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#### Abstract

We present a detailed study of the two-parton light-cone distribution amplitudes for $1^{3} P_{2}$ nonet tensor mesons. The asymptotic two-parton distribution amplitudes of twist- 2 and twist- 3 are given. The decay constants $f_{T}$ and $f_{T}^{\perp}$ defined by the matrices of nonlocal operators on the light-cone are estimated using the QCD sum rule techniques. We also study the decay constants for $f_{2}(1270)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ based on the hypothesis of tensor meson dominance together with the data of $\Gamma\left(f_{2} \rightarrow \pi \pi\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(f_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow K \bar{K}\right)$ and find that the results are in accordance with the sum rule predictions.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, $B A B A R$ and Belle have measured several charmless $B$ decay modes involving light tensor mesons in the final states [1]. These decays play a complementary role, compared with e.g., $B \rightarrow V V, V A, A A$ channels ( $V$ is a vector and $A$ is an axial-vector meson) [2,3], since the tensor meson $T$ can be produced neither from the local (axial-)vector current nor from the local tensor current which is relevant only to new physics. The polarization studies for $B \rightarrow T V, T A, T T$ decays can further shed light on the underlying helicity structure of the decay mechanism, recalling that the longitudinal polarization dominance observed in the decay $B^{+} \rightarrow \phi K_{2}^{*}(1430)^{+}$is quite different from the polarization measurement in $B \rightarrow \phi K^{*}$ which indicates a large fraction of transverse polarization [4].

In the quark model, the $J^{P C}=2^{++}$tensor meson can be modeled as a constituent quark-antiquark pair with the angular momentum $L=1$ and total spin $S=1$. The observed tensor mesons $f_{2}(1270), f_{2}^{\prime}(1525), a_{2}(1320)$, and $K_{2}^{*}(1430)$ form an $\mathrm{SU}(3) 1^{3} P_{2}$ nonet. The $q \bar{q}$ content for isodoublet and isovector tensor resonances are obvious. ${ }^{1}$ Nevertheless, in full QCD field theory, the tensor meson is represented by a set of Fock states, each of which has the same quantum number as the meson. In this work, we present the study for two-parton asymptotic light-cone

[^0]distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) of lowest-lying tensor mesons with quantum numbers $J^{P C}=2^{++}$because, in the treatment of exclusive $B$ decay processes in QCD, the Fock states of the energetic meson can be further represented in terms of LCDAs. The LCDAs are governed by the special collinear subgroup $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the conformal group [6,7] and can be expanded as a series of partial waves, where the rotational invariance is characterized by the conformal spin $j$ and the concept of "collinear twist" is equivalent to the "eigen-energy" in quantum mechanics.

Because of the $G$-parity of the tensor meson, according to our definition, both the chiral-even and chiral-odd twoparton LCDAs of the tensor meson are antisymmetric under the interchange of momentum fractions of the quark and antiquark in the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ limit. The asymptotic LCDAs are relevant to the first Gegenbauer moment of the leadingtwist distribution amplitudes, $\phi_{\|}$and $\phi_{\perp}$. In analogy to the cases of axial-vector mesons [3,8], the sizable Gegenbauer term containing the first Gegenbauer moment could have a large impact on $B$ decays involving a tensor meson.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we define the LCDAs for the tensor mesons. A slightly different definition for chiral-even LCDAs is given in [9]. The detailed properties of LCDAs are given in Sec. III. Results for the decay constants are presented in Sec. IV and in Sec. V we come to our conclusion.

## II. DEFINITION

For a tensor meson, the polarization tensors $\epsilon_{(\lambda)}^{\mu \nu}$ with helicity $\lambda$ can be constructed in terms of the polarization vectors of a massive vector state moving along the $z$-axis [10]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon(0)^{* \mu}=\left(P_{3}, 0,0, E\right) / m_{T}, \\
& \varepsilon( \pm 1)^{* \mu}=(0, \mp 1,+i, 0) / \sqrt{2}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{( \pm 2)}^{\mu \nu} \equiv \varepsilon( \pm 1)^{\mu} \varepsilon( \pm 1)^{\nu}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{( \pm 1)}^{\mu \nu} \equiv & \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\varepsilon( \pm 1)^{\mu} \varepsilon(0)^{\nu}+\varepsilon(0)^{\mu} \varepsilon( \pm 1)^{\nu}\right]  \tag{3}\\
\epsilon_{(0)}^{\mu \nu} \equiv & \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\left[\varepsilon(+1)^{\mu} \varepsilon(-1)^{\nu}+\varepsilon(-1)^{\mu} \varepsilon(+1)^{\nu}\right] \\
& +\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \varepsilon(0)^{\mu} \varepsilon(0)^{\nu} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The polarization $\epsilon_{\mu \nu}^{(\lambda)}$ can be decomposed in the frame formed by the two lightlike vectors, $z_{\mu}$ and $p_{\nu} \equiv P_{\nu}-$ $z_{\nu} m_{T}^{2} /(2 p z)$ with $P_{\nu}$ and $m_{T}$ being the momentum and the mass of the tensor meson, respectively, and their orthogonal plane [11,12]. The transverse component that we use thus reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\perp \mu \nu}^{(\lambda)} z^{\nu} & =\epsilon_{\mu \nu}^{(\lambda)} z^{\nu}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\| \mu \nu}^{(\lambda)} z^{\nu} \\
& =\epsilon_{\mu \nu}^{(\lambda)} z^{\nu}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha \nu}^{(\lambda)} z^{\alpha} z^{\nu}}{p z}\left(p_{\mu}-\frac{m_{T}^{2}}{2 p z} z_{\mu}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The polarization tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{(\lambda)}$, which is symmetric and traceless, satisfies the divergence-free condition $\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{(\lambda)} P^{\beta}=0$ and the orthonormal condition $\epsilon_{\mu \nu}^{(\lambda)}\left(\epsilon^{\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \mu \nu}\right)^{*}=\delta_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle T(P, \lambda)| V_{\mu}|0\rangle=a \epsilon_{\mu \nu}^{*(\lambda)} P^{\nu}+b \epsilon_{\nu}^{*(\lambda) \nu}{ }_{\nu} P_{\mu}=0,  \tag{6}\\
\langle T(P, \lambda)| A_{\mu}|0\rangle=\varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} P^{\nu} \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^{\rho \sigma *}=0, \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and hence the tensor meson cannot be produced from the local $V-A$ current and likewise from the tensor current. The completeness relation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\mu \nu}^{(\lambda)}\left(\epsilon_{\rho \sigma}^{(\lambda)}\right)^{*}=\frac{1}{2} M_{\mu \rho} M_{\nu \sigma}+\frac{1}{2} M_{\mu \sigma} M_{\nu \rho}-\frac{1}{3} M_{\mu \nu} M_{\rho \sigma} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\mu \nu}=g_{\mu \nu}-P_{\mu} P_{\nu} / m_{T}^{2}$.
In what follows, we consider matrix elements of bilocal quark-antiquark operators at a lightlike separation, $2 z_{\mu}$, with $z^{2}=0$. In analogy with those of vector and axialvector mesons [11-14], we can define chiral-even lightcone distribution amplitudes of a tensor meson ${ }^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { Our LCDA } g_{a} \text { differs from that defined by Braun and Kivel } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\langle T(P, \lambda)| \bar{q}_{1}(z) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q_{2}(-z)|0\rangle \\
\quad=f_{T} m_{T}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i(u-\bar{u}) p z} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} z^{\nu} p^{\alpha} \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^{* \beta \delta} z_{\delta} \frac{1}{(p z)^{2}} g_{a}^{\mathrm{BK}}(u) .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

They are related by $g_{a}(u)=2 \int_{0}^{u} g_{a}^{\mathrm{BK}}(v) d v$. Note that the variable $t$ used in [9] is related to $u$ through the relation $t=2 u-1$. Our $g_{a}$ is defined in the same manner as the LCDA $g_{\perp}^{(a)}$ in the vector meson case or $g_{\perp}^{(v)}$ as in the case of the axial-vector meson. This definition is more convenient for studying the relevant Wandzura-Wilczek relation and the helicity projection operator.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle T(P, \lambda)| \bar{q}_{1}(z) \gamma_{\mu} q_{2}(-z)|0\rangle \\
& \quad=f_{T} m_{T}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i(u-\bar{u}) p z}\left\{p_{\mu} \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}}{(p z)^{2}} \phi_{\| \|}(u)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\epsilon_{\perp \mu \alpha}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha}}{p z} g_{v}(u)-\frac{1}{2} z_{\mu} \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}}{(p z)^{3}} m_{T}^{2} g_{3}(u)\right\},  \tag{9}\\
& \langle T(P, \lambda)| \bar{q}_{1}(z) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q_{2}(-z)|0\rangle \\
& =  \tag{10}\\
& f_{T} m_{T}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i(u-\bar{u}) p z} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} z^{\nu} p^{\alpha} \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^{* \beta \delta} z_{\delta} \frac{1}{p z} g_{a}(u),
\end{align*}
$$

and chiral-odd LCDAs to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle T(P, \lambda) & \left.\left|\bar{q}_{1}(z) \sigma_{\mu \nu} q_{2}(-z)\right| 0\right\rangle \\
= & -i f_{T}^{\perp} m_{T} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i(u-\bar{u}) p z}\left\{\left[\epsilon_{\perp \mu \alpha}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} p_{\nu}-\epsilon_{\perp \nu \alpha}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} p_{\mu}\right]\right. \\
& \times \frac{1}{p z} \phi_{\perp}(u)+\left(p_{\mu} z_{\nu}-p_{\nu} z_{\mu}\right) \frac{m_{T}^{2} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}}{(p z)^{3}} h_{t}(u) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left[\epsilon_{\perp \mu \alpha}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} z_{\nu}-\epsilon_{\perp \nu \alpha}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} z_{\mu}\right] \frac{m_{T}^{2}}{(p z)^{2}} h_{3}(u)\right\} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle T(P, \lambda)| \bar{q}_{1}(z) q_{2}(-z)|0\rangle \\
& \quad=-i f_{T}^{\perp} m_{T}^{3} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i(u-\bar{u}) p z} \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}^{(\lambda) *} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}}{p z} h_{s}(u), \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ and $\bar{u} \equiv 1-u$ are the respective momentum fractions carried by $q_{1}$ and $\bar{q}_{2}$ in the tensor meson. For nonlocal operators on the light-cone, the path-ordered gauge factor connecting the points $z$ and $-z$ is not explicitly shown here.

In Eqs. (9)-(12) $\phi_{\|}, \phi_{\perp}$ are leading-twist- 2 LCDAs, and $g_{v}, g_{a}, h_{t}, h_{s}$ are twist- 3 ones, while $g_{3}$ and $h_{3}$, which will not be considered further in this paper, are of twist-4. Throughout the paper we have adopted the conventions $D_{\alpha}=\partial_{\alpha}+i g_{s} A_{\alpha}^{a} \lambda^{a} / 2$ and $\epsilon^{0123}=-1$.

## III. PROPERTIES

In $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ limit, due to the $G$-parity of the tensor meson, $\phi_{\|}, \phi_{\perp}, g_{v}, g_{a}, h_{t}, h_{s}, g_{3}$, and $h_{3}$ are antisymmetric under the replacement $u \rightarrow 1-u$. Let us take the case of the $a_{2}$ tensor meson to illustrate the properties of LCDAs. The $G$-parity operator for $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ symmetric cases is $\hat{G}=\hat{C} i \tau_{2}$, where $\hat{C}$ is a charge-conjugation operator and $\tau_{2}$ the Pauli spinor acting on the isospin space. Because, under the $G$-party transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{G} \bar{u}(z) \gamma_{\mu} d(-z) \hat{G}^{\dagger} & =-\hat{C} \bar{d}(z) \gamma_{\mu} u(-z) \hat{C}^{\dagger} \\
& =\bar{u}(-z) \gamma_{\mu} d(z)  \tag{13}\\
\hat{G} \bar{u}(z) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d(-z) \hat{G}^{\dagger} & =-\bar{u}(-z) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d(z) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{G} \bar{u}(z) \sigma_{\mu \nu} d(-z) \hat{G}^{\dagger}=\bar{u}(-z) \sigma_{\mu \nu} d(z),  \tag{15}\\
& \hat{G} \bar{u}(z) d(-z) \hat{G}^{\dagger}=-\bar{u}(-z) d(z), \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

for the nonlocal operators and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a_{2}\right| \hat{G}^{\dagger}=\left\langle a_{2}\right|(-1), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the state, we therefore have,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(z) \gamma_{\mu} d(-z)|0\rangle=\left\langle a_{2}\right| \hat{G}^{\dagger} \hat{G} \bar{u}(z) \gamma_{\mu} d(-z) \hat{G}^{\dagger} \hat{G}|0\rangle \\
=-\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(-z) \gamma_{\mu} d(z)|0\rangle  \tag{18}\\
\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(z) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d(-z)|0\rangle=\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(-z) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d(z)|0\rangle,  \tag{19}\\
\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(z) \sigma_{\mu \nu} d(-z)|0\rangle=-\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(-z) \sigma_{\mu \nu} d(z)|0\rangle,  \tag{20}\\
\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(z) d(-z)|0\rangle=\left\langle a_{2}\right| \bar{u}(-z) d(z)|0\rangle \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Notice that on the right-hand side of the equations, the momentum fraction carried by the up quark given by " $1-u$ " is equivalent to the momentum fraction carried by the anti-down quark on the left-hand side. Therefore, in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ limit we have $\phi_{\|, \perp}(u)=-\phi_{\|, \perp}(\bar{u}), g_{v, a, 3}(u)=$ $-g_{v, a, 3}(\bar{u})$, and $h_{t, s, 3}(u)=-h_{t, s, 3}(\bar{u})$. This is also true for the isosinglets $f_{2}(1270)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ which have even $G$-parity quantum numbers and for the isodoublet $K_{2}^{*}(1430)$ which is odd under the $G$-parity transformation in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ limit.

Using the QCD equations of motion [11,12], the twoparton distribution amplitudes $g_{v}, g_{a}, h_{t}$, and $h_{s}$ can be represented in terms of $\phi_{\|, \perp}$ and three-parton distribution amplitudes. Neglecting the three-parton distribution amplitudes containing gluons and terms proportional to light quark masses, twist-3 LCDAs $g_{a}, g_{v}, h_{t}$, and $h_{s}$ are related to twist-2 ones through the Wandzura-Wilczek relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{v}^{\mathrm{WW}}(u) & =\int_{0}^{u} d v \frac{\phi_{\|}(v)}{\bar{v}}+\int_{u}^{1} d v \frac{\phi_{\|}(v)}{v} \\
g_{a}^{\mathrm{WW}}(u) & =2 \bar{u} \int_{0}^{u} d v \frac{\phi_{\|}(v)}{\bar{v}}+2 u \int_{u}^{1} d v \frac{\phi_{\|}(v)}{v} \\
h_{t}^{\mathrm{WW}}(u) & =\frac{3}{2}(2 u-1)\left(\int_{0}^{u} d v \frac{\phi_{\perp}(v)}{\bar{v}}-\int_{u}^{1} d v \frac{\phi_{\perp}(v)}{v}\right), \\
h_{s}^{\mathrm{WW}}(u) & =3\left(\bar{u} \int_{0}^{u} d v \frac{\phi_{\perp}(v)}{\bar{v}}+u \int_{u}^{1} d v \frac{\phi_{\perp}(v)}{v}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The leading-twist LCDAs $\phi_{\|, \perp}(u, \mu)$ can be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\|, \perp}(u, \mu)=6 u(1-u) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_{\ell}^{\|, \perp}(\mu) C_{\ell}^{3 / 2}(2 u-1), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the normalization scale and the multiplicatively renormalizable coefficients (or the so-called Gegenbauer moments) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\ell}^{\|, \perp}(\mu)=\frac{2(2 \ell+3)}{3(\ell+1)(\ell+2)} \int_{0}^{1} d u C_{\ell}^{3 / 2}(2 u-1) \phi_{\|, \perp}(u, \mu), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which vanish with even $\ell$ in the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ limit due to $G$-parity invariance. The Gegenbauer moments $a_{l}^{\|}$renormalize multiplicatively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{(\perp)} a_{\ell}^{\|(\perp)}\right)(\mu)=\left(f^{(\perp)} a_{\ell}^{\|(\perp)}\right)\left(\mu_{0}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}\right)^{-\gamma_{\ell}^{\|(\perp)} / b} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b=\left(11 N_{c}-2 n_{f}\right) / 3$ and the one-loop anomalous dimensions are [15]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{\ell}^{\|}=C_{F}\left(1-\frac{2}{(\ell+1)(\ell+2)}+4 \sum_{j=2}^{\ell+1} \frac{1}{j}\right)  \tag{26}\\
\gamma_{\ell}^{\perp}=C_{F}\left(1+4 \sum_{j=2}^{\ell+1} \frac{1}{j}\right) \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $C_{F}=\left(N_{c}^{2}-1\right) /\left(2 N_{c}\right)$.
In the present study, the distribution amplitudes are normalized to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} d u(2 u-1) \phi_{\|}(u)=\int_{0}^{1} d u(2 u-1) \phi_{\perp}(u)=1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the first Gegenbauer moments are fixed to be $a_{1}^{\|}=a_{1}^{\perp}=\frac{5}{3}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 \int_{0}^{1} d u(2 u-1) g_{a}(u)=\int_{0}^{1} d u(2 u-1) g_{v}(u)=1,  \tag{29}\\
& 2 \int_{0}^{1} d u(2 u-1) h_{s}(u)=\int_{0}^{1} d u(2 u-1) h_{t}(u)=1, \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

which hold even if the complete leading-twist DAs and corrections from the three-parton distribution amplitudes containing gluons are taken into account. The asymptotic wave function is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\|, \perp}^{\mathrm{as}}(u)=30 u(1-u)(2 u-1) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding expressions for the twist-3 distributions are

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{v}^{\mathrm{as}}(u)=5(2 u-1)^{3}, \quad g_{a}^{\mathrm{as}}(u)=10 u(1-u)(2 u-1), \\
h_{t}^{\mathrm{as}}(u)=\frac{15}{2}(2 u-1)\left(1-6 u+6 u^{2}\right)  \tag{32}\\
h_{s}^{\mathrm{as}}(u)=15 u(1-u)(2 u-1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

## IV. DECAY CONSTANTS

A tensor meson cannot be produced through the usual local $V-A$ and tensor currents, but it can be created through these currents with covariant derivatives (see below). This feature allows us to study its decay constants $f_{T}$ and $f_{T}^{\perp}$.

## A. $f_{T}$

The decay constant $f_{T}$, which itself involves the Gegenbauer first moment, can be defined through the matrix element of the following operator ${ }^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T(P, \lambda)| j_{\mu \nu}(0)|0\rangle=f_{T} m_{T}^{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu}^{(\lambda) *} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mu \nu}(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{q}_{1}(0) \gamma_{\mu} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\nu} q_{2}(0)+\bar{q}_{1}(0) \gamma_{\nu} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} q_{2}(0)\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}=\vec{D}_{\mu}-\overleftarrow{D}_{\mu}$ with $\vec{D}_{\mu}=\vec{\partial}_{\mu}+i g_{s} A_{\alpha}^{a} \lambda^{a} / 2$ and $\overleftarrow{D}_{\mu}=\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\mu}-i g_{s} A_{\alpha}^{a} \lambda^{a} / 2$. Its value has been estimated using QCD sum rules for the tensor mesons $f_{2}(1270)$ [16] and $K_{2}^{*}(1430)[17]^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{f_{2}(1270)}(\mu & =1 \mathrm{GeV}) \simeq 0.08 m_{f_{2}(1270)}=102 \mathrm{MeV} \\
f_{K_{2}^{*}(1430)}(\mu & =1 \mathrm{GeV}) \simeq(0.10 \pm 0.01) m_{K_{2}^{*}(1430)}  \tag{35}\\
& =(143 \pm 14) \mathrm{MeV} .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall reanalyze the $f_{T}$ sum rules in the next subsection.
Several authors [16,20,21] have extracted $f_{f_{2}(1270)}$ from the measurement of $\Gamma\left(f_{2} \rightarrow \pi \pi\right)$ by assuming that the matrix element $\left\langle\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right| \Theta_{\mu \nu}|0\rangle$ with $\Theta_{\mu \nu}$ being the energy-momentum tensor is saturated by the $f_{2}$ meson under the tensor-meson-dominance hypothesis, namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\pi^{+}(p) \pi^{-}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right| \Theta_{\mu \nu}|0\rangle \\
& \quad \approx\left\langle\pi^{+}(p) \pi^{-}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \mid f_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle f_{2}\right| \Theta_{\mu \nu}|0\rangle \\
& \quad=\frac{f_{f_{2}} g_{f_{2} \pi \pi} m_{f_{2}}}{\left(p+p^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m_{f_{2}}^{2}}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)_{\mu}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)_{\nu} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{f_{2} \pi \pi}$ is the coupling constant defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\pi^{+}(p) \pi^{-}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \mid f_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{g_{f_{2} \pi \pi}}{m_{f_{2}}} \epsilon_{(\lambda)}^{\mu \nu}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)_{\mu}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)_{\nu} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decay rate reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(f_{2} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=\frac{4}{15 \pi m_{f_{2}}^{2}}\left(\frac{g_{f_{2} \pi \pi}}{m_{f_{2}}}\right)^{2} p_{c}^{5} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p_{c}$ being the center-of-mass momentum of the pion. From the measured width $\Gamma\left(f_{2} \rightarrow \pi \pi\right)=$ $\left(156.9_{-1.2}^{+4.0}\right) \mathrm{MeV}$ [1] and the normalization condition $\langle\pi(p)| \Theta_{00}|\pi(p)\rangle=2 m_{\pi}^{2}$ [20], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{f_{2}(1270)} \simeq(0.085 \pm 0.001) m_{f_{2}(1270)}=(108 \pm 1) \mathrm{MeV} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]which is in agreement with [21]. By the same token, if the matrix element $\left\langle K^{+} K^{-}\right| \Theta_{\mu \nu}|0\rangle$ is assumed to be saturated by $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ which is $s \bar{s}$ dominated, we will have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)} \simeq(0.089 \pm 0.003) m_{f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)}=(136 \pm 5) \mathrm{MeV} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where use of the experimental value $\Gamma\left(f_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow K \bar{K}\right)=$ $\left(65_{-4}^{+5}\right) \mathrm{MeV}$ [1] has been made.

## B. $f_{T}^{\perp}$

Using the QCD sum rule technique, we proceed to estimate the value of $f_{T}^{\perp}$ [22]. To determine the magnitude and the relative sign of $f_{T}^{\perp}$ with respect to $f_{T}$, we consider the nondiagonal two-point correlation function,

$$
\begin{align*}
& i(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}(q-p) \Pi_{\mu \nu \delta \alpha \beta}(q) \\
& \quad=i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i(q x-p y)}\langle 0| T\left[j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}(x) j_{\alpha \beta}(y)\right]|0\rangle \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mu \nu \delta \alpha \beta}(q)= & \frac{i}{2}\left[\left(g_{\alpha \mu} g_{\beta \delta}+g_{\alpha \delta} g_{\beta \mu}\right) q_{\nu}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(g_{\alpha \nu} g_{\beta \delta}+g_{\alpha \delta} g_{\beta \nu}\right) q_{\mu}\right] \Pi\left(q^{2}\right)+\ldots \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The interpolating current $j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}(0)=\bar{q}_{2}(0) \sigma_{\mu \nu} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\delta}(0) q_{1}(0)$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}(0)|T(P, \lambda)\rangle=i f_{T}^{\perp} m_{T}\left(\epsilon_{\mu \delta}^{(\lambda) *} P_{\nu}-\epsilon_{\nu \delta}^{(\lambda) *} P_{\mu}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we are only interested in the Lorentz invariant constant $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ which receives the contribution from tensor mesons but not from vector or scalar mesons.

To simply the calculation of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$, we will apply the translation transformation to the current $j_{\alpha \beta}(y)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\alpha \beta}(y)=e^{i \hat{P}(y-z)} j_{\alpha \beta}(z) e^{-i \hat{P}(y-z)} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P}$ is a translation operator, and then recast Eq. (41) to

$$
\begin{align*}
i(2 \pi)^{4} & \delta^{4}(q-p) \Pi_{\mu \nu \delta \alpha \beta}(q) \\
= & i^{2}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}(q-p) \int d^{4} x^{\prime} e^{i q\left(x^{\prime}-z\right)} \\
& \times\left.\langle 0| T\left[j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}\left(x^{\prime}\right) j_{\alpha \beta}(z)\right]|0\rangle\right|_{z \rightarrow 0} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

The covariant derivative $\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\beta}(z)$ in $\bar{q}_{1}(0) \gamma_{\alpha} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\beta} q_{2}(z)$ then becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\beta}(z) & =\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial z^{\beta}}-\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^{\beta}}+i g_{s} \lambda^{a} A_{\beta}^{a}(z) \\
& =\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial z^{\beta}}-\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^{\beta}}+\frac{1}{2} i g_{s} \lambda^{a} z^{\lambda} G_{\lambda \beta}^{a}(z)+\cdots \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

in the fixed-point gauge (or the so-called Schwinger-Fock gauge) [22]

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\beta} A_{\beta}^{a}(z)=0 \quad \text { with } \quad A_{\beta}^{a}(z)=\int_{0}^{1} d t t z^{\lambda} G_{\lambda \beta}(t z) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\beta}(z)$ is reduced to the usual derivative $\vec{\partial} / \partial z^{\beta}-\overleftarrow{\partial} / \partial z^{\beta}$ in the $z \rightarrow 0$ limit and hence the contributions from the diagrams in Fig. 1 with the soft gluons emerging from the left vertex vanish. Likewise, the uses of the translation transformation for $j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}(x)=e^{i \hat{P}(x-z)} j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}(z) e^{-i \hat{P}(x-z)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding relation for the nondiagonal twopoint correlation function

$$
\begin{align*}
i(2 \pi)^{4} & \delta^{4}(q-p) \Pi_{\mu \nu \delta \alpha \beta}(q) \\
= & i^{2}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}(q-p) \int d^{4} y^{\prime} e^{-i q\left(y^{\prime}-z\right)} \\
& \times\left.\langle 0| T\left[j_{\mu \nu \delta}^{\perp \dagger}(z) j_{\alpha \beta}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]|0\rangle\right|_{z \rightarrow 0} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

will imply that the diagrams with the soft gluons emerging from the right vertex vanish. Note that one can apply either Eq. (45) or (49) to compute the two-point correlation function $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$; the results should be the same. In this work we shall use the former to evaluate the operatorproduct expansion (OPE) of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$.
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FIG. 1. Diagrams contributing to the OPE expansion of the two-point correlation function $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ defined in Eqs. (45) and (42). Diagrams (c), (f) and the left diagrams of (g), (h), (i), and (j) involving a soft gluon emitted from the left vertex do not contribute to $\Pi_{\mu \nu \delta \alpha \beta}(q)$, while both diagrams in (e) also make no contributions to the invariant structure of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$. The cross signs in (l) denote a mass insertion.

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\Pi}\left(q^{2}\right)= & \tilde{\Pi}(0)+\frac{q^{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{s\left(s-q^{2}\right)}\left[\rho_{\text {phys }}(s)\right. \\
& \left.-\operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text {pert }}(s)\right], \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{\text {phys }}$ and $\rho_{\text {tensor }}$ are the total physical and lowestlying tensor meson spectral densities, respectively, which can be modeled as

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\text {phys }}(s) & =\rho_{\text {tensor }}(s)+\theta\left(s-s_{0}\right) \operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text {pert }}(s) \\
& =f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp} m_{T}^{3} \pi \delta\left(s-m_{T}^{2}\right)+\theta\left(s-s_{0}\right) \operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text {pert }}(s) . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $s_{0}$ is the excited threshold and the imaginary part of $\Pi^{\text {pert }}(s)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text {pert }}(s)=\frac{m_{q_{1}}+m_{q_{2}}}{32 \pi^{2}} s \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit $-q^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (51), we obtain the following relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\Pi}(0)= & -\frac{1}{4}\left(\left\langle\bar{q}_{1} q_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{q}_{2} q_{2}\right\rangle\right)+f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp} m_{T} \\
& -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \frac{d s}{s} \operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text {pert }}(s) . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

After performing the Borel transformation $[14,22]$ and taking into account scale-dependence of each quantity, we arrive at the sum rule:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp} \cong & \frac{1}{\left(e^{-m_{T}^{2} / M^{2}}-1\right) m_{T}} \\
& \times\left[-\frac{7}{12} \frac{\left\langle\bar{q}_{1} g_{s} \sigma G q_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{q}_{2} g_{s} \sigma G q_{2}\right\rangle}{M^{2}}\right. \\
& -\frac{\pi}{48 M^{4}}\left(\left\langle\bar{q}_{1} q_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{q}_{2} q_{2}\right\rangle\right)\left\langle\alpha_{s} G^{2}\right\rangle+\frac{m_{q_{1}}+m_{q_{2}}}{32 \pi^{2}} \\
& \left.\times M^{2}\left(1-e^{-s_{0} / M^{2}}-\frac{s_{0}}{M^{2}}\right)\right] . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

In the numerical analysis, we shall use the following input parameters at the scale 1 GeV [14]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{s}(1 \mathrm{GeV}) & =0.497 \pm 0.005 \\
m_{s}(1 \mathrm{GeV}) & =(140 \pm 20) \mathrm{MeV} \\
\langle\bar{u} u\rangle & \cong\langle\bar{d} d\rangle=-(0.240 \pm 0.010)^{3} \mathrm{GeV}^{3}, \\
\langle\bar{s} s\rangle & =(0.8 \pm 0.1)\langle\bar{u} u\rangle \\
\left\langle g_{s} \bar{u} \sigma G u\right\rangle & \cong\left\langle g_{s} \bar{d} \sigma G d\right\rangle=-(0.8 \pm 0.1) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\langle\bar{u} u\rangle \\
\left\langle g_{s} \bar{s} \sigma G s\right\rangle & =(0.8 \pm 0.1)\left\langle g_{s} \bar{u} \sigma G u\right\rangle \\
\left\langle\alpha_{s} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} G^{a \mu \nu}\right\rangle & =(0.474 \pm 0.120) \mathrm{GeV}^{4} /(4 \pi) \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

The masses of $u$ - and $d$-quarks can be numerically neglected. For the separate determination of $f_{T}$ and $f_{T}^{\perp}$, we next proceed to reanalyze the $f_{T}$ sum rule which is given by [16,17]

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{T}^{2} e^{-m_{T}^{2} / M^{2}} \cong & \frac{1}{m_{T}^{4}}\left\{\frac{3}{20 \pi^{2}} M^{6}\left[1-\left(1+\frac{s_{0}}{M^{2}}+\frac{s_{0}^{2}}{2 M^{4}}\right) e^{-s_{0} / M^{2}}\right]\right. \\
& -\frac{2 M^{2}}{9 \pi}\left\langle\alpha_{s}^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle+\frac{32 \pi \alpha_{s}}{9}\left\langle\bar{q}_{1} q_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\bar{q}_{2} q_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\frac{m_{q_{2}}\left\langle\bar{q}_{1} g_{s} \sigma G q_{1}\right\rangle+m_{q_{1}}\left\langle\bar{q}_{2} g_{s} \sigma G q_{2}\right\rangle}{6}\right\} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

For the sum rule calculation, the decay constants and parameters are evaluated at $\mu=1 \mathrm{GeV}$. Changing the scale within the range $\mu^{2}=(1-2) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ does not cause any noticeable effect, provided that the decay constants are also rescaled according to the renormalization group equation. Applying the differential operator $M^{4} \partial / \partial M^{2}$ to the above equation, we obtain the mass sum rule for the tensor meson, from which we can determine (i) the excited threshold $s_{0}$ and (ii) the working Borel window $M^{2}$ where the resulting tensor mass is well stable and in agreement with the data. However, we note that the contribution originating from modelling higher resonances defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{3}{20 \pi^{2}} M^{6}\left(1+\frac{s_{0}}{M^{2}}+\frac{s_{0}^{2}}{2 M^{4}}\right) e^{-s_{0} / M^{2}}\right] /\left[\frac{3}{20 \pi^{2}} M^{6}\right.} \\
& \quad-\frac{2 M^{2}}{9 \pi}\left\langle\alpha_{s}^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle+\frac{32 \pi \alpha_{s}}{9}\left\langle\bar{q}_{1} q_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\bar{q}_{2} q_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{m_{q_{2}}\left\langle\bar{q}_{1} g_{s} \sigma G q_{1}\right\rangle+m_{q_{1}}\left\langle\bar{q}_{2} g_{s} \sigma G q_{2}\right\rangle}{6}\right] \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE I. Sum rule results for the decay constants $f_{T}$ and $f_{T}^{\perp}$ of various tensor mesons at the scale $\mu=1 \mathrm{GeV}$. The results for the excited threshold $s_{0}$, masses of the tensor mesons, Borel windows $M^{2}$ (in units of $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ ), and $f_{T}$ are obtained from Eq. (57), $f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp}$ from Eq. (55), and $f_{T}^{\perp}$ from the combination of $f_{T}$ and $f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp}$. The error for $f_{T}$ is due mainly to the uncertainties in vacuum condensates, while the first error in $f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp}$ arises from the Borel mass and the second error from the rest of other input parameters.

| State | $s_{0}\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ | Range of $M^{2}$ | Mass $(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $f_{T}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp}\left(\mathrm{MeV}^{2}\right)$ | $f_{T}^{\perp}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $f_{2}(1270)$ | 2.53 | $(1.0,1.4)$ | $1.27 \pm 0.01$ | $102 \pm 6$ | $11900 \pm 700 \pm 1600$ | $117 \pm 25$ |
| $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ | 3.49 | $(1.3,1.7)$ | $1.52 \pm 0.02$ | $126 \pm 4$ | $8200 \pm 300 \pm 1100$ | $65 \pm 12$ |
| $a_{2}(1320)$ | 2.70 | $(1.0,1.4)$ | $1.31 \pm 0.01$ | $107 \pm 6$ | $11200 \pm 600 \pm 1500$ | $105 \pm 21$ |
| $K_{2}^{*}(1430)$ | 3.13 | $(1.2,1.6)$ | $1.43 \pm 0.01$ | $118 \pm 5$ | $9100 \pm 500 \pm 1200$ | $77 \pm 14$ |

is about $60 \%$ for $M^{2}=1.0 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $80 \%$ for $M^{2}=1.6 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The higher resonance corrections may be a bit too large but still controllable. On the other hand, when $M^{2}>1.0 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, the highest OPE term at the quark-gluon level is no more than $8 \%$ which is relatively small.

We then estimate $f_{T}$ and $f_{T}^{\perp}$ from Eqs. (57) and (55), respectively. All the numerical results are collected in Table I. Here we have assumed that the obtained $s_{0}$ and corresponding Borel window are applicable to both $f_{T}$ and $f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp}$ sum rules. The theoretical errors are due to the variation of the Borel mass, quark masses, and vacuum condensates, which are then added in quadrature. For simplicity, we do not take into account the uncertainty in $s_{0}$. In the analysis, we have neglected the possible mixture of the quark and gluon currents for $f_{2}(1270)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ mesons. As noticed in the Introduction, we assume that $f_{2}(1270)$ is a $(u \bar{u}+d \bar{d}) / \sqrt{2}$ state, while $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ is predominantly made of $s \bar{s}$. Our results are in good agreement with [16] for $f_{f_{2}(1270)}$, but smaller than that of [17] for $f_{K_{2}^{*}(1430)}$. We should note that our $f_{T} f_{T}^{\perp}$ is obtained from the nondiagonal sum rule and hence it is insensitive to $s_{0}$. For the nondiagonal sum rule, one possible error may arise from the radiative corrections, which are at about $10 \%$ level for each OPE term and partly contribute to higher resonances, and can be lumped
into the uncertainties of the input parameters given in Eq. (56).

## V. CONCLUSION

We have systematically studied the two-parton lightcone distribution amplitudes for $1^{3} P_{2}$ nonet tensor mesons. The light-cone distribution amplitudes can be presented by using QCD conformal partial wave expansion. We have obtained the asymptotic two-parton distribution amplitudes of twist-2 and twist-3. The relevant decay constants have been estimated using the QCD sum rule techniques. We have also studied the decay constants for $f_{2}(1270)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ based on the hypothesis of tensor-mesondominance together with the data of $\Gamma\left(f_{2} \rightarrow \pi \pi\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(f_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow K \bar{K}\right)$. The results are in accordance with the sum rule predictions.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Just as the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ mixing in the pseudoscalar case, the isoscalar tensor states $f_{2}(1270)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ also have a mixing, and their wave functions are defined by

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & f_{2}(1270)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(f_{2}^{u}+f_{2}^{d}\right) \cos \theta_{f_{2}}+f_{2}^{s} \sin \theta_{f_{2}}, \\
    & f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(f_{2}^{u}+f_{2}^{d}\right) \sin \theta_{f_{2}}-f_{2}^{s} \cos \theta_{f_{2}},
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    with $f_{2}^{q} \equiv q \bar{q}$. Since $\pi \pi$ is the dominant decay mode of $f_{2}(1270)$ whereas $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ decays predominantly into $K \bar{K}$ (see Ref. [1]), it is obvious that this mixing angle should be small. More precisely, it is found that $\theta_{f_{2}}=7.8^{\circ}$ [5] and ( $9 \pm$ $1)^{\circ}$ [1]. Therefore, $f_{2}(1270)$ is primarily a $(u \bar{u}+d \bar{d}) / \sqrt{2}$ state, while $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ is dominantly $s \bar{s}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The dimensionless decay constant $f_{T}$ defined in $[16,17]$ differs from ours by a factor of $2 m_{T}$. The factor of 2 comes from a different definition of $\widehat{D}_{\mu}$ there.
    ${ }^{4}$ The decay constants for $f_{2}^{\mu}(1270)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ had also been estimated in [18] using QCD sum rules. The results quoted from [19] are $f_{f_{2}(1270)}=(132 \sim 184) \mathrm{MeV}$ and $f_{f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)}=$ (112~152) MeV.

