the difference in the predictions of general relativity with respect to Newtonian gravity. Therefore, one can adopt the terminology of celestial mechanics regarding the modifications induced by general relativity as perturbing forces. Any perturbed Keplerian motion is characterized by an acceleration

$$\mathbf{a} = -\frac{Gm}{r^2}\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \Delta \mathbf{a}.$$
 (1)

We find it convenient to express  $\Delta \mathbf{a}$  in the basis  $\mathcal{K}_A$  with basis vectors  $\{\mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{i})}\} = (\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N, \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N, \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N)$  as

$$\Delta \mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{i})}.$$
 (2)

#### A. Keplerian dynamical constants

Starting from the definitions of the Keplerian constants of motion  $E_N \equiv \mu v^2/2 - Gm\mu/r$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{N}} \equiv \mu \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}$ , and  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}} \equiv \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{N}} - Gm\mu \hat{\mathbf{r}}$ , it is straightforward to show that

$$\dot{E}_N = \mu \mathbf{v} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{a},\tag{3}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mu \mathbf{r} \times \Delta \mathbf{a},$$
 (4)

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}} = \Delta \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{N}} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{N}}$$
$$= \mu [2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{a})\mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\Delta \mathbf{a}].$$
(5)

By employing the decomposition of  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  in the basis  $\mathcal{K}_A$ , given by Eqs. (B1) and (B2), also the decomposition of the perturbing force acting on the unit mass (2), and finally the generic formula for the time-derivative of any vector  $\mathbf{V}$ ,

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \dot{V}\,\hat{\mathbf{V}} + V\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{V}},\tag{6}$$

we obtain for the magnitudes

$$\dot{E}_{N} = -a_{1} \frac{Gm\mu^{2}}{L_{N}} \sin\chi_{p} + a_{2} \frac{\mu(A_{N} + Gm\mu\cos\chi_{p})}{L_{N}},$$
  

$$\dot{L}_{N} = (a_{2}\cos\chi_{p} - a_{1}\sin\chi_{p})\mu r,$$
  

$$\dot{A}_{N} = a_{2}L_{N} + (a_{2}\cos\chi_{p} - a_{1}\sin\chi_{p})$$
  

$$\times \frac{\mu r(A_{N} + Gm\mu\cos\chi_{p})}{L_{N}},$$
(7)

and for the directions

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} = a_3 \frac{\mu}{L_N} r(\sin\chi_p \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \cos\chi_p \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} = \left[ -a_1 \frac{L_N}{A_N} + \frac{Gm\mu^2}{L_N A_N} r \sin\chi_p (a_2 \cos\chi_p - a_1 \sin\chi_p) \right] \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} - a_3 \frac{\mu r}{L_N} \sin\chi_p \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad (8)$$

where *r* is given in terms of the true anomaly parameter  $\chi_p$  by the standard formula (B20).

# **B.** Radial semimajor axis $a_r$ and radial eccentricity $e_r$

We note that the constraint  $A_N^2 = (Gm\mu)^2 + 2EL_N^2/\mu$ is preserved by the evolutions (7), therefore only two of these equations are independent. From them we can also derive evolution equations for the parameter  $p_r =$  $L_N^2/Gm\mu^2$  and eccentricity  $e_r = A_N/Gm\mu$  of the conic orbit. For bounded orbits we could introduce the semimajor axis  $a_r = p_r/(1 - e_r^2) = L_N^2/Gm\mu^2(1 - e_r^2) =$  $-Gm\mu/2E_N$  of the osculating ellipse instead, and derive evolution equations for the pair  $(a_r, e_r)$ . In this way we obtain two Lagrange planetary equations:

$$\dot{a}_r = \frac{2a_r^{3/2}}{[Gm(1-e_r^2)]^{1/2}} [-a_1 \sin \chi_p + a_2(e_r + \cos \chi_p)], \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{e}_{r} = \left[\frac{a_{r}(1-e_{r}^{2})}{Gm}\right]^{1/2} \times \frac{a_{2}(1+2e_{r}\cos\chi_{p}+\cos^{2}\chi_{p})-a_{1}(e_{r}+\cos\chi_{p})\sin\chi_{p}}{(1+e_{r}\cos\chi_{p})}.$$
(10)

Here we have employed the true anomaly parametrization (B20) written in terms of osculating ellipse orbital elements

$$r = \frac{a_r (1 - e_r^2)}{1 + e_r \cos \chi_p}.$$
 (11)

## C. The noninertial system $\mathcal{K}_A$

Rewriting Eqs. (8) in the form of precession equations by inserting  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N = \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N = -\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N$  in the first expression and  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N = \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_N = -\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ in the second, and also computing the time derivative of  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N$  from its definition gives

$$\dot{\mathbf{f}}_{(\mathbf{i})} = \mathbf{\Omega}_A \times \mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{i})},\tag{12}$$

with the angular velocity vector

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{A} = a_{3} \frac{\mu r \cos \chi_{p}}{L_{N}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} + a_{3} \frac{\mu r \sin \chi_{p}}{L_{N}} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} - \left[ a_{1} \frac{L_{N}}{A_{N}} + (a_{1} \sin \chi_{p} - a_{2} \cos \chi_{p}) \frac{Gm \mu^{2} r \sin \chi_{p}}{L_{N} A_{N}} \right] \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}.$$
 (13)

With this we have established the time evolution of the noninertial basis  $\mathcal{K}_A$ .

The PN order of  $\Omega_A$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_A) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} \mathcal{O}(a_i/c)$ . Employing the contributions to  $a_i$  from Appendix B and Eq. (58) of [41] one finds

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{A}^{\text{PN}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\mathcal{O}(1, \eta)\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{A}^{\text{2PN}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})\mathcal{O}(1, \eta, \eta^{2})\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{A}^{\text{SO}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2}) \bigg[ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathcal{O}(1, \nu^{2k-3})\chi_{k} \bigg] \mathcal{O}(T^{-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{A}^{\text{SS}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})\mathcal{O}(\eta)\chi_{1}\chi_{2}\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{A}^{\text{QM}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})\mathcal{O}(\eta) \bigg[ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathcal{O}(\nu^{2k-3})w_{k}\chi_{k}^{2} \bigg] \mathcal{O}(T^{-1}),$$
(14)

with *T* being the radial period, defined as twice the time elapsed between consecutive  $\dot{r} = 0$  configurations.

A couple of immediate remarks are in order:

(1) If  $a_3 = 0$  (no perturbing force is pointing outside the plane of motion),  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}$  (the plane of motion) is conserved, while both  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}$  undergo a precessional motion about  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}$  (in the conserved plane of motion).

(2) If  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$  (the perturbing force is perpendicular to the plane of motion), then  $\hat{A}_N$  undergoes a precessional motion about  $\hat{Q}_N$  and vice-versa, while  $\hat{L}_N$  precesses about **r**.

### **D.** True anomaly $\chi_p$

As the basis  $\{\mathbf{f}_{(i)}\}\$  is comoving with the plane of motion and the periastron, the position vector  $\mathbf{r} = x^i \mathbf{f}_{(i)}$  [with  $x^i$ given by Eq. (B1)] changes according to  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{x}^i \mathbf{f}_{(i)} + x^i \dot{\mathbf{f}}_{(i)} = \dot{x}^i \mathbf{f}_{(i)} + x^i \boldsymbol{\Omega}_A \times \mathbf{f}_{(i)}$ . A straightforward computation, employing

$$\dot{x}^{1} = \dot{r} \cos \chi_{p} - r \dot{\chi}_{p} \sin \chi_{p},$$
  
$$\dot{x}^{2} = \dot{r} \sin \chi_{p} + r \dot{\chi}_{p} \cos \chi_{p},$$
  
$$\dot{x}^{3} = 0,$$
 (15)

then leads to

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mu r^2 [\dot{\chi}_p + (\mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}})] \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}.$$
 (16)

From here

$$\dot{\chi}_p + (\mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}) = \frac{L_N}{\mu r^2}.$$
 (17)

Therefore, the deviation from the Newtonian expression is due to the component of  $\Omega_A$  along  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_N$ . The importance of Eq. (17) lies in allowing us to pass from time derivatives to derivatives with respect to  $\chi_p$  in the evolution equations (7), (9), and (10), which then become ordinary differential equations.

It is also immediate to derive  $v^2$  and calculate  $E_N$  as

$$E_N = \frac{\mu (\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\chi}_p^2)}{2} - \frac{Gm\mu}{r} + \mu r^2 \dot{\chi}_p (\mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N) + \frac{\mu r^2}{2} (\mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N)^2.$$
(18)

By inserting Eq. (17), we obtain the radial equation

$$\dot{r}^2 = \frac{2E_N}{\mu} + \frac{2Gm}{r} - \frac{L_N^2}{\mu^2 r^2}.$$
 (19)

Remarkably, all terms arising from the precession of the basis vectors cancelled out and we formally recovered the radial equation for the Keplerian motion. This is not surprising, as the dynamical quantities  $E_N$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_N$  refer to the osculating *ellipse*.

# **E.** Ascending node $\hat{l}$

The basis vectors of  $\mathcal{K}_L$  are related to the basis vectors of  $\mathcal{K}_A$  by a rotation in the *x*-*y* plane with angle  $-\psi_p$ , thus

$$\hat{l} = \cos\psi_p \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \sin\psi_p \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}, \qquad (20)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \sin\psi_p \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \cos\psi_p \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}.$$
 (21)

The time derivative of the direction of the ascending node is therefore found as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\boldsymbol{l}} = -\dot{\psi}_{p}(\sin\psi_{p}\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} + \cos\psi_{p}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N}) + \cos\psi_{p}\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} 
- \sin\psi_{p}\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} 
= \cos\psi_{p}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{A} - \dot{\psi}_{p}\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} 
- \sin\psi_{p}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{A} - \dot{\psi}_{p}\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) \times \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} 
= (\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{A} - \dot{\psi}_{p}\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}.$$
(22)

Similarly we can derive the evolution of  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \dot{\psi}_{p}(\cos\psi_{p}\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} - \sin\psi_{p}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N}) + \sin\psi_{p}\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} 
+ \cos\psi_{p}\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} 
= \cos\psi_{p}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{A} - \dot{\psi}_{p}\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) \times \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} 
+ \sin\psi_{p}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{A} - \dot{\psi}_{p}\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} 
= (\mathbf{\Omega}_{A} - \dot{\psi}_{p}\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) \times \hat{\mathbf{m}}.$$
(23)

As it was to be expected, the unit vectors  $\hat{l}$  and  $\hat{m}$  undergo a precession characterized by the angular velocity vector

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{L} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{A} - \dot{\psi}_{p} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}.$$
 (24)

# **III. EULER ANGLE EVOLUTIONS**

Now we have all necessary elements for deriving the evolution of the angles which enter the set of independent variables. First we remark that the time derivative of the definition of the argument of the periastron  $\psi_p = \arccos(\hat{l} \cdot \hat{A}_N)$ , by employing Eqs. (12) and (22), gives an identity.

#### A. Inclination $\alpha$

From the definition of the inclination  $\alpha = \arccos(\hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N})$ , employing the constancy of  $\mathbf{J}$  up to 2PN [23] and the derived precession equation for  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}$ , we find

$$-\sin\alpha\dot{\alpha} = \hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} = \hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot (\mathbf{\Omega}_A \times \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}})$$
$$= \mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} \times \hat{\mathbf{J}}) = -\sin\alpha\mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot \hat{l}, \qquad (25)$$

thus

$$\dot{\alpha} = a_3 \frac{\mu r \cos(\psi_p + \chi_p)}{L_N}.$$
(26)

# **B.** Longitude of the ascending node $-\phi_n$

By employing Eq. (14) of [41] we find the evolution of the azimuthal angle  $-\phi_n$  of the ascending node  $\hat{l}$  as

$$\dot{\phi}_n = -a_3 \frac{\mu r \sin(\psi_p + \chi_p)}{L_N \sin\alpha}.$$
(27)

Quite naturally, both the orbital inclination and the ascending node can be changed only by a force perpendicular to the orbit.

#### C. Argument of the periastron $\psi_p$

From Eq. (15) of [41] and Eq. (27) the evolution of  $\psi_p + \chi_p$  emerges as

$$\dot{\psi}_{p} + \dot{\chi}_{p} = \frac{L_{N}}{\mu r^{2}} - a_{3} \frac{\mu r \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p})}{L_{N} \tan \alpha}.$$
 (28)

Again, only the perturbing force component along  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}$  contributes. Combining Eqs. (28) and (17) leads to the evolution equation of the third Euler angle.

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_p = a_3 \frac{\mu r \sin(\boldsymbol{\psi}_p + \boldsymbol{\chi}_p)}{L_N \tan \alpha}.$$
 (29)

The left-hand side is  $\Omega_L \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N$ , such that the unit vectors  $\hat{l}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  undergo a precession characterized by the angular velocity vector

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{L} = a_{3} \frac{\mu r}{L_{N}} \bigg[ \cos \chi_{p} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} + \sin \chi_{p} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} + \frac{\sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p})}{\tan \alpha} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N} \bigg].$$
(30)

The first two terms of the bracket combine to  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ . If there is no perturbing force perpendicular to the orbit,  $\hat{l}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  stay unchanged.

The evolution of  $\psi_p$  in detail reads

$$\dot{\psi}_p = -a_1 \frac{L_N}{A_N} - (a_1 \sin \chi_p - a_2 \cos \chi_p) \frac{Gm\mu^2 r \sin \chi_p}{L_N A_N} - a_3 \frac{\mu r \sin(\psi_p + \chi_p)}{L_N \tan \alpha}.$$
(31)

Equations (26), (27), and (31) are Lagrange planetary equations for the angular orbital elements. With the use of Eq. (17), by passing from time derivatives to derivatives

with respect to  $\chi_p$ , these become ordinary differential equations. During the inspiral the perturbing force components  $a_i$  arise as a combination of relativistic (PN and 2PN), SO, SS, and QM contributions, and are given in Appendix B.

#### **IV. SPIN ANGLE EVOLUTIONS**

#### A. Spin polar angles $\kappa_i$

The spin polar angles  $\kappa_i = \arccos(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N)$  evolve due to the spin precessions (see Appendix B) and the evolution of  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_N$ , as

$$-\sin\kappa_{i}\dot{\kappa}_{i} = (\mathbf{\Omega}_{A} \times \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i} + \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N} \cdot (\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i})$$
$$= (\mathbf{\Omega}_{A} - \mathbf{\Omega}_{i}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i}). \tag{32}$$

In order to proceed, we need the expression (B3) of the spin, such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} = \sin \kappa_i [\sin(\psi_p - \psi_i) \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \cos(\psi_p - \psi_i) \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}],$$
(33)

and we find

$$\dot{\kappa}_{i} = (\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N}) \sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) + (\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N}) \cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) - a_{3} \frac{\mu r}{L_{N}} \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{i}).$$
(34)

The relative orientation of spins with respect to the orbital angular momentum is unchanged only if the perturbing force lies in the plane of motion  $(a_3 = 0)$  and if the spin precession axis is along  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}$ . The latter condition is obeyed by the SO precession, but not by its SS and QM corrections (except for perfect perpendicularity of the spins to the orbital plane, when also  $a_3 = 0$  holds, see Appendix B, thus  $\dot{\kappa}_i = 0$ ). Starting from this and the remark  $a_3 \propto \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2})$ , and also the estimates (B35), we find  $\mathcal{O}(\dot{\kappa}_i) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2})\mathcal{O}(\eta)[w_i\chi_i + \mathcal{O}(\nu^{2i-3})\chi_i]\mathcal{O}(T^{-1})$ . (35)

#### B. Relative spin angle $\gamma$

For this we take the derivative of its definition  $\gamma = \arccos(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2)$  and obtain

$$-\sin\gamma\dot{\gamma} = (\mathbf{\Omega}_1 - \mathbf{\Omega}_2) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2). \tag{36}$$

If the spins are either aligned or antialigned with each other, such that  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2 = 0$ , then  $\dot{\gamma} = 0$ , irrespective of the mass ratio.

Otherwise, by employing Eqs. (56) of [41] and also  $(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i = 0$ , we rewrite the condition (36) as

$$-\frac{c^2 r^3}{3G} \sin \gamma \dot{\gamma} = \left(\frac{(\nu - \nu^{-1})}{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{N}} + \{\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot [(1 - w_2 \nu^{-1}) \mathbf{S}_2 - (1 - w_1 \nu) \mathbf{S}_1]\} \hat{\mathbf{r}}\right) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2).$$
(37)

Equal mass ( $\nu = 1$ ) black holes ( $w_i = 1$ ) trivially imply  $\dot{\gamma} = 0$ , irrespective of the orientations of the spins. For the generic case from Eq. (B3) we have

For the generic case from Eq. (B3) we have

$$\mathbf{S}_{1} \times \mathbf{S}_{2} = [\cos\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2}\sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{2}) \\ -\sin\kappa_{1}\cos\kappa_{2}\sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{1})]\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ + [\cos\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2}\cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{2}) \\ -\sin\kappa_{1}\cos\kappa_{2}\cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{1})]\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ + \sin\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2}\sin(\psi_{2} - \psi_{1})\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad (38)$$

then

$$(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{1} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{2}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \cos\kappa_{1} \sin\kappa_{2} \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{2}) - \sin\kappa_{1} \cos\kappa_{2} \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{1}), (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{1} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{2}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N} = \sin\kappa_{1} \sin\kappa_{2} \sin(\psi_{2} - \psi_{1}).$$
(39)

Thus, we can rewrite Eq. (37) in detail as

$$-\frac{c^{2}r^{3}}{3GL_{N}}\sin\gamma\dot{\gamma} = \frac{(\nu-\nu^{-1})}{2}\sin\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2}\sin(\psi_{2}-\psi_{1}) \\ +\left[(1-w_{2}\nu^{-1})\frac{S_{2}}{L_{N}}\sin\kappa_{2}\cos(\psi-\psi_{2})\right. \\ \left.-(1-w_{1}\nu)\frac{S_{1}}{L_{N}}\sin\kappa_{1}\cos(\psi-\psi_{1})\right] \\ \times\left[\cos\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2}\sin(\psi-\psi_{2})\right. \\ \left.-\sin\kappa_{1}\cos\kappa_{2}\sin(\psi-\psi_{1})\right], \tag{40}$$

where  $\psi = \chi_p + \psi_p$ . Again, it is manifest that the relative angle of the spins stays constant for equal mass black holes, irrespective of their orientation.

Starting from the above remark, Eq. (36) and the estimates (B35), we find

$$\mathcal{O}(\dot{\gamma}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\mathcal{O}(\nu^{3-2i})\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}).$$
(41)

Thus, the angle  $\gamma$  changes faster than  $\kappa_i$ .

# C. Spin azimuthal angles $\psi_i$

Eq. (30) of [41]

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} = \sin\kappa_i \cos\psi_i \hat{\boldsymbol{l}} + \sin\kappa_i \sin\psi_i \hat{\mathbf{m}} + \cos\kappa_i \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} \quad (42)$$

gives  $\psi_i = \arctan[(\hat{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i)/(\hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i)]$  for the spin azimuthal angles, unless  $\kappa_i = 0$ ,  $\pi$  (the spins are aligned or antialigned to the Newtonian orbital angular momentum) or  $\psi_i = \pi/2$ ,  $3\pi/2$  (the projections of the spins in the plane of motion are perpendicular to the node line).

In the generic case the spin azimuthal angles evolve according to

SPINNING COMPACT .... II. CONSERVATIVE ....

$$(1 + \tan^2 \psi_i) \dot{\psi}_i (\hat{l} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i) = (\hat{\mathbf{m}} - \tan \psi_i \hat{l}) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i + \left(\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{m}} - \tan \psi_i \frac{d}{dt} \hat{l}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i. \quad (43)$$

As both  $\hat{l}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  precesses about  $\mathbf{\Omega}_L$ , while  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i$  about  $\mathbf{\Omega}_i$ , we find

$$\dot{\psi}_i \sin \kappa_i = (\cos \psi_i \hat{\mathbf{m}} - \sin \psi_i \hat{l}) \cdot [(\mathbf{\Omega}_i - \mathbf{\Omega}_L) \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i], \quad (44)$$

or, by employing Eqs. (20) and (21):

$$\dot{\psi}_{i}\sin\kappa_{i} = [\sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i})\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i})\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}]$$
$$\cdot [(\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} - \mathbf{\Omega}_{L}) \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i}], \qquad (45)$$

with the vector products  $\Omega_i \times \hat{S}_i$  and  $\Omega_L \times \hat{S}_i$ , given by Eqs. (B39) and (B6), respectively. We obtain

$$\dot{\psi}_{i} = (\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N}) + [(\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N}) \sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) - (\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N}) \\ \times \cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i})] \cot\kappa_{i} - a_{3} \frac{\mu r}{L_{N}} [\cot\alpha \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p}) \\ - \cot\kappa_{i} \cos(\chi_{p} + \psi_{p} - \psi_{i})].$$
(46)

With this we have completed the derivation of all required evolution equations.

Starting from Eq. (46) and the estimates (B35) we find

$$\mathcal{O}(\dot{\psi}_i) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\mathcal{O}(1,\eta)\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}).$$
 (47)

The change in the azimuthal angle of the spins is one PN order higher than the Keplerian orbital evolution.

#### **V. SPECIAL CONFIGURATIONS**

As a by-product of the calculations carried on in this paper we have recovered the known result that the plane of motion is changed only by perturbing forces pointing outside the plane of motion, thus by the SO, SS, and QM perturbations. We have shown that the relative angle of the spins stays constant for equal mass black holes, irrespective of their orientation. We have also proven that unless the spins are perpendicular to the plane of motion ( $\kappa_i = 0$ ), the polar spin angles will change under these perturbations.

The nonprecessing ( $\kappa_i = 0$ ) and precessing (generic  $\kappa_i$ ) cases have been discussed separately in the literature (see Refs. [47,48], respectively) in connection with the recoil of the final black hole [49]. From among the precessing cases the antialigned spin configuration with the spins laying in the orbital plane has received special attention as numerical investigations have shown that it leads to the highest kick velocity.

We have now the means to investigate such a configuration analytically. First we specialize to spins laying in the orbital plane,  $\kappa_i = \pi/2$ . After some algebra, Eq. (34) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\kappa}_{i} &= \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{2c^{3}r^{3}}(K_{i}^{\text{SO}} + K_{i}^{\text{SS}} + K_{i}^{\text{QM}}), \\ K_{i}^{\text{SO}} &= -\frac{\sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{i})}{1 + \frac{A_{N}}{Gm\mu}\cos\chi_{p}}\sum_{k=1}^{2}(4\nu^{2k-3} + 3)\chi_{k} \\ &\times \left[2\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{k}) + \frac{A_{N}}{Gm\mu}[2\cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{k}) - 3\sin\chi_{p}\sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{k})]\right], \end{aligned}$$
(48)  
$$K_{i}^{\text{SS}} &= \nu^{2j-3}\chi_{j}[3\sin(2\psi_{p} + 2\chi_{p} - \psi_{i} - \psi_{j}) + \sin(\psi_{j} - \psi_{i})], \\ K_{i}^{\text{QM}} &= 3w_{i}\chi_{i}\sin(2\psi_{p} + 2\chi_{p} - 2\psi_{i}). \end{aligned}$$

All contributions  $K_i^{SO}$ ,  $K_i^{SS}$ ,  $K_i^{QM}$  are of the same order. In general the expression for  $\dot{\kappa}_i$  does not vanish, not even in the special case of equal mass ( $\nu = 1$ ), maximally spinning ( $\chi_i = 1$ ) black holes ( $w_i = 1$ ) on circular orbit ( $A_N = 0$ ), when

$$\dot{\kappa}_{i} = -\frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{c^{3}r^{3}} [2\sin(2\psi_{p} + 2\chi_{p} - 2\psi_{i}) + 2\sin(2\psi_{p} + 2\chi_{p} - \psi_{i} - \psi_{j}) + 3\sin(\psi_{j} - \psi_{i})].$$
(49)

Therefore, in general, a configuration with the spins in the plane of motion is not preserved.

However, in the special case  $\psi_j = \psi_i + \pi$  and equal mass ( $\nu = 1$ ), equal spin ( $\chi_2 = \chi_1$ ) black holes ( $w_i = 1$ ) we find

$$a_{3} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} = \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{c^{3}r^{3}}\chi_{1}\cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}),$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} = -\frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{c^{3}r^{3}}\chi_{1}\sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}), \qquad (50)$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N} = \frac{7G}{2c^{2}r^{3}}J\cos\alpha,$$

such that according to Eq. (34)  $\dot{\kappa}_i = 0.^1$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The SO contribution to  $\dot{\kappa}_i$  vanishes, while the SS and QM contributions cancel. A glance at  $K_i^{\text{QM}}$  given by Eqs. (48) shows that without imposing the black hole condition  $w_i = 1$  the SS and QM contributions do *not* cancel, therefore the result does not hold for equal mass, identically spinning neutron stars.

Then one has to check whether the condition imposed on  $\psi_i$  is consistent with their evolution. With  $a_3 = 0$  Eq. (B6) gives  $\Omega_L \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i = 0$ , while from Eq. (B39) we get

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{7G}{2c^2 r^3} J \cos\alpha [\sin(\psi_p - \psi_i) \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \cos(\psi_p - \psi_i) \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}],$$
(51)

such that Eq. (46) simplifies to

$$\dot{\psi}_i = \frac{7G}{2c^2 r^3} J \cos\alpha. \tag{52}$$

As the right-hand side does not depend on the index i, the imposed antialignment of the spins can be maintained over time. This is also evident from Eq. (36). We have also checked that the constraints (46)–(47) of [41] are trivially obeyed.

Therefore the special configuration of *equal mass black* holes with equal, but antialigned spins, both laying in the plane of motion is preserved by the conservative PN dynamics, with leading order SO, SS, and QM contributions included. This stands as the main result of this section.

Equation (48) of [41] allows us to rewrite  $\overline{2}$ 

$$\dot{\psi}_{i} = \frac{7G}{2c^{2}r^{3}}L_{N}(1+\epsilon_{\rm PN}+\epsilon_{\rm 2PN}), \qquad (53)$$

with the coefficients [given by Eqs. (39)–(40) of [41]] specified for equal mass as

$$\epsilon_{\rm PN} = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{13}{4} \frac{Gm}{c^2 r},$$
 (54)

$$\epsilon_{2PN} = \frac{3}{128} \left(\frac{\nu}{c}\right)^4 - \frac{13}{32} \frac{Gm}{c^2 r} \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{63}{32} \frac{Gm}{c^2 r} \left(\frac{\nu}{c}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 r}\right)^2.$$
(55)

## VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have established the conservative evolution equations of the two independent sets of variables characterizing a spinning compact binary during its inspiral, established in [41], with leading order SO, SS, and QM contributions included. As the lengths J and  $\chi_i$  are constants, this reduces to angular evolutions. The evolutions of the variables complementing the set  $(J, \chi_i)$ , the inclination  $\alpha$ , and the spin polar angles  $\kappa_i$  were given as Eqs. (26) and (34). The evolution equations for the spin azimuthal angles  $\psi_i$  (replacing  $\chi_i$  as independent variables) were given by Eq. (46). These time derivatives (and all others computed throughout the paper) can be transformed to derivatives with respect to  $\chi_p$  by employing Eq. (17) in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \left(\frac{L_N}{\mu r^2} - \mathbf{\Omega}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N\right) \frac{d}{d\chi_p}.$$
 (56)

The true anomaly  $\chi_p$  becomes the only independent variable by employing the parametrization  $r(\chi_p)$ , Eqs. (B20) and (B21).

The system is closed by the evolution of the argument of the periastron  $\psi_p$  given as Eq. (31), the last two Eqs. (7) giving  $\dot{A}_N$  and  $\dot{L}_N$ , the analytical expression (B17)–(B19) of the perturbing acceleration components  $a_i$ , the expressions (B39) and (B6) of the of the spin precessional angular velocity components ( $\Omega_i \cdot \hat{A}_N$ ) and ( $\Omega_i \cdot \hat{Q}_N$ ), finally the vector products  $\Omega_i \times \hat{S}_i$  and  $\Omega_L \times \hat{S}_i$ , given by Eqs. (B39) and (B6), respectively.

Therefore, we have derived a *closed system of first* order ordinary differential equations for the variables  $(\alpha, \kappa_i, \psi_i, \psi_p, A_N, L_N)$  evolving in terms of the true anomaly  $\chi_p$ , ready for numerical evolution. From this set,  $(\alpha, \kappa_i, \psi_i)$  are independent variables characterizing the spinning binary configuration, while  $(\psi_p, A_N, L_N)$  characterize the orbit.

In another way of counting, replacing  $(A_N, L_N)$  and their evolutions by the orbital elements  $(a_r, e_r)$  and Eqs. (9) and (10), respectively; also including the evolution Eq. (27) for the longitude of the ascending node  $-\phi_n$  we have obtained evolutions for (i) the orbital elements  $(a_r, e_r, \alpha, \psi_p, -\phi_n)$ characterizing the perturbed Keplerian motion and for (ii) the spin angles  $(\kappa_i, \psi_i)$  characterizing the spin orientations with respect to this perturbed Keplerian orbit.

As a by-product, we have proven that the relative angle of the spins stays constant for equal mass black holes, irrespective of their orientation.

Also, unless the spins are perpendicular to the plane of motion, the polar spin angles change under the perturbations. There is one notable exception under this rule: the special configuration of equal mass black holes with equal, but antialigned spins, both laying in the plane of motion is preserved by the conservative dynamics. This is the configuration which led to maximal recoil found in numerical simulations [48], and our investigations show that it is conserved during the inspiral to a 2PN accuracy, with leading order spin-orbit, spin-spin, and mass quadrupole effects included.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Thomas Krichbaum for references on observational spin estimates. This work was partially supported by COST Action MP0905 "Black Holes in a Violent Universe" and Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) Grant No. 69036.

## APPENDIX A: COMPARISON OF NOTATIONS WITH RELATED LITERATURE

In this Appendix we compare the notations established in [41] and thoroughly employed in this paper with corresponding notations in the literature.

First we establish the correspondence of the Euler angles  $(-\phi_n, \alpha, \psi_p)$  employed in [41] and standard celestial

mechanics angular orbital elements in Table I. The celestial mechanics angular orbital elements  $(\Omega, \iota, \omega)$  are defined with respect to a reference plane and a reference direction contained within it, both inertial. The node line is defined as the intersection of the reference plane with the plane of motion; the angle span by it with the reference direction is the *longitude of the ascending node*  $\Omega$ ; the relative angle of the two planes is the *inclination*  $\iota$  and the angle span by the ascending node with the direction of the periastron in the *argument of the periastron*  $\omega$ . The Euler angles  $(-\phi_n, \alpha, \psi_p)$  employed in [41] are defined similarly, but with respect to the inertial system  $\mathcal{K}_i$  with  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$  standing as the *x* and *z* axes [any  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \perp \hat{\mathbf{J}}$  standing as the reference direction and the reference plane given by  $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \hat{\mathbf{J}} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}})$ ].

The various systems of reference necessary for the description of the motion were also discussed in Refs. [42,43]. We establish the correspondence in Table II. While in these papers a quasicircular orbit was assumed, the results of [41] hold for generic orbits. A correspondence can be established as long as  $\hat{J}$  can be viewed as an inertial axis.

Finally we compare the notations of Ref. [44] with the notations of [41] in Table III.

 TABLE I.
 Comparison of the notations in [41] and standard celestial mechanics angular orbital elements.

Reference [41]		Celestial mechanics	
Euler angles	$(-\phi_n, \alpha, \psi_p)$	angular orbital elements	$(\Omega, \iota, \omega)$
True anomaly Equation (14) of [41] Equation (15) of [41]	$\dot{\phi}_n = -\frac{\chi_{pan}}{\dot{\alpha}_n \sin \alpha}$ $\dot{\psi}_p + \dot{\chi}_p = \frac{L_n}{\mu r^2} + \phi_n \cos \alpha$	true anomaly	$\dot{\Omega} = i \frac{\upsilon}{\frac{\tan(\omega+\upsilon)}{\sin\iota}} \\ \dot{\omega} + \dot{\upsilon} = \frac{L_N}{\mu r^2} - \dot{\Omega} \cos\iota$

TABLE II. Comparison of the notations in Refs. [42,43] and [41].

	Reference [43] (based on [42])	Reference [41]
Orbit	circular	elliptical, $A_N \neq 0$
Corresponding quantities	$(\omega, M\omega)$	$\left(\frac{L_N}{\mu r^2} = \frac{c^3}{Gm} \varepsilon^{3/2}, \frac{c^3}{G} \varepsilon^{3/2}\right)$
Corresponding equation numbers	(16), (18)	(25) and (26), (15)
Plane of motion	orthonormal base $(n, \lambda)$	nonorthogonal base $(\frac{r}{r}, \frac{v}{v})$
Orthonormal inertial source system	$(e_x^S, e_y^S, e_z^S)$	$K_i = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{J}), \text{ if } \hat{J} \equiv e_z^S$
Orthonormal basis in the plane of motion	$(e_1^S, e_2^S)$	$(\hat{l}, \hat{m}), \text{ if } \hat{J} \equiv e_z^S$
Euler angles	$(\Phi_{S}, \iota, lpha)$	$(\psi = \psi_p + \chi_p, \alpha, \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi_n), \text{ if } \hat{J} \equiv e_z^S$
Line of sight in the $(x, z)$ plane	$\Theta = \arccos(e_z^S \cdot \hat{N})$	$\Theta = \arccos(\hat{J} \cdot \hat{N}), \ \hat{y} = \frac{\hat{J} \times \hat{N}}{\sin \Theta}$

TABLE III. Comparison of the notations in Refs. [44] and [41].

	Reference [44]	Reference [41]
Orbit	circular	elliptical, $A_N \neq 0$
Corresponding quantities	$(\omega_{\rm orb}, v^3 = M\omega_{\rm orb})$	$\left(\frac{L_N}{\mu r^2} = \frac{c^3}{Gm} \varepsilon^{3/2}, \frac{c^3}{G} \varepsilon^{3/2}\right)$
Inertial axis	$J_0$	$\hat{J}$
Inertial orthonormal basis $\perp J_0$ (to $\hat{J}$ )	$(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$	$(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$
Inertial orthonormal basis $\perp \hat{L}_N$ (the $K_L$ basis)	$(\hat{x}_L, \hat{y}_L)$	$(\hat{l}, \hat{m})$
Basis comoving with $\mu$	$(n, \lambda)$	$(\hat{r}, \hat{L}_N \times \hat{r})$
Symmetric mass ratio	$\nu \in [0, 0.25]$	$\eta \in [0, 0.25]$
Polar and azimuthal angles of $\hat{L}_N$ in $K_i$	$(\iota, \alpha)$	$(\alpha, \frac{3\pi}{2} - \phi_n)$
Phase	$\Phi(t)$	$\psi=\psi_{p}+\chi_{p}$

# APPENDIX B: DECOMPOSITION OF THE ACCELERATION AND SPIN ANGULAR VELOCITY VECTORS IN THE SYSTEM $\mathcal{K}_A$ DURING THE INSPIRAL

In this Appendix we give the decomposition of the accelerations and of the precessional angular velocities of the spins in the system  $\mathcal{K}_A$ . The ingredients we need are Eqs. (19) and (20) of [41] for the decomposition of the position and velocity vectors:

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \cos\chi_p \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \sin\chi_p \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}, \qquad (B1)$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{Gm\mu}{L_N} \left[ -\sin\chi_p \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \left( \cos\chi_p + \frac{A_N}{Gm\mu} \right) \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} \right].$$
(B2)

In the system  $\mathcal{K}_L$  the spin is given by Eq. (30) of [41]. By employing Eqs. (20) and (21) we rewrite it in the system  $\mathcal{K}_A$  as

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} = \sin\kappa_i [\cos(\psi_p - \psi_i)\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \sin(\psi_p - \psi_i)\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}] + \cos\kappa_i \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}.$$
(B3)

We also need

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{k}} = \cos \kappa_k (\sin \chi_p \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \cos \chi_p \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}) - \sin \kappa_k \sin(\psi_p + \chi_p - \psi_k) \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad (B4)$$

$$\mathbf{v} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{Gm\mu}{L_N} \cos\kappa_k \Big[ \Big( \cos\chi_p + \frac{A_N}{Gm\mu} \Big) \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \sin\chi_p \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} \Big] \\ - \frac{Gm\mu}{L_N} \sin\kappa_k \Big[ \cos(\psi_p + \chi_p - \psi_k) \\ + \frac{A_N}{Gm\mu} \cos(\psi_p - \psi_k) \Big] \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}, \tag{B5}$$

and

$$\Omega_{L} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} = a_{3} \frac{\mu r}{L_{N}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\sin \kappa_{i}}{\tan \alpha} \sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p}) + \cos \kappa_{i} \sin \chi_{p} \right] \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \left[ \frac{\sin \kappa_{i}}{\tan \alpha} \cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) \times \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p}) - \cos \kappa_{i} \cos \chi_{p} \right] \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \sin \kappa_{i} \sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{i}) \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} \right\}.$$
(B6)

Eqs. (3)-(5) of [41] give

$$S_i = \frac{G}{c} m^2 \eta \nu^{2i-3} \chi_i, \tag{B7}$$

$$Q_i = -\frac{G^2}{c^4} w_i m^2 \eta \nu^{2i-3} \chi_i^2 m_i.$$
 (B8)

### 1. Acceleration

The general relativistic, SO, SS, and QM contributions to the acceleration, with the SO part given in the Newton-Wigner-Pryce spin supplementary condition (NWP SSC) [25,28], by employing Eqs. (B7) and (B8) are:

$$\Delta \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{\text{PN}} + \mathbf{a}_{\text{2PN}} + \mathbf{a}_{\text{SO}}^{\text{NWP}} + \mathbf{a}_{\text{SS}} + \mathbf{a}_{\text{QM}}, \qquad (B9)$$

with

$$\mathbf{a}_{\rm PN} = \frac{Gm}{c^2 r^2} \left\{ \left[ 2(2+\eta) \frac{Gm}{r} - (1+3\eta)v^2 + \frac{3}{2}\eta \dot{r}^2 \right] \hat{\mathbf{r}} + 2(2-\eta)\dot{r}\mathbf{v} \right\},\tag{B10}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{2\text{PN}} = -\frac{Gm}{c^4 r^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{3}{4} (12 + 29\eta) \left( \frac{Gm}{r} \right)^2 + \eta (3 - 4\eta) v^4 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{15}{8} \eta (1 - 3\eta) \dot{r}^4 - \frac{3}{2} \eta (3 - 4\eta) \dot{r}^2 v^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\eta}{2} (13 - 4\eta) \frac{Gm}{r} v^2 - (2 + 25\eta + 2\eta^2) \frac{Gm}{r} \dot{r}^2 \right] \mathbf{\hat{r}} \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \eta (15 + 4\eta) v^2 - (4 + 41\eta + 8\eta^2) \frac{Gm}{r} \right. \\ \left. - 3\eta (3 + 2\eta) \dot{r}^2 \right] \dot{r} \mathbf{v} \right\},$$
(B11)

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{SO}}^{\mathrm{NWP}} = \frac{G^2 m^2 \eta}{c^3 r^3} \sum_{k=1}^2 (4\nu^{2k-3} + 3)\chi_k \Big\{ \frac{3L_N}{2\mu r} (\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} - (\mathbf{v} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{k}}) + \frac{3\dot{r}}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{k}}) \Big\},$$
(B12)

$$\mathbf{a}_{SS} = -\frac{3G^3m^3\eta}{c^4r^4}\chi_1\chi_2\{[(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1\cdot\hat{\mathbf{S}}_2) - 5(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1)(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{S}}_2)]\hat{\mathbf{r}} + (\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{S}}_2)\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 + (\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1)\hat{\mathbf{S}}_2\},$$
(B13)

$$\mathbf{a}_{\rm QM} = -\frac{3G^3m^3\eta}{2c^4r^4} \sum_{k=1}^2 w_k \nu^{2k-3} \chi_k^2 \{ [1 - 5(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_k)^2] \hat{\mathbf{r}} + 2(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_k) \hat{\mathbf{S}}_k \}.$$
(B14)

After inserting Eqs. (B1)–(B5), the projections  $a_i = \Delta \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{(i)}$  with  $\mathbf{f}_{(i)} = (\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N, \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_N, \hat{\mathbf{L}}_N)$ , they can be readily found. For explicit expressions we also need

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sin \kappa_k \cos(\psi_p + \chi_p - \psi_k), \qquad (B15)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2 = \cos\kappa_1 \cos\kappa_2 + \sin\kappa_1 \sin\kappa_2 \cos(\psi_2 - \psi_1). \quad (B16)$$

The acceleration components are

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1} &= a_{1}^{\text{PN}} + a_{1}^{\text{2PN}} + a_{1}^{\text{SO}} + a_{1}^{\text{SS}} + a_{1}^{\text{QM}}, \\ a_{1}^{\text{PN}} &= \frac{Gm}{c^{2}r^{2}} \Big[ \Big[ 2(2+\eta)\frac{Gm}{r} - (1+3\eta)v^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\eta\dot{r}^{2} \Big] \cos\chi_{p} - 2(2-\eta)\dot{r}\frac{Gm\mu}{L_{N}}\sin\chi_{p} \Big], \\ a_{1}^{\text{2PN}} &= -\frac{Gm}{c^{4}r^{2}} \Big[ \Big[ \frac{3}{4}(12+29\eta)\Big(\frac{Gm}{r}\Big)^{2} + \eta(3-4\eta)v^{4} + \frac{15}{8}\eta(1-3\eta)\dot{r}^{4} - \frac{3}{2}\eta(3-4\eta)\dot{r}^{2}v^{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}(13-4\eta)\frac{Gm}{r}v^{2} \\ &- (2+25\eta+2\eta^{2})\frac{Gm}{r}\dot{r}^{2} \Big] \cos\chi_{p} + \Big[ \eta(15+4\eta)v^{2} - (4+41\eta+8\eta^{2})\frac{Gm}{r} - 3\eta(3+2\eta)\dot{r}^{2} \Big] \frac{Gm\mu\dot{r}}{2L_{N}}\sin\chi_{p} \Big], \\ a_{1}^{\text{SO}} &= \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{c^{3}r^{3}} \Big[ \Big( \frac{3L_{N}}{2\mu r} - \frac{Gm\mu}{L_{N}} \Big) \cos\chi_{p} + \frac{3\dot{r}}{2}\sin\chi_{p} - \frac{A_{N}}{L_{N}} \Big] \sum_{k=1}^{2} (4v^{2k-3}+3)\chi_{k}\cos\kappa_{k}, \\ a_{1}^{\text{SS}} &= -\frac{3G^{3}m^{3}\eta}{c^{4}r^{4}}\chi_{1}\chi_{2}\{\cos\kappa_{1}\cos\kappa_{2}\cos\chi_{p} + \sin\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2}[[\cos(\psi_{2}-\psi_{1}) - 5\cos(\psi_{p}+\chi_{p}-\psi_{1})\cos(\psi_{p}+\chi_{p}-\psi_{2})] \\ &\times \cos\chi_{p} + \cos(\psi_{p}+\chi_{p}-\psi_{2})\cos(\psi_{p}-\psi_{1}) + \cos(\psi_{p}+\chi_{p}-\psi_{1})\cos(\psi_{p}-\psi_{2}) \Big], \\ a_{1}^{\text{QM}} &= -\frac{3G^{3}m^{3}\eta}{2c^{4}r^{4}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} w_{k}v^{2k-3}\chi_{k}^{2}\{\cos\chi_{p} - \sin^{2}\kappa_{k}\cos(\psi_{p}+\chi_{p}-\psi_{k})[5\cos\chi_{p}\cos(\psi_{p}+\chi_{p}-\psi_{k}) - 2\cos(\psi_{p}-\psi_{k})] \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$
(B17)

$$\begin{split} a_{2} &= a_{2}^{\text{PN}} + a_{2}^{\text{2PN}} + a_{2}^{\text{SO}} + a_{2}^{\text{SS}} + a_{2}^{\text{QM}}, \\ a_{2}^{\text{PN}} &= \frac{Gm}{c^{2}r^{2}} \Big[ \Big[ 2(2+\eta) \frac{Gm}{r} - (1+3\eta)v^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\eta r^{2} \Big] \sin\chi_{p} + 2(2-\eta)r \frac{Gm\mu}{L_{N}} \Big( \cos\chi_{p} + \frac{A_{N}}{Gm\mu} \Big) \Big] \\ a_{2}^{\text{2PN}} &= -\frac{Gm}{c^{4}r^{2}} \Big[ \Big[ \frac{3}{4} (12+29\eta) \Big( \frac{Gm}{r} \Big)^{2} + \eta (3-4\eta)v^{4} + \frac{15}{8}\eta (1-3\eta)r^{4} - \frac{3}{2}\eta (3-4\eta)r^{2}v^{2} - \frac{\eta}{2} (13-4\eta) \frac{Gm}{r}v^{2} \\ &- (2+25\eta+2\eta^{2}) \frac{Gm}{r}r^{2} \Big] \sin\chi_{p} - \Big[ \eta (15+4\eta)v^{2} - (4+41\eta+8\eta^{2}) \frac{Gm}{r} - 3\eta (3+2\eta)r^{2} \Big] \\ &\times \frac{Gm\mu \dot{r}}{2L_{N}} \Big( \cos\chi_{p} + \frac{A_{N}}{Gm\mu} \Big) \Big\}, \\ a_{2}^{\text{SO}} &= \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{c^{3}r^{3}} \Big[ \Big( \frac{3L_{N}}{2\mu r} - \frac{Gm\mu}{L_{N}} \Big) \sin\chi_{p} - \frac{3\dot{r}}{2}\cos\chi_{p} \Big] \sum_{k=1}^{2} (4\nu^{2k-3} + 3)\chi_{k}\cos\kappa_{k}, \\ a_{2}^{\text{SS}} &= -\frac{3G^{3}m^{3}\eta}{c^{4}r^{4}}\chi_{1}\chi_{2} \Big\{ \cos\kappa_{1}\cos\kappa_{2}\sin\chi_{p} + \sin\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2} [[\cos(\psi_{2} - \psi_{1}) - 5\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{1})\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{2})] \\ &\times \sin\chi_{p} - \cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{2})\sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{1}) - \cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{1})\sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{2}) ] \Big\}, \\ a_{2}^{\text{QM}} &= -\frac{3G^{3}m^{3}\eta}{2c^{4}r^{4}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} w_{k}v^{2k-3}\chi_{k}^{2} \Big\{ \sin\chi_{p} - \sin^{2}\kappa_{k}\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{k}) [5\sin\chi_{p}\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{k}) + 2\sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{k})] \Big\}. \end{split}$$
(B18)

and

$$a_{3} = a_{3}^{SO} + a_{3}^{SS} + a_{3}^{QM},$$

$$a_{3}^{SO} = \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{c^{3}r^{3}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} (4\nu^{2k-3} + 3)\chi_{k}\sin\kappa_{k} \left\{ \frac{Gm\mu}{L_{N}} \left[ \cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{k}) + \frac{A_{N}}{Gm\mu}\cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{k}) \right] - \frac{3\dot{r}}{2}\sin(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{k}) \right\},$$

$$a_{3}^{SS} = -\frac{3G^{3}m^{3}\eta}{c^{4}r^{4}}\chi_{1}\chi_{2} \left[ \cos\kappa_{1}\sin\kappa_{2}\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{2}) + \cos\kappa_{2}\sin\kappa_{1}\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{1}) \right],$$

$$a_{3}^{QM} = -\frac{3G^{3}m^{3}\eta}{2c^{4}r^{4}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} w_{k}\nu^{2k-3}\chi_{k}^{2}\sin2\kappa_{k}\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{k}).$$
(B19)

In the above expressions we still need to employ Eqs. (20)–(21) and (23) of [41]

$$r = \frac{L_N^2}{\mu(Gm\mu + A_N \cos\chi_p)},$$
 (B20)

$$\dot{r} = \frac{A_N}{L_N} \sin \chi_p, \tag{B21}$$

$$v^{2} = \frac{(Gm\mu)^{2} + A_{N}^{2} + 2Gm\mu A_{N}\cos\chi_{p}}{L_{N}^{2}}, \qquad (B22)$$

in order to rewrite r,  $\dot{r}$ , and  $v^2$  in terms of the chosen dynamical variables.

Also, as  $L_N$  is not among the chosen independent variables, we need to express it in terms of them. For this, first we give the SO part of the orbital angular momentum in the NWP SSC:

$$\mathbf{L}_{SO}^{\text{NWP}} = \frac{G\mu}{2c^2 r} \sum_{k=1}^{2} (4 + 3\nu^{3-2i}) S_i [\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i)]$$
  
$$= \frac{G^2 m^3}{4c^3 r} \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} (4\nu^{2i-3} + 3) \chi_i \{\sin \kappa_i \}$$
  
$$\times [\cos(2\chi_p + \psi_p - \psi_i)]$$
  
$$- \cos(\psi_p - \psi_i)] \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \sin \kappa_i [\sin(2\chi_p + \psi_p - \psi_i)]$$
  
$$+ \sin(\psi_p - \psi_i)] \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} - 2\cos \kappa_i \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}} \}.$$
(B23)

As expected its order is

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{SO}}^{\mathrm{NWP}}}{L_{N}}\right) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2})\mathcal{O}(\eta)\mathcal{O}(1,\nu^{2i-3})\chi_{i}.$$
 (B24)

The total angular momentum  $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2$  in the system  $\mathcal{K}_A$  then becomes, using Eqs. (B3) and (B23):

$$J\hat{\mathbf{J}} = \frac{Gm^2}{c} \eta \sum_{i=1}^{2} \chi_i \sin\kappa_i \left\{ \left[ \nu^{2i-3} \cos(\psi_p - \psi_i) - \frac{Gm}{4c^2 r} \eta (4\nu^{2i-3} + 3) [\cos(\psi_p - \psi_i) - \cos(2\chi_p + \psi_p - \psi_i)] \right] \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \left[ \nu^{2i-3} \sin(\psi_p - \psi_i) - \frac{Gm}{4c^2 r} \eta (4\nu^{2i-3} + 3) [\sin(\psi_p - \psi_i) + \sin(2\chi_p + \psi_p - \psi_i)] \right] \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} \right\} + \left\{ L_N (1 + \epsilon_{\mathrm{PN}} + \epsilon_{2\mathrm{PN}}) + \frac{Gm^2}{c} \eta \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ \nu^{2i-3} - \frac{Gm}{2c^2 r} \eta (4\nu^{2i-3} + 3) \right] \chi_i \cos\kappa_i \right\} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}.$$
(B25)

Here  $\epsilon_{\rm PN}$  and  $\epsilon_{\rm 2PN}$  are given by Eqs. (39)–(40) of [41]. The projections along the basis vectors  $\hat{l}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\rm N}$  of the  $\mathcal{K}_L$  system are<sup>2</sup>

The last equation enables us to express  $L_N$  to 2PN accuracy in terms of the chosen independent variables:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \chi_{i} \sin \kappa_{i} \bigg[ \nu^{2i-3} \cos \psi_{i} + \frac{Gm}{4c^{2}r} \eta (4\nu^{2i-3} + 3) \\ \times \big[ \cos(2\chi_{p} + 2\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) - \cos \psi_{i} \big] \bigg],$$
(B26)

$$\frac{cJ\sin\alpha}{Gm^2\eta} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \chi_i \sin\kappa_i \bigg[ \nu^{2i-3}\sin\psi_i + \frac{Gm}{4c^2r}\eta(4\nu^{2i-3}+3) \\ \times [\sin(2\chi_p + 2\psi_p - \psi_i) - \sin\psi_i] \bigg], \quad (B27)$$

$$J\cos\alpha = L_N(1 + \epsilon_{\rm PN} + \epsilon_{\rm 2PN}) + \frac{Gm^2}{c} \eta \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[ \nu^{2i-3} - \frac{Gm}{2c^2r} \eta (4\nu^{2i-3} + 3) \right] \chi_i \cos\kappa_i.$$
(B28)

$$L_{N} = J(1 - \epsilon_{\text{PN}} - \epsilon_{2\text{PN}} + \epsilon_{\text{PN}}^{2}) \cos\alpha$$
$$- \frac{Gm^{2}}{c} \eta \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ (1 - \epsilon_{\text{PN}}) \nu^{2i-3} - \frac{Gm}{2c^{2}r} \eta (4\nu^{2i-3} + 3) \right] \chi_{i} \cos\kappa_{i}.$$
(B29)

We also give the series expansion of its reciprocal:

$$\frac{1}{L_N} = \frac{1 + \epsilon_{\rm PN} + \epsilon_{\rm 2PN}}{J\cos\alpha} + \left(\frac{Gm^2}{cJ\cos\alpha}\right) \frac{\eta}{J\cos\alpha} \left[ (1 + \epsilon_{\rm PN})\chi_{\nu} - \frac{Gm}{2c^2r} \eta(4\chi_{\nu} + 3\chi_{+}) \right] + \left(\frac{Gm^2}{cJ\cos\alpha}\right)^2 \frac{\eta^2}{J\cos\alpha} \times \left[ (1 + \epsilon_{\rm PN})\chi_{\nu} - \frac{Gm}{c^2r} \eta(4\chi_{\nu} + 3\chi_{+}) \right] \chi_{\nu} + \left(\frac{Gm^2}{cJ\cos\alpha}\right)^3 \frac{\eta^3}{J\cos\alpha} \chi_{\nu}^3 + \left(\frac{Gm^2}{cJ\cos\alpha}\right)^4 \frac{\eta^4}{J\cos\alpha} \chi_{\nu}^4,$$
(B30)

where we employed the notations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>These are the equations in the NWP SSC corresponding to Eqs. (46)–(48) of [41], which were written in the covariant SSC.

$$\chi_{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \chi_{i} \cos \kappa_{i} = \chi_{1} \cos \kappa_{1} + \chi_{2} \cos \kappa_{2},$$
  
$$\chi_{\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \nu^{2i-3} \chi_{i} \cos \kappa_{i} = \nu^{-1} \chi_{1} \cos \kappa_{1} + \nu \chi_{2} \cos \kappa_{2}.$$
  
(B31)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 82, 104031 (2010)

Note that the 2PN contribution of  $1/L_N$  is rather messy (fourth rank in the spins), nevertheless for our purposes we need it only to 1PN accuracy (it enters only in PN terms or higher, and the desired accuracy is 2PN).

We also give here the detailed expression in terms of orbital elements of  $\epsilon_{PN}$ , which is necessary at this accuracy:

$$\epsilon_{\rm PN} = \frac{1 - 3\eta}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{c}\right)^2 + (3 + \eta) \frac{Gm}{c^2 r} = \frac{(7 - \eta)(Gm\mu)^2 + (1 - 3\eta)A_N^2 + 4(2 - \eta)Gm\mu A_N \cos\chi_p}{2c^2 L_N^2}$$
$$= \frac{(Gm\mu)^2}{2c^2 J^2 \cos^2 \alpha} [(1 - 3\eta)e_r^2 + 4(2 - \eta)e_r \cos\chi_p + (7 - \eta)]. \tag{B32}$$

# 2. Spin angular velocity

The spin undergoes a pure precession, therefore its magnitude is unchanged, while its direction changes as

1

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}},\tag{B33}$$

where, after employing Eqs. (B7), (B8), (B1), (B3), and (B15) in Eqs. (56) of [41] the angular velocity vector is found as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} &= \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{SO}} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{SS}} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{QM}},\\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{SO}} &= \frac{G(4+3\nu^{3-2i})}{2c^{2}r^{3}} L_{N} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N},\\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{SS}} &= \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{2c^{3}r^{3}} \nu^{2j-3} \chi_{j} [\sin\kappa_{j} \{ [3\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{j})\cos\chi_{p} - \cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{j})] \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} \\ &+ [3\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{j})\sin\chi_{p} + \sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{j})] \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} \} - \cos\kappa_{j} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N} ],\\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{QM}} &= \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{2c^{3}r^{3}} 3w_{i}\chi_{i}\sin\kappa_{i}\cos(\psi_{p} + \chi_{p} - \psi_{i})(\cos\chi_{p}\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} + \sin\chi_{p}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N}), \end{split}$$
(B34)

with  $j \neq i$ . Their PN order is

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{SO}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\mathcal{O}(1, \nu^{3-2i})\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}),$$
  

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{SS}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2})\mathcal{O}(\eta)\mathcal{O}(\nu^{2i-3})\chi_{j}\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}),$$
  

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{QM}}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/2})\mathcal{O}(\eta)w_{i}\chi_{i}\mathcal{O}(T^{-1}).$$
(B35)

The projections employed in the main text are

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{N} = \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{2c^{3}r^{3}} \{\nu^{2j-3}\chi_{j}\sin\kappa_{j}[3\cos(\psi_{p}+2\chi_{p}-\psi_{j})+\cos(\psi_{p}-\psi_{j})] \\
+ 3w_{i}\chi_{i}\sin\kappa_{i}[\cos(\psi_{p}+2\chi_{p}-\psi_{i})+\cos(\psi_{p}-\psi_{i})]\}, \\
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{N} = \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{2c^{3}r^{3}} \{\nu^{2j-3}\chi_{j}\sin\kappa_{j}[3\sin(\psi_{p}+2\chi_{p}-\psi_{j})-\sin(\psi_{p}-\psi_{j})] \\
+ 3w_{i}\chi_{i}\sin\kappa_{i}[\sin(\psi_{p}+2\chi_{p}-\psi_{i})-\sin(\psi_{p}-\psi_{i})]\}, \\
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{N} = \frac{G(4+3\nu^{3-2i})}{2c^{2}r^{3}}J\cos\alpha - \frac{G^{2}m^{2}\eta}{2c^{3}r^{3}}[(4\nu^{2i-3}+3)\chi_{i}\cos\kappa_{i}+\nu^{2j-3}(5+3\nu^{3-2i})\chi_{j}\cos\kappa_{j}]. \quad (B36)$$

We also need

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i} = \left[ (\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}) \sin\kappa_{i} \sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) + (\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}) \cos\kappa_{i} \right] \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} + \left[ (\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}) \sin\kappa_{i} \cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) - (\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}}) \cos\kappa_{i} \right] \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}} - \sin\kappa_{i} \left[ (\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}}) \sin(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) + (\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{N}}) \cos(\psi_{p} - \psi_{i}) \right] \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{N}}.$$
(B39)

- The LIGO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 80, 047101 (2009); A. S. Sengupta (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 228, 012002 (2010).
- [2] K. G. Arun, S. Babak, E. Berti, N. Cornish, C. Cutler, J. Gair, S. A. Hughes, B. R. Iyer, R. N. Lang, I. Mandel, E. K. Porter, B. S. Sathyaprakash, S. Sinha, A. M. Sintes, M. Trias, C. Van Den Broeck, and M. Volonteri, Classical Quantum Gravity 26, 094027 (2009); R. N. Lang and S. A. Hughes, Classical Quantum Gravity 26, 094035 (2009).
- [3] L. Á. Gergely and P. L. Biermann, Astrophys. J. 697, 1621 (2009).
- [4] Y. Pan, A. Buonanno, L. T. Buchman, T. Chu, L. E. Kidder, H. P. Pfeiffer, and M. A. Scheel, Phys. Rev. D 81, 084041 (2010).
- [5] R. Sturani, S. Fischetti, L. Cadonati, G. M. Guidi, J. Healy, D. Shoemaker, and A. Viceré, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 243, 012007 (2010).
- [6] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and A.O. Starinets, Classical Quantum Gravity 26, 163001 (2009).
- [7] C. M. Will, Astrophys. J. Lett. 674, L25 (2008); D. Merritt, T. Alexander, S. Mikkola, and C. M. Will, Phys. Rev. D 81, 062002 (2010).
- [8] J. C. McKinney and R. D. Blandford, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 394, L126 (2009).
- [9] B. M. Peterson, New Astron. Rev. 52, 240 (2008); A. C. Fabian *et al.*, Nature (London) 459, 540 (2009).
- [10] W. Kollatschny, Astron. Astrophys. 412, L61 (2003).
- [11] S. S. Doeleman *et al.*, Nature (London) 455, 78 (2008);
   A. E. Broderick, A. Loeb, and R. Narayan, Astrophys. J. 701, 1357 (2009).
- [12] B. Aschenbach, N. Grosso, D. Porquet, and P. Predehl, Astron. Astrophys. 417, 71 (2004).
- [13] A.E. Broderick and A. Loeb, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 363, 353 (2005).
- [14] A.E. Broderick and A. Loeb, Astrophys. J. 697, 1164 (2009).
- [15] D. Garofalo, Astrophys. J. 699, 400 (2009).
- [16] T. P. Krichbaum *et al.*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **54**, 328 (2006); ASP Conf. Ser. **386**, 186 (2008).
- [17] J. P. Leahy, T. W. B. Muxlow, and P. W. Stephens, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 239, 401 (1989); C. L. Carilli, R. A. Perley, J. W. Dreher, and J. P. Leahy, Astrophys. J. 383, 554 (1991); A. Celotti and A. C. Fabian, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 264, 228 (1993); W. J. Duschl and H. Lesch, Astron. Astrophys. 286, 431 (1994).
- [18] P.L. Biermann, R.G. Strom, and H. Falcke, Astron. Astrophys. **302**, 429 (1995); Gopal-Krishna, P.L. Biermann, and P.J. Wiita, Astrophys. J. **603**, L9 (2004).
- [19] H. Falcke and P. L. Biermann, Astron. Astrophys. 293, 665 (1995); H. Falcke, M. A. Malkan, and P. L. Biermann, Astron. Astrophys. 298, 375 (1995).
- [20] D. Maitra, S. Markoff, C. Brocksopp, M. Noble, M. Nowak, and J. Wilms, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 398, 1638 (2009).
- [21] B. M. Barker and R. F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. D 12, 329 (1975).
- [22] B.M. Barker and R.F. O'Connell, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 11, 149 (1979).
- [23] L. E. Kidder, C. M. Will, and A. G. Wiseman, Phys. Rev. D 47, R4183 (1993).

- [24] T.A. Apostolatos, C. Cutler, G.J. Sussman, and K.S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. D 49, 6274 (1994); F.D. Ryan, Phys. Rev. D 53, 3064 (1996); R. Rieth and G. Schäfer, Classical Quantum Gravity 14, 2357 (1997); L. Á. Gergely, Z. Perjés, and M. Vasúth, Phys. Rev. D 57, 876 (1998); 57, 3423 (1998); L. Á. Gergely, Z.I. Perjés, and M. Vasúth, Phys. Rev. D 58, 124001 (1998); R.F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 081103 (2004); C. M. Will, Phys. Rev. D 71, 084027 (2005); J. Zeng and C. M. Will, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 39, 1661 (2007); J. Majár and M. Vasúth, Phys. Rev. D 77, 104005 (2008); N.J. Cornish and J. Shapiro Key, Phys. Rev. D 82, 044028 (2010).
- [25] L.E. Kidder, Phys. Rev. D 52, 821 (1995).
- [26] T. A. Apostolatos, Phys. Rev. D 52, 605 (1995); 54, 2438 (1996); L. Á. Gergely, Phys. Rev. D 61, 024035 (1999); 62, 024007 (2000); B. Mikóczi, M. Vasúth, and L. Á. Gergely, Phys. Rev. D 71, 124043 (2005); H. Wang and C. M. Will, Phys. Rev. D 75, 064017 (2007); J. Majár, Phys. Rev. D 80, 104028 (2009); A. Klein and Ph. Jetzer, Phys. Rev. D 81, 124001 (2010).
- [27] E. Poisson, Phys. Rev. D 57, 5287 (1998),
- [28] L. A. Gergely and Z. Keresztes, Phys. Rev. D 67, 024020 (2003).
- [29] E. E. Flanagan and T. Hinderer, Phys. Rev. D 75, 124007 (2007); É. Racine, Phys. Rev. D 78, 044021 (2008).
- [30] T. D. Newton and E. P. Wigner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21, 400 (1949); M. H. L. Pryce, Proc. R. Soc. A 195, 62 (1948).
- [31] Z. Keresztes, B. Mikóczi, and L. Á. Gergely, Phys. Rev. D 72, 104022 (2005). The coefficients  $G^2m^2\bar{L}^2/c^2\mu^2$  in the third line of Table I and  $G\mu\bar{L}/2c^2r$  in the first line of Table II should read as  $Gm\bar{L}^2/c^2\mu^2$  and  $G\mu/2c^2r$ , respectively; in Eq. (18) the term  $(dt/d\chi)_{\rm PN}$  should have a factor of  $\mu/\bar{L}$  and the sign of  $(dt/d\chi)_{\rm SO}$  should be switched.
- [32] Th. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. D 77, 064032 (2008); J. Steinhoff, G. Schäfer, and S. Hergt, Phys. Rev. D 77, 104018 (2008); Th. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. D 78, 024009 (2008); E. Barausse, É. Racine, and A. Buonanno, Phys. Rev. D 80, 104025 (2009); J. Steinhoff and H. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 81, 024022 (2010); X. Wu and Y. Xie, Phys. Rev. D 81, 084045 (2010).
- [33] L. Rezzolla, E. Barausse, E. Nils Dorband, D. Pollney, C. Reisswig, J. Seiler, and S. Husa, Phys. Rev. D 78, 044002 (2008); M.C. Washik, J. Healy, F. Herrmann, I. Hinder, D.M. Shoemaker, P. Laguna, and R.A. Matzner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 061102 (2008); A. Buonanno, L. E. Kidder, and L. Lehner, Phys. Rev. D 77, 026004 (2008); W. Tichy and P. Marronetti, Phys. Rev. D 78, 081501(R) (2008); E. Barausse and L. Rezzolla, Astrophys. J. Lett. 704, L40 (2009); U. Sperhake, V. Cardoso, F. Pretorius, E. Berti, T. Hinderer, and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 131102 (2009); J. Healy, P. Laguna, R.A. Matzner, and D.M. Shoemaker, Phys. Rev. D 81, 081501 (2010); E. Barausse, arXiv:0911.1274; M. Kesden, U. Sperhake, and E. Berti, Phys. Rev. D 81, 084054 (2010); C.O. Lousto, M. Campanelli, Y. Zlochower, and H. Nakano, Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 114006 (2010).
- [34] K. Glampedakis and D. Kennefick, Phys. Rev. D 66, 044002 (2002); J. Levin and G. Perez-Giz, Phys. Rev. D

**79**, 124013 (2009); G. Perez-Giz and J. Levin, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124014 (2009).

- [35] J. Levin and R. Grossman, Phys. Rev. D 79, 043016 (2009); R. Grossman and J. Levin, Phys. Rev. D 79, 043017 (2009).
- [36] J. Healy, J. Levin, and D. Shoemaker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 131101 (2009).
- [37] J. P. Leahy and A. G. Williams, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 210, 929 (1984).
- [38] Ch. Zier and P.L. Biermann, Astron. Astrophys. 377, 23 (2001); D. Merritt and R. Ekers, Science 297, 1310 (2002); Gopal-Krishna, P.L. Biermann, and P.J. Wiita, Astrophys. J. Lett. 594, L103 (2003); F.K. Liu, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 347, 1357 (2004); Gopal-Krishna, P.L. Biermann, L. Á. Gergely, and P.J. Wiita (unpublished).
- [39] J. P. Leahy and R. A. Perley, Astron. J. 102, 537 (1991);
   A. R. S. Black, S. A. Baum, J. P. Leahy, R. A. Perley, J. M. Riley, and P. A. G. Scheuer, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 256, 186 (1992).
- [40] L.A. Gergely, P.L. Biermann, and L.I. Caramete, Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 194009 (2010).
- [41] L. Á. Gergely, Phys. Rev. D 81, 084025 (2010). The power of ν in Eq. (57) should read 3 - 2i.
- [42] L.S. Finn and D.F. Chernoff, Phys. Rev. D 47, 2198 (1993).

- [43] A. Buonanno, Y. Chen, and M. Vallisneri, Phys. Rev. D 67, 104025 (2003); 74, 029904(E) (2006).
- [44] K. G. Arun, A. Buonanno, G. Faye, and E. Ochsner, Phys. Rev. D79, 104023 (2009).
- [45] W.G. Laarakkers and E. Poisson, Astrophys. J. 512, 282 (1999).
- [46] K. S. Thorne, Rev. Mod. Phys. 52, 299 (1980).
- [47] F. Herrmann, I. Hinder, D. Shoemaker, P. Laguna, and R. A. Matzner, Astrophys. J. 661, 430 (2007); J. D. Schnittman, A. Buonanno, J. R. van Meter, J. G. Baker, W. D. Boggs, J. Centrella, B. J. Kelly, and S. T. McWilliams, Phys. Rev. D 77, 044031 (2008).
- [48] J.A. Gonzalez, M.D. Hannam, U. Sperhake, B. Brugmann, and S. Husa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 231101 (2007); F. Herrmann, I. Hinder, D. Shoemaker, P. Laguna, and R.A. Matzner, Phys. Rev. D 76, 084032 (2007); M. Campanelli, C.O. Lousto, Y. Zlochower, and D. Merritt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 231102 (2007); Astrophys. J. 659, L5 (2007); S. Dain, C.O. Lousto, and Y. Zlochower, Phys. Rev. D 78, 024039 (2008); C.O. Lousto and Y. Zlochower, Phys. Rev. D 79, 064018 (2009).
- [49] J. D. Schnittman and A. Buonanno, Astrophys. J. 662, L63 (2007); É. Racine, A. Buonanno, and L. Kidder, Phys. Rev. D 80, 044010 (2009); Z. Keresztes, B. Mikóczi, L. Á. Gergely, and M. Vasúth, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 228, 012053 (2010).